

KEY TECHNOLOGY INC
Form 10-Q
May 10, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
for the transition period from ____ to ____

Commission File No. 0-21820

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Oregon
(State or jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

93-0822509
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

150 Avery Street
Walla Walla, Washington 99362
(Address of principal executive offices and zip code)

(509) 529-2161
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Sections 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Edgar Filing: KEY TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, no par value, on April 29, 2011 was 5,334,038 shares.

Table of Contents

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC.
 FORM 10-Q FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2011
 TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	<u>Financial Statements</u>	
	<u>Unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets, March 31, 2011 and September 30, 2010</u>	3
	<u>Unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	4
	<u>Unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations for the six months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	5
	<u>Unaudited condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the six months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	6
	<u>Notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements</u>	8
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	14
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	23
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	24

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	25
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	26

<u>SIGNATURES</u>	27
-------------------	----

<u>EXHIBIT</u>	28
<u>INDEX</u>	

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
MARCH 31, 2011 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

	March 31, 2011	September 30, 2010
	(in thousands)	
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$31,523	\$29,096
Trade accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$341 and \$438, respectively	8,690	13,250
Inventories:		
Raw materials	7,820	6,584
Work-in-process and sub-assemblies	8,649	9,685
Finished goods	5,759	4,922
Total inventories	22,228	21,191
Deferred income taxes	3,071	2,893
Prepaid expenses and other assets	4,395	3,090
Total current assets	69,907	69,520
Property, plant and equipment, net	17,860	16,821
Deferred income taxes	1,573	1,076
Goodwill	2,524	2,524
Investment in Proditex	1,178	1,178
Intangibles and other assets, net	183	148
Total	\$93,225	\$91,267
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$6,766	\$6,461
Accrued payroll liabilities and commissions	5,641	6,606
Customers' deposits	9,300	8,272
Accrued customer support and warranty costs	3,094	2,362
Customer purchase plans	593	934
Income taxes payable	374	589
Current portion of long-term debt	340	333
Other accrued liabilities	2,110	2,488
Total current liabilities	28,218	28,045
Long-term debt	5,370	5,542
Deferred income taxes	1,951	887
Other long-term liabilities	135	455
Shareholders' equity:		

Edgar Filing: KEY TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-Q

Common stock	20,485	20,006
Retained earnings and other shareholders' equity	37,066	36,332
Total shareholders' equity	57,551	56,338
Total	\$93,225	\$91,267

See notes to condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
 FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2011 AND 2010

	2011	2010
	(in thousands, except per share data)	
Net sales	\$27,929	\$30,728
Cost of sales	19,788	19,926
Gross profit	8,141	10,802
Operating expenses:		
Sales and marketing	4,561	4,655
Research and development	1,479	1,811
General and administrative	2,048	2,179
Amortization of intangibles	4	317
Total operating expenses	8,092	8,962
Loss on disposition of assets	(20)	(2)
Earnings from operations	29	1,838
Other income (expense)	(149)	154
Earnings (loss) before income taxes	(120)	1,992
Income tax expense (benefit)	(49)	598
Net earnings (loss)	\$(71)	\$1,394
Net earnings (loss) per share		
- basic	\$(0.01)	\$0.27
- diluted	\$(0.01)	\$0.26
Shares used in per share calculations - basic	5,291	5,258
Shares used in per share calculations - diluted	5,291	5,274

See notes to condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2011 AND 2010

	2011	2010
	(in thousands, except per share data)	
Net sales	\$56,076	\$53,171
Cost of sales	38,615	34,504
Gross profit	17,461	18,667
Operating expenses:		
Sales and marketing	9,045	8,602
Research and development	2,996	3,311
General and administrative	4,488	4,382
Amortization of intangibles	8	635
Total operating expenses	16,537	16,930
Loss on disposition of assets	(20)	-
Earnings from operations	904	1,737
Other income (expense)	(224)	174
Earnings before income taxes	680	1,911
Income tax expense	147	573
Net earnings	\$533	\$1,338
Net earnings per share		
- basic	\$0.10	\$0.25
- diluted	\$0.10	\$0.25
Shares used in per share calculations - basic	5,289	5,253
Shares used in per share calculations - diluted	5,308	5,269

See notes to condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2011 AND 2010

	2011	2010
	(in thousands)	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net earnings	\$533	\$1,338
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Gain on sale of investment in joint venture	-	(475)
Loss on disposition of assets	20	-
Foreign currency exchange loss	15	71
Depreciation and amortization	1,342	1,848
Share based payments	760	843
Excess tax benefits from share based payments	(7)	46
Deferred income taxes	344	59
Deferred rent	(38)	(38)
Bad debt expense	(87)	30
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Trade accounts receivable	4,673	(807)
Inventories	(919)	(2,724)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(1,180)	(1,395)
Income taxes receivable	(59)	665
Accounts payable	270	994
Accrued payroll liabilities and commissions	(1,032)	181
Customers' deposits	965	1,688
Accrued customer support and warranty costs	669	66
Income taxes payable	(205)	(32)
Other accrued liabilities	(869)	564
Other	(4)	(13)
Cash provided by operating activities	5,191	2,909
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from sale of property	14	1
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(2,380)	(1,026)
Sale of investment in joint venture	-	575
Cash used in investing activities	(2,366)	(450)

See notes to condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements.

