

FIRST MARINER BANCORP
Form 10-K
March 16, 2007

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C.

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006.

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

Commission file number 0-21815

FIRST MARINER BANCORP

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland

(State of incorporation)

1501 S. Clinton Street, Baltimore, MD

(Address of principal executive offices)

52-1834860

(IRS Employer Identification Number)

21224

(zip code)

410-342-2600

(Telephone number)

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

| Title of each Class |
|--|
| COMMON STOCK, par value \$0.05 per share |

| Name of each exchange on which registered |
|---|
| The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC |

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No x

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such report, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter was approximately \$97.238 million. Shares of Common Stock owned by each executive officer and directors have not been included as such persons are deemed to be affiliates.

The number of shares of common stock outstanding as of March 1, 2007 is 6,420,225 shares.

Documents incorporated by reference:

Proxy Statement Part III.

FIRST MARINER BANCORP

**Annual Report on Form 10-K
December 31, 2006**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|----------------|---|-------------|
| | <u>PART I</u> | |
| <u>Item 1</u> | <u>Business</u> | 3 |
| <u>Item 1A</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 14 |
| <u>Item 1B</u> | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 20 |
| <u>Item 2</u> | <u>Properties</u> | 20 |
| <u>Item 3</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 23 |
| <u>Item 4</u> | <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 24 |
| <u>Item 4A</u> | <u>Executive Officers of the Registrant</u> | 24 |
| | <u>PART II</u> | |
| <u>Item 5</u> | <u>Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 25 |
| <u>Item 6</u> | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 27 |
| <u>Item 7</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 28 |
| <u>Item 7A</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 56 |
| <u>Item 8</u> | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 57 |
| <u>Item 9</u> | <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures</u> | 91 |
| <u>Item 9A</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 91 |
| <u>Item 9B</u> | <u>Other Information</u> | 94 |
| | <u>PART III</u> | |
| <u>Item 10</u> | <u>Directors and Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 94 |
| <u>Item 11</u> | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 94 |
| <u>Item 12</u> | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 94 |
| <u>Item 13</u> | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence</u> | 95 |
| <u>Item 14</u> | <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 95 |
| | <u>PART IV</u> | |
| <u>Item 15</u> | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 96 |

PART I

ITEM 1 BUSINESS

General

First Mariner Bancorp (First Mariner, on a parent only basis, and we, our, or us, on a consolidated basis) is a financial holding company whose business is conducted primarily through its wholly owned operating subsidiaries: First Mariner Bank (the Bank), Finance Maryland LLC (Finance Maryland), and FM Appraisals, LLC (FM Appraisals). We were formed in 1995 and have total assets in excess of \$1.263 billion as of December 31, 2006. Our executive offices are located in the Canton area of Baltimore City at 1501 South Clinton Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21224. Our telephone number is (410) 342-2600. We maintain internet sites located at www.1stmarinerbank.com, www.1stmarinerbancorp.com, www.1stmarinermortgage.com, www.vamortgage.com and www.financemaryland.com.

The Bank is our largest operating subsidiary with assets exceeding \$1.157 billion as of December 31, 2006. The Bank was formed in 1995 through the merger of several small financial institutions. The Bank's primary market area for its core banking operations, which consist of traditional commercial and consumer lending, as well as retail and commercial deposit operations, is central Maryland as well as portions of Maryland's eastern shore. The Bank's mortgage division, First Mariner Mortgage, operates on both a regional and national basis. First Mariner Bank is an independent community bank, and its deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). The Bank is engaged in the general commercial banking business, with particular attention and emphasis on the needs of individuals and small to mid-sized businesses, and delivers a wide range of financial products and services that are offered by many larger competitors. Products and services include traditional deposit products, a variety of consumer and commercial loans, residential and commercial mortgage and construction loans, money transfer services, non-deposit investment products, and Internet banking and similar services. Most importantly, the Bank provides customers with access to local Bank officers who are empowered to act with flexibility to meet customers' needs in an effort to foster and develop long-term loan and deposit relationships.

Finance Maryland engages in traditional consumer finance activities, making small direct cash loans to individuals, the purchase of installment loan sales contracts from local merchants and retail dealers of consumer goods, and loans to individuals via direct mail solicitations. Finance Maryland currently operates 17 branches and a central approval office in the state of Maryland and four branches in the state of Delaware, which operate under the trade name Finance Delaware. Finance Maryland had total assets of \$65.911 million as of December 31, 2006.

FM Appraisals is a residential real estate appraisal preparation and management company that is headquartered in Baltimore City. FM Appraisals offers appraisal services for residential real estate lenders, including appraisal preparation, the compliance oversight of sub-contracted appraisers, appraisal ordering and administration, and appraisal review services. FM Appraisals provides these services to First Mariner Mortgage.

Since our formation in 1995, our business strategy has focused on development of an operational and retail distribution infrastructure to create a platform to support the generation of assets and deposits. At our inception, we had 20 employees, four full service branches and two ATMs in the Baltimore region, with total assets of \$35.2 million, loans of \$20.4 million, and deposits of \$24.6 million. At December 31, 2006, we had over 1,000 employees, 25 full service bank branches, 12 mortgage loan offices, 21 consumer finance offices, and approximately 195 ATMs (35 owned by us and 160 available to our customers through third party agreements) with total assets of \$1.263 billion, loans of \$866.459 million and deposits of \$924.938 million. We earned net income of \$1.924 million for the year ending December 31, 2006.

We do not conduct any foreign operations.

Our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to these reports are available, free of charge, in the investor relations section of our Internet site at www.1stmarinerbancorp.com as soon as reasonably practicable after we have filed them with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The information on the websites listed above is not and should not be considered part of this annual report on Form 10-K and is not incorporated by reference in this document.

Our Business Strategy

Our initial strategy involved building a network of banking branches, mortgage loan offices, and ATMs to capture market share and build a community franchise for our stockholders, customers and employees. Having developed this infrastructure, we are now focused on growing assets and earnings by capitalizing on the broad network of Bank branches, mortgage offices, consumer finance offices and ATMs that we established during our infrastructure expansion phase.

To continue asset growth and profitability, our marketing strategy is targeted to:

- Capitalize on our personal relationship approach that we believe differentiates us from our larger competitors;
- Provide our customers with access to local executives who make key credit and other decisions;
- Pursue commercial lending opportunities with small to mid-sized businesses that are underserved by our larger competitors;
- Develop innovative financial products and services to generate additional sources of revenue;
- Cross-sell our products and services to our existing customers to leverage relationships and enhance our profitability;
- Review our branch performance to evaluate possible consolidations or relocations that may increase our efficiency; and
- Adhere to rigorous credit standards to maintain good quality assets as we implement our growth strategy.

Financial Services We Provide

Commercial Banking. Our commercial loan unit focuses on loan originations from small and mid-sized businesses (generally up to \$20.0 million in annual sales) and such loans are usually accompanied by significant related deposits. Our commercial loan products include commercial mortgage loans for the purchase or refinance of commercial properties; residential and commercial real estate construction loans; working capital loans and lines of credit; demand, term and time loans; and equipment, inventory and accounts receivable financing. We also offer an array of cash management services and deposit products to our commercial customers. Computerized on-line banking is currently available to our commercial customers.

Retail Banking. Our retail banking activities emphasize consumer deposit and checking accounts. We offer an extensive range of services to meet the varied needs of our customers from young persons to senior citizens. In addition to traditional products and services, we offer contemporary products and services, such as debit cards, mutual funds, annuities, insurance products and Internet banking and electronic bill payment services. Our consumer loan products include home equity lines of credit, fixed rate second mortgages, new and used auto loans, new and used boat loans, overdraft protection and unsecured personal credit lines.

Mortgage-Banking. Our mortgage-banking business is structured to provide a source of fee income largely from the process of originating residential mortgage loans for sale on the secondary market, as well as the origination of loans to be held in our loan portfolio. Mortgage-banking products include Federal Housing Administration (FHA) and the federal Veterans Administration (VA) loans, conventional and nonconforming first and second mortgages, reverse mortgages, and construction and permanent financing. We intend to improve our competitive position in our markets by streamlining the mortgage underwriting process through the introduction of advanced technology, and development of new products to meet our customers' needs.

Community Reinvestment Act. We have a strong commitment to our responsibilities under the federal Community Reinvestment Act (the CRA) and actively search for opportunities to meet the development needs of all members of the communities we serve, including persons of low to moderate income in a manner consistent with safe and sound banking practices. We currently fulfill this commitment by participating in loan programs sponsored or guaranteed by the FHA, the VA, the federally funded American Dream Downpayment Initiative, the Maryland Mortgage Program (CDA), the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta Closing Cost Assistance Program, the Section 8 to Home-Ownership Program and the Settlement Expense Loan Program.

Consumer Finance. We offer a wide variety of consumer finance products through Finance Maryland, which is focused on building market share by offering competitive products and services, delivered by experienced personnel who provide responsive service. Loan sizes are generally smaller than those originated by the Bank (approximately \$2,800), and Finance Maryland currently serves approximately 23,000 customers in Maryland and Delaware.

Our Lending Activities

Loan Portfolio Composition. At December 31, 2006, our loan portfolio totaled \$866.459 million, representing approximately 68.6% of our total assets of \$1.263 billion. Our loans are generally secured by residential and commercial real estate, and over 82% of our total loans as of December 31, 2006 were secured by real estate. The majority of our lending activity is in the Mid-Atlantic region. See Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2006 for more detailed information concerning the composition of our loan portfolio.

Real Estate Development and Construction Loans. We provide interim real estate acquisition development and construction loans to builders, developers, and persons who will ultimately occupy their single-family dwellings. These loans are made within the Federal regulatory guidelines for maximum loan to value ratios. Generally, residential construction loans are made for up to 85% of the appraised value of the property, taking into consideration private mortgage insurance. Commercial real estate construction loans are generally made for 80% or less of the appraised value of the property. Development loans, made to improve raw land into lots on which structures may be built, are generally made for 75% or less of the appraised value of the property. Our real estate development and construction loan funds are disbursed periodically at pre-specified stages of completion. We carefully monitor these loans with on-site inspections and control of disbursements.

Loans we provide to individuals for the construction of their primary residences are typically secured by the property under construction, frequently include additional collateral (such as second mortgage on the borrower's present home), and commonly have maturities of 9 to 12 months.

Loans provided by us to residential builders for the construction of residential homes require binding sales contracts on the property and the prospective buyers have been pre-qualified for permanent mortgage financing. Development loans are made only to developers with a proven track record. Generally, these loans are extended only when the borrower provides evidence that the lots under development will be sold to builders satisfactory to us.

We secure development and construction loans with the properties under development or construction and we typically obtain personal guarantees from the principals. Further, to assure that we do not place reliance solely in the value of the underlying property, we consider the financial condition and reputation of the borrower and any guarantors, the amount of the borrower's equity in the project, independent appraisals, costs estimates and pre-construction sale information.

See Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2006 for more detailed information concerning our real estate development and construction lending.

Residential Real Estate Mortgage Loans. We originate adjustable- and fixed-rate residential mortgage loans. Our mortgage loans are generally originated under terms, conditions and documentation acceptable to the secondary mortgage market. We will place some of these loans into our portfolio, although the vast majority are ultimately sold to investors.

Commercial Real Estate Mortgage Loans. We originate mortgage loans secured by commercial real estate. These loans are primarily secured by office buildings, retail buildings, warehouses and general-purpose business space. Although terms may vary, our commercial mortgages generally have maturities of ten years or less. It is our general policy to obtain personal guarantees from the principals of the borrowers and assignments of all leases related to the collateral.

Commercial Loans. We originate a variety of loans for business purposes. Less than one percent of all our commercial loans are unsecured. We make loans to provide working capital to businesses in the form of lines of credit, which may be secured by real estate, accounts receivable, inventory, equipment or other assets. The financial condition and cash flow of our commercial borrowers are closely monitored by the submission of corporate financial statements, personal financial statements and income tax returns. The frequency of submissions of required financial information depends on the size and complexity of the credit and the collateral that secures our loan. It is our general policy to obtain personal guarantees from the principals of our commercial loan borrowers.

Consumer Loans. The Bank and Finance Maryland offer a variety of consumer loans. Consumer loans originated by the Bank are typically secured by residential real estate or personal property, including automobiles and boats. Our home equity loans (closed-end and lines of credit) are typically made up to 80% of the appraised value, less the amount of any existing prior liens on the property and generally have maximum terms of 10 years. We do offer home equity products with loan to value ratios of up to 100%, and mitigate our risk of loss on higher loan to value products with private mortgage insurance. The interest rates on our closed-end home equity loans are generally fixed, while interest rates on our home equity lines of credit are variable. Consumer finance products offered through Finance Maryland include loans for the purchase of consumer goods, direct cash lending, loans for seasonal purposes, home improvement loans, and loans originated through direct mail solicitation. Loans made by Finance Maryland are generally for terms less than five years, carry a fixed rate of interest, and are generally secured by consumer goods, including automobiles. Finance Maryland also originates a limited number of closed-end home equity loans.

Our Credit Administration Process

Our lending activities are subject to written policies approved by our Board of Directors to ensure proper management of credit risk. We make loans that are subject to a well defined credit process that includes credit evaluation of borrowers, risk-rating of credits, establishment of lending limits and application of lending procedures, including the holding of adequate collateral and the maintenance of compensating balances, as well as procedures for on-going identification and management of credit deterioration. We conduct regular portfolio reviews to identify potential under-performing credits, estimate loss exposure, geographic and industry concentrations, and to ascertain compliance with our

policies. For significant problem loans, we review and evaluate the financial strengths of our borrower and the guarantor, the related collateral and the effects of economic conditions.

Commercial and mortgage loan officers have no individual lending authority. Our loan committee of the Board of Directors is authorized to approve loans up to our banking subsidiary's legal lending limit, which approximates \$16.7 million as of December 31, 2006. We have established an in-house limit of \$5.0 million, which is reviewed periodically by the Board of Directors, and do have loans to a limited number of customers in excess of that amount.

We generally do not make loans to be held in our loan portfolio outside our market area unless the borrower has an established relationship with us and conducts its principal business operations within our market area. Consequently, we and our borrowers are affected by the economic conditions prevailing in our market area. Approximately 76% of our residential real estate development and construction loan portfolio consisted of loans to Maryland customers; an additional 20% consisted of loans to customers in the surrounding states and the District of Columbia; and 4% consisted of loans to customers in other states in the country. Approximately 82% of our commercial loan portfolio (commercial, commercial real estate, and commercial construction) consisted of loans to Maryland customers with an additional 16% consisting of loans to customers in the surrounding states and the District of Columbia. Commercial and commercial real estate loans to customers in other states in the country amounted to approximately 2% of our portfolio.

Finance Maryland's lending activities are subject to written policies approved by our Board of Directors. These loans are subject to a well-defined credit process that includes a credit evaluation of the borrower and the adequacy of available collateral. Finance Maryland's loan policy provides various levels of individual lending authority. Finance Maryland purchases installment sales contracts from dealers applying the same criteria. Dealers are subject to pre-approval due diligence and must have a proven track record with management.

Market

We consider our core market area to be the communities within the Baltimore/Washington corridor, including the eastern shore of Maryland and particularly Baltimore City and the counties of Baltimore, Anne Arundel, Harford, and Howard. Lending activities are broader and include areas outside of our core market area such as other Maryland counties, the District of Columbia and certain markets in contiguous states.

Our Competition

Banking and consumer finance. We operate in a highly competitive environment, competing for deposits and loans with commercial banks, thrifts, mortgage companies, finance companies, Internet-based financial companies and other financial entities. Our principal competitors include other community commercial banks and larger financial institutions with branches in our market area. Numerous mergers and consolidations involving banks in our market area have occurred in recent years, requiring us to compete with banks and finance companies with greater resources.

The primary factors we face in competing for deposits are interest rates, personalized service, the quality and range of financial services, convenience of office locations and office hours. Competition for deposits comes primarily from other commercial banks, savings associations, credit unions, money market funds and other investment alternatives. The primary factors in competing for loans are interest rates, loan origination fees, the quality and range of lending services, responsiveness, and personalized service. Competition for loans comes primarily from other commercial banks, savings associations, mortgage-banking firms, credit unions, finance companies and other financial intermediaries. Many of the financial

institutions operating in our market area offer certain services such as trust and international banking, which we do not offer, and have greater financial resources or have substantially higher lending limits.

To compete with other financial services providers, we principally rely upon local promotional activities, personal relationships established by our officers, directors and employees with our customers, and specialized services tailored to meet our customers' needs. In those instances where we are unable to accommodate a customer's needs, we will arrange for those services to be provided by other financial institutions with which we have a relationship.

Current banking laws facilitate interstate branching and merger activity among banks. This may result in an even greater degree of competition in the banking industry and we may be brought into competition with institutions with which we do not currently compete. As a result, intense competition in our market area may be expected to continue for the foreseeable future.

Mortgage-banking. Our mortgage-banking division also operates in an extremely competitive environment. In addition to competing with mortgage-banking divisions of other financial institutions, we compete with mortgage banking firms that are not under the same level of regulation as we are. Without similar regulatory constraints, these lesser regulated firms can be more responsive to customers. Additionally, competition in the mortgage-banking industry comes from the continuing evolution of the secondary mortgage market, the proliferation of mortgage products, increasing interest rate volatility, compounded by homeowners' increasing tendency to refinance their mortgages as the refinance process becomes more efficient and cost effective. These swings in mortgage origination volume have placed significant operational and financial pressures on mortgage lenders.

To compete effectively in this environment, we maintain a very high level of operational, technological and managerial expertise, consistently offer a wide selection of mortgage loans through all marketing channels on a regional scale, provide high-quality service, and price our mortgage loans at competitive rates.

Supervision and Regulation

First Mariner and its subsidiaries are extensively regulated under federal and state law. Generally, these laws and regulations are intended to protect depositors and loan customers, not stockholders. The following is a summary description of certain provisions of certain laws that affect the regulation of financial holding companies, finance companies, and banks. The discussion is qualified in its entirety by reference to applicable laws and regulations. Changes in such laws and regulations may have a material effect on the business and prospects of First Mariner and its subsidiaries.

Federal Financial Holding Company Regulation and Structure. First Mariner is a financial holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, and as such, it is subject to regulation, supervision, and examination by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("Federal Reserve" or "FRB"). First Mariner is required to file annual and quarterly reports with the Federal Reserve and to provide the Federal Reserve with such additional information as the Federal Reserve may require. The Federal Reserve may conduct examinations of First Mariner and its subsidiaries.

With certain limited exceptions, First Mariner is required to obtain prior approval from the Federal Reserve before acquiring direct or indirect ownership or control of more than 5% of any voting securities or substantially all of the assets of a bank or bank holding company, or before merging or consolidating with another bank holding company. In acting on applications for such approval, the Federal Reserve must consider various statutory factors, including among others, the effect of the proposed transaction on competition in the relevant geographical and product markets, each party's financial condition and management resources and record of performance under the CRA. Additionally, with certain exceptions any person proposing to acquire control through direct or indirect ownership of 25% or more of any voting

securities of First Mariner is required to give 60 days written notice of the acquisition to the Federal Reserve, which may prohibit the transaction, and to publish notice to the public.

With prior approval of the Federal Reserve, First Mariner may acquire more than 5% of the assets or outstanding shares of a company engaging in nonbank activities determined by the Federal Reserve to be closely related to the business of banking or of managing or controlling banks. Under current Federal Reserve regulations, such permissible nonbank activities include mortgage-banking, equipment leasing, securities brokerage and consumer and commercial finance company operations.

First Mariner's subsidiary bank is subject to certain quantitative and qualitative restrictions on extensions of credit to the financial holding company or its subsidiaries, investments in its securities, and the use of its securities as collateral for loans to any borrower. These regulations and restrictions may limit the ability to obtain funds from First Mariner's subsidiary bank for its cash needs including funds for the payment of dividends, interest and operating expenses. Further, a financial holding company and its subsidiaries are prohibited from engaging in certain tie-in arrangements in connection with any extension of credit, lease or sale of property, or furnishing of services. For example, a bank may not generally require a customer to obtain other services from itself or its affiliates, and may not require that a customer promise not to obtain other services from a competitor as a condition to an extension of credit to the customer. The Federal Reserve has ended the anti-tying rules for financial holding companies and their non-banking subsidiaries. Such rules were retained for banks.

Under Federal Reserve policy, a bank holding company is expected to act as a source of financial strength to its subsidiary banks and to make capital injections into a troubled subsidiary bank, and the Federal Reserve may charge the financial holding company with engaging in unsafe and unsound practices for failure to commit resources to a subsidiary bank when required. A required capital injection may be called for at a time when the holding company does not have the resources to provide it. In addition, depository institutions insured by the FDIC can be held liable for any losses incurred by, or reasonably anticipated to be incurred by, the FDIC in connection with the default of, or assistance provided to, a commonly controlled FDIC-insured depository institution. Accordingly, in the event that any insured subsidiary of First Mariner causes a loss to the FDIC, other insured subsidiaries could be required to compensate the FDIC by reimbursing it for the estimated amount of such loss. Such cross guaranty liabilities generally are superior in priority to the obligations of the depository institution to its stockholders due solely to their status as stockholders and obligations to other affiliates.

We have agreed with the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond (FRB-Richmond) to submit plans to improve our operating performance, reduce parent company leverage, enhance our enterprise-wide risk management and enhance the effectiveness of our internal audit program. We have also agreed to give prior notice to the FRB-Richmond of any potential transaction involving a significant capital expenditure. Management believes it has taken actions to address these issues and these agreements do not restrict or impede our ability to conduct normal business.

State Bank Holding Company Regulation. As a Maryland bank holding company, First Mariner is subject to various restrictions on its activities as set forth in Maryland law, in addition to those restrictions set forth in federal law. Under Maryland law, a bank holding company that desires to acquire a bank or bank holding company that has its principal place of business in Maryland must obtain approval from the Maryland Commissioner of Financial Regulation. Also, a bank holding company and its Maryland chartered bank or trust company cannot directly or indirectly acquire banking or nonbanking subsidiaries or affiliates until the bank or trust company receives the approval of the Maryland Commissioner.

Federal and State Bank Regulation. First Mariner's banking subsidiary is a Maryland chartered trust company, with all the powers of a commercial bank regulated and examined by the Maryland Commissioner and the FDIC. The FDIC has extensive enforcement authority over the institutions it regulates to prohibit or correct activities that violate law, regulation or written agreement with the FDIC.

Enforcement powers also regulate activities that are deemed to constitute unsafe or unsound practices. Enforcement actions may include the appointment of a conservator or receiver, the issuance of a cease and desist order, the termination of deposit insurance, the imposition of civil money penalties on the institution, its directors, officers, employees and institution-affiliated parties, the issuance of directives to increase capital, the issuance of formal and informal agreements, the removal of or restrictions on directors, officers, employees and institution-affiliated parties, and the enforcement of any such mechanisms through restraining orders or other court actions.

In its lending activities, the maximum legal rate of interest, fees, and charges that a financial institution may charge on a particular loan depends on a variety of factors such as the type of borrower, the purpose of the loan, the amount of the loan and the date the loan is made. Other laws tie the maximum amount that may be loaned to any one customer and the related interest to a financial institution's capital levels. The Bank is also subject to certain restrictions on extensions of credit to executive officers, directors, principal stockholders or any related interest of such persons which generally require that such credit extensions be made on substantially the same terms as are available to third persons dealing with the Bank and not involve more than the normal risk of repayment.

The CRA requires that, in connection with the examination of financial institutions within their jurisdictions, the FDIC evaluate the record of the financial institution in meeting the credit needs of their communities, including low and moderate income neighborhoods and families, consistent with the safe and sound operation of those banks. These factors are also considered by all regulatory agencies in evaluating mergers, acquisitions and applications to open a branch or facility. As of the date of its most recent examination report, the Bank has a CRA rating of Satisfactory.

Under the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (FDICIA), each federal banking agency is required to prescribe, by regulation, noncapital safety and soundness standards for institutions under its authority. The federal banking agencies, including the FDIC, have adopted standards covering internal controls, information and internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate exposure, asset growth, compensation, fees and benefits. FDICIA also imposed new capital standards on insured depository institutions. Institutions that fail to meet those standards may be required by the agency to develop a plan acceptable to the agency, specifying the steps that the institution will take to meet the standards. Failure to submit or implement such a plan may subject the institution to regulatory sanctions. Management believes the Bank meets substantially all standards which have been adopted.

Before establishing new branch offices, the Bank must meet certain minimum capital stock and surplus requirements. With each new branch located outside the municipal area of the Bank's principal banking office, these minimal levels increase by \$120,000 to \$900,000, based on the population size of the municipal area in which the branch will be located. Prior to establishment of the branch, the Bank must obtain Commissioner and FDIC approval. If establishment of the branch involves the purchase of a bank building or furnishings, the total investment in bank buildings and furnishings cannot exceed, with certain exceptions, 50% of the Bank's unimpaired capital and surplus.

Financial Services Modernization. Effective in pertinent part on March 11, 2000, the federal Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (GLBA) revises the federal Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 and repeals the affiliation provisions of the federal Glass-Steagall Act of 1933, which, taken together, limited the securities, insurance, and other non-banking activities of any company that controls an FDIC insured financial institution. Under the GLBA, bank holding companies can elect, subject to certain qualifications, to become a financial holding company. First Mariner made an election to become a financial holding company in 2002 and, as such, First Mariner may engage in activities that are in addition to the business of banking. The GLBA provides that a financial holding company may engage in a full range of financial activities, including insurance and securities sales and underwriting activities, real estate development, and,

with certain exceptions, merchant banking activities, with new expedited notice procedures. The GLBA also permits certain qualified national banks to form financial subsidiaries, which have broad authority to engage in all financial activities except insurance underwriting, insurance investments, real estate investment or development, and merchant banking, and expands the potential activities of subsidiaries of state banks, subject to applicable state law. The GLBA may increase the competition we encounter.

Deposit Insurance. As an FDIC member institution, deposits of the Bank are currently insured to a maximum of \$100,000 per depositor through the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF), administered by the FDIC. The FDIC is required to establish the semi-annual assessments for DIF-insured depository institutions at a rate determined to be appropriate to maintain or increase the reserve ratio of the respective deposit insurance funds at or above 1.25% of estimated insured deposits or at such higher percentage that the FDIC determines to be justified for that year by circumstances raising significant risk of substantial future losses to the fund. Assessments are made on a risk-based premium system with nine risk classifications based on certain capital and supervisory measures. Financial institutions with higher levels of capital and involving a low degree of supervisory concern are assessed lower premiums than financial institutions with lower levels of capital or involving a higher degree of supervisory concern.

On February 8, 2006, the Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act (Reform Act) of 2006 was signed into law. The Reform Act increases the general limit on deposit insurance based on consumer price inflation, with the first increase taking effect on January 1, 2011, and additional adjustments made every five years thereafter. In addition, the Reform Act gives the FDIC the authority to manage its reserves more flexibly and over a longer time horizon by rescinding the automatic trigger of 1.25% of estimated insured deposits.

Limits on Dividends and Other Payments. First Mariner s current ability to pay dividends is largely dependent upon the receipt of dividends from its banking subsidiary. Both federal and state laws impose restrictions on the ability of the Bank to pay dividends. Federal law prohibits the payment of a dividend by an insured depository institution like the Bank if the depository institution is considered undercapitalized or if the payment of the dividend would make the institution undercapitalized. See Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 below. We do not anticipate that such provisions will be applied to the Bank. The Federal Reserve has issued a policy statement which provides that, as a general matter, insured banks and bank holding companies may pay dividends only out of prior operating earnings. For a Maryland chartered bank or trust company, dividends may be paid out of undivided profits or, with the prior approval of the Commissioner, from surplus in excess of 100% of required capital stock. If however, the surplus of a Maryland bank is less than 100% of its required capital stock, cash dividends may not be paid in excess of 90% of net earnings. In addition to these specific restrictions, bank regulatory agencies also have the ability to prohibit proposed dividends by a financial institution which would otherwise be permitted under applicable regulations if the regulatory body determines that such distribution would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice.

Capital Requirements. The Federal Reserve and FDIC have adopted certain risk-based capital guidelines to assist in the assessment of the capital adequacy of a banking organization s operations for both transactions reported on the balance sheet as assets and items, such as letters of credit and recourse arrangements, which are recorded as off balance sheet items. Under these guidelines, nominal dollar amounts of assets and credit equivalent amounts of off balance sheet items are multiplied by one of several risk adjustment percentages, which range from 0% for assets with low credit risk, such as certain U.S. Treasury securities to 100% for assets with relatively high credit risk, such as business loans.

A banking organization's risk-based capital ratio is obtained by dividing its qualifying capital by its total risk adjusted assets. The regulators measure risk-adjusted assets, which include off balance sheet items, against both total qualifying capital (the sum of Tier 1 capital and limited amounts of Tier 2 capital) and Tier 1 capital. Tier 1, or core capital, includes common equity, perpetual preferred stock (excluding auction rate issues), trust preferred securities (limited to one-third of other Tier 1 components), and minority interest in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries (less goodwill and other intangibles), subject to certain exceptions. Tier 2, or supplementary capital, includes, among other things, limited-life preferred stock, hybrid capital instruments, mandatory convertible securities and trust preferred securities (above amounts not qualifying as Tier 1 capital), qualifying and subordinated debt, and the allowance for loan and lease losses, subject to certain limitations and less required deductions. The inclusion of elements of Tier 2 capital is subject to certain other requirements and limitations of the federal banking agencies. Banks and bank holding companies, subject to the risk-based capital guidelines are required to maintain a ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 4% and a ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 8%. The appropriate regulatory authority may set higher capital requirements when particular circumstances warrant. In addition to risk-based capital, banks and bank holding companies are required to maintain a minimum amount of Tier 1 capital to quarterly average assets, referred to as the leverage capital ratio, of at least 4%. First Mariner and the Bank maintained capital ratios that exceeded these minimum standards. See Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, for the year ended December 31, 2006 for more detailed information concerning capital adequacy.

