EASTMAN KODAK CO Form DEF 14A March 30, 2007

SCHEDULE 14A

(Rule 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant [x] Filed by a Party other than the Registrant [_]
Check the appropriate box: [_] Preliminary Proxy Statement [_] Confidential, For Use of the
Eastman Kodak Company
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)
(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)
Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box): [x] No fee required. [_] Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.
1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies: 3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (see forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined): 4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
5) Total fee paid:
[_] Fee paid previously with preliminary materials: [_] Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing fo which
the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or
schedule and the date of its filing.
1) Amount previously paid:

2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:	_
3) Filing Party:	_
4) Date Filed:	-

NOTICE OF 2007 ANNUAL MEETING AND PROXY STATEMENT

Date of Notice April 2, 2007

EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY

343 STATE STREET ROCHESTER, NEW YORK 14650

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROXY STATEMENT

1 Notice of the 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders

QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

- 2 Questions & Answers
- 7 Householding of Disclosure Documents
- 7 Audio Webcast of Annual Meeting

PROPOSALS

8 Management Proposals

9 Shareholder Proposal

9 Item 3
Shareholder Proposal

Requesting A Monetary Limit on Executive Compensation

BOARD STRUCTURE AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

- 11 Introduction
- 11 Corporate Governance Guidelines
- 11 Business Conduct Guide and Directors

 Code of Conduct
- 11 Board Independence
- 12 Audit Committee Financial Qualifications
- 12 Review, Approval or Ratification of Transactions with Related Persons
- 13 Board of Directors
- 15 Committees of the Board
- 17 Committee Membership
- 18 Executive Compensation and Development Committee
- 19 Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation
- 19 Governance Practices
- 21 Director Compensation

BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP

- 26 Beneficial Security Ownership of Directors, Nominees and Executive Officers

COMMITTEE REPORTS

- 28 Report of the Audit Committee
- 29 Report of the Corporate Responsibility and Governance Committee
- 31 Report of the Executive Compensation and Development Committee

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

- 32 Summary/Introduction
- 32 Compensation Philosophy
- 33 Total Direct Compensation
- 39 CEO Evaluation and Compensation
- 40 Other Compensation Elements
- 41 Severance and Change-in-Control

Arrangements

42 Additional Executive Compensation Principles

COMPENSATION OF NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

- 43 Summary Compensation Table
- 47 Employment Contracts and Arrangements
- 50 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table
- 54 Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table
- 57 Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table
- 58 Pension Benefits Table
- 61 Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Table
- 63 Termination and Change-in-Control Arrangements
- 67 Regular Severance Payments Table
- 68 Severance Benefits Based on Termination
 Due to Disability Table
- 69 Severance Benefits Based on Termination
 Due to Death Table
- 69 Severance Benefits Based on Termination by Mr. Perez with Good Reason Table
- 72 Change-In-Control Severance Payments Table

REPORTING COMPLIANCE

73 Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

EXHIBITS

- 74 Exhibit I ☐ Director Independence Standards
- 75 Exhibit II ☐ Directo Qualification Standards
- 75 Exhibit III ☐ Director Selection Process
- 76 Exhibit IV
 Audit and Non-Audit Services
 Pre-Approval Policy

ANNUAL MEETING INFORMATION

78 2007 Annual Meeting Directions and Parking Information

CORPORATE DIRECTORY

80 Board of Directors and Corporate Officers

Edgar Filing: EASTMAN KODAK CO - Form DEF 14A		

NOTICE OF 2007 ANNUAL MEETING AND PROXY STATEMENT

Dear Shareholder:

You are cordially invited to attend our Annual Meeting of Shareholders on Wednesday, May 9, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. at the Morris J. Wosk Centre for Dialogue at Simon Fraser University, 580 W. Hastings Street, Vancouver, BC, Canada. You will be asked to vote on management and shareholder proposals. This Proxy Statement and the enclosed proxy card are being mailed to you on or about April 2, 2007.

Whether or not you attend the Annual Meeting, we hope you will vote as soon as possible. You may vote over the internet, as well as by telephone or by mailing a proxy card or voting instruction card. Please review the instructions on your proxy or voting instruction card regarding each of these voting options. We encourage you to use the internet, as it is the most cost-effective way to vote.

We look forward to seeing you at the Annual Meeting and would like to take this opportunity to remind you that your vote is very important.

Sincerely,

3.

Antonio M. Perez Chairman of the Board

NOTICE OF THE 2007 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Eastman Kodak Company will be held on Wednesday, May 9, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. at the Morris J. Wosk Centre for Dialogue at Simon Fraser University, 580 W. Hastings Street, Vancouver, BC, Canada. The following proposals will be voted on at the Annual Meeting:

1. Election of the following directors for a term of one year or until their successors are duly elected and qualified:

Michael J. Hawley, William H. Hernandez, Hector de J. Ruiz and Laura

D∏Andrea Tyson.

2. Ratification of the Audit Committee \s selection of Pricewaterhouse Coopers

LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm.

Shareholder proposal requesting a monetary limit on executive

compensation.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR items 1 and 2 and AGAINST item 3.

If you were a shareholder of record at the close of business on March 19, 2007, you are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting.

If you have any questions about the Annual Meeting, please contact: Coordinator, Shareholder Services, Eastman Kodak Company, 343 State Street, Rochester, NY 14650-0205, (585) 724-5492.

The Annual Meeting will be accessible by the handicapped. If you require special assistance, call the Coordinator, Shareholder Services.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Laurence L. Hickey Secretary and Assistant General Counsel Eastman Kodak Company April 2, 2007

1

n Questions & Answers

Q.

Why am I receiving these proxy materials?

Our Board of Directors (the Board) is providing these proxy materials to you in connection with Kodak[s 2007 annual meeting of Shareholders (the Annual Meeting). As a shareholder of record, you are invited to attend the Annual Meeting and are entitled and requested to vote on the items of business described in this Proxy Statement. The approximate date on which this Proxy Statement and enclosed proxy card are being mailed to you is April 2, 2007.

Q. A

What am I voting on?

The Board is soliciting your proxy in connection with the Annual Meeting to be held on Wednesday, May 9, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. Pacific Daylight Time at the Morris J. Wosk Centre for Dialogue at Simon Fraser University, 580 W. Hastings Street, Vancouver, BC, Canada, and any adjournment or postponement thereof. You are voting on the following proposals:

1.

Election of the following directors for a term of one year or until their successors are duly elected and qualified:

Michael J. Hawley, William H. Hernandez, Hector de J. Ruiz and Laura $D \square Andrea$ Tyson.

2.

Ratification of the Audit Committee selection of Pricewaterhouse Coopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm.

3.

Shareholder proposal requesting a monetary limit on executive compensation.

Q. What are the voting recommendations of the Board?

A. The Board recommends the following votes:

- FOR each of the director nominees.
- FOR ratification of the Audit Committee□s selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm.
- AGAINST the shareholder proposal.

Q.

What is the difference between holding shares as a shareholder of record and as a beneficial owner?

Most Kodak shareholders hold their shares through a broker or other nominee (beneficial ownership) rather than directly in their own name (shareholder of record). As summarized below, there are some distinctions between shares held of record and those owned beneficially.

Shareholder of Record. If your shares are registered in your name with Kodak\subseteqs transfer agent, Computershare Investor Services, you are considered, with respect to those shares, the shareholder of record, and these proxy materials are being sent directly to you by Kodak. As the shareholder of record, you have the right to grant your voting proxy directly to Kodak or a third party, or to vote in person at the Annual Meeting. Kodak has enclosed or sent a proxy card for you to use.

Beneficial Owner. If your shares are held in a brokerage account or by another nominee, you are considered the beneficial owner of shares held in street name, and these proxy materials are being forwarded to you together with a voting instruction card on behalf of your broker, trustee or nominee. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker, trustee or nominee on how to vote your shares and you are also invited to attend the Annual Meeting. Your broker, trustee or nominee has enclosed or provided voting instructions for you to use in directing the broker, trustee or nominee on how to vote your shares. Since a beneficial owner is not the shareholder of record, you may not vote these shares in person at the Annual Meeting unless you obtain a ∏legal proxy∏ from the broker, trustee or nominee that holds your shares, giving you the right to vote the shares at the Annual Meeting. Your broker has the discretion to vote on routine corporate matters presented in the proxy materials with-out your specific voting instructions, but with respect to any non-routine matter over which the broker does not have discretionary voting power, your shares will not be voted without your specific voting instructions. When the broker does not have discretionary voting power on a particular proposal and does not receive voting instructions from you, the shares that are not voted are referred to as □broker non-votes.

Q. Δ

Will any other matter be voted on?

We are not aware of any other matters you will be asked to vote on at the Annual Meeting. If you have returned your signed proxy card or otherwise given the Company management your proxy, and any other matter is properly brought before the Annual Meeting, Antonio M. Perez and Laurence L. Hickey, acting as your proxies, will vote for you in their discretion. New Jersey law (under which the Company is incorporated) requires that you be given notice of all matters to be voted on, other than procedural matters such as adjournment of the

Q. How do I vote?

A. There are four ways to vote, if you are a shareholder of record:

- By internet at www.investorvote.com. We encourage you to vote this way.
- By toll-free telephone: (800) 652-VOTE (8683).
- By completing and mailing your proxy card.
- By written ballot at the Annual Meeting.

Your shares will be voted as you indicate. If you return your signed proxy card or otherwise give the Company smanagement your proxy, but do not indicate your voting preferences, Antonio M. Perez and Laurence L. Hickey will vote your shares FOR items 1 and 2 and AGAINST item 3. As to any other business that may properly come before the Annual Meeting, Antonio M. Perez and Laurence L. Hickey will vote in accordance with their best judgment, although the Company does not presently know of any other business.

If you are a beneficial owner, please follow the voting instructions sent to you by your broker, trustee or nominee.

• What happens if I do not give specific voting instructions?

If you hold shares in your name, and you sign and return a proxy card without giving specific voting instructions, the proxy holders will vote your shares in the manner recommended by our Board on all matters presented in this Proxy Statement, and as the proxy holders may determine in their discretion with respect to any other matters properly presented for a vote at the Meeting. If you hold your shares through a broker, bank or other nominee, and do not provide your broker with specific voting instructions:

- Your broker will have the authority to exercise discretion to vote your shares with respect to item 1 (election of directors) and item 2 (ratification of auditors) because they involve matters that are considered routine.
- Your broker will not have the authority to exercise discretion to vote your shares with respect to item 3 (the shareholder proposal) because it involves a matter that is considered non-routine.

Ų. Δ.

Δ.

What is the deadline for voting my shares?

If you are a shareholder of record and vote by internet or telephone, your vote must be received by 12:01 a.m., Eastern Time, on May 9, 2007, the morning of the Annual Meeting. If you are a shareholder of record and vote by mail or by written ballot at the Annual Meeting, your vote must be received before the polls close at the Annual Meeting.

If you are a beneficial owner, please follow the voting instructions provided by your broker, trustee or nominee. You may vote your shares in person at the Annual Meeting, only if you provide a legal proxy obtained from your broker, trustee or nominee at the Annual Meeting.

Q.

Who can vote?

To be able to vote your Kodak shares, the records of the Company must show that you held your shares as of the close of business on March 19, 2007, the record date for the Annual Meeting. Each share

of common stock is entitled to one vote.

Q.

Can I change my vote or revoke my proxy?

Yes. If you are a shareholder of record, you can change your vote or revoke your proxy before the Annual Meeting by:

- Entering a timely new vote by internet or telephone;
- Returning a later-dated proxy card; or
- Notifying Laurence L. Hickey, Secretary and Assistant General Counsel.

You may also complete a written ballot at the Annual Meeting.

If you are a beneficial owner, please follow the voting instructions sent to you by your broker, trustee or nominee.

3

O. What vote is required to approve each proposal?

A. The following table describes the voting requirements for each proposal:

Item 1 Election of Directors

The director nominees receiving the greatest number of votes will be elected. This means that, if you do not vote for a particular nominee, or if you withhold authority to vote for a particular nominee when voting your proxy, your vote will not count for or against the for the election of directors, as more fully described on page 29 of this Proxy Statement, in an uncontested election, any director who receives a majority of ∏withhold∏ votes will be required to tender his or her resignation to the Corporate Responsibility and Governance Committee, which will then consider the resignation and make a recommendation to the Board. To be approved by you, this proposal must receive the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting.

Item 2 [Ratification of the Audit Committee]s selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm

Item 3 [Shareholder Proposal requesting a monetary limit on executive compensation

To be approved by you, the shareholder proposal must receive the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting.

Is my vote confidential?

Α.

Yes. Only the inspectors of election and certain individuals who help with processing and counting the votes have access to your vote. Directors and employees of the Company may see your vote only if the Company needs to defend itself against a claim or if there is a proxy solicitation by someone other than the Company. Therefore, please do not write any comments on your proxy card.

Q.

Who will count the vote?

Computershare Investor Services will count the vote. Its representatives will be the inspectors of election.

Q.

What shares are covered by my proxy card?

The shares covered by your proxy card represent all the shares of Kodak stock you own, including those in the Eastman Kodak Shares Program and the Employee Stock Purchase Plan, and those credited to your account in the Eastman Kodak Employees Savings and Investment Plan and the Kodak Employees Stock Ownership Plan. The trustees and custodians of these plans will vote your shares in each plan as you direct. You have one vote for each share of Kodak common stock you own on the record date with respect to all business at the Annual Meeting.

Q.

What does it mean if I get more than one proxy card?

It means your shares are in more than one account. You should vote the shares on all your proxy cards. To provide better shareholder service, we encourage you to have all your shares registered in the same name and address. You may do this by contacting our transfer agent, Computershare Investor Services at (800) 253-6057.

Q.

Who can attend the Annual Meeting?

If the records of the Company show that you held your shares as of the close of business on March 19, 2007, the record date for the Annual Meeting, you can attend the Annual Meeting. Seating, however, is limited. Attendance at the Annual Meeting will be on a first-come, first-served basis, upon arrival at the Annual Meeting. Photographs will be taken and videotaping will be conducted at the Annual Meeting. We may use these images in publications. If you attend the Annual Meeting, we assume we have your permission to use your image.

4

Α.

What do I need to do to attend the Annual Meeting?

To attend the Annual Meeting, please follow these instructions:

- If you vote by using the enclosed proxy card, check the appropriate box on the card.
- If you vote by internet or telephone, follow the instructions provided for attendance.
- If you are a beneficial owner, bring proof of your ownership with you to the Annual Meeting.
- To enter the Annual Meeting, bring the Admission Ticket attached to your proxy card or printed from the internet.
- If you do not have an Admission Ticket, go to the Special Registration desk upon arrival at the Annual Meeting.

Seating at the Annual Meeting will be on a first-come, first-served basis, upon arrival at the Annual Meeting.

Q

Can I bring a guest?

Yes. If you plan to bring a guest to the Annual Meeting, check the appropriate box on the enclosed proxy card or follow the instructions on the internet or telephone. When you go through the registration area at the Annual Meeting, be sure your guest is with you.

Q.

What is the quorum requirement of the Annual Meeting?

A.

A majority of the outstanding shares on May 9, 2007 constitutes a quorum for voting at the Annual Meeting. If you vote, your shares will be part of the quorum. Abstentions and broker non-votes, other than where stated, will be counted in determining the quorum, but neither will be counted as votes cast. On March 19, 2007, there were 287,559,384 shares outstanding.

Q. A.

Can I nominate someone to the Board?

Our by-laws provide that any shareholder may nominate a person for election to the Board so long as the shareholder follows the procedure outlined in the by-laws as summarized below. This is the procedure to be followed for direct nominations, as opposed to recommendations of nominees for consideration by our Corporate Responsibility and Governance Committee.

The complete description of the procedure for shareholder nomination of director candidates is contained in our by-laws. A copy of the full text of the by-law provision containing this procedure may be obtained by writing to our Secretary at our principal executive offices. Our by-laws can also be accessed at www.kodak.com/go/governance. For purposes of summarizing this procedure, we have assumed: 1) the date of the upcoming Annual Meeting is within 30 days of the date of the annual meeting for the previous year; and 2) if the size of the Board is to be increased, that both the name of the director nominee and the size of the increased Board are publicly disclosed at least 120 days prior to the first anniversary of the previous year s annual meeting. Based on these assumptions, a shareholder desiring to nominate one or more candidates for election at the next annual meeting must deliver written notice of such nomination to our Secretary, at our principal office, not less than 90 days nor more than 120 days prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year∏s annual meeting.