(Continued)

Table of Contents

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2011 AND 2010

	2011	2010
	(in thousands)	
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Payments on long-term debt	(165)	(159)
Excess tax benefits from share based payments	7	(46)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	92	89
Exchange of shares for statutory withholding	(364)	(198)
Cash used in financing activities	(430)	(314)
EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH	32	(214)
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	2,427	1,931
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF THE PERIOD	29,096	18,142
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF THE PERIOD	\$31,523	\$ 20,073
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:		
Cash paid during the period for interest	\$126	\$ 138
Cash paid (refunded) during the period for income taxes	\$61	\$(117)
See notes to condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements.		(Concluded)

Table of Contents

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2011

1. Unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements

Certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) have been omitted from these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010. The results of operations for the six-month period ended March 31, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for the full year.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring accruals, have been made to present fairly the Company's financial position at March 31, 2011 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the six-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements— Effective October 1, 2010, the Company adopted Accounting Standard Update (“ASU”) 2009-13, “Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables” and ASU 2009-14, “Certain Revenue Arrangements That Include Software.” These ASUs revise and clarify accounting for arrangements with multiple deliverables, including how to separate deliverables into units of accounting determining the allocation of revenue to the units of accounting and the application of these provisions to tangible products containing software components. There are also expanded disclosure requirements for significant judgments made in the application of these standards, if material. The adoption of these pronouncements did not have a material effect on the Company’s financial statements.

2. Stock compensation

During the six-month period ended March 31, 2011, the Company granted 51,970 shares of service-based stock awards. The fair value of these grants was \$17.94 per share based on the fair market value at the grant date. The restrictions on these shares lapse at the end of the required service periods ranging from February 2012 through December 2013. During the six-month period ended March 31, 2011, the Company also granted 60,212 shares of performance-based stock awards. The fair value of these grants ranged from \$13.32 to \$17.94 per share based on the fair market value at the grant date. The restrictions on 7,415 shares of these grants lapse upon achievement of performance-based objectives for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2011 and continued employment through December 15, 2011. The restrictions on 52,797 shares of these grants lapse upon achievement of performance-based objectives for the three-year period ending September 30, 2013 and continuous employment through December 16, 2013. The Company estimates that it is less than probable that the performance-based objectives on any of the performance based awards granted in fiscal 2011 will be achieved and, therefore, has not recorded any stock compensation expense in fiscal 2011 related to these awards.

Stock compensation expense included in the Company’s results was as follows (in thousands):

Three months ended March 31,	Six months ended March 31,
------------------------------	----------------------------

Edgar Filing: KEY TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-Q

	2011	2010	2011	2010
Cost of goods sold	\$ 32	\$ 23	\$ 48	\$ 38
Operating expenses	343	455	712	805
Total stock compensation expense	\$ 375	\$ 478	\$ 760	\$ 843

8

Table of Contents

Stock compensation expense remaining capitalized in inventory at March 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$16,000 and \$12,000, respectively.

3. Earnings per share

The calculation of the basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share (“EPS”) is as follows (in thousands, except per-share data):

	For the three months ended March 31, 2011			For the three months ended March 31, 2010		
	Earnings	Shares	Per-Share Amount	Earnings	Shares	Per-Share Amount
Basic EPS:						
Net earnings (loss)	\$ (71)	5,291	\$ (0.01)	\$ 1,394	5,258	\$ 0.27
Effect of dilutive securities:						
Common stock options	-	-		-	16	
Diluted EPS:						
Earnings (loss) plus assumed conversions	\$ (71)	5,291	\$ (0.01)	\$ 1,394	5,274	\$ 0.26

	For the six months ended March 31, 2011			For the six months ended March 31, 2010		
	Earnings	Shares	Per-Share Amount	Earnings	Shares	Per-Share Amount
Basic EPS:						
Net earnings	\$ 533	5,289	0.10	\$ 1,338	5,253	\$.25
Effect of dilutive securities:						
Common stock options	-	19		-	16	
Diluted EPS:						
Earnings plus assumed conversions	\$ 533	5,308	0.10	\$ 1,338	5,269	\$.25

The weighted-average number of diluted shares does not include potential common shares which are anti-dilutive. The following potential common shares at March 31, 2011 and 2010 were not included in the calculation of diluted EPS as they were anti-dilutive:

	Three months ended March 31,		Six months ended March 31,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Common shares from:				
Assumed exercise of stock options	45,000	10,000	-	10,000

The options expire on dates beginning in February 2012 through February 2015. The restrictions on stock grants may lapse between May 2011 and December 2013.

4. Income taxes

The provision (benefit) for income taxes is based on the estimated effective income tax rate for the year. Changes in the estimated effective income tax rate are accounted for in the period the change in estimate occurs. During the first quarter of fiscal 2011, income tax expense was reduced by approximately \$72,000 for

9

Table of Contents

additional research and development tax credits related to expenditures incurred during fiscal 2010 due to changes in tax law that were enacted during the quarter to retroactively renew these tax credits.

5. Derivative instruments

The Company uses derivative instruments as risk management tools but does not use derivative instruments for trading or speculative purposes. Derivatives used for interest rate swap hedging purposes are designated and effective as a cash flow hedge of the identified risk exposure related to the Company's variable rate mortgage at the inception of the contract. A hedge is deemed effective if changes in the fair value of the derivative contract are highly correlated with changes in the underlying hedged item at inception of the hedge and over the life of the hedge contract. To the extent the interest rate swap is effective, changes in fair value will be recognized in Other Comprehensive Income over the term of the derivative contract. To the extent the interest rate swap is not effective, changes in fair value will be recognized in earnings.

