BLACKROCK NEW YORK MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST Form N-CSRS April 07, 2011 UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-10337

Name of Fund: BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust (BNY)

Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809

Name and address of agent for service: John M. Perlowski, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock Series Fund, Inc., 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10055

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4

Date of fiscal year end: 07/31/2011

Date of reporting period: 01/31/2011

Item 1 Report to Stockholders

January 31, 2011

Semi-Annual Report (Unaudited)

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust (BFZ)
BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust (BFO)
BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Income Trust (RFA)
BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust (BBF)
BlackRock New Jersey Investment Quality Municipal Trust Inc. (RNJ)
BlackRock New York Investment Quality Municipal Trust Inc. (RNY)
BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust (BNY)

Not FDIC Insured No Bank Guarantee May Lose Value

Table of Contents

	Page
Dear Shareholder	3
Semi-Annual Report:	
Municipal Market Overview	4
Trust Summaries	5
The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging	13
Derivative Financial Instruments	13
Financial Statements:	
Schedules of Investments	14
Statements of Assets and Liabilities	40
Statements of Operations	42
Statements of Changes in Net Assets	44
Statements of Cash Flows	48
Financial Highlights	49
Notes to Financial Statements	57
Officers and Trustees	64
Additional Information	65

2

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

Dear Shareholder

Economic data fluctuated widely throughout 2010, but as the year drew to a close, it became clear that cyclical stimulus had beaten out structural problems as economic data releases generally became more positive and financial markets showed signs of continuing improvement. The sovereign debt crises and emerging market inflation that troubled the global economy in 2010 remain a challenge to global growth, but overall levels of uncertainty are gradually declining as the United States and the world economy are progressing from a stimulus-driven recovery into a consumption-driven expansion.

In the United States, the corporate sector has been an important area of strength and consumer spending has shown improvement, although weakness in the housing and labor markets continues to burden the economy. It is important to note that we are in the midst of the first global economic recovery that is being led by emerging economies, and the United States has only just begun its transition to a self-sustaining expansion, suggesting that economic improvements still have a way to go.

Global equity markets experienced uneven growth and high volatility over the course of 2010, but ended the year strong. Stocks continued their advance through most of January until the political unrest in Egypt and widespread discord across the Middle East caused a sharp, but temporary decline at the end of the period. US stocks outpaced most international markets over the 12-month period. Small cap stocks outperformed large caps as investors moved into higher-risk assets.

Fixed income markets saw yields trend lower over most of 2010, until the fourth quarter brought an abrupt reversal in sentiment and risk tolerance that drove yields sharply upward (pushing prices downward) through year end and into the New Year. However, on a 12-month basis, yields were lower overall and fixed income markets performed well. Conversely, the tax-exempt municipal market was dealt an additional blow as it became evident that the Build America Bond program would expire at the end of 2010. In addition, negative headlines regarding fiscal challenges faced by state and local governments damaged investor confidence and sparked additional volatility in the municipal market. These conditions began to moderate as the period came to a close and the market has shown signs of improvement in supply-and-demand technicals.

Cash investments, as represented by the 3-month Treasury bill, returned only a fraction over 0% for the 12-month period as short-term interest rates remained low. Yields on money market securities remain near all-time lows.

Total Returns as of January 31, 2011	6-month	12-month
US large cap equities (S&P 500 Index)	17.93%	22.19%
US small cap equities (Russell 2000 Index)	20.75	31.36
International equities (MSCI Europe, Australasia, Far East Index)	16.10	15.38
3-month Treasury bill (BofA Merrill Lynch 3-Month Treasury Bill Index)	0.06	0.13
US Treasury securities (BofA Merrill Lynch 10-Year US Treasury Index)	(2.25)	5.25
US investment grade bonds (Barclays Capital US Aggregate Bond Index)	0.20	5.06
Tax-exempt municipal bonds (Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index)	(2.84)	1.10
US high yield bonds (Barclays Capital US Corporate High Yield 2% Issuer Capped Index)	8.65	15.96

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

While no one can peer into a crystal ball and eliminate the uncertainties presented by the economic landscape and financial markets, BlackRock can offer investors the next best thing: partnership with the world s largest asset management firm and a unique global perspective that allows us to identify trends early and capitalize on market opportunities. For additional market perspective and investment insight, visit **www.blackrock.com/shareholdermagazine**, where you ll find the most recent issue of our award-winning *Shareholder* magazine, as well as its quarterly companion newsletter, *Shareholder Perspectives*. As always, we thank you for entrusting BlackRock with your investments, and we look forward to your continued partnership in the months and years ahead.

Sincerely, Rob Kapito President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

THIS PAGE NOT PART OF YOUR FUND REPORT

3

Municipal Market Overview

As of January 31, 2011

The municipal market began the period with a strong tone as rates fell (and prices rose) along with those of US Treasuries. However, the cliché of the perfect storm of negative events all conspired in the final months of 2010, leading to the worst quarterly performance for the municipal market since the tightening cycle of 1994. Treasury yields lost their support as concerns about the US deficit raised questions over the willingness of foreign investors to continue to purchase Treasury securities, at least at the previous historically low yields. Municipal valuations also suffered a quick and severe setback as it became evident that the Build America Bond (BAB) program would expire at year-end. The program had opened the taxable market to municipal issuers, which had successfully alleviated supply pressure in the traditional tax-exempt marketplace, bringing down yields in that space.

The financial media has been replete with interviews, articles and presentations advertising the stress experienced in municipal finance, resulting in a loss of confidence among retail investors who buy individual bonds or mutual funds. From the middle of November through year-end, funds specializing in tax-exempt bonds witnessed weekly outflows averaging over \$2.5 billion. Long-term and high-yield funds saw the greatest redemptions, followed by state-specific funds to a lesser but still significant degree. Demand usually is strong at the beginning of the new year against a backdrop of low new-issue supply, but the mutual fund outflows continued in January, putting additional upward pressure on municipal yields. Political uncertainty surrounding the midterm elections and the approach taken by the new Congress on issues such as income tax rates and alternative minimum tax (and the previously mentioned BAB non-extension) exacerbated the situation. All these conditions, combined with the seasonal illiquidity surrounding year-end holidays and dealers closing their fiscal books, sapped willing market participation from the trading community.

As demand for municipal securities from traditional retail investors was declining and trading desk liquidity was being curtailed, there was no comparable reduction in supply. As it became evident that the BAB program would be retired, issuers rushed deals to market both in the taxable municipal space and, to a lesser degree, in the traditional tax-exempt space. This imbalance in the supply/demand technicals provided the classic market action, leading to wider quality spreads and higher bond yields. The municipal curve steepened as the issuance was concentrated in longer (greater than 20-year) maturities. Curve steepening that began in October accelerated in November, spurred on by Treasury weakness, heavy supply and record outflows. As measured by Thomson Municipal Market Data, AAA-rated municipals rose nearly 82 basis points (bps) for maturities 25 years and longer from July 31, 2010, to January 31, 2011. The spread between two-year and 30-year maturities widened from 360 bps to 406 bps over the period.

The fundamental picture for municipalities will be subject to scrutiny for months to come, as the challenges to state and local budgets are real and need to be addressed with significant cuts to expenses and tax revenue increases. The debates around austerity measures needed to succeed in balancing these budgets are not over whether action needs to be taken, but over degree, approach and political will to accomplish these needs. The attention shone upon municipal finance has the potential to improve this market for the future if these efforts result in greater means toward disclosure and accuracy (and timeliness) of reporting. Early tests to judge progress will come soon as California, Illinois and Puerto Rico need to take austerity measures and access financing in the municipal market to address relatively immediate fiscal imbalances. BlackRock favors a more constructive outlook for the municipal market heading into 2011 as the typical, and this year particularly atypical, weakness passes.

4

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

Trust Summary as of January 31, 2011

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust s (BFZ) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular US federal income and California income taxes. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and California income taxes. The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations that are investment grade quality. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six months ended January 31, 2011, the Trust returned (9.48)% based on market price and (8.55)% based on net asset value (NAV). For the same period, the closed-end Lipper California Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (9.24)% based on market price and (8.09)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. A generally negative municipal market environment hindered Trust performance. Some widening of credit spreads, especially among California school districts and health care credits, detracted from Trust performance, as did a relatively long duration posture given the rising interest rate environment of the period. Conversely, the Trust maintained a low average cash reserve level, which benefited total return by delivering a competitive level of income accrual relative to the Lipper peer group. Increased exposure to tender option bonds to take advantage of the historically steep municipal yield curve also benefited the income accrual. In addition, the Trust s holdings in corporate-backed municipals aided performance as non-traditional investors identified the sector s attractive investment opportunities and subsequently drove up demand.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on New York Stock Exchange (NYSE)	BFZ
Initial Offering Date	July 27, 2001
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2011 (\$12.44) ¹	7.30%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	11.23%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0757
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.9084
Leverage as of January 31, 2011 ⁴	44%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- ⁴ Represents Auction Market Preferred Shares (Preferred Shares) and tender option bond trusts (TOBs) as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 13.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and NAV per share:

	1/31/11	7/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 12.44	\$ 14.21	. ,	\$ 14.99	\$ 12.02
Net Asset Value	\$ 12.63	\$ 14.28		\$ 14.88	\$ 12.17

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations

	1/31/11	7/31/10
	2007	2707
County/City/Special District/School District	39%	37%
Utilities	29	27
Health	11	9
Education	8	10
Transportation	6	6
State	5	7
Housing	2	3
Corporate		1

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

	1/31/11	7/31/10
- AAA/Aaa	11%	24%
AA/Aa	65	46
A	23	26
BBB/Baa	1	3
BBB/Baa Not Rated		16

⁵ Using the higher of Standard & Poor s (S&P) or Moody s Investors Service (Moody s) ratings.

⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2010, the market value of these securities was \$5,717,100, representing 1% of the Trust s long-term investments.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2011

5

Trust Summary as of January 31, 2011

BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust s (BFO) (the Trust) investment objectives are to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and Florida intangible personal property tax and to return \$15.00 per Common Share (the initial offering price per share) to holders of Common Shares on or about December 31, 2020. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objectives by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and Florida intangible personal property tax. The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust actively manages the maturity of its bonds to seek to have a dollar weighted average effective maturity approximately equal to the Trust s maturity date. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives. Effective January 1, 2007, the Florida intangible personal property tax was repealed.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six months ended January 31, 2011, the Trust returned (2.13)% based on market price and (3.53)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (8.40)% based on market price and (5.41)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s discount to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Trust s performance was aided by exposure to pre-refunded bonds and escrow bonds due to their shorter maturities, which was a benefit in the rising interest rate environment of the period. Conversely, the Trust s holdings in the health care sector hindered performance as health care underperformed the general municipal market.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BFO
Initial Offering Date	September 30, 2003
Termination Date (on or about)	December 31, 2020
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2011 (\$13.67) ¹	4.92%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	7.57%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.056
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.672
Leverage as of January 31, 2011 ⁴	38%

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.

- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- ⁴ Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 13.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and NAV per share:

	1/31/11	7/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 13.67	\$ 14.30	< / /	\$ 14.87	\$ 13.01
Net Asset Value	\$ 14.05	\$ 14.91		\$ 15.40	\$ 13.86

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations

	1/31/11	7/31/10
County/City/Special District/School District	45%	45%
Utilities	19	20
Health	12	12
State	11	10
Corporate	7	7
Housing	3	3
Transportation	2	2
Education	1	1

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

	1/31/11	7/31/10
AAA/Aaa	9%	32%
AA/Aa	43	19
A	17	23
BBB/Baa	13	7
BBB/Baa Not Rated ⁶	18	19

⁵ Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.

⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of January 31, 2011 and July 31, 2010, the market value of these securities was \$15,832,064, representing 13% and \$13,590,604, representing 11%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

Trust Summary as of January 31, 2011

BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Income Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Income Trust s (RFA) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide high current income exempt from regular federal income tax and to provide an exemption from Florida intangible personal property taxes consistent with preservation of capital. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and Florida intangible personal property tax. Under normal market conditions, the Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds rated investment grade at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives. Due to the repeal of the Florida intangible personal property tax, the Board approved an amended policy in September 2008 allowing the Trust the flexibility to invest in municipal obligations regardless of geographical location.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six months ended January 31, 2011, the Trust returned (9.83)% based on market price and (8.23)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (8.46)% based on market price and (6.45)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s premium to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Trust s exposure to longer-duration and longer-maturity bonds detracted from performance as the long end of the yield curve steepened during the period. Additionally, the Trust s holdings in the health care and transportation sectors hindered performance as both sectors underperformed the general municipal market. Conversely, the Trust s holdings in corporate-backed municipals aided performance as non-traditional investors identified the sector s attractive investment opportunities and subsequently drove up demand. In addition, the Trust benefited from exposure to the housing sector, which was one of the market s better performers. Finally, the Trust s exposure to shorter-duration bonds (6% or higher) benefited performance in the rising interest rate environment of the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE Amex	RFA
Initial Offering Date	May 28, 1993
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2011 (\$10.97) ¹	7.66%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	11.78%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.07
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.84
Leverage as of January 31, 2011 ⁴	43%

- ¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- ⁴ Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 13.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and NAV per share:

	1/31/11	7/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 10.97	\$ 12.60	· /	\$ 13.20	\$ 10.40
Net Asset Value	\$ 10.89	\$ 12.29		\$ 12.76	\$ 10.54

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations

	1/31/11	7/31/10
Utilities	20%	19%
Health	19	17
County/City/Special District/School District	18	19
Transportation	17	19
State	10	10
Education	7	7
Housing	6	6
Corporate	2	2
Tobacco	1	1

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

	1/31/11	7/31/10
- AAA/Aaa	8%	16%
AA/Aa	61	57
А	22	22
BBB/Baa	7	4
BB/Ba	1	
Not Rated	16	1

- ⁵ Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.
- ⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of January 31, 2011, the market value of these securities was \$41,994, representing 0% of the Trust s long-term investments.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2011

7

Trust Summary as of January 31, 2011

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust s (BBF) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and Florida intangible personal property tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and Florida intangible personal property tax. The Trust seeks in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives. Due to the repeal of the Florida intangible personal property tax, the Board approved an amended policy in September 2008 allowing the Trust the flexibility to invest in municipal obligations regardless of geographical location.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six months ended January 31, 2011, the Trust returned (11.27)% based on market price and (8.51)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (8.46)% based on market price and (6.45)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Trust s exposure to longer-duration and longer-maturity bonds detracted from performance as the long end of the yield curve steepened during the period. Additionally, the Trust s holdings in the health care and transportation sectors hindered performance as non-traditional investors identified the sector s attractive investment opportunities and subsequently drove up demand. In addition, the Trust benefited from exposure to the housing sector, which was one of the market s better performers. Finally, the Trust s exposure to shorter-duration bonds (6% or higher) benefited performance in the rising interest rate environment of the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BBF
Initial Offering Date	July 27, 2001
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2011 (\$11.93) ¹	7.58%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	11.66%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.075375
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.904500
Leverage as of January 31, 2011 ⁴	44%

- ¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- ⁴ Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 13.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and NAV per share:

	1/31/11	7/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 11.93	\$ 13.90	(14.17)%		\$ 11.13
Net Asset Value	\$ 12.31	\$ 13.91	(11.50)%		\$ 11.82

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations

	1/31/11	7/31/10
Health	24%	24%
County/City/Special District/School District	21	19
Utilities	20	20
Transportation	16	17
State	9	9
Education	7	9
Corporate	1	1
Housing	1	1
Tobacco	1	

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

	1/31/11	7/31/10
AAA/Aaa	7%	11%
AA/Aa	61	58
A	23	25
BBB/Baa	7	4
BB/Ba	1	
Not Rated	1	2

⁵ Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.