The written notice to our Secretary must contain the following information with respect to each nominee: 1) the proposing shareholder sname and address; 2) the number of shares of the Company owned of record and beneficially by the proposing shareholder; 3) the name of the person to be nominated; 4) the number of shares of the Company owned of record and beneficially by the nominee; 5) a description of all relationships, arrangements and understandings between the shareholder and the nominee and any other person or persons (naming such person or persons) pursuant to which the nomination is to be made by the shareholder; 6) such other information regarding the nominee as would have been required to be included in the proxy statement filed pursuant to the proxy rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) had the nominee been nominated, or intended to be nominated, by the Board, such as the nominee sname, age and business experience; and 7) the nominee signed consent to serve as a director if so elected.

Persons who are nominated in accordance with this procedure will be eligible for election as directors at the annual meeting of the Company[s shareholders.

5

Q. What is the deadline to propose actions for consideration at the 2008 annual meeting?

For a shareholder proposal to be considered for inclusion in Kodak sproxy statement for the 2008 annual meeting, the Secretary of Kodak must receive the written proposal at our principal executive offices no later than December 4, 2007. Such proposals must comply with SEC regulations under Rule 14a-8 regarding the inclusion of shareholder proposals in company-sponsored proxy materials. Proposals should be addressed

to:

Secretary
Eastman Kodak Company
343 State Street
Rochester, NY 14650-0218

For a shareholder proposal that is not intended to be included in Kodak sproxy statement under Rule 14a-8, the shareholder must deliver a proxy statement and form of proxy to holders of a sufficient number of shares of Kodak common stock to approve that proposal, provide the information required by the by-laws of Kodak and give timely notice to the Secretary of Kodak in accordance with the by-laws of Kodak, which, in general, require that the notice be received by the Secretary of Kodak:

- not earlier than the close of business on January 9, 2008, and
- not later than the close of business on February 11, 2008.

If the date of the shareholder meeting is moved more than 30 days before or 30 days after the anniversary of the 2007 Annual Meeting, then notice of a shareholder proposal that is not intended to be included in Kodak proxy statement under Rule 14a-8 must be received no earlier than the close of business 120 days prior to the meeting and no later than the close of business on the later of the following two dates:

- 90 days prior to the meeting, and
- 10 days after public announcement of the meeting date.

You may contact our Secretary at our principal executive offices for a copy of the relevant by-law provisions regarding the requirements for making shareholder proposals. Our by-laws can also be accessed at **www.kodak.com/go/governance**.

Q. A.

How much did this proxy solicitation cost?

The Company hired Georgeson Shareholder Communications, Inc. to assist in the distribution of proxy materials and solicitation of votes. The estimated fee is \$18,500 plus reasonable out-of-pocket expenses. In addition, the Company will reimburse brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses for forwarding proxy and solicitation materials to shareholders. Directors, officers and employees of the Company may solicit proxies and voting instructions in person, by telephone or other means of communication. These directors, officers and employees will not be additionally compensated but may be reimbursed for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses in connection with these solicitations.

Q.

What other information about Kodak is available?

The following information is available:

- Annual Report on Form 10-K
- Transcript of the Annual Meeting
- Plan descriptions, annual reports and trust agreements and contracts for the pension plans of the Company and its subsidiaries

- Diversity Report; Form EEO-1
- Health, Safety and Environment Annual Report on Kodak
 ⊓s website at www.kodak.com/go/HSE
- Corporate Responsibility Principles on Kodak
 s website at www.kodak.com/US/en/corp/principles
- Corporate Governance Guidelines on Kodak swebsite at www.kodak.com/go/governance
- Business Conduct Guide on Kodak
 s website at

 www.kodak.com/US/en/corp/principles/businessConduct.shtml
- Eastman Kodak Company by-laws on Kodak swebsite at www.kodak.com/go/governance
- Charters of the Board
 ⊆s Committees (Audit Committee, Corporate Responsibility and Governance
 Committee, Executive Committee, Executive Compensation and Development Committee, and Finance
 Committee) on Kodak
 ⊆s www.kodak.com/go/governance
- Directors Code of Conduct on Kodak swebsite at www.kodak.com/go/governance
- Kodak Board of Directors Policy on Recoupment of Annual Incentive Bonuses in the Event of a Restatement Due to Fraud or Misconduct at www.kodak.com/go/governance

6

You may request printed copies of any of these documents by contacting:

Coordinator, Shareholder Services Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street Rochester, NY 14650-0205 (585) 724-5492

The address of our principal executive office is:

Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street Rochester, NY 14650

HOUSEHOLDING OF DISCLOSURE DOCUMENTS

The SEC has adopted rules regarding the delivery of disclosure documents to shareholders sharing the same address. This rule benefits both you and Kodak. It reduces the volume of duplicate information received at your household and helps Kodak reduce expenses. Kodak expects to follow this rule any time it distributes annual reports, proxy statements, information statements and prospectuses. As a result, we are sending only one copy of this Proxy Statement and Kodak Annual Report to multiple shareholders sharing an address, unless we receive contrary instructions from one or more of these shareholders. Each shareholder will continue to receive a separate proxy card or voting instruction card.

If your household received a single set of disclosure documents for this year, but you would prefer to receive your own copy, please contact Kodak stransfer agent, Computershare Investor Services by calling their toll-free number, (800) 253-6057, or by mail at P.O. Box 43078, Providence, RI 02940-3078.

If you would like to receive your own set of Kodak\subseteq s disclosure documents in future years, follow the instructions described below. Similarly, if you share an address with another Kodak shareholder and together both of you would like to receive only a single set of Kodak\subseteq s disclosure documents, follow these instructions:

- If your Kodak shares are registered in your own name, please contact Kodak stransfer agent, Computershare Investor Services and inform them of your request by phone: (800) 253-6057, or by mail: P.O. Box 43078, Providence, RI 02940-3078.
- If a broker or other nominee holds your Kodak shares, please contact ADP and inform them of your request by phone: (800) 542-1061, or by mail: Householding Department, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717. Be sure to include your name, the name of your brokerage firm and your account number.

AUDIO WEBCAST OF ANNUAL MEETING AVAILABLE ON THE INTERNET

Kodak□s Annual Meeting will be webcast live. If you have internet access, you can listen to the webcast by going to Kodak□s Investor Center webpage at

www.kodak.com/US/en/corp/investorCenter/investorsCenterHome.shtml.

This webcast is listen only. You will not be able to ask questions.

The Annual Meeting audio webcast will remain available on our website for a short period of time after the Annual Meeting.

Information included on our website, other than our Proxy Statement and proxy card, is not part of the proxy solicitation materials.

7

n Proposals

MANAGEMENT PROPOSALS

ITEM 1 [] Election of Directors

Kodak by-laws require us to have at least nine directors but no more than 18. The number of directors is set by the Board and is currently 11. Mr. Perez is the only director who is an employee of the Company. We are in the process of declassifying our Board.

- Class I directors who stood for election at the 2006 annual meeting were elected for two-year terms ending in 2008.
- Class II directors are standing for re-election at the 2007 Annual Meeting for one-year terms ending in 2008.
- Class III directors, whose terms end in 2008, will continue to serve out their terms in full.

Beginning with the 2008 annual meeting, all directors will stand for election to one-year terms.

There are four Class II directors whose terms expire at the 2007 Annual Meeting and who are standing for re-election. Martha Layne Collins, an existing Class I director, is not standing for re-election due to her pending retirement, in accordance with the Company□s mandatory retirement policy. Durk I. Jager, an existing Class III director, will be stepping down as a director as of the date of the 2007 Annual Meeting.

Nominees for election as Class II directors are:

Michael J. Hawley William H. Hernandez

Hector de J. Ruiz Laura D∏Andrea Tyson

These nominees agree to serve a one-year term. Information about them is provided on pages 13 -14 of this Proxy Statement.

If a nominee is unable to stand for election, the Board may reduce the number of directors or choose a substitute. If the Board chooses a substitute, the shares represented by proxies will be voted for the substitute. If a director retires, resigns, dies or is unable to serve for any reason, the Board may reduce the number of directors or elect a new director to fill the vacancy.

The director nominees receiving the greatest number of votes will be elected. Under the Company s majority voting policy for the election of directors, however, any director who receives a majority of withhold votes will be required to tender his or her resignation to the Corporate Responsibility and Governance Committee, which will then consider the resignation and make a recommendation to the Board. More information about the Company s majority voting policy can be found on page 29 of this Proxy Statement.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the election of these directors.

ITEM 2 [Ratification of the Audit Committee]s Selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as Our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP has been the Company independent accountants for many years. The Audit Committee has selected PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company independent registered public accounting firm to serve until the 2008 annual meeting.

Representatives of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP are expected to attend the Annual Meeting to respond to questions and, if they desire, make a statement.

The ratification of the Audit Committee selection of Pricewaterhouse Coopers LLP requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by the holders of shares entitled to vote.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR ratification of the Audit Committee selection of Pricewaterhouse Coopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm.

8

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL

ITEM 3 | Shareholder Proposal Requesting A Monetary Limit on Executive Compensation

Robert D. Morse, owner of over \$2,000 in Company stock, submitted the following proposal:

□**PROPOSALI**, Robert D. Morse, of 212 Highland Avenue, Moorestown, NJ 08057-2717, owner of \$2000.00 or more in Eastman Kodak Company stock, propose that the remuneration to any of the top five persons named in Management be limited to \$500,000.00 per year, plus any nominal perks. This program is to be applied after any existing programs now in force for options, bonuses, SAR□s, etc., have been completed, and severance contracts should be discontinued, as they are also a part of remuneration programs.

This proposal does not affect any other personnel in the company and their remuneration programs.

REASONS: The limit of one half million dollars in remuneration is far above that needed to enjoy an elegant life-style.

Throughout Corporate history, only a few persons whom have created a corporation now remain in Management. Some descendents have inherited top positions, while most have attained them through recommendations, ability, or influence, not necessarily providing increased earnings for a company. These come from the product or services, its public acceptance, advertising and the workforce.

Due to an unfair removal of the word: [Against] since about Year 1975, and ONLY in the [Vote for Directors] column, Management nominees for that position are rarely defeated, as receiving only as little as one vote guarantees election, and in turn, Directors re-elect management and reward them. The term was devised and incorporated in 6 or 8 states of high company registrations as a state and corporate [Rule]. [Right of Dissent] is denied, and shareowners may not vote [No] or [Against] and be counted as such. This unfairness has yet to be corrected by the Commission as requested.

The Ford Motor Company reinstated [Against] several years ago, showing the American Way of proper corporate proxies presentations. Exxon-Mobil has reverted to a majority vote for election of Directors., a fine decision for shareowners!

Thank you, and please vote ∏YES∏ for this Proposal. It is for YOUR benefit!∏

BOARD OF DIRECTORS POSITION

Our Board recommends a vote AGAINST this proposal because limiting executive officer compensation to \$500,000 per year would not be in the best interests of the Company or you for the following reasons:

Hinders Ability to Attract and Retain Leadership. Attracting and retaining qualified senior leadership and motivating them to contribute to the success of Kodak depends in part on our ability to remain competitive with companies against which we compete for executive talent. The proposal would place an arbitrary annual limit on the amount of compensation that can be paid to our senior leadership. Because the market for executive talent has valued the services of certain senior executives in excess of this limit, the proposal would place the Company at a competitive disadvantage and severely hinder its ability to recruit and retain talented executive leadership. Our Board believes that it is important that executive compensation be market competitive. Our executive compensation philosophy provides that total direct compensation, consisting of annual pay, annual variable pay and long-term variable equity incentives, should be at a competitive median level.

Limits Compensation Committee | S Flexibility. The Executive Compensation and Development Committee (Compensation Committee) of our Board is composed solely of independent directors and retains an independent external compensation consultant to advise it on executive compensation matters. The Compensation Committee exercises its business judgment by regularly considering its approach to the compensation paid to the Company senior executives. For example, in 2005, the Compensation Committee, in light of the Company extraordinary digital transformation, requested its independent external consultant to analyze the market competitiveness of each element of compensation paid to the Company executive officers. In accordance with the consultant recommendation, the Compensation Committee has taken steps to close the competitive deficits in long-term compensation for our senior executives while at the same time recognizing the need to constrain cash compensation. The proposal would impair the Compensation Committee ability to exercise this sort of business judgment to account for changes in our Company s needs, competitive market conditions and best practices.

Compensation Paid to Senior Executives is Appropriate and Competitive. We believe that the compensation paid to our senior leadership is appropriate and market competitive. Our executive compensation philosophy specifically provides that total direct compensation should be at a competitive median level. In addition, our Compensation Committee has put a number of measures in place to ensure that the compensation paid to our senior officers is not excessive. For example, the Compensation Committee regularly meets with its own external independent compensation consultant to discuss both the reasonableness and competitiveness of the compensation paid to our senior leadership. In addition, the total direct compensation of our senior officers is annually compared to national surveys using companies with gross revenues similar to Kodak\[]s.

Hinders Compensation Committee Duties Our Compensation Committee is required by the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) rules and the Compensation Committee scharter to review and approve corporate goals and objectives relevant to CEO compensation, to evaluate the CEO sper-

formance in light of those goals and objectives and to determine and approve the CEO\(\sigma\) s compensation level based on this evaluation. The proposal\(\sigma\) s arbitrary cap would hinder the Compensation Committee\(\sigma\) s ability to fulfill its duties.

Contrary to Company Executive Compensation Principles Dur Executive Compensation Principles link closely the compensation of our senior officers with the achievement of annual and long-term performance goals. By imposing an arbitrary constraint on the compensation of our senior leadership, the proposal would undermine the performance-based nature of our executive compensation program and would limit the Compensation Committee sability to design effective performance goals to retain and incent our senior leadership.

Proposal is Ambiguous and Unworkable. The proposal has fundamental flaws that make it unworkable. The proposal seeks to require that the Company limit all annual □remuneration□ to \$500,000 per year but fails to adequately define the critical term □remuneration□ or to specify how remuneration is to be valued. Likewise, the proposal provides no guidance as to how and when certain forms of non-salary compensation, such as stock options and restricted stock units, are to be valued for purposes of the \$500,000 annual limit on remuneration. Furthermore, the proposal provides insufficient guidance regarding the individuals to whom it is intended to apply. The proposal indicates that it applies to □the top five persons named in Management□ but it □does not affect any other personnel of the company.□ The proposal, however, does not provide any instruction as to how the Company is to determine who is a □top five person named in Management.□

For the reasons described above, the Board of Directors recommends a vote AGAINST this proposal.

10

n Board Structure and Corporate Governance

INTRODUCTION

Ethical business conduct and good corporate governance are not new practices at Kodak. The reputation of our Company and our brand has been built by more than a century of ethical business conduct. The Company and the Board have long practiced good corporate governance and believe it to be a prerequisite to providing sustained, long-term value to our shareholders. We continually monitor developments in the area of corporate governance and lead in developing and implementing best practices. This is a fundamental goal of our Board.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE GUIDELINES

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines reflect the principles by which the Company operates. From time to time, the Board reviews and revises our Corporate Governance Guidelines in response to regulatory requirements and evolving best practices. In February 2004, our Board restated our Corporate Governance Guidelines to reflect changes in the NYSE corporate governance listing standards. Early this year, the Board made several changes to the guidelines to enhance its governance practices. The changes are described on page 30 of this Proxy Statement. A copy of the Corporate Governance Guidelines is published on our website at www.kodak.com/go/governance.

BUSINESS CONDUCT GUIDE AND DIRECTORS CODE OF CONDUCT

All of our employees, including the CEO, the CFO, the Controller, all other senior financial officers and all other executive officers, are required to comply with our long-standing code of conduct, the <code>[Business Conduct Guide.]</code> The Business Conduct Guide requires our employees to maintain the highest ethical standards in the conduct of company business so that they and the Company are always above reproach. In 2004, our Board adopted a <code>Directors[]</code> Code of Conduct. Both our Business Conduct Guide and our <code>Directors[]</code> Code of Conduct are published on

our website at **www.kodak. com/go/governance**. We will post on this website any amendments to, or waivers of, the Business Conduct Guide or Directors Code of Conduct. Our directors have begun the annual practice of certifying in writing that they understand and are in compliance with the Directors Code of Conduct.

BOARD INDEPENDENCE

For a number of years, a substantial majority of our Board has been comprised of independent directors. In February 2004, the Board adopted Director Independence Standards to aid it in determining whether a director is independent. These Director Independence Standards, which are in compliance with the director independence requirements of the NYSE\(\text{S}\) s corporate governance listing standards, are attached as Exhibit I to this Proxy Statement.

The Board has determined that each of the following directors has no material relationship with the Company (either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company) and is independent under the Company Director Independence Standards and, therefore, is independent within the meaning of the NYSE corporate governance listing standards and the rules of the SEC: Richard S. Braddock, Martha Layne Collins, Timothy M. Donahue, Michael J. Hawley, William H. Hernandez, Durk I. Jager, Debra L. Lee, Delano E. Lewis, Paul H. O□Neill, Hector de J. Ruiz and Laura D□Andrea Tyson. The remaining director, Antonio M. Perez, Chairman of the Board and CEO, is an employee of the Company and, therefore, is not independent.