At March 31, 2011, the Company had an interest rate swap of \$5.7 million that effectively fixes the interest rate on its LIBOR-based variable rate mortgage at 4.27%. At March 31, 2011, the fair value of the swap agreement recorded as an asset in Other long-term assets on the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet was \$40,000. There were no gains or losses recognized as part of net earnings in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations related to the swap agreement during the six months ended March 31, 2011, as the interest rate swap was highly effective as a cash flow hedge. Consequently, increases in the fair value of the interest rate swap of \$58,000 and \$319,000 during the three and six-month periods ending March 31, 2011 were recorded as part of Other comprehensive income in the Equity section of the Company's Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. During the three and six-month periods ended March 31, 2011, the Company recorded \$36,000 and \$76,000, respectively, as interest expense related to the interest rate swap reflecting actual interest payments and settlements on the interest rate swap. The interest rate swap matures in January 2024.

At March 31, 2011, the Company had a one-month undesignated forward exchange contract for €3.7 million (\$5.2 million). Forward exchange contracts are used to manage the Company's foreign currency exchange risk related to its ongoing operations. Net foreign currency losses of \$387,000 and \$198,000, respectively were recorded for forward exchange contracts in the three and six-month periods ended March 31, 2011 in Other income (expense) on the Company's Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. At March 31, 2011, the Company had liabilities of \$107,000 for settlements of forward contracts in Other accrued liabilities on the Company's Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. At September 30, 2010, the Company had liabilities of \$613,000 for forward contracts in Other accrued liabilities on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet.

6. Fair value measurements

Fair value measurements are classified under the following hierarchy:

- Level 1 – Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.
- Level 2 – Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs or significant value-drivers are observable in active markets.
- Level 3 – Model-derived valuations in which one or more significant inputs or significant value-drivers are unobservable.

When available, the Company uses quoted market prices to determine fair value and classifies such measurements within Level 1. In some cases where market prices are not available, the Company makes use of observable market-based inputs to calculate fair value, in which case the measurements are classified within Level 2. If quoted or

observable market processes are not available, fair value is based upon internally developed models that use, where possible, current market-based parameters such as interest rates, yield curves and currency rates. These measurements are classified within Level 3.

Money market funds

The Company has measured its money market funds based on quoted prices in active markets of identical assets.

Table of Contents

Derivative financial instruments

Interest rate swap agreements are measured on a recurring basis based on quoted prices for similar financial instruments and other observable inputs which approximate fair value. The fair value of foreign currency forward contracts is based on the differential between contract price and the market-based forward rate.

The following table presents the Company's assets and liabilities that are measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis consistent with the fair value hierarchy provisions.

Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2011
(in thousands)

Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total Assets/ Liabilities at Fair Value
Money market funds	\$ 5,010	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,010
Derivatives:				
Interest rate swap	-	\$ 40	-	\$ 40
Forward exchange contracts	-	\$ 0	-	\$ 0

At March 31, 2011, the Company also had long-term debt of approximately \$5.7 million. The Company's long-term debt is recorded at historical cost and the Company has not elected to fair value such financial instruments. The fair value of the debt approximated its carrying value based on the borrowing rates currently available to the Company for loans with similar terms and maturities.

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and, therefore, cannot be determined with precision. Forward exchange contracts had a fair value of zero at the reporting date, as these contracts were entered into as of that date. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect these estimates.

At March 31, 2011, the Company's minority interest in Proditec SAS had a carrying value of approximately \$1.2 million. This investment is being accounted for under the cost method. The fair value of the Company's investment in Proditec was not estimated as there were no events or changes in circumstances that may have a significant adverse effect on the fair value of the investment, and the Company's management determined that it was not practicable to estimate the fair value of the investment. Further, there are not quoted market prices for the Company's investment, and sufficient information is not readily available for the Company to utilize a valuation model to determine its fair value without incurring excessive costs relative to the materiality of the investment. The Company's cost method investment is evaluated, on at least a quarterly basis, for potential other-than-temporary impairment or when an event of change in circumstances has occurred that may have a significant adverse effect on the fair value of the investment.

7. Financing arrangements

The Company's domestic credit facility provides a revolving line of credit to the Company in the maximum principal amount of \$15,000,000 and a credit sub-facility of up to \$6,000,000 for standby letters of credit. The revolving line of credit matures on September 30, 2011. The credit facility bears interest, at the Company's option, at either the bank's prime rate or the British Bankers Association LIBOR Rate ("BBA LIBOR") plus 1.75% per annum. At March 31, 2011, the interest rate would have been 1.99% based on the lowest of the available alternative rates. The revolving line of

credit is secured by all U.S. accounts receivable, inventory, equipment, and fixtures. At March 31, 2011, the Company had no outstanding borrowings under the revolving line of credit and \$250,000 in standby letters of credit.

Subsequent to the end of the fiscal quarter, the Company entered into an amendment to its agreement with its principal domestic bank. The amendment extended the maturity date of its line of credit three years to September 30, 2014. The amendment also changed the Company's interest rate options to a tiered structure

Table of Contents

based upon the Company's achievement of a specified financial ratio. The Company's prime rate option will be either the bank's prime rate or prime less 0.25% per annum. The Company's BBA LIBOR option will be either BBA LIBOR plus 1.75% or 1.50% per annum.

The loan agreement also provided for a 15-year term loan in the amount of \$6.4 million of which \$5.7 million was outstanding as of March 31, 2011. The term loan provides for a mortgage on the Company's Avery Street headquarters' land and building located in Walla Walla, Washington. The term loan bears interest at the BBA LIBOR rate plus 1.4% and matures on January 2, 2024. The Company has also simultaneously entered into an interest rate swap agreement with the lender to fix the interest rate at 4.27%.