8

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

Trust Summary as of January 31, 2011

BlackRock New Jersey Investment Quality Municipal Trust Inc.

Trust Overview

BlackRock New Jersey Investment Quality Municipal Trust Inc. s (RNJ) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide high current income exempt from regular federal income tax and New Jersey gross income tax consistent with preservation of capital. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in a portfolio of investment grade New Jersey municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New Jersey gross income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in securities rated investment grade at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six months ended January 31, 2011, the Trust returned (7.61)% based on market price and (6.05)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper New Jersey Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (9.78)% based on market price and (6.43)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s premium to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Trust s exposure to longer-duration and longer-maturity bonds detracted from performance as the long end of the yield curve steepened during the period. Conversely, the Trust s holdings in corporate-backed municipals aided performance as non-traditional investors identified the sector s attractive investment opportunities and subsequently drove up demand. In addition, the Trust benefited from exposure to the housing sector, which was one of the market s better performers. Finally, the Trust s exposure to shorter-duration bonds and premium coupon bonds (6% or higher) benefited performance in the rising interest rate environment of the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE Amex	RNJ
Initial Offering Date	May 28, 1993
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2011 (\$11.60) ¹	6.78%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	10.43%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0655
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.7860
Leverage as of January 31, 2011 ⁴	38%

- ¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- ⁴ Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 13.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and NAV per share:

	1/31/11	7/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 11.60	\$ 12.96	. ,	\$ 14.39	\$ 10.97
Net Asset Value	\$ 11.44	\$ 12.57		\$ 13.01	\$ 11.09

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations

	1/31/11	7/31/10
	20%	1907
State		18%
Transportation	18	14
Education	15	15
County/City/Special District/School District	15	8
Health	11	16
Corporate	10	10
Housing	9	11
Utilities	2	7
Tobacco		1

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

	1/31/11	7/31/10
AAA/Aaa		12%
AA/Aa	51%	28
Α	29	27
BBB/Baa	10	21
BB/Ba		3
В	5	4
Not Rated ⁶	5	5

- ⁵ Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.
- ⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of January 31, 2011 and July 31, 2010, the market value of these securities was \$464,130, representing 3% and \$500,505, representing 3%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2011

9

Trust Summary as of January 31, 2011

BlackRock New Jersey Municipal Income Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock New Jersey Municipal Income Trust s (BNJ) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and New Jersey gross income tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New Jersey gross income taxes. The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six months ended January 31, 2011, the Trust returned (7.77)% based on market price and (5.67)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper New Jersey Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (9.78)% based on market price and (6.43)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s premium to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Trust s exposure to longer-duration and longer-maturity bonds detracted from performance as the long end of the yield curve steepened during the period. Conversely, the Trust s holdings in corporate-backed municipals aided performance as non-traditional investors identified the sector s attractive investment opportunities and subsequently drove up demand. In addition, the Trust benefited from exposure to the housing sector, which was one of the market s better performers. Finally, the Trust s exposure to shorter-duration bonds and premium coupon bonds (6% or higher) benefited performance in the rising interest rate environment of the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BNJ
Initial Offering Date	July 27, 2001
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2011 (\$13.22) ¹	7.18%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	11.05%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0791
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.9492
Leverage as of January 31, 2011 ⁴	38%
Leverage as of January 51, 2011	3070

¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

- 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- ⁴ Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 13.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and NAV per share:

	1/31/11	7/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price Net Asset Value	\$ 13.22 \$ 13.12		· · · ·	\$ 16.02 \$ 14.86	\$ 12.50 \$ 12.72

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations

1/31/11	7/31/10
73%	23%
16	18
16	13
14	19
13	9
9	8
7	7
2	2
	1
	23% 16 16 14 13 9 7

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

AAA/Aaa	10%	25%
AA/Aa	42	25
A	25	28
BBB/Baa	9	11
BB/Ba	5	2
В	3	3
Not Rated ⁶	6	6

⁵ Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.

⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of January 31, 2011 and July 31, 2010, the market value of these securities was \$4,914,099 representing 3% and \$4,086,005, representing 2%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

Trust Summary as of January 31, 2011

BlackRock New York Investment Quality Municipal Trust Inc.

Trust Overview

BlackRock New York Investment Quality Municipal Trust Inc. s (RNY) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide high current income exempt from regular federal, New York State and New York City income tax consistent with the preservation of capital. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New York State and New York City income taxes. Under normal market conditions, the Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in securities rated investment grade at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six months ended January 31, 2011, the Trust returned (9.46)% based on market price and (6.23)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (6.95)% based on market price and (5.68)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s premium to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Trust s long duration bias was a significant detractor from performance in the rising interest rate environment of the period. A generally negative municipal market also hindered Trust performance, as did the Trust s lack of exposure to the pre-refunded sector that led the municipal market. Similarly, the Trust s exposure to the transportation sector detracted, as it was one of the poorest performers. Finally, the Trust s large weighting in bonds with maturities greater than 25 years hurt performance as the long end of the municipal yield curve steepened. On the positive side, the Trust delivered an above-average yield relative to its Lipper category. In addition, the Trust s holdings in corporate-backed municipals aided performance as non-traditional investors identified the sector s attractive investment opportunities and subsequently drove up demand.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE Amex	RNY
Initial Offering Date	May 28, 1993
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2011 (\$12.90) ¹	6.79%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	10.45%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.073
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.876
Leverage as of January 31, 2011 ⁴	38%
· ·	

- ¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- ⁴ Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 13.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and NAV per share:

	1/31/11	7/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 12.90	\$ 14.70	. ,	\$ 15.05	\$ 12.57
Net Asset Value	\$ 12.86	\$ 14.15		\$ 14.66	\$ 12.37

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations

	1/31/11	7/31/10
Country (City) (Constitution of the set District	2707	29.07
County/City/Special District/School District	27%	28%
Utilities	16	16
Corporate	12	12
Education	12	12
Health	12	10
State	8	10
Housing	7	7
Transportation	4	3
Tobacco	2	2

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

	1/31/11	7/31/10
AAA/Aaa	20%	24%
AA/Aa	24	19
A	29	38
BBB/Baa	15	6
BB/Ba	3	4
В	4	7

Not Rated

5 2

11

⁵ Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

Trust Summary as of January 31, 2011

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust s (BNY) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six months ended January 31, 2011, the Trust returned (5.27)% based on market price and (5.72)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of (6.95)% based on market price and (5.68)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s premium to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. A generally negative municipal market hindered Trust performance, and the Trust s long duration bias was a significant detractor in the rising interest rate environment of the period. Additionally, the Trust s exposure to the transportation sector detracted, as it was one of the market s poorest performers. Finally, the Trust s large weighting in bonds with maturities greater than 25 years hurt performance as the long end of the municipal yield curve steepened. On the positive side, the Trust benefited from an above-average yield relative to its Lipper category, as well as low exposure to below-investment-grade bonds. In addition, the Trust s holdings in corporate-backed municipals aided performance as non-traditional investors identified the sector s attractive investment opportunities and subsequently drove up demand. Finally, the Trust benefited from exposure to the pre-refunded and housing sectors, which were two of the market s better performers.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BNY
Initial Offering Date	July 27, 2001
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2011 (\$13.82) ¹	7.16%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	11.02%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0825
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.9900
Leverage as of January 31, 2011 ⁴	39%

- ¹ Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- 2 Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- ⁴ Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 13.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and NAV per share:

	1/31/11	7/31/10	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 13.82	\$ 15.11	. ,	\$ 15.74	\$ 12.97
Net Asset Value	\$ 12.99	\$ 14.27		\$ 14.67	\$ 12.53

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations

	1/31/11	7/31/10
Education	17%	15%
County/City/Special District/School District	17	16
Transportation	15	14
Corporate	12	12
Utilities	11	12
Housing	10	14
State	8	8
Tobacco	5	5
Health	5	4

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

	1/31/11	7/31/10
AAA/Aaa	18%	23%
AA/Aa	26	19
A	28	29
BBB/Baa	17	16
BB/Ba	2	3
В	3	6
Not Rated ⁶	6	4

- ⁵ Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.
- ⁶ The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of January 31, 2011 and July 31, 2010, the market value of these securities was \$2,225,175, representing 1% and \$2,474,600, representing 1%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Trusts may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the yield and NAV of their common shares of beneficial interest (Common Shares). However, these objectives cannot be achieved in all interest rate environments.

To leverage, all the Trusts issue preferred shares (Preferred Shares), which pay dividends at prevailing short-term interest rates, and invest the proceeds in long-term municipal bonds. In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the financing cost of assets to be obtained from leverage, which will be based on short-term interest rates, will normally be lower than the income earned by each Trust on its longer-term portfolio investments. To the extent that the total assets of each Trust (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, each Trust s holders of Common Shares (Common Shareholders) will benefit from the incremental net income.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Trust s Common Shares capitalization is \$100 million and it issues Preferred Shares for an additional \$50 million, creating a total value of \$150 million available for investment in long-term municipal bonds. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and long-term interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, the Trust pays dividends on the \$50 million of Preferred Shares based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the securities purchased by the Trust with assets received from the Preferred Shares issuance earn income based on long-term interest rates. In this case, the dividends paid to holders of Preferred Shares (Preferred Shareholders) are significantly lower than the income earned on the Trust s long-term investments, and therefore the Common Shareholders are the beneficiaries of the incremental net income.

If short-term interest rates rise, narrowing the differential between short-term and long-term interest rates, the incremental net income pickup on the Common Shares will be reduced or eliminated completely. Furthermore, if prevailing short-term interest rates rise above long-term interest rates of 6%, the yield curve has a negative slope. In this case, the Trust pays dividends on the higher short-term interest rate whereas the Trust s total portfolio earns income based on lower long-term interest rates.

Furthermore, the value of the Trusts portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the redemption value of the Trusts Preferred Shares does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Trusts NAV positively or negatively in addition to the impact on Trust performance from leverage from Preferred Shares discussed above.

The Trusts may also leverage their assets through the use of TOBs, as described in Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements. TOB investments generally will provide the Trusts with economic benefits in periods of declining short-term interest rates, but expose the Trusts to risks during periods of rising short-term interest rates similar to those associated with Preferred Shares issued by the Trusts, as described above. Additionally, fluctuations in the market value of municipal bonds deposited into the TOB may adversely affect each Trust s NAV per share.

The use of leverage may enhance opportunities for increased income to the Trusts and Common Shareholders, but as described above, it also creates risks as short or long-term interest rates fluctuate. Leverage also will generally cause greater changes in the Trusts NAVs, market prices and dividend rates than comparable portfolios without leverage. If the income derived from securities purchased with assets received from leverage exceeds the cost of leverage, the Trusts net income will be greater than if leverage had not been used. Conversely, if the income from the securities purchased is not sufficient to cover the cost of leverage, the Trusts net income will be reduced. Each Trust may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or at distressed values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause a Trust to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit each Trust s ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies, such as in the case of certain restrictions imposed by ratings agencies that rate Preferred Shares issued by the Trusts. Each Trust will incur expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by Common Shareholders and may reduce income to the Common Shares.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Trusts are permitted to issue Preferred Shares in an amount of up to 50% of their total managed assets at the time of issuance. Under normal circumstances, each Trust anticipates that the total economic leverage from Preferred Shares and/or TOBs will not exceed 50% of its total managed assets at the time such leverage is incurred. As of January 31, 2011, the Trusts had economic leverage from Preferred Shares and/or TOBs as a percentage of their total managed assets as follows:

	Percent of Leverage
BFZ	44%
BFO	38%
RFA	43%
BBF	44%
RNJ	38%
BNJ	38%
RNY	38%
BNY	39%

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Trusts may invest in various derivative instruments, including financial futures contracts, as specified in Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements, which may constitute forms of economic leverage. Such instruments are used to obtain exposure to a market without owning or taking physical custody of securities or to hedge market and/or interest rate risks. Such derivative instruments involve risks, including the imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative instrument and the underlying asset, possible default of the counterparty to the transaction or illiquidity of the derivative instrument. The Trusts ability to successfully use a derivative instrument depends on the investment advisor s ability to accurately predict pertinent market movements, which cannot be assured. The use of derivative instruments may result in losses greater than if they had not been used, may require a Trust to sell or purchase portfolio investments at inopportune times or for distressed values, may limit the amount of appreciation a Trust can realize on an investment, may result in lower dividends paid to shareholders or may cause a Trust to hold an investment that it might otherwise sell. The Trusts investments in these instruments are discussed in detail in the Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