In the course of the Board s determination regarding the independence of each non-employee director, it considered any transactions, relationships and arrangements as required by the Company Independence Standards. In particular, with respect to the most recent completed fiscal year, the Board considered:

- the annual amount of sales to the Company by the company where Mr. Donahue served as an executive officer, and determined that the amount of sales did not exceed the greater of \$1,000,000 or 2% of the consolidated gross revenues of that company and, therefore, were immaterial;
- the annual amount of purchases from the Company by the company where Mr. Hernandez serves as an executive officer, and determined that the amount of sales did not exceed the greater of \$1,000,000 or 2% of the consolidated gross revenues of that company and, therefore, were immaterial; and
- the amount of the Company\[\]s charitable contributions to a charitable organization where an immediate family member of Mr. Braddock\[\]serves as an executive officer, and determined that the Company\[\]s contributions were less than the greater of \$1,000,000 or 2% of the charitable organization\[\]s annual gross revenues and, therefore, were immaterial.

11

AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL QUALIFICATIONS

The Board has determined that all members of its Audit Committee (William H. Hernandez, Durk I. Jager, Debra L. Lee and Delano E. Lewis) are independent and are financially literate as required by the NYSE, and that William H. Hernandez and Durk I. Jager possess the qualifications of an Audit Committee Financial Expert, as defined by SEC rules, and have accounting or related financial management expertise, as required by the NYSE.

REVIEW, APPROVAL OR RATIFICATION OF TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PERSONS

In February 2007, our Board, based on the recommendation of the Governance Committee, adopted written policies and procedures relating to approval or ratification of [interested transactions] with [related parties.] Under these policies and procedures, which are posted on our website at **www.kodak.com/go/governance**, our Governance Committee is to review the material facts of all interested transactions that require the Governance Committee[] approval. The Governance Committee will approve or disapprove of the interested transactions, subject to certain exceptions, by taking into account, among other factors it deems appropriate, whether the interested transaction is on terms no less favorable than terms generally available to an unaffiliated third-party under the same or similar circumstances and the extent of the related person[]s interest in the transaction. No

director may participate in any discussion or approval of an interested transaction for which he or she is a related party. If an interested transaction will be ongoing, the Governance Committee may establish guidelines for our management to follow in its ongoing dealings with the related party and then at least annually must review and assess ongoing relationships with the related party.

Under the policies and procedures, an <code>[interested</code> transaction<code>[]</code> is any transaction, arrangement or relationship or series of similar transactions, arrangements or relationships (including any indebtedness or guarantee of indebtedness) in which the aggregate amount involved will or may be expected to exceed \$100,000 in any calendar year, the Company is a participant, and any related party has or will have a direct or indirect interest (other than solely as a result of being a director or a less than 10% beneficial owner of another entity). A <code>[related party[]</code> is any person who is or was since the beginning of the last fiscal year for which we have filed a Form 10-K and proxy statement, an executive officer, director or nominee for election as a director (even if they presently do not serve in that role), any greater than 5% beneficial owner of the Company<code>[]</code>s common stock, or any immediate family member of any of the foregoing. Immediate family member includes a person<code>[]</code>s spouse, parents, stepparents, children, stepchildren, siblings, mothers- and fathers-in-law, sons- and daughters-in-law, brothers- and sisters-in-law, and anyone residing in such person<code>[]</code>s home (other than a tenant or employee).

The Committee has reviewed and pre-approved certain types of interested transactions described below. In addition, our Board has delegated to the chair of the Governance Committee the authority to pre-approve or ratify (as applicable) any interested transaction with a related party in which the aggregate amount involved is expected to be less than \$500,000. Pre-approved interested transactions include:

- Employment of executive officers either if the related compensation is required to be reported in our proxy statement or if the executive officer is not an immediate family member of another executive officer or a director of our Company and the related compensation would be reported in our proxy statement if the executive officer was a <code>named</code> executive officer and our Compensation Committee approved (or recommended that the Board approve) such compensation.
- Any compensation paid to a director if the compensation is required to be reported in our proxy statement.
- Any transaction with another company with which a related person
 is only relationship is as an employee
 (other than an executive officer), director or beneficial owner of less than 10% of that company
 is shares, if
 the aggregate amount involved does not exceed the greater of \$1,000,000 or 2% of that company
 is total
 annual revenues.
- Any charitable contribution, grant or endowment by the Company to a charitable organization, foundation
 or university with which a related person
 □s only relationship is as an employee (other than an executive
 officer) or a director, if the aggregate amount involved does not exceed the greater of \$1,000,000 or 2% of
 the charitable organization
 □s total annual receipts.
- Any transaction where the related person[s interest arises solely from the ownership of the Company[s common stock and all holders of our common stock received the same benefit on a pro rata basis (e.g., dividends).
- Any transaction involving a related party where the rates or charges involved are determined by competitive bids.
- Any transaction with a related party involving the rendering of services as a common or contract carrier, or public utility, at rates or charges fixed in conformity with law or governmental authority.
- Any transaction with a related party involving services as a bank depositary of funds, transfer agent, registrar, trustee under a trust indenture or similar services.

Using these policies and procedures, the Governance Committee reviewed two interested transactions with related parties that occurred during 2006. In both of these cases, the Committee determined that the related person did not have a material interest in the transaction and, therefore, there are no related party transactions that need to be disclosed in this Proxy Statement under the relevant SEC rules.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

NOMINEES TO SERVE A ONE-YEAR TERM EXPIRING AT THE 2008 ANNUAL MEETING (CLASS II DIRECTORS)

MICHAEL J. HAWLEY Director since December 2004

Dr. Hawley, 45, is the former Director of Special Projects at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Prior to assuming these duties in 2001, Dr. Hawley served as the Alex W. Dreyfoos Assistant Professor of Media Technology at the MIT Media Lab. From 1986 to 1995, he held a number of positions at MIT, including Assistant Professor, Media Laboratory; Assistant Professor, EECS; and Research Assistant, Media Laboratory. Dr. Hawley is the founder of Friendly Planet, a non-profit organization working to provide better educational opportunities for children in developing regions of the world. He is also a co-founder of Things That Think, a ground-breaking research program that examines the way digital media infuses itself into everyday objects. Dr. Hawley graduated from Yale University with a BS degree in Computer Science and Music and holds a Ph.D. degree from MIT. He is also a Director of Color Kinetics, a public company pioneering solid state lighting.

WILLIAM H. HERNANDEZ Director since February 2003

Mr. Hernandez, 58, is Senior Vice President, Finance, and CFO of PPG Industries, Inc., a diversified manufacturer of protective and decorative coatings, flat glass, fabricated glass products, continuous strand fiberglass, and industrial and specialty chemicals for a variety of industries. Prior to assuming his current duties in 1995, Mr. Hernandez served as PPG S Corporate Controller from 1990 to 1994 and as Vice President and Controller in 1994. From 1974 until 1990, Mr. Hernandez held a number of positions at Borg-Warner Corporation, including Assistant Controller, Chemicals; Controller, Chemicals; Business Director, ABS Polymers; Assistant Corporate Controller; Vice President, Finance; and CFO, Borg-Warner Automotive, Inc. Earlier in his career, he was a financial analyst for Ford Motor Company. Mr. Hernandez received a BS degree from the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania and an MBA from Harvard Business School. Mr. Hernandez is a Certified Management Accountant. Mr. Hernandez served as Director of Pentair, Inc. from July 2001 to November 2003.

HECTOR DE J. RUIZ Director since January 2001

Dr. Ruiz, 61, is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. Dr. Ruiz joined Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. in January 2000 as President and Chief Operating Officer, and was named Chief Executive Officer in April 2002. He was also appointed as Chairman of the Board in April 2004. Previously, Dr. Ruiz served as President of Motorola\[Semiconductor Products Sector from 1997 to 2000. In his 22-year career with the technology firm, Dr. Ruiz held a variety of executive positions in the United States and overseas. He also worked at Texas Instruments in the company\[Semiconductor Products Sector from 1997 to 2000. In his 22-year career with the technology firm, Dr. Ruiz held a variety of executive positions in the United States and overseas. He also worked at Texas Instruments in the company\[Semiconductor Industry States and manufacturing operations. Born in Piedras Negras, Mexico, Dr. Ruiz earned a BA and an MA in Electrical Engineering from the University of Texas, Austin. He earned his doctorate in Electrical Engineering from Rice University in 1973. Dr. Ruiz is passionate about the role of technology in education and empowering the underprivileged. At the 2004 World Economic Forum in Davos, he announced Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.\[South South

LAURA D□**ANDREA TYSON** Director since May 1997

Dr. Tyson, 59, has been a professor at the Walter A. Haas School of Business at the University of California, Berkeley, since January 2007. From January 2002 to December 2006, she was the Dean of London Business School. She was formerly the Dean of the Walter A. Haas School of Business at the University of California, Berkeley, a position she held between July 1998 and December 2001. Previously, she was Professor and holder of the Class of 1939 Chair in Economics and Business Administration at the University of California, Berkeley, a position she held from January 1997 to July 1998. Prior to this position, Dr. Tyson served in the first Clinton Administration as Chairman of the President S National Economic Council and 16th Chairman of the White House Council of Economic Advisers. Prior to joining the Administra-

tion, Dr. Tyson was Professor of Economics and Business Administration, Director of the Institute of International Studies, and Research Director of the Berkeley Roundtable on the International Economy at the University of California, Berkeley. Dr. Tyson holds a BA degree from Smith College and a Ph.D. degree in Economics from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Dr. Tyson is the author of numerous articles on economics, economic policy and international competition. She is a Director of Morgan Stanley and AT&T.

DIRECTORS CONTINUING TO SERVE A THREE-YEAR TERM EXPIRING AT THE 2008 ANNUAL MEETING (CLASS III DIRECTORS)

RICHARD S. BRADDOCK Director since May 1987

Mr. Braddock, 65, is Chairman of MidOcean Partners, a private equity firm, a position he has held since December 2003. He is also Chairman of FreshDirect, an internet grocer. He is the former Chairman of priceline.com, a position he held from July 2000 to April 2004. He was CEO of priceline.com from July 1998 to June 2000 and from May 2001 to December 2002. He was Chairman of True North Communications from July 1997 to January 1999. He was a principal of Clayton, Dubilier & Rice from June 1994 until September 1995. From January 1993 until October 1993, he was CEO of Medco Containment Services, Inc. From January 1990 through October 1992, he served as President and COO of Citicorp and its principal subsidiary, Citibank, N.A. Prior to that, he served for approximately five years as Sector Executive in charge of Citicorp Is Individual Bank, one of the financial service company three core businesses. Mr. Braddock graduated from Dartmouth College with a degree in history, and received his MBA degree from the Harvard School of Business Administration. He is a director of Cadbury Schweppes PLC and Marriott International, Inc.

DEBRA L. LEE Director since September 1999

Ms. Lee, 52, is Chairman and CEO of BET Holdings, Inc. (BET), a media and entertainment company and a division of Viacom, Inc. She joined BET in 1986 as Vice President and General Counsel. In 1992, she was elected Executive Vice President of Legal Affairs and named publisher of BET magazine division, in addition to serving as General Counsel. She was placed in charge of strategic business development in 1995. Ms. Lee holds a BA degree from Brown University and MA and JD degrees from Harvard University. She is affiliated with several professional and civic organizations. Ms. Lee is a director of WGL Holdings, Inc., Marriott International, Inc. and Revlon, Inc.

DIRECTORS CONTINUING TO SERVE A ONE-YEAR TERM EXPIRING AT THE 2008 ANNUAL MEETING (CLASS I DIRECTORS)

TIMOTHY M. DONAHUE Director since October 2001

Mr. Donahue, 58, is the retired Executive Chairman of Sprint Nextel Corporation, where he served since the merger of Sprint Corporation and Nextel Communications, Inc. on August 12, 2005. Prior to this, he was the President and CEO of Nextel Communications, Inc., positions he held since August 1999. He began his career with Nextel in February 1996 as President and COO. Mr. Donahue has served as Chairman of the Cellular Telecommunications and Internet Association, the industry sargest and most respected association. In 2003, Nextel was named by *Forbes* magazine as one of Americas best-managed companies. Before joining Nextel, he served as northeast regional president for AT&T Wireless Services Operations from 1991 to 1996. Mr. Donahue started his career with AT&T Wireless Services (formerly McCaw Cellular Communications) in 1986 as President for McCaw Cellular paging division. In 1989, he was named McCaw Cellular President for the U.S. central region. He is a graduate of John Carroll University with a BA in English Literature. Mr. Donahue is a director of NVR, Inc. and Tyco Healthcare.

DELANO E. LEWIS Director since July 2001

Mr. Lewis, 68, is a Senior Fellow at New Mexico State University. Mr. Lewis is the former U.S. Ambassador to South Africa, a position he held from December 1999 to July 2001. Prior to his ambassadorship, Mr. Lewis was President and CEO of National Public Radio Corporation, a position he held from January 1994 until August 1998. He was President and CEO of C&P Telephone Company, a subsidiary of Bell Atlantic Corporation, from 1988 to 1993, after having served as Vice President since 1983. Mr. Lewis held several positions in the public sector prior to joining C&P Telephone Company. Mr. Lewis received a BA from University of Kansas and a JD from Washburn School of Law. Mr. Lewis previously served as a director of Eastman Kodak Company from May 1998 to December 1999. He is a director of Colgate-Palmolive Co.

ANTONIO M. PEREZ Director since October 2004

Mr. Perez, 61, joined Kodak as President and Chief Operating Officer in April 2003, and was elected to the Company∏s Board of Directors in October 2004. In May 2005, he was elected Chief Executive Officer and on December 31, 2005, he became Chairman of the Company Board of Directors. Mr. Perez joined Kodak after a twenty-five year career at Hewlett-Packard Company, where he was a corporate Vice President and member of the company∏s Executive Council. From August 1998 to October 1999, Mr. Perez served as President of HP∏s Consumer Business, with responsibility for Digital Media Solutions and corporate marketing. Prior to that assignment, Mr. Perez served for five years as President and CEO of HP\s Inkjet Imaging Business. In his career, Mr. Perez held a variety of positions in research and development, sales, manufacturing, marketing and management both in Europe and the United States. Just prior to joining Kodak, Mr. Perez served as an independent consultant for large investment firms, providing counsel on the effect of technology shifts on financial markets. From June 2000 to December 2001, Mr. Perez was President and CEO of Gemplus International. He is a member of the Business Council as well as the Business Roundtable. He is a member of the International Consultative Conference on the Future Economic Development of Guanadona Province. China, an advisory body for the Governor of Guangdong, China. He is also a member of the Board of Trustees of the George Eastman House. A native of Spain, Mr. Perez studied electronic engineering, marketing and business in Spain and France. Mr. Perez was a member of the Board of Directors of Freescale Semiconductor from December 2004 to December 2006. Mr. Perez served as Vice Chair of the Diversity Best Practices Initiative in 2006 and 2007 and will become the Initiative S Chairman in the fourth quarter of 2007.

COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD

The Board has the five committees described below. The Board has determined that each of the members of the Audit Committee (William H. Hernandez, Durk I. Jager, Debra L. Lee and Delano E. Lewis), the Corporate Responsibility and Governance Committee (Richard S. Braddock, Michael J. Hawley, Hector de J. Ruiz and Laura D\[Andrea Tyson\], the Executive Compensation and Development Committee (Martha Layne Collins, Timothy M. Donahue, Hector de J. Ruiz and Laura D\[Andrea Tyson\]) and the Finance Committee (Richard S. Braddock, Martha Layne Collins, Timothy M. Donahue and Michael J. Hawley) has no material relationship with the Company (either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company) and is independent under the Company\[Boxed{G}\] Director Independence Standards and, therefore, independent within the meaning of the NYSE\[Boxed{G}\]s corporate governance listing standards and, in the case of the Audit Committee, the rules of the SEC.

Audit Committee [] 11 meetings in 2006

The Audit Committee assists the Board in overseeing: the integrity of the Company s financial reports; the Company compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; the independent registered public accounting firm s (the independent accountants) selection, qualifications, performance and independence; the Company systems of disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting; and the performance of the Company internal auditors. A detailed list of the Audit Committee functions is included in its charter, which can be accessed at www.kodak.com/go/governance.