Federal banking agencies have adopted regulations specifying that the agencies will include, in their evaluations of a bank's capital adequacy, an assessment of the bank's interest rate risk (IRR) exposure. The standards for measuring the adequacy and effectiveness of a banking organization's interest rate risk management includes a measurement of board of director and senior management oversight, and a determination of whether a banking organization's procedures for comprehensive risk management are appropriate to the circumstances of the specific banking organization. The Bank utilizes IRR models that are used to measure and monitor IRR. Additionally, the regulatory agencies have been assessing IRR on an informal basis for several years.

Failure to meet applicable capital guidelines could subject a banking organization to a variety of enforcement actions, including limitations on its ability to pay dividends, the issuance by the applicable regulatory authority of a capital directive to increase capital and, in the case of depository institutions, the termination of deposit insurance by the FDIC, as well as the measures described under Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 below, as applicable to undercapitalized institutions. In addition, future changes in regulations or practices could further reduce the amount of capital recognized for purposes of capital adequacy. Such a change could affect the ability of the Bank to grow and could restrict the amount of profits, if any, available for the payment of dividends to First Mariner.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991. In December 1991, Congress enacted FDICIA, which substantially revised the bank regulatory and funding provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act and made significant revisions to several other federal banking statutes. FDICIA provides for, among other things, (i) publicly available annual financial condition and management reports for financial institutions, including audits by independent accountants, (ii) the establishment of uniform accounting standards by federal banking agencies, (iii) the establishment of a prompt corrective action system of regulatory supervision and intervention, based on capitalization levels with more scrutiny and restrictions placed on depository institutions with lower levels of capital, (iv) additional grounds for the appointment of a conservator or receiver, and (v) restrictions or prohibitions on accepting brokered deposits, except for institutions which significantly exceed minimum capital requirements. FDICIA also provides for increased funding of the FDIC insurance funds and the implementation of risk-based premiums.

A central feature of FDICIA is the requirement that the federal banking agencies take prompt corrective action with respect to depository institutions that do not meet minimum capital requirements. Pursuant to FDICIA, the federal bank regulatory authorities have adopted regulations setting forth a five-tiered system for measuring the capital adequacy of the depository institutions that they supervise. Under these regulations, a depository institution is classified in one of the following capital categories: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, and critically undercapitalized. An institution may be deemed by the regulators to be in a capitalization category that is lower than is indicated by its actual capital position if, among other things, it receives an unsatisfactory examination rating with respect to asset quality, management, earnings, or liquidity.

FDICIA generally prohibits a depository institution from making any capital distribution (including payment of a cash dividend) or paying any management fees to its holding company if the depository institution would thereafter be undercapitalized. Undercapitalized depository institutions are subject to growth limitations and are required to submit capital restoration plans. If a depository institution fails to submit an acceptable plan, it is treated as if it is significantly undercapitalized. Significantly undercapitalized depository institutions may be subject to a number of other requirements and restrictions including orders to sell sufficient voting stock to become adequately capitalized, requirements to reduce total assets and stop accepting deposits from correspondent banks. Critically undercapitalized institutions are subject to the appointment of a receiver or conservator, generally within 90 days of the date such institution is determined to be critically undercapitalized.

FDICIA provides the federal banking agencies with significantly expanded powers to take enforcement action against institutions that fail to comply with capital or other standards. Such action may include the termination of deposit insurance by the FDIC or the appointment of a receiver or conservator for the institution. FDICIA also limits the circumstances under which the FDIC is permitted to provide financial assistance to an insured institution before appointment of a conservator or receiver.

Interstate Banking Legislation. The Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act of 1994 (Riegle-Neal) was enacted into law on September 29, 1994. Riegle-Neal authorized federal banking agencies to approve interstate bank merger transactions even if such transactions are prohibited by the laws of a state. An exception to such authorization arises if the home state of one of the banks that is a party to the merger transaction opted out of the merger provisions of Riegle-Neal by adopting a law after the date of the enactment of the Riegle-Neal and prior to June 1, 1997. These laws must apply equally to all out-of-state banks and expressly prohibit merger transactions involving out-of-state banks. Riegle-Neal also permits interstate branch acquisitions if the laws of the state where the branch is located permits interstate branch acquisitions. The interstate merger and branch acquisitions permitted by Riegle-Neal are subject to nationwide and statewide insured deposit limitations as described in Riegle-Neal.

Riegle-Neal also authorizes the federal banking agencies to approve *de novo* interstate branching by national and state banks in states which specifically allow for such branching. To our knowledge, only two states, Texas and Montana, have opted out of the Riegle-Neal provisions relating to interstate mergers, acquisitions of branches and establishment of *de novo* branches. We anticipate that Riegle-Neal may increase competition within our market area, although we cannot predict the timing or the extent of such increased competition.

Privacy Legislation. Current Federal banking rules limit the ability of banks and other financial institutions to disclose non-public personal financial information about customers to non-affiliated third parties. Under these rules, financial institutions must provide initial notices to customers about their privacy policies that provide a description of the conditions under which they may disclose non-public personal information to non-affiliated third parties and affiliates. Institutions must also provide annual notices to current customers that provide a reasonable method for customers to opt out of disclosures to non-affiliated parties. These policies affect how customer information is transmitted through diversified

financial companies and conveyed to third parties. We have implemented our privacy policies in accordance with the law.

USA Patriot Act. The USA Patriot Act of 2001 significantly increased the anti-money laundering and financial transparency laws to require additional due diligence for financial institutions. The law set standards for verifying customer information at account opening and maintenance of records, and created rules to promote cooperation among financial institutions, regulators and law enforcement in identifying parties that may be involved in terrorism or money laundering. The law requires financial businesses to report cash transactions in excess of \$10,000 to the U.S. Treasury Department, and also requires reporting of suspicious customer activities.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 comprehensively revised the laws affecting corporate governance, accounting obligations and corporate reporting for companies, such as the Company, with equity or debt securities registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. In particular, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act established, among other things: 1) new requirements for audit committees, including independence, expertise, and responsibilities; 2) additional responsibilities regarding financial statements for the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the reporting company; 3) new standards for auditors and regulation of audits; 4) increased disclosure and reporting obligations for the reporting company and its directors and executive officers; and 5) new and increased civil and criminal penalties for violation of the securities laws.

Economic Monetary Policies and Economic Controls

We are affected by monetary policies of regulatory agencies, including the Federal Reserve Board, which regulates the national money supply in order to mitigate recessionary and inflationary pressures. Among the techniques available to the Federal Reserve Board are: engaging in open market transactions in U.S. Government securities, changing the discount rate on bank borrowings, changing reserve requirements against bank deposits, prohibiting the payment of interest on demand deposits, and imposing conditions on time and savings deposits. These techniques are used in varying combinations to influence the overall growth of bank loans, investments and deposits. Their use may also affect interest rates charged on loans or paid on deposits. The effect of governmental policies on our earnings cannot be predicted. However, our earnings will be impacted by movement in interest rates, as discussed in Part II Item 7A- Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

ITEM 1A RISK FACTORS

Our Future Depends on the Successful Growth of the Bank and Finance Maryland

Our primary business activity for the foreseeable future will be to act as a financial holding company. Our future profitability will therefore depend on the success and growth of First Mariner Bank (the Bank) and Finance Maryland, LLC (Finance Maryland). Our growth will depend, in large part, on our ability to leverage our existing infrastructure. The inability of the Bank to expand its business without substantially increasing the number of branches, the inability of our mortgage division to grow its residential mortgage business without substantially increasing its number of offices, or the inability of Finance Maryland to grow its consumer portfolio without substantially increasing its number of offices may prevent us from realizing our growth objectives.

A Significant Amount of Our Business is Concentrated in Real Estate Lending, and Most of this Lending Involves Maryland Real Estate

Approximately 27% of our loan portfolio is comprised of commercial and consumer real estate development and construction loans, which are secured by the real estate being developed in each case. In addition to the risk that the market values of the real estate securing these loans may deteriorate, these loans are also subject to the development risks that the projects will not be completed in a timely manner, or according to original specifications. Real estate development and construction projects that are not completed in a timely manner, or according to original specifications, are generally less marketable than projects that are fully developed. The loans underlying such projects may be subject to greater losses in the event that the real estate collateral becomes the source of repayment.

In addition to the financial strength and cash flow characteristics of the borrower in each case, the Bank often secures its loans with real estate collateral. At December 31, 2006, approximately 82% of the Bank's loans have real estate as a primary, secondary or tertiary component of collateral. The real estate collateral in each case provides an alternate source of repayment in the event of default by the borrower, and may deteriorate in value during the time the credit is extended. If we are required to liquidate the collateral securing a loan during a period of reduced real estate values to satisfy the debt, our earnings and capital could be adversely affected.

We Have A High Percentage Of Commercial, Commercial Real Estate, And Real Estate Acquisition And Development Loans In Relation To Our Total Loans And Total Assets

Our loan portfolio contains a high percentage of commercial, commercial real estate, real estate acquisition and development loans in relation to our total loans and total assets. The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, along with other federal banking regulators, issued final guidance on December 6, 2006 entitled, *Concentrations in Commercial Real Estate Lending, Sound Risk Management Practices*, directed at institutions that have particularly high concentrations of commercial real estate loans within their lending portfolios. These types of loans also typically are larger than residential real estate loans and other commercial loans. Because the loan portfolio contains a number of commercial and commercial real estate loans with relatively large balances, the deterioration of one or a few of these loans may cause a significant increase in nonperforming loans. An increase in nonperforming loans could result in a loss of earnings from these loans, an increase in the provision for loan losses, or an increase in loan charge-offs, which could have an adverse impact on financial results.

This recent guidance suggests that institutions whose commercial real estate loans exceed certain percentages of capital should implement heightened risk management practices appropriate to their concentration risk and may be required to maintain higher capital ratios than institutions with lower concentrations in commercial real estate lending. Based on our commercial real estate concentration as of December 31, 2006, we may be subject to further supervisory analysis during future examinations. Although we continuously evaluate our concentration and risk management strategies, we cannot guarantee that any risk management practices we implement will be effective to prevent losses relating to our commercial real estate portfolio. Management cannot predict the extent to which this guidance will impact our operations or capital requirements.

Mortgage-Banking Activities Generate a Significant Portion of Our Noninterest Income

A significant portion of our business involves making residential mortgage loans through our mortgage division, which accounted for approximately 44% and 32% of our noninterest income for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Real estate loan origination activity, including refinancings, is generally greater during periods of low or declining interest rates and favorable economic

conditions, which had been favorably affected by relatively lower market interest rates during the past three years. However, we did experience a deterioration in market conditions during 2006 and continued adverse changes in market conditions could have an adverse impact on our earnings. Moreover, most of our residential mortgage loans are secured by Maryland real estate; therefore, a deterioration in local economic conditions could also adversely impact our earnings and has done so in 2006.

We Experience Interest Rate Risk On Our Loans Held For Sale Portfolio

We are exposed to interest rate risk in both our pipeline of mortgage originations (loans that have yet to close with the borrower) and in our warehouse loans (those loans that have closed with the borrower but have yet to be funded by investors). We now manage this interest rate risk primarily in two ways. On the majority of the loans we originate, we enter into agreements to sell our loans through the use of best efforts forward delivery contracts. Under this type of agreement we commit to sell a loan at an agreed price to an investor at the point in time the borrower commits to an interest rate on the loan, with the intent that the buyer assumes the interest rate risk on the loan. Beginning in January 2006, a portion of our mortgage loan pipeline and warehouse were hedged utilizing forward sales of mortgage-backed securities and Eurodollars for loans to be sold under mandatory delivery contracts on a pooled or bulk basis. We expect that these derivative financial instruments (forward sales of mortgage-backed securities and Eurodollars) will experience changes in fair value opposite to the change in fair value of the derivative loan commitments and our warehouse. However, the process of selling loans on a bulk basis and use of forward sales of mortgage-backed securities and Eurodollars to hedge interest rate risk associated with customer interest rate lock commitments involves greater risk than selling loans on an individual basis through best efforts forward delivery commitments. Hedging interest rate risk in bulk sales requires management to estimate the expected fallout (rate lock commitments with customers that do not complete the loan process). Additionally, the fair value of the hedge may not correlate precisely with the change in fair value of the rate lock commitments with the customer due to changes in market conditions, such as demand for loan products, or prices paid for differing types of loan products. Variances from management's estimates for customer fallout or market changes making the forward sale of mortgage-backed securities and/or Eurodollars non-effective may result in higher volatility in our profits from selling mortgage loans originated for sale. We have engaged an experienced third party to assist us in managing our activities in hedging and marketing our bulk sales delivery strategy.

We Experience Credit Risk Related To Our Residential Mortgage Production Activities

We also face credit risk related to our residential mortgage production activities. Credit risk is the potential for financial loss resulting from the failure of a borrower or an institution to honor its contractual obligations to us, including the risk that an investor will fail to honor its obligation under a best efforts forward delivery contract to purchase the loan from us. We manage mortgage credit risk principally by selling substantially all of the mortgage loans that we produce, limiting credit recourse to the Bank in those transactions, and by retaining high credit quality mortgages in our loan portfolio. We also limit our risk of loss on mortgage loan sales by establishing limits on activity to any one investor and by entering into contractual relationships with only those financial institutions that are approved by our Secondary Marketing Committee. While the period of time between closing on a loan commitment with the borrower and funding by the investor ranges from between 15 and 90 days, the process of selling loans on a bulk basis may extend the period of time we hold the loan and exposes us to a greater risk of early delinquency or default.

We Experience Risk Related To Covenants In Our Loan Sales Agreements With Investors

Our sales agreements with investors who buy our loans generally contain covenants which may require us to repurchase loans under certain provisions, including delinquencies, or return premiums paid by these

investors should the loan be paid off early. Any loans we are required to repurchase may be considered impaired loans, with the potential for charge-offs and/or loss provision charges. The addition of these repurchased loans to our portfolio could adversely affect our earnings and asset quality ratios.

There may be certain loans in our portfolio that were originated for sale, but for various reasons, are unable to be sold. These loans are transferred to our loan portfolio at fair market value. Any deterioration in value of the loan during the period held in the portfolio would be charged as a valuation allowance and would reduce our earnings.

We May Experience Loan Losses in Excess of the Allowance

The risk of credit losses on loans varies with, among other things, general economic conditions, the type of loan being made, the creditworthiness of the borrower over the term of the loan and, in the case of a collateralized loan, the value and marketability of the collateral for the loan. Management maintains an allowance for loan losses based upon, among other things, historical loss experience in the loan portfolios, the levels and trends in past-due and nonaccrual loans, the status of nonaccrual loans and other loans identified as having the potential for further deterioration, credit risk and industry concentrations, trends in loan volume, the effects of any changes in lending policies and procedures or underwriting standards, and a continuing evaluation of the economic environment.

Based upon such factors, management makes various assumptions and judgments about the ultimate collectibility of the loan portfolio and provides an allowance for loan losses based upon a percentage of the outstanding balances and for specific loans when their ultimate collectibility is considered questionable. If management's assumptions and judgments prove to be incorrect and the allowance for loan losses is inadequate to absorb future losses, or if regulatory authorities require the Bank or Finance Maryland to increase the allowance for loan losses as a part of their examination process, our earnings and capital could be significantly and adversely affected.

As of December 31, 2006, the allowance for loan losses was \$12.399 million, which represented 1.43% of outstanding loans, net of unearned income. At such date, we had nonaccruing loans totaling \$4.158 million. Management actively administers its nonaccruing loans in an effort to minimize credit losses. Although management believes that its allowance for loan losses is adequate, there can be no assurance that the allowance will prove sufficient to cover future loan losses. Further, although management uses the best information available to make determinations with respect to the allowance for loan losses, future adjustments may be necessary if economic conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used or adverse developments arise with respect to nonperforming or performing loans. Material additions to the allowance for loan losses would result in a decrease in net income and capital, and could have a material adverse effect on us.

We currently hold a significant level of Bank Owned Life Insurance

We currently hold a significant level of Bank Owned Life Insurance on key employees and executives that have cash surrender values of \$33.492 million as of December 31, 2006. The eventual repayment of the cash surrender value is subject to the ability of various insurance companies to pay benefits in the event of the death of an insured employee, or return the cash surrender value to us in the event of our need for liquidity. We continuously monitor the financial strength of the various insurance companies with whom we carry policies. However, there is no assurance that one or more of these companies will not experience a decline in financial strength which could impair its ability to pay benefits or return our cash surrender value. Additionally, should we need to liquidate these policies for liquidity needs, we would be subject to taxation on the increase in cash surrender value as well as penalties for early termination of the insurance contracts. These events would have a negative impact on our earnings.

Economic Conditions and Monetary Policy

Our operating results will depend to a great extent upon the rate differentials between the yields earned on our loans, securities and other earning assets and the rates paid on our deposits and other interest-bearing liabilities. These rate differentials are highly sensitive to many factors beyond our control, including general economic conditions and the policies of various governmental and regulatory authorities, in particular the Federal Reserve Board. The makeup of our loan and deposit portfolios, in particular, determines our sensitivity to these factors. At December 31, 2006, we had a one year cumulative interest sensitivity gap of \$206.053 million. See Item 7A - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk Interest Rate Sensitivity.

Like other depository institutions, the Company is affected by the monetary policies implemented by the Federal Reserve Board and other federal entities. A primary instrument of monetary policy employed by the Federal Reserve Board is the restriction or expansion of the money supply through open market operations, including the purchase and sale of government securities and the adjustment of reserve requirements. These actions may at times result in significant fluctuations in interest rates, which could have adverse effects on our operations. In particular, our ability to make loans, attract deposits and realize gains on the sale of residential mortgage loans, as well as public demand for loans, could be adversely affected. See Item 1 - Business Economic Monetary Policies and Economic Controls.

Our Ability to Pay Cash Dividends is Limited

Holders of shares of our common stock are entitled to dividends if declared by our board of directors out of funds legally available for that purpose. Although the board of directors has declared cash dividends in the past, it has discontinued such payments to conserve cash and capital resources, and does not intend to declare cash dividends until current earnings are sufficient to generate adequate internal capital to support growth. Our current ability to pay dividends is largely dependent upon the receipt of dividends from the Bank. Federal and state laws impose restrictions on the ability of the Bank to pay dividends. Additional restrictions are placed upon us by the policies of federal regulators, including the FRB's November 14, 1985 policy statement, which provides that bank holding companies should pay dividends only out of the past year's net income, and then only if their prospective rate of earnings retention appears consistent with their capital needs, asset quality, and overall financial condition.

Our ability to pay dividends is further subject to our ability to make payments of interest under junior subordinated debentures due through 2035 held by our statutory trusts Mariner Capital Trust II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, and VIII (the trusts). These payments are necessary to fund the distributions that the trusts each must pay to holders of its trust preferred securities (collectively, the Mariner Trust Preferred Securities). If we are unable to make such payments, if we determine to defer such payments, or if we default under our other obligations in connection with the Mariner Trust Preferred Securities, we will not be permitted to pay dividends to holders of our common stock until such time as we recommence making payments or are not otherwise in default.

In general, future dividend policy is subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors and will depend upon a number of factors, including the future earnings, capital requirements, regulatory constraints, and our financial condition as well as that of the Bank and Finance Maryland.

Our Management Controls a Significant Percentage of Our Stock

At December 31, 2006, our directors and executive officers beneficially owned approximately 1,869,000 shares of our common stock (either directly or with options), or 29% of our outstanding shares of common stock. Edwin F. Hale, Sr., who is our Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and largest stockholder, beneficially owns 1,412,941 shares of common stock (with options), or 22% of our outstanding shares of common stock as of December 31, 2006. Because of the large percentage of stock held by our

directors and executive officers, these persons could influence the outcome of any matter submitted to a vote of our stockholders.

Our Stock is Not Heavily Traded

The average daily trading volume of our shares on The Nasdaq National Market for the previous three months was approximately 5,300 shares. Thus, our common stock is not heavily traded and can be more volatile than stock trading in an active public market. Factors such as our financial results, the introduction of new products and services by us or our competitors, and various factors affecting the banking industry generally may have a significant impact on the market price of our common stock. We cannot predict the extent to which an active public market for our common stock will develop or be sustained. In recent years, the stock market has experienced a high level of price and volume volatility, and market prices for the stock of many companies have experienced wide price fluctuations that have not necessarily been related to their operating performance. Therefore, our stockholders may not be able to trade large blocks of shares at the volumes, prices, or times that they desire.

Our Stock is Not Insured

Investments in the shares of our common stock are not deposits and are not insured against loss by the government.

We Operate in a Competitive Market

We operate in a competitive environment, competing for deposits, loans and customers with commercial banks, thrifts, finance companies, and other financial entities. Competition for deposits comes primarily from other commercial banks, savings associations, credit unions, money market, Internet-based deposit intermediaries, mutual funds, and other investment alternatives. Competition for loans comes primarily from other commercial banks, savings associations, mortgage-banking firms, consumer finance companies, credit unions, and other financial intermediaries. Many of the financial intermediaries operating in our market area offer certain services, such as trust, investment, and international banking services, which we do not offer. In addition, companies with a larger capitalization and financial intermediaries not subject to regulatory restrictions, have larger lending limits, and are thereby able to serve the needs of larger customers. Finally, our continued growth and profitability will depend upon our ability to attract and retain skilled managerial, marketing, and technical personnel. Competition for qualified personnel in the banking industry is intense, and there can be no assurance that we will be successful in attracting and retaining such personnel.

Contracts With Our Officers May Discourage a Takeover or Adversely Affect Our Takeover Value

We have entered into change in control agreements with nine of our officers. These agreements provide for a payment to each officer of a multiple (ranging from 1 to 2.99) of his or her salary and bonus upon the occurrence of either a change in control that results in the loss of employment or a significant change in his or her employment. Thus, we may be required to make significant payments in the event that the rights under these agreements are triggered by a change in control. As a result, these contracts may discourage a takeover, or adversely affect the consideration payable to stockholders in the event of a takeover.

Our Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws May Discourage a Corporate Takeover

Our Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation (Articles), and Amended and Restated Bylaws (Bylaws), contain certain provisions designed to enhance the ability of the Board of Directors to deal with attempts to acquire control of the Company. These provisions provide for the classification of

our Board of Directors into three classes; directors of each class serve for staggered three year periods. The Articles also provide for supermajority voting provisions for the approval of certain business combinations. Although these provisions do not preclude a takeover, they may have the effect of discouraging a future takeover attempt which would not be approved by our Board of Directors, but pursuant to which stockholders might receive a substantial premium for their shares over then-current market prices. As a result, stockholders who might desire to participate in such a transaction might not have the opportunity to do so. Such provisions will also render the removal of our Board of Directors and of management more difficult and, therefore, may serve to perpetuate current management. Further, such provisions could potentially adversely affect the market price of the common stock.

ITEM 1B UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

There were no unresolved comments from the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission at December 31, 2006.

ITEM 2 PROPERTIES

We lease our executive offices located at 1501 South Clinton Street, Baltimore, Maryland. This location also houses a headquarters satellite branch office. We occupy approximately 75,500 square feet at this location, which is adjacent to the former headquarters building.

We own our headquarters branch office located at 3301 Boston Street, Baltimore, Maryland. This location also houses drive-up banking and customer parking facilities.

During 2006, we leased an operations facility at 1516 Baylis Street, Baltimore, Maryland. We occupied approximately 34,500 square feet of office space. Rent paid during 2006 was approximately \$209,000 for the office space. We vacated a substantial majority of this space before the end of 2006, moving our operational divisions into the new executive office tower on South Clinton Street and into our old executive office building on Boston Street.

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

We operate retail bank branches at the following locations:*

| | |
|--|--|
| Annapolis(2) 161 A Jennifer Road Annapolis, MD 21401-7923 | Loch Raven(1) 1641 East Joppa Road Baltimore, MD 21286-2300 |
| Arbutus(2) 3720 Washington Blvd., Suite 100 Baltimore, MD 21227-1656 | Lutherville/Timonium(2) 1738 York Road Lutherville, MD 21093-5606 |
| Bel Air(3) 12 A Bel Air South Parkway Bel Air, MD 21015-3964 | Ocean City(2) 12505 Coastal Highway Ocean City, MD 21842-4781 |
| Canton(1) 3301 Boston Street Baltimore, MD 21224-4974 | Odenton(1) 1600 Annapolis Road Odenton, MD 21113-1002 |
| Canton Tower/Headquarters(2)(4) 1501 S. Clinton Street Baltimore, MD 21224-4974 | Owings Mills(3) 4800 Painters Mill Road Owings Mills, MD 21117-3604 |
| Carroll Island(2) 176 Carroll Island Road Baltimore, MD 21220-2208 | Perry Hall (1) 8843 Bel Air Road Perry Hall, MD 21236-2403 |
| Cockeysville(3) 9840 York Road Cockeysville, MD 21030-4914 | Pikesville(1) 1013 Reisterstown Road Baltimore, MD 21208-4207 |
| Columbia(2) 8835 Centre Park Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, MD 21045-2114 | Pikesville Drive-Thru(2)(4) 1100 Reisterstown Road Baltimore, MD 21208-4207 |
| Crofton(3) 1049 MD Route 3 Gambrills, MD 21054-1722 | Randallstown(1) 9833 Liberty Road Randallstown, MD 21133-2034 |
| Downtown Baltimore(2) 300 N. Charles Street Baltimore, MD 21201-4300 | Severna Park(2) 366A Gov Ritchie Highway Severna Park, MD 21146-2911 |
| Dundalk(2) 7860 Wise Avenue Baltimore, MD 21222-3338 | Shrewsbury(2) Market Square Shopping Center 549 S. Main Street Shrewsbury, PA 17361-1718 |
| Easton(2) 8662 Alica Drive Easton, MD 21601-7184 | Towson(1) 115 East Joppa Road Baltimore, MD 21286-3113 |
| Ellicott City(3) 10065 Baltimore National Pike Ellicott City, MD 21042-3611 | White Marsh(1) 10101 Philadelphia Road Baltimore, MD 21237-3411 |
| Glen Burnie(2) 305 South Crain Highway Glen Burnie, MD 21061-3110 | Woodlawn(3) 7007 Security Boulevard Baltimore, MD 21244-2514 |

* For our branch hours and remote ATM locations, please refer to our website at www.1stmarinerbank.com.

- (1) Company owns branch
- (2) Company leases branch
- (3) Company owns branch, but leases related land
- (4) Office is a satellite branch

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

For more information on our lease commitments and costs see Note 7 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

We operate mortgage offices at the following locations:

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Annandale, VA(2) | Loch Raven(1) |
| 7010 Little River Turnpike, Suite 140 | 1641 East Joppa Road, 2nd Floor |
| Annandale, VA 22003 | Baltimore, MD 21286 |
| Annapolis(2) | Salisbury(2) |
| 2086 Generals Highway, 2nd Floor | 309 E. Main Street, Suite 100 |
| Annapolis, MD 21401 | Salisbury, MD 21801 |
| Canton/Headquarters(1) | Waldorf(2) |
| 3301 Boston Street | 3200 Crain Highway, Suite 102 |
| Baltimore, MD 21224 | Waldorf, MD 20603 |
| Crofton(3) | White Marsh(1) |
| 1049 MD Route 3, 2nd floor | 10101 Philadelphia Road |
| Gambrills, MD 21054 | White Marsh, MD 21237 |
| Ellicott City(3) | Wilmington(2) |
| 10065 Baltimore National Pike | 3301 Lancaster Pike, Suite 5B |
| Ellicott City, MD 21042 | Wilmington, DE 19805 |
| Fairfax Wholesale(2) | Concord, North Carolina(2) |
| 3975 Fair Ridge Dr., Ste 300 | 1036 Brandview Drive |
| North Tower Fairfax, VA 22033 | Concord, NC 28024 |

-
- (1) Company owns office
 - (2) Company leases office
 - (3) Company owns office, but leases related land

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

We operate consumer finance offices at the following locations which are leased by Finance Maryland:

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Bel Air | Overlea |
| 225 Briarhill Place, Suite I-1 | 7682 Belair Road |
| Bel Air, MD 21015 | Baltimore, MD 21236 |
| Bowie | Randallstown |
| 3440 Crain Highway | 3537 Brenbrook Drive |
| Bowie, MD 20716 | Randallstown, MD 21133 |
| Cumberland | Salisbury |
| 1050 West Industrial Blvd. Unit 7 | 319 B Civic Avenue |
| Cumberland, MD 21502 | Salisbury, MD 21804 |
| Dundalk | Waldorf |
| 1770 Merritt Blvd. | 2084 Crain Highway |
| Baltimore, MD 21222 | Waldorf, MD 20610 |
| Easton | Westminster |
| 8223-17 Elliott Road | 625 Baltimore Blvd. |
| Easton, MD 21601 | Westminster, MD 21157 |
| Essex | Woodlawn |
| 511A Eastern Blvd. | 6666 Security Blvd., Suite 16 |
| Essex, MD 21221 | Baltimore, MD 21207 |
| Frederick | Canton/Headquarters/Central Approval |
| 454 Prospect Blvd | 3301 Boston Street |
| Frederick, MD 21702 | Baltimore, MD 21224 |
| Glen Burnie | Bear, Delaware |
| 7400 Ritchie Highway, Suite E | 1831 Pulaski Highway |
| Glen Burnie, MD 21061 | Bear, DE 19701 |
| Hagerstown | Dover, Delaware |
| 1423 Dual Hwy | 222 S. Dupont Hwy, Ste 101 |
| Hagerstown, MD 21740 | Dover, DE 19901 |
| Laurel | Milford, Delaware |
| 3421 Fort Meade Rd | 975A Dupont Blvd |
| Laurel, MD 20707 | Milford, DE 19963 |
| North East | Seaford, Delaware |
| 113 North East Plaza | 1026 West Stein Highway |
| North East, MD 21901 | Seaford, DE 19973 |

Our bank branches range in total size from 2,000 to 4,000 square feet, mortgage offices generally range from 1,200 to 2,000 square feet and our Finance Maryland offices from 800 to 1,600 square feet. All of our locations are suitable and adequate to conduct business and support growth in customer and transaction volume.

ITEM 3 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are party to legal actions that are routine and incidental to our business. In management's opinion, the outcome of these matters, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material effect on our results of operations or financial position.

ITEM 4 SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

There was no submission of matters to a vote of securities holders during the fourth quarter of the year ended December 31, 2006.