Schedule of Investments January 31, 2011 (Unaudited)	BlackRock California Municij (Percentages show	pal Income Trust (BFZ) n are based on Net Assets)
Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
California 106.5%		
Corporate 0.3%		
City of Chula Vista California, RB, San Diego Gas, Series D, AMT (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/01/27	\$ 330	\$ 319,753
City of Chula Vista California, Refunding RB, San Diego Gas & Electric, Series A, 5.88%, 2/15/34	680	696,803
		1,016,556
County/City/Special District/ School District 41.8%		
Butte-Glenn Community College District, GO, Election of 2002, Series C, 5.50%, 8/01/30	8,425	8,917,020
California State Public Works Board, RB, Various Capital Projects, Sub-Series I-1, 6.63%, 11/01/34	8,440	8,776,587
Central Unified School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series A (AGC), 5.63%, 8/01/33	400	412,948
Cerritos Community College District, GO, Election of 2004, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/31 City & County of San Francisco California, COP,	3,000	2,890,620
Refunding, Series A, 5.00%, 10/01/31 County of Kern California, COP, Capital Improvements	7,730	7,147,854
Projects, Series A (AGC), 6.00%, 8/01/35 El Dorado Union High School District, GO, Election of	2,000	2,100,960
2008, 5.00%, 8/01/35 Evergreen Elementary School District, GO, Election of	5,020	5,035,060
2006, Series B (AGC), 5.13%, 8/01/33 La Quinta Redevelopment Agency, Tax Allocation Bonds,	2,500	2,386,025
Redevelopment Project Area Number 1 (AMBAC), 5.13%, 9/01/32	1,000	866,890
Long Beach Unified School District California, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series A,	4 125	4.246.760
5.75%, 8/01/33 Los Alamitos Unified School District California, GO, School Facilities Improvement District No. 1,	4,135	4,246,769
5.50%, 8/01/33 Los Angeles Community College District California, GO:	5,125	5,207,820
Election of 2001, Series E-1, 5.00%, 8/01/33 Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39	10,280 3,500	
Los Angeles Municipal Improvement Corp., Refunding RB, Real Property, Series B (AGC), 5.50%, 4/01/30	4,975	4,957,090
Modesto Irrigation District, COP, Capital Improvements: Series A, 5.75%, 10/01/29	3,000	, ,
Series A, 5.75%, 10/01/34 Series B, 5.50%, 7/01/35 Murrieta Valley Unified School District Public Financing	155 5,700	,
Authority, Special Tax Bonds, Refunding, Series A (AGC), 5.13%, 9/01/26	1,000	1,011,320

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
California (continued)		
County/City/Special District/School District (concluded)		
Oak Grove School District California, GO, Election of 2008, Series A, 5.50%, 8/01/33	\$ 6,000	\$ 5,931,360
Orange County Sanitation District, COP (NPFGC), 5.00%, 2/01/33	3,600	3,497,112
Orange County Water District, COP, Refunding, 5.25%, 8/15/34	2,000	2,004,420
Pittsburg Redevelopment Agency, Tax Allocation Bonds, Refunding, Subordinate, Los Medanos Community	2,000	2,001,120
Project, Series A, 6.50%, 9/01/28	5,500	5,506,105
Pittsburg Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B (AGM), 5.50%, 8/01/34	2,000	2,024,500
Port of Oakland, Refunding RB, Series M, AMT (NPFGC), 5.38%, 11/01/27	6,300	5,868,009
Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency, Special Assessment Bonds, Consolidated Capital Assessment		
District (BHAC), 5.63%, 10/01/37	100	103,353
San Diego Community College District California, GO, Election of 2002, 5.25%, 8/01/33	1,500	1,508,175
San Diego Regional Building Authority California, RB, County Operations Center & Annex, Series A,		
5.38%, 2/01/36 San Jose Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Civic Center	6,500	6,287,515
Project, Series B (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/37	6,000	5,483,760
San Leandro Unified School District California, GO, Election of 2006, Series B (AGM), 6.25%, 8/01/29 Santa Ana Unified School District, GO, Election of 2008,	1,125	1,196,876
Series A: 5.50%, 8/01/30	6,205	6,258,053
5.13%, 8/01/33	10,000	9,421,000
Santa Clara County Financing Authority, Refunding LRB, Series L, 5.25%, 5/15/36	21,000	19,955,880
Santa Cruz County Redevelopment Agency California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Live Oak/Soquel Community Improvement, Series A:		
6.63%, 9/01/29	1,000	1,036,640
7.00%, 9/01/36	1,700	1,787,040
Snowline Joint Unified School District, COP, Refunding, Refining Project (AGC), 5.75%, 9/01/38	2,250	2,352,128
Torrance Unified School District California, GO, Election of 2008, Measure Z, 6.00%, 8/01/33	4,000	4,159,120
Westminster Redevelopment Agency California, Tax Allocation Bonds, Subordinate, Commercial		
Redevelopment Project No. 1 (AGC), 6.25%, 11/01/39	7,750	8,296,917
		168,214,413

Portfolio Abbreviations

To simplify the listings of portfolio holdings in the Schedules of Investments, the names and descriptions of many of the securities have been abbreviated according to the following list:

ACA	ACA Financial Guaranty Corp.
AGC	Assured Guaranty Corp.
AGM	Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC	American Municipal Bond Assurance Corp.
AMT	Alternative Minimum Tax (subject to)
BHAC	Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
CAB	Capital Appreciation Bonds
CIFG	CDC IXIS Financial Guaranty
СОР	Certificates of Participation
EDA	Economic Development Authority
EDC	Economic Development Corp.
ERB	Education Revenue Bonds
FHA	Federal Housing Administration
FGIC	Financial Guaranty Insurance Co.
GO	General Obligation Bonds
HFA	Housing Finance Agency
HRB	Housing Revenue Bonds
IDA	Industrial Development Authority
IDRB	Industrial Development Revenue Bonds
ISD	Independent School District
LRB	Lease Revenue Bonds
MRB	Mortgage Revenue Bonds
NPFGC	National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.
PILOT	Payment in Lieu of Taxes
RB	Revenue Bonds
S/F	Single-Family
SONYMA	State of New York Mortgage Agency
VHA	Veterans Health Administration

See Notes to Financial Statements.

14

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

Schedule of Investments (continued)	BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust (BFZ) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)			
Municipal Bonds	Par 1000)	Value		
California (continued)				
Education 1.6%				
University of California, RB:				
Limited Project, Series D (NPFGC), 5.00%,				
5/15/41	\$ 2,600 \$	2,536,586		
Series O, 5.38%, 5/15/34	460	470,612		
University of California, Refunding RB:				
General, Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 5/15/33	2,215	2,136,944		
Series S, 5.00%, 5/15/40	1,250	1,183,775		
		6,327,917		
Health19.0%ABAG Finance Authority for Nonprofit Corps, Refunding				
RB, Sharp Healthcare:				
6.38%, 8/01/34	3,000	3,005,100		
6.25%, 8/01/39	3,250	3,224,358		
Series A, 6.00%, 8/01/30 (a)	2,250	2,157,795		
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, RB:	2,200	2,107,790		
Adventist Health System-West, Series A,				
5.75%, 9/01/39	6,000	5,557,320		
Catholic Healthcare West, Series J, 5.63%, 7/01/32	1,675	1,595,856		
California Health Facilities Financing Authority,				
Refunding RB:				
Catholic Healthcare West, Series A, 6.00%, 7/01/39	2,500	2,466,300		
Catholic Healthcare West, Series A, 6.00%, 7/01/34	4,400	4,402,596		
Catholic Healthcare West, Series E, 5.63%, 7/01/25	3,000	3,006,810		
Providence Health & Services, Series C,				
6.50%, 10/01/38	1,465	1,569,689		
St. Joseph Health System, Series A, 5.75%, 7/01/39	1,625	1,595,896		
Sutter Health, Series B, 6.00%, 8/15/42 (a)	2,800	2,749,376		
California Infrastructure & Economic Development Bank, RB, Kaiser Hospital Assistance I-LLC, Series A,				
5.55%, 8/01/31	15,260	14,184,475		
California Statewide Communities Development	15,200	11,101,175		
Authority, RB, Series A:				
Health Facility, Memorial Health Services,				
5.50%, 10/01/33	7,000	6,990,410		
Kaiser Permanente, 5.50%, 11/01/32	11,060	10,141,025		
California Statewide Communities Development				
Authority, Refunding RB:				
Catholic Healthcare West, Series B, 5.50%, 7/01/30	3,000	2,835,720		
Catholic Healthcare West, Series E, 5.50%, 7/01/31	5,010	4,728,338		
Cottage Health Obligation Group, 5.25%, 11/01/30	1,650	1,511,334		
City of Torrance California, RB, Torrance Memorial				
Medical Center, Series A, 5.00%, 9/01/40	5,500	4,603,555		

		76,325,953
Housing 1.0%		
California Statewide Communities Development		
Authority, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bond		
Pass-Through Certificates, RB, Series 3, Westgate		
Courtyards Apartments, Mandatory Put Bonds, AMT, 5.80%, 11/01/34 (b)	2,200	2,068,748
City of Los Angeles, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bond	2,200	2,000,740
Pass-Through Certificates, RB, Series 5, San Lucas		
Apartments, AMT, 5.95%, 11/01/34 (b)	2,055	1,900,279
		3,969,027
State 9.1%		
California State Public Works Board, RB:		
Department of Education, Riverside Campus Project,	0.000	0.000 400
Series B, 6.50%, 4/01/34 Various Capital Projects, Sub-Series I-1,	9,000	9,239,490
6.38%, 11/01/34	2,475	2,517,817
	_,	_,017,017
	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
California (concluded)		
State (concluded)		
State of California, GO, Various Purpose:		
6.50%, 4/01/33	\$ 20,500	\$ 21,680,185
6.00%, 3/01/33	3,070	3,123,541
		36,561,033
Transportation 7.5%		
Bay Area Toll Authority, RB, San Francisco Bay Area,		
5.00%, 10/01/34	3,175	2,872,677
County of Orange California, RB, Series B,		
5.75%, 7/01/34	8,000	8,276,720
County of Sacramento California, RB, Senior Series B, 5.75%, 7/01/39		
	1 850	1 839 640
Los Angeles Harbor Department, KB, Series B,	1,850	1,839,640
Los Angeles Harbor Department, RB, Series B, 5.25%, 8/01/34	1,850 5,530	1,839,640 5,377,261
5.25%, 8/01/34 Port of Oakland, RB, Series K, AMT (NPFGC),	5,530	5,377,261
5.25%, 8/01/34 Port of Oakland, RB, Series K, AMT (NPFGC), 5.75%, 11/01/29		
 5.25%, 8/01/34 Port of Oakland, RB, Series K, AMT (NPFGC), 5.75%, 11/01/29 San Francisco City & County Airports Commission, RB, 	5,530 5,300	5,377,261 5,037,173
5.25%, 8/01/34 Port of Oakland, RB, Series K, AMT (NPFGC), 5.75%, 11/01/29	5,530	5,377,261
 5.25%, 8/01/34 Port of Oakland, RB, Series K, AMT (NPFGC), 5.75%, 11/01/29 San Francisco City & County Airports Commission, RB, 	5,530 5,300	5,377,261 5,037,173
 5.25%, 8/01/34 Port of Oakland, RB, Series K, AMT (NPFGC), 5.75%, 11/01/29 San Francisco City & County Airports Commission, RB, Series E, 6.00%, 5/01/39 Utilities 26.2%	5,530 5,300	5,377,261 5,037,173 6,948,180
 5.25%, 8/01/34 Port of Oakland, RB, Series K, AMT (NPFGC), 5.75%, 11/01/29 San Francisco City & County Airports Commission, RB, Series E, 6.00%, 5/01/39 Utilities 26.2% California Infrastructure & Economic Development Bank,	5,530 5,300	5,377,261 5,037,173 6,948,180
 5.25%, 8/01/34 Port of Oakland, RB, Series K, AMT (NPFGC), 5.75%, 11/01/29 San Francisco City & County Airports Commission, RB, Series E, 6.00%, 5/01/39 Utilities 26.2% California Infrastructure & Economic Development Bank, RB, California Independent System Operator, Series A,	5,530 5,300 6,750	5,377,261 5,037,173 6,948,180 30,351,651
 5.25%, 8/01/34 Port of Oakland, RB, Series K, AMT (NPFGC), 5.75%, 11/01/29 San Francisco City & County Airports Commission, RB, Series E, 6.00%, 5/01/39 Utilities 26.2% California Infrastructure & Economic Development Bank, RB, California Independent System Operator, Series A, 6.25%, 2/01/39	5,530 5,300	5,377,261 5,037,173 6,948,180
 5.25%, 8/01/34 Port of Oakland, RB, Series K, AMT (NPFGC), 5.75%, 11/01/29 San Francisco City & County Airports Commission, RB, Series E, 6.00%, 5/01/39 Utilities 26.2% California Infrastructure & Economic Development Bank, RB, California Independent System Operator, Series A, 6.25%, 2/01/39 Calleguas-Las Virgines Public Financing Authority 	5,530 5,300 6,750	5,377,261 5,037,173 6,948,180 30,351,651
 5.25%, 8/01/34 Port of Oakland, RB, Series K, AMT (NPFGC), 5.75%, 11/01/29 San Francisco City & County Airports Commission, RB, Series E, 6.00%, 5/01/39 Utilities 26.2% California Infrastructure & Economic Development Bank, RB, California Independent System Operator, Series A, 6.25%, 2/01/39	5,530 5,300 6,750	5,377,261 5,037,173 6,948,180 30,351,651

n California 428,257
105,490
t, Series 1, 5.00%, 7/01/30 2,750 2,650
c Power Authority, RB, Windy
10,625 10,187
5,000 4,993
unty Public Utilities Commission,
4,000 3,985
6, 11/01/32 4,000 3,901
anty Public Utilities
00%, 8/01/32 2,000 1,804
3,255 3,185
/15/39 12,460 12,242
9,500 9,410
es Financing Authority,
Series A-2, 5.00%, 7/01/30 2,200 2,143
of Water & Power, Refunding
AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/01/44 3,000 2,793
3,050 3,056
s A-1, 5.25%, 7/01/38 7,815 7,713
of Water & Power, RB:
ng, Series A, 5.75%, 3/01/24 5,000 5,300
rict & El Dorado Water Agency
2,425 2,452
ces District, Refunding RB,
7 5,085 5,056
4,000 3,902
Fornia, Refunding RB,
6,500 6,660
1,000 1,024
And, Refunding RD, Sun Diego
ornia, Refunding RB, San Diego
Inia, KD, Seites A (AOM),
ornia, RB, Series A (AGM),