In the past year, the Audit Committee:

- discussed the independence of the independent accountants:
- discussed the quality of the accounting principles used to prepare the Company []s financial statements;
- reviewed the Company\(\sigma \) periodic financial statements and SEC filings;
- oversaw the Company s compliance with requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and SEC rules;
- retained the independent accountants;
- reviewed and approved the audit and non-audit budgets and activities of both the independent accountants and the internal audit staff of the Company;

- received and analyzed reports from the Company\(\sigma\) independent accountants and internal audit staff;
- received and analyzed reports from the Company\(\partial\)s Chief Compliance Officer;
- met separately and privately with the independent accountants and with the Company Director, Corporate Auditing, to ensure that the scope of their activities had not been restricted and that adequate responses to their recommendations had been received;
- reviewed the progress of the Company internal controls assessment;
- conducted and reviewed the results of an Audit Committee evaluation;
- reviewed the fees and activities of the Company\(\sigma\) other significant service providers;
- reviewed the results of the PCAOB report on the 2005 limited inspection of the independent accountants;
- reviewed the results of the Company semployee affirmation and training process relating to the Company susiness Conduct Guide:
- oversaw management s evaluation and remediation of the material weakness in controls surrounding accounting for income taxes; and
- monitored the Company s legal and regulatory compliance, compliance with the Company Business Conduct Guide and activity regarding the Company s Business Conduct Help Line.

15

The Corporate Responsibility and Governance Committee assists the Board in: overseeing the Company corporate governance structure; identifying and recommending individuals to the Board for nomination as directors; performing an annual review of the Board sperformance; and overseeing the Company activities in the areas of environmental and social responsibility, charitable contributions, diversity and equal employment opportunity. A detailed list of the Corporate Responsibility and Governance Committee functions is included in its charter, which can be accessed at www.kodak. com/go/governance.

In the past year, the Corporate Responsibility and Governance Committee:

- recommended to the Board a 2006 Board business plan and monitored the Board

 g performance against this plan;
- discussed best practices and evolving developments in the area of corporate governance;
- continued its search for potential candidates to serve as members of the Board;
- met with the Company

 S Chief Diversity Officer to review the Company

 s progress against the Diversity Advisory Panel

 2004 recommendations;
- prepared and conducted an evaluation of the Corporate Responsibility and Governance Committee sown performance, discussed the results of the evaluation, and prepared an action plan from these discussions to further enhance the Corporate Responsibility and Governance Committee sperformance;

- recommended to the Board amendments to its Corporate Governance Guidelines;

- monitored the Board\(\sigma \) progress against its action plan from its 2005 evaluation; and
- oversaw the Board\(\sigma\) annual performance review.

The Corporate Responsibility and Governance Committee is also referred to as the <code>[Governance Committee]</code> in this Proxy Statement.

Executive Compensation and Development Committee 11 meetings in 2006

The Executive Compensation and Development Committee assists the Board in: overseeing the Company sexecutive compensation strategy; overseeing the administration of its executive compensation and equity-based compensation plans; reviewing and approving the compensation of the Company SCEO; overseeing the compensation of the Company executive officers; reviewing the Company succession plans for its CEO, President, if applicable, and other key positions; and overseeing the Company activities in the areas of leadership and executive development. A detailed list of the Executive Compensation and Development Committee successed at www.kodak.com/go/governance.

In the past year, the Executive Compensation and Development Committee:

- oversaw the Company succession plan for its CEO and Chairman, and determined the compensation arrangements for its Chairman and CEO, Antonio M. Perez;
- reviewed the executive compensation strategy, goals and principles;
- reviewed the Company
 □s executive development process;
- reviewed the Company s global benefit plans, including its healthcare and retirement benefits, and the associated liabilities, strategies and cost control initiatives;
- completed a review of the Executive Compensation and Development Committee sown performance;
- set the compensation for the CEO and reviewed and approved the compensation recommendations for the Company

 ∫
 s other executive officers;
- reviewed tally sheets setting forth all components of the CEO[]s and the named executive officers[] compensation; and

The Executive Compensation and Development Committee is also referred to as the [Compensation Committee] in this Proxy Statement.

16

Finance Committee ☐ 4 meetings in 2006

The Finance Committee assists the Board in overseeing the Company\[]s: balance sheet and cash flow performance;

financing plans; capital expenditures; acquisitions, joint ventures and divestitures; risk management programs; performance of sponsored pension plans; and tax policy. A detailed list of the Finance Committee s functions is included in its charter, which can be accessed at **www.kodak.com/go/governance**.

In the past year, the Finance Committee:

- reviewed the Company scapital structure and financing strategies, including dividend declaration, capital
 expenditures, debt repayment plan, share repurchase and hedging of foreign exchange and commodity
 price risks;
- reviewed cash flow and balance sheet performance;
- reviewed credit ratings and key financial ratios;
- reviewed significant acquisitions, divestitures, including real estate sales and joint ventures;
- reviewed investment performance;
- reviewed the funding status and performance of the Company defined benefit pension plans;
- reviewed the Company
 ☐s insurance risk management, crisis management and asset protection programs;
 and
- reviewed the Company stax policy and strategies.

Executive Committee No meeting in 2006

The Executive Committee is composed of six directors: the Chairman of the Board, the Presiding Director and the Chairs of the other four committees. The Executive Committee is generally authorized to exercise all of the powers of the Board in the intervals between meetings of the Board. The Executive Committee did not meet in 2006. The Executive Committee scharter can be accessed awww.kodak.com/go/governance.

COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP

	Audit	Corporate Responsibility and Governance	Executive Compensation and Development	Finance
Director Name	Committee	Committee	Committee	Committee
Richard S. Braddock		Member		Chair
Martha Layne Collins			Member	Member
Timothy M. Donahue			Chair	Member
Michael J. Hawley		Member		Member
William H. Hernandez	Chair			
Durk I. Jager	Member			
Debra L. Lee	Member			
Delano E. Lewis	Member			
Hector de J. Ruiz		Chair	Member	
Laura D∏Andrea Tyson		Member	Member	
No. of 2006 Meetings	11	8	11	4

17

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

The Executive Compensation and Development Committee is comprised of four members of the Board, all of whom are independent in accordance with the Board so Director Independence Standards, which standards reflect the NYSE side director independence standards. The Executive Compensation and Development Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities in connection with the compensation of its executives and employees, including our Named Executive Officers. It performs this function by overseeing the Company executive compensation strategy, overseeing the administration of its executive compensation and equity-based plans, assessing the effectiveness of the Company executive compensation plans, reviewing and approving the compensation of the Company CEO, reviewing and approving the compensation of the Company Named Executive Officers and executive officers, reviewing the Company succession plans for its CEO and other key positions and overseeing the Company activities in the areas of leadership and executive development. The Compensation Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board, which details the Compensation Committee duties and responsibilities. A current copy of the Compensation Committee charter can be accessed at www. kodak.com/go/governance.

The full Board sets the compensation of the Company s non-employee directors based on the recommendation of the Governance Committee.

The Compensation Committee has delegated limited authority to the Company Schief Human Resources Officer to assist the Compensation Committee with administration of the Company executive compensation and equity-based compensation plans. The Chief Human Resources Officer is authorized to amend any executive compensation or equity-based compensation plan in which our Named Executive Officers participate other than to materially increase the benefits accruing to a participant under the plan, increase the number of shares available for issuance under the plan or substantially modify the requirements as to eligibility for participation. The Chief Human Resources Officer has also been delegated the authority to amend award agreements under any executive compensation and equity-based compensation plan other than to increase the benefits accruing to the participant and to determine the manner and timing of payments under the Eastman Kodak Company 1982 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan.

The Compensation Committee meets routinely throughout the year. It is the Compensation Committee solicy to make most compensation decisions in a two-step process to ensure sufficient deliberation. The Compensation Committee approves all compensation and awards under the Company sexecutive compensation plans for each of the Company Named Executive Officers. The Compensation Committee also approves compensation levels for each component of total direct compensation following discussions and after review of analyses and recommendations received from its consultant and management, as it deems appropriate. The CEO, Chief Human Resources Officer and Director of Worldwide Total Compensation make recommendations regarding each compensation element for the Named Executive Officers other than the CEO. The Compensation Committee sconsultant and the Director of Worldwide Total Compensation present analyses and recommendations regarding CEO compensation to the Compensation Committee in executive session.

With respect to the Company sperformance-based plans, management, including the CEO, CFO, Chief Human Resources Officer and Director of Worldwide Total Compensation proposes performance goals. The CEO and Chief Human Resources Officer are involved in formulating recommendations to the Compensation Committee on award levels for each Named Executive Officer for the upcoming performance year, with the exception of award levels for the CEO. Management develops these performance targets considering the Company strategic business plan and executive compensation strategy and goals. Generally, the performance targets and individual award targets for the Company annual cash bonus plan are reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee within the first 90 days of each calendar year. The performance targets and award levels under the Company long-term incentive plans, including annual option grants and allocations for the Leadership Stock Program for the next performance cycle, are generally established in December of each year. Throughout the year, the Compensation Committee reviews projections for achievement of each plan sperformance targets.

Role of Compensation Consultants

To assist the Compensation Committee in evaluating the Company[]s executive compensation plans, the Compensation Committee engaged an independent outside consultant, Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. (FW Cook), to advise it directly. The Company has a contractual arrangement with FW Cook which may be terminated by either party at the end of any month without penalty within 15 days written notice. The Compensation Committee[]s consultant attends Compensation Committee meetings on a regular basis and provides the Compensation Committee with market information and analysis with respect to establishing executive compensation practices that are in line with the Company[]s executive compensation strategy and goals. Our consultant is also asked to confirm that the Company[]s executive compensation goals continue to be aligned with best practices.

The Company S Chief Human Resources Officer and others directly involved with the Company sexecutive compensation programs routinely consult with FW Cook regarding the operation and administration of our executive compensation programs and practices. In 2006, neither the Compensation Committee nor the Company engaged other consultants or advisors to advise in determining the amount or form of executive compensation.

18

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

The following directors served on the Compensation Committee during 2006: Martha Layne Collins, Timothy M. Donahue, Durk I. Jager, Debra L. Lee, Hector de J. Ruiz and Laura D□Andrea Tyson. There were no Compensation Committee interlocks between the Company and other entities involving the Company□s executive officers and directors.

GOVERNANCE PRACTICES

Described below are some of the significant governance practices that have been adopted by our Board.

Presiding Director

Our Board created the position of Presiding Director in February 2003. Richard S. Braddock has been designated the Board Presiding Director. The primary functions of the Presiding Director are to: 1) ensure that our Board operates independently of our management; 2) chair the meetings of the independent directors; 3) act as the principal liaison between the independent directors and the CEO; and 4) assist the Board in its understanding of the boundaries between Board and management responsibilities. A more detailed description of the Presiding Director so duties can be found awww. kodak.com/go/governance.

Meeting Attendance

In February 2004, our Board adopted a Director Attendance Policy. A copy of this policy is attached as an appendix to our Corporate Governance Guidelines, which can be accessed at **www.kodak.com/go/governance**. Under this policy, all of our directors are strongly encouraged to attend our annual meeting of shareholders.

In 2006, the Board held a total of 10 meetings. Each director attended in excess of 75% of the meetings of the Board and committees of the Board on which the director served. All of our directors attended our 2006 annual meeting.

Executive Sessions

Executive sessions of our non-management directors are held at least four times a year. These sessions are chaired by our Presiding Director.

If all of our non-management directors are not independent, the independent members of our Board will meet in executive session at least once a year. Our Presiding Director will chair these meetings.

In 2006, all of our non-management directors were independent. They met in executive session four (4) times.

Board Declassification

In 2005, the Board submitted for your approval a management proposal that all Board members be elected annually. You approved this proposal by a substantial majority and, as a result, the Company amended its Restated Certificate of Incorporation to eliminate the classified system. As required by the proposal, this is being done in stages so that all Board members will be elected to one-year terms beginning in 2008. The Board believes a declassified board better ensures that the Company corporate governance policies maximize accountability to you.

Communications with Our Board

The Board maintains a process for our shareholders and other interested parties to communicate with the Board. Shareholders and interested parties who wish to communicate with the Board, the independent directors as a group, or an individual director, including the Presiding Director, may send an e-mail to our Presiding Director at presiding-director@kodak.com or may send a letter to our Presiding Director at P.O. Box 92818, Rochester, NY 14650. Communications sent by e-mail will go simultaneously to Kodak\[\] s Presiding Director and Secretary. Our Secretary will review communications sent by mail and if they are relevant to, and consistent with, Kodak\[\] s operations, policies and philosophies, they will be forwarded to the Presiding Director. By way of example, communications that are unduly hostile, threatening, illegal or similarly inappropriate will not be forwarded to the Presiding Director. Our Secretary will periodically provide the Board with a summary of all communications received that were not forwarded to the Presiding Director and will make those communications available to any director upon request. The Presiding Director will determine whether any communication sent to the full Board should be properly addressed by the entire Board or a committee thereof and whether a response to the communication is warranted. If a response is warranted, the Presiding Director may choose to coordinate the content and method of the response with our Secretary.

19

Consideration of Director Candidates

The Governance Committee will consider for nomination as director of the Company candidates recommended by its members, other Board members, management, shareholders and the search firms it retains.

Shareholders wishing to recommend candidates for consideration by the Governance Committee may do so by providing the following information, in writing, to the Governance Committee, c/o Secretary, Eastman Kodak Company, 343 State Street, Rochester, NY 14650-0218: 1) the name, address and telephone number of the shareholder making the request; 2) the number of shares of the Company owned, and, if such person is not a shareholder of record or if such shares are held by an entity, reasonable evidence of such person∏s ownership of such shares or such person sauthority to act on behalf of such entity; 3) the full name, address and telephone number of the individual being recommended, together with a reasonably detailed description of the background. experience and qualifications of that individual; 4) a signed acknowledgement by the individual being recommended that he or she has consented to: a) serve as director if elected and b) the Company undertaking an inquiry into that individual s background, experience and qualifications; 5) the disclosure of any relationship of the individual being recommended with the Company or any subsidiaries or affiliates, whether direct or indirect; and 6) if known to the shareholder, any material interest of such shareholder or individual being recommended in any proposals or other business to be presented at the Company\(\)s next annual meeting of shareholders (or a statement to the effect that no material interest is known to such shareholder). Our Board may change the process by which shareholders may recommend director candidates to the Governance Committee. Please refer to the Company website at www.kodak.com/go/governance for any changes to this process.

With regard to the election of directors covered by this Proxy Statement, the Company received no recommendations for candidates.

Director Qualification Standards

When reviewing a potential candidate for the Board, the Governance Committee looks to whether the candidate

possesses the necessary qualifications to serve as a director. To assist it in these determinations, the Governance Committee has adopted <code>Director</code> Qualification Standards. The Director Qualification Standards are attached as Exhibit II to this Proxy Statement and can also be accessed at <code>www.kodak.com/go/governance</code>. These standards specify the minimum qualifications that a nominee must possess in order to be considered for election as a director. If a candidate possesses these minimum qualifications, the Governance Committee, in accordance with the Director Selection Process described in the next section, will then consider the candidate <code>squalifications</code> in light of the needs of the Board and the Company at that time, given the then-current mix of director attributes.

Director Selection Process

As provided in the Company S Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Governance Committee seeks to create a diverse and inclusive Board that, as a whole, is strong in both its knowledge and experience. When identifying, screening and recommending new candidates to the Board for membership, the Governance Committee follows the procedures outlined in its Director Selection Process. The Director Selection Process is attached as Exhibit III to this Proxy Statement and can also can be accessed at **www.kodak.com/go/governance**. The Governance Committee generally uses the services of a third-party executive search firm when identifying and evaluating possible nominees for director.

Board Business Plan

In 2005, the Board adopted a formal process for annually establishing and prioritizing its goals. The end product of this process is a \[Board business plan.\] The Board believes that adopting such a plan annually enhances its ability to measure its performance, improves its focus on the Company\[Board]s long-term strategic issues and ensures that its goals are linked to the Company\[Board]s strategic initiatives.

Under the process approved by the Board, each year the Governance Committee submits to the Board a proposed list of Board goals for the following year. At its first meeting of the year, the Board finalizes its goals for the year, prioritizes these goals and discusses possible performance measures for each goal. The Governance Committee is responsible for tracking the Board performance against its goals and routinely reporting these results to the Board. Performance against the goals is assessed as part of the Board sannual evaluation process.

Strategic Role of Board

The Board plays a key role in developing, reviewing and overseeing the Company business strategy. Once each year, the Board devotes an extended meeting to an update from management regarding the strategic issues and opportunities facing the Company and its businesses. Throughout the year, the Board reviews the Company strategic plan and receives briefings and reports on critical aspects of its implementation. These include business unit performance reviews, product category reviews and presentations regarding research and development initiatives and the Company intellectual property portfolio.

