

First Internet Bancorp
Form 10-Q
November 04, 2013

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

For the Quarterly Period September 30, 2013

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

For the Transition Period From _____ to _____.

Commission File Number **001-35750**

First Internet Bancorp

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Indiana

(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

20-3489991

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

8888 Keystone Crossing, Suite 1700

Indianapolis, Indiana

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

46240

(Zip Code)

(317) 532-7900

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

(Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year,
if Changed Since Last Report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Large Accelerated Filer

Non-accelerated Filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Accelerated Filer

Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of November 1, 2013, the registrant had 2,861,326 shares of common stock issued and outstanding.

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains certain “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the federal securities laws. These statements are not historical facts, rather statements based on First Internet Bancorp’s (“we,” “our,” “us” or the “Company”) current expectations regarding its business strategies, intended results and future performance. Forward-looking statements are generally preceded by terms such as “expects,” “believes,” “anticipates,” “intends” and similar expressions. Such statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties including: failures or interruptions in our information systems; growth in our commercial lending activities; declines in market values of our investments; technological obsolescence; our possible need for additional capital resources in the future; competition; loss of key members of management; fluctuations in interest rates; inadequate allowance for loan losses; risks relating to consumer lending; our dependence on capital distributions from the First Internet Bank of Indiana (the “Bank”); our ability to maintain growth in our mortgage lending business; a decline in the mortgage loan markets or real estate markets; risks associated with the regulation of financial institutions; and changes in regulatory capital requirements. Additional factors that may affect our results include those discussed in this report under the heading “Risk Factors” and in other reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”). The Company cautions readers not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. The factors listed above could affect the Company’s financial performance and could cause the Company’s actual results for future periods to differ materially from any opinions or statements expressed with respect to future periods in any current statements.

Except as required by law, the Company does not undertake, and specifically disclaims any obligation, to publicly release the result of any revisions that may be made to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of such statements or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

(i)

PART I

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

First Internet Bancorp
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
(Dollar amounts in thousands except shares)

| | September 30, 2013 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2012 |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 2,048 | \$ 2,881 |
| Interest-bearing demand deposits | 24,281 | 29,632 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 26,329 | 32,513 |
| Interest-bearing time deposits | 2,500 | |
| Securities available for sale - at fair value (amortized cost of \$219,220 and \$153,896) | 216,662 | 156,693 |
| Loans held for sale (includes \$16,514 and \$0 at fair value, respectively) | 18,309 | 63,234 |
| Loans receivable - net of allowance for loan losses of \$5,459 and \$5,833 | 434,167 | 352,328 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 2,810 | 2,196 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis stock | 2,943 | 2,943 |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance | 11,835 | 11,539 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 6,742 | 793 |
| Goodwill | 4,687 | 4,687 |
| Other real estate owned | 5,381 | 3,666 |
| Other assets | 6,153 | 5,775 |
| Total assets | \$ 738,518 | \$ 636,367 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | | |
| Liabilities | | |
| Non-interest bearing deposits | \$ 14,541 | \$ 13,187 |
| Interest-bearing deposits | 622,112 | 517,504 |
| Total deposits | 636,653 | 530,691 |
| Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank | 31,767 | 40,686 |
| Subordinated debt | 2,767 | |
| Accrued interest payable | 81 | 120 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 5,376 | 3,520 |
| Total liabilities | 676,644 | 575,017 |
| Shareholders' Equity | | |
| Preferred stock, no par value; 4,913,779 shares authorized; issued and outstanding - none | | |
| Voting common stock, no par value; 45,000,000 shares authorized; 2,861,326 and 2,815,094 shares issued and outstanding, respectively | 42,037 | 41,508 |
| Nonvoting common stock, no par value; 86,221 shares authorized; issued and outstanding - none | | |
| Retained earnings | 21,500 | 18,024 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | (1,663) | 1,818 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 61,874 | 61,350 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 738,518 | \$ 636,367 |

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q
See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

1

First Internet Bancorp
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income Unaudited
(Dollar amounts in thousands except shares and per share data)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Interest Income | | | | |
| Loans | \$ 5,170 | \$ 4,951 | \$ 15,073 | \$ 14,464 |
| Securities taxable | 825 | 656 | 2,211 | 2,514 |
| Securities non-taxable | 447 | 424 | 1,146 | 1,269 |
| Total interest income | 6,442 | 6,031 | 18,430 | 18,247 |
| Interest Expense | | | | |
| Deposits | 1,758 | 1,828 | 5,042 | 5,475 |
| Other borrowed funds | 329 | 342 | 904 | 1,019 |
| Total interest expense | 2,087 | 2,170 | 5,946 | 6,494 |
| Net Interest Income | 4,355 | 3,861 | 12,484 | 11,753 |
| Provision (Credit) for Loan Losses | (57) | 974 | 101 | 2,108 |
| Net Interest Income After Provision (Credit) for Loan Losses | 4,412 | 2,887 | 12,383 | 9,645 |
| Noninterest Income | | | | |
| Service charges and fees | 177 | 162 | 515 | 523 |
| Mortgage banking activities | 1,299 | 3,206 | 7,767 | 6,991 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment | | | | |
| Total loss related to other-than-temporarily impaired securities | | (1,125) | (129) | (1,329) |
| Portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) | | 1,013 | 80 | 1,125 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment loss recognized in net income | | (112) | (49) | (204) |
| Gain (loss) on sale of securities | 97 | | (69) | 49 |
| Gain (loss) on asset disposals | (34) | 113 | (121) | (37) |
| Other | 102 | 100 | 304 | 290 |
| Total noninterest income | 1,641 | 3,469 | 8,347 | 7,612 |
| Noninterest Expense | | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 2,512 | 2,161 | 7,737 | 6,066 |
| Marketing, advertising, and promotion | 562 | 278 | 1,389 | 1,010 |
| Professional services | 577 | 438 | 1,791 | 1,037 |
| Data processing | 247 | 214 | 693 | 682 |
| Loan expenses | 209 | 381 | 574 | 869 |
| Net occupancy expenses | 534 | 315 | 1,468 | 1,092 |
| Deposit insurance premium | 85 | 122 | 313 | 341 |
| Other | 414 | 200 | 1,263 | 687 |
| Total noninterest expense | 5,140 | 4,109 | 15,228 | 11,784 |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 913 | 2,247 | 5,502 | 5,473 |
| Income Tax Provision | 186 | 621 | 1,575 | 1,421 |
| Net Income | \$ 727 | \$ 1,626 | \$ 3,927 | \$ 4,052 |
| Income Per Share of Common Stock | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.57 | \$ 1.36 | \$ 1.41 |
| Diluted | 0.25 | 0.57 | 1.36 | 1.41 |

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

Weighted-Average Number of Common
Shares Outstanding

| | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Basic | 2,890,369 | 2,870,680 | 2,888,274 | 2,867,769 |
| Diluted | 2,903,816 | 2,870,680 | 2,889,039 | 2,867,769 |
| Dividends Declared Per Share | \$ 0.06 | \$ | \$ 0.16 | \$ |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

First Internet Bancorp
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income **Unaudited**
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net income | \$ 727 | \$ 1,626 | \$ 3,927 | \$ 4,052 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | | | | |
| Net unrealized holding gains (losses) on securities available for sale | (21) | 2,242 | (5,344) | 2,959 |
| Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses realized | (97) | | 69 | (49) |
| Net unrealized holding losses on securities available for sale for which an other-than-temporary impairment has been recognized in income | | (1,125) | (129) | (1,329) |
| Reclassification adjustment for other-than-temporary impairment loss recognized in income | | 112 | 49 | 204 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) before tax | (118) | 1,229 | (5,355) | 1,785 |
| Income tax (provision) benefit | 41 | (430) | 1,874 | (625) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) - net of tax | (77) | 799 | (3,481) | 1,160 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 650 | \$ 2,425 | \$ 446 | \$ 5,212 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

First Internet Bancorp
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity - Unaudited
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013

(Dollar amounts in thousands except per share data)

| | Voting and Nonvoting Common Stock | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Retained Earnings | Total Shareholders' Equity |
|--|--|--|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Balance, January 1, 2013 | \$ 41,508 | \$ 1,818 | \$ 18,024 | \$ 61,350 |
| Net income | | | 3,927 | 3,927 |
| Other comprehensive loss | | (3,481) | | (3,481) |
| Cash dividends declared (\$0.16 per share) | | | (451) | (451) |
| Recognition of the fair value of share-based compensation | 167 | | | 167 |
| Issuance of common stock warrants | 255 | | | 255 |
| Issuance of directors' deferred stock rights | 107 | | | 107 |
| Balance, September 30, 2013 | \$ 42,037 | \$ (1,663) | \$ 21,500 | \$ 61,874 |

First Internet Bancorp
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Unaudited
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| | Nine Months Ended September | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------|
| | 30, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Operating Activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 3,927 | \$ 4,052 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities | | |
| Depreciation | 486 | 229 |
| Amortization | 1,288 | 1,828 |
| Loss from disposal of fixed assets | 16 | 1 |
| Gain from real estate owned | | (127) |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance | (296) | (281) |
| Provision for loan losses | 101 | 2,108 |
| Stock compensation expense | 274 | 60 |
| Loss on other-than-temporary impairment of security | 49 | 204 |
| Loss (Gain) from sale of available-for-sale securities | 69 | (49) |
| Loans originated for sale | (606,768) | (523,761) |
| Proceeds from sale of loans | 659,441 | 520,353 |
| Gain on loans sold | (7,748) | (6,991) |
| Changes in assets and liabilities | | |
| Accrued interest receivable | (614) | (134) |
| Other assets | 289 | 779 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 1,760 | 887 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 52,274 | (842) |
| Investing Activities | | |
| Net change in loans | (29,105) | (11,920) |
| Net change in interest bearing deposits | (2,500) | |
| Loans purchased | (53,342) | (9,737) |
| Life insurance purchased | | (3,000) |
| Proceeds from liquidation of real estate owned | | 1,365 |
| Maturities of securities available for sale | 27,319 | 33,351 |
| Proceeds from sale of securities available for sale | 41,680 | 3,477 |
| Purchase of securities available for sale | (135,627) | (59,002) |
| Purchase of premises and equipment | (6,563) | (290) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (158,138) | (45,756) |
| Financing Activities | | |
| Net increase in deposits | 105,962 | 35,994 |
| Cash dividends paid | (282) | |
| Proceeds from issuance of subordinated debt and related warrants | 3,000 | |
| Proceeds from FHLB advances | 13,000 | |
| Repayment of FHLB advances | (22,000) | |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 99,680 | 35,994 |
| Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents | (6,184) | (10,604) |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Period | 32,513 | 34,778 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period | \$ 26,329 | \$ 24,174 |
| Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flows Information | | |
| Cash paid during the period for interest | \$ 5,985 | \$ 6,516 |

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-----|-----|
| Cash paid during the period for taxes | 723 | 735 |
| Loans transferred to real estate owned | 507 | 280 |
| Dividends declared, not paid | 169 | |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

First Internet Bancorp

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Unaudited
(Dollar amounts in thousands except shares and per share data)

Note 1: Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information or footnotes necessary for a complete presentation of financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”). In our opinion, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the year ending December 31, 2013 or any other period. The September 30, 2013 condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes included in the First Internet Bancorp Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

The preparation of the condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates, judgments, or assumptions that could have a material effect on the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities. These estimates, judgments, and assumptions affect the amounts reported in the condensed consolidated financial statements and the disclosures provided. The determination of the allowance for loan losses, valuations and impairments of investment securities, and the accounting for income tax expense are highly dependent upon management’s estimates, judgments, and assumptions where changes in any of these could have a significant impact on the financial statements.

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of First Internet Bancorp (“Company”), its wholly-owned subsidiary, First Internet Bank of Indiana (“Bank”), and the Bank’s wholly-owned subsidiary, JKH Realty Services, LLC. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The Company is subject to claims and lawsuits that arise primarily in the ordinary course of business. It is the opinion of management that the disposition or ultimate resolution of such claims and lawsuits will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Company.

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2012 financial statements to conform to the 2013 financial statement presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on net income.

On June 21, 2013, the Company completed a three-for-two (3:2) split of its common stock by the payment of a stock dividend of one-half of one share on each outstanding share of common stock. Except as otherwise indicated, all of the share and per-share information referenced throughout this report has been adjusted to reflect this stock split.

Note 2: Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share of common stock are based on the weighted-average number of basic shares and dilutive shares outstanding during the period.

The following is a reconciliation of the weighted-average common shares for the basic and diluted earnings per share computations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Basic earnings per share | | |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 727 | \$ 1,626 |
| Weighted-average common shares | 2,890,369 | 2,870,680 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.57 |
| Diluted earnings per share | | |
| Net income applicable to diluted earnings per share | \$ 727 | \$ 1,626 |
| Weighted-average common shares | 2,890,369 | 2,870,680 |
| Dilutive effect of warrants | 13,447 | |
| Dilutive effect of equity compensation | | |
| Weighted-average common and incremental shares | 2,903,816 | 2,870,680 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.57 |
| Number of shares and warrants excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share as the exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the Company's common stock during the period | | |
| | Nine Months Ended September | |
| | 30, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Basic earnings per share | | |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 3,927 | \$ 4,052 |
| Weighted-average common shares | 2,888,274 | 2,867,769 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 1.36 | \$ 1.41 |
| Diluted earnings per share | | |
| Net income applicable to diluted earnings per share | \$ 3,927 | \$ 4,052 |
| Weighted-average common shares | 2,888,274 | 2,867,769 |
| Dilutive effect of warrants | 765 | |
| Dilutive effect of equity compensation | | |
| Weighted-average common and incremental shares | 2,889,039 | 2,867,769 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 1.36 | \$ 1.41 |
| Number of shares and warrants excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share as the exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the Company's common stock during the period | | |

Note 3: Securities

Securities at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are as follows:

| | September 30, 2013 | | | Fair |
|---|--------------------|------------------|------------|------------|
| | Amortized | Gross Unrealized | Losses | Value |
| | Cost | Gains | | |
| Securities available for sale | | | | |
| U.S. government-sponsored enterprises | \$ 58,077 | \$ 529 | \$ (1,395) | \$ 57,211 |
| Municipals | 47,328 | 1,269 | (897) | 47,700 |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities | 77,885 | 940 | (1,215) | 77,610 |
| government-sponsored enterprises | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities | 1,451 | 11 | (93) | 1,369 |
| private labeled | | | | |
| Other securities | 34,479 | 108 | (1,815) | 32,772 |
| Total available for sale | \$ 219,220 | \$ 2,857 | \$ (5,415) | \$ 216,662 |
| | | | | |
| | December 31, 2012 | | | Fair |
| | Amortized | Gross Unrealized | Losses | Value |
| | Cost | Gains | | |
| Securities available for sale | | | | |
| U.S. government-sponsored enterprises | \$ 18,666 | \$ 953 | \$ (1) | \$ 19,618 |
| Municipals | 39,999 | 2,685 | (144) | 42,540 |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities | 75,782 | 1,884 | (177) | 77,489 |
| government-sponsored enterprises | | | | |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities | 2,696 | 17 | (260) | 2,453 |
| private labeled | | | | |
| Other securities | 16,753 | 105 | (2,265) | 14,593 |
| Total available for sale | \$ 153,896 | \$ 5,644 | \$ (2,847) | \$ 156,693 |

The carrying value of securities at September 30, 2013 is shown below by their contractual maturity date. Actual maturities will differ because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

| | Available for Sale | Fair |
|--|--------------------|------------|
| | Amortized | Value |
| | Cost | |
| Within one year | \$ 1,500 | \$ 1,489 |
| One to five years | 35,958 | 35,853 |
| Five to ten years | 35,743 | 35,467 |
| After ten years | 66,683 | 64,874 |
| | 139,884 | 137,683 |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities government-sponsored enterprises | 77,885 | 77,610 |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities private labeled | 1,451 | 1,369 |
| Totals | \$ 219,220 | \$ 216,662 |

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

Gross gains of \$104 and \$0, and gross losses of \$7 and \$0 resulting from sales of available-for-sale securities were realized for three month period ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. In the nine month period ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, gross gains of \$278 and \$49 and gross losses of \$347 and \$0 were recognized, respectively.