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2011

15

Schedule of Investments (continued)			ncome Trust (BFZ) e based on Net Assets)
Municipal Bonds		Par 000)	Value
Multi-State 2.0%			
Housing 2.0%			
Centerline Equity Issuer Trust (c)(d):	¢.	500 \$	504 (05
5.75%, 5/15/15 6.00%, 5/15/19	\$	500 \$ 1,000	524,685 1,038,380
6.00%, 5/15/15		1,500	1,569,240
6.30%, 5/15/19		1,000	1,042,630
7.20%, 11/15/52		3,500	3,808,525
Total Municipal Bonds in Multi-State			7,983,460
Puerto Rico 1.8%			
County/City/Special District/School District 0.8%			
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB, First Sub-Series A, 6.50%, 8/01/44		3,000	3,104,790
State 1.0%			
Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, GO, Refunding, Public Improvement, Series B, 6.50%, 7/01/37		4,000	4,101,200
Total Municipal Bonds in Puerto Rico			7,205,990
Total Municipal Bonds 110.3%			443,446,950
Municipal Bonds Transferred to			
Tender Option Bond Trusts (e)			
California 65.9%			
County/City/Special District/School District 25.7%			
Los Angeles Community College District California, GO:		0.000	7 57 7 6 6
Election of 2001, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32		8,000	7,537,680
Election of 2008, Series A, 6.00%, 8/01/33 Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39		20,131 12,900	21,296,872 12,208,173
Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO,		12,200	12,200,173
Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/34		5,000	4,585,000
Mount San Antonio Community College District		10,770	10,302,582
California, GO, Election of 2001, Series C (AGM),			

5.00%, 9/01/31			
Ohlone Community College District, GO, Ohlone, Series B (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/30		12,499	11,900,350
San Bernardino Community College District California,		,	, ,
GO, Election of 2002, Series C (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/31		2,000	1,866,320
San Diego Community College District California, GO:		10.404	10.541.000
Election of 2002, 5.25%, 8/01/33 Election of 2006 (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32		10,484 9,000	10,541,228 8,780,040
San Jose Unified School District Santa Clara County		,,	e,,
California, GO, Election of 2002, Series D, 5.00%, 8/01/32		14,625	14,102,266
0.01/32		14,025	14,102,200
			103,120,511
Education 12.1%			
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/39		10,395	10,472,443
California State University, RB, Systemwide, Series A		10,395	10,472,445
(AGM), 5.00%, 11/01/39		2,400	2,201,592
Municipal Bonds Transferred to	Pa	r	
Tender Option Bond Trusts (e)	(00		Value
California (concluded)			
Education (concluded)			
Grossmont Union High School District California, GO,	*	10.005	
Election of 2004, 5.00%, 8/01/33 San Mateo County Community College District, GO,	\$	13,095	\$ 12,175,965
Election of 2005, Series B, 5.00%, 9/01/31		8,630	8,402,427
University of California, RB: Limited Project, Series D (AGM), 5.00%, 5/15/41		2,600	2,475,278
Series O, 5.75%, 5/15/34		12,300	13,055,835
			48,783,540
Transportation 2.8%			- , ,
Palm Springs Unified School District, GO, Election of			
2004, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/31		11,625	11,376,989
Utilities 25.3%			
California State Department of Water Resources, Refunding RB, Central Valley Project, Series AE,			
5.00%, 12/01/29		7,000	7,042,210
City of Napa California, RB (AMBAC), 5.00%, 5/01/35		3,000	2,858,040
East Bay Municipal Utility District, RB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 6/01/35		3,000	2,921,520
Eastern Municipal Water District, COP, Series H, 5.00%, 7/01/33		18,002	16,937,595
Los Angeles Department of Water & Power, RB:			
Power System, Sub-Series A-1 (AMBAC), 5.00%, 7/01/37		15,998	15,275,144
System, Sub-Series A-2 (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/35		2,000	1,918,260
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, RB, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/37		11,180	11,130,808
Orange County Sanitation District, COP, Series B (AGM),		,	
5.00%, 2/01/37		14,700 10,480	14,489,643 10,064,468
		10,.00	10,001,100

Orange County Water District, COP, Refunding, 5.00%, 8/15/39		
San Diego County Water Authority, COP, Refunding:		
Series 2002-A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 5/01/32	5.292	5,103,578
Series 2008-A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33	14,290	13,804,997
		10,001,007
		101,546,263
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 65.9%		264,827,303
Total Long-Term Investments(Cost \$731,884,683)176.2%		708,274,253
Short-Term Securities	Shares	
Snort-1 erm Securities	Snares	
BIF California Municipal Money Fund, 0.04% (f)(g)	12,115,311	12,115,311
Total Short-Term Securities		
(Cost \$12,115,311) 3.0%		12,115,311
(Cost \$12,115,311) 3.0%		
		720,389,564
(Cost \$12,115,311) 3.0% Total Investments (Cost \$743,999,994*) 179.2% Liabilities in Excess of Other Assets (0.6)%		
(Cost \$12,115,311) 3.0% Total Investments (Cost \$743,999,994*) 179.2%		720,389,564
(Cost \$12,115,311) 3.0% Total Investments (Cost \$743,999,994*) 179.2% Liabilities in Excess of Other Assets (0.6)% Liability for Trust Certificates, Including Interest		720,389,564 (2,460,379)
(Cost \$12,115,311) 3.0% Total Investments (Cost \$743,999,994*) 179.2% Liabilities in Excess of Other Assets (0.6)% Liability for Trust Certificates, Including Interest Expense and Fees Payable (36.0)% Preferred Shares, at Redemption Value (42.6)%		720,389,564 (2,460,379) (144,640,006) (171,332,769)
(Cost \$12,115,311) 3.0% Total Investments (Cost \$743,999,994*) 179.2% Liabilities in Excess of Other Assets (0.6)% Liability for Trust Certificates, Including Interest Expense and Fees Payable (36.0)%		720,389,564 (2,460,379) (144,640,006) (171,332,769)

* The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments as of January 31, 2011, as computed for federal income tax purposes, were as follows:

Aggregate cost	\$	599,907,826
Gross unrealized appreciation	\$	4,742,563
Gross unrealized appreciation	Ψ	(28,756,303)
Net unrealized depreciation	\$	(24,013,740)

See Notes to Financial Statements.

16

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2011

Schedule of Investments (concluded)

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust (BFZ)

(a) When-issued security. Unsettled when-issued transactions were as follows:

Counterparty	Value	Ap	nrealized preciation preciation)
Merrill Lynch & Co.	\$ 2,157,795	\$	(45,378)
Morgan Stanley Capital Services, Inc.	\$ 2,749,376	\$	26,572

(b) Variable rate security. Rate shown is as of report date.

- (c) Security exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be resold in transactions exempt from registration to qualified institutional investors.
- (d) Security represents a beneficial interest in a trust. The collateral deposited into the trust is federally tax-exempt revenue bonds issued by various state or local governments, or their respective agencies or authorities. The security is subject to remarketing prior to its stated maturity.
- (e) Securities represent bonds transferred to a TOB in exchange for which the Trust acquired residual interest certificates. These securities serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs.
- (f) Investments in companies considered to be an affiliate of the Trust during the period, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, were as follows:

Affiliate	Shares Held at July 31, 2010	Net Activity	Shares Held at January 31, 2011	Income
BIF California Municipal Money Fund	26,178,133	(14,062,822)	12,115,311	\$ 1,390

(g) Represents the current yield as of report date.

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments, which are as follows:

Level 1 price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets and liabilities

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Trust s own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments)

The inputs or methodologies used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Trust s policy regarding valuation of investments and other significant accounting policies, please refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following table summarizes the inputs used as of January 31, 2011 in determining the fair valuation of the Trust s investments:

Valuation Inputs	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets: Investments in Securities:				
Long-Term Investments ¹		\$ 708,274,253		\$ 708,274,253
Short-Term Securities	\$ 12,115,311			12,115,311
Total	\$ 12,115,311	\$ 708,274,253		\$ 720,389,564

¹ See above Schedule of Investments for values in each sector.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2011

17

Schedule of Investments	5 January 31,	, 2011 (Unaudited)
-------------------------	---------------	--------------------

BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust (BFO) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
Florida 138.3%		
Corporate 8.8%		
County of Escambia Florida, Refunding RB, Environment,	¢ 4.000	¢ 0.701.000
Series A, AMT, 5.75%, 11/01/27	\$ 4,000	\$ 3,781,800
Hillsborough County IDA, Refunding RB, Tampa Electric		
Co. Project:	1.055	1 070 240
5.50%, 10/01/23	1,955	1,979,340
Series A, 5.65%, 5/15/18	1,000	1,087,740
		6,848,880
County/City/Special District/School District 60.8%		
Broward County School Board Florida, COP, Series A		
(AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/22	2,500	2,570,975
County of Hillsborough Florida, RB (AMBAC),	2,000	2,0 / 0,9 / 0
5.00%, 11/01/20	5,545	5,818,978
County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB, Sub-Series B	- ,	- , ,
(NPFGC), 7.32%, 10/01/32 (a)	7,560	1,592,363
County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB,		
Sub-Series A (NPFGC) (a):		
5.93%, 10/01/19	5,365	3,233,056
6.12%, 10/01/20	10,000	5,582,200
County of Orange Florida, Refunding RB, Series A		
(NPFGC), 5.13%, 1/01/22	2,200	2,226,510
Florida State Board of Education, GO, Refunding, Capital		
Outlay, Series B, 5.00%, 6/01/20	485	540,503
Hillsborough County School Board, COP (NPFGC),		
5.00%, 7/01/27	1,000	981,300
Miami-Dade County Educational Facilities Authority		
Florida, RB, University of Miami, Series A (AMBAC),	1 000	
5.00%, 4/01/14 (b)	1,000	1,112,100
Miami-Dade County School Board, COP, Refunding,	4 000	4 152 400
Series B (AGC), 5.25%, 5/01/21	4,000	4,173,480
Northern Palm Beach County Improvement District, RB,		
Water Control & Improvement: Series 43, 6.10%, 8/01/21	105	107 100
	195	187,128
Unit of Development No. 43, 6.10%, 8/01/21 (b)	2,735	2,833,816
Northern Palm Beach County Improvement District, Special Assessment Bonds, Refunding, Water Control		
& Improvement District No. 43, Series B (ACA):		
4.50%, 8/01/22	1,000	776,070
5.00%, 8/01/31	1,000	737,010
Sterling Hill Community Development District, Special	1,000	757,010
Assessment Bonds, Series A, 6.10%, 5/01/23	3,915	3,491,710
Stevens Plantation Improvement Project Dependent	0,710	5, 1, 1, 10
Special District, RB, 6.38%, 5/01/13	2,425	2,099,832
· · · · ·		, , -

Tolomato Community Development District, Special Assessment Bonds, Special Assessment,		
6.38%, 5/01/17	1,300	1,067,755
Village Center Community Development District, RB:		
(NPFGC), 5.25%, 10/01/23	5,000	4,807,250
Sub-Series B, 6.35%, 1/01/18	2,000	1,976,800
Village Community Development District No. 5 Florida,		
Special Assessment Bonds, Series A, 6.00%, 5/01/22	1,115	1,085,575
Watergrass Community Development District, Special		
Assessment Bonds, Series B, 5.13%, 11/01/14	1,000	613,530
	-	
		47,507,941

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)			Value
Florida (continued)				
Education 1.0%				
Orange County Educational Facilities Authority, RB, Rollins College Project (AMBAC), 5.25%, 12/01/22	\$	725	\$	753,826
Health 18.0%				
Escambia County Health Facilities Authority, RB, Florida Health Care Facility Loan, VHA Program (AMBAC),		426		422.026
5.95%, 7/01/20 Halifax Hospital Medical Center, Refunding RB, Series A,		426		432,026
5.25%, 6/01/26 Highlands County Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Hospital, Adventist Health, Series I,		2,500		2,326,800
5.00%, 11/15/20		2,155		2,229,197
Hillsborough County IDA, RB, H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center Project, Series A, 5.25%, 7/01/22 Marion County Hospital District Florida, Refunding RB,		1,500		1,479,690
Health System, Munroe Regional, 5.00%, 10/01/22		1,500		1,442,805
Orange County Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, Adventist Health System, 5.63%, 11/15/12 (b) Palm Beach County Health Facilities Authority, Refunding		4,450		4,855,528
RB, Bethesda Healthcare System Project, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/20		1,285		1,341,861
				14,107,907
Housing 2.3%				
Florida Housing Finance Corp., RB, Homeowner Mortgage, Series 2, AMT (Ginnie Mae),				
4.70%, 7/01/22		1,165		1,142,772
Jacksonville Housing Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Series A-1, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 5.63%, 10/01/39		625	_	650,619
				1,793,391
State 14.4%				
Florida Municipal Loan Council, RB, CAB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.70%, 4/01/20 (a)		4,000		2,390,200
Florida State Board of Education, GO, Public Education, Series J (AMBAC), 5.00%, 6/01/24		6,150		6,289,297
Florida State Board of Education, GO, Refunding, Public Education, Series I, 5.00%, 6/01/18		500		541,365

Florida State Board of Education, RB, Series B, 5.00%, 7/01/23	2,000	2,070,760
5.0070, 1101125		2,070,700
		11,291,622
Transportation 3.9%		
County of Lee Florida, Refunding RB, Series B (AMBAC),		
5.00%, 10/01/22	3,000	3,018,750
Utilities 29.1%		
City of Deltona Florida, RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 10/01/23	1,095	1,107,724
City of Lakeland Florida, Refunding RB,		
5.00%, 10/01/27	1,000	978,910
City of Marco Island Florida, RB (NPFGC):		
5.25%, 10/01/21	1,000	1,021,230
5.00%, 10/01/22	2,000	2,015,240
5.00%, 10/01/23	1,375	1,381,036
City of Palm Coast Florida, RB (NPFGC):		
5.00%, 10/01/22	1,770	1,780,178
5.00%, 10/01/23	1,485	1,488,208
5.00%, 10/01/24	1,500	1,499,160
County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB, System,		
Series B (AGM), 5.25%, 10/01/19	4,000	4,456,840
See Notes to Financial Statements.		