20

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Directors who are employees receive no additional compensation for serving on the Board or its committees. In 2006, we provided the following compensation to our directors who are not employees:

			Non-qualified	
			Deferred	
Fees Earned or			Compensation All Other	
Paid In Cash	Stock Awards	Option Awards	Earnings Compensation Tot	al

Edgar Filing: EASTMAN KODAK CO - Form DEF 14A

Name	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
		\$				
Richard S. Braddock	\$185,000	35,646	\$ 9,776		\$ 1,356	\$ 231,778
Martha Layne Collins	80,000	35,646	9,776		15,330	140,752
Timothy M. Donahue	90,000	35,646	9,776		648	136,070
Michael J. Hawley	80,000	35,646	9,776		18,534	143,956
William H. Hernandez	95,000	35,646	9,776		708	141,130
Durk I. Jager	85,000	35,646	9,776		1,128	131,550
Debra L. Lee	85,000	35,646	9,776		180	130,602
Delano E. Lewis	80,000	35,646	9,776		1,800	127,222
Paul H. O∏Neill*	40,000	34,031	7,324		900	82,225
Hector de J. Ruiz	85,000	35,646	9,776		936	131,358
Laura D∏Andrea Tyson	80,000	35,646	9,776	\$3,417	336	129,175

 ^{*} Mr. O□Neill retired from the Board on May 10, 2006.

(1) This column reports the director, chair and presiding director retainers earned in 2006. The following table reports the amount of the retainer that was deferred by a director into his or her deferred stock account under Kodak so Director Deferred Compensation Plan and the amount of phantom stock units that were credited to the director as a result of that deferral.

Name	Deferred Amount	Phantom Stock Units
Martha Layne Collins	\$40,000	1,684
Michael J. Hawley William H.	20,000	842
Hernandez	47,500	1,999
Durk I. Jager	85,000	3,578
Debra L. Lee	40,000	1,684
Delano E. Lewis	40,000	1,684
Paul H. O∏Neill	40,000	1,679
Laura D∏Andrea		
Tyson	40,000	1,684

⁽²⁾ This column represents the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to the 2006 fiscal year for the fair value of stock awards granted in 2006, as well as prior fiscal years, in accordance with Statement No. 123R, [Share-Based Payment] (SFAS 123R).

21

The following table reports the outstanding stock awards held by each of the non-employee directors at the end of fiscal year 2006.

Aggregate Stock Awards Outstanding at Fiscal Year End

Edgar Filing: EASTMAN KODAK CO - Form DEF 14A

Name	Unvested	Vested
Richard S. Braddock	1,500	3,000
Martha Layne Collins	1,500	3,000
Timothy M. Donahue	1,500	3,000
Michael J. Hawley	1,500	3,000
William H. Hernandez	1,500	3,000
Durk I. Jager	1,500	3,000
Debra L. Lee	1,500	3,000
Delano E. Lewis	1,500	3,000
Paul H. O∏Neill	0	3,000
Hector de J. Ruiz	1,500	3,000
Laura D∏Andrea Tyson	1,500	3,000

(3)

This column represents the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to the 2006 fiscal year for the fair value of stock options granted in 2006 as well as in prior years, in accordance with SFAS 123R. The assumption used to compute the value of the stock options granted on December 7, 2005 and December 12, 2006 were:

Grant Date	Risk-Free Interest Rate (%)	Expected Option Life (Years)	Expected Volatility (%)	Expected Dividend Yield (%)
December 7, 2005	4.4	3	31	2.2
December 12, 2006	4.5	3	29	2.0

The following table reports the outstanding option awards held by each of the non-employee directors at the end of fiscal year 2006.

Aggregate Stock Options Outstanding at Fiscal Year End

Unvested	Vested
1,500	13,000
1,500	13,000
1,500	11,000
1,500	3,000
1,500	5,000
1,500	13,000
1,500	13,000
1,500	11,000
0	5,000
1,500	11,000
	1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 0

Laura D

☐Andrea Tyson

1.500

13,000

22

(4)

The amount for L. D. Tyson represents the above-market interest earned by Dr. Tyson on her contributions to the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan.

(5)

The amounts in this column include perquisites and other benefits provided to directors. The total incremental cost of all perquisites or other benefits paid to our directors must be disclosed, unless the aggregate value of this compensation is less than \$10,000. In 2006, all of our directors, except Gov. Collins and Dr. Hawley, received perguisites and other benefits that fell below this disclosure threshold. For R. S. Braddock, the amount includes \$1.356 for life insurance. For M. L. Collins, the amount includes \$13,494 for the Charitable Award Program, \$623 for personal liability insuance, \$205 for travel/accident and \$1,008 for life insurance. For T. M. Donahue, the amount includes \$648 for life insurance. For M. J. Hawley, the amount includes \$198 for Company equipment, \$17,328 for Company-paid expenses to attend an event which the Company sponsors for promotional purposes, \$623 for personal liability insurance, \$205 for travel/accident and \$180 for life insurance. For W. H. Hernandez, the amount includes \$708 for life insurance. For D. I. Jager, the amount includes \$1,128 for life insurance. For D. L. Lee, the amount includes \$180 for life insurance. For D. E. Lewis, the amount includes \$1,800 for life insurance. For P. H. O∏Neill, the amount includes \$900 for life insurance. For H. de J. Ruiz, the amount includes \$936 for life insurance. For L. D. Tyson, the amount includes \$336 for life insurance.

Director Compensation Principles

The Board has adopted the following director compensation principles, which are aligned with the Company
secutive compensation principles:

- Pay should represent a moderately important element of Kodak∏s director value proposition.
- Pay levels should generally target near the market median, and pay mix should be consistent with market considerations.
- Pay levels should be differentiated based on the time demands on some members roles, and the Board will ensure regular rotation of certain of these roles.
- The program design should ensure that rewards are tied to the successful performance of Kodak stock, and the mix of pay should allow flexibility and Board diversity.
- To the extent practicable, Kodak S Director Compensation Principles should parallel the principles of the Company executive compensation program.

Annual Payments

Non-employee directors annually receive:

• \$80,000 as a retainer, at least half of which must be taken in stock or deferred into stock units;

- 1,500 stock options that vest on the first anniversary of the date granted; and
- 1,500 restricted shares of the Company
 is common stock that vest on the first anniversary of the date granted.

The Committee Chairs, with the exception of the Audit Chair, receive a chair retainer of \$10,000 per year for their services, in addition to their annual retainer as a director. The Audit Chair receives a chair retainer of \$15,000 for his services, in addition to his annual retainer as a director.

The Presiding Director receives a retainer of \$100,000 per year for his services, in addition to his annual retainer as a director.

Employee directors receive no additional compensation for serving on the Board.

Director Share Ownership Requirements

A director is not permitted to exercise any stock options or sell any restricted shares granted to him or her by the Company unless and until the director owns shares of stock in the Company (either outright or through phantom stock units in the directors deferred compensation plan) that have a value equal to at least five times the then maximum amount of the annual retainer, which may be taken in cash by the director (currently, this amount is \$200,000).

Deferred Compensation

Non-employee directors may defer some or all of their annual retainer, chair retainer and restricted stock award into a deferred compensation plan. The plan has two investment options: an interest-bearing account that pays interest at the prime rate and a Kodak phantom stock account. The value of the Kodak phantom stock account reflects changes in the market price of the common stock and dividends paid. Eight directors deferred compensation in 2006. In the event of a change-in-control, the amounts in the phantom accounts will generally be paid in a single cash payment. The deferred compensation plan benefits are neither funded nor secured.

Life Insurance

The Company provides \$100,000 of group term life insurance to each non-employee director. This decreases to \$50,000 at retirement or age 65, whichever occurs later.

23

Charitable Award Program

This program, which was closed to new participants effective January 1, 1997, provides for a contribution by the Company of up to a total of \$1,000,000 following a director s death, to be shared by a maximum of four charitable institutions recommended by the director. The individual directors derive no financial benefits from this program. It is funded by self-insurance and joint life insurance policies purchased by the Company. Richard S. Braddock and Martha Layne Collins continue to participate in the program.

Personal Umbrella Liability Insurance

The Company provides \$5,000,000 of personal liability insurance to each non-employee director. This coverage terminates on December 31^{st} of the year in which the director terminates service on the Company Board.

Travel Accident Insurance

The Company provides each non-employee director with \$200,000 of accidental death and \$100,000 of dismemberment insurance while traveling to, or attending, Board or Committee meetings.

Travel Expenses

The Company reimburses the directors for travel expenses incurred in connection with attending Board, committee and shareholder meetings and other Company-sponsored events, and provides Company transportation to the directors (including use of Company aircraft) to attend such meetings and events.

Review of Director Compensation

The Board has delegated to the Governance Committee the responsibility for periodically reviewing the Board compensation program and recommending any changes to the Board. The last time such a review was performed was in late 2003. As explained in the Governance Committee report on page 29 of this Proxy Statement, the Governance Committee recently decided to conduct a new review of the market competitiveness of the Board scompensation program. As it did in 2003, the Committee has engaged an external independent consultant to assist in conducting this review. Pearl Meyers & Partners was recently retained by the Governance Committee to perform this work. The Board Director Compensation Principles will be used by Pearl Meyers & Partners as a basis for initiating the review. The last of these principles provides that, to the extent practicable, the principles should parallel those of the Company sexecutive compensation program. The Committee expects to complete its review by the end of the third quarter of this year.

24

n Beneficial Ownership

BENEFICIAL SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF MORE THAN 5% OF THE COMPANY∏S COMMON STOCK

As of February 14, 2007, based on Schedule 13G filings, the Company was aware of the following beneficial owners of more than 5% of its common stock:

Shareholder∏s Name and Address	Number of Common Shares Beneficially Owned	Percentage of Company∏s Common Shares Benecially Owned
Legg Mason Capital Management, Inc. LLM LLC	60,856,431	21.18% (1)
100 Light St. Baltimore, MD 21202 Brandes Investment Partners, L.P.	34,264,468 (2)	11.93%
11988 El Camino Real Suite 500 San Diego, CA 92130		
Private Capital Management, Inc. 8889 Pelican Bay Blvd 500 Naples, FL 34108	29,315,650	10.2%

Franklin Resources, Inc. 24,849,942 (3) 8.7%

One Franklin Parkway

Building 920

San Mateo, CA 94403-1906

FMR Corp. 15,244,724 5.31%

82 Devonshire St. Boston, MA 02109

(1) As set forth in Amendment No. 4 of Shareholder S Schedule 13G/A, as of December 31, 2006, filed on February 15, 2007, the filing discloses

that the two entities listed had shared voting and dispositive power

with respect to all shares as follows:

Name	Number of Shares with Shared Voting and Dispositive Power	Percent of Class Represented
Legg Mason Capital Managemen	t, Inc. 52,679,431*	18.34%
LLM LLC	8,177,000**	2.85%
*		es owned by Legg Mason Value Capital Management fund.
**		s that may be deemed to be If LLC due to its beneficial ons.
2006 bene Brand	et forth in Amendment No. 4 of Shareholder So, filed on February 14, 2007, the 34,264,468 sh, ficially owned by the following as control persor des Investment Partners, L.P.; Brandes Investmed wide Holdings, L.P.; Charles H. Brandes; Glenn	ares are also deemed to be ns of the investment advisor, ent Partners, Inc.; Brandes
(3) Include	des 23,720,049 shares owned by Templeton Glo	obal Advisors Limited.
		25

BENEFICIAL SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF DIRECTORS, NOMINEES AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Directors, Nominees and Executive Officers	Number of Common Shares Beneficially Owned on March 1, 2007	Percentage of Company□s Common Shares Beneficially Owned
Richard S. Braddock	44,431 (b)	0.0139
Robert H. Brust	475,900 (a) (b)	0.1485
Martha Layne Collins	17,700 (b)	0.0055
Timothy M. Donahue	20,513 ^(b)	0.0064
Philip J. Faraci	78,262 (a) (b)	0.0244

Edgar Filing: EASTMAN KODAK CO - Form DEF 14A

Michael J. Hawley	8,006	(b)	0.0025
Mary Jane Hellyar	137,551	(a) (b)	0.0429
William H. Hernandez	8,000	(b)	0.0025
Durk I. Jager	18,268	(b)	0.0057
James T. Langley	74,557	(a) (b)	0.0233
Debra L. Lee	21,688	(b)	0.0068
Delano E. Lewis	12,700	(b)	0.0040
Daniel T. Meek	142,321	(b)	0.0444
Paul H. O∏Neill	7,500	(b)	0.0023
Antonio M. Perez	1,045,141	(a) (b)	0.3262
Hector de J. Ruiz	32,241	(b)	0.0101
Frank S. Sklarsky	50,000		0.0156
Laura D∏Andrea Tyson	18,756	(b)	0.0059
All Directors, Nominees and Executive Officers			
as a Group (24), including the above	2,681,818	(a) (b) (c)	0.8370

The above table reports beneficial ownership of the Company scommon stock in accordance with the applicable SEC rules. All Company securities over which the directors, nominees and executive officers directly or indirectly have, or share voting or investment power, are listed as beneficially owned. The figures above include shares held for the account of the above persons in the Eastman Kodak Shares Program and the Kodak Employees Stock Ownership Plan, and the interests of the above persons in the Kodak Stock Fund of the Eastman Kodak Employees Savings and Investment Plan, stated in terms of Kodak shares.

26

(a) and (b)

The chart below includes the following: footnote (a) shows Kodak common stock equivalents, which are held in deferred or long-term compensation plans; and footnote (b) shows the number of shares which may be acquired by exercise of stock options:

Name	Footnote (a)	Footnote (b)
Richard S. Braddock	0	13,000
Robert H. Brust	25,992	401,173
Martha Layne Collins	0	13,000
Timothy M. Donahue	0	11,000
Philip J. Faraci	4,868	58,007
Michael J. Hawley	0	3,000
Mary Jane Hellyar	3,895	98,079
William H. Hernandez	0	5,000
Durk I. Jager	0	13,000

James T. Langley	4,868	52,376
Debra L. Lee	0	13,000
Delano E. Lewis	0	11,000
Daniel T. Meek	0	131,341
Paul H. O∏Neill	0	5,000
Antonio M. Perez	118,531	756,566
Hector de J. Ruiz	0	11,000
Laura D∏Andrea Tyson	0	13,000
All Directors, Nominees and Executive Officers	200,718	1,993,193

⁽c) Each individual executive officer and director listed beneficially owned less than 1% of the outstanding shares of the Company common stock. As a group, these executive officers and directors owned .837% of the outstanding shares of the Company common stock.

Committee Reports

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Audit Committee of Eastman Kodak Company Board of Directors is composed solely of independent directors and operates under a written charter adopted by the Board, most recently amended on February 17, 2004. A copy of the Audit Committee scharter can be found on our website a www.kodak.com/qo/qovernance.

Management is responsible for the Company internal control over financial reporting, the Company is disclosure controls and procedures, and preparing the Company consolidated financial statements. The Company independent registered public accounting firm (independent accountants), Pricewaterhouse Coopers LLP (PwC), is responsible for performing an independent audit of the consolidated financial statements and of its internal control over financial reporting in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) and for issuing a report of the results. As outlined in its charter, the Audit Committee is responsible for overseeing these processes.

During 2006, the Audit Committee met and held discussions with management and the independent accountants on a regular basis. Management represented to the Audit Committee that the Company s consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP), and the Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the audited consolidated financial statements with management and the independent accountants.

The Audit Committee discussed with the independent accountants the matters specified by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, [Communications with Audit Committee.] The independent accountants provided to the Audit Committee the written disclosures required by the Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1, [Independence Discussion With Audit Committees.] The Audit Committee discussed with the independent accountants their independence.

The Audit Committee discussed with the Company internal auditors and independent accountants the plans for their audits. The Audit Committee met with the internal auditors and independent accountants, with and without management present. The internal auditors and independent accountants discussed with or provided to the Audit Committee the results of their examinations, their evaluations of the Company internal control over financial reporting, the Company disclosure controls and procedures and the quality of the Company financial reporting.

27

With reliance on these reviews, discussions and reports, the Audit Committee recommended that the Board approve the audited financial statements for inclusion in the Company□s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, and the Board accepted the Audit Committee□s recommendations.

The following fees were paid to PwC for services rendered in 2006 and 2005:

(in millions)	2006	2005
Audit Fees	\$ 17.2	\$ 17.5
Audit-Related Fees	4.4	0.2
Tax Fees	2.2	2.6
All Other Fees	0.0	0.1*
	\$23.8	\$20.4

^{*} Primarily for license fees relating to an accounting research tool and services related to the translation of the Company\(\sigma\) s Form 10-K into Japanese.

The Audit Fees related primarily to the annual audit of the Company consolidated financial statements (including Section 404 internal control assessment under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) included in the Company Annual Report on Form 10-K, quarterly reviews of interim financial statements included in the Company Quarterly Reports on Forms 10-Q, statutory audits of certain of the Company subsidiaries, and services relating to filings under the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

The Audit-Related Fees related primarily to separate financial statement audits for the Company□s Health Group Segment for 2006.

Tax Fees in 2006 consisted of \$1.9 million for tax compliance services and \$.3 million for tax planning and advice. Tax Fees in 2005 consisted of \$2.0 million for tax compliance services and \$0.6 million for tax planning and advice.