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

Certain investments in debt securities are reported in the condensed consolidated financial statements at an amount less than their historical cost. Total fair value of these investments at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was \$115,264 and \$41,986, which is approximately 53% and 27%, respectively, of the Company's available-for-sale investment portfolio. These declines primarily resulted from increases in market interest rates after purchase.

Except as discussed below, management believes the declines in fair value for these securities are temporary.

Should the impairment of any of these securities become other-than-temporary, the cost basis of the investment will be reduced and the resulting loss recognized in net income in the period in which the other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") is identified. The Company routinely conducts periodic reviews to identify and evaluate investment securities to determine whether an OTTI has occurred. For certain investments, economic models are used to determine whether an OTTI has occurred on these securities.

The following tables show the Company's investments' gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

| | September 30, 2013 | | 12 Months or Longer | | Total | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Securities available for sale: | | | | | | |
| U.S. government-sponsored enterprises | \$ 43,706 | \$ (1,395) | \$ 17 | \$ | \$ 43,723 | \$ (1,395) |
| Municipals | 12,812 | (751) | 984 | (146) | 13,796 | (897) |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities - government-sponsored enterprises | 34,282 | (1,215) | | | 34,282 | (1,215) |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities private labeled | 48 | | 920 | (93) | 968 | (93) |
| Other securities | 18,896 | (377) | 3,599 | (1,438) | 22,495 | (1,815) |
| | \$ 109,744 | \$ (3,738) | \$ 5,520 | \$ (1,677) | \$ 115,264 | \$ (5,415) |

| | December 31, 2012 | | 12 Months or Longer | | Total | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Securities available for sale: | | | | | | |
| U.S. government-sponsored enterprises | \$ | \$ | \$ 119 | \$ (1) | \$ 119 | \$ (1) |
| Municipals | 470 | (4) | 2,618 | (140) | 3,088 | (144) |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities - government-sponsored enterprises | 28,505 | (177) | | | 28,505 | (177) |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities private labeled | | | 1,504 | (260) | 1,504 | (260) |

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------|----------|----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Other securities | 5,947 | (53) | 2,823 | (2,212) | 8,770 | (2,265) |
| | \$ 34,922 | \$ (234) | \$ 7,064 | \$ (2,613) | \$ 41,986 | \$ (2,847) |

U.S. Government Sponsored Enterprise and Municipal Securities

The unrealized losses on the Company's investments in securities issued by U.S. Government sponsored enterprises and municipal securities were caused by interest rate changes. The contractual terms of those investments do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than the amortized cost basis of the investments. Because the Company does not intend to sell the investments and it is unlikely the Company will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider those investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at September 30, 2013.

Mortgage-Backed Securities

The unrealized losses on the Company's investment in mortgage-backed securities were caused by interest rate changes. The Company expects to recover the amortized cost basis over the term of the securities. Because the decline in market value is attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality, and because the Company does not intend to sell the investments, and it is unlikely the Company will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider those investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at September 30, 2013.

Other Securities

The Company's unrealized loss on investments in other securities is primarily made up of two investments. The first investment is a \$2,000 par investment in I-PreTSL I B-2 pooled trust security. The unrealized loss was primarily caused by a sector downgrade by several industry analysts. The Company currently expects to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the investment. The determination of no credit loss was calculated by comparing expected discounted cash flows based on performance indicators of the underlying assets in the security to the carrying value of the investment. Because the Company does not intend to sell the investment and it is unlikely the Company will be required to sell the investment before recovery of its amortized cost basis, which may be maturity, it does not consider the remainder of the investment to be other-than-temporarily impaired at September 30, 2013. The second investment is discussed in the next paragraph.

An OTTI has been recognized on a \$2,000 par investment in ALESCO IV Series B2 pooled trust security. The unrealized loss was primarily caused by (a) a decrease in performance and (b) a sector downgrade by several industry analysts. The Company currently expects ALESCO IV to settle the security at a price less than the contractual amount of the investment (that is, the Company expects to recover less than the entire amortized cost basis of the security). The Company has recognized a loss equal to the credit loss, establishing a new, lower amortized cost basis. The credit loss was calculated by comparing expected discounted cash flows based on performance indicators of the underlying assets in the security to the carrying value of the investment. Because the Company does not intend to sell the investment and it is unlikely the Company will be required to sell the investment before recovery of its new, lower amortized cost basis, which may be maturity, it does not consider the remainder of the investment in ALESCO IV to be other-than-temporarily impaired at September 30, 2013.

For identified mortgage-backed securities in the investment portfolio, an extensive, quarterly review is conducted to determine if an OTTI has occurred. Various inputs to the economic models are used to determine if an unrealized loss is other-than-temporary. The most significant inputs are voluntary prepay rates, default rates, liquidation rates, and loss severity.

To determine if the unrealized loss for mortgage-backed securities is other-than-temporary, the Company projects total estimated defaults of the underlying assets (mortgages) and multiplies that calculated amount by an estimate of realizable value upon sale in the marketplace (severity) in order to determine the projected collateral loss. The Company also evaluates the current credit enhancement underlying the bond to determine the impact on cash flows. If

the Company determines that a given mortgage-backed security position will be subject to a write-down or loss, the Company records the expected credit loss as a charge to earnings.

The credit losses recognized in earnings during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| ALESCO IV Series B2 | \$ | \$ 112 |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities private labeled | | |
| Total credit losses recognized in earnings | \$ | \$ 112 |

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|------------------------------------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| ALESCO IV Series B2 | \$ | \$ 112 |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities private labeled | 49 | 92 |
| Total credit losses recognized in earnings | \$49 | \$ 204 |

Credit Losses Recognized on Investments

Certain debt securities have experienced fair value deterioration due to credit losses, as well as due to other market factors, but are not otherwise other-than-temporarily impaired.

The following tables provide information about debt securities for which only a credit loss was recognized in income and other losses are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

| | Accumulated Credit Losses |
|---|------------------------------|
| Credit losses on debt securities held July 1, 2013 | \$ 1,342 |
| Realized losses related to OTTI | (98) |
| Additions related to other-than-temporary losses not previously recognized | |
| Additions related to increases in previously recognized other-than-temporary losses | |
| September 30, 2013 | \$ 1,244 |

| | Accumulated Credit Losses |
|---|------------------------------|
| Credit losses on debt securities held July 1, 2012 | \$ 1,920 |
| Realized losses related to OTTI | (163) |
| Additions related to other-than-temporary losses not previously recognized | |
| Additions related to increases in previously recognized other-than-temporary losses | 112 |
| September 30, 2012 | \$ 1,869 |

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| | Accumulated Credit Losses |
|---|------------------------------|
| Credit losses on debt securities held | |
| January 1, 2013 | \$ 1,737 |
| Realized losses related to OTTI | (542) |
| Additions related to other-than-temporary losses not previously recognized | 31 |
| Additions related to increases in previously recognized other-than-temporary losses | 18 |
| September 30, 2013 | \$ 1,244 |

| | Accumulated Credit Losses |
|---|------------------------------|
| Credit losses on debt securities held | |
| January 1, 2012 | \$ 1,835 |
| Realized losses related to OTTI | (170) |
| Additions related to other-than-temporary losses not previously recognized | 43 |
| Additions related to increases in previously recognized other-than-temporary losses | 161 |
| September 30, 2012 | \$ 1,869 |

Amounts reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and the affected line items in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, were as follows:

| | Amounts Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Three Months Ended September 30, | | Affected Line Item in the Statements of Income |
|--|--|---------|---|
| | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Securities available for sale | | | |
| Gain (loss) realized in earnings | \$ 97 | \$ | Gain (loss) on sale of securities |
| OTTI losses recognized in earnings | | (112) | Other-than-temporary impairment loss recognized in net income |
| Total reclassified amount before tax | 97 | (112) | Income Before Income Taxes |
| Tax (expense) benefit | (34) | 39 | Income Tax Provision |
| Total reclassifications out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | \$ 63 | \$ (73) | Net Income |

| | Amounts Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Nine Months Ended September 30, | | Affected Line Item in the Statements of Income |
|------------------------------------|---|-------|---|
| | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Securities available for sale | | | |
| Gain (loss) realized in earnings | \$ (69) | \$ 49 | Gain (loss) on sale of securities |
| OTTI losses recognized in earnings | (49) | (204) | |

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|---|---------|----------|---|
| | | | Other-than-temporary impairment loss recognized in net income |
| Total reclassified amount before tax | (118) | (155) | Income Before Income Taxes |
| Tax (expense) benefit | 41 | 54 | Income Tax Provision |
| Total reclassifications out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | \$ (77) | \$ (101) | Net Income |

Note 4: Loans Receivable

Loans that management determines the Company has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff are reported at their outstanding principal balance adjusted for unearned income, charge-offs, the allowance for loan losses, any unamortized deferred fees or costs on originated loans, and unamortized premiums or discounts on purchased loans.

For loans recorded at cost, interest income is accrued based on the unpaid principal balance. Loan origination fees, net of certain direct origination costs, as well as premiums and discounts, are deferred and amortized as a level yield adjustment over the respective term of the loan.

Categories of loans include:

| | September 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Real estate loans | | |
| Residential | \$ 155,203 | \$ 128,815 |
| Commercial | 121,032 | 84,918 |
| Total real estate loans | 276,235 | 213,733 |
| Commercial loans | 47,371 | 14,271 |
| Consumer loans | 111,357 | 126,486 |
| Total loans | 434,963 | 354,490 |
| Deferred loan origination costs and premiums and discounts on purchased loans | 4,663 | 3,671 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (5,459) | (5,833) |
| Loans receivable - net of allowance for loan losses | \$ 434,167 | \$ 352,328 |

The risk characteristics of each loan portfolio segment are as follows:

Commercial Real Estate: These loans are viewed primarily as cash flow loans and secondarily as loans secured by real estate. Commercial real estate lending typically involves higher loan principal amounts, and the repayment of these loans is generally dependent on the successful operation of the property securing the loan or the business conducted on the property securing the loan. Commercial real estate loans may be more adversely affected by conditions in the real estate markets or in the general economy. The properties securing the Company's commercial real estate portfolio are diverse in terms of property type and geographic location. Management monitors and evaluates commercial real estate loans based on property financial performance, collateral value, and other risk grade criteria. As a general rule, the Company avoids financing special use projects or properties outside of its designated market areas unless other underwriting factors are present to help mitigate risk. In addition, management tracks the level of owner-occupied commercial real estate loans versus nonowner-occupied loans.

Commercial: Commercial loans are primarily based on the identified cash flows of the borrower and secondarily on the underlying collateral provided by the borrower. The cash flows of borrowers, however, may not be as expected, and the collateral securing these loans may fluctuate in value. Loans are made for working capital, equipment purchases, or other purposes. Most commercial loans are secured by the assets being financed and may incorporate a personal guarantee; however, some short-term loans may be made on an unsecured basis.

Residential Real Estate and Consumer: With respect to residential loans that are secured by 1-4 family residences and are generally owner occupied, the Company generally establishes a maximum loan-to-value ratio and requires private mortgage insurance if that ratio is exceeded. Home equity loans are typically secured by a subordinate interest in 1-4 family residences, and consumer loans are secured by consumer assets such as automobiles or recreational vehicles. Some consumer loans are unsecured, such as small installment loans and certain lines of credit. Repayment

of these loans is primarily dependent upon the personal income of the borrowers, which can be impacted by economic conditions in their market areas such as unemployment levels. Repayment can also be impacted by changes in property values on residential properties. Risk is mitigated by the fact that the loans are of smaller individual amounts and spread over a large number of borrowers.

Allowance for Loan Losses Methodology

Company policy is designed to ensure that an adequate allowance for loan losses (“ALLL”) is maintained. The portfolio is segmented by loan type. The required ALLL for types of performing homogeneous loans which do not have a specific reserve is determined by applying a factor based on historical losses averaged over the past twelve months. Management believes the historical loss experience methodology is appropriate in the current economic environment, as it captures loss rates that are comparable to the current period being analyzed. Management adds qualitative factors for observable trends, changes in internal practices, changes in delinquencies and impairments, and, finally, external factors. Observable factors include changes in the composition and size of portfolios, as well as loan terms or concentration levels. The Company evaluates the impact of internal changes such as management and staff experience levels or modification to loan review processes. Delinquency trends are scrutinized for both volume and severity of past due, nonaccrual, classified or graded loans as well as any changes in the value of underlying collateral. Finally, the Company considers the effect of other external factors such as national, regional and local economic and business conditions, as well as competitive, legal and regulatory requirements. All criticized, classified, and impaired loans are evaluated for impairment by applying at least one of three methodologies: present value of future cash flows; fair value of collateral less cost to sell; or the loan’s observable market price. All troubled debt restructurings (“TDR”) are considered impaired loans. Loans evaluated for impairment are removed from other pools to prevent double-counting.

Provision for Loan Losses

A provision for estimated losses on loans is charged to operations based upon management’s evaluation of the potential losses. Such an evaluation, which includes a review of all loans for which full collectability may not be reasonably assured considers, among other matters, the estimated net realizable value of the underlying collateral, as applicable, economic conditions, loan loss experience, and other factors that are particularly susceptible to changes that could result in a material adjustment in the near term. While management endeavors to use the best information available in making its evaluations, future allowance adjustments may be necessary if economic conditions change substantially from the assumptions used in making the evaluations.

Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 310, *Receivables*, requires that impaired loans be measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loans’ effective interest rates or the fair value of the underlying collateral and allows existing methods for recognizing interest income.

Policy for Charging Off Loans

The Company’s policy is to charge off a loan at any point in time when it no longer can be considered a bankable asset, meaning collectible within the parameters of policy. A secured loan is generally charged off to the estimated fair value of the collateral, less costs to sell, no later than when it is 120 days past due as to principal or interest. An unsecured loan generally is charged off no later than when it is 180 days past due as to principal or interest. All charge-offs are approved by the Chief Credit Officer.