18

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2011

Schedule of Investments (concluded)	BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust (Bl (Percentages shown are based on Net Ass				
Municipal Bonds		'ar 00)	Value		
Florida (concluded)					
Utilities (concluded) Tohopekaliga Water Authority, RB, Series B (AGM): 5.00%, 10/01/22 5.00%, 10/01/23	\$	1,975 \$ 1,180	2,065,573 1,223,707		
Tohopekaliga Water Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/21		3,630	3,733,891		
Total Municipal Bonds in Florida			22,751,697 108,074,014		
Puerto Rico 3.4%					
State 3.4% Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, GO, Public Improvement (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/19		2,480	2,676,390		
U.S. Virgin Islands 1.6%					
Corporate 1.6% Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, RB, Senior Secured, Hovensa Refinery, AMT, 4.70%, 7/01/22		1,500	1,266,360		
Total Municipal Bonds 143.3%			112,016,764		
Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (c)					
Florida 10.0%					
County/City/Special District/School District 8.1% Palm Beach County School District, COP, Refunding, Series D (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/28		6,510	6,317,890		

Lee County Housing Finance Authority, RB, Multi-County Program, Series A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae),		
6.00%, 9/01/40	840	879,051
Manatee County Housing Finance Authority, RB, Series A, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 5.90%, 9/01/40	642	668,364
		1,547,415
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 10.1%		7,865,305
Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$123,824,306) 153.4%		119,882,069
Short-Term Securities	Shares	
BIF Florida Municipal Money Fund, 0.00% (d)(e)	4,192,770	4,192,770
Total Short-Term Securities (Cost \$4,192,770) 5.4%		4,192,770
Total Investments (Cost \$128,017,076*) 158.8%		124,074,839
Other Assets Less Liabilities 1.4%		1,132,458
Liability for Trust Certificates, Including Interest		

Preferred Shares, at Redemption Value (54.9)% Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%

* The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments as of January 31, 2011, as computed for federal income tax purposes, were as follows:

Aggregate cost	\$ 123,837,405
Gross unrealized appreciation Gross unrealized depreciation	\$ 1,141,156 (5,040,124)
Net unrealized depreciation	\$ (3,898,968)

(a) Represents a zero-coupon bond. Rate shown reflects the current yield as of report date.

(b) US government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.

(c) Securities represent bonds transferred to a TOB in exchange for which the Trust acquired residual interest certificates. These securities serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs.

(42,900,520)

78,163,324

\$

(d) Investments in companies considered to be an affiliate of the Trust during the period, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, were as follows:

Affiliate	Shares Held at July 31, 2010	Shares Held at Net January 31, Activity 2011		Income
BIF Florida Municipal Money Fund	5,065,158	(872,388)	4,192,770	\$ 20

(e) Represents the current yield as of report date.

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments, which are as follows:

Level 1 price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets and liabilities

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Trust s own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments)

The inputs or methodologies used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Trust s policy regarding valuation of investments and other significant accounting policies, please refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following table summarizes the inputs used as of January 31, 2011 in determining the fair valuation of the Trust s investments:

Valuation Inputs	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets: Investments in Securities:				
Long-Term Securities ¹ Short-Term Securities	\$ 4,192,770	\$ 119,882,069		\$ 119,882,069 4,192,770
Total	\$ 4,192,770	\$ 119,882,069		\$ 124,074,839

¹ See above Schedule of Investments for values in each sector.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2011

19

Schedule of Investments	January 31, 2011 (Unaudited)
-------------------------	------------------------------

BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Income Trust (RFA) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	'ar 00)	Value
Arizona 0.7%		
Pima County IDA, Refunding IDRB, Tucson Electric Power, 5.75%, 9/01/29	\$ 90 5	\$ 87,839
California 21.4%		
Bay Area Toll Authority, Refunding RB, San Francisco Bay		
Area, Series F-1, 5.63%, 4/01/44	195	197,722
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University		
of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/38	200	201,616
California Health Facilities Financing Authority,		
Refunding RB:		
Catholic Healthcare West, Series A,		
6.00%, 7/01/39	130	128,248
St. Joseph Health System, Series A,	105	101 500
5.75%, 7/01/39	195	191,508
Sutter Health, Series B, 6.00%, 8/15/42 (a)	120	117,830
California State Public Works Board, RB, Department of		
General Services, Buildings 8 & 9, Series A, 6.25%, 4/01/34	300	301,872
Los Angeles Department of Airports, Refunding RB,	500	301,072
Senior, Los Angeles International Airport, Series A,		
5.00%, 5/15/35	395	365,189
Los Angeles Department of Water & Power, RB, Power	070	000,109
System, Sub-Series A-1, 5.25%, 7/01/38	200	197,414
San Diego Regional Building Authority California, RB,		
County Operations Center & Annex, Series A,		
5.38%, 2/01/36	240	232,155
San Francisco City & County Airports Commission,		
Refunding RB, Second Series A-3, Mandatory Put		
Bonds, AMT, 6.75%, 5/01/19 (b)	500	506,980
State of California, GO, Various Purpose,		
6.00%, 3/01/33	185	188,226
	-	2,628,760
Colorado 1.2%		
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB,		
Catholic Healthcare, Series A, 5.50%, 7/01/34	155	151,403
Delaware 1.4%		
County of Sussex Delaware, RB, NRG Energy, Inc.,		
Indian River Project, 6.00%, 10/01/40	175	167,972
Florida 4.3%		
Arborwood Community Development District, Special		
Assessment Bonds, Master Infrastructure Projects,		
Series B, 5.10%, 5/01/14	200	160,898