PwC also audits certain benefit plans of the Company. Fees of approximately \$0.3 million are paid directly by the plans rather than by the Company.

The Audit Committee appointed PwC as the Company independent accountants. In addition, the Audit Committee approved the scope of non-audit services anticipated to be performed by PwC in 2006 and the estimated budget for those services. The Audit Committee has adopted an Audit and Non-Audit Services Pre-Approval Policy, a copy of which is attached to this Proxy Statement as Exhibit IV.

William H. Hernandez, Chair Durk I. Jager Debra L. Lee Delano E. Lewis

28

REPORT OF THE CORPORATE RESPONSIBILITY AND GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE

Introduction

While the Company has long practiced and led in developing and implementing good corporate governance, continuing this tradition is essential as the Company undergoes the final stages of its digital transformation. The Corporate Responsibility and Governance Committee of the Board of Directors is primarily responsible for overseeing the Company governance practices and, therefore, is playing a key role during this transition.

The Governance Committee continually considers ways to improve the Company scorporate governance practices. In this regard, the Governance Committee periodically reviews the Board sovernance practices to ensure that they are aligned with best practices, the Board scorporate governance documents and applicable statutory and regulatory requirements. The Governance Committee smost recent review has resulted in certain governance enhancements, including the adoption of a majority voting policy for the election of directors and changes to the Board sovernance Guidelines, including an amendment requiring that a substantial majority of the Board be independent. This Report, an annual voluntary governance practice that the Governance Committee began in 2003, highlights these changes and the Governance Committee so ther corporate governance activities during 2006.

Governance Committee Composition

The Governance Committee is composed of four directors, each of whom meets the definition of <code>[independence]</code> set forth in the NYSE<code>[]</code>s corporate governance listing standards. During 2006, the Governance Committee met eight times and routinely reported its activities to the full Board. The Governance Committee acts pursuant to a written charter, which can be accessed electronically in the <code>[]Corporate</code> Governance<code>[]</code> section <code>atww.kodak.com/go/governance</code>.

Governance Committee Responsibilities

The primary role of the Governance Committee is to: assess the independence of Board members; lead the annual evaluation of the Board and its committees; identify and assess candidates for Board membership; oversee the Company activities in the areas of environmental and social responsibility, charitable contributions, diversity, and equal employment opportunity; and generally oversee the Company corporate governance structure. The Governance Committee monitors emerging issues and practices in the area of corporate governance and pursues those initiatives that it believes will enhance the Company sovernance practices and policies. In addition, the Governance Committee is responsible for, among other things: 1) administering the Board Director Selection Process; 2) developing the Board Director Qualification Standards; 3) implementing the Board director orientation and education programs; 4) overseeing and reviewing the Company Corporate Governance Guidelines and Director Independence Standards; and 5) recommending to the Board the compensation for directors. A complete description of the Governance Committee responsibilities can be found in its charter.

2006 Governance Initiatives

Described below are some of the significant governance actions that the Governance Committee undertook in 2006.

Majority Voting Policy

The Governance Committee continually seeks ways to improve the Board s accountability and effectiveness. Earlier this year, the Board adopted, based on the Governance Committee s recommendation, a majority voting policy for the election of directors. While the Board would have preferred to implement a majority voting standard via an amendment to the Company bylaws, New Jersey law, the law under which the Company is incorporated, presently does not permit this. The Board believes, however, that its policy, in conjunction with the other practices described elsewhere in the Proxy Statement, will ensure the integrity of the director election process by providing the Company shareholders with a meaningful voice in director elections, thereby increasing the Board saccountability to its shareholders.

Under the policy, in an uncontested election, any nominee for director who receives a greater number of votes <code>[withheld]</code> from his or her election than votes <code>[for]</code> such election will tender his or her resignation within 10 days following the date of the certification of the shareholder vote. The Governance Committee will then consider the resignation offer and recommend to the Board whether to accept it. The Governance Committee, in making its recommendation to the Board, and the Board, in reaching its decision, may consider those factors it considers important, including any stated reasons why shareholders <code>[withheld]</code> votes for the election of the director, the director <code>[squalifications</code>, the director <code>[squalifications]</code> spast and expected future contributions to the Company, the overall composition of the Board and whether accepting the resignation would cause the Company to fail to meet any applicable rule, such as the <code>NYSE[s]</code> Listing Requirements. The Board will act on the Governance Committee <code>[s]</code> recommendation and publicly disclose its decision whether to accept the director <code>[s]</code> resignation offer within 90 days following certification of the shareholder vote. Any director who tenders his or her resignation under the policy will not participate in the Governance Committee recommendation or Board action regarding whether to accept the resignation offer. The full text of the policy is posted on our corporate governance website at

Corporate Governance Guidelines

In February 2007, upon the recommendation of the Governance Committee, the Board adopted amendments to our Corporate Governance Guidelines to enhance our corporate governance practices. The first governance enhancement strengthens the Board\sindependence requirement. Now, a substantial majority, rather than a simple majority as was previously the case, of the Board must be independent. In accordance with best practices, the Board also amended our governance guidelines to require the Governance Committee to periodically assess the Board\sindeps current and projected strengths and needs by, among other things, reviewing the Board\sindeps current profile, its Director Qualification Standards and the Company\sindeps current and future needs. The final improvement made to the guidelines requires the Board to periodically review its own size and determine the size that is most effective toward future operations.

Policy on Recoupment of Executive Bonuses in the Event of Certain Restatements

Last year, the Board, based on the Governance Committee srecommendation, adopted a policy requiring the recoupment of bonuses paid to named executive officers upon certain financial restatements. Under the policy, which is posted on our website at **www.kodak.com/go/governance**, the Company will require reimbursement of a certain portion of any bonus paid to a named executive officer where:

- The payment was predicated upon the achievement of certain financial results that were subsequently the subject of a restatement;
- In the Board sview, the officer engaged in fraud or misconduct that caused the need for the restatement; and
- A lower payment would have been made to the officer based upon the restated financial results.

In each such instance, the Company will, to the extent practicable, seek to recover the amount by which the individual officer sannual bonus for the relevant period exceeded the lower payment that would have been made based on the restated financial results, plus a reasonable rate of interest.

Director Search

This past year, the Governance Committee spent a considerable amount of its time searching for candidates to fill the Board\[]s existing vacancy and upcoming vacancies. In accordance with the Board\[]s selection process, the Governance Committee engaged an external executive search firm who is assisting in identifying and evaluating qualified independent candidates who meet the Board\[]s target candidate profiles and fit the Board\[]s Director Qualification Standards. The Governance Committee has identified several preferred candidates and is in the process of interviewing these individuals.

Other Key Actions in 2006

Some of the other key actions taken by the Governance Committee last year are described below.

Director Independence

The Governance Committee assessed each non-management director independence based upon the Board solution Director Independence Standards and those of the NYSE, and made recommendations to the full Board regarding each non-management director independence.

Board Training

Under the direction of the Governance Committee, the Board and its committees participated in a variety of training events during 2006. For example, the Board toured the manufacturing facilities of two businesses within the Graphics Communications Group: Kodak Versamark, a leader in continuous inkjet solutions and manufacturer of high volume inkjet printers; and NexPress Solutions, a producer of digital color and black and white printing solutions. The Board also participated in training on corporate compliance. In addition, the Board received several briefings from external advisors on matters such as the Board seponsibilities relating to executive compensation and on several corporate law issues.

Director Compensation

In late 2006, the Governance Committee decided to undertake a review of the market competitiveness of the Board S Compensation Program. The last time such a review was performed was in 2003. The Governance Committee has selected an external independent compensation consultant to assist it in performing the review. The Governance Committee anticipates completing the review by the end of the third quarter of this year.

Disclosure Practices

Under the Governance Committee s direction, the Company enhanced its proxy statement disclosure practices in areas such as director compensation, corporate governance and executive compensation.

Board Business Plan

Based on the Governance Committee assistance, the Board last year continued its practice of establishing an annual Board business plan. The business plan is the end product of a formal process developed by the Governance Committee to annually establish and prioritize the Board sgoals. A more detailed description of this process appears on page 20 of this Proxy Statement. The Governance Committee tracked the Board speriorities performance against its business plan and provided periodic reports to the Board on its progress.

30

Governance Committee Evaluation

The Governance Committee prepared and conducted an annual self-evaluation, discussed the results of this evaluation and developed an action plan from these discussions to further enhance the Governance Committee sperformance.

Diversity Advisory Panel s Recommendations

The Governance Committee met with the Company Schief Diversity Officer to assess the Company progress with regard to the recommendations of the Diversity Advisory Panel, a seven-member, blue-ribbon panel launched in 2001 to provide advice on the Company comprehensive diversity strategy and assess future diversity trends and the potential impact on Kodak.

Board Action Plan

The Governance Committee monitored the Board sperformance against the action plan arising from the Board sanual evaluation and provided periodic reports to the Board concerning its progress against the action plan.

Hector de J. Ruiz, Chair Richard S. Braddock Michael J. Hawley Laura D∏Andrea Tyson

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

The Executive Compensation and Development Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis that is required by the SEC rules with the Company management.

Based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Company□s Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement.

Timothy M. Donahue, Chair Martha Layne Collins Hector De J. Ruiz Laura D∏Andrea Tyson

31

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

SUMMARY/INTRODUCTION

Over the last several years, Kodak has been executing a strategy for transformation from a film and analog-based business into a digital company. Our executive compensation arrangements for 2006 reflect this transition and the rapidly changing dynamics of Kodak susiness and the markets in which it competes. As appropriate, the Executive Compensation and Development Committee has put in place compensation plans designed to address targeted needs associated with the Company stigital transformation.

The Company is in the midst of an extraordinary digital transformation. The Company now has a substantial presence in the graphic communications market and has strengthened its market position in the consumer digital market with several innovative new product introductions. At the same time, the Company is aggressively reducing its traditional manufacturing footprint and managing significant changes in the Company workforce that are required by the Company transformation. With the Executive Compensation and Development Committee squidance and oversight, in 2006, we continued to assess the Company executive compensation philosophy to ensure that the principles, goals and strategies are aligned with the unique nature of this complex and time-constrained digital transformation strategy.

Kodak sexecutive compensation program is administered by the Executive Compensation and Development Committee, which we refer to in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis as the Committee. The material elements of our executive compensation program include:

• Total Direct Compensation	
	Base Salary
	Annual variable pay
	Long-term variable equity incentives
• Other Compensation and Benefits	
	Retirement Plan Benefits
	Supplemental Individual Retirement Arrangements

Deferred Compensation Plan

One of the roles of the Committee is to oversee the Company executive compensation strategy, including the compensation of the individuals who served as our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer during 2006, as well as the other individuals listed in the Summary Compensation Table on page 43, who are referred to in this Proxy Statement as our Named Executive Officers.

During 2006, the Committee paid particular attention to bringing our Named Executive Officers [total direct compensation] to a level that the Committee considers to be market competitive. This level is targeted for each Named Executive Officer to be the median of compensation paid to executives in similar positions with similar responsibilities, as identified by two national non-industry specific surveys recommended by the Committee sindependent compensation consultant, using companies with gross revenues similar to Kodak. To achieve this objective, in 2006, the Committee increased the long-term variable equity incentive opportunities of our Named Executive Officers, while holding base salaries constant, with the exception of any increase warranted by promotion.

COMPENSATION PHILOSOPHY

П

Our overall philosophy is to provide an executive compensation package that attracts, retains and motivates world-class executive talent critical to the success of the Company short-term and long-term business goals. In 2006, the Committee reviewed and confirmed the following executive compensation goals, which are substantially similar to our prior long-established goals:

- Align management and shareholder interests
- Calibrate realized compensation to achievement of short-term and long-term objectives
- Inspire and develop world-class executive talent
- Attract, retain and motivate executives
- Maximize financial efficiency
- Ensure high standards and best practices
- Simplify plans and provide line of sight to performance goals

32

The following principles adopted by the Committee provide a framework for the Company\(\sigma \) executive compensation program:

- Interests of executives are aligned with the Company s shareholders by providing long-term variable equity incentives and requiring our Named Executive Officers to maintain a requisite level of stock ownership.
- Aggregate total direct compensation, consisting of base salary, annual variable pay and long-term variable equity incentives, should be at a competitive median level.
- A significant portion of each executive s compensation should be at risk, with a positive correlation between the degree of risk and the level of the executive s responsibility.
- Compensation is linked to key operational and strategic metrics of the Company business plan, along with qualitative and behavioral expectations.

☐ base salaries ☐ on relative responsibility;
annual variable pay on Company performance, individual performance as it relates to leadership and diversity and, if applicable, on business unit performance; and
long-term variable equity incentives [] on Company performance and individual execution/leadership.

• Executive compensation is differentiated on the following bases:

Our Committee annually reviews the Company sexecutive compensation strategy, including our goals and principles. In the course of the Committee sought the advice and input of its independent compensation consultant, as well as Company management. For additional information regarding the role of management and consultants, see page 18 of this Proxy Statement.

TOTAL DIRECT COMPENSATION

The three components of the Company s total direct compensation in 2006 are:

- base salary;
- long-term variable equity incentives comprised of awards under the Company
 ☐s stock option program,
 Leadership Stock Program (LSP), 2006 Executive Performance Share Plan (EPSP) and individual equity
 awards.

In connection with the Committee[]s annual review of our Named Executive Officers[] compensation in 2006, the Committee[]s independent compensation consultant reviewed the market competitiveness of the aggregate value of total direct compensation provided to each of our Named Executive Officers. The Committee[]s consultant also provided information regarding the market competitiveness of each element of total direct compensation for each Named Executive Officer.

In determining the size of our Named Executive Officers total direct compensation, the Committee seeks to set the aggregate target for each Named Executive Officer at the median of total direct compensation paid to executives in similar positions with similar responsibilities, as identified by two national non-industry specific surveys recommended by the Committee independent consultant, using companies with gross revenues similar to Kodak. The Committee also reviews each component of total direct compensation for each Named Executive Officer to assess how each component compares to the market median. Actual realized compensation may be more or less than the aggregate target opportunity provided to our Named Executive Officers depending upon individual and company performance under the EXCEL plan and our long-term variable equity incentive plans, as well as performance of Kodak stock relative to the stock performance of other survey companies.

Kodak somparison data was based on each executive sourrent salary level in 2006, his or her 2006 target award under the EXCEL plan and his or her target share allocation under both our 2006 stock option award program and our Leadership Stock Program for the 2007 performance cycle. In determining the value of our target equity awards, the Committee so consultant used a Black-Scholes pricing model for stock options and an assumed stock price of \$25 per share for Leadership Stock. The review indicated that target cash compensation, which includes base salary plus target annual bonuses under EXCEL, was slightly above median for most of our Named Executive Officers. This is due to the challenges of attracting high-quality executive talent during the Company total direct compensation for all our Named Executive Officers fell below the market median.

The Committee has no fixed target for allocating amongst the components of total direct compensation. In 2005 and 2006, the Committee implemented a policy to increase the long-term variable equity incentive component of our Named Executive Officers total direct compensation, in line with the objectives to meet the median target for aggregate total direct compensation and to align our Named Executive Officers interests with those of our

33

Base Salaries

In setting base salaries, the Committee considers market data as well as the relative responsibilities of the roles of each Named Executive Officer. Generally, our Named Executive Officers salaries are targeted near the median of the range of salaries for executives in similar positions at companies with revenues similar to Kodak. Base salaries are reviewed annually by the Committee and do not automatically increase. As the analysis of market data in 2006 conducted by the Committee consultant concluded that most of our Named Executive Officers base salaries are at or above the median range paid to executives in similar positions at companies with revenues similar to Kodak, the Committee determined not to increase base salaries in 2006, except where warranted by promotion.

Annual Variable Pay Plan

The Company provides an annual cash bonus incentive opportunity to our executives, including our Named Executive Officers, through the EXCEL plan. Three key principles underlie EXCEL: alignment; simplicity; and discretion. Alignment is achieved through the use of two primary performance metrics to fund the plan. These performance metrics provide incentives to our executives to make decisions and achieve performance on a short-term basis that are in line with the Company[]s strategic business plan. In recent years the Company[]s strategic business plan has been focused on the digital transformation of the Company. Simplicity is accomplished through ease of plan administration, as participants[] goals are aligned to those of their business units[] goals. Discretion, the third key principle, may be used to adjust the size of the EXCEL plan[]s corporate funding pool, modify the corporate funding pool[]s allocation to the Company[]s business units and determine the performance and rewards to the plan[]s participants.