The following tables present changes in the balance of the ALLL during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|----------|----------|
| | Residential Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate | Commercial | Consumer | Total |
| Allowance for loan losses: | | | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 984 | \$ 2,918 | \$ 547 | \$ 1,078 | \$ 5,527 |
| Provision (credit) charged to expense | 33 | (36) | 168 | (222) | (57) |

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|------------------------|----------|----------|--------|--------|----------|
| Losses charged off | (18) | | | (175) | (193) |
| Recoveries | 73 | | | 109 | 182 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 1,072 | \$ 2,882 | \$ 715 | \$ 790 | \$ 5,459 |

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|----------|----------|
| | Residential Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate | Commercial | Consumer | Total |
| Allowance for loan losses: | | | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 1,149 | \$ 3,107 | \$ 371 | \$ 1,206 | \$ 5,833 |
| Provision (credit) charged to expense | (97) | 13 | 274 | (89) | 101 |
| Losses charged off | (72) | (238) | | (573) | (883) |
| Recoveries | 92 | | 70 | 246 | 408 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 1,072 | \$ 2,882 | \$ 715 | \$ 790 | \$ 5,459 |

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|----------|----------|
| | Residential Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate | Commercial | Consumer | Total |
| Allowance for loan losses: | | | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 916 | \$ 2,674 | \$ 458 | \$ 1,679 | \$ 5,727 |
| Provision (credit) charged to expense | 741 | 658 | (185) | (240) | 974 |
| Losses charged off | (204) | | | (348) | (552) |
| Recoveries | 16 | | 75 | 160 | 251 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 1,469 | \$ 3,332 | \$ 348 | \$ 1,251 | \$ 6,400 |

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|----------|----------|
| | Residential Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate | Commercial | Consumer | Total |
| Allowance for loan losses: | | | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 1,099 | \$ 2,485 | \$ 333 | \$ 1,739 | \$ 5,656 |
| Provision (credit) charged to expense | 808 | 1,119 | (60) | 241 | 2,108 |
| Losses charged off | (479) | (272) | | (1,152) | (1,903) |
| Recoveries | 41 | | 75 | 423 | 539 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 1,469 | \$ 3,332 | \$ 348 | \$ 1,251 | \$ 6,400 |

The following tables present the recorded investment in loans based on portfolio segment and impairment method as of September 30, 2013, and December 31, 2012:

| | September 30, 2013 | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | Residential Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate | Commercial | Consumer | Total |
| Loans: | | | | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 155,203 | \$ 121,032 | \$ 47,371 | \$ 111,357 | \$ 434,963 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | 1,774 | 1,987 | | 351 | 4,112 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 153,429 | \$ 119,045 | \$ 47,371 | \$ 111,006 | \$ 430,851 |
| Allowance for loan losses: | | | | | |
| Ending Balance | \$ 1,072 | \$ 2,882 | \$ 715 | \$ 790 | \$ 5,459 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | 34 | 439 | | 18 | 491 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 1,038 | \$ 2,443 | \$ 715 | \$ 772 | \$ 4,968 |

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| | December 31, 2012 | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | Residential Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate | Commercial | Consumer | Total |
| Loans: | | | | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 128,815 | \$ 84,918 | \$ 14,271 | \$ 126,486 | \$ 354,490 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | 2,482 | 2,467 | | 474 | 5,423 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 126,333 | \$ 82,451 | \$ 14,271 | \$ 126,012 | \$ 349,067 |
| Allowance for loan losses: | | | | | |
| Ending Balance | \$ 1,149 | \$ 3,107 | \$ 371 | \$ 1,206 | \$ 5,833 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | 206 | 682 | | 54 | 942 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 943 | \$ 2,425 | \$ 371 | \$ 1,152 | \$ 4,891 |

The Company utilizes a risk grading matrix to assign a risk grade to each of its commercial loans. Loans are graded on a scale of 1 to 8. A description of the general characteristics of the 8 risk grades is as follows:

- Grades 1 & 2 - These grades are assigned to loans with very high credit quality borrowers of investment or near investment grade or where the loan is primarily secured by cash or conservatively margined high quality marketable securities. These borrowers are generally publicly traded, have significant capital strength, possess investment grade public debt ratings, demonstrate low leverage, exhibit stable earnings and growth and have ready access to various financing alternatives.
- Grades 3 & 4 - Loans assigned these grades include loans to borrowers possessing solid credit quality with acceptable risk. Borrowers in these grades are differentiated from higher grades on the basis of size (capital and/or revenue), leverage, asset quality, stability of the industry or specific market area and quality/coverage of collateral. These borrowers generally have a history of consistent earnings and reasonable leverage.
- Grade 5 - This grade includes "Pass Grade" loans to borrowers which require special monitoring because of deteriorating financial results, declining credit ratings, decreasing cash flow, increasing leverage, marginal collateral coverage or industry stress that has resulted or may result in a changing overall risk profile.
- Grade 6 - This grade is for "Special Mention" loans in accordance with regulatory guidelines. This grade is intended to include loans to borrowers whose credit quality has clearly deteriorated and where risk of further decline is possible unless active measures are taken to correct the situation. Weaknesses are considered potential at this state and are not yet fully defined.
- Grade 7 - This grade includes "Substandard" loans in accordance with regulatory guidelines. Loans categorized in this grade possess a well-defined credit weakness, and the likelihood of repayment from the primary source is uncertain. Significant financial deterioration has occurred, and very close attention is warranted to ensure the full repayment without loss. Collateral coverage may be marginal, and the accrual of interest has been suspended.
- Grade 8 - This grade includes "Doubtful" loans in accordance with regulatory guidelines. Such loans have been placed on nonaccrual status and may be heavily dependent upon collateral possessing a value that is difficult to determine or based upon some near-term event which lacks clear certainty. These loans have all of the weaknesses of those classified as Substandard; however, based on existing conditions, these weaknesses make full collection of the principal balance highly improbable.

Nonaccrual Loans

Any loan which becomes 90 days delinquent or has the full collection of principal and interest in doubt will be considered for nonaccrual status. At the time a loan is placed on nonaccrual, all accrued but unpaid interest will be reversed from interest income. Placing the loan on nonaccrual does not relieve the borrower of the obligation to repay interest. A loan placed on nonaccrual may be restored to accrual status when all delinquent principal and interest has been brought current, and the Company expects full payment of the remaining contractual principal and interest.

The following tables present the credit risk profile of the Company's loan portfolio based on rating category and payment activity as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

| | September 30, 2013 | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| | Commercial Real Estate | Commercial |
| Rating: | | |
| 1-5 Pass | \$ 117,050 | \$ 46,014 |
| 6 Special Mention | 2,030 | 1,322 |
| 7 Substandard | 1,952 | 35 |
| 8 Doubtful | | |
| Total | \$ 121,032 | \$ 47,371 |

| | September 30, 2013 | |
|------------|----------------------------|------------|
| | Residential Real Estate | Consumer |
| Performing | \$ 154,516 | \$ 111,237 |
| Nonaccrual | 687 | 120 |
| Total | \$ 155,203 | \$ 111,357 |

| | December 31, 2012 | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| | Commercial Real Estate | Commercial |
| Rating: | | |
| 1-5 Pass | \$ 80,830 | \$ 13,860 |
| 6 Special Mention | 1,621 | 411 |
| 7 Substandard | 2,467 | |
| 8 Doubtful | | |
| Total | \$ 84,918 | \$ 14,271 |

| | December 31, 2012 | |
|------------|----------------------------|------------|
| | Residential Real Estate | Consumer |
| Performing | \$ 127,426 | \$ 126,331 |
| Nonaccrual | 1,389 | 155 |
| Total | \$ 128,815 | \$ 126,486 |

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

The following tables present the Company's loan portfolio aging analysis as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

| September 30, 2013 | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | 90 Days or More Past Due | Total Past Due | Current | Total Loans Receivable | Non- accrual Loans | Total Loans 90 Days or More Past Due and Accruing |
| Residential real estate | \$ 461 | \$ 33 | \$ 660 | \$ 1,154 | \$ 154,049 | \$ 155,203 | \$ 687 | \$ |
| Commercial real estate | | | 1,851 | 1,851 | 119,181 | 121,032 | 1,851 | |
| Commercial | | | | | 47,371 | 47,371 | | |
| Consumer | 461 | 49 | 62 | 572 | 110,785 | 111,357 | 120 | 6 |
| Total | \$ 922 | \$ 82 | \$ 2,573 | \$ 3,577 | \$ 431,386 | \$ 434,963 | \$ 2,658 | \$ 6 |

| December 31, 2012 | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | 90 Days or More Past Due | Total Past Due | Current | Total Loans Receivable | Non- accrual Loans | Total Loans 90 Days or More Past Due and Accruing |
| Residential real estate | \$ 130 | \$ 5 | \$ 1,555 | \$ 1,690 | \$ 127,125 | \$ 128,815 | \$ 1,389 | \$ 450 |
| Commercial real estate | | | 2,362 | 2,362 | 82,556 | 84,918 | 2,362 | |
| Commercial | | | | | 14,271 | 14,271 | | |
| Consumer | 1,025 | 148 | 122 | 1,295 | 125,191 | 126,486 | 155 | 21 |
| Total | \$ 1,155 | \$ 153 | \$ 4,039 | \$ 5,347 | \$ 349,143 | \$ 354,490 | \$ 3,906 | \$ 471 |

Impaired Loans

A loan is designated as impaired, in accordance with the impairment accounting guidance (ASC 310-10-35-16) when, based on current information or events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due (principal and interest) according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Payments with insignificant delays not exceeding 90 days outstanding are not considered impaired. Certain nonaccrual and substantially all delinquent loans may be considered to be impaired. Generally, loans are placed on nonaccrual status at 90 days past due and accrued interest is reversed against earnings, unless the loan is well-secured and in the process of collection. The accrual of interest on impaired and nonaccrual loans is discontinued when, in management's opinion, the borrower may be unable to meet payments as they become due.

Impaired loans include nonperforming commercial loans but also include loans modified in TDRs where concessions have been granted to borrowers experiencing financial difficulties. These concessions could include a reduction in the interest rate on the loan, payment extensions, forgiveness of principal, forbearance or other actions intended to maximize collection.

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

The following table presents the Company's impaired loans as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

| | September 30, 2013 | | Specific Allowance | December 31, 2012 | | Specific Allowance |
|--|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| | Recorded Balance | Unpaid Principal Balance | | Recorded Balance | Unpaid Principal Balance | |
| Loans without a specific valuation allowance | | | | | | |
| Residential real estate loans | \$ 1,723 | \$ 2,011 | \$ | \$ 2,047 | \$ 2,357 | \$ |
| Commercial real estate loans | 35 | 35 | | | | |
| Commercial loans | | | | | | |
| Consumer loans | 299 | 337 | | 380 | 577 | |
| Total | 2,057 | 2,383 | | 2,427 | 2,934 | |
| Loans with a specific valuation allowance | | | | | | |
| Residential real estate loans | 51 | 58 | 34 | 435 | 442 | 206 |
| Commercial real estate loans | 1,952 | 2,320 | 439 | 2,467 | 2,925 | 682 |
| Commercial loans | | | | | | |
| Consumer loans | 52 | 77 | 18 | 94 | 206 | 54 |
| Total | 2,055 | 2,455 | 491 | 2,996 | 3,573 | 942 |
| Total impaired loans | | | | | | |
| Residential real estate loans | 1,774 | 2,069 | 34 | 2,482 | 2,799 | 206 |
| Commercial real estate loans | 1,987 | 2,355 | 439 | 2,467 | 2,925 | 682 |
| Commercial loans | | | | | | |
| Consumer loans | 351 | 414 | 18 | 474 | 783 | 54 |
| Total | \$ 4,112 | \$ 4,838 | \$ 491 | \$ 5,423 | \$ 6,507 | \$ 942 |

The table below presents average balances and interest income recognized for impaired loans during both the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012:

| | September 30, 2013 | | | | September 30, 2012 | | | |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
| | Average Balance | Interest Income | Average Balance | Interest Income | Average Balance | Interest Income | Average Balance | Interest Income |
| Loans without a specific valuation allowance | | | | | | | | |
| Residential real estate loans | \$ 1,890 | \$ 9 | \$ 2,018 | \$ 23 | \$ 2,094 | \$ 21 | \$ 1,594 | \$ 33 |
| Commercial real estate loans | | | | | 423 | | 344 | |
| Commercial loans | | | | | | | | |
| Consumer loans | 319 | 7 | 342 | 23 | 428 | 9 | 387 | 30 |
| Total | 2,209 | 16 | 2,360 | 46 | 2,945 | 30 | 2,325 | 63 |
| Loans with a specific valuation allowance | | | | | | | | |
| Residential real estate loans | 51 | 1 | 141 | 2 | 424 | 2 | 534 | 2 |
| Commercial real estate loans | 1,953 | 1 | 2,210 | 4 | 3,662 | 1 | 6,995 | 5 |
| Commercial loans | | | | | | | | |
| Consumer loans | 58 | 2 | 84 | 4 | 78 | 2 | 92 | 4 |
| Total | 2,062 | 4 | 2,435 | 10 | 4,164 | 5 | 7,621 | 11 |
| Total impaired loans | | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------|----------|-------|----------|-------|----------|-------|
| Residential real estate loans | 1,941 | 10 | 2,159 | 25 | 2,518 | 23 | 2,128 | 35 |
| Commercial real estate loans | 1,953 | 1 | 2,210 | 4 | 4,085 | 1 | 7,339 | 5 |
| Commercial loans | | | | | | | | |
| Consumer loans | 377 | 9 | 426 | 27 | 506 | 11 | 479 | 34 |
| Total | \$ 4,271 | \$ 20 | \$ 4,795 | \$ 56 | \$ 7,109 | \$ 35 | \$ 9,946 | \$ 74 |

Troubled Debt Restructurings (“TDRs”)

The loan portfolio includes TDRs which are loans that have been modified to grant economic concessions to borrowers who have experienced financial difficulties. These concessions typically result from loss mitigation efforts and could include reductions in the interest rate, payment extensions, forgiveness of principal, forbearance or other actions. Certain TDRs are classified as nonperforming at the time of restructuring and typically are returned to performing status after considering the borrower’s sustained repayment performance for a reasonable period, generally not less than six months.

When loans are modified in a TDR, any possible impairment similar to other impaired loans is evaluated based on the present value of expected future cash flows, discounted at the contractual interest rate of the original loan agreement, or using the current fair value of the collateral, less selling costs for collateral dependent loans. If it is determined that the value of the modified loan is less than the recorded balance of the loan, impairment is recognized through a specific allowance or charge-off to the allowance. In periods subsequent to modification, all TDRs, including those that have payment defaults, are evaluated for possible impairment, and impairment is recognized through the allowance.