The credit facilities contain covenants which require the maintenance of a funded debt to EBITDA ratio, a fixed charge coverage ratio and minimum working capital levels. The loan agreement permits capital expenditures up to a certain level, and contains customary default and acceleration provisions. The credit facilities also restrict acquisitions, incurrence of additional indebtedness and lease expenditures above certain levels without the prior consent of the lender. At March 31, 2011, the Company was in compliance with its loan covenants.

The Company's credit accommodation with a commercial bank in the Netherlands was renewed in fiscal 2011 and provides a credit facility for its European subsidiary. This credit accommodation totals \$2.5 million and includes an operating line of the lesser of \$350,000 or the available borrowing base, which is based on varying percentages of eligible accounts receivable and inventories, and a bank guarantee facility of \$2.1 million. The operating line and bank guarantee facility are secured by all of the subsidiary's personal property. The credit facility bears interest at the bank's prime rate, with a minimum of 3.00%, plus 1.75%. At March 31, 2011, the interest rate was 5.95%. The credit accommodation contains a covenant which requires the maintenance of minimum tangible net worth levels at the subsidiary. At March 31, 2011, the Company was in compliance with its loan covenants. At March 31, 2011, the Company had no borrowings under this facility and had received bank guarantees of \$538,000 under the bank guarantee facility. The credit facility allows overages on the bank guarantee facility. Any overages reduce the available borrowings under the operating line.

8. Comprehensive income

The calculation of comprehensive income is as follows (in thousands):

	Three months ended March 31,		Six months ended March 31,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Components of comprehensive income:				
Net earnings (loss)	\$ (71)	\$ 1,394	\$ 533	\$ 1,338
Other comprehensive income (loss) -				
Foreign currency translation adjustment	98	(106)	(19)	(151)
Unrealized changes in value of derivatives	58	(83)	319	79
Income tax (expense) benefit related to items of comprehensive income (loss)	(50)	64	(99)	24
Total comprehensive income	\$ 35	\$ 1,269	\$ 734	\$ 1,290

9. Contractual guarantees and indemnities

Product warranties

The Company provides a warranty on its products ranging from ninety days to five years following the date of shipment. Management establishes allowances for warranty costs based upon the types of products shipped and product warranty experience. The provision for warranty costs is charged to cost of sales at the time of sale, and it is periodically assessed for adequacy based on changes in these factors.

A reconciliation of the changes in the Company's allowances for warranties for the six months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands) is as follows:

Table of Contents

	Six months ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Beginning balance	\$ 1,954	\$ 2,122
Warranty costs incurred	(2,221)	(1,241)
Warranty expense accrued	2,545	1,218
Translation adjustments	39	(37)
Ending balance	\$ 2,317	\$ 2,062

Intellectual property and general contractual indemnities

The Company, in the normal course of business, provides specific, limited indemnification to its customers for liability and damages related to intellectual property rights. In addition, the Company may enter into contracts with customers where it has agreed to indemnify the customer for personal injury or property damage caused by the Company's products and services. Indemnification is typically limited to replacement of the items or the actual price of the products and services. The Company maintains product liability insurance as well as errors and omissions insurance, which may provide a source of recovery in the event of an indemnification claim, but does not maintain insurance coverage for claims related to intellectual property rights.

Historically, any amounts payable under these indemnifications have not had a material effect on the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows. The Company has not recorded any provision for future obligations under these indemnifications. If the Company determines it is probable that a loss has occurred under these indemnifications, then any such reasonably estimable loss would be recognized.

Director and officer indemnities

The Company has entered into indemnification agreements with its directors and certain executive officers which require the Company to indemnify such individuals against certain expenses, judgments and fines in third-party and derivative proceedings. The Company may recover, under certain circumstances, some of the expenses and liabilities that arise in connection with such indemnifications under the terms of its directors' and officers' insurance policies. The Company has not recorded any provision for future obligations under these indemnification agreements.

Bank guarantees and letters of credit

At March 31, 2011, the Company had standby letters of credit totaling \$788,000, which includes secured bank guarantees under the Company's domestic and European credit facilities and domestic letters of credit securing certain self-insurance contracts. If the Company fails to meet its contractual obligations, these bank guarantees and letters of credit may become liabilities of the Company. This amount is comprised of approximately \$538,000 of outstanding performance guarantees secured by bank guarantees under the Company's European subsidiaries' credit facility, \$100,000 of outstanding performance guarantees secured by bank guarantees under the Company's domestic credit facility and a standby letter of credit for \$150,000 securing certain self-insurance contracts related to workers compensation. Bank guarantees arise when the Company collects customer deposits prior to order fulfillment. The customer deposits received are recorded as current liabilities on the Company's balance sheet. The bank guarantees repayment of the customer deposit in the event an order is not completed. The bank guarantee is canceled upon shipment and transfer of title. These bank guarantees arise in the normal course of the Company's business and are not deemed to expose the Company to any significant risks since they are satisfied as part of the design and manufacturing process.

Table of Contents

ITEM MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF
2. OPERATIONS.