EXCEL participants, including our Named Executive Officers, are assigned target awards for the year based on a percentage of their base salaries as of the end of that year. Since an executive sexCEL opportunity is a component of total direct compensation, the Committee reviews survey data to determine each Named Executive Officer starget opportunity under EXCEL. For 2006, the target EXCEL awards for our Named Executive Officers were as follows: Mr. Perez, 155%; Mr. Sklarsky, 75%; Mr. Brust, 72%; and Mr. Langley, Mr. Faraci, Ms. Hellyar and Mr. Meek each had a target opportunity of 62%. The actual cash bonus earned by our Named Executive Officers may be greater or less than these targets depending on actual performance and the individual performance against certain leadership and diversity goals.

In the first 90 days of each year, the Committee reviews and finalizes the performance metric targets and baseline metrics for the plan year. Using the performance metric targets, a performance matrix is created that determines the percentage of the plan scorporate funding pool that will be earned for the year based on the Company sactual performance. During this period, our CEO also sets performance goals for each of the Company suits. These business unit goals are designed to establish a target performance level that each business unit must achieve in order for the Company to reach the EXCEL performance metrics established by the Committee.

In 2006, the two primary EXCEL performance metrics selected by the Committee were digital revenue and investable cash flow. Digital revenue and investable cash flow are non-GAAP financial measures that the Company believes represent important internal measures of performance. These two primary performance metrics are among the metrics our CEO periodically reports to the investment community. The Committee selected these performance metrics because of their direct correlation with the Company significant transformation.

The following abbreviated matrix shows the threshold, target and stretch goals for 2006 and the resulting EXCEL corporate funding pool:

Investable Cash Flow	Digital Revenues		
(in millions)		(in millions)	
	\$7,765	\$8,827	\$9,244

	(Threshold)	(Target)	(Stretch)
\$200 (Threshold)	0%	50%	101%
500 (Target)	50%	100%	150%
695 (Stretch)	100%	150%	200%

The Committee may use its discretion to increase or decrease the size of the corporate funding pool for any year. To guide its exercise of discretion, the Committee considers a set of baseline performance metrics that reflect important elements of the Company annual business strategy. These baseline metrics are annually established by the Committee at the same time it finalizes the EXCEL performance metrics and targets for the year. In 2006, these baseline metrics were: execution against the Company snew business model; advancement of our restructuring plan; performance against cost reduction goals regarding selling, general and administrative costs; and performance against inventory and supply chain goals. In exercising its discretion, the Committee is also guided by the principle of ensuring that incentive payments do not result in unearned windfalls or unfair penalties.

If the Company achieves all of the target goals (investable cash flow and digital revenue in 2006), then the award pool funds at 100%. If either of the threshold goals is not achieved, then, absent the Committee sexercise of positive discretion, the award pool will not be funded and no bonus will be earned under the EXCEL plan. Results that fall between the dollar amounts shown in the chart will be interpolated to derive a percentage payout. There

34

is no maximum opportunity under the EXCEL plan, although the maximum award payable to any Named Executive Officer under the plan in 2006 is the lesser of 10% of the corporate funding pool, 500% of his or her annual base salary as of December 31, 2005, or \$5 million. The highest percentage shown in the performance matrix would require stretch performance.

Our CEO[s and CFO[s EXCEL awards are generally based on the achievement of the Company[s two primary performance metrics, but their actual bonus under the EXCEL plan may be adjusted downward by the Committee based on performance of the baseline metrics described above. The bonus opportunity for other Named Executive Officers is also initially based on the achievement of the Company[s two primary performance metrics and the size of the corporate funding pool. However, the actual bonus earned is determined based on the achievement of business unit goals, where applicable, and upon achievement of individual leadership excellence and diversity goals. After the corporate funding pool level has been determined, our CEO reviews the individual performance of each business unit in comparison to the business unit[s annual goals and allocates a portion of the corporate funding pool to each business unit. Based on this assessment, our CEO may adjust the payout percentage for a Named Executive Officer who heads a business unit. A Named Executive Officer heading a business unit typically receives the same EXCEL award percentage as has been allocated to their business unit. However, our CEO may propose to adjust this based on an executive[s leadership excellence and performance under the Company[s diversity and inclusion strategy. After completing this review, our CEO recommends bonus payouts for all other Named Executive Officers and other EXCEL participants to the Committee. Using our CEO[s recommendations as a starting point, the Committee reviews and authorizes bonuses payable to each of our Named Executive Officers.

Bonuses Awarded for 2006 Performance

In each of the past three years of our Company stransformation, we have continued to make significant progress against the goals we set. In 2006, we achieved \$592 million investable cash flow versus our target goal of \$500 million. In addition, our performance against each of the 2006 baseline metrics described above was outstanding:

• **Drive SG&A Model.** We made significant progress on our cost reduction objectives, reaching an SG&A (Selling, General & Administrative Expenses) rate of 18% of sales. This has resulted in a reduction of \$279 million year-over-year, and was slightly below our goal of 17.7%.

- **Inventory Reductions.** We exceeded the inventory reduction goal by 27%.
- Implement Go-to-Market Strategies Across Consumer Digital Imaging Group and Film Products Group. We reduced the number of retailers and countries we served directly around the world as we redesigned our model for better productivity.
- **Restructure Health Group.** We successfully concluded the study of strategic alternatives for our Health Group with the signing of an agreement to divest the business to Onex Healthcare Holdings, Inc. for up to \$2.55 billion.
- **Deliver Graphic Communication Group synergies.** We integrated five subsidiaries into Kodak and built a broad portfolio of products while taking the opportunity to leverage infrastructure by over-achieving our target of \$85M.
- Advance the Traditional Restructuring Plan. As measured by the Company s manufacturing and footprint reductions, we accelerated 2007 goals into 2006.

Despite these achievements, we did not achieve our threshold digital revenue goal of \$7,765 million. Actual digital revenue for 2006 was \$7,687 million, \$78 million below the threshold. This resulted primarily from our decision to give priority to digital margin growth over revenue growth in our digital capture business, as we first announced to investors on January 30, 2006. As 2006 progressed, we saw more aggressive digital camera pricing, particularly at the lower price points, than we had anticipated. The Company declined to pursue some consumer digital revenue opportunities where prices were too low or where we did not yet have a product with an appropriate cost basis to compete. The overall revenue impact of these strategic participation decisions on digital cameras was greater than \$250 million.

As a result, the Company did not achieve the threshold EXCEL performance metric for digital revenue. Given, however, the performance against the baseline metrics and the Committee soncurrence that the digital margin decisions were correct decisions to make in the turnaround of consumer digital earnings, the Committee exercised positive discretion and set the funding of the corporate award pool at 81% of target. In arriving at 81% funding of the corporate pool, the Committee considered the revenue that could have been generated had we chosen not to execute a margin growth strategy on digital cameras.

To ensure that all plan awards are fully deductible for U.S. federal income tax purposes, our EXCEL plan states that any positive discretion exercised by the Committee regarding the plan sperformance targets cannot affect the payment of awards to our covered employees, as defined in Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. As a consequence, those employees did not receive a bonus under EXCEL for 2006. However, based on the Company sachievements under its 2006 baseline metrics as described above, the Committee granted those employees discretionary performance bonuses in February 2007. These bonuses are no greater than bonuses received by other participants under EXCEL based on the funding of the corporate award pool at 81% of target and any additional increase in the payout percentage due to achievement of business unit goals. Unless the Committee provided otherwise, 50% of the bonus award was paid in cash and the remaining 50% was paid in restricted stock that vests over a three-year period subject to an executive scontinued employment. The cash amount of these bonuses awarded to Named Executive Officers for performance in 2006 appear in the Summary Compensation Table on page 43 of this Proxy Statement.

35

Long-Term Variable Equity Incentive Compensation

The purposes behind our long-term equity incentive compensation program are to align executive compensation with shareholder interests, create significant incentives for executive retention, encourage long-term performance by our executive officers and promote stock ownership. In 2006, the Committee granted our Named Executive Officers long-term variable equity incentive compensation consisting of stock options and performance stock units, known as Leadership Stock. The Committee also granted our Named Executive Officers performance stock units

under the 2006 Executive Performance Share Plan. In addition to these equity award programs, the Company granted individual equity awards to certain Named Executive Officers in connection with significant promotions, retention, new hires and outstanding individual achievements that promoted Kodak strategic business plan.

Our long-term variable equity incentive programs balance a focus on stock price appreciation and the achievement of strategic business goals. Stock options are designed to compensate our most senior executives for stock appreciation and provide a strong link to shareholder value creation. We believe options are an effective incentive compensation vehicle for those who are most responsible for influencing shareholder value. Leadership Stock is designed to encourage executives to achieve key metrics (e.g., digital earnings from operations) that promote the Company significant digital transformation.

When determining the aggregate annual long-term variable equity awards to each of our Named Executive Officers, the Committee establishes the aggregate value of stock option awards at the same time that it determines the aggregate value of Leadership Stock targets that are to be granted in the next year. The Committee generally makes annual option grants in December of each year at its regularly scheduled meeting. Leadership Stock allocations are also determined at this time for the next performance cycle. The timing of our option awards was selected because it enables the Committee to consider current year performance and expectations for the succeeding year. The Company recently conducted a review of its past stock option granting practices and did not identify any practices that raised concerns. In order to formalize its procedures relating to grants of stock options and other equity awards, the Board of Directors adopted a policy that sets forth procedures for the setting of grant dates, which is discussed on page 41 of this Proxy Statement.

The Committee has no set policy for determining the mix of the form of long-term variable equity incentives granted to our Named Executive Officers. At its regular meeting in December 2006, the Committee determined to grant one-half of the value of our Named Executive Officers long-term variable equity incentives in the form of non-qualified stock options and one-half of the value in the form of a target allocation under the Leadership Stock Program for the 2007 performance cycle. Generally, the Committee does not consider prior awards in granting annual long-term variable equity incentive awards.

Long-Term Incentive Gap Closure

In response to the Committee s findings upon its annual review of our Named Executive Officers compensation in 2006, the Committee increased the size of the award of annual stock option grants and Leadership Stock for the 2007 performance cycle to our Named Executive Officers relative to prior years. The Committee determined that this increase was warranted because market data indicated that our executives long-term variable equity incentive compensation opportunity was less than the median opportunity for executives in similar positions with similar responsibilities as identified based on the average of two national surveys using companies with gross revenues similar to Kodak.

The Committee assessed the aggregate cost of this increase and determined that the costs and long-term incentive budget as a result of the gap closure were reasonable. In making this determination, the Committee reviewed the long-term equity incentive practices of the following 15 peer group companies based on review with its consultant:

- Agilent Technologies
- Caterpillar, Inc.
- The Clorox Company
- E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company
- Emerson Electric Co.

- H.J. Heinz Company
- Hewlett-Packard Company
- Honeywell International Inc.
- Lexmark International Inc.
- Lucent Technologies
- Motorola Inc.
- Sun Microsystems, Inc.
- Texas Instrument Incorporated
- Xerox Corporation
- 3M Company

The peer group was selected based on the following criteria: 1) market capitalization; 2) revenue; 3) consumer/commercial/high-tech mix; 4) mix of high growth and steady companies; 5) industry similarity; and 6) data availability. In comparing Kodak\[\]s programs to the peer group, the Committee reviewed the value of the Leadership Stock awards granted in January 2006, the 2005 stock option grants and the annualized value of other non-annual equity grants (such as new hire or retention awards) as a percentage of Kodak\[\]s market capitalization. This analysis indicated that the Company\[\]s current practices fell below the median range of our peer group. The Committee determined that increasing the 2006 stock option grants and 2007 Leadership Stock awards granted to our Named Executive Officers to bring their total direct compensation to the level the Committee considers

competitive would be reasonable.

36

Relative Leadership Assessment

Consistent with our leadership excellence strategy that strong individual performance merits superior awards, the number of options and target Leadership Stock annually allocated to our executive officers, including our Named Executive Officers, other than our CEO, may be adjusted upward or downward based on individual performance. The Company Relative Leadership Assessment program evaluates our Named Executive Officers relative leadership performance and execution of his or her responsibilities as compared to his or her peers within the Company. Leadership performance is assessed against defined leadership qualities and skills. An executive may receive an adjustment upward to as much as 150% of his target shares or his or her incentive opportunity may be completely eliminated based on this assessment. Our CEO makes a recommendation to the Committee regarding the performance of each Named Executive Officer, excluding himself. The Committee has the discretion to accept or reject the CEO recommendation and to decide whether or not to apply Relative Leadership Assessment adjustments in connection with annual equity award grants.

Stock Option Program

Since the fall of 2003, only the Company scorporate officers, including our Named Executive Officers, are eligible for annual option grants. Stock options granted in December 2006 have a seven-year term and become exercisable in three equal annual installments beginning one year after the grant date. All options fully vest upon the third anniversary of the grant date. The exercise price of the options is the mean between the high and low price at which Kodak shares trade on the NYSE on the grant date.

Consistent with the Committee strategy to close our Named Executive Officers total direct compensation competitive pay gap and to increase the relative percentage of long-term variable equity incentives, the number of options granted to our Named Executive Officers in 2006, which are shown in the Grants of Plan-Based Award Table on page 50 of this Proxy Statement, generally increased over the number granted in 2005.

Leadership Stock Program

All of the Company sexecutives, including our Named Executive Officers, are eligible to participate in the Company Leadership Stock Program. Awards under this program are granted in the form of performance stock units which, if earned, are paid in the form of shares of Kodak common stock. In 2006 and in prior years, the Leadership Stock Program was based on a two-year performance cycle with a new cycle beginning each January. The program swards are exclusively performance-based to further strengthen the relationship between pay and performance. In 2006, the program stwo-year performance cycle was intended to direct the focus of our Named Executive Officers over two calendar years to encourage and reinforce actions leading to achievement of the Company long-term strategic plan. The Committee established the performance criteria for each two-year performance cycle in February of the first year of the performance cycle.

Leadership Stock may be earned by our executives at the end of the two-year performance cycle if the Company achieves the aggregate performance target established for the two-year cycle. The actual number of stock units earned by an executive is based on the executive starget allocation multiplied by the applicable performance percentage based on the Company performance. Any unearned units are forfeited at the end of the two-year performance period. The payment of any stock units earned under the program for any performance cycle is delayed for one year contingent on the executive scontinued employment with the Company, except in limited termination of employment circumstances, such as retirement, death, disability or an approved reason. During this one-year vesting period, dividend equivalents accrue on the stock units, but payment of the dividends is also subject to this one-year vesting period. At the end of the one-year period, the stock units and the dividend equivalents earned on these stock units are paid to the executive in the form of shares of Company stock.

The Committee redesigned our Leadership Stock Program for the performance period beginning in 2007. The 2007 performance cycle has a one-year performance cycle and a two-year vesting period. The Committee believes that, during the Company sigital transformation, a one-year performance cycle will permit the Committee to more effectively set targets to motivate our Named Executive Officers to achieve the Company strategic business plan

of digital transformation. In other respects, the 2007 performance cycle will operate substantially similar to prior performance cycles.

2005-2006 Performance Cycle

For the 2005-2006 Leadership Stock Program performance cycle, the program sole performance metric was initially set as Company operational earnings per share. This performance metric was changed in October 2005 in light of the Company announcement in July 2005 that it would no longer report operational earnings per share. As a result, the Committee changed the performance metric for this performance cycle to digital earnings from operations (DEFO). DEFO is a non-GAAP performance metric that measures total earnings of the Company signital strategic products included within earnings from continuing operations, before: 1) restructuring charges, 2) interest, 3) other income charges and 4) income taxes. This performance metric was selected to further encourage and reinforce executive actions implementing the Company transition to a digital company.

In order to receive a payout under the plan, the Company saggregate DEFO for the two-year performance period was required to be greater than \$750 million. In order for participants to receive 100% of their target allocation, the Company would need to achieve DEFO equal to \$1.075 billion. To receive the maximum payout under the plan, equal to 200% of each participant starget allocation, the Company saggregate DEFO would need to reach \$1.4 billion.

The Company did not reach the threshold performance target under the plan for the 2005-2006 performance cycle. Consequently, no shares were earned by any executives, including our Named Executive Officers for this cycle.

37

2006-2007 Performance Cycle

For the 2006-2007 Leadership Stock Program performance cycle, the Committee also established DEFO as the single performance metric for the plan. As with the prior performance cycle, awards are earned under the plan based on an executive allocation multiplied by the applicable performance percentage. The maximum number of performance stock units that may be earned by an executive is 200% of the executive stargeted share allocation.

As determined by the Committee in March 2006, in order to achieve any payout under the plan, the Company aggregate DEFO for the two-year period from January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2007 will need to be greater than \$750 million. In order for participants to receive 100% of their target allocation, the Company DEFO will need to equal \$1 billion. To receive the maximum payout under the plan, equal to 200% of each participant starget allocation, the Company aggregate DEFO will need to reach \$1.15 billion. If results fall between these DEFO targets, the Committee will determine the percentage payout based on interpolation. Given the pending divestiture of our Health Group, the Committee may, to the extent permitted by the plan, adjust the actual Company results for the two-year period to reflect the divestiture.