In the course of working with troubled borrowers, the Company may choose to restructure the contractual terms of certain loans in an effort to work out an alternative payment schedule with the borrower in order to optimize the collectability of the loan. Any loan modified is reviewed by the Company to identify if a TDR has occurred (when the Company grants a concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider based on economic or legal reasons related to a borrower's financial difficulties). Terms may be modified to fit the ability of the borrower to repay in line with its current financial status or the loan may be restructured to secure additional collateral and/or guarantees to support the debt, or a combination of the two.

Loans classified as TDRs during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 are shown in the tables below. These modifications consisted primarily of interest rate and maturity date concessions.

| | New TDRs During The Three-Months Ended | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| | September 30, 2013 | | | September 30, 2012 | | |
| | Number of Contracts | Recorded Balance Before | Recorded Balance After | Number of Contracts | Recorded Balance Before | Recorded Balance After |
| Real estate loans: | | | | | | |
| Residential | | \$ | \$ | | \$ | \$ |
| Commercial | | | | | | |
| Total real estate loans | | | | | | |
| Commercial loans | | | | | | |
| Consumer loans | | | | 2 | 49 | 32 |
| Total loans | | \$ | \$ | 2 | \$ 49 | \$ 32 |

| | New TDRs During The Nine-Months Ended | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| | September 30, 2013 | | | September 30, 2012 | | |
| | Number of Contracts | Recorded Balance Before | Recorded Balance After | Number of Contracts | Recorded Balance Before | Recorded Balance After |
| Real estate loans: | | | | | | |
| Residential | | \$ | \$ | 1 | \$ 29 | \$ 29 |
| Commercial | | | | | | |
| Total real estate loans | | | | 1 | 29 | 29 |
| Commercial loans | | | | | | |
| Consumer loans | 4 | 25 | 25 | 7 | 157 | 133 |
| Total loans | 4 | \$ 25 | \$ 25 | 8 | \$ 186 | \$ 162 |

TDR loans that had payment defaults during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 are shown in the tables below. Default occurs when a loan is 90 days or more past due or transferred to nonaccrual within 12 months of restructuring.

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 | | September 30, 2012 | |
|-------------------------|--|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| | Number of Defaults | Recorded Balance | Number of Defaults | Recorded Balance |
| Real estate loans: | | | | |
| Residential | | \$ | | \$ |
| Commercial | | | | |
| Total real estate loans | | | | |
| Commercial loans | | | | |
| Consumer loans | | | 2 | 32 |
| Total loans | | \$ | 2 | \$ 32 |

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 | | September 30, 2012 | |
|-------------------------|---|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| | Number of Defaults | Recorded Balance | Number of Defaults | Recorded Balance |
| Real estate loans: | | | | |
| Residential | | \$ | 1 | \$ 29 |
| Commercial | | | | |
| Total real estate loans | | | 1 | 29 |
| Commercial loans | | | | |
| Consumer loans | | | 2 | 32 |
| Total loans | | \$ | 3 | \$ 61 |

Note 5: Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 consisted of the following:

| | September 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Land | \$ 2,500 | \$ |
| Building and improvements | 2,822 | |
| Furniture and equipment | 4,498 | 3,521 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | (3,078) | (2,728) |
| | \$ 6,742 | \$ 793 |

In the first quarter of 2013, the Company acquired an office building with approximately 52,000 square feet of office space and related real estate located in Fishers, Indiana. The Company acquired the property for the current and future operations of the Bank for \$4,083. The cost basis of the building is being depreciated on a straight-line basis over 39 years. The remaining increase is primarily related to investments in building and leasehold improvements at both the Fishers location and the Company's corporate office.

Note 6: Goodwill

The change in the carrying amount of goodwill for the periods ended September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were:

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---------|
| Balance as of January 1, 2012 | \$4,687 |
| Changes in goodwill during the year | |
| Balance as of December 31, 2012 | 4,687 |

Changes in goodwill during the period
Balance as of September 30, 2013

\$4,687

Goodwill is tested for impairment on an annual basis as of August 31, or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. No events or changes in circumstances have occurred since the August 31, 2013 annual impairment test that would suggest it was more likely than not goodwill impairment existed.

Note 7: Benefit Plans

Employment Agreements

The Company has entered into employment or change in control agreements with certain officers that provide for the continuation of salary and certain benefits for a specified period of time under certain conditions. Under the terms of the agreements, these payments could occur in the event of a change in control of the Company, as defined, along with other specific conditions.

2013 Equity Incentive Plan

The 2013 Equity Incentive Plan (the “2013 Plan”) authorizes the issuance of 750,000 shares of our common stock in the form of equity-based awards to employees, directors, and other eligible persons. The 2013 Plan replaced the 2006 Stock Option Plan, which had 595,500 shares of common stock available for issuance when the 2013 Plan became effective. Under the terms of the 2013 Plan, the pool of shares available for issuance may be used for available types of equity awards under the 2013 Plan, which includes stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, stock unit awards and other stock-based awards. All employees, consultants and advisors of the Company or any subsidiary, as well as all non-employee directors of the Company, are eligible to receive awards under the 2013 Plan.

The Company recorded \$167 of share-based compensation expense for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2013 related to the 2013 Plan. The Company recorded zero share-based compensation expense in the same periods of 2012 for the 2006 Stock Option Plan and the 2013 Plan.

The following table summarizes the status of the Company's restricted stock awards as of September 30, 2013, and activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013:

| | Restricted Stock Awards | Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Share |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Nonvested at January 1, 2013 | | \$ |
| Granted | 46,232 | 25.09000 |
| Vested | | |
| Forfeited | | |
| Nonvested at September 30, 2013 | 46,232 | \$ 25.09000 |

At September 30, 2013, there were 703,768 shares from the 2013 Plan available for future grants.

Directors Deferred Stock Plan

The Company has adopted a stock compensation plan for members of the Board of Directors (“Directors Deferred Stock Plan”). The Company has reserved 180,000 shares of common stock that may be issued pursuant to the Directors Deferred Stock Plan. The plan provides directors the option to elect to receive up to 100% of their annual retainer in either common stock or deferred stock rights. Monthly meeting fees are paid in cash. Deferred stock rights are settled in common stock following the end of the deferral period payable on the basis of one share of common stock for each

deferred stock right.

Director compensation totaled \$61 and \$36 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, of which \$43 and \$20 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively were paid in deferred stock rights. Director compensation totaled \$162 and \$104 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, of which \$107 and \$60 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, were paid in deferred stock rights. Awards are granted on January 1 at fair value and vest from January 1 until December 31. The Company recognizes compensation expense ratably over the vesting period based upon the fair value of the stock on the grant date.

The following is an analysis of deferred stock rights related to the Directors Deferred Stock Plan for the nine months ended September 30, 2013:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| | Deferred Rights |
| Outstanding, beginning of period | 70,315 |
| Granted | 9,027 |
| Exercised | |
| Outstanding, end of period | 79,342 |

Note 8: Initial Adoption of Fair Value Option

ASC Topic 825, *Financial Instruments*, permits entities to measure recognized financial assets and financial liabilities using either historical cost or the fair value option at specified election dates. During 2013, the Company began using derivative financial instruments to manage exposure to interest rate risk in our mortgage banking business. These derivative financial instruments are recorded at fair value with changes in fair value reflected in Noninterest Income on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

To mitigate the volatility reported in earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently, the Company has elected the fair value option for the hedged item, mortgage loans held for sale under mandatory pricing agreements, that were originated on or after April 1, 2013. The Company continues to record mortgage loans held for sale under best-efforts pricing agreements at the lower of cost or fair value. Prior to April 1, 2013, all mortgage loans held for sale were carried at the lower of cost or fair value.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recorded gains on mortgage loans held for sale under mandatory pricing agreements of \$1,667 and \$540, respectively. The gains have been included in Mortgage banking activities within Noninterest Income on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. The effect on the financial statements is presented below:

| | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|--------|------------|
| | September 30, 2013 | | |
| | Aggregate Value | Gain | Fair Value |
| Loans held for sale | \$ 15,974 | \$ 540 | \$ 16,514 |

Note 9: Fair Value of Financial Instruments

ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements*, defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Topic 820 also specifies a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities

Level 2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies and inputs used for assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis and recognized in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets, as well as the general classification of such assets pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Securities

Where quoted market prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Level 1 securities include highly liquid mutual funds. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated by using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics or discounted cash flows.

Level 2 securities include U.S. government-sponsored enterprises, mortgage and asset-backed securities and obligations of state, municipals and certain corporate securities. Matrix pricing is a mathematical technique widely used in the banking industry to value investment securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for specific investment securities but rather relying on the investment securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted investment securities.

In certain cases where Level 1 or Level 2 inputs are not available, securities are classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy and include certain other securities. Fair values are calculated using discounted cash flows. Discounted cash flows are calculated based off of the anticipated future cash flows updated to incorporate loss severities and volatility. Rating agency and industry research reports as well as default and deferral activity are reviewed and incorporated into the calculation.

Loans Held for Sale

The fair value of loans held for sale is determined using quoted prices for a similar asset, adjusted for specific attributes of that loan (Level 2).

Forward Contracts

The fair values of forward contracts on to-be-announced securities are determined using quoted prices in active markets, or benchmarked thereto (Level 1).

Interest Rate Lock Commitments

The fair value of interest rate lock commitments ("IRLCs") are determined using the projected sale price of individual loans based on changes in market interest rates, projected pull-through rates (the probability that an IRLC will ultimately result in an originated loan), the reduction in the value of the applicant's option due to the passage of time, and the remaining origination costs to be incurred based on management's estimate of market costs (Level 3).

The following tables present the fair value measurements of assets and liabilities recognized in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets measured at fair value on a recurring basis and the level within the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fall at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| September 30, 2013 | | | | |
|--|------------|--|---|---|
| Fair Value Measurements Using | | | | |
| | Fair Value | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Available for sale securities | | | | |
| U.S. government-sponsored enterprises | \$ 57,211 | \$ | \$ 57,211 | \$ |
| Municipals | 47,700 | | 47,700 | |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities - government-sponsored enterprises | 77,610 | | 77,610 | |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities - private labeled | 1,369 | | 1,369 | |
| Other securities | 32,772 | 1,489 | 29,664 | 1,619 |
| Total available for sale securities | \$ 216,662 | \$ 1,489 | \$ 213,554 | \$ 1,619 |
| Loans held for sale | 16,514 | | 16,514 | |
| Forward contracts | (837) | (837) | | |
| Interest rate lock commitments | \$ 856 | \$ | \$ | \$ 856 |

| December 31, 2012 | | | | |
|--|------------|--|---|---|
| Fair Value Measurements Using | | | | |
| | Fair Value | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Available for sale securities | | | | |
| U.S. government-sponsored enterprises | \$ 19,618 | \$ | \$ 19,618 | \$ |
| Municipals | 42,540 | | 42,540 | |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities - government-sponsored enterprises | 77,489 | | 77,489 | |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities - private labeled | 2,453 | | 2,453 | |
| Other securities | 14,593 | 1,553 | 12,200 | 840 |
| Total available for sale securities | \$ 156,693 | \$ 1,553 | \$ 154,300 | \$ 840 |

The following is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of recurring fair value measurements recognized in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets using significant unobservable (Level 3) inputs:

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| | Three Months Ended | |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Securities Available for Sale | Interest Rate Lock Commitments |
| Balance, July 1, 2013 | \$ 1,531 | \$ (78) |
| Total realized and unrealized gains and (losses) | | |
| Included in net income | | 934 |
| Included in other comprehensive income / (loss) | 88 | |
| Balance, September 30, 2013 | \$ 1,619 | \$ 856 |
| Balance, July 1, 2012 | \$ 758 | \$ |
| Total realized and unrealized gains and (losses) | | |
| Included in net income | (112) | |
| Included in other comprehensive income / (loss) | 204 | |
| Balance, September 30, 2012 | \$ 850 | \$ |
| | Nine Months Ended | |
| | Securities Available for Sale | Interest Rate Lock Commitments |
| Balance, January 1, 2013 | \$ 840 | \$ |
| Total realized and unrealized gains and (losses) | | |
| Included in net income | | 856 |
| Included in other comprehensive income / (loss) | 779 | |
| Balance, September 30, 2013 | \$ 1,619 | \$ 856 |
| Balance as of January 1, 2012 | \$ 470 | \$ |
| Total realized and unrealized gains and (losses) | | |
| Included in net income | (112) | |
| Included in other comprehensive income / (loss) | 492 | |
| Balance, September 30, 2012 | \$ 850 | \$ |

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies and inputs used for assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and recognized in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, as well as the general classification of such assets pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Impaired Loans (Collateral Dependent)

Loans for which it is probable that the Company will not collect all principal and interest due according to contractual terms are measured for impairment. Allowable methods for determining the amount of impairment include estimating fair value using the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell for collateral dependent loans.

If the impaired loan is identified as collateral dependent, then the fair value method of measuring the amount of impairment is utilized. This method requires obtaining a current independent appraisal of the collateral and applying a discount factor to the value.

Impaired loans that are collateral dependent are classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy when impairment is determined using the fair value method.

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

The following tables present the fair value measurements of impaired loans recognized in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and the level within the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fell at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| September 30, 2013 | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------|--|---|---|
| Fair Value Measurements Using | | | | |
| | Fair Value | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Impaired loans | \$ 174 | \$ | \$ | \$ 174 |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | |
| Fair Value Measurements Using | | | | |
| | Fair Value | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Impaired loans | \$ 1,481 | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,481 |

Unobservable (Level 3) Inputs

The following tables present quantitative information about unobservable inputs used in recurring and nonrecurring Level 3 fair value measurements other than goodwill.

| | Fair Value at September 30, 2013 | Valuation Technique | Unobservable Inputs | Range |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| Other securities | \$ 1,619 | Discounted cash flow | Discount margin Cumulative default % Loss given default % Cumulative prepayment % | 13.25% - 2.2% 100% - 90% 100% - 0% 100% |
| Collateral dependent impaired loans | \$ 174 | Fair value of collateral | Discount to reflect current market conditions | 0% - 35% |
| | Fair Value at December 31, 2012 | Valuation Technique | Unobservable Inputs | Range |
| Other securities | \$ 840 | Discounted cash flow | Discount margin Cumulative default % Loss given default % Cumulative prepayment % | 7% - 14.25% 2.2% - 100% 85% 100% - 0% 100% |
| Collateral dependent impaired loans | \$ 1,481 | Market comparable properties | Marketability discount | 12% |

The following methods were used to estimate the fair value of all other financial instruments recognized in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at amounts other than fair value:

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For these instruments, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Loans Held for Sale

The fair value of these financial instruments approximates carrying value.

Interest Bearing Time Deposits

The fair value of these financial instruments approximates carrying value.

Loans Receivable

The fair value of loans receivable is estimated by discounting future cash flows using current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and remaining maturities.

Accrued Interest Receivable

The fair value of these financial instruments approximates carrying value.

Federal Home Loan Bank Stock

The carrying amount approximates fair value.