ITEM 4A EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

Edwin F. Hale, Sr. (age 60) has been Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of First Mariner and of the Bank since 1995. Joseph A. Cicero (age 62) has been the President of First Mariner and Chief Operating Officer of the Bank since 1996. George H. Mantakos (age 64) has been Executive Vice President of First Mariner, and the President of the Bank since 1995. Mark A. Keidel (age 45) has been Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of First Mariner and the Bank since June 2000.

24

PART II**ITEM 5 MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES****Market for Common Stock**

Our common stock trades on The NASDAQ National Market under the symbol FMAR. The table below sets forth for the periods indicated the low and high market prices of our common stock as reported on The Nasdaq National Market. These over-the-counter market quotations reflect inter-dealer prices and do not include retail mark-up, mark-down or commissions, and they may not necessarily represent actual transactions. We currently have approximately 2,700 stockholders, and we did not pay a cash dividend in 2006 or 2005.

| | Low | High |
|---------------------|----------|----------|
| 2006 Quarter ended: | | |
| Fourth quarter | \$ 18.36 | \$ 20.45 |
| Third quarter | 18.51 | 19.50 |
| Second quarter | 18.30 | 19.60 |
| First quarter | 17.26 | 19.34 |
| 2005 Quarter ended: | | |
| Fourth quarter | \$ 16.50 | \$ 18.45 |
| Third quarter | 16.05 | 18.86 |
| Second quarter | 15.18 | 17.82 |
| First quarter | 17.30 | 18.30 |

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth the securities authorized for issuance under the Company's equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2006:

| Plan category | (A) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights | (B) Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | (C) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (A)) |
|--|---|--|---|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 793,022 | \$ 12.84 | 238,243 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | | | |
| Total | 793,022 | \$ 12.84 | 238,243 |

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities(1)

The following table sets forth the Company's purchases of its Common Stock for the most recent fiscal quarter:

| | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid Per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Plan | Maximum Number of Shares Yet to Purchase |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|
| October 2006 | | | 132,425 | 167,575 |
| November 2006 | 5,000 | \$ 18.75 | 137,425 | 162,575 |
| December 2006 | 25,900 | \$ 18.87 | 163,325 | 136,675 |

(1) On July 18, 2006, the Company announced that its Board of Directors approved an extension to its share repurchase program, originally approved on July 20, 2004, of up to 300,000 shares (approximately 5%) of our outstanding common stock, which provides for open market or private purchases of stock over the next 24 months. During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company repurchased a total of 30,900 shares of our common stock at an approximate cost of \$582,000.

Performance Graph

The following graph compares the performance of the Company's Common Stock, with the performance of a broad market index and a nationally-recognized industry standard assuming in each case both an initial \$100 investment on December 31, 2001 and reinvestment of dividends as of the end of the Company's fiscal years. The Company has selected the Nasdaq Market Index as the relevant broad market index because prices for the Company's Common Stock are quoted on Nasdaq National Market. Additionally, the Company has selected the Nasdaq Bank Index as the relevant industry standard because such index consists of financial institutions which the Company believes generally possess assets, liabilities and operations more similar to the Company than other publicly-available indices. However, given the short history of the Company's operations and its rapid growth, the Company believes no truly appropriate comparative index exists.

| | Period Ending | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 12/31/2001 | 12/31/2002 | 12/31/2003 | 12/31/2004 | 12/31/2005 | 12/31/2006 |
| First Mariner Bancorp | 100 | 120.2 | 203.06 | 191.7 | 191.05 | 202.51 |
| NASDAQ Bank Index | 100 | 104.52 | 135.8 | 150.73 | 144.2 | 160.07 |
| NASDAQ Market Index | 100 | 68.47 | 102.72 | 111.54 | 113.07 | 123.84 |

ITEM 6 SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| <i>(dollars in thousands, except per share data)</i> | | | | | |
| Consolidated Income Statement Data: | | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 49,266 | \$ 47,723 | \$ 42,441 | \$ 34,411 | \$ 30,988 |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,315 | 3,287 | 2,243 | 2,536 | 2,175 |
| Noninterest income | 23,767 | 23,015 | 19,190 | 21,086 | 14,994 |
| Noninterest expense | 69,159 | 56,340 | 50,926 | 45,883 | 37,973 |
| Income tax (benefit) expense | (365) | 3,289 | 2,361 | 1,771 | 1,930 |
| Net income | 1,924 | 7,822 | 6,101 | 5,307 | 3,904 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 1,263,290 | \$ 1,362,478 | \$ 1,250,531 | \$ 1,057,853 | \$ 871,152 |
| Loans receivable, net | 854,060 | 839,843 | 736,566 | 601,155 | 526,777 |
| Deposits | 924,938 | 876,010 | 825,417 | 747,733 | 668,169 |
| Long-term borrowings | 132,557 | 131,000 | 134,369 | 110,000 | 85,000 |
| Stockholders' equity | 78,629 | 72,375 | 64,314 | 58,434 | 51,126 |
| Per Share Data: | | | | | |
| Number of shares of common stock outstanding at year end | 6,427,725 | 6,262,442 | 5,826,011 | 5,693,637 | 5,394,586 |
| Net income per common share: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.30 | \$ 1.28 | \$ 1.06 | \$ 0.97 | \$ 0.73 |
| Diluted | 0.29 | 1.20 | 0.96 | 0.88 | 0.69 |
| Cash dividends declared | | | | | |
| Performance and Capital Ratios: | | | | | |
| Return on average assets | 0.14 | % 0.59 | % 0.54 | % 0.57 | % 0.49 |
| Return on average equity | 2.53 | % 11.44 | % 10.11 | % 9.76 | % 8.20 |
| Net interest margin | 3.96 | % 3.88 | % 4.06 | % 3.99 | % 4.17 |
| Average equity to average assets | 5.59 | % 5.13 | % 5.36 | % 5.83 | % 5.99 |
| Year-end Tier 1 leverage ratio | 8 | % 7 | % 7 | % 8 | % 8 |
| Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets | 10 | % 10 | % 9 | % 10 | % 10 |
| Total capital to risk-weighted assets | 16 | % 15 | % 14 | % 15 | % 13 |
| Asset Quality Ratios: | | | | | |
| Nonperforming assets to total assets | 0.52 | % 0.29 | % 0.38 | % 0.48 | % 0.40 |
| Allowance for loan losses at year-end to: | | | | | |
| Total loans, net of unearned income | 1.43 | % 1.38 | % 1.28 | % 1.43 | % 1.35 |
| Nonperforming assets and 90 day past-due loans | 36.61 | % 244.14 | % 150.84 | % 118.61 | % 55.85 |
| Net charge-offs to average total loans, net of unearned income | 0.19 | % 0.14 | % 0.21 | % 0.19 | % 0.10 |

ITEM 7 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements

This annual report on Form 10-K may contain forward-looking language within the meaning of The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Statements may include expressions about our confidence, policies, and strategies, provisions and allowance for loan losses, adequacy of capital levels, and liquidity. All statements included or incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, other than statements that are purely historical, are forward-looking statements. Statements that include the use of terminology such as anticipates, expects, intends, plans, believes, estimates, and similar expressions also identify forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements are based on our current intent, belief, and expectations. Forward-looking statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K include, but are not limited to, statements of our plans, strategies, objectives, intentions, including, among other statements, statements involving our projected loan and deposit growth, loan collateral values, collectibility of loans, anticipated changes in other operating income, payroll and branching expenses, branch, office and product expansion of the Company and its subsidiaries, and liquidity and capital levels. Such forward looking statements involve certain risks and uncertainties, including general economic conditions, competition in the geographic and business areas in which we operate, inflation, fluctuations in interest rates, legislation, and government regulation. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that are difficult to predict. For a more complete discussion of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in the forward looking statements, see Risk Factors in Item 1A of this Form 10-K. Except as required by applicable laws, we do not intend to publish updates or revisions of any forward-looking statements we make to reflect new information, future events or otherwise.

The Company

The Company is a financial holding company incorporated under the laws of Maryland and registered under the federal Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended. The Company began conducting business as First Mariner Bancorp in May 1995. Since 1995, the Company's strategy has involved building a network of banking branches, ATMs, and other financial services outlets to capture market share and build a community franchise for stockholders, customers, and employees. The Company is currently focused on growing assets and earnings by capitalizing on the broad network of bank branches, mortgage offices, consumer finance offices, and ATMs established during its infrastructure expansion phase.

The Company's business is conducted primarily through its wholly owned subsidiaries: First Mariner Bank (the Bank), Finance Maryland LLC (Finance Maryland), and FM Appraisals, LLC (FM Appraisals). First Mariner Bank is the largest operating subsidiary of the Company with assets exceeding \$1.157 billion as of December 31, 2006. The Bank was formed in 1995 through the merger of several small financial institutions. The Bank's primary market area for its core banking operations, which consist of traditional commercial and consumer lending, as well as retail and commercial deposit operations, is central Maryland as well as portions of Maryland's eastern shore. The Bank's mortgage division, First Mariner Mortgage, operates on both a regional and national basis. First Mariner Bank is an independent community bank, and its deposits are insured by the FDIC. The Bank is engaged in the general commercial banking business, with particular attention and emphasis on the needs of individuals and small to mid-sized businesses, and delivers a wide range of financial products and services that are offered by many larger competitors. Products and services include traditional deposit products, a variety of consumer and commercial loans, residential and commercial mortgage and construction loans, wire transfer services, non-deposit investment products, and Internet banking and similar services. Most importantly, the Bank

provides customers with access to local Bank officers who are empowered to act with flexibility to meet customers' needs in an effort to foster and develop long-term loan and deposit relationships.

Finance Maryland engages in traditional consumer finance activities, making small direct cash loans to individuals, the purchase of installment loan sales contracts from local merchants and retail dealers of consumer goods, and loans to individuals via direct mail solicitations. Finance Maryland currently operates 17 branches and a central approval office in the state of Maryland and four branches in the state of Delaware, which operate under the trade name Finance Delaware. Finance Maryland had total assets of \$65.911 million as of December 31, 2006.

FM Appraisals is a residential real estate appraisal preparation and management company that is headquartered in Baltimore City. FM Appraisals offers appraisal services for residential real estate lenders, including appraisal preparation, the compliance oversight of sub-contracted appraisers, appraisal ordering and administration, and appraisal review services. FM Appraisals provides these services to First Mariner Mortgage.

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the United States of America and follow general practices within the industry in which it operates. Application of these principles requires management to make estimates, assumptions, and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates, assumptions, and judgments are based on information available as of the date of the financial statements; accordingly, as this information changes, the financial statements could reflect different estimates, assumptions, and judgments. Certain policies inherently have a greater reliance on the use of estimates, assumptions, and judgments and, as such, have a greater possibility of producing results that could be materially different than originally reported. Estimates, assumptions, and judgments are necessary when assets and liabilities are required to be recorded at fair value, when a decline in the value of an asset not carried on the financial statements at fair value warrants an impairment write-down or valuation reserve to be established, or when an asset or liability needs to be recorded contingent upon a future event. Carrying assets and liabilities at fair value inherently results in more financial statement volatility. When applying accounting policies in such areas that are subjective in nature, management must use its best judgment to arrive at the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities. Below is a discussion of our critical accounting policies.

Allowance for loan losses

A variety of estimates impact the carrying value of the loan portfolio including the calculation of the allowance for loan losses, valuation of underlying collateral and the timing of loan charge-offs.

The allowance is established and maintained at a level that management believes is adequate to cover losses resulting from the inability of borrowers to make required payments on loans. Estimates for loan losses are arrived at by analyzing risks associated with specific loans and the loan portfolio. Current trends in delinquencies and charge-offs, the views of the Bank's regulators, changes in the size and composition of the loan portfolio and peer comparisons are also factors. The analysis also requires consideration of the economic climate and direction and change in the interest rate environment, which may impact a borrower's ability to pay, legislation impacting the banking industry and environmental and economic conditions specific to the Bank's service areas. Because the calculation of the allowance for loan losses relies on estimates and judgments relating to inherently uncertain events, results may differ from our estimates.

Investment securities

Securities are evaluated periodically to determine whether a decline in their value is other than temporary. The term "other than temporary" is not intended to indicate a permanent decline in value. Rather, it means that the prospects for near term recovery of value are not necessarily favorable, or that there is a lack of evidence to support fair values equal to, or greater than, the carrying value of the investment. Management reviews criteria such as the magnitude and duration of the decline, as well as the reasons for the decline, to predict whether the loss in value is other than temporary. Once a decline in value is determined to be other than temporary, the value of the security is reduced and a corresponding charge to earnings is recognized.

Deferred income taxes

Under the liability method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets are subject to management's judgment based upon available evidence that future realization is more likely than not.

Loan income recognition

Interest income on loans is accrued at the contractual rate based on the principal outstanding. Loan origination fees and certain direct loan origination costs are deferred and amortized as a yield adjustment over the contractual loan terms. Accrual of interest is discontinued when its receipt is in doubt, in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 114, *Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan*. Any interest accrued to income in the year when interest accruals are discontinued is reversed. Management may elect to continue the accrual of interest when a loan is in the process of collection and the estimated fair value of the collateral is sufficient to satisfy the principal balance and accrued interest. Loans are returned to accrual status once the doubt concerning collectibility has been removed and the borrower has demonstrated the ability to pay and remain current. Payments on nonaccrual loans are generally applied to principal.

Derivative Loan Commitments and Hedging Activities

In connection with our mortgage-banking activities, we enter into commitments to fund residential mortgage loans at specified times in the future. We enter into these commitments through retail and broker channels and also purchase loan commitments from correspondent lenders. A mortgage loan commitment binds the Company to lend funds to a potential borrower at a specified interest rate and within a specified period of time, generally up to 90 days after inception of the rate lock commitment. Such a commitment is referred to as a derivative loan commitment if the loan that will result from exercise of the commitment will be held for sale upon funding under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, as amended by SFAS No. 149, *Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. As such, loan commitments that are derivatives must be recognized at fair value on the consolidated balance sheets with changes in their fair values recorded as part of income from mortgage-banking operations. For accounting purposes, we value this commitment to zero at inception, consistent with the concepts embodied in EITF 02-3, *Issues Involved in Accounting for Derivative Contracts Held for Trading Purposes and Contracts Involved in Energy Trading and Risk Management Activities*. Subsequent to inception, we estimate the fair value of the commitment, taking into consideration the probability of funding of the loan, and compare it to the fair value calculated at inception to measure the change in value, which is recorded through current period earnings with a corresponding asset for an increase in value or a liability for a decrease in value.

Loan Repurchases

Our sales agreements with investors who buy our loans generally contain covenants which may require us to repurchase loans under certain provisions, including delinquencies, or return premiums paid by those investors should the loan be paid off early. These covenants are usual and customary within the mortgage-banking industry and generally apply for the first 90 days after the loan has been purchased by the investor. We maintain a reserve (included in other liabilities) for potential losses relating to these sales covenants, including estimates for the devaluation of loans as a result of their delinquency status.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AND FINANCIAL CONDITION

The following discussion compares our financial condition at December 31, 2006 to the financial condition at December 31, 2005 and results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004. This discussion should be read in conjunction with our accompanying financial statements and related notes as well as statistical information included in this report.

Performance Overview

We recorded net income of \$1.924 million for 2006 compared to \$7.822 million for 2005, a decrease of 75.4%. Diluted earnings per share totaled \$0.29 per share for 2006, a decrease of 75.8% from \$1.20 per diluted share in 2005. The decline in net income and earnings per share resulted due to the fact that our gross revenue (net interest income and noninterest income) increased \$2.295 million or 3.2%, while our noninterest expenses, the provision for loan losses, and income tax expense increased by \$8.193 million or 13.0%.

Net income for 2006 was impacted significantly by a balance sheet restructuring and negative trends in our mortgage-banking operations. In December of 2006, we elected to sell approximately \$100.000 million of securities held in our investment portfolio (yield, 3.85%) and repay an equal amount of short-term borrowings (cost, 5.45%). In conjunction with the restructuring, we realized losses on the sales of securities of \$3.063 million. The restructure is expected to have a positive impact in future periods as the yields on securities sold was significantly lower than borrowings repaid.

During the second half of 2006, our results were negatively impacted by higher delinquency and default levels in loans originated by the Bank in our mortgage-banking division. The higher delinquencies and defaults resulted in the repurchase of loans sold as well as an increase in the volume of loans originated for sale which could not be sold. Loans repurchased or deemed unsalable must be marked to market at the time of repurchase or transfer into the Bank's portfolio. The Bank recorded valuation allowances related to these loans repurchased and expected to be repurchased of \$4.450 million, which reduced reported profits in the third and fourth quarters of 2006.

The impact of both the balance sheet restructuring and the valuation allowance totaled \$7.513 million (\$4.959 million after taxes) and were the primary factors in the significant decline in net income.

Our largest category of revenue, net interest income, grew \$1.543 million or 3.2% due to increased volume of average earning assets from \$1.230 billion in 2005 to \$1.243 billion in 2006 and an increase in our net interest margin from 3.88% in 2005 to 3.96% in 2006. Noninterest income increased \$752,000 or 3.3% due to higher levels of mortgage banking revenue of \$3.199 million. (The increase in noninterest income excluding the loss on investment securities from the balance sheet restructuring was \$3.789 million). We experienced higher income from most major sources of noninterest income.

Our increase in total expenses resulted from higher noninterest expenses (by \$12.819 million), offset by a decrease in our income tax expense of \$3.654 million and a decrease in our provision for loan losses of \$972,000. Noninterest expense growth was primarily the result of higher salaries and benefit costs, higher occupancy costs, as well as \$4.450 million in valuation allowances and secondary marketing reserves for

repurchases and anticipated repurchases of loans previously sold on the secondary market. The decrease in income tax expense was primarily due to lower pretax income and removal of the valuation allowance on the net operating loss carryforward of the Holding Company. The decrease in our provision for loan losses is largely a function of our slower loan growth in 2006 as compared to 2005. The provision recorded resulted in an increase in the allowance for loan losses to 1.43% of total loans as of December 31, 2006 from 1.38% as of December 31, 2005.

The return on average assets was 0.14% for 2006 compared to 0.59% for 2005. The return on average equity for 2006 was 2.53% compared to 11.44% for 2005. Average equity to average assets was 5.59% for 2006 compared to 5.13% for 2005. The decline in both the return on average assets and the return on average equity is primarily a result of recognizing security sales losses and the provision for the valuation allowance and secondary marketing reserve.

Our total assets decreased by \$99.188 million or 7.3%, reflecting the balance sheet restructuring at the end of 2006. We experienced an increase in loans and loans held for sale, which were funded by increases in deposits. Loans outstanding increased by \$14.873 million or 1.7%, and loans held for sale increased by \$2.020 million or 2.2%, while our deposits grew by \$48.928 million or 5.6%. Stockholders' equity increased \$6.254 million or 8.6% reflecting the retention of 2006's earnings, and shares sold and issued upon the exercise of options and warrants and in connection with our employee stock purchase plan. In addition, we experienced an increase in other comprehensive income due to the increase in the market value of securities classified as available for sale, which resulted from the sale of securities with significant losses in market value. These items were offset somewhat by the shares repurchased under our stock repurchase plan.

During 2006, our asset quality deteriorated and we increased our allowance for loan losses to \$12.399 million, which totaled 187.9% of nonperforming assets as of December 31, 2006, compared to 297.3% as of December 31, 2005. Our ratio of net chargeoffs to average total loans was 0.19% in 2006, compared to 0.14% in 2005. Our ratio of nonperforming assets to total assets increased to 0.52% at December 31, 2006 from 0.29% at December 31, 2005. Our level of loans 90 days delinquent and still accruing interest increased to \$27.274 million from \$860,000 in 2005.

Capital adequacy levels remained strong, exceeding the levels we are required to maintain for well-capitalized status as defined by banking regulation. December 31, 2006 ratios for our capital leverage, Tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets, and total capital to risk weighted assets were 7.8%, 10.0%, and 15.6%, respectively, compared to 7.4%, 9.5%, and 14.9%, respectively, at December 31, 2005. Our regulatory capital levels increased as the growth rate of regulatory capital exceeded growth rates in total and risk-adjusted assets.

Results of Operations

Net Interest Income/Margins

Our primary source of earnings is net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income we earn on interest-earning assets, such as loans and investment securities, and the interest expense we pay on interest-bearing sources of funds, such as deposits and borrowings. The level of net interest income we earn is determined mostly by the average balances (volume) and the rate spreads between our interest-earning assets and our funding sources.

Net interest income for 2006 totaled \$49.266 million, an increase of 3.2% over \$47.723 million for 2005. The improvement in net interest income during 2006 was due in large part to an increase in our average earning assets, from the 2005 level of \$1.230 billion to the 2006 level of \$1.243 billion. Our yield on earning assets also increased, from 6.67% for 2005 to 7.70% for 2006. These benefits, plus an increase in average noninterest-bearing deposits, more than offset the higher rates paid on interest-bearing deposits

and borrowings, which increased from 3.16% for 2005 to 4.24% for 2006. As a result, the net interest margin (net interest income divided by average earning assets) increased to 3.96% for 2006, as compared to 3.88% for the comparable period in 2005. Net interest margin is the key performance measure for our net interest income. Our net interest margin is affected by our loan pricing, our mix of earning assets, and our distribution and pricing of deposits and borrowings.

During 2006, we sold approximately \$100.000 million of fixed rate investment securities yielding approximately 3.85% in order to extinguish approximately \$100.000 million in short-term debt costing 5.45%. The restructuring is anticipated to increase net interest income in 2007.

Interest income. Total interest income increased by \$13.688 million primarily due to the increase in the yield on average earning assets. Yields on earning assets for the period increased to 7.70% from 6.67% due to the higher mix of loans and loans held for sale (which carry higher yields than investments and other earning assets) and increases in market interest rates. The yield on total loans increased from 7.70% to 8.69% and reflected increases in the rates for most loan categories. The yield on loans held for sale increased from 6.05% to 7.73%.

Average loans outstanding increased by \$50.488 million, with increases in almost every loan category. Average investment securities decreased \$36.741 million due to the sale of securities during 2006 to restructure the balance sheet, and regular principal reductions on mortgage-backed securities.

Interest expense. Interest expense increased by \$12.145 million, due primarily to an increase in the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities, which increased from 3.16% for the year ended December 31, 2005 to 4.24% for the year ended December 31, 2006. We also experienced an increase in average interest-bearing liabilities of \$11.622 million, which was driven by an increase in money market accounts, offset by decreases in NOW, savings, and time deposits, as well as borrowings. The decrease in average borrowings of \$11.997 million was due to repayment of short-term advances from the FHLB in conjunction with our balance sheet restructuring and the decrease in overall average investment securities. The increase in the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities was a result of the higher interest rate environment in 2006 and consumer preferences for higher yielding money market products.

The table below sets forth the average balances, net interest income and expense and average yields and rates for our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities for 2006, 2005, and 2004.

Comparative Average Balances Yields and Rates

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 2006 Average Balance | Interest (1) | Yield/ Rate | 2005 Average Balance | Interest (1) | Yield/ Rate | 2004 Average Balance | Interest (1) | Yield/ Rate |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| ASSETS | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans (2): | | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial loans and lines of credit | \$ 70,238 | \$ 4,803 | 6.84% | \$ 68,035 | \$ 3,791 | 5.57% | \$ 76,370 | \$ 4,474 | 5.86% |
| Residential construction - commercial | 123,188 | 10,968 | 8.90% | 88,634 | 6,987 | 7.88% | 49,328 | 3,698 | 7.50% |
| Commercial mortgages | 335,584 | 24,557 | 7.32% | 331,975 | 22,899 | 6.90% | 256,960 | 17,908 | 6.97% |
| Residential construction - consumer | 113,053 | 8,977 | 7.94% | 130,535 | 9,245 | 7.08% | 123,759 | 8,882 | 7.18% |
| Residential mortgages | 46,308 | 2,733 | 5.90% | 41,798 | 2,499 | 5.98% | 40,880 | 2,692 | 6.59% |
| Consumer | 166,836 | 22,239 | 13.33% | 143,742 | 16,520 | 11.49% | 105,209 | 11,431 | 10.87% |
| Total loans | 855,207 | 74,277 | 8.69% | 804,719 | 61,941 | 7.70% | 652,506 | 49,085 | 7.52% |
| Loans held for sale | 101,841 | 7,877 | 7.73% | 102,321 | 6,195 | 6.05% | 48,791 | 2,464 | 5.05% |
| Securities available for sale, at fair value | 262,968 | 12,365 | 4.70% | 299,709 | 13,066 | 4.36% | 316,878 | 13,219 | 4.17% |
| Interest-bearing deposits | 10,970 | 529 | 4.82% | 9,428 | 284 | 3.01% | 19,546 | 235 | 1.20% |
| Restricted stock investments, at cost | 12,317 | 700 | 5.68% | 13,997 | 574 | 4.10% | 7,507 | 267 | 3.56% |
| Total earning assets | 1,243,303 | 95,748 | 7.70% | 1,230,174 | 82,060 | 6.67% | 1,045,228 | 65,270 | 6.24% |
| Allowance for loan losses | (12,000) | | | (10,470) | | | (8,995) | | |
| Cash and other nonearning assets | 129,867 | | | 113,118 | | | 89,415 | | |
| Total assets | \$1,361,170 | 95,748 | | \$ 1,332,822 | 82,060 | | \$ 1,125,648 | 65,270 | |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing deposits: | | | | | | | | | |
| NOW deposits | \$ 10,945 | 24 | 0.22% | \$ 13,065 | 26 | 0.20% | \$ 55,488 | 191 | 0.34% |
| Savings deposits | 67,164 | 205 | 0.31% | 71,789 | 218 | 0.30% | 67,009 | 235 | 0.35% |
| Money market deposits | 241,039 | 7,855 | 3.26% | 203,222 | 3,215 | 1.58% | 158,802 | 1,341 | 0.84% |
| Time deposits | 388,192 | 15,836 | 4.08% | 395,645 | 13,270 | 3.35% | 367,847 | 11,041 | 3.00% |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 707,340 | 23,920 | 3.38% | 683,721 | 16,729 | 2.45% | 649,146 | 12,808 | 1.97% |
| Borrowings | 389,533 | 22,562 | 5.79% | 401,530 | 17,608 | 4.39% | 268,249 | 10,021 | 3.74% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 1,096,873 | 46,482 | 4.24% | 1,085,251 | 34,337 | 3.16% | 917,395 | 22,829 | 2.49% |
| Noninterest-bearing demand deposits | 179,210 | | | 174,115 | | | 143,706 | | |
| Other noninterest-bearing liabilities | 9,008 | | | 5,091 | | | 4,204 | | |
| Stockholders equity | 76,079 | | | 68,365 | | | 60,343 | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 1,361,170 | 46,482 | | \$ 1,332,822 | 34,337 | | \$ 1,125,648 | 22,829 | |
| Net interest income/net interest spread | | \$ 49,266 | 3.46% | | \$ 47,723 | 3.51% | | \$ 42,441 | 3.75% |
| Net interest margin (3) | | | 3.96% | | | 3.88% | | | 4.06% |

(1) There are no tax equivalency adjustments

(2) The average balances of non-accrual loans for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004 are included in the average loan balances

(3) Net interest margin is calculated as net interest income divided by average earning assets

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

The Rate/Volume Analysis below indicates the changes in our net interest income as a result of changes in volume and rates. Changes in interest income and interest expense that result from variances in both volume and rates have been allocated to rate and volume changes in proportion to the absolute dollar amounts of the change in each. We maintain an asset and liability management policy designed to provide a proper balance between rate sensitive assets and rate sensitive liabilities to attempt to optimize interest margins while providing adequate liquidity for our anticipated needs.

Rate/Volume Analysis

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 2006 Compared to 2005 Change Due to Variance In | | | 2005 Compared to 2004 Change Due to Variance In | | |
|--|--|----------|----------|--|-----------|-----------|
| | Rates | Volume | Total | Rates | Volume | Total |
| INTEREST INCOME | | | | | | |
| Loans: | | | | | | |
| Commercial loans and lines of credit | \$ 886 | \$ 126 | \$ 1,012 | \$ (211) | \$ (472) | \$ (683) |
| Residential construction - commercial | 993 | 2,988 | 3,981 | 200 | 3,089 | 3,289 |
| Commercial mortgages | 1,407 | 251 | 1,658 | (186) | 5,177 | 4,991 |
| Residential construction - consumer | 1,049 | (1,317) | (268) | (118) | 481 | 363 |
| Residential mortgages | (33) | 267 | 234 | (252) | 59 | (193) |
| Consumer | 2,853 | 2,866 | 5,719 | 693 | 4,396 | 5,089 |
| Total loans | 7,155 | 5,181 | 12,336 | 126 | 12,730 | 12,856 |
| Loans held for sale | 1,711 | (29) | 1,682 | 574 | 3,157 | 3,731 |
| Securities available for sale, at fair value | 977 | (1,678) | (701) | 583 | (736) | (153) |
| Interest-bearing deposits | 193 | 52 | 245 | 217 | (168) | 49 |
| Restricted stock investments, at cost | 201 | (75) | 126 | 45 | 262 | 307 |
| Total interest income | 10,237 | 3,451 | 13,688 | 1,545 | 15,245 | 16,790 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing deposits: | | | | | | |
| NOW deposits | 2 | (4) | (2) | (58) | (107) | (165) |
| Savings deposits | 1 | (14) | (13) | (32) | 15 | (17) |
| Money market deposits | 3,947 | 693 | 4,640 | 1,419 | 455 | 1,874 |
| Time deposits | 2,820 | (254) | 2,566 | 1,355 | 874 | 2,229 |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 6,770 | 421 | 7,191 | 2,684 | 1,237 | 3,921 |
| Borrowings | 5,494 | (540) | 4,954 | 1,966 | 5,621 | 7,587 |
| Total interest expense | 12,264 | (119) | 12,145 | 4,650 | 6,858 | 11,508 |
| Net interest income | \$ (2,027) | \$ 3,570 | \$ 1,543 | \$ (3,105) | \$ 8,387 | \$ 5,282 |

Noninterest Income

We derive noninterest income principally from mortgage-banking activities, service fees on our deposit accounts, ATM fees, commissions we earn on sales of insurance products and income from bank-owned life insurance. Our noninterest income for the year ended December 31, 2006 totaled \$23.767 million, as compared to \$23.015 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, an increase of \$752,000 or 3.3%. Noninterest income, excluding investment security losses increased \$3.789 or 16.5%.