The amount of shares earned by our Named Executive Officers for the 2006-2007 performance cycle cannot be determined at this time. However, the award allocations that would be payable to each Named Executive Officer for the 2006-2007 cycle, assuming target and maximum DEFO performance is achieved and the vesting period is satisfied, are shown in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table on page 50 of this Proxy Statement. As a result of the Committee spolicy to increase the long-term variable equity incentive component of each Named Executive Officer stotal direct compensation, the target range for each Named Executive Officer for the 2006-2007 performance cycle was increased by 25% over the 2005-2006 performance cycle. Certain of our Named Executive Officers, other than our CEO, also received an additional upward adjustments to the target award size based on their individual performance under the Company Relative Leadership Assessment program described above.

2006 Executive Performance Share Program

In May 2006, the Committee adopted the 2006 EPSP under the Company 2005 Omnibus Long-Term Compensation Plan. The EPSP grants performance stock units payable in shares of Kodak stock to executives upon achievement of performance goals and after a one-year vesting period is satisfied.

The program was designed to motivate and reinforce our executives focus on improving the Company sdigital operating margin. Digital operating margin is a percentage obtained by dividing digital earnings from operations by digital revenue. Digital operating margin, the sole performance metric for the EPSP, was selected by the Committee because it is a key metric in the achievement of the Company current business strategy of digital transformation and links executives interests with shareholders interests. These awards also encourage executive retention since the payment of any stock units earned under the program is delayed for one year contingent on the executive continued employment with the Company, except in limited termination of employment circumstances, such as retirement, death, disability or an approved reason.

Each eligible executive, including our Named Executive Officers, received an allocation under the plan equal to 50% of their allocation under the 2006-2007 performance cycle of the Leadership Stock Program. The actual number of shares to be received under the EPSP is based on an executive allocation multiplied by the applicable performance percentage. The maximum number of performance shares that may be earned by an executive is 120% of the executive share allocation.

The threshold, target and maximum number of shares allocated to our Named Executive Officers under the 2006 EPSP are shown in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table on page 50 of this Proxy Statement. In order to earn any shares under the plan, the Company□s Digital Operating Margin for 2006 needed to be greater than 3.6%. In order for participants to receive 100% of their target allocation, the Company□s Digital Operating Margin needed to equal 4.6%. To receive the maximum payout under the plan, equal to 120% of each participant□s target allocation, the Company needed to achieve a Digital Operating Margin of 5.0%.

In 2006, the Company achieved a Digital Operating Margin equal to 4.5%, which corresponds to a payout percentage of 95%. The performance stock units earned by our Named Executive Officers under the 2006 EPSP are reflected in the Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2006 Table on page 54 of this Proxy Statement.

38

Initial Hire Grants and Ad Hoc Awards

In addition to annual equity awards, our Named Executive Officers may receive stock options and time-based restricted stock grants in connection with the commencement of their employment, as a result of a promotion or for retention purposes. The Committee, in consultation with its independent consultant, determines the size of these awards based on market data. The objectives of these grants are to encourage hiring, retention and stock ownership and to align an executive interest with those of our shareholders. In certain instances, new hire grants were designed to replace equity compensation forfeited by an executive when terminating their prior employment to accept employment with Kodak. On occasion, the Committee also grants one-time, ad hoc option awards to reward an executive for superior individual performance. Any non-annual equity awards granted to Named Executive Officers in 2006 are shown in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table on page 50 of this Proxy Statement.

In 2006, the grant date for these awards was the effective date of employment or, in connection with a promotion, the date the Committee approved the grant. As a result of the Board sadoption of the new Equity Award Policy, effective as of January 1, 2007, the grant date of any options awarded to a newly hired executive will be the date of the next regularly scheduled Committee meeting after the first date of his or her employment. The grant date for any ad hoc option awards to our executives will continue to be the date the Committee approved the award, if the award was approved in a meeting. If the award is approved by unanimous written consent of the Committee, the grant date of the award will be the date of the next regularly scheduled Committee meeting following the date of the Committee swritten consent.

CEO EVALUATION AND COMPENSATION

Evaluation of CEO

Early this year, the Board implemented a number of enhancements to its CEO evaluation process. Under this revised process, the Presiding Director of the Board, the Chair of the Compensation Committee and the Chief Human Resources Officer lead the annual process of assessing the performance of the Chief Executive Officer. In February each year, a written self-assessment of performance versus the business plan of record is completed by the CEO. The written assessment is sent to the full Board for review. Later in the same month, the Chief Human Resources Officer interviews each member of the Board to collect feedback against an established set of criteria,

including reaction to the CEO self-assessment and the Company seleadership imperatives. All input is summarized and reviewed by the Presiding Director and the Chair of the Compensation Committee, who are responsible for delivering feedback to the CEO. The Committee takes the evaluation results into consideration in determining the appropriateness of the individual performance award level of the CEO.

CEO Compensation

Each year, the Committee requests its external consultant to assess the market competitiveness of the total compensation paid to Mr. Perez compared to that of national survey data reflecting peer CEO compensation. In July 2006, the analysis of the national survey data showed that Mr. Perez was paid below the median. The external consultant recommended increases in long-term incentive target grant amounts to close the market-competitive gap for Mr. Perez. These recommendations were developed with the Chair of the Compensation Committee and Company management. In addition, the external consultant reviewed the costs of the long-term incentive recommendation and concluded that they were reasonable from a share utilization and cost perspective. The Committee reviewed the recommendations in October 2006 and approved them in December 2006. Please see page 36 of this Proxy Statement for further explanation of the long-term incentive gap closure.

Mr. Perez∏s Base Salary

Mr. Perez annual base salary is \$1,100,000. In February 2007, the Committee took into consideration the long-term equity increase associated with the market-competitive gap closure and decided that Mr. Perez[s base salary will not be increased in 2007. Mr. Perez[s base salary has not changed since May 10, 2005, when the Committee approved the increase associated with his promotion to CEO.

Mr. Perez s 2006 EXCEL Award

Mr. Perez did not receive a bonus under the EXCEL plan in 2006. However, based on the Company sachievements and in consideration of the same factors used to determine the EXCEL corporate award pool, the Committee granted Mr. Perez a discretionary bonus of \$1,381,050, which is based on the corporate award pool of 81% of target. Please see pages 34-35 of this Proxy Statement for details on the 2006 EXCEL results and the NEO discretionary bonuses. Mr. Perez received 50% of this bonus in cash, and 50% was paid in restricted stock which ratably vests over three years. The grant date of the restricted shares was February 27, 2007.

Mr. Perez\s 2006 Equity Awards

The Company sperformance for the 2005-2006 Leadership Stock cycle did not reach the threshold performance target. Consequently, Mr. Perez did not earn any shares under this plan. For the 2006 EPSP, Mr. Perez earned 30,281 shares with a one-year vesting period, which is equal to 95% of target. The Committee approved a 2006 stock option grant of 314,530 options, which have a seven-year term and vest ratably over a three-year period. The Committee also approved a 2007 Leadership Stock allocation of 100,650 performance units for the 2007 performance cycle. The total value of the 2006 stock option grant and the 2007 Leadership Stock allocation equal the value of the market-competitive gap closure approved by the Committee.

39

Mr. Perez s Benefits and Perquisites

According to the terms of Mr. Perez shiring agreement, Mr. Perez is eligible to participate in the Company scash balance benefit under the Kodak Retirement Income Plan. In addition, Mr. Perez is eligible for an enhanced retirement benefit, which is described on page 60 of this Proxy Statement. Mr. Perez is also provided financial planning, an executive physical, home security systems and services and personal umbrella insurance benefits. The Company requires Mr. Perez to use Company transportation for security reasons.

Mr. Perez⊓s Severance Benefits Associated with a Change-in-Control

Mr. Perez is eligible to receive change-in-control benefits under the Company

S Executive Protection Plan. Our Executive Protection Plan provides Mr. Perez severance benefits and one-year continuation of medical, dental and life insurance benefits if his employment is terminated without □cause□ or for □good reason□ during the two-year period

following a change-in-control. Mr. Perez is entitled to benefits under the plan if he terminates employment for any reason during the 30-day period commencing 23 months after a change-in-control. Details of these benefits are found in the Change-in-Control Severance Payments Table on page 72 of this Proxy Statement.

Mr. Perez Stock Ownership Requirements

Mr. Perez has met the guideline for Kodak stock ownership. Please see page 42 of this Proxy Statement.

OTHER COMPENSATION ELEMENTS

Retirement Plan Program

In addition to our tax-qualified defined benefit plan (KRIP) and tax-qualified defined contribution plan (Savings & Investment Plan), which cover virtually all U.S. employees, the Company provides supplemental retirement benefits to our Named Executive Officers under the Kodak Unfunded Retirement Income Plan (KURIP) and the Kodak Excess Retirement Income Plan (KERIP). Both plans are unfunded, unsecured obligations of the Company. KERIP is an unfunded excess benefit plan that is designed to provide our executives with pension benefits that make up for the limitations under the Internal Revenue Code on allocations and benefits that may be paid under the Company at tax-qualified defined benefit plan. The KURIP plan is also an unfunded plan that is also designed to provide supplemental retirement benefits for our Named Executive Officers and other executives. The benefit payable under KURIP is designed to provide our Named Executive Officers with benefits that would not be available under the Company | squalified retirement plans as a result of tax code limitations under Section 401(a)(17) of the Internal Revenue Code and/or because deferred compensation is ignored for purposes of calculating benefits under our tax-qualified defined contribution and defined benefit plans. None of our Named Executive Officers has an accumulated benefit under the KERIP supplemental pension plan. The accumulated benefit provided to our Named Executive Officers under the KURIP supplemental pension plan is shown in the Pension Benefits Table on page 58 of this Proxy Statement. For further information regarding how benefits are calculated under each plan, see the discussion below the Pension Benefits Table on page 58 of this Proxy Statement.

The Company believes that our pension plan and non-qualified supplemental pension plans enhance our executive compensation package. The primary objective of our pension plans is to attract and retain our employees.

Supplemental Individual Retirement Arrangements

We have also entered into individual agreements with our Named Executive Officers to provide additional retirement benefits beyond those available under our tax-qualified pension plan and supplemental retirement plans described above. For some of our Named Executive Officers, these agreements provide for additional years of service in calculating their benefits under KRIP and KURIP. These individual arrangements were necessary to attract and retain certain Named Executive Officers who would have a lesser Kodak pension due to their short service with the Company. The benefits provided to our Named Executive Officers under any individual retirement agreement are described following the Pension Benefits Table on page 58 of this Proxy Statement.

Deferred Compensation Plan

The Company maintains a deferred compensation plan for its executives, known as the Eastman Kodak Company 1982 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan. The plan permits the Company sexecutives to defer a portion of their base salary and annual bonus awards. Each fall, the Company sexecutives may elect to defer base salary for the following year and up to a portion of any bonus earned under EXCEL the following year. The plan is intended to promote retention by providing our Named Executive Officers with a long-term savings opportunity on a tax-preferred basis. The plan sensetits are neither funded nor secured.

The plan only has two investment options, an interest-bearing account that pays interest at the prime rate and a Kodak phantom stock account. Any amounts earned by a Named Executive Officer as a result of □above-market□ rates under the interest-bearing account in 2006 are shown in the Summary Compensation Table on page 43 of this Proxy Statement. The accumulated amount of deferred compensation of our Named Executive Officers under the plan is shown in the Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Table on page 61 of this Proxy Statement.

40

Perquisites

The Company does not provide any significant perquisites other than those that are related to personal security. The primary perquisites our Named Executive Officers receive are executive physicals, financial planning services, home security services, personal excess liability coverage and occasional use of the Company sdriver service. Our Named Executive Officers, other than our CEO, also upon occasion, with CEO approval, use corporate aircraft with their spouses for personal travel. Due to our executive security program, the Company requires our CEO to use Company aircraft for all air travel, whether personal or business. The Company provides most of these perquisites primarily for security related reasons, to maximize an executive stime spent on Kodak business or to attract and retain our Named Executive Officers. The compensation attributed to our Named Executive Officers for 2006 and required to be reported for these perquisites is included in the Summary Compensation Table on page 43 of this Proxy Statement.

SEVERANCE AND CHANGE-IN-CONTROL ARRANGEMENTS

Severance Arrangements

Our Named Executive Officers are responsible for the continued success of the Company and the execution of the Company strategic plan to transform the Company from a traditional products and services company to a digital company. The Committee believes that it is important to provide our senior management some measure of financial security in the event their employment is terminated without cause. Most of our Named Executive Officers have an individual severance agreement that provides various severance benefits in the event their employment is terminated under various circumstances. These individual severance arrangements were negotiated at the time each Named Executive Officer commenced employment with the Company or later in connection with entering into retention agreement to provide for the executive officers. Especially during the Company digital transformation process, our severance arrangements are designed to serve as a retention tool and to eliminate any reluctance of executives and employees to implement the Company strategic plan. In certain instances, an executive successful completion of his or her responsibilities may result in the elimination of his/her job. Our severance arrangements also provide an incentive for individuals to sign a release of claims against the Company, to refrain from competing with the Company and to cooperate with the Company both before and after their employment is terminated.

For Mr. Perez, severance benefits are payable in the event his employment is terminated by the Company without <code>[cause]</code> or Mr. Perez for <code>[good reason.]</code> Messrs. Faraci, Brust and Sklarsky and Ms. Hellyar are entitled to severance benefits for termination without <code>[cause]</code> under their individual agreements with the Company. Ms. Hellyar and Mr. Sklarsky are entitled to severance upon their long-term disability. The definitions of <code>[cause]</code> vary slightly among the executive<code>[s]</code> employment agreements. When approving any employment agreement, the Committee focuses on the severance triggers relative to each executive<code>[s]</code> position and responsibilities.

Our severance arrangements with our Named Executive Officers also provide for the treatment of other compensation provided under the Company sannual bonus plan, equity plans and retirement plans. For additional information regarding the potential severance benefits payable to our Named Executive Officers under various circumstances, as well as the severance benefits paid in 2006, see the description under the Severance Benefits Tables beginning on page 67 of this Proxy Statement.

Change-in-Control Arrangements

Consistent with our compensation philosophy, we believe that the interests of our shareholders are best served if the interests of our senior management are aligned with theirs. To this end, our Executive Protection Plan, which the Company adopted in 1992, provides for enhanced change-in-control severance benefits for our Named Executive Officers to reduce any reluctance of our Named Executive Officers to pursue potential change-in-control transactions and to promote the continued employment and dedication of our Named Executive Officers without distraction. The Committee believes that these change-in-control benefits also encourage smooth transition of management in the event of a change-in-control. The Committee reviews the provisions of the Executive Protection Plan periodically to balance the costs of the plan against the benefit provided to the Company. The Committee last reviewed the benefits offered to Named Executive Officers under the plan in 2005 and, in the context of the operating circumstances, the Committee decided to maintain the current plan without change and re-evaluate it at a subsequent date.

Our Executive Protection Plan provides severance pay and continuation of certain welfare benefits for our Named Executive Officers if their employment is terminated without <code>cause</code> or for <code>good</code> reason during the two-year period following a change-in-control, and in some cases prior to a change-in-control. Our CEO will also be entitled to benefits under the plan if he or she terminates employment for any reason during the 30-day period commencing 23 months after a change-in-control.

Certain of our other compensation plans also provide enhanced benefits to our Named Executive Officers after a change-in-control without termination of employment. These benefits are designed to provide our Named Executive Officers with the opportunity to realize the benefits under these plans after a change-in-control. Additional plan terms and the treatment of any benefits after a change-in-control under the Company retirement plans, deferred compensation plan, EXCEL plan and equity incentive plans are described below in the Change-In-Control Severance Payments Table on page 72 of this Proxy Statement. The potential change-in-control payments that would be payable to Named Executive Officers in the event of a hypothetical termination of employment as of December 31, 2006, in connection with a change-in-control are shown in the Change-In-Control Severance Payments Table on page 72 of this Proxy Statement.

41

ADDITIONAL EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION PRINCIPLES

Use of Tally Sheets

In 2006, the Committee reviewed all components of our Named Executive Officers compensation using various tools, including tally sheets prepared by the Committees independent consultant. The tally sheets provided a comprehensive view of each Named Executive Officers compensation in a three-part analysis. First, the tally sheets provided an estimate of projected 2006 compensation, including total cash compensation, the total value of annual long-term equity incentive awards and the value of benefits and perquisites received by each Named Executive Officer. Second, the tally sheets projected the value of stock awards held by each Named Executive Officer at three different assumed stock prices (\$21, \$28 and \$40) as determined by the Committees independent compensation consultant. Third, the tally sheets provided a summary of severance benefits as of December 31, 2006 under various leaving scenarios. The Committee conducted this review in order to holistically asses