Deposits

The fair value of noninterest-bearing demand deposits and savings and NOW accounts is the amount payable as of the reporting date. The fair value of fixed maturity certificates of deposit is estimated using rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

FHLB Advances

The fair value of fixed rate advances is estimated using rates currently offered for similar remaining maturities.

Accrued Interest Payable

The fair value of these financial instruments approximates carrying value.

Subordinated Debt

The carrying value of subordinated debt approximates fair value as rates currently available for debentures with similar terms and remaining maturities are comparable to the terms of the subordinated debenture purchase agreement dated June 28, 2013.

Commitments

The fair value of commitments to extend credit are based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements with similar maturities and interest rates. The Company determined that the fair value of commitments was zero based on the contractual value of outstanding commitments at each of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

The following schedule includes the carrying value and estimated fair value of all financial assets and liabilities at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

September 30, 2013

Fair Value Measurements Using

| | Carrying Amount | Quoted Prices In Active Market for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|--|---|--|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 26,329 | \$ 26,329 | \$ | \$ |
| Interest bearing time deposits | 2,500 | 2,500 | | |
| Loans held for sale | 1,795 | | 1,795 | |
| Loans receivable - net | 434,167 | | | 440,086 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 2,810 | 2,810 | | |
| FHLB stock | 2,943 | | 2,943 | |
| Deposits | 636,653 | 337,687 | | 303,962 |
| FHLB advances | 31,767 | | 33,677 | |
| Accrued interest payable | 81 | 81 | | |
| Subordinated debt | 2,767 | | 2,767 | |

December 31, 2012

Fair Value Measurements Using

| | Carrying Amount | Quoted Prices In Active Market for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|--|---|--|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 32,513 | \$ 32,513 | \$ | \$ |
| Loans held for sale | 63,234 | | 63,234 | |
| Loans receivable - net | 352,328 | | | 351,194 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 2,196 | 2,196 | | |
| FHLB stock | 2,943 | | 2,943 | |
| Deposits | 530,691 | | 300,818 | 236,375 |
| FHLB advances | 40,686 | | 42,986 | |
| Accrued interest payable | 120 | 120 | | |

Note 10: Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company uses derivative financial instruments to help manage exposure to interest rate risk and the effects that changes in interest rates may have on net income and the fair value of assets and liabilities. The Company enters into forward contracts for the future delivery of mortgage loans to third party investors and enters into IRLCs with potential borrowers to fund specific mortgage loans that will be sold into the secondary market. The forward contracts are entered into in order to economically hedge the effect of changes in interest rates resulting from the Company's commitment to fund the loans.

Each of these items are considered derivatives, but are not designated as accounting hedges, and therefore, are recorded at fair value with changes in fair value reflected in Noninterest Income on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. The fair value of derivative instruments with a positive fair value are reported in Other assets in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets while derivative instruments with a negative fair value are reported in

Accrued expenses and other liabilities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

We did not use any derivative financial instruments prior to 2013. At September 30, 2013 the notional amount and fair value of IRLCs and forward contracts utilized by the Company were as follows:

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| | September 30, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
|---|--------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Notional Amount | Fair Value | Notional Amount | Fair Value |
| Asset Derivatives | | | | |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | | | | |
| IRLCs | \$ 39,809 | \$ 856 | \$ | \$ |
| Liability Derivatives | | | | |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | | | | |
| Forward contracts | \$ 50,680 | \$ 837 | \$ | \$ |

Fair values of derivative financial instruments were estimated using changes in mortgage interest rates from the date the Company entered into the IRLC and the balance sheet date. Periodic changes in the fair value of the derivative financial instruments on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

| | Amount of gain / (loss) recognized | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | September 30, 2013 | | September 30, 2012 | |
| | Three Months Ended | Nine Months Ended | Three Months Ended | Nine Months Ended |
| Asset Derivatives | | | | |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | | | | |
| IRLCs | \$ 934 | \$ 856 | \$ | \$ |
| Liability Derivatives | | | | |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | | | | |
| Forward contracts | \$ (2,046) | \$ (837) | \$ | \$ |

Note 11: Subordinated Debenture

On June 28, 2013, the Company entered into a subordinated debenture purchase agreement with a third party and issued a subordinated debenture in the principal amount of \$3,000 which bears interest at a fixed annual rate of 8.00% and is scheduled to mature on June 28, 2021; however, the Company can repay the debenture without premium or penalty at any time after June 28, 2016. The debenture is expected to qualify for treatment as Tier 2 capital for regulatory capital purposes. The purchase agreement and the debenture contain customary subordination provisions and events of default; however the right of the investor to accelerate the payment of the debenture is limited to bankruptcy or insolvency.

As partial inducement for the third party to purchase the debenture, the Company issued to the third party a warrant to purchase up to 48,750 shares of common stock, at an initial per share exercise price equal to \$19.33. The warrant will become exercisable on June 28, 2014, and, unless previously exercised, will expire on June 28, 2021. The Company has the right to force an exercise of the warrant after the debenture has been repaid in full if the 20-day volume-weighted average price of a share of its common stock exceeds \$30.00.

The Company used the Black-Scholes option pricing model to assign a fair value of \$255 to the warrant as of June 28, 2013. The following assumptions were used to value the warrant: a risk-free interest rate of 0.66% per the U.S.

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

Treasury yield curve in effect at the date of issuance, an expected dividend yield of 1.19% calculated using the dividend rate and stock price at the date of the issuance, and an expected volatility of 34% based on the estimated volatility of the Company's stock over the expected term of the warrant, which is estimated to be three years.

In addition, on June 28, 2013 the Company entered into a separate arrangement pursuant to which, at the Company's option, the third party has committed to purchase an additional \$3,000 debenture on or before December 31, 2013 under terms and conditions substantially similar to those contained in the purchase agreement.

Note 12: Shareholders' Equity

On September 30, 2013, the Company filed a registration statement on Form S-1 with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") relating to a proposed underwritten public offering of shares of its common stock having an aggregate offering price of \$25.0 million. In addition, the Company expects to grant the underwriters the option to purchase additional shares having an aggregate offering price of up to \$3.75 million to cover over-allotments.

Note 13: Accounting Developments

In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2013-02 to improve the transparency of reporting reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income. Other comprehensive income includes gains and losses that are initially excluded from net income for an accounting period. Those gains and losses are later reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income into net income. The amendments in the Update do not change the current requirements for reporting net income or other comprehensive income in financial statements. All of the information required within this Update is already required to be disclosed elsewhere in the financial statements under GAAP.

The new amendments will require an organization to:

- Present (either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes) the effects on the line items of net income of significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income but only if the item reclassified is required under GAAP to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period.
- Cross-reference to other disclosures currently required under GAAP for other reclassification items (that are not required under GAAP) to be reclassified directly to net income in their entirety in the same reporting period. This would be the case when a portion of the amount reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income is initially transferred to a balance sheet account instead of directly to income or expense.
- The amendments are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The Company has adopted the methodologies prescribed by this ASU, and the ASU did not have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-04. The amendments in this Update provide guidance for the recognition, measurement, and disclosure of obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation within the scope of this Update is fixed at the reporting date, except for obligations addressed within existing guidance in U.S. GAAP. The guidance requires an entity to measure those obligations as the sum of the amount the reporting entity agreed to pay on the basis of its arrangement among its co-obligors and any additional amount the reporting entity expects to pay on behalf of its co-obligors.

The guidance in this Update also requires an entity to disclose the nature and amount of the obligation as well as other information about those obligations. This Accounting Standards Update is the final version of Proposed Accounting Standards Update EITF12D - Liabilities (Topic 405) which has been deleted.

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

The amendments in this Update are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2013. Early adoption is permitted. The Company will adopt the methodologies prescribed by this ASU by the date required, and does not anticipate that the ASU will have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

In April 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-07. The objective of this Update is to clarify when an entity should apply the liquidation basis of accounting and to provide principles for the measurement of assets and liabilities under the liquidation basis of accounting, as well as any required disclosures. This Accounting Standards Update is the final version of Proposed Accounting Standards Update 2012-210 Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 205), which has been deleted.

The amendments are effective for entities that determine liquidation is imminent during annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2013, and interim reporting periods therein. Entities should apply the requirements prospectively from the day that liquidation becomes imminent. Early adoption is permitted. The Company will adopt the methodologies prescribed by this ASU by the date required, and does not anticipate that the ASU will have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-10. The objective of this Update is to provide for the inclusion of the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate - Overnight Index Swap Rate (OIS) as a U.S. benchmark interest rate for hedge accounting purposes, in addition to U.S. Treasury (UST) or London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) indices. The Update also removes a restriction stating that entities must use the same rates for similar hedges, offering greater flexibility in hedge accounting.

The amendments in this Update are effective prospectively for qualifying new or re-designated hedging relationships entered into on or after July 17, 2013. The Company has adopted the applicable methodologies prescribed by this ASU and does not anticipate that the ASU will have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

In July 2013, FASB issued ASU 2013-11. This update pertains to the unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carry forward, a similar tax loss or a tax credit carry forward exists. The ASU is intended to end the varying ways that entities present these situations since GAAP is non-specific and leads to diversity in practice. The new standard deems that any unrecognized tax benefit or portion of an unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carry forward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carry forward except for certain defined situations.

The amendments in this Update are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2013. Early adoption is permitted. The Company will adopt the methodologies prescribed by this ASU by the date required, and does not anticipate that the ASU will have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated and condensed financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this report. This discussion and analysis includes certain forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. You should review the "Risk Factors" section in Part II, Section 1A of this report for a discussion of important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results described in or implied by such forward-looking statements. See "Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" at the beginning of this report.

Overview

First Internet Bancorp is a bank holding company that conducts its business activities through its wholly-owned subsidiary, First Internet Bank, an Indiana chartered bank. The Bank was the first state-chartered, FDIC-insured Internet bank. We offer a full complement of products and services on a nationwide basis. We conduct our deposit operations primarily over the Internet and have no branch offices.

The Bank commenced banking operations in 1999 and grew organically in the consumer market in its early years by adding new customers, products and capabilities through its Internet-based platform. The Company was incorporated under the laws of the State of Indiana on September 15, 2005. On March 21, 2006, we consummated a plan of exchange by which we acquired all of the outstanding shares of the Bank. In 2007, we acquired Indianapolis-based Landmark Financial Corporation. The acquisition merged Landmark Savings Bank, FSB, into the Bank. The Landmark acquisition added a turnkey retail mortgage lending operation that we then expanded on a nationwide basis through our Internet platform. Since then, we have added commercial real estate (CRE) lending, including a nationwide credit tenant lease financing program, and more recently, commercial and industrial (C&I) lending and business banking/treasury management services to meet the needs of high-quality, under-served commercial borrowers and depositors. Our commercial banking activities are highly dependent on establishing and maintaining strong relationships with our business customers.

Results of Operations

Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 versus Three Months Ended September 30, 2012

Net income decreased 55.29%, to \$0.73 million, or \$0.25 per diluted share for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$1.63 million or \$0.57 per diluted share for the same period in 2012.

Net interest margin increased to 2.59% in the three months ended September 30, 2013 from 2.54% in the same period of 2012.

Income as a percentage of average assets decreased from 6.06% for the three months ended September 30, 2012 to 4.58% for the three months ended September 30, 2013.

Noninterest income as a percentage of average assets decreased from 2.21% for the three months ended September 30, 2012 to 0.93% for the three months ended September 30, 2013.

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

Net interest income after provision (credit) for loan losses increased \$1.53 million or 52.82% in the three months ended September 30, 2013 from the corresponding three months in 2012. The increase is primarily attributable to a \$0.41 million increase in interest income and a \$1.03 million decrease in the Provision (Credit) for Loan Losses.

Noninterest expense to average assets increased from 2.62% in the three months ended September 30, 2012 to 2.91% in the three months ended September 30, 2013.

In the three months ended September 30, 2013, non-interest income decreased 52.70% over the same period in 2012 due to the decline in revenue from the Company's mortgage banking activities. During the three months ended September 30, 2013, 50.80% of the mortgage originations were for residential purchases versus 12.30% in the same period of 2012.

Non-interest expense rose 25.09% in the three months ended September 30, 2013 versus the same period in 2012, as the Company selectively added employees to support asset generation and expanded facilities to accommodate growth.

Return on average assets for the 2013 period was 0.41% compared to 1.04% for the prior year period.

Return on average equity for the 2013 period was 4.80% compared to 10.83% for the prior year period.

Net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans were 0.01% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to 0.30% for the prior year period.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 versus Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012

Net income decreased 3.08%, to \$3.93 million, or \$1.36 per diluted share for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$4.05 million or \$1.41 per diluted share for the 2012 period.

Net interest margin was 2.65% in the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to 2.66% in the prior year period.

Income as a percentage of average assets decreased from 5.65% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 to 5.44% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Noninterest income as a percentage of average assets increased from 1.66% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 to 1.69% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Noninterest expense to average assets increased from 2.58% in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 to 3.09% in the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Net interest income after provision for loan losses increased 28.39% in the nine months ended September 30, 2013 from the corresponding nine months in 2012 primarily attributable to a decrease in the provision for credit losses. Overall, the provision was reduced to \$0.10 million due to an improvement in credit quality.

Non-interest income for the 2013 period increased 9.66% from the prior year period. Revenue from mortgage banking activities increased 11.10% from the prior year period.

Non-interest expense for the 2013 period increased 29.23% over the corresponding nine months in 2012 as the Company added employees to the operations, human resources, finance, and technology areas in support of continued growth. The balance of the increase in staffing relates to income producing activities of commercial lending and mortgage banking. The Company experienced an increase in consulting and professional fees directly related to the additional costs associated with being a public company. Additionally, to accommodate growth, the Company expanded its facilities which increased occupancy expenses and related equipment costs.

Return on average assets for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was 0.80% versus 0.89% in the year ago period.

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

Return on average equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was 8.51% compared to 9.34% for the prior year period.

Net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans were 0.15% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 versus 0.48% for the prior year period.

Average Balance Sheets, Net Interest Earnings

For the periods presented, the following tables provide the total dollar amount of interest income from average interest-earning assets and the resulting yields. They also highlight the interest expense on average interest-bearing liabilities, expressed both in dollars and rates, and the net interest margin. The tables do not reflect any effect of income taxes. Balances are based on the average of daily balances. Non-accrual loans are included in average loan balances.