Higher revenue from our mortgage-banking activities was the primary cause of the increase in noninterest income. Beginning in January 2006, management expanded its secondary marketing activities for selling mortgage loans to include selling loans in bulk, on a mandatory delivery basis. Prior to that time, all loans originated for sale were sold into the secondary market individually, on a best efforts basis (see discussion in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, under the heading Derivative Loan Commitments Hedging Activities). Since the inception of the bulk sale strategy, approximately 28% of loans sold have been sold on a bulk-delivery basis. Thus far, we have experienced significantly wider profit margins on loans sold in bulk, compared to the profit margins previously experienced under the best efforts approach. Approximately \$2.067 million of the increase in gains on sales of mortgage loans is attributable to this strategy. This estimate includes gains realized while hedging our interest rate risk during the period in which loans are in the application and approval process (pipeline), and loans settled and awaiting sale (warehouse). Profit margins experienced during the first six months of 2006 were significantly higher than we anticipated, as prices paid were inflated by higher demand and diminished supply for certain mortgage products we originate. The profit margins normalized during the second half of 2006 and may continue to shrink or to expand moving forward as demand changes or overall supplies fluctuate, which would affect the price we receive on sold loans. A lower profit margin could reduce our noninterest income growth as, conversely, a higher profit margin could increase its growth. Gains on sales of loans, which are derived from both the volume of loans sold and the pricing at which they are sold, increased by \$2.596 million or 51.7% compared to the year ended December 31, 2005. Valuation allowances and costs associated with mortgage loans sold are included in noninterest expenses and are not reflected as reductions to gains on sales of loans.

Service fees on our deposit accounts decreased by \$298,000 or 4.1% due to lower levels of overdraft income. Income from bank-owned life insurance increased by \$86,000 in 2006 due to a \$5.000 million purchase of additional policies. Commissions earned on the sale of nondeposit investment products (primarily fixed annuities) increased \$107,000 due higher sales volumes. Commissions from the sale of other insurance products (primarily insurance products offered through Finance Maryland) increased \$159,000 due to expansion of Finance Maryland's locations and increased loan origination activity. Our other noninterest income not detailed in the table below increased \$510,000 or 38.8% and includes \$627,000 in rental income from other tenants in our former headquarters building which we purchased in 2005.

We realized net losses from the sale of investment securities of \$3.037 million in 2006, compared to no gains or losses for 2005. We sold the securities in 2006 in order to restructure our balance sheet to enhance future financial performance by reducing the level of lower yielding securities and decreasing the level of higher cost wholesale funding.

The following table shows the breakout of noninterest income:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Years ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Gain on sale of mortgage loans | \$ 7,614 | \$ 5,018 | \$ 3,349 |
| Other mortgage-banking revenue | 2,892 | 2,289 | 1,567 |
| ATM fees | 3,161 | 3,135 | 2,836 |
| Service fees on deposits | 6,887 | 7,185 | 6,899 |
| (Loss) gain on sales of investment securities, net | (3,037) | | 440 |
| Commissions on sales of nondeposit investment products | 638 | 531 | 649 |
| Income from bank-owned life insurance | 1,117 | 1,031 | 1,072 |
| Commissions on sales of other insurance products | 2,671 | 2,512 | 1,519 |
| Other | 1,824 | 1,314 | 859 |
| | \$ 23,767 | \$ 23,015 | \$ 19,190 |

Noninterest Expense

Our noninterest expense totaled \$69.159 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared to \$56.340 million for 2005, an increase of \$12.819 million or 22.8%.

We paid higher salaries and benefits costs in 2006 of \$4.081 million or 13.2% compared to 2005, primarily due to additional personnel costs for new positions supporting the increase in the number of loans and deposits, staffing hired to support the expansion of the consumer finance company and wholesale mortgage activities, and increased cost of employer provided health care, slightly offset by lower performance-based incentive costs. Our occupancy costs for 2006 increased \$1.908 or 31.3% compared to 2005, reflecting an increase in lease expense due to additional space occupied for the new executive and administrative offices, increased amortization of property improvements and the expansion of consumer finance operations.

We experienced higher service and maintenance expenses of \$369,000 or 20.1% due to an increase in our locations. Loan collection expenses increased by \$230,000 or 48.8% due to increased loan delinquencies. During 2006, we provided \$4.450 million for a valuation allowance and a secondary marketing reserve for repurchases and potential repurchases of loans previously sold on the secondary market. These are in addition to our loan loss allowance of \$12.399 million. The valuation allowance is for loans already repurchased and the reserve is for loans that may be required to be repurchased in the future. Our other noninterest expenses not detailed in the table below increased \$939,000 or 32.2%.

The following table shows the breakout of noninterest expense:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Years ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ 34,990 | \$ 30,909 | \$ 26,523 |
| Net occupancy | 8,012 | 6,104 | 6,255 |
| Furniture, fixtures, and equipment | 3,162 | 3,057 | 3,005 |
| Professional services | 958 | 1,021 | 756 |
| Advertising | 1,341 | 1,352 | 1,455 |
| Data processing | 1,811 | 2,006 | 2,079 |
| Service and maintenance | 2,202 | 1,833 | 1,485 |
| Office supplies | 747 | 680 | 697 |
| ATM servicing expenses | 962 | 1,146 | 1,112 |
| Printing | 602 | 543 | 712 |
| Corporate insurance | 517 | 401 | 378 |
| Real estate acquired through foreclosure expense | 224 | 28 | (73) |
| FDIC premiums | 109 | 113 | 115 |
| Consulting fees | 850 | 734 | 385 |
| Marketing/promotion | 1,059 | 866 | 722 |
| Postage | 906 | 764 | 982 |
| Overnight delivery/courier | 908 | 809 | 736 |
| Security | 233 | 151 | 310 |
| Dues and subscriptions | 562 | 438 | 341 |
| Loan collection expenses | 701 | 471 | 332 |
| Secondary marketing valuation | 4,450 | | |
| Other | 3,853 | 2,914 | 2,619 |
| | \$ 69,159 | \$ 56,340 | \$ 50,926 |

Income Tax Expense

We recorded an income tax benefit of \$365,000 in 2006 for an effective tax rate of (23.4)% compared to income tax expense of \$3.289 million and an effective tax rate of 29.6% for 2005. The income tax expense decrease is due to lower pretax income for 2006 of \$1.559 million compared to \$11.111 million in 2005. The effective tax rate decreased due to higher levels of tax-exempt income relative to pre-tax income in 2006 as compared to 2005. In addition, the income tax benefit includes a one-time credit to eliminate the remaining portion of the valuation allowance we had previously established to reflect the uncertainty of certain state income tax operating loss carry-forwards. There were no changes in the statutory income tax rates in 2006.

In September of 2003, we announced that the Bank had earned significant state tax incentives through its participation in the One Maryland Economic Development (One Maryland) and Job Creation Tax Credit programs. The tax incentives we earned total \$5.5 million based upon a confirmation received from the Maryland Department of Business and Economic Development. We will realize the benefits of the incentives in our reported earnings as the credits can be utilized, in accordance with accounting standards that govern the recognition of investment tax credits. The amount of the credit that we can utilize will be determined by the level of Maryland taxable income for the Bank only, and will be recognized as a reduction in our income tax expense. Any unused One Maryland credits can be carried forward and will expire in 2016. The Job Creation Tax Credit can be carried forward for five years. We expect the Bank to fully realize the full value of the credits before their expiration.

We have utilized all prior net operating loss carryforwards for federal income tax purposes at December 31, 2006. Our net operating loss carryforwards for state income tax purposes approximated \$6.325 million and can be offset by the future state taxable income of First Mariner Bancorp only. Operating loss carry-forward tax benefits are in addition to the tax credits discussed above.

Financial Condition

At December 31, 2006, our total assets were \$1.263 billion as compared to \$1.362 billion at December 31, 2005, a decrease of 7.3%. Earning assets decreased \$119.397 million or 9.6% to \$1.121 billion from \$1.240 billion. The decline in assets was primarily due to a restructuring of the balance sheet at the end of 2006, which was accomplished through the sale of investment securities and repayment of borrowings. In addition, we experienced growth in loans outstanding (+\$14.873 million) and higher levels of loans held for sale (+\$2.020 million) and a decrease in cash and due from banks (-\$3.423 million). Premises and equipment increased \$8.660 million primarily due to leasehold improvements related to our new headquarters and operations building, as well as costs related to new bank and consumer finance branches. The growth in loans and loans held for sale was funded by an increased level of customer deposits of \$48.928 million. We continued to achieve growth through the development of our bank branching and mortgage loan office network, expansion of our commercial and retail business development efforts, our expansion of Finance Maryland, and successful development and marketing of our deposit and loan products. However, growth rates were lower in 2006 due to the slowing of the regional real estate markets which softened loan demand and increased deposit competition.

Investment securities available for sale

Our investment portfolio at December 31, 2006, is comprised of highly marketable securities, with over 62% secured by the U.S. Government or U.S. Government agencies. The maturity structure of our investment portfolio is significantly influenced by the level of prepayment activity on mortgage-backed investments. At December 31, 2006, the average duration of our investment portfolio was 3.80 years, longer than the average duration of 3.32 years at December 31, 2005. This is largely the result of an increase in interest rates, which has extended the expected maturity of mortgage-backed investment securities. In addition, during 2006, we sold some of our shorter-term securities.

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

We utilize the investment portfolio as part of our overall asset/liability management practices to minimize structural interest rate and market valuation risks associated with changes in interest rates. We continually monitor the credit risk associated with corporate investments and diversity the risk in the corporate portfolio.

Total investment securities declined \$129.649 million primarily due to the sale of \$97.387 million in securities during 2006. Investment purchases totaled \$6.284 million in 2006. At December 31, 2006, our net unrealized loss on securities classified as available for sale totaled \$1.505 million, compared to \$5.402 million at December 31, 2005. We consider the decline in market values to be temporary largely due to increases in interest rates, and do not expect to realize losses on any of the securities currently in the investment portfolio. Our investments in trust preferred securities, corporate obligations, and common stocks totaled \$39.402 million as of December 31, 2006 compared to \$42.120 million as of December 31, 2005.

Our investment portfolio composition is as follows as of December 31:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$ 62,281 | \$ 161,112 | \$ 200,708 | \$ 149,763 | \$ 73,842 |
| Trust preferred securities | 33,028 | 34,087 | 31,507 | 22,987 | 26,399 |
| U.S. government agency notes | 39,894 | 68,271 | 78,949 | 107,314 | 12,159 |
| U.S. Treasury securities | 998 | 986 | 1,000 | 1,001 | 1,015 |
| Obligations of state and municipal subdivisions | 2,965 | 2,969 | 2,973 | | |
| Corporate obligations | 1,988 | 1,777 | | | 5,921 |
| Equity securities | 1,395 | 1,310 | 1,531 | 1,274 | 2,824 |
| Foreign government bonds | 1,750 | 1,481 | 1,400 | 1,250 | 850 |
| Other investment securities | 2,991 | 4,946 | 4,897 | 4,848 | 4,800 |
| | \$ 147,290 | \$ 276,939 | \$ 322,965 | \$ 288,437 | \$ 127,810 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

The amortized cost, estimated fair values, and weighted average yields of debt securities at December 31, 2006, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers have the right to call or prepay obligations.

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Losses | Estimated Fair Value | Weighted- Average Yield |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Mortgage-backed securities: | | | | | |
| Due one to five years | \$ 115 | \$ 1 | \$ | \$ 116 | 5.50% |
| Due after ten years | 63,993 | 172 | 2,000 | 62,165 | 4.85% |
| Trust preferred securities: | | | | | |
| Due after ten years | 33,056 | 129 | 157 | 33,028 | 7.93% |
| U.S. Treasury securities: | | | | | |
| Due within one year | 1,000 | | 2 | 998 | 3.13% |
| U.S. government agency notes: | | | | | |
| Due within one year | 40,000 | | 106 | 39,894 | 3.81% |
| Obligations of state and municipal subdivisions: | | | | | |
| Due after ten years | 2,937 | 28 | | 2,965 | 4.59% |
| Corporate obligations: | | | | | |
| Due one to five years | 1,907 | 81 | | 1,988 | 6.17% |
| Foreign government bonds: | | | | | |
| Due within one year | 250 | | | 250 | 5.60% |
| Due one to five years | 1,500 | | | 1,500 | 5.91% |
| Other investment securities: | | | | | |
| Due within one year | 2,991 | | | 2,991 | 3.86% |
| | \$ 147,749 | \$ 411 | \$ 2,265 | \$ 145,895 | 5.25% |

Weighted yields are based on amortized cost. Mortgage-backed securities are assigned to maturity categories based on their final maturity.

See Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, for further details about our securities available for sale.

Loans

Our loan portfolio is expected to produce higher yields than investment securities and other interest-earning assets; the absolute volume and mix of loans and the volume and mix of loans as a percentage of total earning assets is an important determinant of our net interest margin.

The following table sets forth the composition of our loan portfolio as of December 31:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Commercial loans and lines of credit | \$ 79,016 | \$ 67,759 | \$ 60,496 | \$ 65,934 | \$ 56,760 |
| Residential construction - commercial | 137,155 | 112,383 | 63,847 | 51,225 | 31,878 |
| Commercial mortgages | 317,848 | 349,430 | 315,676 | 244,316 | 202,994 |
| Residential construction - consumer | 98,066 | 126,708 | 135,841 | 119,973 | 135,189 |
| Residential mortgages | 56,221 | 40,817 | 41,589 | 40,122 | 46,065 |
| Consumer | 178,153 | 154,489 | 128,697 | 88,277 | 61,079 |
| Total loans | \$ 866,459 | \$ 851,586 | \$ 746,146 | \$ 609,847 | \$ 533,965 |

Total loans increased \$14.873 million during 2006, while the underlying economic strength in our core market, affordable interest rates, and continued emphasis on business development all contributed to the

continued loan origination activity. Loan growth did soften from historical growth rates. Real estate development activity slowed and we elected to reduce our exposure to certain types of lending and in certain geographic areas during 2006. The largest increase in our loan portfolio occurred in the residential construction - commercial category, which increased by \$24.772 million. This was primarily due to the funding of construction loans made prior to 2006. In addition, we experienced increases in the commercial loan category (+\$11.257 million). Growth in these types of our commercial loans reflected successful marketing and sales efforts of the Bank's commercial lending unit and the continuation of a favorable interest rate environment. Our consumer loans increased by \$23.664 million due to successful marketing campaigns, a favorable economic and interest rate environment, growth in consumer finance receivables generated by Finance Maryland, and successful cross-selling of home equity products to first mortgage customers. Commercial mortgages decreased \$31.582 million and residential construction loans to consumers decreased \$28.642 million as our origination activity in these product lines decreased due to increased competition and slower development activity.

Our residential real estate loans, which consist primarily of 3- and 5-year adjustable-rate mortgages, increased by \$15.404 million, partially due to our repurchase of mortgage loans originally sold on the secondary market. During 2006, we repurchased \$13.458 million in delinquent mortgage loans from investors in accordance with a covenant in our sales agreement. Most of the loans are at least 90 days past due. Due to the delinquency of the loans, the higher loan to value ratios, and recent softness in regional and national housing prices, we have established a total of \$4.090 million in valuation allowances (for loans already repurchased) and secondary market reserves (for loans expected to be repurchased - included in other liabilities) against these and estimated future loan repurchases.

Approximately 38.7% of our loans have adjustable rates as of December 31, 2006 compared to approximately 35.2% at December 31, 2005, including adjustable rate first mortgages indexed to either U.S. Treasury obligations or LIBOR and variable home equity lines of credit tied to the Prime interest rate. Our variable rate loans adjust to the current interest rate environment, whereas fixed rates do not allow this flexibility. If interest rates were to increase in the future, our interest earned on the variable rate loans would improve, and if rates were to fall, the interest we earn would decline, thus impacting our interest income. See our discussion in Interest Rate Sensitivity later in this section for more information on interest rate fluctuations.

The following table sets forth the maturity distribution for our loan portfolio at December 31, 2006. Some of our loans may be renewed or repaid prior to maturity. Therefore, the following table should not be used as a forecast of our future cash collections.

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Maturing | | After 1 through 5 years | | After 5 years | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|---------------|------------|------------|
| | In one year or less Fixed | Variable | Fixed | Variable | Fixed | Variable | |
| Commercial loans and lines of credit | \$ 26,315 | \$ 34,746 | \$ 12,024 | \$ 4,823 | \$ 704 | \$ 404 | \$ 79,016 |
| Residential construction | | | | | | | |
| -commercial | 32,068 | 60,814 | 10,173 | 21,930 | 19 | 12,151 | 137,155 |
| Commercial mortgages | 121,373 | 21,492 | 101,368 | 38,366 | 4,362 | 30,887 | 317,848 |
| Residential construction | | | | | | | |
| -consumer | 96,241 | | 910 | | 915 | | 98,066 |
| Residential mortgages | 3,791 | 561 | 2,002 | 2,602 | 12,480 | 34,785 | 56,221 |
| Consumer | 35,019 | 8,011 | 47,260 | 32,763 | 24,528 | 30,572 | 178,153 |
| Total loans | \$ 314,807 | \$ 125,624 | \$ 173,737 | \$ 100,484 | \$ 43,008 | \$ 108,799 | \$ 866,459 |

Credit Risk Management

We attempt to manage the risk characteristics of our loan portfolio through various control processes, such as credit evaluation of borrowers, establishment of lending limits, and application of lending procedures, including the holding of adequate collateral and the maintenance of compensating balances. However, we seek to rely primarily on the cash flow of our borrowers as the principal source of repayment. Although credit policies are designed to minimize our risk, management recognizes that loan losses will occur and the amount of these losses will fluctuate depending on the risk characteristics of our loan portfolio, as well as general and regional economic conditions.

Our allowance for loan losses represents an estimated reserve for existing losses in the loan portfolio. We evaluate the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses continually based on a review of all significant loans, with a particular emphasis on non-accruing, past due, and other loans that we believe require special attention.

The allowance consists of three elements: (1) specific reserves for individual credits; (2) general reserves for types or portfolios of loans based on historical loan loss experience, judgmentally adjusted for current conditions and credit risk concentrations; and (3) unallocated reserves. Combined specific reserves and general reserves by loan type are considered allocated reserves. All outstanding loans are considered in evaluating the adequacy of the allowance.

We deploy a systematic methodology for determining our allowance for loan losses that includes a quarterly review process and adjustment to our allowance. Our process includes updates on all loans that we have rated for risk. Our commercial loans are generally reviewed individually, while large groups of homogeneous loans are reviewed as pools. Our methodology incorporates a variety of risk considerations, both qualitative and quantitative. Quantitative factors include our historical loss experience by loan type, collateral values, financial condition of borrowers, and other factors. Qualitative factors include judgments concerning general economic conditions that may affect credit quality, credit concentrations, the pace of portfolio growth, and delinquency levels; these qualitative factors are evaluated in connection with our unallocated portion of our allowance for loan losses. We periodically engage outside firms and experts to independently assess our methodology, and perform various loan review functions.

Our methodology employs management's judgment as to the level of future losses on existing loans based on our internal review of the loan portfolio, including an analysis of the borrowers' current financial position, the consideration of current and anticipated economic conditions and their potential effects on specific borrowers. In determining our ability to collect certain loans, we also consider the fair value of any underlying collateral. However, our determination of the appropriate allowance level is based upon a number of assumptions we make about future events, which we believe are reasonable, but which may or may not prove valid. Thus, there can be no assurance that our charge-offs in future periods will not exceed our allowance for loan losses or that we will not need to make additional increases in our allowance for loan losses.

The process of establishing the allowance with respect to our commercial and commercial real estate loan portfolios begins when a loan officer initially assigns each loan a risk grade, using established credit criteria. Risk grades are subject to review and validation annually by an independent consulting firm, as well as periodically by our internal credit review function. Management reviews, on a quarterly basis, current conditions that affect various lines of business and may warrant adjustments to historical loss experience in determining the required allowance. Adjustment factors that are considered include: the level and trends in past-due and nonaccrual loans; trends in loan volume; effects of any changes in lending policies and procedures or underwriting standards; and the experience and depth of lending management. Historical factors by product type are adjusted each quarter based on actual loss history. Management also evaluates credit risk concentrations, including trends in large dollar exposures to related borrowers, and industry and geographic concentrations. All nonaccrual loans in the commercial and nonresidential real

estate portfolios, as well as other loans in the portfolios identified as having the potential for further deterioration, are analyzed individually to confirm the appropriate risk grading and accrual status, and to determine the need for a specific reserve.

Consumer and residential mortgage loans are segregated into homogeneous pools with similar risk characteristics. Trends and current conditions in retail and residential mortgage pools are analyzed and historical loss experience is adjusted accordingly. Adjustment factors for the retail and residential mortgage portfolios are consistent with those for the commercial portfolios.

The unallocated portion of the allowance is intended to provide for losses that are not identified when establishing the specific and general portions of the allowance and is based upon management's evaluation of various conditions that are not directly measured in the determination of the formula and specific allowances. Such conditions include general economic and business conditions affecting key lending areas, credit quality trends (including trends in delinquencies and nonperforming loans expected to result from existing conditions), loan volumes and concentrations, specific industry conditions within portfolio categories, recent loss experience in particular loan categories, duration of the current business cycle, bank regulatory examination results, findings of internal loan examiners, and management's judgment with respect to various other conditions including loan administration and management and the quality of risk identification systems. Executive management reviews these conditions quarterly. We have risk management practices designed to ensure timely identification of changes in loan risk profiles; however, undetected losses may exist inherently within the loan portfolios. The judgmental aspects involved in applying the risk grading criteria, analyzing the quality of individual loans and assessing collateral values can also contribute to undetected, but probable, losses.

Valuation allowances established in relationship to repurchased loans originated for sale or transfer of loans from loans held for sale to the loan portfolio are in addition to the allowance for loan losses. The valuation allowance was established for loans already repurchased and in the portfolio and the secondary marketing reserve is for loans that may be required to be repurchased in the future. The valuation allowance and secondary marketing reserve are not part of our allowance for loan losses of \$12.399 million, but are in addition to it.

In establishing the valuation allowance and secondary marketing reserve, management needed to make significant assumptions concerning the ultimate collectibility of loans currently delinquent, and those expected to be repurchased in the future. Additionally, a portion of these reserves are based upon projected volume of repurchases. While these projections were made with the most current data available to management, actual realized losses could differ due to the changes in the borrowers' willingness or ability to resolve the delinquency status, changes in the actual volume of future repurchases, or changes in market values of those loans which are liquidated. Management will update these assumptions continually as greater experience becomes available.

In response to the trends experienced in our secondary marketing business, management has taken steps to modify underwriting guidelines and strengthen borrower qualification terms. Additionally, management has dramatically reduced its offering of second mortgage products through broker channels and has limited its mortgage lending to investor borrowers.

Our provision for loan losses is a charge applied to earnings in the current period to maintain our allowance at a level we have determined to be adequate based upon factors noted above. We increased the amount of our allowance in 2006 primarily due to increases in allocated reserves for commercial and residential construction loans. Our allowance for loan losses to our total loans was 1.43% as of December 31, 2006, up from 1.38% as of December 31, 2005. Our provision for loan losses totaled \$2.315 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, as compared to \$3.287 million for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

Our allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2006 totaled \$12.399 million, as compared with the December 31, 2005 balance of \$11.743 million, an increase of \$656,000 or 5.59%. We recognized net charge-offs of \$1.659 million and \$1.124 million for 2006 and 2005, respectively. Our net charge-offs as a percentage of our average loans were 0.19% for 2006 compared to 0.14% in 2005. Our net charge-off levels for the Bank were \$298,000 in 2006 compared to \$13,000 in 2005, reflecting higher charge-offs associated with residential mortgage and consumer residential construction loans. Finance Maryland net charge-offs totaled \$1.361 million (2.43% of average consumer finance loans) in 2006 compared to \$1.111 million (2.76% of average consumer finance loans) in 2005. We consider the allowance to be sufficient to address the credit losses inherent in the current loan portfolio.

The following table summarizes the activities in our allowance for loan losses:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Years ended December 31, | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | |
| Allowance for loan losses, beginning of year | \$ 11,743 | \$ 9,580 | \$ 8,692 | \$ 7,188 | \$ 5,524 | |
| Loans charged off: | | | | | | |
| Commercial | | (15) | | | | |
| Residential construction - commercial | | | (4) | | (65) | |
| Commercial mortgages | | | | | | |
| Residential construction - consumer | (186) | | (251) | (244) | (200) | |
| Residential mortgages | (99) | | | (19) | | |
| Consumer | (1,740) | (1,492) | (1,318) | (1,003) | (288) | |
| Total loans charged off | (2,025) | (1,507) | (1,573) | (1,266) | (553) | |
| Recoveries: | | | | | | |
| Commercial | | | | 17 | | |
| Residential construction - commercial | | | | 65 | | |
| Commercial mortgages | | | | | | |
| Residential construction - consumer | 22 | 89 | 11 | | | |
| Residential mortgages | | | | 1 | 9 | |
| Consumer | 344 | 294 | 207 | 151 | 33 | |
| Total recoveries | 366 | 383 | 218 | 234 | 42 | |
| Net charge-offs | (1,659) | (1,124) | (1,355) | (1,032) | (511) | |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,315 | 3,287 | 2,243 | 2,536 | 2,175 | |
| Allowance for loan losses, end of period | \$ 12,399 | \$ 11,743 | \$ 9,580 | \$ 8,692 | \$ 7,188 | |
| Loans (net of premiums and discounts): | | | | | | |
| Period-end balance | \$ 866,459 | \$ 851,586 | \$ 746,146 | \$ 609,847 | \$ 533,965 | |
| Average balance during period | 855,207 | 804,719 | 652,506 | 552,528 | 496,486 | |
| Allowance as a percentage of period-end loan balance | 1.43 | % 1.38 | % 1.28 | % 1.43 | % 1.35 | % |
| Percent of average loans: | | | | | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 0.27 | % 0.41 | % 0.34 | % 0.46 | % 0.44 | % |
| Net charge-offs | 0.19 | % 0.14 | % 0.21 | % 0.19 | % 0.10 | % |

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

The following table summarizes our allocation of allowance by loan type as of December 31:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 2006 | | | 2005 | | | 2004 | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|------------------|---------------------------------|----------|------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Amount | Percent of Total | Percent of Loans to Total Loans | Amount | Percent of Total | Percent of Loans to Total Loans | Amount | Percent of Total | Percent of Loans to Total Loans |
| Commercial | \$ 926 | 7.5% | 9.1% | \$ 1,302 | 11.1% | 8.0% | \$ 1,819 | 19.0% | 8.1% |
| Residential construction - commercial | 2,749 | 22.2% | 15.8% | 1,031 | 8.8% | 13.2% | 508 | 5.3% | 8.6% |
| Commercial mortgages | 3,073 | 24.8% | 36.7% | 2,908 | 24.8% | 41.0% | 2,804 | 29.3% | 42.3% |
| Residential construction - consumer | 1,068 | 8.6% | 11.3% | 1,461 | 12.4% | 14.9% | 1,523 | 15.9% | 18.2% |
| Residential mortgages | 28 | 0.2% | 6.5% | 18 | 0.1% | 4.8% | 20 | 0.2% | 5.6% |
| Consumer | 2,928 | 23.6% | 20.6% | 2,242 | 19.1% | 18.1% | 1,653 | 17.2% | 17.2% |
| Unallocated | 1,627 | 13.1% | | 2,781 | 23.7% | | 1,253 | 13.1% | |
| Total | \$ 12,399 | 100.0% | 100.0% | \$ 11,743 | 100.0% | 100.0% | \$ 9,580 | 100.0% | 100.0% |

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 2003 | | | 2002 | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|------------------|---------------------------------|----------|------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Amount | Percent of Total | Percent of Loans to Total Loans | Amount | Percent of Total | Percent of Loans to Total Loans |
| Commercial | \$ 978 | 11.3 % | 10.8 % | \$ 826 | 11.5 % | 10.6 % |
| Residential construction - commercial | 303 | 3.5 % | 8.4 % | 254 | 3.5 % | 6.0 % |
| Commercial mortgages | 2,481 | 28.5 % | 40.0 % | 1,632 | 22.7 % | 38.0 % |
| Residential construction - consumer | 1,853 | 21.3 % | 19.7 % | 2,128 | 29.6 % | 25.3 % |
| Residential mortgages | 46 | 0.5 % | 6.6 % | 23 | 0.3 % | 8.6 % |
| Consumer | 1,139 | 13.1 % | 14.5 % | 640 | 8.9 % | 11.5 % |
| Unallocated | 1,892 | 21.8 % | | 1,685 | 23.5 % | |
| Total | \$ 8,692 | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | \$ 7,188 | 100.0 % | 100.0 % |

Management applies SFAS No. 114, *Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan*, to determine accrual status for larger loans. Under SFAS No. 114, when it is probable that we will be unable to collect all payments due, including interest, we place the loan on nonaccrual. Management may grant a waiver from nonaccrual status for a 90-day past-due loan that is both well secured and in the process of collection. A loan remains in nonaccrual status until the loan is current as to payment of both principal and interest and the borrower demonstrates the ability to pay and remain current. As a result of our ongoing review of the loan portfolio, we may classify loans as nonaccrual even though the presence of collateral or the borrowers financial strength may be sufficient to provide for ultimate repayment. We recognize interest on nonaccrual loans only when it is received.

The following table provides information concerning nonperforming assets and past-due loans:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |
|---|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Nonaccruing loans | \$ 4,158 | \$ 3,019 | \$ 4,628 | \$ 4,774 | \$ 1,278 |
| Real estate acquired through foreclosure | 2,440 | 931 | 65 | 296 | 2,247 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ 6,598 | \$ 3,950 | \$ 4,693 | \$ 5,070 | \$ 3,525 |
| Loans past-due 90 days or more and accruing | \$ 27,274 | \$ 860 | \$ 1,658 | \$ 2,258 | \$ 9,346 |

During 2006, our asset quality deteriorated due to the softer economic environment, particularly the residential real estate market, in our lending area. As of December 31, 2006, we had \$4.158 million in nonaccrual loans, as compared with \$3.019 million at December 31, 2005. We held other real estate owned of \$2.440 million at December 31, 2006, compared to \$931,000 at December 31, 2005. Our nonperforming

assets, the total of nonaccrual loans and other real estate owned, increased to 0.52% of our total assets at December 31, 2006 from 0.29% of our total assets at December 31, 2005, reflecting the increase in our total level of nonperforming assets and a decrease in total assets.