S21.717 Georgia 4.4% Municipal Flextric Authority of Georgia, Refunding RB, Project One, Sub-Series D, 6.00%, 1/01/23 500 542.565 Binois 7.1% Chicago Park District, OO. Harbor Facilities, Series C, 5.2%, 101/40 195 187.699 County of Cack Illinois, GO, Refunding, Series A, 5.2%, 101/40 100 95.516 Binois Finance Authority, RB, Navistar International, Recovery Zane, 6.50%, 101/340 75 74.629 Binois Finance Authority, RB, Series A: Northwestern Menorial Hospital, 6.00%, 8/15/39 250 255.516 Municipal Boads Par 6000 Value Binois finance Authority, Refunding RB, Series A: Northwestern Menorial Hospital, 6.00%, 8/15/39 250 255.516 Municipal Boads Par 6000 Value Binois (concluded) Ranshoff Concluded 873.582 Indiana 2.8% Indiana Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series B, 6.00%, 6/01/28 335 345.181 Kanass Dovelopment Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health, 5.0%, 11/3/29 250 250.150 Kentacky Economic Development Finance Authority, Refunding RE, Coston Medical Health System, Series A, 6.38%, 601/40 100 93.896 Lo	Village Center Community Development District, RB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 11/01/32		450	360,819
Municipal Electric Authonity of Georgia, Refunding RB, Project One, Sub-Series D, 600%, 1/01/23 500 542,565 Hinois 7,1% Cheago Park, District, GO, Harbor Facilities, Series C, 5,25%, 1/01/40 195 187,699 County of Cook Illinois, GO, Refunding, Series A, 5,25%, 1/01/40 195 187,699 County of Cook Illinois, GO, Refunding, Series A, 5,25%, 1/01/30 195 187,699 Silmois Finance Authority, RB, Navistar International, Recovery Zone, 6,50%, 1/01/540 75 74,629 Hinois Sinance Authority, RFunding RB, Series A: Northwestern Memorial Hospital, 6,00%, 5/15/39 250 255,515 OSF Healthcare System, 6,00%, 5/15/39 150 136,916 Municipal Bonds Par (000) Value Hinois Concluded) Railsplitter Tobacco Stellement Authority, RB: 550%, 601/23 5 100 \$ 94,677 6,00%, 601/23 S 100 \$ 873,582 873,582 Indiana Manicipal Power Agency, RB, Series B, 600%, 1/01/39 335 345,181 Kanasa 2,0% 100 93,886 204,407 Kanasas 2,0% 100 93,886 204,407 Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Advensits Helauht, 5,50%, 1/11/529				521,717
Project One, Sub-Series D, 6.00%, 1/01/23 500 542,565 Hinois 7.1% Chicago Park District, GO, Harbor Facilities, Series C, 52%, 1/01/03 County of Cook Illinois, GO, Refunding, Series A, 52%, 1/01/03 County of Cook Illinois, GO, Refunding, Series A, 52%, 1/01/03 Pare County of Cook Illinois, GO, Refunding, Series A, 52%, 1/01/03 Pare Recovery Zone, 65%, 10/15/04 Pare Recovery Zone, 75%, 120/15/2 Pare Recovery Zon				
Chicago Park District, GO, Harbor Facilities, Series C, 525%, 10/140 195 187,699 County of Cook Illinois, GO, Refunding, Series A. 525%, 10/1530 75 74,629 Illinois Finance Authority, RB, Navistar International, Recovery Zone, 6,50%, 10/1540 250 255,515 OSF Healthcare System, 6,00%, \$1/579 250 250, 255,515 OSF, 6/01/25 5100 5 90, 200 280, 200 28,630 Illinois Finance Authority, RB: 5,50%, 6/01/25 5100 5 90, 200 28,630 Illinois Concluded) Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB: 5,50%, 6/01/25 5100 5 100 5 94,677 6,00%, 10/129 250 250 28,630 Illinois Parker System, 6,00%, 51,879 Illinois Parker System, 6,00%, 51,879 Illinois Concluded) Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB: 5,50%, 6/01/25 5100 5 100 5 94,677 6,00%, 10/129 250 250 28,630 Illinois Parker System, 6,00%, 100 93,886 Louxyill & Adventist Health, 5,50%, 11/15/29 250 250,150 Kentucky 4,50% Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health, 5,50%, 11/15/29 20 250,150 Kentucky 4,50% Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health, 5,50%, 11/15/29 20 250,150 Kentucky 4,50% Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Ovenshoro Medical Health System, Series A, 6,38%, 601/40 10 93,896 Louisvall & 24fferson County Metropolitan Government Parking Authority, RB, Series A, 5,75%, 1,201/34 220 227,834 Louisvall & 24fferson County Metropolitan Government Parking A, 201/37 21/5 204,175 525,905 Fouisian 0.8% Commonity Development Authority, RB, Westlake Commonity Development Authority, RB, Westlake Commonity Development Authority, RB, Westlake Commonity Development Authority, RB, Westlake Commonity Development Authority, RB, Westlake Commo			500	542,565
5.25%, 10/140 195 187,699 County of Cook Illinois, GO, Refunding, Series A, 100 95,516 Binois Finance Authority, RE, Navistar International, 75 74,629 Recovery Zone, 6,50%, 10/1540 75 74,629 Binois Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Series A: 75 74,629 Northwestern Menorial Hospital, 6,00%, 8/15/39 250 255,515 OSF Healthcare System, 6,00%, 5/15/39 150 136,016 Municipal Bonds Par (000) Value Illinois Finance Authority, RB: 50%, 60/1/28 5 100 \$ 94,677 5,05%, 60/1/23 5 100 \$ 94,677 6,00%, 1/0/1/3 28,630 Indiana 2.8% 100 \$ 94,677 6,00%, 1/0/1/3 28,630 873,582 Indiana 2.8% 100 \$ 94,677 6,00%, 1/0/1/3 250 250,150 Kanass 2.0% Kanass 2.0% 335 345,181 Kanass 2.0% 873,582 Indiana 2.8% Indiana 2.8% Indiana 2.8% 100 9,335 345,181 Kanass 2.0%				
County of Cook Illinois, GO, Refunding, Series A. 525%, 11/15/33 100 95.516 Illinois Finance Authority, RB, Navistar International, Recovery Zone, 6.50%, 10/15/40 75 74,629 Illinois Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Series A: Northwestern Memorial Hospital, 6.00%, \$/15/39 250 255.515 Northwestern Memorial Hospital, 6.00%, \$/15/39 250 255.015 Municipal Bonds Par (000) Value Illinois Concluded) Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB: 5.50%, 601/23 5 100 5 94,677 6.00%, 10/123 5 100 5 94,677 6.00%, fo01/28 30 28,630 Indiana 2.8% Indiana 2.8% Indiana 2.8% Indiana 2.8% Indiana 2.8% Kansas 2.0% Kentucky Feoromic Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health, 5.50%, 601/19/29 250 250,150 Kentucky 4.3% Kentucky 4.3% Kentucky 4.3% Kentucky 4.3% Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Owensboro Medical Health System, Series A, 6.38%, 601/40 100 93,896 Louisville & Lefferson County Metropolitan Government Parking Authority, RS, series A, 5.75%, 120/124 220 227,834 Refusing RB, Jewish Hospital & St. Mary s HealthCare, 6.13%, 201/37 215 204,175 Louissian 0.8% Community Development Environmental Facilities & Community Development Authority, RB, Westake Chemical Core, Series A, 1.6.50%, 11/1325 100 99,004 Maryland 1.1% Maryland 1.1%	-		195	187.699
Illinois Finance Authority, RB, Navistar International, Recovery Zone, 650%, 10/1540 75 74,629 Illinois Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Series A: Northwestern Memorial Hospital, 600%, 8/1539 250 255,515 0597 Healthues System, 600%, 5/15/39 150 1360,16 Municipal Bonds Par (000) Value Illinois Concluded) Railsplitter Tobaco Settlement Authority, RB: 5.50%, 601/23 5 100 \$ 94,677 6.00%, 601/23 30 28,630 Rota Settlement Authority, RB: 5.50%, 601/23 30 28,630 Rota Settlement Authority, RB: Settlement Authority, RB, Series B, 6.00%, 10/159 335 345,181 Kansas 2.0% Kanuss Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health, 5.50%, 11/15/29 250 250,150 Kentucky 4.3% Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Owensboro Medical Health System, Series A, 6.38%, 601/40 100 93,886 Louisville & Lefferson County Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Jewish Hospital & St. Mary s HealthCare, 6.13%, 201/37 215 220,227,834 Louisville & Lefferson County Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Jewish Hospital & St. Mary s HealthCare, 6.13%, 201/37 215 220,227,834 Louisville & Lefferson County Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Jewish Hospital & St. Mary s HealthCare, 6.13%, 201/37 215 204,175 525,905 Kontake Comment Environmental Facilities & Community Development Authority, RB, Westlake Community	County of Cook Illinois, GO, Refunding, Series A,			
Illinois Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Series A: Northwestern Memorial Hospital, 6.00%, 8/15/39 OSF Heatheare System, 6.00%, 5/15/39 Sof Heatheare System, 6.00%, 5/15/39 Sof Heatheare System, 6.00%, 5/15/39 Illinois (concluded) Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB: 5.50%, 6/01/23 Sof M, 6/01/23 Sof M, 6/01/28 Sof M, 6/01/2	Illinois Finance Authority, RB, Navistar International,			
OSF Healthcare System, 6.00%, 5/15/39 150 136,916 Par (000) Value Illinois (concluded) Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB: 5.50%, 6/01/23 S 100 S 94,677 6.00%, 6/01/28 30 28,630 Indiana 2.8% Indiana Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series B, 6,00%, 1/01/39 335 345,181 Kansas 2.0% Xansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health, 5.50%, 11/15/29 250 250,150 Kentucky 4.3% Kentucky 4.3% 100 93,896 Louisville & Jefferson County Metropolitan Government Parking Authority, RB, series A, 5.75%, 12/01/34 220 227,834 Louisville & Stefferson County Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Levish Hospital & St. Mary s HealthCare, 6,13%, 201/37 215 204,175 Louisiana 0.8% Louisville / Efferson County Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Levish Hospital & St. Mary s HealthCare, 6,13%, 201/37 215 204,175 Statistica Autority, RB, Series A, 5.75%, 12/01/34 220 227,834 Louisville / Efferson County Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Levish Hospital & St. Mary s HealthCare, 6,13%, 201/37 215 204,175 Statistica & Community Development Finance Authority, RB, Westlake Community Development Authority, RB, Westlake Community Development, Reise A, 5.75%, 11/0/35			75	74,629
Municipal Bonds Par (000) Value Illinois (concluded) Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB: 5.0%, 601/23 S 100 \$ 94,677 6.00%, 601/28 30 28,630 873,582 Indiana 2.8% 873,582 Indiana 2.8% 335 345,181 Kansas 2.0% 335 345,181 Kansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health, 5.50%, 11/15/29 250 250,150 Kentucky 4.3% Kentucky 4.3% 93,896 94,677 Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Owenshoro Medical Health System, Series A, 6.38%, 601/40 100 93,896 Louisville & Iefferson County Metropolitan Government Parking Authority, RB, Series A, 5.75%, 12/01/34 220 227,834 Louisville & Iefferson County Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Jewish Hospital & St. Mary s HealthCare, 6.13%, 201/37 215 204,175 Louisiana 0.8% 200 99,004 99,004				
Municipal Bonds (000) Value Hinois (concluded) Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB: 5.50%, 6/01/23 S 100 \$ 94,677 6.00%, 6/01/28 30 28,630 28,630 28,630 Indiana 2.8% 873,582 873,582 873,582 Indiana 2.8% Indiana Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series B, 6,00%, 1/01/39 335 345,181 Kansas 2.0% Kansas 2.0% Kansas 2.0% Kansas 2.0% Kansas 2.0% Kansas 2.0% Kansas 2.0% Statistical Statistic		Pa		150,910
Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB: 5.50%, 601/23 \$ 100 \$ 94.677 6.00%, 601/28 30 28.630 873.582 Indiana 2.8% Indiana Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series B, 335 345,181 Kansas 2.0% Kansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, 250 250,150 Kentucky 4.3% Kentucky 4.3% Refunding RB, Owensboro Medical Health System, 873.582 Louisville & Jefferson County Metropolitan Government 100 93.896 93.896 Louisville & Jefferson County Metropolitan Government, 220 227,834 220 227,834 Louisville & Jefferson County Metropolitan Government, 215 204,175 525,905 Louissina Local Government Lenvironmental Facilities & Community Development Authority, RB, Westlake 100 99,004 Maryland L1% 100 99,004 90,094	Municipal Bonds			Value
5.50%, 601/23 \$ 100 \$ 94,677 6.00%, 601/23 30 28,630 Role of the second se	- Illinois (concluded)			
6.00%, 6/01/28 30 28,630 873,582 Indiana 2.8% Indiana Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series B, 335 345,181 6.00%, 1/01/39 335 345,181 Kansas 2.0% Kansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health, 5.50%, 11/15/29 250 250,150 Kentucky 4.3% Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Owensboro Medical Health System, 100 93,896 Louisville & Jefferson County Metropolitan Government 100 93,896 Parking Authority, RB, Series A, 5.75%, 12/01/34 220 227,834 Louisville & Jefferson County Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Jewish Hospital & St. Mary s HealthCare, 6,13%, 201/37 215 204,175 Substana 0.8% Louisiana Local Government Lavilities & 100 99,004 Maryland 1.1% Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, CNX Marine Terminals, Inc., 100 99,004		\$	100	\$ 94.677
Indiana 2.8%Indiana Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series B, 6.00%, 1/01/39335345,181Kansas 2.0%335345,181Kansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health, 5.50%, 11/15/29250250,150Kentucky 4.3%KKentucky 4.3%Kentucky 4.3%10093,896Louisville & Jefferson County Metropolitan Government Parking Authority, RB, Series A, 6.38%, 6/01/4010093,896Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Jewish Hospital & St. Mary s HealthCare, 6.13%, 2/01/37215204,175Louisviana 0.8%Louisviana Local Government IF acilities & Community Development Authority, RB, Westlake Chemical Corp., Series A-1, 6.50%, 11/01/3510099,004Maryland 1.1% Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, CNX Marine Terminals, Inc.,100100100		Ŧ		
Indiana Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series B, 6.00%, 1/01/39 335 345,181 Kansas 2.0% Kansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health, 5.50%, 11/15/29 250 250,150 Kentucky 4.3% Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Owensboro Medical Health System, Series A, 6.38%, 6/01/40 100 93,896 Louisville Jefferson County Metropolitan Government Parking Authority, RB, Series A, 5.75%, 12/01/34 220 227,834 Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Jewish Hospital & St. Mary s HealthCare, 6.13%, 2/01/37 215 204,175 525,905 Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities & Community Development Authority, RB, Westlake Chemical Corp., Series A-1, 6.50%, 11/01/35 100 99,004 Maryland L1% Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, CNX Marine Terminals, Inc.,				873,582
Indiana Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series B, 6.00%, 1/01/39 335 345,181 Kansas 2.0% Kansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health, 5.50%, 11/15/29 250 250,150 Kentucky 4.3% Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Owensboro Medical Health System, Series A, 6.38%, 6/01/40 100 93,896 Louisville Jefferson County Metropolitan Government Parking Authority, RB, Series A, 5.75%, 12/01/34 220 227,834 Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Jewish Hospital & St. Mary s HealthCare, 6.13%, 2/01/37 215 204,175 525,905 Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities & Community Development Authority, RB, Westlake Chemical Corp., Series A-1, 6.50%, 11/01/35 100 99,004 Maryland L1% Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, CNX Marine Terminals, Inc.,	Indiana 28%			
Kansas 2.0% Kansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health, 5.50%, 11/15/29 250 250,150 Kentucky 4.3% Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Owensboro Medical Health System, Series A, 6.38%, 60/1/40 Louisville & Jefferson County Metropolitan Government Parking Authority, RB, Series A, 5.75%, 12/01/34 220 227,834 Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Jewish Hospital & St. Mary & HealthCare, 215 204,175 6.13%, 2/01/37 215 204,175 525,905 525,905 Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities & 100 99,004 Maryland 1.1% 100 99,004	Indiana Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series B,			
Kansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, 250 250,150 Kentucky 4.3% 250 250,150 Kentucky 4.3% Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, 8 Refunding RB, Owensboro Medical Health System, 100 93,896 Louisville & Jefferson County Metropolitan Government 20 227,834 Parking Authority, RB, Series A, 5.75%, 12/01/34 220 227,834 Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Government, 215 204,175 6.13%, 2/01/37 215 204,175 Sigs.point Louissiana 0.8% Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities & Community Development Authority, RB, Westlake 100 99,004 Maryland 1.1% 100 99,004	6.00%, 1/01/39		335	345,181
Adventist Health, 5.50%, 11/15/29250250, 150Kentucky4.3%Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Owensboro Medical Health System, Series A, 6.38%, 6/01/4010093,896Louisville & Jefferson County Metropolitan Government Parking Authority, RB, Series A, 5.75%, 12/01/34220227,834Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Jewish Hospital & St. Mary s HealthCare, 6.13%, 2/01/37215204,175Setter SA, 2.75%, 12/01/34Louisiana 0.8% Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities & Community Development Authority, RB, Westlake Chemical Corp., Series A-1, 6.50%, 11/01/3510099,004Maryland 1.1% Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, CNX Marine Terminals, Inc.,				
Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Owensboro Medical Health System, Series A, 6.38%, 6/01/40 100 93,896 Louisville & Jefferson County Metropolitan Government Parking Authority, RB, Series A, 5.75%, 12/01/34 220 227,834 Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Jewish Hospital & St. Mary & HealthCare, 6.13%, 2/01/37 215 204,175 Louisiana 0.8%			250	250,150
Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Owensboro Medical Health System, Series A, 6.38%, 6/01/40 100 93,896 Louisville & Jefferson County Metropolitan Government Parking Authority, RB, Series A, 5.75%, 12/01/34 220 227,834 Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Jewish Hospital & St. Mary & HealthCare, 6.13%, 2/01/37 215 204,175 Louisiana 0.8%	Kentucky 43%			
Series A, 6.38%, 6/01/40 100 93,896 Louisville & Jefferson County Metropolitan Government 220 227,834 Parking Authority, RB, Series A, 5.75%, 12/01/34 220 227,834 Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Government, 215 204,175 Refunding RB, Jewish Hospital & St. Mary s HealthCare, 525,905 525,905 Louisiana 0.8% 100 99,004 Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities & Community Development Authority, RB, Westlake Chemical Corp., Series A-1, 6.50%, 11/01/35 100 99,004 Maryland 1.1% Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, CNX Marine Terminals, Inc., 100 99,004	Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority,			
Parking Authority, RB, Series A, 5.75%, 12/01/34 220 227,834 Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Jewish Hospital & St. Mary s HealthCare, 6.13%, 2/01/37 215 204,175 525,905 Louisiana 0.8% Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities & Community Development Authority, RB, Westlake Chemical Corp., Series A-1, 6.50%, 11/01/35 100 99,004 Maryland 1.1% Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, CNX Marine Terminals, Inc.,	Series A, 6.38%, 6/01/40		100	93,896
Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Jewish Hospital & St. Mary s HealthCare, 6.13%, 2/01/37 215 204,175 525,905 Louisiana 0.8% Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities & Community Development Authority, RB, Westlake Chemical Corp., Series A-1, 6.50%, 11/01/35 100 99,004 Maryland 1.1% Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, CNX Marine Terminals, Inc.,			220	227,834
6.13%, 2/01/37 215 204,175 525,905 Louisiana 0.8% Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities & Community Development Authority, RB, Westlake Chemical Corp., Series A-1, 6.50%, 11/01/35 Maryland 1.1% Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, CNX Marine Terminals, Inc.,	Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Government,			
Louisiana 0.8% Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities & Community Development Authority, RB, Westlake Chemical Corp., Series A-1, 6.50%, 11/01/35 100 99,004 Maryland 1.1% Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, CNX Marine Terminals, Inc.,			215	204,175
Louisiana 0.8% Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities & Community Development Authority, RB, Westlake Chemical Corp., Series A-1, 6.50%, 11/01/35 100 99,004 Maryland 1.1% Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, CNX Marine Terminals, Inc.,				525 905
Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities & Community Development Authority, RB, Westlake Chemical Corp., Series A-1, 6.50%, 11/01/35 100 99,004 Maryland 1.1% Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, CNX Marine Terminals, Inc.,				525,705
Chemical Corp., Series A-1, 6.50%, 11/01/35 100 99,004 Maryland 1.1% Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, CNX Marine Terminals, Inc.,				
Maryland 1.1% Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, CNX Marine Terminals, Inc.,			100	99.004
Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, CNX Marine Terminals, Inc.,			100	<u>,,,,,,</u>
5.75%, 9/01/25 134,972	5.75%, 9/01/25		145	134 972

Massachusetts 7.3%		
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, Refunding		
RB, Trustees of Deerfield Academy, 5.00%, 10/01/40	125	125,657
Massachusetts HFA, HRB, Series B, AMT, 5.50%, 6/01/41	185	169,253
Massachusetts HFA, Refunding HRB, Series F, AMT,		
5.70%, 6/01/40	250	237,375
Massachusetts HFA, Refunding RB, Series C, AMT,	100	105 500
5.35%, 12/01/42	120	107,722
Massachusetts State College Building Authority, RB,	250	255.067
Series A, 5.50%, 5/01/39	250	255,967
		895,974
Michigan 7.2%		
Kalamazoo Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB,		
Bronson Methodist Hospital, 5.50%, 5/15/36	290	268,740
Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB,	_,.	200,710
Facilities Program, Series I, 6.00%, 10/15/38	250	258,057
Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan,		
Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital,		
8.25%, 9/01/39	325	361,891
		888,688
Nebraska 0.3%		
Lancaster County Hospital Authority No. 1, RB,		
Immanuel Obligation Group, 5.63%, 1/01/40	45	41,994
	10	11,771
Nevada 5.7%		
City of Las Vegas Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, Performing		
Arts Center, 6.00%, 4/01/34	250	260,953
County of Clark Nevada, RB, Series B, 5.75%, 7/01/42	440	434,306
		695,259

See Notes to Financial Statements.