Average Balance Sheets
(dollars in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 | | | | 2012 | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------|------------|---|--------------------|------------------------------|------------|---|
| | Average Balance | Interest and Dividends | Yield/Cost | | Average Balance | Interest and Dividends | Yield/Cost | |
| Assets: | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | | | |
| Loans | \$ 424,498 | \$ 5,170 | 4.83 | % | \$ 394,989 | \$ 4,951 | 4.99 | % |
| Investment securities taxable | 197,437 | 825 | 1.66 | % | 165,478 | 656 | 1.58 | % |
| Investment securities non-taxable | 45,448 | 447 | 3.90 | % | 43,448 | 424 | 3.88 | % |
| Total interest-earning assets | 667,383 | 6,442 | 3.83 | % | 603,915 | 6,031 | 3.97 | % |
| Noninterest-earning assets | 32,692 | | | | 19,952 | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 700,075 | | | | \$ 623,867 | | | |
| Liabilities and equity: | | | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing liabilities | | | | | | | | |
| Regular savings accounts | \$ 13,548 | \$ 20 | 0.59 | % | \$ 10,487 | \$ 15 | 0.57 | % |
| Interest-bearing demand deposits | 67,605 | 94 | 0.55 | % | 61,092 | 85 | 0.55 | % |
| Money market accounts | 229,588 | 428 | 0.74 | % | 192,869 | 367 | 0.76 | % |
| Certificates and brokered deposits | 273,824 | 1,216 | 1.76 | % | 242,751 | 1,361 | 2.23 | % |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 584,565 | 1,758 | 1.19 | % | 507,199 | 1,828 | 1.43 | % |
| Other interest-bearing liabilities | 34,078 | 329 | 3.38 | % | 40,639 | 342 | 3.35 | % |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 618,643 | 2,087 | 1.34 | % | 547,838 | 2,170 | 1.58 | % |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | 13,594 | | | | 8,978 | | | |
| Other non-interest bearing liabilities | 7,729 | | | | 7,332 | | | |
| Total liabilities | 639,966 | | | | 564,148 | | | |
| Shareholders' equity | 60,109 | | | | 59,719 | | | |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 700,075 | | | | \$ 623,867 | | | |
| Net interest income | | \$ 4,355 | | | | \$ 3,861 | | |

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|--------|---|--------|---|
| Interest rate spread | 2.49 | % | 2.39 | % |
| Net interest margin | 2.59 | % | 2.54 | % |
| Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities | 107.88 | % | 110.24 | % |

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

Average Balance Sheets
(dollars in thousands)

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 | | | 2012 | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|------------|--------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | Average Balance | Interest and Dividends | Yield/Cost | Average Balance | Interest and Dividends | Yield/Cost |
| Assets: | | | | | | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Loans | \$ 415,996 | \$ 15,073 | 4.84 % | \$ 382,909 | \$ 14,464 | 5.05 % |
| Investment securities taxable | 170,848 | 2,211 | 1.73 % | 164,081 | 2,514 | 2.05 % |
| Investment securities non-taxable | 42,367 | 1,146 | 3.62 % | 43,272 | 1,269 | 3.92 % |
| Total interest-earning assets | 629,211 | 18,430 | 3.92 % | 590,262 | 18,247 | 4.13 % |
| Noninterest-earning assets | 29,218 | | | 20,790 | | |
| Total assets | \$ 658,429 | | | \$ 611,052 | | |
| Liabilities and equity: | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing liabilities | | | | | | |
| Regular savings accounts | \$ 13,729 | 60 | 0.58 % | \$ 9,622 | 42 | 0.58 % |
| Interest-bearing demand deposits | 69,065 | 284 | 0.55 % | 62,034 | 265 | 0.57 % |
| Money market accounts | 216,108 | 1,205 | 0.75 % | 183,626 | 1,073 | 0.78 % |
| Certificates and brokered deposits | 246,455 | 3,493 | 1.89 % | 240,543 | 4,095 | 2.27 % |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 545,357 | 5,042 | 1.24 % | 495,825 | 5,475 | 1.47 % |
| Other interest-bearing liabilities | 30,433 | 904 | 3.97 % | 40,611 | 1,019 | 3.35 % |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 575,790 | 5,946 | 1.38 % | 536,436 | 6,494 | 1.62 % |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | 13,085 | | | 9,363 | | |
| Other non-interest bearing liabilities | 7,873 | | | 7,298 | | |
| Total liabilities | 596,748 | | | 553,097 | | |
| Shareholders' equity | 61,681 | | | 57,955 | | |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 658,429 | | | 611,052 | | |
| Net interest income | | \$ 12,484 | | | \$ 11,753 | |
| Interest rate spread | | | 2.54 % | | | 2.51 % |
| Net interest margin | | | 2.65 % | | | 2.66 % |
| Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities | | | 109.28 % | | | 110.03 % |

Rate/Volume Analysis

The following tables set forth certain information regarding changes in our interest income and interest expense for the periods indicated. For each category of earning assets and interest bearing liabilities, information is provided on changes attributable to (i) changes in volume (change in average volume multiplied by old rate); and (ii) changes in rates (change in rate multiplied by old average volume). Changes in rate/volume (change in rate multiplied by the change in volume) have been allocated to the changes due to volume and rate in proportion to the absolute value of the changes due to volume and rate prior to the allocation.

| (dollars in thousands) | Rate/Volume Analysis of Net Interest Income Three Months ended September 30, 2013 vs. 2012 Due to Changes in | | |
|--|---|----------|--------|
| | Volume | Rate | Net |
| Interest income | | | |
| Loans receivable | \$ 1,038 | \$ (819) | \$ 219 |
| Investment securities taxable | 134 | 35 | 169 |
| Investment securities non-taxable | 21 | 2 | 23 |
| Total | 1,193 | (782) | 411 |
| Interest expense | | | |
| Deposits | 942 | (1,012) | (70) |
| Other interest bearing liabilities | (214) | 201 | (13) |
| Total | 728 | (811) | (83) |
| Increase (decrease) in net interest income | \$ 465 | \$ 29 | \$ 494 |

| (dollars in thousands) | Rate/Volume Analysis of Net Interest Income Nine Months ended September 30 2013 vs. 2012 Due to Changes in | | |
|--|---|-----------|--------|
| | Volume | Rate | Net |
| Interest income | | | |
| Loans receivable | \$ 1,497 | \$ (888) | \$ 609 |
| Investment securities taxable | 156 | (459) | (303) |
| Investment securities non-taxable | (26) | (97) | (123) |
| Total | 1,627 | (1,444) | 183 |
| Interest expense | | | |
| Deposits | 407 | (840) | (433) |
| Other interest bearing liabilities | (356) | 241 | (115) |
| Total | 51 | (599) | (548) |
| Increase (decrease) in net interest income | \$ 1,576 | \$ (845) | \$ 731 |

Financial Condition

Comparison of September 30, 2013 to December 31, 2012

Total assets were \$738.52 million at September 30, 2013 compared to \$636.37 million at December 31, 2012.

Loan growth occurred with a shift in the mix consistent with the strategy to capitalize on the strengthening commercial markets. Total commercial and industrial loan balances increased 231.94% at September 30, 2013 versus December 31, 2012. Commercial real estate loans, which include owner occupied loans, represented an increase of 42.53% at September 30, 2013 from December 31, 2012. Credit tenant lease financing experienced the largest growth within the commercial real estate portfolio, up 135.25% since December 31, 2012. These loans are originated nationwide via the Bank's established network which provides geographic diversification within specific concentration limits.

Credit quality continued to improve during the period. Total nonperforming loans at September 30, 2013 were 39.14% less than December 31, 2012. The allowance for loan losses was 1.26% of total loans at September 30, 2013 versus 1.65% at December 31, 2012. The ratio of nonperforming loans to total loans declined to 0.61% at September 30, 2013, compared to 1.23% at December 31, 2012.

Loans held for sale totaled \$18.31 million at September 30, 2013 compared to \$63.23 million for December 31, 2012. The decrease is due to a 35.60% lower closing volume in the three month period ended September 30, 2013 than in the three month period ending December 31, 2012.

Total deposits grew to \$636.65 million at September 30, 2013, compared with \$530.69 million at December 31, 2012, with lower cost of funds and without the use of brokered deposits.

Tangible common equity increased \$0.52 million from \$56.66 million at December 31, 2012 to \$57.19 million at September 30, 2013. Tangible book value per common share decreased 0.70% from \$20.13 at December 31, 2012 to \$19.99 at September 30, 2013.

The Company issued subordinated debt and a related warrant to purchase common stock to a third party for \$3.00 million on June 28, 2013. The Company intends to use the proceeds to support the current and future growth of the Company.

Loan Portfolio Analysis
(dollars in thousands)

| | September 30, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | | | |
|--|--------------------|--------|-------------------|------------|--------|---|
| Real estate loans: | | | | | | |
| Residential Mortgage | \$ 116,270 | 26.73 | % | \$ 122,009 | 34.42 | % |
| Home Equity Lines of Credit | 38,933 | 8.95 | % | 6,806 | 1.92 | % |
| Commercial Credit tenant lease | 66,492 | 15.29 | % | 28,264 | 7.97 | % |
| Commercial Other | 54,540 | 12.54 | % | 56,654 | 15.98 | % |
| Total real estate loans | 276,235 | 63.51 | % | 213,733 | 60.29 | % |
| Commercial loans | 47,371 | 10.89 | % | 14,271 | 4.03 | % |
| Consumer loans Trailers | 71,500 | 16.44 | % | 79,625 | 22.46 | % |
| Consumer loans Recreational vehicle | 36,024 | 8.28 | % | 42,087 | 11.87 | % |
| Consumer loans Other | 3,834 | 0.88 | % | 4,774 | 1.35 | % |
| Total loans | 434,964 | 100.00 | % | 354,490 | 100.00 | % |
| Net deferred loan fees, premiums and discounts | 4,663 | | | 3,671 | | |
| Allowance for losses | (5,459) | | | (5,833) | | |
| Net loans receivable | \$ 434,168 | | | \$ 352,328 | | |

Asset Quality and Allowance for Loan Loss

| (dollars in thousands) | September 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|------|---|
| Non-accrual loans | | | | |
| Real estate loans: | | | | |
| Residential | \$ 687 | \$ 1,389 | | |
| Commercial | 1,851 | 2,362 | | |
| Total real estate loans | 2,538 | 3,751 | | |
| Commercial loans | | | | |
| Consumer loans | 120 | 155 | | |
| Total non-accrual loans | 2,658 | 3,906 | | |
| Accruing loans past due 90 days or more: | | | | |
| Real estate loans: | | | | |
| Residential | | 450 | | |
| Commercial | | | | |
| Total real estate loans | | 450 | | |
| Commercial loans | | | | |
| Consumer loans | 6 | 21 | | |
| Total accruing loans past due 90 days or more | 6 | 471 | | |
| Total non-performing loans | 2,664 | 4,377 | | |
| Other real estate owned: | | | | |
| Residential | 500 | 265 | | |
| Commercial | 4,881 | 3,401 | | |
| Other | | | | |
| Total other real estate owned | 5,381 | 3,666 | | |
| Other non-performing assets | 1,014 | 2,253 | | |
| Total non-performing assets | \$ 9,059 | \$ 10,296 | | |
| Total non-performing loans to total loans | 0.61 | % | 1.23 | % |
| Total non-performing assets to total assets | 1.23 | % | 1.62 | % |

Troubled Debt Restructurings

| (dollars in thousands) | September 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Troubled debt restructurings non-accrual | \$ 27 | \$ 558 |
| Troubled debt restructurings performing | 1,295 | 1,412 |
| Total troubled debt restructurings | \$ 1,322 | \$ 1,970 |

Total non-performing assets decreased \$1.24 million, or 12.04% at September 30, 2013, from \$10.3 million at December 31, 2012. Total non-performing loans decreased by \$1.71 million, or 39.14% , from December 31, 2012 to September 30, 2013 reflecting a \$1.77 million decrease in delinquencies. The Company has three commercial properties in other real estate owned at September 30, 2013. One property carried at \$3.81 million consists of two buildings which are residential units on a college campus. Improvements have been made in collaboration with the university and the property is occupied for the fall semester.

Non-performing assets and troubled debt restructurings to total assets decreased from 1.84% at December 31, 2012 to 1.40% at September 30, 2013. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans receivable decreased from 1.65% at December 31, 2012 to 1.26% at September 30, 2013.

Non-performing loans are comprised of loans past due 90 days or more and other nonaccrual loans. Non-performing assets include non-performing loans, impaired investment securities, other real estate owned, and other assets which are primarily repossessed vehicles.

Deposits

(dollars in thousands)

| | September 30, 2013 | | | December 31, 2012 | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------|---|-------------------|--------|---|
| Regular savings accounts | \$ 13,831 | 2.17 | % | \$ 11,583 | 2.18 | % |
| Non-interest bearing | 14,541 | 2.28 | % | 13,187 | 2.49 | % |
| Interest-bearing | 68,643 | 10.78 | % | 73,660 | 13.88 | % |
| Money market accounts | 240,672 | 37.80 | % | 202,388 | 38.14 | % |
| Certificates of deposit | 280,601 | 44.08 | % | 211,542 | 39.86 | % |
| Brokered deposits | 18,490 | 2.91 | % | 18,490 | 3.48 | % |
| Premiums on brokered deposits | (125) | (0.02) | % | (159) | (0.03) | % |
| Total | \$ 636,653 | 100.00 | % | \$ 530,691 | 100.00 | % |

Our independence from a traditional bricks and mortar branch structure permits us to offer competitive rates for interest-bearing accounts, as needed, in order to fund loan growth. As noted in the table above, total deposits increased \$105.96 million, or 19.97%, to \$636.65 million at September 30, 2013 from \$530.69 million at December 31, 2012.

Investment Securities

The following table summarizes the book value and approximate fair value and distribution of our investment securities as of the dates indicated.

| | September 30, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
|--|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Approximate Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Approximate Fair Value |
| Securities available for sale | | | | |
| U.S. government-sponsored enterprises | \$ 58,077 | \$ 57,211 | \$ 18,666 | \$ 19,618 |
| Municipals | 47,328 | 47,700 | 39,999 | 42,540 |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities government-sponsored enterprises | 77,885 | 77,610 | 75,782 | 77,489 |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities private labeled | 1,451 | 1,369 | 2,696 | 2,453 |
| Other securities | 34,479 | 32,772 | 16,753 | 14,593 |
| Total securities available for sale | \$ 219,220 | \$ 216,662 | \$ 153,896 | \$ 156,693 |

At September 30, 2013, the portfolio included two trust preferred securities which are Collateralized Debt Obligations (“CDOs”) backed by pools of debt securities issued by financial institutions: ALESCO IV and I-PreTSL I B-2 notes. The Company placed the ALESCO CDO on non-accrual status in 2009 and never capitalized any “payment in kind” (“PIK”) interest payments to the principal balance of the security. The Company intends to keep this security on non-accrual status until the scheduled interest payments resume on a regular basis and any previously recorded PIK has been paid. The PIK status of this security, among other factors, indicates potential OTTI and accordingly, we

utilized an independent third party for the valuation of the CDOs as of September 30, 2013. Based on this valuation and our review of the assumptions and methodologies used, we believe the amortized costs recorded for our CDO investments accurately reflects the position of these securities at September 30, 2013.

Premises and Equipment

The Bank does not have branches and primarily leases its office space. During 2013, the Company relocated its offices in Indianapolis, Indiana, opened an administrative office in Tempe, Arizona, and acquired an office building with approximately 52,000 square feet of office space and related real estate in Fishers, Indiana for \$4.08 million. The Bank intends to use the Fishers property for some of its administrative operations and not as a branch. The remaining increase in premises and equipment for the period was for furniture, fixtures and equipment at the new locations.