From time to time, Key Technology, Inc. ("Key" or the "Company"), through its management, may make forward-looking public statements with respect to the Company regarding, among other things, expected future revenues or earnings, projections, plans, future performance, product development and commercialization, and other estimates relating to the Company's future operations. Forward-looking statements may be included in reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), in press releases or in oral statements made with the approval of an authorized executive officer of Key. The words or phrases "will likely result," "are expected to," "intends," "is anticipated," "estimates," "believes," "projects" or similar expressions are intended to identify "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 21E of the Exchange Act and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, as enacted by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties. The Company cautions investors not to place undue reliance on its forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date on which they are made. Key's actual results may differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including those listed below:

- changes in general economic conditions may adversely affect the Company's business and results of operations, and the business of the Company's customers;
- economic conditions in the food processing industry, either globally or regionally, may adversely affect the Company's revenues;
- the loss of any of the Company's significant customers could reduce the Company's revenues and profitability;
- the Company is subject to pricing pressure which may reduce the Company's profitability;
- the failure of the Company's independent sales representatives to perform as expected would harm the Company's net sales;
- the Company may make acquisitions that could disrupt the Company's operations and harm the Company's operating results;
- the Company's international operations subject the Company to a number of risks that could adversely affect the Company's revenues, operating results and growth;
- competition and advances in technology may adversely affect sales and prices;
- the Company's products may not compete successfully in either existing or new markets, which would adversely affect sales and operating results;
- the Company's expansion into new markets and integrated product offerings could increase the cost of operations and reduce gross margins and profitability;
- our product offerings depend to a certain extent on products and components manufactured by others;
- the Company's inability to retain and recruit experienced management and other key personnel, or the loss of key management personnel, may adversely affect the Company's business and prospects for growth;
- the inability to protect the Company's intellectual property, especially as the Company expands geographically, may adversely affect the Company's competitive advantage;
- intellectual property-related litigation expenses and other costs resulting from infringement claims asserted against the Company by third parties may adversely affect the Company's results of operations and its customer relations;
- the Company's dependence on certain suppliers may leave the Company temporarily without adequate access to raw materials or products;
- the limited availability and possible cost fluctuations of materials used in the Company's products could adversely affect the Company's gross margins;
- compliance with changes in environmental laws, including proposed climate change laws and regulations, could adversely affect our performance;
- compliance with recently passed health care legislation may adversely affect our business;

- our reported results can be affected adversely by the implementation of new, or changes in the interpretation of existing, accounting principles or financial reporting requirements and is expected to require the Company to incur substantial additional expenses;
- compliance with changing regulation of corporate governance and public disclosure will result in additional expenses and pose challenges for our management;
- changes in the Company's tax rates could affect profitability; and
- the price of the Company's common stock may fluctuate significantly, and this may make it difficult for shareholders to sell common stock when they want or at prices they find attractive.

Table of Contents

More information may be found in Item 1A, "Risk Factors," in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 filed with the SEC on December 10, 2010, which item is hereby incorporated by reference.

Given these uncertainties, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on the forward-looking statements. The Company disclaims any obligation subsequently to revise or update forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of such statements or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

Overview

General

The Company and its operating subsidiaries design, manufacture, sell and service automation systems that process product streams of discrete pieces to improve safety and quality. These systems integrate electro-optical automated inspection and sorting systems with process systems that include specialized conveying and preparation systems. The Company provides parts and service for each of its product lines to customers throughout the world. Industries served include food processing, as well as tobacco, plastics, pharmaceuticals and nutraceuticals. The Company maintains two domestic manufacturing facilities and a European manufacturing facility located in the Netherlands. The Company markets its products directly and through independent sales representatives.

In recent years, 40% or more of the Company's sales have been made to customers located outside the United States. In its export and international sales, the Company is subject to the risks of conducting business internationally, including unexpected changes in regulatory requirements; fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar which could increase or decrease the sales prices in local currencies of the Company's products; tariffs and other barriers and restrictions; and the burdens of complying with a variety of international laws.

The worldwide economy, tight credit markets for customers, and competitive pricing pressures continued to challenge the Company in the first six months of fiscal 2011. In response to continued excess industry capacity, the market continued to see very aggressive pricing efforts to stimulate demand, which increased the competitive pricing pressure on the Company. Order volumes, however, for the first six months of fiscal 2011, compared to the first six months of fiscal 2010, were up modestly across most of the Company's significant geographic markets and for both automated inspection system products and process systems.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company continued to focus on several long-term market initiatives which it started to implement in fiscal 2010:

- Expand the Integrated Solutions Group to offer more complete process solutions to our customers.
- Leverage the Intercontinental Sales Group to support customers in Mexico, Central and South America, Australia, China and eastern Asia.
- Increase promotion of differentiated aftermarket offerings.
- Initiate development of additional new products that meet the specific needs of targeted emerging markets.

Current period – second quarter of fiscal 2011

In the second quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company's order volume and backlog increased while net sales and net earnings decreased compared to the corresponding period in the prior fiscal year. Net sales of \$27.9 million in the second fiscal quarter of 2011 were \$2.8 million, or 9%, lower than net sales of \$30.7 million in the corresponding quarter a year ago. International sales were 35% of net sales for the second fiscal quarter of 2011, compared to 46% in the corresponding prior year period. Net loss for the second quarter of fiscal 2011 was \$71,000, or \$0.01 per

diluted share. Net earnings for the corresponding period last year were \$1.4 million, or \$0.26 per diluted share. The net loss in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 was primarily due to lower gross profit margins. Gross profit was adversely affected by higher than expected costs associated with specific large projects and complex customer applications. The Company continues to address these issues by strengthening project management and product validation processes, in addition to implementing specific technical product enhancements. The Company believes these actions will be successful in reducing the effect of these cost issues on future results, and expects the gross profit percentage in the third quarter to be more consistent with the gross profit percentages in the third quarter of fiscal 2010 through the first quarter of fiscal 2011. Backlog of \$38.2 million at the end of the second fiscal quarter of 2011 represented a \$6.6 million, or 21%, increase over the ending backlog of \$31.6 million at the end of the

Table of Contents

corresponding quarter a year ago. Customer orders in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 of \$34.3 million were up \$7.4 million, or 28%, compared to orders of \$26.9 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2010. Orders increased across the Company’s major geographic areas, primarily in the potato, freshcut, and tobacco markets. Orders increased in the automated inspection system and process system product lines, most significantly in ADR, upgrade, tobacco and international vibratory system orders.