Our allowance for loan losses represented 187.9% of nonperforming assets as of December 31, 2006 compared to 297.3% as of December 31, 2005. Our loans past-due 90 days or more and accruing increased significantly, ending 2006 at \$27.274 million, compared to \$860,000 at the end of 2005. This increase in 90-day delinquent loans is attributable to increases in both residential and commercial loans past due. Of the total, \$16,278 million (9 loans) are well-secured commercial and commercial real estate loans, \$9.845 million are first-lien residential real estate loans, and \$1.044 million are residential construction loans. The past-due loans include several large commercial real estate loans. All loans in this category are deemed well-collateralized and in the process of collection.

Potential problem loans consist of loans that are currently performing in accordance with contractual terms; however, management has designated these assets as potential problem loans due to concerns about the ability of the obligor to continue to comply with repayment terms that may result from the obligor's potential operating or financial difficulties. At the end of 2006, loans of this type that are not included in the above table of nonperforming and past-due loans amounted to approximately \$41.901 million. Twenty of the loans (83% of total potential problem loans) are commercial relationships that are well secured by commercial real estate and other business assets that are considered sufficient to collect all amounts due in the event of deterioration in the customer's financial condition. The remaining fifteen loans (17% of total potential problem loans) are consumer residential construction loans that are well secured by property with residential real estate as the highest and best use and have an appraised value in excess of the carrying value of the loan. The average relationship was \$1.216 million and the largest relationship was \$9.899 million. Depending on changes in the economy and other future events, these loans and others not presently identified as problem loans could be reclassified as nonperforming or impaired loans in the future.

A loan is determined to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. A loan is not considered impaired during a period of delay in payment if we expect to collect all amounts due, including past-due interest. We generally consider a period of delay in payment to include delinquency up to 90 days, but often extend this period if the loan is collateralized by residential or commercial real estate with a low loan-to-value ratio, and where collection and repayment efforts are progressing. We place all loans considered impaired on nonaccrual status.

In accordance with SFAS No. 114, *Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan*, we measure impaired loans; (i) at the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate; (ii) at the observable market price; or (iii) at the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. If the measure of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, an impairment is recognized through a valuation allowance and corresponding provision for credit losses. SFAS No. 114 does not apply to larger groups of smaller-balance homogeneous loans such as consumer installment, residential first and second mortgage loans, and credit card loans. These loans are collectively evaluated for impairment.

Loans we consider impaired at December 31, 2006 totaled \$1.771 million compared to \$1.702 million at December 31, 2005. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, \$706,000 and \$687,000, respectively, of total impaired loans were real estate collateral dependent. Real estate collateral dependent loans are measured based on the fair value of the collateral. The valuation allowance for impaired loans was approximately \$536,000 at December 31, 2006 and \$851,000 at December 31, 2005. An impaired loan is charged off when the loan, or a portion thereof, is considered uncollectible.

Deposits

We use deposits as the primary source of funding of our loans. We offer individuals and businesses a wide variety of deposit accounts. These accounts include checking, savings, money market, and certificates of deposit and are obtained primarily from communities we serve. We have no brokered deposits.

Deposits totaled \$924.938 million as of December 31, 2006, increasing \$48.928 million or 5.6% from the December 31, 2005 balance of \$876.010 million. The increase in deposits is attributable to management's growth strategy, which includes significant marketing, promotion, and cross selling of existing customers into additional products, as well as branch expansion. Continued successful marketing campaigns have maintained a strong mix of noninterest checking accounts, NOW, and money market accounts. The following table details the average amount and the average rate paid for each category of deposits as of December 31:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 2006 Average Balance | Average Rate | 2005 Average Balance | Average Rate | 2004 Average Balance | Average Rate |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| NOW accounts | \$ 10,945 | 0.22 % | \$ 13,065 | 0.20 % | \$ 55,488 | 0.34 % |
| Money market accounts | 241,039 | 3.26 % | 203,222 | 1.58 % | 158,802 | 0.84 % |
| Savings accounts | 67,164 | 0.31 % | 71,789 | 0.30 % | 67,009 | 0.35 % |
| Certificates of deposit | 388,192 | 4.08 % | 395,645 | 3.35 % | 367,847 | 3.00 % |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 707,340 | 3.38 % | 683,721 | 2.45 % | 649,146 | 1.97 % |
| Noninterest-bearing demand deposits | 179,210 | | 174,115 | | 143,706 | |
| Total deposits | \$ 886,550 | 2.70 % | \$ 857,836 | 1.95 % | \$ 792,852 | 1.62 % |

The following table provides the maturities of certificates of deposit in amounts of \$100,000 or more at December 31:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Maturing in: | | | |
| 3 months or less | \$ 11,175 | \$ 7,038 | \$ 3,636 |
| Over 3 months through 6 months | 21,886 | 6,899 | 5,411 |
| Over 6 months through 12 months | 26,343 | 14,282 | 11,654 |
| Over 12 months | 94,343 | 132,239 | 124,378 |
| | \$ 153,747 | \$ 160,458 | \$ 145,079 |

Core deposits represent deposits which we believe will not be affected by changes in interest rates, and therefore, will be retained regardless of the movement of interest rates. We consider our core deposits to be all noninterest-bearing, NOW, money market accounts less than \$100,000, and saving deposits, as well as all time deposits less than \$100,000 that mature in greater than one year. As of December 31, 2006, our core deposits were \$534.260 million. The remainder of our deposits could be susceptible to attrition due to interest rate movements.

Borrowings

Our borrowings consist of short-term promissory notes issued to certain qualified investors, short-term and long-term advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank at Atlanta (FHLB), a mortgage warehouse line of credit, long-term repurchase agreements with callable options, a mortgage loan, a long-term line of credit, and junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures. Our short-term promissory notes are in the form of commercial paper, which reprice daily and have maturities of 270 days or less. Our advances from the FHLB may be in the form of short-term or long-term obligations. Short-term advances

have maturities for one year or less and can be paid without penalty. Long-term borrowings through the FHLB have original maturities up to 14 years and generally contain prepayment penalties.

Long-term borrowings, which totaled \$132.557 million and \$131.000 million at December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively, consist of long-term advances from the FHLB, a mortgage loan on our former headquarters building, and a line of credit used to fund consumer finances receivables. As of December 31, 2006, total borrowings under the line of credit were \$38.000 million, up from \$36.290 million at December 31, 2005. FHLB advances totaled \$85.000 million at December 31, 2006, unchanged from December 31, 2005. In March of 2005, we purchased our former headquarters building and assumed the existing mortgage loan on the property. As of December 31, 2006, the balance on the loan was \$9.557 million compared to \$9.710 million as of December 31, 2005.

Short-term borrowings consist of short-term promissory notes, short-term advances from the FHLB, and a mortgage warehouse line of credit secured by certain loans held for sale. Short-term borrowings decreased \$158.492 million, from \$199.376 million at December 31, 2005 to \$40.884 million at December 31, 2006, due to the pay-off of our short-term FHLB borrowings from the proceeds of the investment security sales.

Our repurchase agreements may be short-term or long-term, are priced at origination, and cannot be prepaid without penalty.

See Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, for further details about our borrowings and additional borrowing capacity.

Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures

As an ongoing part of our funding and capital planning, we issue trust preferred securities from statutory trusts, which are wholly owned by First Mariner Bancorp. The proceeds from the sales of trust preferred securities, combined with our equity investment in these trusts, are exchanged for subordinated deferrable interest debentures. We currently maintain seven of these trusts with aggregated debentures of \$73.724 million as of December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

See Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, for more information concerning our junior subordinated deferrable trust debentures.

Capital Resources

Stockholders' equity increased \$6.254 million in 2006 to \$78.629 million as of December 31, 2006 from \$72.375 million as of December 31, 2005. Accumulated other comprehensive loss improved by \$2.392 million due primarily to the sale of \$97.387 million in securities which had net unrealized losses. Retained earnings grew by the retention of net income of \$1.924 million for 2006. Common stock and additional paid-in-capital increased by \$1.938 million due to the sale of stock through the exercise of options (\$1.519 million), shares issued through the employee stock purchase plan (\$365,000), and stock compensation awards (\$51,000), offset by stock repurchases by the Company of \$582,000. In addition, \$585,000 for the tax effect of non-qualified stock options was recorded in additional paid-in capital.

Banking regulatory authorities have implemented strict capital guidelines directly related to the credit risk associated with an institution's assets. Banks and bank holding companies are required to maintain capital levels based on their risk adjusted assets so that categories of assets with higher defined credit risks will require more capital support than assets with lower risk. Additionally, capital must be maintained to support certain off-balance sheet instruments.

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

To date, we have provided for our capital requirements mainly through the funds we received from stock offerings and issuances of trust preferred securities. In the future, we may consider raising capital from time to time through an offering of common stock or other securities. As reflected in the table below, both the Company and the Bank have exceeded their capital adequacy requirements as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 and met the requirement for well capitalized status under Federal Banking Regulation. We continually monitor our capital adequacy ratios to ensure that we exceed regulatory capital requirements.

We have a total of \$71.500 million in trust preferred securities which are eligible as capital for regulatory purposes. As of December 31, 2006, \$26.518 million in trust preferred securities was included in Tier 1 capital and the remaining \$44.982 million was included in Total Capital. See Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, for more information concerning our trust preferred securities.

Capital is classified as Tier 1 capital (common stockholders equity less certain intangible assets plus a portion of the trust preferred securities) and Total Capital (Tier 1 plus the allowed portion of the allowance for loan losses and the portion of trust preferred securities not included in Tier 1 capital). Minimum required levels must at least equal 4% for Tier 1 capital and 8% for Total Capital. In addition, institutions must maintain a minimum of 4% leverage capital ratio (Tier 1 capital to average total assets for the previous quarter).

Our capital position is presented in the following table:

| | December 31, | | | Minimum Requirements for Capital Adequacy Purposes | To be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provision |
|--|--------------|--------|--------|--|--|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | | |
| Regulatory capital ratios: | | | | | |
| Leverage: | | | | | |
| Consolidated | 7.8 % | 7.4 % | 7.1 % | 4.0 % | 5.0 % |
| Bank | 7.3 % | 6.8 % | 6.5 % | 4.0 % | 5.0 % |
| Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets: | | | | | |
| Consolidated | 10.0 % | 9.5 % | 9.3 % | 4.0 % | 6.0 % |
| Bank | 9.6 % | 9.0 % | 8.7 % | 4.0 % | 6.0 % |
| Total capital to risk-weighted assets: | | | | | |
| Consolidated | 15.6 % | 14.9 % | 14.1 % | 8.0 % | 10.0 % |
| Bank | 11.7 % | 10.7 % | 10.2 % | 8.0 % | 10.0 % |

Liquidity

Liquidity describes our ability to meet financial obligations, including lending commitments and contingencies, that arise during the normal course of business. Liquidity is primarily needed to meet the borrowing and deposit withdrawal requirements of our customers, as well as to meet current and planned expenditures. These cash requirements are met on a daily basis through the inflow of deposit funds, and the maintenance of short-term overnight investments, maturities and calls in our investment portfolio, and available lines of credit with the FHLB, which requires pledged collateral. Fluctuations in deposit and short-term borrowing balances may be influenced by the interest rates paid, general consumer confidence and the overall economic environment. There can be no assurances that deposit withdrawals and loan fundings will not exceed all available sources of liquidity on a short-term basis. Such a situation would have an adverse effect on our ability to originate new loans and maintain reasonable loan and deposit interest rates, which would negatively impact earnings.

The borrowing requirements of customers include commitments to extend credit and the unused portion of lines of credit (collectively commitments) and totaled \$294.227 million at December 31, 2006. Historically, many of the commitments expire without being fully drawn; therefore, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Commitments for real estate development and construction, which totaled \$118.490 million, or 40.3% of the \$294.227 million, are generally short-term in nature, satisfying cash requirements with principal repayments as construction properties financed are generally repaid with permanent financing. Available credit lines represent the unused portion of credit previously extended and available to the customer as long as there is no violation of material contractual conditions. Commitments to extend credit for residential mortgage loans of \$57.333 million, or 19.5% of the \$294.227 million at December 31, 2006, generally expire within 60 days. Commercial commitments to extend credit and unused lines of credit of \$24.062 million, or 8.2% of the \$294.227 million at December 31, 2006, generally do not extend for more than 12 months. Consumer commitments to extend credit and unused lines of credit of \$15.575 million, or 5.3% of the \$294.227 million at December 31, 2006, are generally open ended. At December 31, 2006, available home equity lines totaled \$78.767 million, 26.7% of total commitments. Home equity credit lines generally extend for a period of 10 years.

Capital expenditures for various branch locations and equipment can be a significant use of liquidity. As of December 31, 2006, we plan on expending approximately \$6.000 million in the next 12 months on our premises and equipment.

Customer withdrawals are also a principal use of liquidity, but are generally mitigated by growth in customer funding sources, such as deposits and short-term borrowings. While balances may fluctuate up and down in any given period, historically we have experienced a steady increase in total customer funding sources.

The Bank's principal sources of liquidity are cash and cash equivalents (which are cash on hand or amounts due from financial institutions, federal funds sold, money market mutual funds, and interest bearing deposits), available for sale securities, loans held for sale, deposit accounts, and borrowings. The levels of such sources are dependent on the Bank's operating, financing, and investing activities at any given time. We continue to primarily rely on core deposits from customers to provide stable and cost-effective sources of funding to support our loan growth. We also seek to augment such deposits with longer term and higher yielding certificates of deposit. Cash and cash equivalents, which totaled \$42.969 million at December 31, 2006, have immediate availability to meet our short-term funding needs. Our entire investment portfolio is classified as available for sale, is highly marketable, and available to meet our liquidity needs. Loans held for sale, which totaled \$94.371 million at December 31, 2006, are committed to be sold into the secondary market and generally are funded within 60 days. Our residential real estate portfolio includes loans that are underwritten to secondary market criteria and provide us an additional

source of liquidity. Additionally, our residential construction loan portfolio provides a source of liquidity as construction periods generally range from 9-12 months, and these loans are subsequently financed with permanent first mortgages and sold into the secondary market. Our loan to deposit ratio stood at 93.7% as of December 31, 2006 and 97.2% at December 31, 2005.

We also have the ability to utilize established credit as an additional source of liquidity. To use the vast majority of our credit lines, we must pledge certain loans and/or investment securities before advances can be obtained. As of December 31, 2006, we maintained lines of credit totaling \$524.019 million, with available borrowing capacity of \$254.102 million based upon loans and investments available for pledging.

We are not aware of any known trends, demands, commitments, or uncertainties that are reasonably likely to result in material changes in our liquidity.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

Interest rate sensitivity is an important factor in the management of the composition and maturity configurations of our earning assets and our funding sources. The primary objective of our asset/liability management is to ensure the steady growth of our primary earnings component, net interest income. Our net interest income can fluctuate with significant interest rate movements. We may attempt to structure the statement of financial condition so that repricing opportunities exist for both assets and liabilities in roughly equivalent amounts at approximately the same time intervals. However, imbalances in these repricing opportunities at any point in time may be appropriate to mitigate risks from fee income subject to interest rate risk, such as mortgage-banking activities.

The measurement of our interest rate sensitivity, or *gap*, is one of the techniques used in asset/liability management. Interest sensitive gap is the dollar difference between our assets and liabilities which are subject to interest rate pricing within a given time period, including both floating rate or adjustable rate instruments and instruments which are approaching maturity.

Our management and our board of directors oversee the asset/liability management function and meet periodically to monitor and manage the statement of financial condition, control interest rate exposure, and evaluate pricing strategies. We evaluate the asset mix of the statement of financial condition continually in terms of several variables: yield, credit quality, funding sources and liquidity. Our management of the liability mix of the statement of financial condition focuses on expanding our various funding sources and promotion of deposit products with desirable repricing or maturity characteristics.

In theory, we can diminish interest rate risk through maintaining a nominal level of interest rate sensitivity. In practice, this is made difficult by a number of factors including cyclical variation in loan demand, different impacts on our interest-sensitive assets and liabilities when interest rates change, and the availability of our funding sources. Accordingly, we strive to manage the interest rate sensitivity gap by adjusting the maturity of and establishing rates on the earning asset portfolio and certain interest-bearing liabilities commensurate with our expectations relative to market interest rates. Additionally, we may employ the use of off-balance sheet instruments, such as interest rate swaps or caps, to manage our exposure to interest rate movements. Generally, we attempt to maintain a balance between rate-sensitive assets and liabilities that is appropriate to minimize our overall interest rate risk, not just our net interest margin.

Our interest rate sensitivity position as of December 31, 2006 is presented in the following table. Our assets and liabilities are scheduled based on maturity or repricing data except for mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities that are based on prevailing prepayments assumptions, and core deposits which are based on core deposit studies done for banks in the Mid-Atlantic region. The difference between our rate-sensitive assets and rate-sensitive liabilities or the interest rate sensitivity gap, is shown at the bottom of the table. As of December 31, 2006, our interest sensitive assets exceeded our interest sensitive

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

liabilities within a one year period by \$206.053 million or 16.3% of total assets. As of December 31, 2005, our interest rate sensitive assets exceeded our interest-sensitive liabilities by \$167.664 million or 12.3% of total assets. The change in our interest rate sensitivity gap occurred primarily due to the sale of longer-term securities during 2006.

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 180 days or less | 181 days- one year | One-five years | > 5 years or non- sensitive | Total |
|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---|---------------------|
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing deposits | \$ 6,235 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 6,235 |
| Securities available for sale | 62,437 | 7,907 | 36,656 | 40,290 | 147,290 |
| Restricted stock investments | 6,449 | | | | 6,449 |
| Loans held for sale | 94,371 | | | | 94,371 |
| Loans | 487,530 | 107,732 | 239,078 | 32,119 | 866,459 |
| Total interest-earning assets | \$ 657,022 | \$ 115,639 | \$ 275,734 | \$ 72,409 | \$ 1,120,804 |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | |
| Savings accounts | \$ 3,325 | \$ 2,859 | \$ 23,023 | \$ 29,170 | \$ 58,377 |
| NOW accounts | 1,291 | 1,293 | 10,414 | 13,195 | 26,193 |
| Money market accounts | 223,415 | 1,727 | 13,911 | 17,625 | 256,678 |
| Certificates of deposit | 50,699 | 104,649 | 241,622 | | 396,970 |
| Borrowings and junior subordinated debentures | 177,350 | | 60,259 | 9,556 | 247,165 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | \$ 456,080 | \$ 110,528 | \$ 349,229 | \$ 69,546 | \$ 985,383 |
| Interest rate sensitive gap position: | | | | | |
| Period | \$ 200,942 | \$ 5,111 | \$ (73,495) | \$ 2,863 | |
| % of assets | 15.91 | % 0.40 | % (5.82) | % 0.23 | % |
| Cumulative | \$ 200,942 | \$ 206,053 | \$ 132,558 | \$ 135,421 | |
| % of assets | 15.91 | % 16.31 | % 10.49 | % 10.72 | % |
| Cumulative risk sensitive assets to risk sensitive liabilities | 144.06 | % 136.37 | % 114.47 | % 113.74 | % |

While we monitor interest rate sensitivity reports, we primarily test our interest rate sensitivity through the deployment of simulation analysis. We use earnings simulation models to estimate what effect specific interest rate changes would have on our net interest income and net income. Simulation analysis provides us with a more rigorous and dynamic measure of interest sensitivity. Derivative financial instruments, such as interest rate caps, are included in the analysis. Changes in prepayments have been included where changes in behavior patterns are assumed to be significant to the simulation, particularly mortgage related assets. Call features on certain securities and borrowings are based on their call probability in view of the projected rate change, and pricing features such as interest rate floors are incorporated. Our fee income produced by mortgage-banking operations may also be impacted by changes in rates. As long-term rates increase, the volume of fixed rate mortgage loans originated for sale in the secondary market may decline and reduce our revenues generated by this line of business. We attempt to structure our asset and liability management strategies to mitigate the impact on net interest income by changes in market interest rates. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to manage interest rate risk so as to avoid significant adverse effects on net interest income. At December 31, 2006, the simulation model provided the following profile of our interest rate risk measured over a one-year time horizon, assuming a parallel shift in a yield curve based off the U.S. dollar forward swap curve adjusted for certain pricing assumptions:

| | Immediate Rate Change | |
|---------------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| | +200BP | -200BP |
| Net interest income | 1.86 % | -1.84% |

Both of the above tools used to assess interest rate risk have strengths and weaknesses. Because the gap analysis reflects a static position at a single point in time, it is limited in quantifying the total impact of market rate changes which do not affect all earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities equally or simultaneously. In addition, gap reports depict the existing structure, excluding exposure arising from new business. While the simulation process is a powerful tool in analyzing interest rate sensitivity, many of the assumptions used in the process are highly qualitative and subjective, and are subject to the risk that past historical activity may not generate accurate predictions of the future. The model also assumes parallel movements in interest rates, which means both short-term and long-term rates will change equally. Nonparallel changes in interest rates (short-term rates changing differently from long-term rates) could result in significant differences in projected income amounts when compared to parallel tests. Both measurement tools taken together, however, provide an effective evaluation of our exposure to changes in interest rates, enabling management to better control the volatility of earnings.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We enter into off-balance sheet arrangements in the normal course of business. These arrangements consist primarily of commitments to extend credit, lines of credit and letters of credit. In addition, the Company has certain contractual obligations.

Credit Commitments. Credit commitments are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition to the contract. Loan commitments generally have interest rates fixed at current market amounts, fixed expiration dates, and may require payment of a fee. Lines of credit generally have variable interest rates. Such lines do not represent future cash requirements because it is unlikely that all customers will draw upon their lines in full at any time. Letters of credit are commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party.

Outstanding loan commitments, unused lines of credit, and letters of credit were as follows at December 31, 2006:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | |
|-------------------------------|------------|
| Commitments to extend credit | \$ 175,823 |
| Unused lines of credit | 118,404 |
| Letters of credit | 4,677 |
| | \$ 298,904 |

Our exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the borrower is the contract amount of the commitment. Loan commitments, lines of credit, and letters of credit are made on the same terms, including collateral, as outstanding loans. We are not aware of any accounting loss we would incur by funding our commitments.

Contractual Obligations. First Mariner has certain obligations to make future payments under contract. At December 31, 2006, the aggregate contractual obligations and commitments are:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Payments Due by Period | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| | Total | Less than one year | 1-3 Years | 3-5 years | After 5 years |
| Certificates of deposit | \$ 396,970 | \$ 166,398 | \$ 186,085 | \$ 44,487 | \$ |
| Borrowings and junior subordinated debentures | 247,165 | 40,884 | 38,000 | 60,000 | 108,281 |
| Annual rental commitments under non-cancelable leases | 32,655 | 4,284 | 7,637 | 5,409 | 15,325 |
| | \$ 676,790 | \$ 211,566 | \$ 231,722 | \$ 109,896 | \$ 123,606 |

Rate Lock Commitments and Forward Sales of Securities. We enter into rate lock commitments, under which we, through the mortgage-banking segment, originate residential mortgage loans with interest rates determined prior to funding. Rate lock commitments on mortgage loans that we intend to sell in the secondary market are considered derivatives. The period of time between issuance of a loan commitment and closing and sale of the loan generally ranges from 14 days to 60 days. For these rate lock commitments, we protect the Company from changes in interest rates through the use of best efforts forward delivery commitments and, in the case of mandatory delivery commitments, through forward sales of MBS and Eurodollar contracts.

Under best efforts forward delivery commitments, we protect the Company from changes in interest rates by entering in to agreements whereby we commit to sell a loan at the time the borrower commits to an interest rate with the intent that the buyer has assumed interest rate risk on the loan. As a result, we are not exposed to losses nor will we realize gains related to our rate lock commitments due to changes in interest rates unless there would be a failure to honor the rate lock commitment by the counterparty. We mitigate counterparty risk by entering into forward delivery commitments with proven counterparties with whom we have significant previous experience and have performed significant due diligence.

Under mandatory delivery commitments, we are exposed to price risk from the time a rate lock commitment is made to a mortgage applicant until the time the mortgage loan is sold. To manage this risk we use forward sales of agency mortgage-backed securities and Eurodollar contracts. Additionally, under mandatory delivery commitments, we remain exposed to basis risk in that the changes in value of our hedges may not equal or completely offset the changes in value of the rate commitments being hedged. This can result due to changes in the market demand for our mortgage loans brought about by supply and demand considerations and perceptions about credit risk relative to the agency securities. We also mitigate counterparty risk by entering into mandatory delivery commitments with proven counterparties and forward sales of mortgage-backed securities and Eurodollar contracts with pre-approved financial intermediaries.

At December 31, 2006, we had \$49.353 million in total rate lock commitments of which \$31.032 million consisted of best efforts forward delivery commitments and \$18.321 million of mandatory delivery commitments.

Notional Amount of Derivatives. The Bank, through First Mariner Mortgage, enters into interest rate lock commitments, which are commitments to originate loans whereby the interest rate on the loan is determined prior to funding and the customers have locked into that interest rate. The Bank also has corresponding forward sales commitments related to these interest rate lock commitments, both on an individual loan basis (best efforts) and in bulk (mandatory delivery). The market value of rate lock commitments and best efforts contracts is not readily ascertainable with precision because rate lock commitments and best efforts contracts are not actively traded in stand-alone markets. The Bank determines the fair value of rate lock commitments and best efforts contracts by measuring the change in the value of the underlying asset, while taking into consideration the probability that the rate lock commitments will close. Fair value for mandatory delivery contracts is more ascertainable.

Information pertaining to the notional amounts of our derivative financial instruments follows as of December 31, 2006. The fair values of these derivative financial instruments are recorded in our consolidated balance sheet in accordance with SFAS No. 133.

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Notional Amount | Estimated Fair Value |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Interest rate lock commitments | \$ 49,353 | \$ 49,282 |
| Open hedge positions: | | |
| Forward sales commitments on loan pipeline and funded loans | \$ 99,555 | \$ 99,572 |
| Forward contracts to sell mortgage-backed securities and Eurodollars | \$ 59,500 | \$ 59,512 |

The net effect on our income statement from marking to market the forward contracts, the interest rate lock commitments and mortgage loans held for sale are not considered material to the overall operations of the consolidated company.

Changes in interest rates could materially affect the fair value of derivative loan commitments on our consolidated financial statements. In reality, one would not expect all other assumptions to remain constant. Changes in one factor may result in changes in another (for example, changes in interest rates could result in changes in the fallout factor), which might magnify or counteract the sensitivities. This is because the impact of an interest rate shift on the fallout ratio is non-symmetrical and non-linear.

Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

Inflation may be expected to have an impact on our operating costs and thus on net income. A prolonged period of inflation could cause interest rates, wages, and other costs to increase and could adversely affect our results of operations unless the fees we charge could be increased correspondingly.

Our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, which require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars without considering the change in the relative purchasing power of money over time and due to inflation. The impact of inflation is reflected in the increased cost of our operations. Unlike most industrial companies, nearly all of our assets are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a greater impact on our performance than do the effects of general levels of inflation. Interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or to the same extent as the price of goods and services. We believe that the impact of inflation was not material for 2006 or 2005.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 21 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, for discussion of recent accounting pronouncements.

Financial Review 2005/2004

For the year ended December 31, 2005, we recorded net income of \$7.822 million or \$1.20 per diluted share, an increase of 28.2% from net income of \$6.101 million income or \$0.96 per diluted share in 2004. Our gross revenue (net interest income and noninterest income) increased \$9.107 million while our noninterest expenses, the provision for credit losses and income taxes increased by \$7.386 million.

Our net interest income for 2005 increased \$5.282 million or 12.4% from 2004, due to growth in average earning assets of 17.7% over the prior year. Our net interest margin decreased 18 basis points to 3.88% in 2005 compared to 4.06% in 2004. The increase in our net interest income resulted primarily due to the increase in average interest earning assets and in the yield on earning assets from 6.24% in 2004 to 6.67% in 2005. The benefit realized from increased yields on earning assets was more than offset by higher rates paid on interest-bearing deposits and borrowings, which increased from 2.49% in 2004 to 3.16% in 2005.

Our provision for loan losses increased to \$3.287 million in 2005 from \$2.243 million in 2004. Our allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2005 represented 1.38% of loans outstanding, an increase from December 31, 2004 of 1.28% of loans outstanding. We recognized net chargeoffs of \$1.124 million in 2005 compared to \$1.355 million in 2004.

Our total noninterest income increased 19.9% to \$23.015 million, which included a significant decrease in gains on sales of investment securities. Securities gains in 2005 totaled \$0 compared to \$440,000 for 2004. Excluding gains on sales of securities, our noninterest income increased \$4.265 million

or 22.7%. The increase was mostly due to an increase in our revenue from mortgage-banking activities that increased by \$2.391 million or 48.6%, driven by higher levels of loans originated and sold into the secondary market, somewhat offset by modestly tighter pricing margins and increases in forfeited revenue for early prepayments and defaults. Our service fees on deposits increased \$286,000 or 4.1% as a result of increased demand deposit account volume. ATM fees increased \$299,000 due to higher volumes of ATM. Sales of other insurance products from Finance Maryland increased \$993,000.

We incurred noninterest expense growth of 10.6% to \$56.340 million in 2005. Our salaries and benefits increased 16.5% to \$30.909 million primarily due to additional personnel costs for new positions supporting the increase in the number of loans and deposits, staffing hired to support the expansion of the consumer finance company and wholesale mortgage activities, increased costs of employer provided health care, and higher performance-based incentive costs. Our occupancy costs decreased by 2.4% or \$151,000, reflecting a decrease in lease expense due to the purchase of our (former) headquarters building in March of 2005. Our professional services increased by \$265,000 or 35.1% due to higher service and maintenance expenses for our increased number of locations. The increase in our other expenses is primarily due to increases in consulting, postage, and charitable contributions.