20

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2011

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Income Trust (RFA) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
New Jersey 6.4%		
New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB:		
New Jersey American Water Co., Inc. Project,		
Series A, AMT, 5.70%, 10/01/39	\$	175 \$ 167,979
School Facilities Construction, Series AA,		
5.50%, 12/15/29		250 256,557
New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency,		165 161.010
RB, S/F Housing, Series CC, 5.25%, 10/01/29		165 161,910
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB,		100 100 105
Transportation System, Series A, 5.88%, 12/15/38		190 198,185
		784,631
		764,031
New York 5.0%		
New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, RB,		
Second General Resolution, Series EE,		
5.38%, 6/15/43		55 55,184
New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, Fiscal		
2009, Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/39		250 249,630
New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB,		
Second Priority, Bank of America Tower at One Bryant		
Park Project, 6.38%, 7/15/49		85 85,428
Triborough Bridge & Tunnel Authority, RB, General,		
Series A-2, 5.38%, 11/15/38		225 227,333
		617,575
North Carolina 2.6%		
North Carolina Medical Care Commission, RB, Novant		
Health Obligation, Series A, 4.75%, 11/01/43		395 321,186
	-	55 521,100
Pennsylvania 5.9%		
Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing		
Authority, RB, American Water Co. Project,		
6.20%, 4/01/39		300 308,082
Pennsylvania HFA, Refunding RB, Series 99A, AMT,		
5.15%, 4/01/38		200 186,442
Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, RB, Sub-Series C		
(AGC), 6.25%, 6/01/38		215 226,034
		720,558
T 44.49		
Texas 11.4%		
City of Houston Texas, RB, Senior Lien, Series A, 5 50% 7/01/20		05 05 001
5.50%, 7/01/39		8585,201140146,670
		140,070

Conroe ISD Texas, GO, School Building, Series A, 5.75%, 2/15/35			
Harris County Health Facilities Development Corp.,			
Refunding RB, Memorial Hermann Healthcare System,		250	260 175
Series B, 7.13%, 12/01/31 Lower Colorado River Authority, RB, 5.75%, 5/15/28		250 120	268,475 123,193
North Texas Tollway Authority, RB, System, First Tier,		120	125,195
Series K-1 (AGC), 5.75%, 1/01/38		250	249,985
Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance		200	219,900
Corp., RB, Scott & White Healthcare, 6.00%, 8/15/45		280	279,586
Texas Private Activity Bond Surface Transportation Corp.,			,
RB, Senior Lien, NTE Mobility Partners LLC, North			
Tarrant Express Managed Lanes Project, 6.88%, 12/31/39		250	249,202
			1,402,312
Vincinia 2.207			
Virginia 2.2% Virginia Public School Authority, RB, School Financing,			
6.50%, 12/01/35		250	271,340
0.50%, 12/01/55		250	271,540
West Virginia 1.2%			
West Virginia EDA, Refunding RB, Appalachian Power Co.,			
Amos Project, Series A, 5.38%, 12/01/38 (b)		160	143,558
Total Municipal Bonds 106.7%			13,112,125
Municipal Bonds Transferred to	Par		¥7-1
Tender Option Bond Trusts (c)	(000)		Value
California 21.0%			
California 21.0% California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University			
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/39	\$	300	\$ 302,235
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/39 Grossmont Union High School District, GO, Election of	\$		
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/39 Grossmont Union High School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series B, 5.00%, 8/01/40	\$	300 300	\$ 302,235 271,761
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/39 Grossmont Union High School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series B, 5.00%, 8/01/40 Los Angeles Community College District California, GO,	\$		
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/39 Grossmont Union High School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series B, 5.00%, 8/01/40 Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Election of 2008:	\$	300	271,761
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/39 Grossmont Union High School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series B, 5.00%, 8/01/40 Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Election of 2008: Series A, 6.00%, 8/01/33	\$	300 700	271,761 740,209
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/39 Grossmont Union High School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series B, 5.00%, 8/01/40 Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Election of 2008: Series A, 6.00%, 8/01/33 Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39	\$	300	271,761
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/39 Grossmont Union High School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series B, 5.00%, 8/01/40 Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Election of 2008: Series A, 6.00%, 8/01/33 Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO,	\$	300 700	271,761 740,209 369,084
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/39 Grossmont Union High School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series B, 5.00%, 8/01/40 Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Election of 2008: Series A, 6.00%, 8/01/33 Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO, Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/34	\$	300 700 390	271,761 740,209
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/39 Grossmont Union High School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series B, 5.00%, 8/01/40 Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Election of 2008: Series A, 6.00%, 8/01/33 Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO,	\$	300 700 390	271,761 740,209 369,084
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/39 Grossmont Union High School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series B, 5.00%, 8/01/40 Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Election of 2008: Series A, 6.00%, 8/01/33 Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO, Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/34 San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding	\$	300 700 390 60	271,761 740,209 369,084 55,020
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/39 Grossmont Union High School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series B, 5.00%, 8/01/40 Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Election of 2008: Series A, 6.00%, 8/01/33 Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO, Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/34 San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/39	\$	300 700 390 60 615	271,761 740,209 369,084 55,020 619,781
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/39 Grossmont Union High School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series B, 5.00%, 8/01/40 Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Election of 2008: Series A, 6.00%, 8/01/33 Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO, Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/34 San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/39	\$	300 700 390 60 615	271,761 740,209 369,084 55,020 619,781 222,905
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/39 Grossmont Union High School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series B, 5.00%, 8/01/40 Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Election of 2008: Series A, 6.00%, 8/01/33 Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO, Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/34 San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/39	\$	300 700 390 60 615	271,761 740,209 369,084 55,020 619,781 222,905

	170	-0.,-/0
District of Columbia Water & Sewer Authority, RB,		
Series A, 5.50%, 10/01/39	300	309,217
		513,507
		513,507
Florida 5.7%		513,507
Florida 5.7% Hillsborough County Aviation Authority, RB, Series A, AMT		513,507
Hillsborough County Aviation Authority, RB, Series A, AMT	280	513,507 261,644

Program, Series A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.00%,

9/01/40		
Manatee County Housing Finance Authority, RB, Series A, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 5.90%, 9/01/40	156	162,406
		706,602
Illinois 5.6%		
Illinois Finance Authority, RB, University of Chicago, Series B, 6.25%, 7/01/38	400	438,428
Illinois State Toll Highway Authority, RB, Series B, 5.50%, 1/01/33	250	244,956
,		683,384
		083,384
Nevada 4.3% Clark County Water Reclamation District, GO, Limited Tax, 6.00%, 7/01/38	500	535,115
New Hampshire 1.4%		
New Hampshire Health & Education Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Dartmouth College, 5.25%, 6/01/39	165	168,252
New Jersey 2.4% New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB,		
Transportation System, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 12/15/32	300	292,197
New York 6.6%		
New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, RB: Fiscal 2009, Series A, 5.75%, 6/15/40	240	250,308
Series FF-2, 5.50%, 6/15/40	255	260,572
New York State Dormitory Authority, ERB, Series B, 5.25%, 3/15/38	300	300,768
		811,648
Ohio 1.7%		
County of Allen Ohio, Refunding RB, Catholic Healthcare, Series A, 5.25%, 6/01/38	230	212,741
South Carolina 4.3% South Carolina State Public Service Authority, RB, Santee		
Cooper, Series A, 5.50%, 1/01/38	510	526,815
See Notes to Financial Statements.		
SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT	JANUARY 31, 2011	2

Schedule of Investments (concluded)

BlackRock Investment Quality Municipal Income Trust (RFA) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (c)		Par (000)		Value
Texas 5.6%				
City of San Antonio Texas, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/31	\$	300	\$	306,217
Harris County Cultural Education Facilities Finance	φ	300	φ	500,217
Corp., RB, Hospital, Texas Children s Hospital Project, 5.50%, 10/01/39		400		383,832
				690,049
Virginia 1.1%				
Fairfax County IDA Virginia, Refunding RB, Health Care, Inova Health System, Series A, 5.50%, 5/15/35		130		129,723
Wisconsin 1.8%				
Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority,				
Refunding RB, Froedtert & Community Health, Inc., 5.25%, 4/01/39		240		220,788
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 65.7%				8,071,816
Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$21,450,787) 172.4%				21,183,941
Short-Term Securities	s	hares		
FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.15% (d)(e)		158,350		158,350
Total Short-Term Securities (Cost \$158,350) 1.3%				158,350
Total Investments (Cost \$21,609,137*) 173.7%				21,342,291
Other Assets Less Liabilities 0.6% Liability for Trust Certificates, Including Interest				80,587
Expense and Fees Payable (37.1)%				(4,560,842)
Preferred Shares, at Redemption Value (37.2)%				(4,575,222)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%			\$	12,286,814

* The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments as of January 31, 2011, as computed for federal income tax purposes, were as follows:

Aggregate cost	\$	17,112,500
	_	
Gross unrealized appreciation	\$	396,737
Gross unrealized depreciation		(723,763)
Net unrealized depreciation	\$	(327,026)

(a) When-issued security. Unsettled when-issued transactions were as follows:

Counterparty	Value	-	ealized reciation
Morgan Stanley Capital Services, Inc.	\$ 117,830	\$	1,139

(b) Variable rate security. Rate shown is as of report date.

(c) Securities represent bonds transferred to a TOB trust in exchange for which the Trust acquired residual interest certificates. These securities serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs.

(d) Investments in companies considered to be an affiliate of the Trust during the period, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, were as follows:

Affiliate	Shares Held at July 31, 2010	Net Activity	Shares Held at January 31, 2011	Ine	come
FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund	353,621	(195,271)	158,350	\$	178

(e) Represents the current yield as of report date.

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments, which are as follows:

Level 1 price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets and liabilities

Level 2 other observable inputs (including,but not limited to: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Trust s own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments)

The inputs or methodologies used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Trust s policy regarding valuation of investments and other significant accounting policies, please refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following table summarizes the inputs used as of January 31, 2011 in determining the fair valuation of the Trust s investments:

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	\$ 21,183,941		\$ 21,183,941
\$ 158,350			158,350
\$ 158,350	\$ 21,183,941		\$ 21,342,291
	\$ 158,350	\$ 21,183,941 \$ 158,350	\$ 21,183,941 \$ 158,350

See above Schedule of Investments for values in each state or political subdivision.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

22

1

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2011

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust (BBF) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000		Value
Arizona 0.7% Pima County IDA, Refunding IDRB, Tucson Electric Power, 5.75%, 9/01/29	\$	625	\$ 609,994
California19.9%Bay Area Toll Authority, Refunding RB, San Francisco Bay			
Area, Series F-1, 5.63%, 4/01/44		1,355	1,373,916
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University		1,555	1,575,910
of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/38		1,315	1,325,625
California Health Facilities Financing Authority,		1,010	1,020,020
Refunding RB, Series A:			
Catholic Healthcare West, 6.00%, 7/01/39		890	878,003
St. Joseph Health System, 5.75%, 7/01/39		1,375	1,350,374
California State Public Works Board, RB:			
Department of General Services, Buildings 8 & 9,			
Series A, 6.25%, 4/01/34		2,075	2,087,948
Various Capital Projects, Sub-Series I-1, 6.38%,			
1/01/34		645	656,159
Grossmont Union High School District, GO, Election			
of 2008, Series B, 4.75%, 8/01/45		2,020	1,681,791
Los Angeles Department of Airports, Refunding RB,			
Senior, Los Angeles International Airport, Series A,			
5.00%, 5/15/35		2,725	2,519,344
Los Angeles Department of Water & Power, RB, Power			
System, Sub-Series A-1, 5.25%, 7/01/38		1,750	1,727,372
San Diego Regional Building Authority California, RB,			
County Operations Center & Annex, Series A,			
5.38%, 2/01/36		1,600	1,547,696
State of California, GO, Various Purpose, 6.00%,			
3/01/33		1,275	 1,297,236
			16,445,464
			, , ,
Colorado 3.4%			
City & County of Denver Colorado, Refunding RB,			
Series A, 5.25%, 11/15/36		1,810	1,750,288
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB,			
Catholic Healthcare, Series A, 5.50%, 7/01/34		1,095	1,069,585
			 2,819,873
Delaware 1.4%			
County of Sussex Delaware, RB, NRG Energy, Inc.,		1 220	1 180 602
Indian River Project, 6.00%, 10/01/40		1,230	1,180,603

District of Columbia 1.2%

District of Columbia Water & Sewer Authority, RB, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/29	1,000	1,022,080
Florida 4.1%		
County of St. John s Florida, RB, CAB (AMBAC), 5.35%,		
6/01/30 (a)	2,235	723,492
Escambia County Health Facilities Authority, RB, Florida		
Health Care Facility Loan, VHA Program (AMBAC),		
5.95%, 7/01/20	599	606,863
Village Center Community Development District, RB,		
Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 1/01/32	1,795	1,439,267
Watergrass Community Development District, Special		
Assessment Bonds, Series B, 5.13%, 11/01/14	1,000	613,530
		3,383,152
		, ,

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
Georgia 4.9%		
Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority, RB, Third		
Series, 5.00%, 7/01/39	\$ 885	\$ 858,839
Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia, Refunding RB,		
Project One, Sub-Series D, 6.00%, 1/01/23	2,900	3,146,877
		4,005,716
Chicago Park District, GO, Harbor Facilities, Series C,		
5.25%, 1/01/40	1,340	1,289,830
County of Cook Illinois, GO, Refunding, Series A, 5.25%,		
11/15/33	1,685	1,609,445
Illinois Finance Authority, RB:		
Navistar International, Recovery Zone, 6.50%,		
10/15/40	510	507,476
Rush University Medical Center Obligation Group,	1.600	4 600 004
Series B, 7.25%, 11/01/30	1,600	1,699,904
Illinois Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Series A:	1.000	1.041.014
Northwestern Memorial Hospital, 6.00%, 8/15/39	1,900	1,941,914
OSF Healthcare System, 6.00%, 5/15/39 Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB:	1,000	912,770
5.50%, 6/01/23	690	653,271
6.00%, 6/01/28	195	186,096
State of Illinois, RB, Build Illinois, Series B, 5.25%,	175	100,090
6/15/34	625	585,056
		,
		9,385,762
Indiana 2.8%		
Indiana Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series B,		
6.00%, 1/01/39	2,210	2,277,162
Kansas 1.9%		
Kansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB,		
Adventist Health, 5.50%, 11/15/29	1,600	1,600,960
Kentucky 4.3%		
	660	619,714

Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Owensboro Medical Health System,		
Series A, 6.38%, 6/01/40 Louisville & Jefferson County Metropolitan Government Parking Authority, RB, Series A, 5.75%, 12/01/34	1,500	1,553,415
Louisville/Jefferson County Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Jewish Hospital & St. Mary s HealthCare, 6.13%, 2/01/37	1,450	1,376,992
		3,550,121
Louisiana 0.9% Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities &		
Community Development Authority, RB, Westlake Chemical Corp., Series A-1, 6.50%, 11/01/35	715	707,879
Maryland 1.1%		
Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, CNX Marine Terminals, Inc., 5.75%, 9/01/25	985	916,877
See Notes to Financial Statements.		
SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT	JANUARY 31, 2011	23

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust (BBF) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
Massachusetts 2.2%		
Massachusetts Health & Educational Facilities Authority, RB, Tufts University, 5.38%, 8/15/38	\$ 1,000) \$ 1,020,140
Massachusetts State College Building Authority, RB,	\$ 1,000	φ 1,020,140
Series A, 5.50%, 5/01/39	750	767,903
		1,788,043
Michigan 4.8%		
Kalamazoo Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB,		
Bronson Methodist Hospital, 5.50%, 5/15/36	1,990) 1,844,113
Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB, Facilities Program, Series I, 6.00%, 10/15/38	1,000	1,032,230
Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan,	1,000	1,052,250
Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital, 8.25%,		
9/01/39	995	1,107,943
		3,984,286
Nebraska 0.4%		
Lancaster County Hospital Authority No. 1, RB, Immanuel Obligation Group, 5.63%, 1/01/40	315	293,961
	510	293,901
Nevada 7.4%		
City of Las Vegas Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, Performing		
Arts Center, 6.00%, 4/01/34	1,600	1,670,096
County of Clark Nevada, GO, Refunding, Transportation,	1.400	1 25 4 550
Series A, 5.00%, 12/01/29 County of Clark Nevada, RB, Series B, 5.75%, 7/01/42	1,400 3,075	
County of Clark Nevada, KD, Series D, 5.75%, Hol142	5,072	5,055,209
		6,080,077
New Jersey 3.0%		
New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency,		
RB, S/F Housing, Series CC, 5.25%, 10/01/29	1,165	1,143,179
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB,	1 205	1 250 790
Transportation System, Series A, 5.88%, 12/15/38	1,295	1,350,789
		2,493,968
New York 4.9%		
New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority,		
RB, Second General Resolution, Series EE, 5.38%,		
6/15/43	385	386,290
New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, Fiscal 2009, Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/39	1,500) 1,497,780
2007, 56165 5-5, 5.2570, 1/15/57	1,500	1,477,700