First six months of fiscal 2011

Order volume and net sales increased in the first half of fiscal 2011, while net earnings decreased compared to the corresponding period in the prior fiscal year. Net sales increased in process systems and parts and service, partially offset by a small reduction in automated inspection system sales. Net sales of \$56.1 million for the first six months of fiscal 2011 were \$2.9 million, or 5%, higher than net sales of \$53.2 million in the corresponding period a year ago. International sales were 37% of net sales for the first six months of fiscal 2011 compared to 44% in the corresponding prior year period. Customer orders in the first half of fiscal 2011 of \$59.2 million were up \$4.0 million, or 7%, compared to the orders of \$55.2 million in the first half of fiscal 2010. Customer orders increased in automated inspection systems, process systems, and parts and service across the Company’s major geographical regions. Given our strong ending backlog and order volumes in the second half of fiscal 2011, we expect to exceed the 5% increase in net sales achieved in the first half of fiscal 2011. In the third quarter, we expect sales to increase significantly over the sales reported in the quarter just ended, with a large portion of the second quarter backlog expected to ship in the third quarter. Net earnings for the first half of fiscal 2011 were \$533,000, or \$0.10 per diluted share. The net earnings for the corresponding six-month period last year were \$1.3 million, or \$0.25 per diluted share. The decrease in net earnings is primarily due to lower than expected gross profit margins incurred in the second quarter of fiscal 2011, as previously discussed. Taking into account our increasing order rate, current backlog and financial position, we believe we are well positioned for the second half of fiscal 2011.

Application of Critical Accounting Policies

The Company has identified its critical accounting policies, the application of which may materially affect its financial statements, either because of the significance of the financial statement item to which they relate, or because they require management judgment to make estimates and assumptions in measuring, at a specific point in time, events which will be settled in the future. The critical accounting policies, judgments and estimates which management believes have the most significant effect on the financial statements are set forth below:

- Revenue recognition
- Allowances for doubtful accounts
- Valuation of inventories
- Long-lived assets
- Allowances for warranties
- Accounting for income taxes

Management has discussed the development, selection and related disclosures of these critical accounting estimates with the audit committee of the Company’s board of directors.

Revenue Recognition. The Company recognizes revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been provided, the sale price is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. Additionally, the Company sells its goods on terms which transfer title and risk of loss at a specified location, typically shipping point, port of loading or port of discharge, depending on the final destination of the

goods. Accordingly, revenue recognition from product sales occurs when all criteria are met, including transfer of title and risk of loss, which occurs either upon shipment by the Company or upon receipt by customers at the location specified in the terms of sale. Sales of system upgrades are recognized as revenue upon completion of the conversion of the customer's existing system when this conversion occurs at the customer site. Revenue earned from services (maintenance, installation support, and repairs) is recognized ratably over the contractual period or as the services are performed. If any contract provides for both equipment and services (multiple deliverables), the sales price is allocated to the various elements based on the relative selling price. Each element is then evaluated for revenue recognition based on the previously described criteria. The Company typically has a very limited number of contracts with multiple deliverables and they are not material to the financial statements. The Company's sales arrangements provide for no other significant post-shipment obligations. If all conditions of revenue recognition are

Table of Contents

not met, the Company defers revenue recognition. In the event of revenue deferral, the sale value is not recorded as revenue to the Company, accounts receivable are reduced by any related amounts owed by the customer, and the cost of the goods or services deferred is carried in inventory. In addition, the Company periodically evaluates whether an allowance for sales returns is necessary. Historically, the Company has experienced few sales returns. The Company accounts for cash consideration (such as sales incentives) that are given to customers or resellers as a reduction of revenue rather than as an operating expense unless an identified benefit is received for which fair value can be reasonably estimated. The Company believes that revenue recognition is a “critical accounting estimate” because the Company’s terms of sale vary significantly, and management exercises judgment in determining whether to recognize or defer revenue based on those terms. Such judgments may materially affect net sales for any period. Management exercises judgment within the parameters of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) in determining when contractual obligations are met, title and risk of loss are transferred, the sales price is fixed or determinable and collectability is reasonably assured. At March 31, 2011, the Company had invoiced \$2.0 million, compared to \$1.5 million at September 30, 2010, for which the Company has not recognized revenue.

Allowances for doubtful accounts. The Company establishes allowances for doubtful accounts for specifically identified, as well as anticipated, doubtful accounts based on credit profiles of customers, current economic trends, contractual terms and conditions, and customers’ historical payment patterns. Factors that affect collectability of receivables include general economic or political factors in certain countries that affect the ability of customers to meet current obligations. The Company actively manages its credit risk by utilizing an independent credit rating and reporting service, by requiring certain percentages of down payments, and by requiring secured forms of payment for customers with uncertain credit profiles or located in certain countries. Forms of secured payment could include irrevocable letters of credit, bank guarantees, third-party leasing arrangements or EX-IM Bank guarantees, each utilizing Uniform Commercial Code filings, or the like, with governmental entities where possible. The Company believes that the accounting estimate related to allowances for doubtful accounts is a “critical accounting estimate” because it requires management judgment in making assumptions relative to customer or general economic factors that are outside the Company’s control. As of March 31, 2011, the balance sheet included allowances for doubtful accounts of \$341,000. Amounts charged to bad debt expense for the six-month period ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, were (\$87,000) and \$30,000, respectively. Actual charges to the allowance for doubtful accounts for the six-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, were \$13,000 and \$103,000, respectively. If the Company experiences actual bad debt expense in excess of estimates, or if estimates are adversely adjusted in future periods, the carrying value of accounts receivable would decrease and charges for bad debts would increase, resulting in decreased net earnings.