We recorded income tax expense of \$3.289 million in 2005, compared to \$2.361 million recorded in 2004. Our effective income tax rate for 2005 was 29.6% compared to 27.9% for 2004. The increase in our effective tax rate was due to higher pre-tax income for 2005 of \$11.111 million compared to \$8.462 million in 2004. The effective tax rate increased due to lower levels of tax-exempt income relative to pre-tax income in 2005 as compared to 2004.

ITEM 7A QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Results of operations for financial institutions, including us, may be materially and adversely affected by changes in prevailing economic conditions, including declines in real estate values, rapid changes in interest rates and the monetary and fiscal policies of the federal government. Our loan portfolio is concentrated primarily in central Maryland and portions of Maryland's eastern shore and is, therefore, subject to risks associated with these local economies.

See Interest Rate Sensitivity in Item 7 of this Form 10-K for more information about market risk.

ITEM 8 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Stockholders
First Mariner Bancorp
Baltimore, Maryland

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition of First Mariner Bancorp and Subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company and its subsidiaries as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of their operations and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated March 3, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion on management's assessment of internal control over financial reporting and an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ STEGMAN & COMPANY

Baltimore, Maryland
March 3, 2007

FIRST MARINER BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES
Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition

| | December 31, 2006 <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | December 31, 2005 |
|--|---|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 36,734 | \$ 40,157 |
| Federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits | 6,235 | 5,678 |
| Securities available for sale, at fair value | 147,290 | 276,939 |
| Loans held for sale | 94,371 | 92,351 |
| Loans receivable | 866,459 | 851,586 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (12,399) | (11,743) |
| Loans, net | 854,060 | 839,843 |
| Real estate acquired through foreclosure | 2,440 | 931 |
| Restricted stock investments | 6,449 | 13,647 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 49,062 | 40,402 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 10,579 | 8,037 |
| Deferred income taxes | 6,806 | 5,940 |
| Bank-owned life insurance | 33,492 | 27,375 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 15,772 | 11,178 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,263,290 | \$ 1,362,478 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Deposits: | | |
| Noninterest-bearing | \$ 186,720 | \$ 182,049 |
| Interest-bearing | 738,218 | 693,961 |
| Total deposits | 924,938 | 876,010 |
| Short-term borrowings | 40,884 | 199,376 |
| Long-term borrowings | 132,557 | 131,000 |
| Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures | 73,724 | 73,724 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 12,558 | 9,993 |
| Total liabilities | 1,184,661 | 1,290,103 |
| Stockholders equity: | | |
| Common stock, \$.05 par value; 20,000,000 shares authorized; 6,427,725 and 6,262,442 shares issued and outstanding, respectively | 321 | 313 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 57,123 | 55,193 |
| Retained earnings | 22,109 | 20,185 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (924) | (3,316) |
| Total stockholders equity | 78,629 | 72,375 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 1,263,290 | \$ 1,362,478 |

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

FIRST MARINER BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES
Consolidated Statements of Operations

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--|-------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| | <i>(dollars in thousands, except per share data)</i> | | |
| Interest income: | | | |
| Loans | \$ 82,154 | \$ 68,136 | \$ 51,549 |
| Investments and other earning assets | 13,594 | 13,924 | 13,721 |
| Total interest income | 95,748 | 82,060 | 65,270 |
| Interest expense: | | | |
| Deposits | 23,920 | 16,729 | 12,808 |
| Short-term borrowings | 9,299 | 6,596 | 1,259 |
| Long-term borrowings | 13,263 | 11,012 | 8,762 |
| Total interest expense | 46,482 | 34,337 | 22,829 |
| Net interest income | 49,266 | 47,723 | 42,441 |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,315 | 3,287 | 2,243 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 46,951 | 44,436 | 40,198 |
| Noninterest income: | | | |
| Gain on sale of mortgage loans | 7,614 | 5,018 | 3,349 |
| Other mortgage-banking revenue | 2,892 | 2,289 | 1,567 |
| ATM fees | 3,161 | 3,135 | 2,836 |
| Service fees on deposits | 6,887 | 7,185 | 6,899 |
| (Loss) gain on sales of investment securities, net | (3,037) |) | 440 |
| Commissions on sales of nondeposit investment products | 638 | 531 | 649 |
| Income from bank-owned life insurance | 1,117 | 1,031 | 1,072 |
| Commissions on sales of other insurance products | 2,671 | 2,512 | 1,519 |
| Other | 1,824 | 1,314 | 859 |
| Total noninterest income | 23,767 | 23,015 | 19,190 |
| Noninterest expenses: | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 34,990 | 30,909 | 26,523 |
| Net occupancy | 8,012 | 6,104 | 6,255 |
| Furniture, fixtures, and equipment | 3,162 | 3,057 | 3,005 |
| Professional services | 958 | 1,021 | 756 |
| Advertising | 1,341 | 1,352 | 1,455 |
| Data processing | 1,811 | 2,006 | 2,079 |
| ATM expenses | 962 | 1,146 | 1,112 |
| Service and maintenance | 2,202 | 1,833 | 1,485 |
| Secondary marketing valuation | 4,450 | | |
| Other | 11,271 | 8,912 | 8,256 |
| Total noninterest expenses | 69,159 | 56,340 | 50,926 |
| Net income before income taxes | 1,559 | 11,111 | 8,462 |
| Income tax (benefit) expense | (365) |) | 2,361 |
| Net income | \$ 1,924 | \$ 7,822 | \$ 6,101 |
| Net income per common share: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.30 | \$ 1.28 | \$ 1.06 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.29 | \$ 1.20 | \$ 0.96 |

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

FIRST MARINER BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity

| | For the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004 | | | | Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income | Total Stockholders Equity |
|--|---|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| | Number of Shares of Common Stock <i>(dollars in thousands, except number of shares)</i> | Common Stock | Additional Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings | | |
| Balance at January 1, 2004 | 5,693,637 | \$ 285 | \$ 50,717 | \$ 6,262 | \$ 1,170 | \$ 58,434 |
| Net income | | | | 6,101 | | 6,101 |
| Common stock issued, net of costs of issuance | 170,049 | 8 | 1,682 | | | 1,690 |
| Common stock repurchased, net of costs | (37,675) | (2) | (607) | | | (609) |
| Other comprehensive loss | | | | | (1,302) | (1,302) |
| Balance at December 31, 2004 | 5,826,011 | 291 | 51,792 | 12,363 | (132) | 64,314 |
| Net income | | | | 7,822 | | 7,822 |
| Common stock issued, net of costs of issuance | 531,181 | 27 | 4,974 | | | 5,001 |
| Common stock repurchased, net of costs | (94,750) | (5) | (1,573) | | | (1,578) |
| Other comprehensive loss | | | | | (3,184) | (3,184) |
| Balance at December 31, 2005 | 6,262,442 | 313 | 55,193 | 20,185 | (3,316) | 72,375 |
| Net income | | | | 1,924 | | 1,924 |
| Common stock issued, net of costs of issuance | 196,183 | 9 | 1,875 | | | 1,884 |
| Common stock repurchased, net of costs | (30,900) | (1) | (581) | | | (582) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | | | 51 | | | 51 |
| Tax effect of options | | | 585 | | | 585 |
| Other comprehensive income | | | | | 2,392 | 2,392 |
| Balance at December 31, 2006 | 6,427,725 | \$ 321 | \$ 57,123 | \$ 22,109 | \$ (924) | \$ 78,629 |

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

FIRST MARINER BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|---|-------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| | <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | | |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 1,924 | \$ 7,822 | \$ 6,101 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities: | | | |
| Stock-based compensation | 51 | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 4,744 | 3,872 | 3,323 |
| Amortization of unearned loan fees and costs, net | (1,022) | (923) | (1,078) |
| Amortization of premiums and discounts on loans, net | (690) | (724) | (914) |
| Amortization of premiums and discounts on mortgage-backed securities, net | 218 | 267 | 563 |
| Gain on sale of mortgage loans | (7,614) | (5,018) | (3,349) |
| Loss (gain) on sale of securities available for sale | 3,037 | | (440) |
| Gain on real estate acquired through foreclosure | (14) | | (62) |
| Valuation allowance on real estate acquired through foreclosure | 297 | | |
| Secondary marketing reserve and valuation allowance on repurchased loans | 4,450 | | |
| Increase in accrued interest receivable | (2,542) | (1,620) | (1,462) |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,315 | 3,287 | 2,243 |
| Increase in cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance | (1,117) | (1,031) | (1,072) |
| Originations of mortgage loans held for sale | (1,191,676) | (1,199,017) | (674,984) |
| Proceeds from mortgage loans held for sale | 1,197,271 | 1,191,639 | 654,084 |
| Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation | (585) | | |
| Deferred taxes | (2,372) | (961) | 390 |
| Net (decrease) increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities | (1,884) | 4,103 | 1,027 |
| Net (increase) decrease in prepaids and other assets | (4,014) | (715) | 3,654 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 777 | 981 | (11,976) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Loan disbursements, net of principal repayments | (17,493) | (105,782) | (132,424) |
| Purchases of premises and equipment | (13,444) | (27,067) | (2,767) |
| Proceeds from disposals of premises and equipment | 40 | 239 | |
| Sales (purchases) of restricted stock investments, net | 7,198 | (1,761) | (4,621) |
| Activity in securities available for sale: | | | |
| Sales | 97,387 | | 18,674 |
| Maturities/calls/repayments | 39,190 | 50,939 | 153,175 |
| Purchases | (6,284) | (10,367) | (208,549) |
| Proceeds from sales of real estate acquired through foreclosure | 881 | | 404 |
| Purchase of bank-owned life insurance | (5,000) | | (10,000) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 102,475 | (93,799) | (186,108) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Net increase in deposits | 48,929 | 50,593 | 77,684 |
| Net (decrease) increase in other borrowed funds and junior subordinated debentures | (156,934) | 74,190 | 108,087 |
| Repayment of repurchase agreements | | (25,000) | |
| Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation | 585 | | |
| Proceeds from stock issuance, net of costs | 1,884 | 5,001 | 1,690 |
| Repurchase of common stock, net of costs | (582) | (1,578) | (609) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (106,118) | 103,206 | 186,852 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (2,866) | 10,388 | (11,232) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 45,835 | 35,447 | 46,679 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 42,969 | \$ 45,835 | \$ 35,447 |
| Supplemental information: | | | |
| Interest paid on deposits and borrowed funds | \$ 46,038 | \$ 33,769 | \$ 22,494 |
| Income taxes paid | 2,925 | 3,509 | 2,064 |
| Real estate acquired through foreclosure | 2,673 | 866 | 115 |

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

FIRST MARINER BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Organization, Basis of Presentation, and Use of Estimates

First Mariner Bancorp (First Mariner, on a parent only basis and we, our, or us on a consolidated basis) is a financial holding company incorporated under the laws of the state of Maryland. First Mariner is headquartered in Baltimore, Maryland, and was originally organized as MarylandBank Corp. in May 1994. MarylandBank Corp.'s name was changed to First Mariner Bancorp in May 1995. First Mariner Bancorp owns 100% of common stock of First Mariner Bank (the Bank) and 100% of the interests in Finance Maryland, LLC (Finance Maryland) and FM Appraisals, LLC (FM Appraisals).

Most of our activities are with customers within the Central Maryland region. A portion of activities related to consumer finance operations and mortgage lending are more dispersed and cover parts of the Mid-Atlantic region outside of the state of Maryland. Note 3 describes the types of securities that we invest in, and Note 4 discusses our lending activities. We do not have any concentrations to any one industry or customer.

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of First Mariner and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain reclassifications have been made to amounts previously reported to conform with classifications made in 2006.

The preparation of our financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses. In connection with these determinations, management evaluates historical trends and ratios and, where appropriate, obtains independent appraisals for significant properties and prepares fair value analyses. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. For reporting purposes, assets grouped in the Statement of Financial Condition under the captions Cash and due from banks and Federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits are considered cash or cash equivalents. For financial statement purposes, these assets are carried at cost. Cash and due from banks, federal funds sold, and interest-bearing deposits have overnight maturities and are generally in excess of amounts that would be recoverable under Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) insurance.

Investment Securities

We designate securities into one of the three categories at the time of purchase. Debt securities that we have the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as held to maturity and recorded at amortized cost. Debt and equity securities are classified as trading securities if bought and held principally for the purpose of selling them in the near term. Trading securities are reported at estimated fair value, with unrealized gains and losses included in earnings. Debt securities not classified as held to maturity and debt and equity securities not classified as trading securities are considered available for sale and are

reported at estimated fair value, with unrealized gains and losses reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity, net of tax effects, in accumulated other comprehensive income. As of December 31, 2006 and 2005, all of our investment securities were classified as available for sale.

If a decline in value of an individual security classified as held to maturity or available for sale is judged to be other than temporary, the cost basis of that security is reduced to its fair value and the amount of the write-down is reflected in our earnings. Estimated fair value is determined based on bid prices received from third party pricing services or bid quotations received from securities dealers. Gains or losses on the sales of investments are calculated using a specific-identification basis and are determined on a trade-date basis. Premiums and discounts on investment and mortgage-backed securities are amortized over the term of the security using methods that approximate the interest method.

Loans Held for Sale

Loans originated for sale are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or market value. Market value is determined based on outstanding investor commitments or, in the absence of such commitments, based on current investor yield requirements or third party pricing models. Gains and losses on loan sales are determined using the specific-identification method.

Derivative Loan Commitments Hedging Activities

In connection with our mortgage-banking activities, we enter into commitments to fund residential mortgage loans at specified times in the future. We enter into these commitments through retail and broker channels and also purchase loan commitments from correspondent lenders. A mortgage loan commitment binds the Company to lend funds to a potential borrower at a specified interest rate and within a specified period of time, generally up to 90 days after inception of the rate lock commitment. Such a commitment is referred to as a derivative loan commitment if the loan that will result from exercise of the commitment will be held for sale upon funding under Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, as amended by SFAS No. 149, *Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. As such, loan commitments that are derivatives must be recognized at fair value on the consolidated statements of financial condition with changes in their fair values recorded as part of income from mortgage-banking operations. The fair value of derivative loan commitments is considered the value that would be generated when the loan arising from exercise of the loan commitment is sold in the secondary mortgage market. For accounting purposes, we value this commitment to zero at inception, consistent with the concepts embodied in EITF 02-3, *Issues Involved in Accounting for Derivative Contracts Held for Trading Purposes and Contracts Involved in Energy Trading and Risk Management Activities*. Subsequent to inception, we estimate the fair value of the commitment, taking into consideration the probability of funding of the loan, and compare it to the fair value calculated at inception to measure the change in value, which is recorded through current period earnings with a corresponding asset for an increase in value or a liability for a decrease in value.

Loans Receivable

Our loans receivable are stated at their principal balance outstanding, net of related deferred fees and costs. Interest income on our loans is accrued at the contractual rate based on the principal outstanding. For smaller loans, we place loans in nonaccrual status when they are contractually past due 90 days as to either principal or interest, unless the loan is well secured and in the process of collection or earlier, when, in the opinion of management, the collection of principal and interest is in doubt. For larger loans, Management applies SFAS No. 114, *Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan*, to determine accrual status. Under SFAS No. 114, when it is probable that we will be unable to collect all payments due, including interest, we place the loan on nonaccrual. Management may grant a waiver from nonaccrual

status for a 90-day past-due loan that is both well secured and in the process of collection. A loan remains in nonaccrual status until the loan is current as to payment of both principal and interest and the borrower demonstrates the ability to pay and remain current. As a result of our ongoing review of the loan portfolio, we may classify loans as nonaccrual even though the presence of collateral or the borrowers financial strength may be sufficient to provide for ultimate repayment. We recognize interest on nonaccrual loans only when it is received. Loans are charged-off when a loan or a portion thereof is considered uncollectible.

We identify impaired loans and measure impairment (i) at the present value of expected cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, (ii) at the observable market price, or (iii) at the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. If our measure of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, we recognize an impairment loss through a valuation allowance and corresponding charge to provision for loan losses. We do not apply these provisions to larger groups of smaller-balance homogeneous loans such as consumer installment and residential first and second mortgage loans. We evaluate these loans collectively for impairment.

We determine a loan to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. We do not consider a loan impaired during a period of delay in payment if we expect to collect all amounts due, including interest past-due. Generally we consider a period of delay in payment to include delinquency up to 90 days, but often extend this period if the loan is collateralized by residential or commercial real estate with a low loan-to-value ratio, and where collection and repayment efforts are progressing. We place all loans considered impaired on nonaccrual status.

When the ultimate collectibility of an impaired loan's principal is in doubt, wholly or partially, all cash receipts are applied to principal. Once the recorded principal balance has been reduced to zero, future cash receipts are applied to interest income, to the extent any interest has been foregone, and then they are recorded as recoveries of any amounts previously charged off. When this doubt no longer exists, cash receipts are applied under the contractual terms of the loan agreements. Origination and commitment fees and direct origination costs on loans held for investment generally are deferred and amortized to income over the contractual lives of the related loans using the interest method. Under certain circumstances, commitment fees are recognized over the commitment period or upon expiration of the commitment. Fees to extend loans three months or less are recognized in income upon receipt. Unamortized loan fees are recognized in income when the related loans are sold or prepaid.

Allowance for Loan Losses

Our allowance for loan losses represents an amount that, in management's judgment, will be adequate to absorb probable losses on existing loans that may become uncollectible. The allowance for loan losses consists of an allocated component and an unallocated component. The components of the allowance for loan losses represent an estimation done pursuant to either SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, or SFAS No. 114, *Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan*. The adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is determined through careful and continuous review and evaluation of the loan portfolio and involves the balancing of factors as outlined below to establish a prudent level. Loans deemed uncollectible are charged against, while recoveries are credited to, the allowance. Management adjusts the level of the allowance through the provision for loan losses, which is recorded as a current period operating expense. Our methodology for assessing the appropriateness of the allowance consists of several key elements, which include the formula allowance, specific allowance and the unallocated allowance.

The formula allowance is calculated by applying loss factors to corresponding categories of outstanding homogenous loans. Loss factors are based on our historical loss experience, or for newer

classes of loans, management's estimate of probable losses. The use of these loss factors is intended to reduce the difference between estimated losses inherent in the portfolio and observed losses.

Specific allowances are established in cases where management has identified significant conditions or circumstances related to a loan that leads management to believe the probability that a loss may be incurred in an amount different from the amount determined by formula allowance calculation. Management assigns a grade to each loan in this category based on an evaluation of each individual loan. Loss factors are set by management to reflect its assessment of the relative level of risk inherent in each grade.

The unallocated allowance is based upon management's evaluation of various conditions that are not directly measured in the determination of the formula and specific allowances. Such conditions include general economic and business conditions affecting key lending areas, credit quality trends (including trends in delinquencies and nonperforming loans expected to result from existing conditions), loan volumes and concentrations, specific industry conditions within portfolio categories, recent loss experience in particular loan categories, duration of the current business cycle, bank regulatory examination results, findings of internal loan examiners, and management's judgment with respect to various other conditions including loan administration and management and the quality of risk identification systems. Executive management and the Board of Directors review these conditions quarterly.

Management believes that the allowance for loan losses is adequate. However, the determination of the allowance requires significant judgment, and estimates of probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio can vary significantly from the amounts actually observed. While management uses available information to recognize probable losses, future additions to the allowance may be necessary based on changes in the loans comprising the loan portfolio and changes in the financial condition of borrowers which may result from changes in economic conditions. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Bank's and Finance Maryland's loan portfolio and allowance for loan losses. Such review may result in recognition of additions to the allowance based on their judgments of information available to them at the time of their examination.

Real Estate Acquired Through Foreclosure

We record foreclosed real estate assets at the lower of cost or estimated fair value on their acquisition dates and at the lower of such initial amount or estimated fair value less selling costs thereafter. Subsequent write-downs are included in our noninterest expenses, along with operating income, net of related expenses of such properties and gains or losses realized upon disposition.

Restricted Stock Investments

The Bank, as a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank System, is required to maintain an investment in capital stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta (FHLB) in varying amounts based on asset size and on amounts borrowed from the FHLB. Because no ready market exists for this stock and it has no quoted market value, the Bank's investment in this stock is carried at cost.

The Bank maintains an investment in capital stock of several bankers banks. Because no ready market exists for this stock and it has no quoted market value, the Bank's investment in these stocks is carried at cost.

Premises and Equipment

Our premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization are accumulated using straight-line and accelerated methods over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Additions and betterments are capitalized and charges for repairs and

maintenance are expensed when incurred. The cost and accumulated depreciation or amortization is eliminated from the accounts when an asset is sold or retired and the resultant gain or loss is credited or charged to income. Premises and equipment have estimated useful lives ranging from 3 to 39 years. We capitalize the cost of imputed interest we incur for significant real estate construction and development and charge the amount of capitalized interest to depreciation expense on a straight-line basis over the useful life of the asset. Capitalized interest is computed and capitalized based on the average invested amount in the development project over the construction period at the rate of the company's incremental borrowing cost for the construction period.

Bank Owned Life Insurance

Bank owned life insurance is carried at the aggregate cash surrender value of life insurance policies owned where the Company or its subsidiaries are named beneficiaries. Increases in cash surrender value derived from crediting rates for underlying insurance policies is credited to noninterest income.

Transfers of Financial Assets

Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales, when control over the assets has been surrendered. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered when (1) the assets have been isolated from the Company, (2) the transferee obtains the right (free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets, and (3) the Company does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets through an agreement to repurchase them before their maturity.

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income includes all changes in stockholders' equity during a period, except those relating to investments by and distributions to stockholders. Our comprehensive income consists of net earnings and unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale and is presented in Note 18. Accumulated other comprehensive income is displayed as a separate component of stockholders' equity.

Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes are recognized for the tax consequences of temporary differences between financial statement carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities. Deferred income taxes are provided on income and expense items when they are reported for financial statement purposes in periods different from the periods in which these items are recognized in the income tax returns. Deferred tax assets are recognized only to the extent that it is more likely than not that such amounts will be realized based upon consideration of available evidence, including tax planning strategies and other factors.

Net Income Per Share

Our basic income per share is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the year. Diluted income per share is computed after adjusting the denominator of the basic income per share computation for the effect of all dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period. The dilutive effects of options, warrants and their equivalents are computed under the treasury stock method, and are provided in Note 14.

Stock-Based Compensation

In January 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123R, Share-Based Payment (Revised 2004), for our stockholder-approved Long-Term Incentive Plan, which permits the grant of share options and shares to our directors and key employees. We made the transition to fair value-based compensation using the

modified version of the prospective application, which means the fair value-based method prescribed under SFAS 123R applies to new awards, modification of previous awards, repurchases and cancellations after January 1, 2006 and to any awards that retain service requirements after January 1, 2006.

Prior to January 1, 2006, we applied the intrinsic value method to account for stock-based employee compensation plans. Under this method, compensation cost is recognized for awards of shares of common stock to employees only if the quoted market price of the stock at the grant date (or other measurement date, if later) is greater than the amount the employee must pay to acquire the stock.

Advertising

We expense our advertising costs as incurred, except payments for major sponsorships which are amortized over an estimated life not to exceed one year. Advertising expenses were \$1.341 million, \$1.352 million, and \$1.455 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

(2) Restrictions on Cash and Due From Banks

The Bank is required by the Federal Reserve System (FRB) to maintain certain cash reserve balances based principally on deposit liabilities. At both December 31, 2006 and 2005, the required reserve balance was \$1.000 million.

(3) Securities Available for Sale

The composition of our securities available for sale is as follows at December 31:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 2006 Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Estimated Fair Value |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$ 64,108 | \$ 173 | \$ 2,000 | \$ 62,281 |
| Trust preferred securities | 33,056 | 129 | 157 | 33,028 |
| Equity securities | 1,046 | 349 | | 1,395 |
| U.S. Treasury securities | 1,000 | | 2 | 998 |
| Obligations of state and municipal subdivisions | 2,937 | 28 | | 2,965 |
| U.S. government agency notes | 40,000 | | 106 | 39,894 |
| Corporate obligations | 1,907 | 81 | | 1,988 |
| Foreign government bonds | 1,750 | | | 1,750 |
| Other bonds and annuities | 2,991 | | | 2,991 |
| | \$ 148,795 | \$ 760 | \$ 2,265 | \$ 147,290 |

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 2005 Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Estimated Fair Value |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$ 165,711 | \$ 338 | \$ 4,937 | \$ 161,112 |
| Trust preferred securities | 33,843 | 521 | 277 | 34,087 |
| Equity securities | 1,136 | 194 | 20 | 1,310 |
| U.S. Treasury securities | 999 | | 13 | 986 |
| Obligations of state and municipal subdivisions | 2,939 | 30 | | 2,969 |
| U.S. government agency notes | 69,414 | | 1,143 | 68,271 |
| Corporate obligations | 1,853 | | 76 | 1,777 |
| Foreign government bonds | 1,500 | | 19 | 1,481 |
| Other bonds and annuities | 4,946 | | | 4,946 |
| | \$ 282,341 | \$ 1,083 | \$ 6,485 | \$ 276,939 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

Contractual maturities of debt securities at December 31, 2006 are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Due in one year or less | \$ 44,241 | \$ 44,133 |
| Due after one year through five years | 3,407 | 3,488 |
| Due after ten years | 35,993 | 35,993 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 64,108 | 62,281 |
| | \$ 147,749 | \$ 145,895 |

The following table shows the level of our gross unrealized losses and the fair value of the associated securities by type and maturity:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Less than 12 months | | 12 months or more | | Total | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Estimated Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Estimated Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Estimated Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$ | \$ | \$ 55,861 | \$ 2,000 | \$ 55,861 | \$ 2,000 |
| Trust preferred securities | 7,777 | 112 | 5,663 | 45 | 13,440 | 157 |
| U.S. Treasury securities | | | 998 | 2 | 998 | 2 |
| U.S. government agency notes | | | 39,894 | 106 | 39,894 | 106 |
| | \$ 7,777 | \$ 112 | \$ 102,416 | \$ 2,153 | \$ 110,193 | \$ 2,265 |

Gross unrealized losses totaled \$2.265 million as of December 31, 2006 and equaled 2.06% of the fair value of securities with unrealized losses as of this date. A total of 35 securities were in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2006, with the largest single unrealized loss in any one security totaling \$303,000. Twenty-seven securities were in an unrealized loss position for twelve months or more.

All of our temporarily impaired securities, with the exception of trust preferred securities, are defined as impaired due to declines in fair values resulting from increases in interest rates compared to the time they were purchased. None of these securities have exhibited a decline in value due to changes in credit risk. Furthermore, we have the ability to hold these securities to maturity and do not expect to realize losses on any of these holdings. As such, management does not consider the impairments to be other than temporary.

Trust preferred securities considered temporarily impaired are issues of other banks and bank holding companies we currently hold in our portfolio. There are eleven issues that are considered impaired, three of which have been impaired for the last twelve months or more. In addition to increases in interest rates, which have resulted in declines in market value, we believe other factors have impacted market values in this segment of our investment portfolio. Certain securities have experienced declines in credit ratings from credit rating firms, which have devalued these specific securities. Also, changes in the market have limited the demand for these securities and reduced their liquidity. New trust preferred offerings have been created which pool issuers, diversify risk, and offer other flexible terms for investors. The popularity for these new issues has resulted in diminished demand for older, single issuer instruments with institutional investors. While some of these issuers have reported weaker financial performance since their acquisition, the majority of these issuers continue to possess more than acceptable credit risk in management's opinion. Management closely monitors these securities for changes in credit risk, and we have the ability to hold these securities to their maturity. Management does not consider the impairment of these securities to be other than temporary.

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

At December 31, 2006, there were no securities of a single issuer, other than U.S. Government securities or U.S. sponsored agencies securities, which exceeded 10% of stockholders' equity.

During 2006, 2005, and 2004, we recognized gross losses on the sale of securities of \$3.063 million, \$0, and \$0, respectively. During 2006, 2005, and 2004, we recognized gross gains on sale of securities of \$26,000, \$0, and \$440,000, respectively.

At December 31, 2006, we held available for sale securities with an aggregate carrying value (fair value) of approximately \$100.041 million that we have pledged as collateral for FHLB advances and other borrowings.

(4) Loans Receivable and Allowance for Loan Losses

Approximately 87% of our loans receivable are to customers located in the state of Maryland. Loans are extended only after evaluation by management of customers' creditworthiness and other relevant factors on a case-by-case basis. We generally do not lend more than 90% of the appraised value of a property and require private mortgage insurance on residential mortgages with loan-to-value ratios in excess of 80%. In addition, we generally obtain personal guarantees of repayment from our borrowers and/or others for construction, commercial, and multi-family residential loans and disburse the proceeds of construction and similar loans only as work progresses on the related projects.

We generally consider our residential lending to involve less risk than other forms of lending, although our payment experience on these loans is dependent, to some extent, on economic and market conditions in our primary lending area. Commercial and construction loan repayments are generally dependent on the operations of the related properties or the financial condition of its borrower or guarantor. Accordingly, repayment of such loans can be more susceptible to adverse conditions in the real estate market and the regional economy.

Loans receivable are summarized as follows at December 31:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|------------|------------|
| Loans secured by first mortgages on real estate: | | |
| Residential | \$ 56,724 | \$ 40,928 |
| Commercial | 318,236 | 350,228 |
| Consumer residential construction | 98,006 | 126,492 |
| Construction, net of undisbursed principal | 137,769 | 113,395 |
| | 610,735 | 631,043 |
| Commercial | 79,001 | 67,818 |
| Loans secured by second mortgages on real estate | 102,367 | 96,746 |
| Consumer | 73,190 | 55,619 |
| Loans secured by deposits and other | 1,957 | 1,093 |
| Total loans | 867,250 | 852,319 |
| Unamortized loan discounts, net | (220) | (121) |
| Unearned loan fees, net | (571) | (612) |
| | \$ 866,459 | \$ 851,586 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

Included in consumer loan totals in the above table are overdrawn commercial and retail checking accounts totaling \$417,000 and \$435,000 as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Included in net unearned loan fees and costs in the above table is unearned income on installment loans of \$1.281 million and \$501,000 as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The following table provides information concerning nonperforming assets and past-due loans:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| Nonaccruing loans | \$ 4,158 | \$ 3,019 |
| Real estate acquired through foreclosure | 2,440 | 931 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ 6,598 | \$ 3,950 |
| Loans past-due 90 days or more and accruing | \$ 27,274 | \$ 860 |

The interest income which would have been recorded on nonaccrual loans if those loans had been handled in accordance with their contractual terms was approximately \$388,000, \$395,000, and \$440,000 in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. The actual interest income recorded on these loans in 2006, 2005, and 2004 was approximately \$159,000, \$118,000, and \$272,000, respectively.