Shareholders' Equity

Shareholders' equity increased to \$61.87 million at September 30, 2013 compared to \$61.35 million at December 31, 2012. This was the result of net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 of \$3.93 million, the issuance of common stock warrants valued at \$0.26 million, the issuance of \$0.10 million of deferred stock rights under the Directors Deferred Stock Plan, and the recognition of \$0.16 million of the fair value of share based compensation. These increases were offset by an unrealized loss in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) of \$3.48 million and \$0.45 million of dividends declared on common stock. Tangible common equity to tangible assets decreased from 8.97% at December 31, 2012 to 7.79% at September 30, 2013.

At September 30, 2013, the Company and the Bank exceeded all applicable regulatory capital minimum requirements, and the Bank was considered "well-capitalized" under applicable regulations.

(dollars in thousands)

| | Actual Amount | Ratio | Minimum Capital Requirement Amount | Ratio | Minimum to be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Actions Amount | Ratio |
|--|------------------|---------|---|-------|---|--------|
| As of September 30, 2013: | | | | | | |
| Total capital (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$ 67,298 | 12.32 % | \$ 43,695 | 8.0 % | N/A | N/A |
| Bank | 63,773 | 11.70 % | 43,592 | 8.0 % | 54,490 | 10.0 % |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | 58,839 | 10.77 % | 21,847 | 4.0 % | N/A | N/A |
| Bank | 58,314 | 10.70 % | 21,796 | 4.0 % | 32,694 | 6.0 % |
| Tier 1 capital (to average assets) | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | 58,839 | 8.42 % | 27,957 | 4.0 % | N/A | N/A |
| Bank | 58,314 | 8.36 % | 27,909 | 4.0 % | 34,877 | 5.0 % |

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company's primary source of funds is dividends from the Bank, the declaration of which is subject to regulatory limits. The Company's Board of Directors declared a cash dividend for the third quarter of 2013 of \$0.06 per share of common stock payable October 15, 2013 to shareholders of record on October 7, 2013. The Company expects to continue to pay dividends on a quarterly basis; however, the declaration and amount of future dividends will be determined by the Board of Directors on the basis of financial condition, earnings, regulatory constraints and other factors. At September 30, 2013, the Company, on an unconsolidated basis, had \$2.48 million in cash generally available for its cash needs.

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

At September 30, 2013, the Company had \$245.49 million in cash, interest-bearing time deposits, and investment securities available for sale and \$18.31 million in loans held for sale that were generally available for our cash needs compared to \$189.21 million and \$63.23 million at December 31, 2012, respectively. At September 30, 2013, the Company had the ability to borrow an additional \$86.00 million in FHLB advances and correspondent bank fed funds line of credit draws.

We believe our capital resources are sufficient to meet our current and expected needs, including any cash dividends we may pay. The Company filed a registration statement on Form S-1 with the SEC with respect to a proposed offering of common stock having an aggregate public offering price of \$25.0 million. The Company intends to use the net proceeds to support its organic growth, the pursuit of strategic acquisition opportunities, and other general corporate purposes. However, if we continue to experience significant growth, we may require additional capital resources.

At September 30, 2013, approved outstanding loan commitments, including unused lines of credit, amounted to \$51.08 million compared to \$33.60 million at December 31, 2012. Certificates of deposit scheduled to mature in one year or less at September 30, 2013, totaled \$124.30 million. Generally, we believe that a majority of maturing deposits will remain with the Bank due to our competitive rates.

Non-GAAP Performance Measures

Tangible common equity, tangible assets and tangible book value per common share performance financial measures not recognized in generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Our management, banking regulators, many financial analysts and other investors use these non-GAAP financial measures to compare the capital adequacy of banking organizations with significant amounts of preferred equity and/or goodwill or other intangible assets, which typically stem from the use of the purchase accounting method of accounting for mergers and acquisitions. Tangible common equity, tangible assets, tangible book value per share or related measures should not be considered as a substitute for total shareholders' equity, total assets, book value per share or any other measure calculated in accordance with GAAP. Moreover, the manner in which we calculate these measures may differ from those of other companies reporting measures with similar names. The following table reconciles these non-GAAP performance measures and a capital ratio using such measures to the most directly comparable GAAP measure or ratio.

| (dollars in thousands, except share data) | September 30, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
|---|-----------------------|---|-------------------|---|
| Total equity - GAAP | \$ 61,874 | | \$ 61,350 | |
| Adjustments | | | | |
| Goodwill | (4,687) | | (4,687) | |
| Tangible common equity | \$ 57,187 | | \$ 56,663 | |
| Total assets - GAAP | \$ 738,518 | | \$ 636,367 | |
| Adjustments | | | | |
| Goodwill | (4,687) | | (4,687) | |
| Tangible assets | \$ 733,831 | | \$ 631,680 | |
| Total common shares | 2,861,326 | | 2,815,094 | |
| Book value per common share | \$ 21.62 | | \$ 21.79 | |
| Effect of adjustment | (1.63) | | (1.66) | |
| Tangible book value per common share | \$ 19.99 | | \$ 20.13 | |
| Total shareholders' equity to assets ratio | 8.38 | % | 9.64 | % |
| Effect of adjustment | (0.59) | | (0.67) | |
| Tangible common equity to tangible assets ratio | 7.79 | % | 8.97 | % |

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Edgar Filing: First Internet Bancorp - Form 10-Q

There have been no material changes in our critical accounting policies from those disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Future Accounting Pronouncements

Refer to Note 13 of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Not applicable.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in SEC rules and forms. These controls and procedures are also designed to ensure that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating disclosure controls and procedures, we have recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. Management is required to apply judgment in evaluating its controls and procedures.

We performed an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, to assess the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures under the Exchange Act. Based on that evaluation, our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2013.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2013 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

We are not party to any material legal proceedings. From time to time, the Bank is a party to legal actions arising from its normal business activities.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

An investment in our common stock involves significant risks. You should consider carefully the risk factors included below before making a decision to invest in our common stock. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. This report also contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. If any of the matters included in the following information about risk factors were to occur, our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or prospects could be materially and adversely affected. In such case, you may lose all or a substantial part of your investment.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS

A failure of, or interruption in, the communications and information systems on which we rely to conduct our business could adversely affect our revenues and profitability.

We rely heavily upon communications and information systems to conduct our business. Although we have built a level of redundancy into our information technology infrastructure and update our business continuity plan annually, any failure or interruption of our information systems or the third-party information systems on which we rely as a result of inadequate or failed processes or systems, human errors or external events, could adversely affect our Internet-based operations and slow the processing of applications, loan servicing, and deposit-related transactions. In addition, our communication and information systems may present security risks and could be susceptible to hacking or other unauthorized access. The occurrence of any of these events could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our commercial loan portfolio exposes us to higher credit risks than residential real estate and consumer loans, including risks relating to success of the underlying business and conditions in the market or the economy and concentration in our commercial loan portfolio.

We are growing our CRE and C&I loan portfolios. These loans generally involve higher credit risks than residential real estate and consumer loans and are dependent upon our lenders maintaining close relationships with the borrowers. Payments on these loans are often dependent upon the successful operation and management of the underlying business or assets and repayment of such loans may be influenced to a great extent by conditions in the market or the economy. Commercial loans are generally larger than residential real estate or consumer loans and could lead to concentration risks within our commercial loan portfolio. Our failure to manage this growth could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The market value of some of our investments could decline and adversely affect our financial position.

As of September 30, 2013, we had a net unrealized loss of \$2.6 million on the available-for-sale portion of our \$216.7 million investment securities portfolio. In assessing the impairment of investment securities, we consider the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the

issuers, whether the market decline was affected by macroeconomic conditions and whether we have the intent to sell the security or will be required to sell the security before its anticipated recovery. We also use economic models to assist in the valuation of some of our investment securities. If our investment securities experience a decline in value, we would need to determine whether the decline represented an other-than-temporary impairment, in which case we would be required to record a write-down or loss and a charge to our earnings.

Because our business is highly dependent on technology that is subject to rapid change and transformation, we are subject to risks of obsolescence.

The Bank conducts its consumer lending and deposit-gathering activities through the Internet. The financial services industry is undergoing rapid technological change, and we face constant evolution of customer demand for technology-driven financial and banking products and services. Many of our competitors have substantially greater resources to invest in technological improvement and product development, marketing and implementation. Any failure to successfully keep pace with and fund technological innovation in the markets in which we compete could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may need additional capital resources in the future and these capital resources may not be available when needed or at all, without which our financial condition, results of operations and prospects could be materially impaired.

If we continue to experience significant growth, we may need to raise additional capital. Our ability to raise capital, if needed, will depend upon our financial performance and condition and on conditions in the capital markets, as well as economic conditions generally. Accordingly, such financing may not be available to us on acceptable terms or at all. If we cannot raise additional capital when needed, it would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The competitive nature of the banking and financial services industry could negatively affect our ability to increase our market share and retain long-term profitability.

Competition in the banking and financial services industry is strong. We compete with commercial banks, savings institutions, mortgage brokerage firms, credit unions, finance companies, mutual funds, insurance companies and brokerage and investment banking firms operating locally and elsewhere. Some of our competitors have greater name recognition and market presence than we do and offer certain services that we do not or cannot provide. In addition, larger competitors may be able to price loans and deposits more aggressively than we do, which could affect our ability to increase our market share and remain profitable on a long-term basis. Our success will depend on the ability of the Bank to compete successfully on a long-term basis within the financial services industry.

We rely on our management team and could be adversely affected by the unexpected loss of key officers.

Our future success and profitability is substantially dependent upon our management and the abilities of our senior executives. We believe that our future results will also depend in part upon our ability to attract and retain highly skilled and qualified management. Competition for senior personnel is intense, and we may not be successful in attracting and retaining such personnel. Changes in key personnel and their responsibilities may be disruptive to our businesses and could have a material adverse effect on our businesses, financial condition and results of operations. In particular, the loss of our chief executive officer could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Fluctuations in interest rates could reduce our profitability and affect the value of our assets.

Like other financial institutions, we are subject to interest rate risk. Our primary source of income is net interest income, which is the difference between interest earned on loans and investments and interest paid on deposits and borrowings. We expect that we will periodically experience imbalances in the interest rate sensitivities of our assets and liabilities and the relationships of various interest rates to each other. Over any defined period of time, our interest-earning assets may be more sensitive to changes in market interest rates than our interest-bearing liabilities, or vice-versa. In addition, the individual market interest rates underlying our loan and lease and deposit products may not change to the same degree over a given time period. In any event, if market interest rates should move contrary to our

position, earnings may be negatively affected. In addition, loan and lease volume and quality and deposit volume and mix can be affected by market interest rates as can the businesses of our clients. Changes in levels of market interest rates could have a material adverse effect on our net interest spread, asset quality, origination volume and overall profitability.

Market interest rates are beyond our control, and they fluctuate in response to economic conditions and the policies of various governmental and regulatory agencies, in particular, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve (the “Federal Reserve”). Changes in monetary policy, including changes in interest rates, may negatively affect our ability to originate loans and leases, the value of assets and our ability to realize gains from the sale of our assets, all of which ultimately could affect our earnings.

An inadequate allowance for loan losses would reduce our earnings and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Our success depends to a significant extent upon the quality of our assets, particularly loans. In originating loans, there is a substantial likelihood that credit losses will be experienced. The risk of loss will vary with, among other things, general economic conditions, the type of loan being made, the creditworthiness of the borrower over the term of the loan and, in the case of a collateralized loan, the quality of the collateral. Although we and our regulators regularly review our loan portfolio and evaluate the adequacy of our allowance and believe that the allowance is adequate to absorb any inherent losses in our portfolio, there can be no assurance that we will not experience losses in excess of the allowance and be required to increase our provision.

Consumer loans in our portfolio generally have greater risk of loss or default than residential real estate loans and may make it necessary to increase our provision for loan losses.

At September 30, 2013, our consumer loans totaled \$111.4 million, representing approximately 25.60% of our total loan portfolio at such date. The overwhelming majority of our consumer loans are horse trailer and recreational vehicle loans acquired through indirect dealer networks. Consumer loans generally have a greater risk of loss or default than do residential mortgage loans, particularly in the case of loans that are secured by rapidly depreciating assets such as horse trailer and recreational vehicles. In such cases, any repossessed collateral for a defaulted consumer loan may not provide an adequate source of repayment of the outstanding loan balance as a result of the greater likelihood of damage, loss or depreciation. In addition, consumer loan collections are dependent on the borrower's continuing financial stability, and thus are more likely to be adversely affected by job loss, divorce, illness or personal bankruptcy. Furthermore, the application of various federal and state laws, including federal and state bankruptcy and insolvency laws, may limit the amount which can be recovered on such loans. It may become necessary to increase our provision for loan losses in the event that our losses on these loans increase, which would reduce our earnings and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Because of our holding company structure, we depend on capital distributions from the Bank to fund our operations and our creditors and shareholders are structurally subordinate to claims of creditors of the Bank.

We are a separate and distinct legal entity from the Bank and have no business activities other than our ownership of the Bank. As a result, we primarily depend on dividends, distributions and other payments from the Bank to fund our obligations. The ability of the Bank to pay dividends to us is limited by state and federal law and depends generally on the Bank's ability to generate net income. If we are unable to comply with applicable provisions of these statutes and regulations, the Bank may not be able to pay dividends to us, and we would not be able to pay dividends on our outstanding common stock.

Lack of seasoning of our commercial loan portfolios may increase the risk of credit defaults in the future.

Due to our increasing emphasis on CRE and C&I lending, a substantial amount of the loans in our commercial loan portfolios and our lending relationships are of relatively recent origin. In general, loans do not begin to show signs of credit deterioration or default until they have been outstanding for some period of time, a process referred to as "seasoning." A portfolio of older loans will usually behave more predictably than a newer portfolio. As a result, because a large portion of our commercial loan portfolio is relatively new, the current level of delinquencies and defaults may not be representative of the level that will prevail when the portfolio becomes more seasoned, which may be higher than current levels. If delinquencies and defaults increase, we may be required to increase our provision for loan losses, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If our mortgage lending business does not grow, we will not be as profitable as we have been in recent years.

The residential mortgage business is highly competitive, and highly susceptible to changes in market interest rates, consumer confidence levels, employment statistics, the capacity and willingness of secondary market purchasers to acquire and hold or securitize loans, and other factors beyond our control. Additionally, in many respects, the mortgage origination business is relationship based and dependent on the services of individual mortgage loan officers. The loss of services of one or more loan officers could have the effect of reducing the level of our mortgage production. As a result of these factors, we cannot be certain that we will be able to maintain or grow the volume or percentage of revenue or net income produced by our residential mortgage loan business. A decline in our residential mortgage business may have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

A sustained decline in the mortgage loan markets or the related real estate markets could reduce loan origination activity or increase delinquencies, defaults and foreclosures, which could adversely affect our financial results.