Valuation of inventories. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. The Company’s inventory includes purchased raw materials, manufactured components, purchased components, service and repair parts, work in process, finished goods and demonstration equipment. Write downs for excess and obsolete inventories are made after periodic evaluation of historical sales, current economic trends, forecasted sales, estimated product lifecycles and estimated inventory levels. The factors that contribute to inventory valuation risks are the Company’s purchasing practices, electronic component obsolescence, accuracy of sales and production forecasts, introduction of new products, product lifecycles and the associated product support. The Company actively manages its exposure to inventory valuation risks by maintaining low safety stocks and minimum purchase lots, utilizing just in time purchasing practices, managing product end-of-life issues brought on by aging components or new product introductions, and by utilizing inventory minimization strategies such as vendor-managed inventories. The Company believes that the accounting estimate related to valuation of inventories is a “critical accounting estimate” because it is susceptible to changes from period to period due to the requirement for management to make estimates relative to each of the underlying factors ranging from purchasing to sales to production to after-sale support. At March 31, 2011, cumulative inventory adjustments to the lower of cost or market totaled \$2.0 million compared to \$2.1 million as of March 31, 2010. Amounts charged to expense to record inventory at lower of cost or market for the six-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 were \$643,000 and \$166,000, respectively. Actual charges to the cumulative

inventory adjustments upon disposition or sale of inventory were \$418,000 and \$153,000 for the six-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. If actual demand, market conditions or product lifecycles are adversely different from those estimated by management, inventory adjustments to lower market values would result in a reduction to the carrying value of inventory, an increase in inventory write-offs, and a decrease to gross margins.

Long-lived assets. The Company regularly reviews all of its long-lived assets, including property, plant and equipment, and amortizable intangible assets, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. If the total of projected future undiscounted cash flows is less than

Table of Contents

the carrying amount of these assets, an impairment loss based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets is recorded. In addition, goodwill is reviewed based on its fair value at least annually. As of March 31, 2011, the Company held \$20.4 million of long-lived assets, net of depreciation and amortization. There were no material changes in the Company's long-lived assets that would result in an adjustment of the carrying value for these assets. Estimates of future cash flows arising from the utilization of these long-lived assets and estimated useful lives associated with the assets are critical to the assessment of recoverability and fair values. The Company believes that the accounting estimate related to long-lived assets is a "critical accounting estimate" because: (1) it is susceptible to change from period to period due to the requirement for management to make assumptions about future sales and cost of sales generated throughout the lives of several product lines over extended periods of time; and (2) the potential effect that recognizing an impairment could have on the assets reported on the Company's balance sheet and the potential material adverse effect on reported earnings or loss. Changes in these estimates could result in a determination of asset impairment, which would result in a reduction to the carrying value and a reduction to net earnings in the affected period.

Allowances for warranties. The Company's products are covered by standard warranty plans included in the price of the products ranging from 90 days to five years, depending upon the product and contractual terms of sale. The Company establishes allowances for warranties for specifically identified, as well as anticipated, warranty claims based on contractual terms, product conditions and actual warranty experience by product line. Company products include both manufactured and purchased components and, therefore, warranty plans include third-party sourced parts which may not be covered by the third-party manufacturer's warranty. The Company actively manages its quality program by using a structured product introduction plan, process monitoring techniques utilizing statistical process controls, vendor quality metrics, and feedback loops to communicate warranty claims to designers and engineers for remediation in future production. The Company believes that the accounting estimate related to allowances for warranties is a "critical accounting estimate" because: (1) it is susceptible to significant fluctuation period to period due to the requirement for management to make assumptions about future warranty claims relative to potential unknown issues arising in both existing and new products, which assumptions are derived from historical trends of known or resolved issues; and (2) risks associated with third-party supplied components being manufactured using processes that the Company does not control. As of March 31, 2011, the balance sheet included warranty reserves of \$2.3 million, while \$2.2 million of warranty charges were incurred during the six-month period then ended, compared to warranty reserves of \$2.1 million as of March 31, 2010 and warranty charges of \$1.2 million were incurred during the six-month period then ended. If the Company's actual warranty costs are higher than estimates, future warranty plan coverages are different, or estimates are adversely adjusted in future periods, reserves for warranty expense would need to increase, warranty expense would increase and gross margins would decrease.

Accounting for income taxes. The Company's provision for income taxes and the determination of the resulting deferred tax assets and liabilities involves a significant amount of management judgment. The quarterly provision for income taxes is based partially upon estimates of pre-tax financial accounting income for the full year and is affected by various differences between financial accounting income and taxable income. Judgment is also applied in determining whether the deferred tax assets will be realized in full or in part. In management's judgment, when it is more likely than not that all or some portion of specific deferred tax assets, such as foreign tax credit carryovers, will not be realized, a valuation allowance must be established for the amount of the deferred tax assets that are determined not to be realizable. At March 31, 2011, the Company had valuation reserves of approximately \$170,000 for deferred tax assets for capital loss carryforwards and the valuation impairment and other changes in the carrying value of its investment in Proditec, and offsetting amounts for U.S. and Chinese deferred tax assets and liabilities, primarily related to net operating loss carryforwards in the foreign jurisdictions that the Company believes will not be utilized during the carryforward periods. During the six-month period ended March 31, 2011, the Company reversed \$18,000 of valuation reserves related to utilization of capital losses carry forwards. There were no other valuation allowances at March 31, 2011 due to anticipated utilization of all the deferred tax assets as the Company believes it will have sufficient taxable income to utilize these assets. The Company maintains reserves for estimated tax exposures in

jurisdictions of operation. These tax jurisdictions include federal, state and various international tax jurisdictions. Potential income tax exposures include potential challenges of various tax credits and deductions, and issues specific to state and local tax jurisdictions. Exposures are typically settled primarily through audits within these tax jurisdictions, but can also be affected by changes in applicable tax law or other factors, which could cause management of the Company to believe a revision of past estimates is appropriate. Thus far, during fiscal 2011, there have been no significant changes in these estimates. Management believes that an appropriate liability has been established for estimated exposures; however, actual results may differ materially from these estimates. The Company believes that the accounting estimate related to income taxes is a “critical accounting estimate” because it relies on significant management judgment in making assumptions relative to temporary and