Loans we consider impaired at December 31, 2006 and 2005 totaled \$1.771 million compared to \$1.702 million, respectively. The valuation allowance for impaired loans was approximately \$536,000 at December 31, 2006 and \$851,000 at December 31, 2005. The average recorded investment in our impaired loans was approximately \$1.412 million, \$2.035 million, and \$1.601 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively, and no income has been accrued or collected on these loans while they have been classified as impaired.

Changes in the allowance for losses on loans are summarized as follows:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Years ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ 11,743 | \$ 9,580 | \$ 8,692 |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,315 | 3,287 | 2,243 |
| Charge-offs | (2,025) | (1,507) | (1,573) |
| Recoveries | 366 | 383 | 218 |
| Balance at end of year | \$ 12,399 | \$ 11,743 | \$ 9,580 |

From time to time, we retain servicing on certain loans we sell into the secondary market. At December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004 our servicing portfolio totaled \$1.436 million, \$1.834 million, and \$2.997 million, respectively. Servicing loans for others generally consists of collecting mortgage payments, disbursing payments to investors and foreclosure processing. Loan servicing income is recorded upon receipt and includes servicing fees from investors and certain charges collected from borrowers, such as late payment fees.

(5) Credit Commitments

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to customers, provided that terms and conditions established in the related contracts are met. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, we had commitments to originate first mortgage loans on real estate of approximately \$57.333 million and \$97.067 million, respectively, most of which were committed for sale in the secondary market.

At December 31, 2006 and 2005, we also had commitments to loan funds under unused home equity lines of credit aggregating approximately \$78.767 million and \$73.486 million, respectively, and unused commercial lines of credit, retail checking lines of credit, as well as unfunded construction commitments

aggregating approximately \$158.127 million and \$204.391 million, respectively. Such commitments generally carry a fixed rate of interest, while home equity lines of credit are generally variable.

Commitments for first mortgage loans generally expire within 60 days and are normally funded with loan principal repayments, excess liquidity and deposits. Since certain commitments may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent our future cash requirements.

Substantially all outstanding commitments at December 31, 2006 and 2005 are for loans to be secured by real estate with appraised values in excess of the commitment amounts. Our exposure to credit loss under these contracts in the event of non-performance by the other parties is represented by the commitment amounts, assuming the collateral has no value.

Letters of credit are commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, letters of credit totaled \$4.677 million and \$4.825 million, respectively.

(6) Related Party Transactions

During the ordinary course of business, we make loans to our directors and their affiliates and several of our policy making officers on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing for comparable transactions with other customers. During the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, transactions in related party loans were as follows:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Beginning balance | \$ 1,628 | \$ 1,882 | \$ 2,352 |
| Additions | 23 | 247 | 80 |
| Repayments | (957) | (501) | (550) |
| Change in officers/directors | (671) | | |
| | \$ 23 | \$ 1,628 | \$ 1,882 |

Unused loan commitments to directors and policy making officers totaled \$992,000 as of December 31, 2006 and \$1.410 million as of December 31, 2005. Letters of credit included in the totals in Note 5 above issued on behalf of directors and policy making officers totaled \$763,000 for December 31, 2006 compared to \$780,000 at December 31, 2005.

During 2006, we leased from Hale Properties, LLC, a company owned by Edwin F. Hale, Sr., CEO of the Company, 34,500 square feet of general office space at 1516 Baylis Street, Baltimore, Maryland, which housed a significant portion of the Company's servicing and operations units. We paid \$209,000 in rent expense on this location in 2006. We vacated a substantial majority of this space before the end of 2006, moving our operational divisions into the new executive office tower on South Clinton Street and into our old executive office building on Boston Street.

We also leased 18,400 square feet of storage space and disaster recovery facilities at two other locations owned by Mr. Hale. In 2006, we paid \$86,000 in rent for these facilities. During 2006 we vacated the storage facility.

In June of 2006, we moved our executive offices and various operational departments into a new building owned by Mr. Hale. We currently lease 74,061 square feet of the building from Mr. Hale and paid \$1.091 million in rent on this location in 2006. This building is adjacent to the former headquarters building at 3301 Boston Street. The additional space will be utilized for growth.

The Company sponsors the activities of the Baltimore Blast, a professional soccer team owned by Mr. Hale. The Company paid approximately \$176,000 for a sponsorship package which includes printed material and Company banners displayed at Baltimore Blast games, prize giveaways, free tickets, and

employee recognition nights. We have a letter of credit with the Baltimore Blast in the amount of \$400,000 that is secured by cash.

We have obtained the naming rights to the major indoor sports/entertainment facility in Baltimore from Mr. Hale who obtained them from the City of Baltimore. We pay Mr. Hale \$75,000 per year for the naming rights, which is the same as Mr. Hale pays the City of Baltimore. We have a letter of credit with the City of Baltimore in the amount of \$375,000 securing performance under the contract.

We have a letter of credit with Canton Crossing, LLC, a limited liability company wholly owned by Mr. Hale for \$363,000 that is secured by cash.

All related party transactions are subject to review by management and the Audit Committee and approved by the full Board of Directors. We believe that the terms for all related party transactions are at least as favorable as those that could be obtained from a third party.

(7) Premises and Equipment

We own property and equipment as follows at December 31:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Land | \$ 10,982 | \$ 9,078 |
| Buildings and improvements | 23,714 | 21,818 |
| Leasehold improvements | 9,572 | 6,428 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 24,441 | 21,519 |
| Total at cost | 68,709 | 58,843 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization | (19,647) | (18,441) |
| Total premises and equipment | \$ 49,062 | \$ 40,402 |

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004 was \$4.744 million, \$3.872 million, and \$3.323 million, respectively.

We lease various branch and general office facilities to conduct our operations. The leases have remaining terms which range from a period of less than 1 year to 30 years. Most leases contain renewal options which are generally exercisable at increased rates. Some of the leases provide for increases in the rental rates at specified times during the lease terms, prior to the expiration dates.

The leases generally provide for payment of property taxes, insurance, and maintenance costs by the Company. The total rental expense for all real property leases amounted to \$3.666 million, \$2.919 million, and \$3.576 million for 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

Our minimum lease payments due for each of the next five years are as follows:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|
| 2007 | \$ 4,284 |
| 2008 | 3,978 |
| 2009 | 3,659 |
| 2010 | 2,923 |
| 2011 | 2,486 |
| Thereafter | 15,325 |
| | \$ 32,655 |

During 2006, we capitalized approximately \$42,000 of interest related to the construction of our various premises.

(8) Deposits

Deposits are summarized as follows at December 31:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 2006 | | 2005 | |
|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|
| | Amount | Weighted-Average Effective Rate | Amount | Weighted-Average Effective Rate |
| Noncertificate: | | | | |
| Savings | \$ 58,377 | 0.31 % | \$ 69,647 | 0.30 % |
| Interest-bearing demand | 26,193 | 0.22 % | 23,658 | 0.20 % |
| Money market accounts | 256,678 | 3.26 % | 195,036 | 1.58 % |
| Noninterest-bearing demand | 186,720 | | 182,049 | |
| Total noncertificate deposits | 527,968 | | 470,390 | |
| Certificates of deposit: | | | | |
| Original maturities: | | | | |
| Under 12 months | 15,686 | 4.08 % | 2,362 | 2.35 % |
| 12 to 60 months | 337,163 | 4.06 % | 366,007 | 3.33 % |
| IRA and KEOGH | 44,121 | 4.27 % | 37,251 | 3.69 % |
| Total certificates of deposit | 396,970 | | 405,620 | |
| Total deposits | \$ 924,938 | | \$ 876,010 | |

Time deposits mature as follows:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 2006 | | 2005 | |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | Amount | % of Total | Amount | % of Total |
| Within 6 months | \$ 94,799 | 23.88 % | \$ 46,453 | 11.45 % |
| Over 6 months - 12 months | 71,599 | 18.04 % | 45,095 | 11.12 % |
| Over 12 months - 24 months | 150,831 | 37.99 % | 136,324 | 33.61 % |
| Over 24 months - 36 months | 35,254 | 8.88 % | 117,959 | 29.08 % |
| Over 36 months - 48 months | 40,684 | 10.25 % | 39,675 | 9.78 % |
| Over 48 months | 3,803 | 0.96 % | 20,114 | 4.96 % |
| | \$ 396,970 | 100.00 % | \$ 405,620 | 100.00 % |

The Bank offers certain certificate products that provide customers a one-time withdrawal option that the customer may exercise at any time without penalty. As of December 31, 2006, certificates that permitted early withdrawal totaled \$73.750 million.

Certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or more totaled approximately \$153,747 million and \$160.458 million at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

(9) Borrowings and Repurchase Agreements

Our borrowings consist of short-term and long-term advances from the FHLB, short-term promissory notes, a warehouse line of credit, long-term repurchase agreements with callable options, a mortgage loan, and a long-term line of credit. The FHLB advances are available under a specific collateral pledge and security agreement, which currently allows us to borrow up to 30% of the Bank's total assets and requires that we maintain collateral for all of our borrowings in the form of overnight investments equal to 100% of advances, specific first and second mortgage loans or commercial mortgages, or securities equal to 103% of advances. Long-term FHLB advances are fixed-rate instruments with various call provisions. Short-term advances are in the form of overnight borrowings with rates changing daily. We maintain a \$75.000 million mortgage warehouse line of credit to assist in the funding of our mortgage-banking business that is secured by the pledging of loans from the Company's loans held for sale portfolio. Long-term repurchase agreements are fixed-rate obligations and require the company to pledge Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA) securities or cash. The long-term line of credit represents borrowings by Finance Maryland and is a long-term line of credit maintained with a commercial bank which permits Finance Maryland to borrow up to \$50.000 million (\$60.000 million as of January 1, 2007) at a variable rate of interest. The line of credit is secured by eligible receivables of Finance Maryland and is also guaranteed by First Mariner Bancorp. Other covenants relating to the line of credit require Finance Maryland to maintain predetermined levels of operating performance, credit quality, and equity levels, as well as other usual and customary requirements. The mortgage loan on our headquarters building is with a commercial bank on which we pay a fixed rate of 5.58% until maturity in 2031. The carrying value of the property securing the loan was approximately \$19.499 million as of December 31, 2006.

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

Certain information regarding our borrowings and repurchase agreements are as follows as of December 31:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| Amount outstanding at year-end: | | | |
| FHLB short-term advances | \$ | \$ 163,500 | \$ 132,000 |
| FRB short-term borrowings | | | |
| Short-term promissory notes | 40,298 | 32,720 | 30,292 |
| Warehouse line of credit | 586 | 3,156 | |
| FHLB long-term advances | 85,000 | 85,000 | 85,000 |
| Long-term repurchase agreements | | | 25,000 |
| Long-term line of credit | 38,000 | 36,290 | 24,369 |
| Mortgage loan | 9,557 | 9,710 | |
| Weighted-average interest rate at year-end: | | | |
| FHLB short-term advances | | 4.44 | % 2.44 % |
| FRB short-term borrowings | | | |
| Short-term promissory notes | 3.50 | % 0.56 | % 0.61 % |
| Warehouse line of credit | 6.07 | % 5.14 | % |
| FHLB long-term advances | 5.68 | % 5.99 | % 4.84 % |
| Long-term repurchase agreements | | | 5.06 % |
| Long-term line of credit | 8.41 | % 7.42 | % 5.62 % |
| Mortgage loan | 5.58 | % 5.58 | % |
| Maximum outstanding at any month-end: | | | |
| FHLB short-term advances | \$ 173,500 | \$ 225,500 | \$ 132,000 |
| FRB short-term borrowings | | | |
| Short-term promissory notes | 40,860 | 36,955 | 41,058 |
| Warehouse line of credit | 45,602 | 3,156 | |
| FHLB long-term advances | 85,000 | 85,000 | 85,000 |
| Long-term repurchase agreements | | 15,000 | 25,000 |
| Long-term line of credit | 38,000 | 36,290 | 24,369 |
| Mortgage loan | 9,689 | 9,799 | |
| Average outstanding: | | | |
| FHLB short-term advances | \$ 131,099 | \$ 173,510 | \$ 62,060 |
| FRB short-term borrowings | 75 | 71 | |
| Short-term promissory notes | 30,814 | 30,640 | 30,821 |
| Warehouse line of credit | 25,693 | 62 | |
| FHLB long-term advances | 85,000 | 85,000 | 85,000 |
| Long-term repurchase agreements | | 15,123 | 25,000 |
| Long-term line of credit | 33,505 | 30,213 | 16,360 |
| Mortgage loan | 9,623 | 7,379 | |
| Weighted-average interest rate during the year: | | | |
| FHLB short-term advances | 5.29 | % 3.59 | % 1.78 % |
| FRB short-term borrowings | 6.00 | % 4.36 | % |
| Short-term promissory notes | 2.35 | % 1.20 | % 0.51 % |
| Warehouse line of credit | 6.36 | % 4.07 | % |
| FHLB long-term advances | 5.56 | % 4.91 | % 4.93 % |
| Long-term repurchase agreements | | 4.33 | % 5.17 % |
| Long-term line of credit | 7.78 | % 6.64 | % 5.24 % |
| Mortgage loan | 5.66 | % 5.63 | % |

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

The principal maturities of long-term borrowings are as follows as of December 31, 2006:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | |
|-------------------------------|------------|
| 2007 | \$ |
| 2008 | 38,000 |
| 2009 | |
| 2010 | 60,000 |
| 2011 | |
| After 2011 | 34,557 |
| | \$ 132,557 |

The maturities listed in the above table for 2010 are callable immediately at the option of the issuer, while \$25.000 million maturing after 2011 is callable beginning in 2010.

We have pledged securities with a carrying value (fair value) of \$100.041 million and loans with a carrying value of \$169.667 million as collateral for FHLB advances, the warehouse line of credit, and the long-term line of credit.

(10) Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures

The following table shows the subordinated debt issued by First Mariner Bancorp and the related Trust Preferred Securities issued at December 31, 2006:

| Trust | Subordinated Debt Issued to Trust | Security Title | Trust Preferred Securities Issued by Trust | Date of Original Issue | Optional Redemption Date | Stated Maturity |
|----------|---|--|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| MCT II | \$110,310,000 | Floating rate Trust Preferred Securities | \$10,000,000 | December 10, 2002 | December 15, 2007 | December 10, 2032 |
| MCT III | 14,949,000 | 5-year Fixed Trust Preferred Securities | 14,500,000 | June 18, 2003 | July 7, 2008 | July 7, 2033 |
| MCT IV | 12,380,000 | Floating rate Trust Preferred Securities | 12,000,000 | August 18, 2003 | August 18, 2008 | August 18, 2033 |
| MCT V | 10,310,000 | Floating rate Trust Preferred Securities | 10,000,000 | September 25, 2003 | October 8, 2008 | October 8, 2033 |
| MCT VI | 10,310,000 | Floating rate Trust Preferred Securities | 10,000,000 | October 21, 2004 | January 7, 2010 | January 7, 2035 |
| MCT VII | 5,155,000 | Floating rate Trust Preferred Securities | 5,000,000 | August 18, 2005 | September 15, 2010 | September 15, 2035 |
| MCT VIII | 10,310,000 | 5-year Fixed Trust Preferred Securities | 10,000,000 | December 28, 2005 | December 30, 2010 | December 30, 2035 |
| Total | \$ 73,724,000 | | \$71,500,000 | | | |

We have issued subordinated debt to Mariner Capital Trusts (MCT), including MCT II, MCT III, MCT IV, MCT V, MCT VI, MCT VII, and MCT VIII (the Trusts). The Trusts are Delaware business trusts for which all the common securities are owned by First Mariner and which were formed for the purpose of issuing Trust Preferred Securities. In accordance with the provisions of FIN46, we have deconsolidated the Trusts, and their financial position and results of operations are not included in our consolidated financial position and results of operations. The terms of the subordinated debt issued to the Trusts and the Trust Preferred Securities issued by the Trusts are identical.

The Trust Preferred Securities are mandatorily redeemable, in whole or in part, upon repayment of their underlying subordinated debt at their respective maturities or their earlier redemption. The subordinated debt is redeemable prior to maturity at our option on or after its optional redemption dates.

The Floating Rate Trust Preferred Securities issued by MCT II accrue interest at a variable rate of interest equal to the 3-month LIBOR rate plus 335 basis points. The Trust Preferred Securities issued by MCT III accrue interest at a fixed rate of interest, initially at 5.66% on the outstanding securities. The interest rate will reset July 7, 2008 to a floating rate equal to 3-month LIBOR rate plus 325 basis points. The Floating Rate Trust Preferred Securities issued by MCT IV accrue interest at a variable rate of interest equal to the 3-month LIBOR rate plus 305 basis points. The

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

Floating Rate Trust Preferred Securities issued by MCT V accrue interest at a variable rate of interest equal to the 3-month LIBOR rate

76

plus 310 basis points. The Floating Rate Trust Preferred Securities issued by MCT VI accrue interest at a variable rate of interest equal to the 3-month LIBOR rate plus 205 basis points. The Floating Rate Trust Preferred Securities issued by MCT VII accrue interest at a variable rate of interest equal to the 3-month LIBOR rate plus 195 basis points. The Trust Preferred Securities issued by MCT VIII accrue interest at an initial fixed rate of interest of 6.26%, then reset to the 3-month LIBOR rate plus 150 basis points.

The interest expense (including amortization of the cost of issuance) on Trust Preferred Securities was \$5.384 million in 2006, \$3.762 million in 2005, and \$2.426 million in 2004.

The junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures are the sole assets of the Trusts. First Mariner has fully and unconditionally guaranteed all of the obligations of the Trusts.

Under applicable regulatory guidelines, a portion of the Trust Preferred Securities will qualify as Tier I capital, and the remaining portion will qualify as Tier II capital. Under applicable regulatory guidelines, \$26.518 million of the outstanding Trust Preferred Securities qualify as Tier I capital and the remaining \$44.982 million of the Trust Preferred Securities qualify as Tier II capital at December 31, 2006.

(11) Employee Benefit Plans

(a) Profit Sharing Plan

We established a defined contribution plan in 1997, covering our employees meeting certain age and service eligibility requirements. The Plan provides for cash deferrals qualifying under Section 401(k). We make matching contributions to the plan which totaled \$530,000, \$481,000, and \$392,000 in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

(b) Stock Options

We have stock option award arrangements, which provide for the granting of options to acquire common stock to our directors and key employees. Option prices are equal to or greater than the estimated fair market value of the common stock at the date of the grant. On December 19, 2005, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company approved an immediate acceleration of the vesting for all unvested stock options previously awarded. On that date, all outstanding options became fully vested. Prior to that date, options granted had a three-year vesting schedule with the first year vested upon issuance. As of December 31, 2006, all outstanding options are fully vested. All of our options expire ten years after the date of grant. There have been no modifications to the existing plan.

Prior to January 1, 2006, we applied the intrinsic value method to account for stock-based employee compensation plans. Under this method, compensation cost is recognized for awards of shares of common stock to employees only if the quoted market price of the stock at the grant date (or other measurement date, if later) is greater than the amount the employee must pay to acquire the stock.

In January 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment (Revised 2004)*, for our stockholder-approved Long-Term Incentive Plan, which permits the grant of share options and shares to our directors and key employees. We made the transition to fair value-based compensation using the modified version of the prospective application, which means the fair value-based method prescribed under SFAS 123R applies to new awards, modification of previous awards, repurchases and cancellations after January 1, 2006 and to any awards that retain service requirements after January 1, 2006. The determination of compensation cost for awards granted prior to January 1, 2006 is based on the same methods and on the same fair values previously determined for the pro forma disclosures previously required. We recognized compensation cost of \$50,579 for the year ended December 31, 2006. We had no options as of January 1, 2006 for which requisite service remained as we accelerated the vesting of all options in 2005.

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

Information with respect to stock options is as follows for the years ended December 31:

| | 2006 | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | 2005 | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | 2004 | Weighted-Average Exercise Price |
|----------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Number of Shares | | Number of Shares | | Number of Shares | |
| Outstanding at beginning of year | 981,934 | \$ 12.16 | 738,239 | \$ 10.05 | 701,888 | \$ 9.48 |
| Granted | 9,600 | 18.62 | 271,800 | 17.66 | 51,350 | 18.32 |
| Exercised | (188,279) | 9.45 | (23,800) | 9.95 | (12,165) | 10.66 |
| Forfeited/Cancelled | (10,233) | 15.54 | (4,305) | 10.69 | (2,834) | 16.41 |
| Outstanding at end of year | 793,022 | 12.84 | 981,934 | 12.16 | 738,239 | 10.05 |

The weighted average fair values of our option grants for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004 were \$5.71, \$6.01, and \$6.90, respectively, on the dates of grants. The fair values of our options granted were calculated using the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions for the years ended December 31:

| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|----------|
| Dividend yield | | | |
| Expected volatility | 15.61 % | 15.74 % | 14.15 % |
| Risk-free interest rate | 5.12 % | 4.25 % | 4.19 % |
| Expected lives | 8 years | 8 years | 10 years |

For 2005 and 2004, prior to adoption of SFAS 123R, the option price was equal to the market price of the common stock at the date of grant for all of our options and, accordingly, we did not record compensation expense related to options granted. If we had applied the fair value-based method to recognize compensation cost for the options granted, our net income and net income per share would have been changed to the following pro forma amounts for the years ended December 31:

| <i>(dollars in thousands, except per share data)</i> | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|----------|----------|
| Net income, as reported | \$ 7,822 | \$ 6,101 |
| Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined using the fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects | (1,471) | (567) |
| Pro forma net income | \$ 6,351 | \$ 5,534 |
| Earnings per share: | | |
| Basic as reported | \$ 1.28 | \$ 1.06 |
| Basic pro forma | \$ 1.04 | \$ 0.96 |
| Diluted as reported | \$ 1.20 | \$ 0.96 |
| Diluted pro forma | \$ 0.98 | \$ 0.87 |

The total intrinsic value of options exercised and the related tax benefit during the year ended December 31, 2006 amounted to \$1.810 million and \$585,000, respectively, and proceeds from exercises of stock options amounted to \$1.779 million for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Options outstanding are summarized as follows at December 31, 2006:

| Exercise Price | Shares | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) | Options Exercisable (Shares) |
|----------------|---------|--|------------------------------------|
| \$ 4.00 | 1,200 | 4.0 | 1,200 |
| 5.50 | 93,650 | 4.1 | 93,650 |
| 5.63 | 40,750 | 3.2 | 40,750 |
| 6.25 | 3,000 | 3.4 | 3,000 |
| 6.45 | 400 | 4.5 | 400 |
| 7.10 | 3,500 | 4.3 | 3,500 |
| 7.40 | 250 | 4.7 | 250 |
| 8.69 | 10,000 | 2.9 | 10,000 |
| 9.16 | 850 | 5.0 | 850 |
| 9.86 | 1,350 | 5.8 | 1,350 |
| 10.45 | 119,000 | 5.0 | 119,000 |
| 10.50 | 3,000 | 2.5 | 3,000 |
| 10.70 | 650 | 5.2 | 650 |
| 11.68 | 168,334 | 6.0 | 168,334 |
| 11.75 | 12,000 | 2.1 | 12,000 |
| 11.95 | 700 | 6.1 | 700 |
| 12.03 | 3,500 | 5.3 | 3,500 |
| 12.10 | 6,000 | 5.3 | 6,000 |
| 13.00 | 700 | 6.3 | 700 |
| 13.52 | 4,500 | 6.3 | 4,500 |
| 16.67 | 6,400 | 8.3 | 6,400 |
| 16.70 | 1,800 | 8.8 | 1,800 |
| 16.86 | 1,000 | 7.5 | 1,000 |
| 16.95 | 2,400 | 6.8 | 2,400 |
| 17.45 | 48,638 | 9.0 | 48,638 |
| 17.77 | 207,700 | 8.1 | 207,700 |
| 18.20 | 6,650 | 7.3 | 6,650 |
| 18.38 | 36,500 | 7.0 | 36,500 |
| 19.30 | 8,600 | 9.3 | 8,600 |
| | 793,022 | | 793,022 |

(c) Stock Purchase

We currently offer an employee stock purchase plan whereby our employees can purchase our stock through payroll deductions. While our employee stock purchase plan provides for up to a 10% discount from market value at issuance, we do not recognize compensation expense on the discount as: substantially all employees that meet limited employment qualifications may participate in the plan on an equitable basis; the plan incorporates no option features, the purchase price is based solely on the market price of the shares at the date of purchase, and employees are permitted to cancel participation before the purchase date and obtain a refund of amounts previously paid and; the discount from the market price does not exceed the per-share amount of share issuance costs that would have been incurred to raise a significant amount of capital by a public offering.

(12) Income Taxes

Our income tax expense consists of the following for the years ended December 31:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|
| Current | \$ (2,737) | \$ 2,328 | \$ 2,751 |
| Deferred | 2,372 | 961 | (390) |
| Income tax (benefit) expense | \$ (365) | \$ 3,289 | \$ 2,361 |

Income tax (benefit) expense is reconciled to the amount computed by applying the federal corporate tax rate of 34% to income before taxes as follows for the years ended December 31:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 2006 | | 2005 | | 2004 | |
|---|-----------|----------|----------|--------|----------|--------|
| | Amount | Rate | Amount | Rate | Amount | Rate |
| Tax at statutory federal rate | \$ 530 | 34.0 % | \$ 3,778 | 34.0 % | \$ 2,877 | 34.0 % |
| State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit | | | (1) | | 21 | 0.3 |
| Change in valuation allowance | (321) | (20.6) | (25) | (0.2) | (10) | (0.1) |
| Bank-owned life insurance | (380) | (24.3) | (351) | (3.2) | (365) | (4.3) |
| Federal income tax credits | (168) | (10.8) | (168) | (1.5) | (166) | (2.0) |
| Other | (26) | (1.7) | 56 | 0.5 | 4 | |
| | \$ (365) | (23.4)% | \$ 3,289 | 29.6 % | \$ 2,361 | 27.9 % |

The tax effects of temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and income tax basis of assets and liabilities relate to the following at December 31:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|----------|----------|
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Allowance for losses on loans | \$ 4,789 | \$ 4,535 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 113 | 125 |
| Valuation allowance and secondary marketing reserve | 1,758 | |
| State net operating loss carryforward | 292 | 321 |
| Other | 20 | 73 |
| Total gross deferred tax assets | 6,972 | 5,054 |
| Less: valuation allowance | | (321) |
| Net deferred tax assets | 6,972 | 4,733 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Depreciation | 747 | 880 |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | 747 | 880 |
| Net deferred tax asset attributable to operations | 6,225 | 3,853 |
| Unrealized gain on investments charged to other comprehensive income | 581 | 2,087 |
| Net deferred tax asset | \$ 6,806 | \$ 5,940 |

First Mariner has net operating loss carryforwards for state income tax purposes of approximately \$6.325 million that are available to offset future state taxable income of First Mariner Bancorp only. The loss carryforwards will begin to expire in 2019. The recorded value of the net deferred tax asset was previously reduced by a valuation allowance to the amount then expected to be realized. During 2006, analysis determined that the entire amount of the net deferred tax asset was realizable and the valuation allowance was reversed.

The Bank has earned significant state tax incentives through its participation in the One Maryland Economic Development (One Maryland) and Job Creation Tax Credit programs. The tax incentives total \$5.5 million based upon a confirmation received from the Maryland Department of Business and Economic Development. We will realize the benefits of the incentives in our reported earnings as the credits can be utilized, in accordance with accounting standards that govern the recognition of investment tax credits. The amount of the credit that we can utilize will be determined by the level of Maryland taxable income for the Bank only, and will be recognized as a reduction in our income tax expense. Any unused One Maryland credits can be carried forward and will expire in 2016. The Job Creation Tax Credit can be carried forward for five years. The total tax credits not utilized as of December 31, 2006 is \$4.074 million. We expect the Bank to fully realize the full value of the credits before their expiration.

The Bank has invested in a partnership that owns and manages seven affordable housing projects in the Mid-Atlantic area. Through its interest in the partnership, the Bank receives tax incentives in the form of Federal tax credits that can be used to offset current Federal income taxes. Tax credits associated with the limited partnership (assuming the 5% limited partnership interest currently owned by the Bank) is projected to total \$1.599 million and will be available to the limited partners from January 2003 through December 2012. The annual tax credits anticipated to be available approximate \$168,000. The Bank invested \$1.5 million to obtain its 5% interest in the partnership in July of 2003, and recognized \$168,000 in tax credits in 2006, \$168,000 in 2005, and \$166,000 in 2004. Total credits utilized to date through December 31, 2006 amounted to \$586,000.

(13) Other Expenses

The following summarizes our other noninterest expenses for the years ended December 31:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Years ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Office supplies | \$ 747 | \$ 680 | \$ 697 |
| Printing | 602 | 543 | 712 |
| Corporate insurance | 517 | 401 | 378 |
| Real estate acquired through foreclosure expense | 224 | 28 | (73) |
| FDIC premiums | 109 | 113 | 115 |
| Consulting fees | 850 | 734 | 385 |
| Marketing/promotion | 1,059 | 866 | 722 |
| Postage | 906 | 764 | 982 |
| Overnight delivery/courier | 908 | 809 | 736 |
| Security | 233 | 151 | 310 |
| Dues and subscriptions | 562 | 438 | 341 |
| Loan collection expenses | 701 | 471 | 332 |
| Other | 3,853 | 2,914 | 2,619 |
| | \$ 11,271 | \$ 8,912 | \$ 8,256 |

(14) Dividends and Earnings Per Share

As a depository institution whose deposits are insured by the FDIC, the Bank may not pay dividends or distribute any of its capital assets while it remains in default on any assessment due the FDIC. The Bank currently is not in default under any of its obligations to the FDIC. As a commercial bank under the Maryland Financial Institution Law, the Bank may declare cash dividends from undivided profits or, with the prior approval of the Commissioner of Financial Regulation, out of surplus in excess of 100% of its required capital stock, after providing for due or accrued expenses, losses, interest, and taxes.