New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB,		
Second Priority, Bank of America Tower at One Bryant Park Project, 6.38%, 7/15/49	605	608,043
Triborough Bridge & Tunnel Authority, RB, General,		
Series A-2, 5.38%, 11/15/38	1,510	1,525,659
		4,017,772
North Carolina 2.7%		
North Carolina Medical Care Commission, RB, Novant		
Health Obligation, Series A, 4.75%, 11/01/43	2,735	2,223,911
Pennsylvania 4.0%		
Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing		
Authority, RB, American Water Co. Project, 6.20%, 4/01/39	500	513,470
Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, RB, Sub-Series B,	500	515,470
5.25%, 6/01/39	2,945	2,787,207
	_	3,300,677
Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
Puerto Rico 3.1%		
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB, First		
Sub-Series A, 5.75%, 8/01/37	\$ 2,605 \$	2,535,941
Texas 13.1%		
City of Houston Texas, RB, Senior Lien, Series A, 5.50%, 7/01/39	595	506 404
Conroe ISD Texas, GO, School Building, Series A, 5.75%,		596,404
2/15/35	890	932,400
Harris County Health Facilities Development Corp., Refunding RB, Memorial Hermann Healthcare System,		
Series B, 7.13%, 12/01/31	500	536,950
Lower Colorado River Authority, RB:		
5.75%, 5/15/28 5.50%, 5/15/33	810 2,000	831,554 2,015,700
North Texas Tollway Authority, RB, System, First Tier,	2,000	2,015,700
Series K-1 (AGC), 5.75%, 1/01/38	1,000	999,940
Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., RB, Scott & White Healthcare, 6.00%, 8/15/45	1,905	1,902,180
Texas Private Activity Bond Surface Transportation Corp.,	1,705	1,702,100
RB, Senior Lien, NTE Mobility Partners LLC, North		
Tarrant Express Managed Lanes Project, 6.88%, 12/31/39	2,980	2,970,494
		2,970,191
		10,785,622
Utah 1.3%		
City of Riverton Utah, RB, IHC Health Services Inc., 5.00%, 8/15/41		
	1 205	1 103 045
	1,205	1,103,045
Virginia 1.3%	1,205	1,103,045
	1,205	1,103,045

West Virginia 1.2% West Virginia EDA, Refunding RB, Appalachian Power Co., Amos Project, Series A, 5.38%, 12/01/38 (b)	1,095	982,478
Total Municipal Bonds 107.4%		88,580,784
Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (c)		
California 20.6%		
California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University		
of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/39	1,995	2,009,863
Grossmont Union High School District, GO, Election of		
2008, Series B, 5.00%, 8/01/40	2,400	2,174,088
Los Angeles Community College District California,		
Election of 2008, GO:		
Series A, 6.00%, 8/01/33	3,898	4,124,022
Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39	2,630	2,488,953
Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO,		
Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/34	400	366,800
San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority,		
Refunding RB, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/39	4,214	4,247,766
University of California, RB, Series O, 5.75%, 5/15/34	1,500	1,592,175

See Notes to Financial Statements.

24

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2011

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust (BBF) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (c)	Par (000)	Value
District of Columbia 4.0% District of Columbia, RB, Series A, 5.50%, 12/01/30	\$ 1,395	\$ 1,461,458
District of Columbia Water & Sewer Authority, RB, Series A, 5.50%, 10/01/39	1,799	1,855,307
		3,316,765
Florida 8.9% Jacksonville Economic Development Commission, RB, Mayo Clinic Jacksonville, Series B, 5.50%, 11/15/36	7,490	7,347,690
Illinois 3.7%	.,	.,
Illinois Finance Authority, RB, University of Chicago, Series B, 6.25%, 7/01/38	2,800	3,068,996
Nevada 5.7% Clark County Water Reclamation District, GO:		
Limited Tax, 6.00%, 7/01/38 Series B, 5.50%, 7/01/29	2,500 1,994	2,675,575 2,049,712
		4,725,287
New Hampshire 1.4%		
New Hampshire Health & Education Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Dartmouth College, 5.25%, 6/01/39	1,094	1,116,582
New Jersey 2.4% New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation System Series A (AGM) 5.00%		
Transportation System, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 12/15/32	2,000	1,947,980
New York 6.7% New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, RB:		
Fiscal 2009, Series A, 5.75%, 6/15/40	1,410	1,470,558
Series FF-2, 5.50%, 6/15/40 New York State Dormitory Authority, ERB, Series B,	1,994	2,038,596
5.25%, 3/15/38	2,000	2,005,120
		5,514,274
Ohio 1.7% County of Allen Ohio, Refunding RB, Catholic Healthcare,		
Series A, 5.25%, 6/01/38	1,560	1,442,938

South Carolina 2.2%

South Carolina State Public Service Authority, RB, Santee Cooper, Series A, 5.50%, 1/01/38	1,755	1,812,862
Texas 5.7% City of San Antonio Texas, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/31 Harris County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp.,	2,025	2,066,963
RB, Hospital, Texas Children s Hospital Project, 5.50%, 10/01/39	2,750	2,638,845
		4,705,808
Virginia 1.1% Fairfax County IDA Virginia, Refunding RB, Health Care, Inova Health System, Series A, 5.50%, 5/15/35	899	898,084
Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (c)	Par (000)	Value
Wisconsin 1.9% Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Froedtert & Community Health Inc., 5.25%, 4/01/39	\$ 1,680 \$	\$ 1,545,512
Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 66.0%		54,446,445
Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$145,924,711) 173.4%		143,027,229
Short-Term Securities	Shares	
FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.15% (d)(e)	2,947,082	2,947,082
Total Short-Term Securities(Cost \$2,947,082)3.6%		2,947,082
Total Investments (Cost \$148,871,793*)177.0%Other Assets Less Liabilities1.7%Liability for Trust Certificates, Including Interest		145,974,311 1,375,159
Expense and Fees Payable (37.2)% Preferred Shares, at Redemption Value (41.5)%		(30,641,414) (34,252,489)

Net Assets 100.0%

* The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments as of January 31, 2011, as computed for federal income tax purposes, were as follows:

82,455,567

\$

Aggregate cost	\$ 118,757,538
Gross unrealized appreciation	\$ 2,090,581
Gross unrealized depreciation	 (5,490,846)
Net unrealized depreciation	\$ (3,400,265)

(a) Represents a zero-coupon bond. Rate shown reflects the current yield as of report date.

- (c) Securities represent bonds transferred to a TOB in exchange for which the Trust acquired residual interest certificates. These securities serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs.
- (d) Investments in companies considered to be an affiliate of the Trust during the period, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, were as follows:

Affiliate	Shares at July 31, 2010	Net Activity	Shares at January 31, 2011	Income
FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund	4,963,552	(2,016,470)	2,947,082	\$ 1,621

(e) Represents the current yield as of report date.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2011

25

⁽b) Variable rate security. Rate shown is as of report date.

Schedule of Investments (concluded)

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust (BBF)

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments, which are as follows:

Level 1 price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets and liabilities

Level 2 other observable inputs (including,but not limited to: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Trust s own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments)

The inputs or methodologies used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Trust s policy regarding valuation of investments and other significant accounting policies, please refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following table summarizes the inputs used as of January 31, 2011 in determining the fair valuation of the Trust s investments:

			Level	
Valuation Inputs	Level 1	Level 2	3	Total
Assets:				
Investments in Securities:				
Long-Term Investments ¹		\$ 143,027,229		\$ 143,027,229
Short-Term Securities	\$ 2,947,082			2,947,082
Total	\$ 2,947,082	\$ 143,027,229		\$ 145,974,311

¹ See above Schedule of Investments for values in each state or political subdivision.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

26

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JANUARY 31, 2011

Schedule of Investments January 31, 2011 (Unaudited)

BlackRock New Jersey Investment Quality Municipal Trust Inc. (RNJ) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
New Jersey 136.4%		
Corporate 16.1%		
New Jersey EDA, RB, AMT (a):		
Continental Airlines Inc. Project, 7.00%, 11/15/30	\$ 92	5 \$ 905,50
Disposal, Waste Management of New Jersey,		
Series A, Mandatory Put Bonds, 5.30%,		510.11
6/01/15	50	0 518,110
New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, New Jersey American	17	5 167.070
Water Co., Inc. Project, Series A, AMT, 5.70%, 10/01/39 Salem County Utilities Authority, Refunding RB,	17	167,979
Atlantic City Electric, Series A, 4.88%, 6/01/29	30	0 279,807
Addite City Licente, Series A, 4.00%, 6/01/29	50	
		1,871,397
County/City/Special District/School District 17.2%		
City of Margate City New Jersey, GO, Improvement,		
5.00%, 1/15/27	12	124,317
City of Perth Amboy New Jersey, GO, CAB (AGM),		
5.16%, 7/01/34 (b)	10	0 86,984
Essex County Improvement Authority, RB, Newark		
Project, Series A (AGM):		
5.00%, 11/01/20	19	, ,
6.00%, 11/01/30	27	275,371
Essex County Improvement Authority, Refunding RB,		
Project Consolidation (NPFGC):	30	0 200 007
5.50%, 10/01/28 5.50%, 10/01/29	26	,
Hudson County Improvement Authority, RB:	20	203,78.
CAB, Series A-1 (NPFGC), 4.51%, 12/15/32 (c)	1.00	0 235,890
Harrison Parking Facility Project, Series C (AGC),	1,00	255,070
5.38%, 1/01/44	34	0 341,833
Middlesex County Improvement Authority, RB,		,
Subordinate, Heldrich Center Hotel, Series B,		
6.25%, 1/01/37 (d)(e)	20	0 27,686
State of New Jersey, COP, Equipment Lease Purchase,		
Series A, 5.13%, 6/15/24	15	150,660
		2,003,050

New Jersey EDA, School Facilities Construction, RB:		
Series CC-2, 5.00%, 12/15/31	200	194,186
Series CC-2, 5.00%, 12/15/32	200	192,272
Series S, 5.00%, 9/01/36	200	189,232
Series Y, 5.00%, 9/01/33	400	381,252
	100	97,166

New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, RB, Montclair State University, Series J, 5.25%, 7/01/38		
New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority,		
Refunding RB:		
Georgian Court University, Series D, 5.00%, 7/01/33	100	86,214
New Jersey Institute of Technology, Series H,		
5.00%, 7/01/31	80	76,162
Rowan University, Series B (AGC), 5.00%, 7/01/24	255	263,280
University of Medicine & Dentistry, Series B, 7.50%,		
12/01/32	175	189,410
New Jersey Higher Education Assistance Authority,		
Refunding RB, Series 1A:		
5.00%, 12/01/25	65	61,413
5.00%, 12/01/26	50	46,774
5.13%, 12/01/27	200	188,674
5.25%, 12/01/32	300	278,745
Rutgers-State University of New Jersey, Refunding RB,		
Series F, 5.00%, 5/01/39	500	490,320
		2,735,100

Municipal Bonds	Par (000		Value
New Jersey (continued)			
Health 16.6%			
Burlington County Bridge Commission, Refunding RB,			
The Evergreens Project, 5.63%, 1/01/38	\$	150	\$ 118,230
New Jersey EDA, RB, First Mortgage, Lions Gate Project,			
Series A:		(0	50.150
5.75%, 1/01/25		60	53,150
5.88%, 1/01/37		110	90,771
New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB:			
First Mortgage, Winchester, Series A, 5.80%, 11/01/31		500	464.130
Seabrook Village Inc. Facility, 5.25%, 11/15/26		140	118,097
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, RB:		140	110,097
Hospital Asset Transformation Program, Series A,			
5.25%, 10/01/38		250	232,118
Meridian Health, Series I (AGC), 5.00%, 7/01/38		100	93,226
Virtua Health (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/38		150	151,299
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority,			,
Refunding RB:			
CAB, St. Barnabas Health, Series B, 5.90%,			
7/01/30 (c)		500	111,285
CAB, St. Barnabas Health, Series B, 5.68%,			
7/01/36 (c)		840	107,100
CAB, St. Barnabas Health, Series B, 5.74%,			
7/01/37 (c)		900	104,481
Robert Wood Johnson, 5.00%, 7/01/31		90	83,993
St. Barnabas Health Care System, Series A, 5.00%,			
7/01/29		250	 208,645
			1,936,525

New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance		
Agency, RB:		
S/F Housing, Series CC, 5.00%, 10/01/34	210	199,914
S/F Housing, Series X, AMT, 4.85%, 4/01/16	500	505,570
S/F Housing, Series X, AMT, 5.05%, 4/01/18	215	220,736
Series A, 4.75%, 11/01/29	140	129,654
Series AA, 6.38%, 10/01/28	235	248,867
Series AA, 6.50%, 10/01/38	160	172,232
Newark Housing Authority, RB, South Ward Police		
Facility (AGC), 6.75%, 12/01/38	200	219,782
	-	
		1,696,755
		1,696,755
State 21.0%		1,696,755
State 21.0% New Jersey EDA, RB:		1,696,755
		1,696,755
New Jersey EDA, RB:	300	1,696,755
New Jersey EDA, RB: Motor Vehicle Surcharge, Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%,	300	
New Jersey EDA, RB: Motor Vehicle Surcharge, Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 7/01/24	300 570	
New Jersey EDA, RB: Motor Vehicle Surcharge, Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 7/01/24 Motor Vehicle Surcharge, Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%,		302,289
New Jersey EDA, RB: Motor Vehicle Surcharge, Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 7/01/24 Motor Vehicle Surcharge, Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 7/01/25		302,289