Historically, our mortgage loan business has provided a significant portion of our revenue and our ability to maintain or grow that revenue is dependant upon our ability to originate loans and sell them on the secondary market. During the year ended December 31, 2012, income from mortgage banking activities was \$10.7 million and for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, \$7.8 million. Mortgage loan origination is sensitive to changes in economic conditions, including decreased economic activity, a slowdown in the housing market, or higher market interest rates, and has historically been cyclical, enjoying periods of strong growth and profitability followed by periods of lower volumes and market-wide losses. During periods of rising interest rates, refinancing originations for many mortgage products tend to decrease as the economic incentives for borrowers to refinance their existing mortgage loans are reduced. In addition, the mortgage loan origination business is affected by changes in real property values. A reduction in real property values could also negatively affect our ability to originate mortgage loans because the value of the real properties underlying the loans is a primary source of repayment in the event of foreclosure. The national market for residential mortgage loan refinancing has recently experienced a decline, and a continuation of that trend may adversely impact our business. Any sustained period of increased delinquencies, foreclosures or losses could harm our ability to originate and sell mortgage loans, and the price received on the sale of such loans, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

RISKS RELATING TO THE REGULATION OF OUR INDUSTRY

We operate in a highly regulated environment, which could restrain our growth and profitability.

We are subject to extensive laws and regulations that govern almost all aspects of our operations. These laws and regulations, and the supervisory framework that oversees the administration of these laws and regulations, are primarily intended to protect depositors, the Deposit Insurance Fund and the banking system as a whole, and not shareholders and consumers. These laws and regulations, among other matters, affect our lending practices, capital structure, investment practices, dividend policy, operations and growth. Compliance with the myriad laws and regulations applicable to our organization can be difficult and costly. In addition, these laws, regulations and policies are subject to continual review by governmental authorities, and changes to these laws, regulations and policies, including changes in interpretation or implementation of these laws, regulations and policies, could affect us in substantial and unpredictable ways and often impose additional compliance costs. Further, any new laws, rules and regulations, such as the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and regulatory capital rules, could make compliance more difficult or expensive. All of these laws and regulations, and the supervisory framework applicable to our industry, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Federal and state regulators periodically examine our business, and we may be required to remediate adverse examination findings.

The Federal Reserve, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC") and the Indiana Department of Financial Institutions (the "DFI") periodically examine our business, including our compliance with laws and regulations. If, as a result of an examination, a federal banking agency were to determine that our financial condition, capital resource, asset quality, earnings prospects, management, liquidity or other aspects of any of our operations had become unsatisfactory, or that we were in violation of any law or regulation, it may take a number of different remedial actions as it deems appropriate. These actions include the power to enjoin "unsafe or unsound" practices, to require affirmative action to correct any conditions resulting from any violation or practice, to issue an administrative order that can be judicially enforced, to direct an increase in our capital, to restrict our growth, to assess civil monetary penalties against our officers or directors, to remove officers and directors and, if it is concluded that such conditions cannot be corrected or there is an imminent risk of loss to depositors, to terminate our deposit insurance and place us

into receivership or conservatorship. Any regulatory action against us could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our FDIC deposit insurance premiums and assessments may increase which would reduce our profitability.

The deposits of the Bank are insured by the FDIC up to legal limits and, accordingly, subject to the payment of FDIC deposit insurance assessments. The Bank's regular assessments are determined by its risk classification, which is based on its regulatory capital levels and the level of supervisory concern that it poses. High levels of bank failures since the beginning of the financial crisis and increases in the statutory deposit insurance limits have increased resolution costs to the FDIC and put significant pressure on the Deposit Insurance Fund. In order to maintain a strong funding position and restore the reserve ratios of the Deposit Insurance Fund, the FDIC increased deposit insurance assessment rates and charged a special assessment to all FDIC-insured financial institutions. Further increases in assessment rates or special assessments may occur in the future, especially if there are significant additional financial institution failures. Any future special assessments, increases in assessment rates or required prepayments in FDIC insurance premiums could reduce our profitability or limit our ability to pursue certain business opportunities, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The short-term and long-term impact of recently adopted regulatory capital rules is uncertain and a significant increase in our capital requirements could have an adverse effect on our business.

In July 2013, the federal banking agencies approved rules that will significantly change the regulatory capital requirements of all banking institutions in the United States. The new rules are designed to implement the recommendations with respect to regulatory capital standards, commonly known as Basel III, approved by the International Basel Committee on Bank Supervision. We will become subject to the new rules over a multi-year transition period commencing January 1, 2015. The new rules establish a new regulatory capital standard based on tier 1 common equity and increase the minimum leverage and risk-based capital ratios. The rules also change how a number of the regulatory capital components are calculated. The new rules will generally require us and the Bank to maintain greater amounts of regulatory capital. A significant increase in our capital requirements could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to numerous laws designed to protect consumers, including the Community Reinvestment Act and fair lending laws, and failure to comply with these laws could lead to a wide variety of sanctions.

The Community Reinvestment Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Fair Housing Act and other fair lending laws and regulations impose nondiscriminatory lending requirements on financial institutions. The Department of Justice and other federal agencies are responsible for enforcing these laws and regulations. A successful regulatory challenge to an institution's performance under the Community Reinvestment Act or fair lending laws and regulations could result in a wide variety of sanctions, including damages and civil money penalties, injunctive relief, restrictions on mergers and acquisitions activity, restrictions on expansion and restrictions on entering new business lines. Private parties may also have the ability to challenge an institution's performance under fair lending laws in private class action litigation. Such actions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We face a risk of noncompliance and enforcement action with the Bank Secrecy Act and other anti-money laundering statutes and regulations.

The Bank Secrecy Act, the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 and other laws and regulations require financial institutions, among other duties, to institute and maintain an effective anti-money laundering program and file suspicious activity and currency transaction reports as appropriate. The federal Financial Crimes Enforcement Network is authorized to impose significant civil money penalties for violations of those requirements and has recently engaged in coordinated enforcement efforts with the individual federal banking regulators, as well as the U.S. Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration and Internal Revenue Service. We are also subject to increased scrutiny of compliance with the rules enforced by the Office of Foreign Assets Control. If our policies, procedures and systems are deemed deficient, we would be subject to liability, including fines and regulatory actions, which may include restrictions on our ability to pay dividends and the necessity to obtain regulatory approvals to proceed with certain aspects of our business plan, including our acquisition plans. Failure to maintain and implement adequate programs to combat money laundering and terrorist financing could also have serious reputational consequences for us. Any of these results could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR COMMON STOCK

There is a limited trading market for our common stock and you may not be able to resell your shares.

Our common stock began trading on the NASDAQ Capital Market on February 22, 2013; however, trading remains relatively limited. Although we expect that a more liquid market for our common stock will develop, we cannot guarantee that you would be able to resell shares of common stock at an attractive price or at all.

The offering will dilute the ownership percentage of our existing shareholders and the ownership of our common stock may change significantly.

We intend to raise significant capital through the offering. Upon the successful completion of the offering, the ownership percentage of existing shareholders will be diluted unless they purchase shares in the offering in an amount proportional to their existing ownership. As a result, following the offering, a significant portion of our common stock may be held by individuals and institutions outside of our market area whose interests may differ from our current shareholders. In addition, one or more individuals or institutions may seek to acquire a significant percentage of ownership in our common stock in the offering, subject to any applicable regulatory approvals. Those shareholders may have interests that differ from those of our current shareholder base, and they may vote in a way with which our current shareholders disagree.

Securities analysts may not initiate or continue coverage on our common stock, which could affect the market for our common stock.

The trading market for our common stock will depend in part on the research and reports that securities analysts may publish about us and our business. We do not have any control over these securities analysts, and they may not cover our common stock. If no securities analysts cover our common stock, the lack of research coverage may adversely affect its market price. If we are covered by securities analysts, and our common stock is the subject of an unfavorable report, the price of our common stock may decline. If one or more of these analysts cease to cover us or fail to publish regular reports on us, we could lose visibility in the financial markets, which could cause the price or trading volume of our common stock to decline.

We have broad discretion in the use of the net proceeds from this offering, and our use of those proceeds may not yield a favorable return on your investment.

We expect to use the net proceeds of this offering for general corporate purposes, which may include, among other things, funding loans and purchasing investment securities through the Bank. We may also use the net proceeds to fund acquisition opportunities, although we have no present plans in that regard. Our management has broad discretion over how these proceeds are used and could spend the proceeds in ways with which you may not agree. In addition, we may not use the proceeds of this offering effectively or in a manner that increases our market value or enhances our profitability. We have not established a timetable for the effective deployment of the proceeds, and we cannot predict how long it will take to deploy the proceeds. Investing the offering proceeds in securities until we are able to deploy the proceeds will provide lower margins than we generally earn on loans, potentially adversely affecting shareholder returns, including earnings per share, return on assets and return on equity.

Federal banking laws limit the acquisition and ownership of our common stock.

Because we are a bank holding company, any purchaser of 5% or more of our common stock may be required to file a notice with or obtain the approval of the Federal Reserve under the Change in Bank Control Act of 1978, as amended. Specifically, under regulations adopted by the Federal Reserve, (1) any other bank holding company may be required to obtain the approval of the Federal Reserve before acquiring 5% or more of our common stock and (2) any person other than a bank holding company may be required to file a notice with and not be disapproved by the Federal Reserve to acquire 10% or more of our common stock.

Anti-takeover provisions could negatively impact our shareholders.

Provisions of Indiana law and provisions of our Articles of Incorporation could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire control of us or have the effect of discouraging a third party from attempting to acquire control of us. We are subject to certain anti-takeover provisions under the Indiana Business Corporation Law, as amended (the "IBCL"). Additionally, our Articles of Incorporation authorize our Board of Directors to issue one or more classes or series of preferred stock without shareholder approval and such preferred stock could be issued as a defensive measure in response to a takeover proposal. These provisions could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us even if an acquisition might be in the best interest of our shareholders.

Our shares of common stock are not an insured deposit and as such are subject to loss of entire investment.

The shares of our common stock are not a bank deposit and will not be insured or guaranteed by the FDIC or any other government agency. Your investment will be subject to investment risk, and you must be capable of affording the loss of your entire investment.

If we were to issue preferred stock, the rights of holders of our common stock and the value of such common stock could be adversely affected.

Our Board of Directors is authorized to issue classes or series of preferred stock, without any action on the part of the shareholders. The Board of Directors also has the power, without shareholder approval, to set the terms of any such classes or series of preferred stock, including voting rights, dividend rights and preferences over the common stock with respect to dividends or upon the liquidation, dissolution or winding-up of our business and other terms. If we issue preferred stock in the future that has a preference over the common stock with respect to the payment of dividends or upon liquidation, dissolution or winding-up, or if we issue preferred stock with voting rights that dilute the voting power of the common stock, the rights of holders of the common stock or the value of the common stock would be adversely affected.

We may issue additional shares of common or preferred stock in the future, which could dilute existing shareholders.

Our Articles of Incorporation authorize our board of directors, generally without shareholder approval, to, among other things, issue additional shares of common stock up to a total of forty-five million shares or up to five million shares of preferred stock. The issuance of any additional shares of common or preferred stock could be dilutive to a shareholder's ownership of our common stock. To the extent that we issue options or warrants to purchase common stock in the future and the options or warrants are exercised, our shareholders may experience further dilution. In addition, we may issue preferred stock that is convertible into shares of our common stock, and upon conversion would result in our common shareholders' ownership interest being diluted. Holders of shares of our common stock have no preemptive rights that entitle holders to purchase their pro rata share of any offering of shares of any class or series and, therefore, shareholders may not be permitted to invest in future issuances of common or preferred stock. We and the Bank are required by federal and state regulatory authorities, as applicable, to maintain adequate levels of capital to support our operations. Accordingly, regulatory requirements and/or deterioration in our asset quality may require us to sell common stock to raise capital under circumstances and at prices which result in substantial dilution.

We are a newly public company and we cannot be certain if the reduced disclosure requirements applicable to newly public companies will make our common stock less attractive to investors.

We are a newly public company and we may take advantage of certain exemptions from various reporting requirements under the rules of the SEC that are applicable to other public companies that are not newly public companies, including a transition period during which we are not required to comply with the auditor attestation requirements of Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act or to provide a report of management's assessment regarding internal control over financial reporting until our second Annual Report on Form 10-K. In addition, as long as we qualify as a "smaller reporting company" we will not be subject to the auditor attestation requirements of Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. As a result, investors may be less comfortable with the effectiveness of our internal controls and the risk that material weaknesses or other deficiencies in our internal controls may go undetected until the transition period has ended and we are no longer a "smaller reporting company." We cannot predict if investors will find our common stock less attractive because we may rely on these exemptions and provide reduced disclosure. If some investors find our common stock less attractive as a result, there may be a less active trading market for our common stock and our stock price may be more volatile.

If we default on our subordinated debt, we will be prohibited from paying dividends or distributions on our common stock.

On June 28, 2013, we issued a subordinated debenture to a third party in the principal amount of \$3.0 million. The purchase agreement under which the subordinated debenture was issued prohibits us from paying any dividends or making any other distributions to our shareholders at any time when there shall have occurred and be continuing an event of default under the agreement.

Events of default generally consist of, among other things, our failure to pay any principal or interest on the subordinated debenture when due, our failure to comply with certain agreements, terms and covenants under the agreement (without curing such default following notice), and certain events of bankruptcy, insolvency or liquidation relating to us.

If an event of default were to occur and we did not cure it, we would be prohibited from paying any dividends or making any other distributions to our shareholders or from redeeming or repurchasing any of our common stock, which would likely have a material adverse effect on the market value of our common stock. Moreover, without notice to or consent from the holders of our common stock, we may enter into additional financing arrangements that may limit our ability to purchase or to pay dividends or distributions on our capital stock, including our common

stock.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

None.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.

None.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.

None.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS.

Unless otherwise indicated, all documents incorporated into this quarterly report on Form 10-Q by reference to a document filed with the SEC pursuant to the Exchange Act are located under SEC file number 1-35750.

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|--------------------|--|
| 3.1 | Articles of Incorporation of First Internet Bancorp (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to registration statement on Form 10 filed November 30, 2012) |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of First Internet Bancorp, as amended March 18, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012) |
| 31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 Certifications |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase |

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

FIRST INTERNET BANCORP

Date: 11/4/2013

By /s/ David B. Becker
David B. Becker,
Chief Executive Officer, President and Chairman

Date: 11/4/2013

By /s/ Kay E. Whitaker
Kay E. Whitaker,
*Senior Vice President-Finance, Chief Financial Officer
and Secretary (Principal Financial Officer)*

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit No. | Description | Method of Filing |
|--------------------|--|---------------------------|
| 3.1 | Articles of Incorporation of First Internet Bancorp | Incorporated by Reference |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of First Internet Bancorp, as amended March 18, 2013 | Incorporated by Reference |
| 31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer | Filed Electronically |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer | Filed Electronically |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 Certifications | Filed Electronically |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document | Filed Electronically |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema | Filed Electronically |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase | Filed Electronically |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase | Filed Electronically |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase | Filed Electronically |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase | Filed Electronically |