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

First Mariner and the Bank, in declaring and paying dividends, are also limited insofar as minimum capital requirements mandated by regulatory authorities. First Mariner and the Bank comply with such capital requirements.

Our current ability to pay dividends to stockholders is largely dependent upon the receipt of dividends from our banking subsidiary. Both federal and state laws impose restrictions on the ability of the Bank to pay dividends. The FRB has issued a policy, which provides that, as a general matter, insured banks and bank holding companies may pay dividends only out of prior operating earnings. For a Maryland state-chartered bank or trust company, dividends may be paid out of undivided profits or, with the prior approval of the Commissioner, from surplus in excess of 100% of required capital stock. Cash dividends may not be paid in excess of 90% of net earnings.

Information relating to the calculations of our earnings per common share is summarized as follows for the years ended December 31:

| <i>(dollars in thousands, except for per share data)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net income basic and diluted | \$ 1,924 | \$ 7,822 | \$ 6,101 |
| Weighted-average shares outstanding basic | 6,318,205 | 6,104,481 | 5,759,310 |
| Dilutive securities options and warrants | 323,520 | 384,638 | 591,962 |
| Adjusted weighted-average shares outstanding dilutive | 6,641,725 | 6,489,119 | 6,351,272 |
| Earnings per share basic | \$ 0.30 | \$ 1.28 | \$ 1.06 |
| Earnings per share diluted | \$ 0.29 | \$ 1.20 | \$ 0.96 |

For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, options to purchase shares of common stock which were antidilutive and excluded from the above computation were 8,600, 257,250, and 48,350, respectively.

(15) Regulatory Matters

Various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies apply to us as well as our banking subsidiary. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary, actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on our financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Bank's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of Total and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets, and of Tier 1 capital to average assets. Management believes, as of December 31, 2006, that the Bank meets all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject. As of December 31, 2006, the Bank was well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. There are no conditions or events that management believes would change the Bank's category.

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

Our regulatory capital amounts and ratios as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, were as follows:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Actual Amount | Ratio | Minimum Requirements for Capital Adequacy Purposes Amount | Ratio | To be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provision Amount | Ratio |
|--|------------------|--------|--|-------|--|--------|
| As of December 31, 2006 | | | | | | |
| Total capital (to risk-weighted assets): | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 164,263 | 15.6 % | \$ 84,329 | 8.0 % | \$ 105,412 | 10.0 % |
| Bank | 111,372 | 11.7 % | 76,253 | 8.0 % | 95,316 | 10.0 % |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets): | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | 105,875 | 10.0 % | 42,165 | 4.0 % | 63,247 | 6.0 % |
| Bank | 91,946 | 9.6 % | 38,126 | 4.0 % | 57,190 | 6.0 % |
| Tier 1 capital (to average fourth quarter assets): | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | 105,875 | 7.8 % | 54,418 | 4.0 % | 68,022 | 5.0 % |
| Bank | 91,946 | 7.3 % | 50,559 | 4.0 % | 63,198 | 5.0 % |
| As of December 31, 2005 | | | | | | |
| Total capital (to risk-weighted assets): | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 158,935 | 14.9 % | \$ 85,087 | 8.0 % | \$ 106,359 | 10.0 % |
| Bank | 105,160 | 10.7 % | 78,325 | 8.0 % | 97,906 | 10.0 % |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets): | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | 100,922 | 9.5 % | 42,543 | 4.0 % | 63,815 | 6.0 % |
| Bank | 88,197 | 9.0 % | 39,162 | 4.0 % | 58,744 | 6.0 % |
| Tier 1 capital (to average fourth quarter assets): | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | 100,922 | 7.4 % | 54,804 | 4.0 % | 68,505 | 5.0 % |
| Bank | 88,197 | 6.8 % | 51,563 | 4.0 % | 64,453 | 5.0 % |

The FDIC, through the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF), insures deposits of account holders up to \$100,000. The Bank pays an annual premium to provide for this insurance. The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank System and is required to maintain an investment in the stock of the FHLB based on specific percentages of outstanding mortgages, total assets or FHLB Advances. Purchases and sales of stock are made directly with the Bank at par value.

We have agreed with the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond (FRB-Richmond) to submit plans to improve our operating performance, reduce parent company leverage, enhance our enterprise-wide risk management and enhance the effectiveness of our internal audit program. *We have also agreed to give prior notice to the FRB-Richmond of any potential transaction involving a significant capital expenditure.* Management believes it has taken actions to address these issues and these agreements do not restrict or impede our ability to conduct normal business.

(16) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Market or dealer quotes are available for many balance sheet items and derivatives; otherwise, pricing or valuation models are applied using current market information to estimate fair value. In some cases considerable judgment is required to interpret market data to develop the estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could realize in a current market exchange. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methods may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

The carrying value and estimated fair value of financial instruments as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 are summarized as follows:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 2006 Carrying Value | Estimated Fair Value | 2005 Carrying Value | Estimated Fair Value |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Assets: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 42,969 | \$ 42,969 | \$ 45,835 | \$ 45,835 |
| Securities available for sale | 147,290 | 147,290 | 276,939 | 276,939 |
| Loans receivable | 866,459 | 872,519 | 851,586 | 859,234 |
| Loans held for sale | 94,371 | 94,371 | 92,351 | 92,351 |
| Restricted stock investments | 6,449 | 6,449 | 13,647 | 13,647 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Deposits | 924,938 | 921,769 | 876,010 | 869,279 |
| Long- and short-term borrowings | 173,441 | 174,858 | 330,376 | 334,084 |
| Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures | 73,724 | 79,652 | 73,724 | 79,890 |
| Off-balance sheet items: | | | | |
| Interest rate lock commitments | 49,353 | 49,282 | | |
| Open hedge positions: | | | | |
| Forward sales commitments on loan pipeline and funded loans | 99,555 | 99,572 | | |
| Forward contracts to sell mortgage-backed securities and Eurodollars | 59,500 | 59,512 | | |

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The carrying amount for cash and cash equivalents approximates fair value due to the short maturity of these instruments.

Investment Securities

The fair value of investment securities is based on bid prices received from an external pricing service or bid quotations received from securities dealers.

Loans Receivable

Loans were segmented into portfolios with similar financial characteristics. Loans were also segmented by type such as residential, multifamily, and nonresidential construction and land, second mortgage loans, commercial, and consumer. Each loan category was further segmented by fixed and adjustable rate interest terms and performing and nonperforming categories. The fair value of each loan category was calculated by discounting anticipated cash flows based on weighted-average contractual maturity, weighted-average coupon, and discount rate.

The fair value for nonperforming loans was determined by reducing the carrying value of nonperforming loans by our historical loss percentage for each specific loan category.

Loans Held for Sale

Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or market, which may be indicated by the committed sales price for loans under contract to sell but are not yet funded or by third party quoted market values for loans not yet committed to be sold. Due to the short holding period of these loans, generally 14 to 60 days, the carrying amount of loans held for sale is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Restricted Stock Investments

The carrying value of restricted stock investments is a reasonable estimate of fair value as these investments do not have a readily available market.

Deposits

The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as noninterest-bearing deposits, interest-bearing NOW accounts, money market, and statement savings accounts, is deemed to be equal to the carrying amounts. The fair value of certificates of deposit is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate for certificates of deposit was estimated using the rate currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Borrowings, Repurchase Agreements and Junior Subordinated Notes

Borrowings, repurchase agreements and junior subordinated notes were segmented into categories with similar financial characteristics. Carrying values were discounted using a cash flow approach based on market rates as of December 31, 2006.

Derivative Loan Commitments

Commitments to Originate Loans. We engage an experienced third party to estimate the fair market value of our interest rate lock commitments (IRLC). At the time of issuance the estimated fair value of an IRLC is zero. Subsequent to issuance, the value of an IRLC can be either positive or negative depending on the change in value of the underlying mortgage loan.

Forward Sales Commitments on Loan Pipeline and Funded Loans. Fair value for the commitments is determined by sales price for those loans under contract but not yet funded and by third party quoted market values for those loans not yet under contract.

Forward Sales of Mortgage-Backed Securities, and Short Eurodollar Futures Contracts. Fair value of these commitments is determined based upon the quoted market values of the securities.

Other Off-Balance Sheet Financial Instruments

The disclosure of fair value amounts does not include the fair values of any intangibles, including core deposit intangibles. Core deposit intangibles represent the value attributable to total deposits based on an expected duration of customer relationships.

Limitations

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information and information about financial instruments. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from a one-time sale of our total holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because no market exists for a significant portion of our financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect estimates.

(17) Segment Information

We operate in three business segments commercial and consumer banking, mortgage-banking, and consumer finance. Commercial and consumer banking is conducted through the Bank and involves delivering a broad range of financial products and services, including lending and deposit taking to individuals and commercial enterprises. Consumer and commercial banking also include our treasury function and an allocation for corporate overhead and administration. Mortgage-banking is conducted through First Mariner Mortgage, a division of the Bank, and involves originating residential single-family mortgages for sale in the secondary market and to the Bank. Consumer finance is conducted through Finance Maryland, and involves making small direct consumer loans and the purchase of retail installment sales contracts.

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

The following table presents certain information regarding our business segments:

For the year ended December 31, 2006

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Commercial and Consumer Banking | Consumer Finance | Mortgage- Banking | Total |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Interest income | \$ 73,808 | \$ 14,063 | \$ 7,877 | \$ 95,748 |
| Interest expense | 37,852 | 3,299 | 5,331 | 46,482 |
| Net interest income | 35,956 | 10,764 | 2,546 | 49,266 |
| Provision for loan losses | 150 | 2,165 | | 2,315 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 35,806 | 8,599 | 2,546 | 46,951 |
| Noninterest income | 10,876 | 3,121 | 9,770 | 23,767 |
| Noninterest expense | 43,612 | 9,345 | 16,202 | 69,159 |
| Net intersegment income | 42 | | (42) | |
| Net income (loss) before income taxes | \$ 3,112 | \$ 2,375 | \$ (3,928) | \$ 1,559 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,103,008 | \$ 65,911 | \$ 94,371 | \$ 1,263,290 |

For the year ended December 31, 2005

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Commercial and Consumer Banking | Consumer Finance | Mortgage- Banking | Total |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Interest income | \$ 65,398 | \$ 10,467 | \$ 6,195 | \$ 82,060 |
| Interest expense | 28,700 | 2,005 | 3,632 | 34,337 |
| Net interest income | 36,698 | 8,462 | 2,563 | 47,723 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,575 | 1,712 | | 3,287 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 35,123 | 6,750 | 2,563 | 44,436 |
| Noninterest income | 13,462 | 2,581 | 6,972 | 23,015 |
| Noninterest expense | 39,686 | 7,757 | 8,897 | 56,340 |
| Net intersegment income | (905) | | 905 | |
| Net income before income taxes | \$ 7,994 | \$ 1,574 | \$ 1,543 | \$ 11,111 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,220,856 | \$ 49,271 | \$ 92,351 | \$ 1,362,478 |

For the year ended December 31, 2004

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Commercial and Consumer Banking | Consumer Finance | Mortgage- Banking | Total |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Interest income | \$ 55,089 | \$ 7,718 | \$ 2,463 | \$ 65,270 |
| Interest expense | 20,663 | 1,076 | 1,090 | 22,829 |
| Net interest income | 34,426 | 6,642 | 1,373 | 42,441 |
| Provision for loan losses | 725 | 1,518 | | 2,243 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 33,701 | 5,124 | 1,373 | 40,198 |
| Noninterest income | 12,883 | 1,668 | 4,639 | 19,190 |
| Noninterest expense | 36,294 | 5,770 | 8,862 | 50,926 |
| Net intersegment income | (582) | | 582 | |
| Net income (loss) before income taxes | \$ 9,708 | \$ 1,022 | \$ (2,268) | \$ 8,462 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,136,054 | \$ 34,522 | \$ 79,955 | \$ 1,250,531 |

(18) Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income is defined as net income plus transactions and other occurrences which are the result of nonowner changes in equity. Our nonowner equity changes are comprised of unrealized gains or losses on available-for-sale securities that will be accumulated with net income in determining comprehensive income.

Components of our comprehensive income are as follows for the years ended December 31:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Net income | \$ 1,924 | \$ 7,822 | \$ 6,101 |
| Other comprehensive income items: | | | |
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during the period (net of tax expense (benefit) of \$332, \$(2,002), and \$(582), respectively) | 528 | (3,184) | (1,027) |
| Less: reclassification adjustment for (losses) gains (net of tax (benefit) expense of \$(1,173), \$0, and \$165, respectively) included in net income | (1,864) | | 275 |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | 2,392 | (3,184) | (1,302) |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 4,316 | \$ 4,638 | \$ 4,799 |

(19) Quarterly Results of Operations

The following is a summary of unaudited quarterly results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Year Ended December 31, 2006 | | | |
|--|------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| | Fourth Quarter | Third Quarter | Second Quarter | First Quarter |
| Interest income | \$ 24,467 | \$ 24,778 | \$ 24,188 | \$ 22,315 |
| Interest expense | 12,489 | 12,374 | 11,546 | 10,073 |
| Net interest income | 11,978 | 12,404 | 12,642 | 12,242 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,009 | 261 | 623 | 422 |
| Other operating income | 6,942 | 6,477 | 7,387 | 5,998 |
| Gain (loss) on sale of securities | (3,063) | 26 | | |
| Operating expenses | 21,294 | 16,061 | 16,286 | 15,518 |
| Income (loss) before taxes | (6,446) | 2,585 | 3,120 | 2,300 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | (2,466) | 542 | 919 | 640 |
| Net income | \$ (3,980) | \$ 2,043 | \$ 2,201 | \$ 1,660 |
| Net income (loss) per common share (basic) | \$ (0.63) | \$ 0.32 | \$ 0.35 | \$ 0.26 |
| Net income (loss) per common share (diluted) | \$ (0.60) | \$ 0.31 | \$ 0.33 | \$ 0.25 |
| Market prices: high | \$ 20.45 | \$ 19.50 | \$ 19.60 | \$ 19.34 |
| low | 18.36 | 18.51 | 18.30 | 17.26 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Year Ended December 31, 2005 | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| | Fourth Quarter | Third Quarter | Second Quarter | First Quarter |
| Interest income | \$ 22,059 | \$ 21,809 | \$ 20,033 | \$ 18,159 |
| Interest expense | 9,935 | 9,394 | 8,093 | 6,915 |
| Net interest income | 12,124 | 12,415 | 11,940 | 11,244 |
| Provision for loan losses | 776 | 1,116 | 981 | 414 |
| Other operating income | 6,175 | 6,707 | 5,607 | 4,526 |
| Operating expenses | 13,869 | 14,865 | 14,118 | 13,488 |
| Income before taxes | 3,654 | 3,141 | 2,448 | 1,868 |
| Income tax expense | 1,147 | 928 | 721 | 493 |
| Net income | \$ 2,507 | \$ 2,213 | \$ 1,727 | \$ 1,375 |
| Net income per common share (basic) | \$ 0.41 | \$ 0.35 | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.24 |
| Net income per common share (diluted) | \$ 0.37 | \$ 0.34 | \$ 0.27 | \$ 0.22 |
| Market prices: high | \$ 18.45 | \$ 18.86 | \$ 17.82 | \$ 18.30 |
| low | 16.50 | 16.05 | 15.18 | 17.30 |

(20) Financial Information of Parent Company

The following is financial information of First Mariner Bancorp (parent company only):

Statements of Financial Condition

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | December 31, | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Assets: | | |
| Cash and interest-bearing deposits | \$ 2,127 | \$ 17,920 |
| Subordinated notes | 7,500 | 7,500 |
| Loans receivable | 19,854 | 7,256 |
| Securities available for sale | 1,395 | 1,310 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 117,685 | 108,429 |
| Company-owned life insurance | 2,778 | 2,678 |
| Other assets | 2,318 | 1,983 |
| Total assets | \$ 153,657 | \$ 147,076 |
| Liabilities and stockholders' equity: | | |
| Other liabilities | \$ 1,304 | \$ 977 |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 73,724 | 73,724 |
| Stockholders' equity | 78,629 | 72,375 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 153,657 | \$ 147,076 |

Statements of Operations

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | For the Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Income: | | | |
| Interest on investments and interest-bearing deposits | \$ 261 | \$ 130 | \$ 150 |
| Interest on subordinated note | 493 | 411 | 274 |
| Interest on loans | 1,205 | 645 | 470 |
| Gain on sale of securities | 26 | | |
| Other income | 883 | 846 | 741 |
| Total income | 2,868 | 2,032 | 1,635 |
| Expenses: | | | |
| Interest expense | 5,384 | 3,762 | 2,426 |
| Professional expenses | 297 | 259 | 248 |
| Other expenses | 366 | 402 | 304 |
| Total expenses | 6,047 | 4,423 | 2,978 |
| Loss before income tax benefit | (3,179) | (2,391) | (1,343) |
| Income tax benefit | (412) | (96) | (107) |
| Loss before equity in undistributed net income of subsidiaries | (2,767) | (2,295) | (1,236) |
| Equity in undistributed net income of subsidiaries | 4,691 | 10,117 | 7,337 |
| Net income | \$ 1,924 | \$ 7,822 | \$ 6,101 |

Statements of Cash Flows

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | For the Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Loss before undistributed net income of subsidiaries | \$ (2,767) | \$ (2,295) | \$ (1,236) |
| Gain on sale of securities | 26 | | |
| Increase in other assets | (404) | (436) | (251) |
| Income from company-owned life insurance | (100) | (100) | (78) |
| Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation | (585) | | |
| Increase (decrease) in other liabilities | 327 | 627 | (1,067) |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (3,503) | (2,204) | (2,632) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Investment in subsidiaries | (1,695) | (16,874) | (1,428) |
| Loans (disbursements) repayments, net | (12,598) | 4,075 | 10,287 |
| Purchase of subordinated note | | (2,500) | (5,000) |
| Purchase of securities available for sale | | | (170) |
| Sale of securities available for sale | 116 | | |
| Purchase of company-owned life insurance | | | (2,500) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (14,177) | (15,299) | 1,189 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from stock issuance, net | 1,884 | 5,001 | 1,690 |
| Repurchase of common stock, net | (582) | (1,578) | (609) |
| Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation | 585 | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures | | 15,475 | 10,310 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 1,887 | 18,898 | 11,391 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (15,793) | 1,395 | 9,948 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 17,920 | 16,525 | 6,577 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 2,127 | \$ 17,920 | \$ 16,525 |

(21) Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections – a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3*. This statement requires retrospective application to prior periods' financial statements of changes in accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. In the case of impracticability in retrospective application, the statement gives guidance as to the appropriate treatment of the change or correction. The statement is effective for accounting changes made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments – an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140*. This statement amends SFAS No. 133 and SFAS No. 140 by: permitting fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation; clarifying which interest-only strips and principal-only strips are not subject to the requirements of SFAS No. 133; establishing a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation; clarifying that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives and; amending SFAS No. 140 to eliminate the prohibition on a qualifying special-purpose entity from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument. The statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006. The adoption of this standard is not anticipated to have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets – an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140*. This statement amends SFAS No. 140 with respect to the accounting for separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. It requires an entity to recognize a servicing asset or servicing liability each time an obligation is undertaken to service a financial asset by entering into a servicing contract in certain situations and requires all separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities to be initially measured at fair value, if practicable. The statement permits the choice between the amortization method and the fair value measurement method for the subsequent measurement of the servicing assets or liabilities and allows for a one-time reclassification of available-for-sale securities to trading securities at initial adoption. The statement also requires separate presentation of servicing assets and servicing liabilities subsequently measured at fair value in the statement of financial position and additional disclosures for all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. The statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006. The adoption of this standard is not anticipated to have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes – an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*. The interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in a company's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. The interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. It also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosures, and transition. The interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The adoption of this interpretation is not anticipated to have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. This statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles,

and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The adoption of this interpretation is not anticipated to have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

In September 2006, the FASB ratified the consensus reached by the EITF on Issue No. 06-4, *Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements*. EITF 06-4 requires the recognition of a liability and related compensation costs for endorsement split-dollar life insurance policies that provide a benefit to an employee that extends to postretirement periods as defined in SFAS No. 106, *Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions*. The EITF reached a consensus that Bank Owned Life Insurance policies purchased for this purpose do not effectively settle the entity's obligation to the employee in this regard and, thus, the entity must record compensation costs and a related liability. Entities should recognize the effects of applying this Issue through either, (a) a change in accounting principle through a cumulative-effective adjustment to retained earnings or to other components of equity or net assets in the balance sheet as of the beginning of the year of adoption, or (b) a change in accounting principle through retrospective application to all prior periods. This Issue is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. Management is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this Issue on the Company's financial statements.

ITEM 9 CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES

None.

ITEM 9A CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Conclusion Regarding The Effectiveness Of Disclosure Controls And Procedures

Senior management maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods provided in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, senior management has recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and therefore has been required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

In accordance with Rule 13a-15(b) of the Exchange Act, as of the end of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, we carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are, in fact, effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Management's Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The management of First Mariner Bancorp (the Company) is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The internal control process has been designed under our supervision to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Management has conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, utilizing the framework established in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this assessment, management has determined that the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 is effective.

Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Any internal control system, no matter how well designed, will have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management's assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006 has been audited by Stegman and Company, an independent registered public accounting firm, and their report follows management's report.

Report Of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
First Mariner Bancorp
Baltimore, Maryland

We have audited management's assessment, included in the preceding Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, that First Mariner Bancorp (the Company) maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on criteria established in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006 and our report dated March 3, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

Baltimore, Maryland

/s/ Stegman & Company

March 3, 2007

Changes In Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

During the quarter ended December 31, 2006, there have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that has materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10 DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Certain information relating to our directors and executive officers and our Section 16(a) beneficial ownership reporting compliance is incorporated by reference herein from our proxy statement in connection with our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 1, 2007, which proxy statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days after the close of our fiscal year.

The information called for by Item 10 with respect to executive officers is set forth above in Part I, Item 4A under the caption Executive Officers of the Registrant.

Code of Ethics

We have a Code of Conduct and Ethics that applies to our employees, officers, and directors, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, and principal accounting officer. A copy of this Code of Conduct and Ethics is available on our website at www.1stmarinerbancorp.com (investor relations section), or a written copy can be obtained by request. We intend to disclose any changes in or waivers from our code by posting such information on our website. We also have adopted an Executive Code of Conduct and Ethics Policy that addresses (i) trading prohibitions during blackout period; (ii) prohibitions against insider trading; (iii) corporate opportunities; and (iv) loans to insiders policy.

ITEM 11 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Certain information relating to our directors and executive officers compensation and our Compensation Committee's report on executive compensation is incorporated by reference herein from our definitive proxy statement in connection with our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 1, 2007, which proxy statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days after the close of our fiscal year.

See information relating to our stock performance in Item 5 Market for the Registrants Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities in Part II of this 10-K.

ITEM 12 SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Certain information required by this item is set forth in the following sections of our definitive proxy statement in connection with our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 1, 2007, which proxy statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days after the close of our fiscal year.

For information regarding the Company's equity compensation plans, please refer to the table captioned Equity Compensation Plan Information in Item 5 of this Form 10-K.

ITEM 13 CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Certain information relating to certain relationships and related transactions is incorporated by reference herein from our definitive proxy statement in connection with our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 1, 2007, which proxy statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days after the close of our fiscal year. Information is also included in Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements set forth herein in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

ITEM 14 PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Information regarding fees paid to our independent accountants, Stegman & Company, is incorporated by reference herein from our definitive proxy statement in connection with our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 1, 2007, which proxy statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days after the close of our fiscal year.

PART IV

ITEM 15 EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

- a. (1), (2) Financial Statements
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of December 31, 2006 and 2005
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005,
and 2004
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005,
and 2004
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005,
and 2004
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005,
and 2004
(a)(3) Exhibits Required to be filed by Item 601 of Regulation S-K.
See Exhibit Index following Signatures
- b. Exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K
See the Exhibit Index following the Signatures

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: March 16, 2007
By: FIRST MARINER BANCORP

/s/ EDWIN F. HALE SR.
Edwin F. Hale Sr.
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant in their capacities as indicated on the 16th day of March, 2007.

/s/ EDWIN F. HALE SR.
Edwin F. Hale Sr., Chief Executive Officer and
Director

/s/ JOSEPH A. CICERO
Joseph A. Cicero, President and Director

/s/ BARRY B. BONDROFF
Barry B. Bondroff, Director

/s/ JOHN BROWN III
John Brown III, Director

/s/ THOMAS L. BROMWELL
Thomas L. Bromwell, Director

/s/ EDITH B. BROWN
Edith B. Brown, Director

/s/ ROBERT CARET
Robert Caret, Director

/s/ HOWARD FRIEDMAN
Howard Friedman, Director

/s/ MARK A. KEIDEL
Mark A. Keidel, Chief Financial Officer

/s/ GEORGE H. MANTAKOS
George H. Mantakos, Executive Vice President
and Director

/s/ MELVIN KABICK
Melvin Kabick, Director

/s/ JOHN MCDANIEL
John McDaniel, Director

/s/ JOHN J. OLIVER
John J. Oliver, Director

/s/ PATRICIA SCHMOKE
Patricia Schmoke, Director

/s/ HECTOR TORRES
Hector Torres, Director

/s/ MICHAEL R. WATSON
Michael R. Watson, Director

EXHIBIT INDEX

- 3.1 Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of First Mariner Bancorp (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form SB-2, as amended, file no. 333-16011 (the 1996 Registration Statement))
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of First Mariner Bancorp (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of First Mariner's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2002)
- 10.1 1996 Stock Option Plan of First Mariner Bancorp (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registration Statement)
- 10.2 Employment Agreement dated May 1, 1995 between First Mariner Bancorp and First Mariner Bank and George H. Mantakos (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the 1996 Registration Statement)
- 10.3 Lease Agreement dated March 1, 1996 between First Mariner Bank and Mars Super Markets, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the 1996 Registration Statement)
- 10.4 Lease Agreement dated November 1, 1997 between Edwin F. Hale, Sr. and First Mariner Bank (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of Pre-Effective Amendment Number 1 to Form S-1, file no. 333-53789-01)
- 10.5 1998 Stock Option Plan of First Mariner Bancorp (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of Pre-Effective Amendment Number 1 to Form S-1, file no. 333- 53789-01)
- 10.6 Employee Stock Purchase Plan of First Mariner Bancorp (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of Pre-Effective Amendment Number 1 to Form S-1, file no. 333-53789-01)
- 10.7 Lease Agreement dated as of June 1, 1998 between Building #2, L.L.C. and First Mariner Bank (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of Pre-Effective Amendment Number 1 to Form S-1, file no. 333-53789-01)
- 10.8 Lease Agreement dated June 18, 2002 between Hale Properties, LLC and First Mariner Bank (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to First Mariner's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002.)
- 10.9 First Mariner Bancorp 2002 Stock Option Plan (Incorporated by reference to Attachment A to First Mariner's Definitive Proxy Statement filed on April 5, 2002)
- 10.10 Lease Agreement dated as of March 1, 2003 between Building No. 2 LLC and First Mariner Bank (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003.)
- 10.11 Lease Agreement dated March 1, 2003 between Canton Crossing LLC and First Mariner Bank (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003.)
- 10.12 Change of Control Agreement dated April 2, 2003 between First Mariner Bancorp and Edwin F. Hale, Sr. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003.)
- 10.13 Change of Control Agreement dated April 2, 2003 between First Mariner Bancorp and Joseph A. Cicero (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003.)
- 10.14 Change of Control Agreement dated April 2, 2003 between First Mariner Bancorp and George H. Mantakos (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003.)
- 10.15 Change of Control Agreement dated April 2, 2003 between First Mariner Bancorp and Mark A. Keidel (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003.)
- 10.16 Change of Control Agreement dated April 2, 2003 between First Mariner Bancorp and Dennis E. Finnegan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003.)

98

Edgar Filing: FIRST MARINER BANCORP - Form 10-K

- 10.17 Change of Control Agreement dated April 2, 2003 between First Mariner Bancorp and Brett J. Carter (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003.)
- 10.18 Lease Agreement dated June 2, 2003 between Canton Crossing LLC and First Mariner Bank (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003)
- 10.19 First Mariner Bancorp 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix B to First Mariner's Definitive Proxy Statement filed on April 1, 2004)
- 10.20 First Mariner Bancorp 2003 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix C to First Mariner's Definitive Proxy Statement filed on April 1, 2004)
- 10.21 Purchase and Sale Agreement dated October 20, 2004 among First Mariner Bancorp, Canton Crossing LLC and Hale Canton, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Report on Form 8-K filed on October 22, 2004)
- 10.22 Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement under the 2004 Long Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Report on Form 8-K filed on January 31, 2005)
- 10.23 Form of Incentive Stock Option Award Agreement under the 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Report on Form 8-K filed on January 31, 2005)
- 10.24 Lease Agreement dated May 12, 2005 between First Mariner Bancorp and Hale Properties, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on May 17, 2005.)
- 10.25 First Amendment to Lease Agreement dated November 15, 2005 between First Mariner Bancorp and Canton Crossing Tower, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on November 15, 2005)
- 10.26 Description of Board Fees (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on February 1, 2006)
- 10.27 Description of 2006 Executive Bonus Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on February 1, 2006)
- 10.28 Lease Agreement dated January 8, 2007 between First Mariner Bank and Canton Crossing Tower, LLC, (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on March 14, 2007)
- 10.29 Description of 2007 Short-Term Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on March 14, 2007)
- 21.1 Subsidiaries of Registrant filed herewith
- 23.1 Consent of Stegman & Company filed herewith
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a), promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, filed herewith
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a), promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, filed herewith
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, furnished herewith.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, furnished herewith.