Table of Contents

permanent timing differences of tax effects, estimates of future earnings, prospective application of changing tax laws in multiple jurisdictions, and the resulting ability to utilize tax assets at those future dates. If the Company's operating results were to fall short of expectations, thereby affecting the likelihood of realizing the deferred tax assets, judgment would have to be applied to determine the amount of the valuation allowance required to be included in the financial statements in any given period. Establishing or increasing a valuation allowance would reduce the carrying value of the deferred tax asset, increase tax expense and reduce net earnings.

In fiscal 2011, the existing Research and Development Credit ("R&D credit") was retroactively renewed and extended to December 31, 2011. Due to this change in tax law, the Company recorded approximately \$72,000 of additional R&D tax credits in the first quarter of fiscal 2011 related to R&D expenditures incurred during fiscal 2010.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

Effective October 1, 2010, the Company adopted Accounting Standard Update ("ASU") 2009-13, "Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables" and ASU 2009-14, "Certain Revenue Arrangements That Include Software." These ASU's revise and clarify accounting for arrangements with multiple deliverables, including how to separate deliverables into units of accounting determining the allocation of revenue to the units of accounting and the application of these provisions to tangible products containing software components. There are also expanded disclosure requirements for significant judgments made in the application of these standards, if material. The adoption of these pronouncements did not have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

Results of Operations

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Net sales decreased \$2.8 million, or 9%, to \$27.9 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 from \$30.7 million recorded in the corresponding quarter a year ago. International sales for the three-month period were 35% of net sales compared to 46% in the corresponding prior year period. Decreases in net sales occurred primarily in automated inspection systems sales, down \$3.0 million, or 19%. Process systems sales were up \$447,000, or 5%, and parts and service sales were down \$225,000, or 4%. The decrease in automated inspection systems sales related to decreased shipments of most major product lines, including upgrade systems sales. The increase in process systems sales related to increased international shipments of vibratory products. Automated inspection systems sales, including upgrade systems, represented 47% of net sales in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 compared to 53% of net sales in the second quarter of fiscal 2010. Process systems sales represented 34% of net sales in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 compared to 30% during the first quarter of fiscal 2010, while parts and service sales accounted for 19% of the more recent quarter's net sales, up from 17% in the same quarter a year ago.

Total backlog was \$38.2 million at the end of the second quarter of fiscal 2011 and was \$6.6 million higher than the \$31.6 million backlog at the end of the second quarter of the prior fiscal year. Automated inspection systems backlog increased by \$8.9 million, or 54%, to \$25.2 million at the end of the second quarter of fiscal 2011 compared to \$16.3 million at the same time a year ago. The backlog increase for automatic inspection systems was most significantly related to the backlog for upgrades and tobacco systems. Backlog for process systems was down \$2.9 million, or 20%, to \$11.7 million at March 31, 2011 compared to \$14.6 million at March 31, 2010. The decrease in this backlog for process systems was primarily driven by a decrease in international vibratory products. Backlog by product line at March 31, 2011 was 66% automated inspection systems, 31% process systems, and 3% parts and service, compared to 52% automated inspection systems, 46% process systems, and 2% parts and service at March 31, 2010. The Company expects sales in the third quarter of fiscal 2011 to increase significantly over the sales reported in the second quarter of fiscal 2011, with a large portion of the second quarter backlog expected to ship in the third quarter.

Orders increased by \$7.4 million, or 28%, to \$34.3 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 compared to the second quarter new orders of \$26.9 million a year ago. Automated inspection systems orders increased \$5.8 million, or 49%, during the second quarter of fiscal 2011 to \$17.6 million compared to \$11.8 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2010. Orders for process systems during the second quarter of fiscal 2011 increased \$1.2 million, or 12%, to \$10.9 million from \$9.7 million in the comparable quarter of fiscal 2010. Orders for parts and service increased \$414,000, or 8%, during the second quarter of fiscal 2011 to \$5.8 million compared to \$5.4 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2010. The increase in orders for automated inspection systems occurred most significantly in

Table of Contents

upgrade and tobacco systems and across the Company's major geographic regions. The increase in orders for process systems occurred primarily in international vibratory systems.

Gross profit for the second quarter of fiscal 2011 was \$8.1 million compared to \$10.8 million in the corresponding period last year. Gross profit in the second quarter of fiscal 2011, as a percentage of net sales, decreased to 29.2% compared to the 35.2% reported in the corresponding quarter of fiscal 2010. As a percentage of net sales, the gross profit decrease from the corresponding quarter a year ago was significantly related to the adverse impact of higher than expected costs associated with specific large projects and complex customer applications. These costs have been reflected in the Company's warranty expenses and allowances for warranties for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The Company continues to address these issues by strengthening project management and product validation processes, in addition to implementing specific technical product enhancements. The Company believes these actions will be successful in reducing the effect of these cost issues on future results. In addition, this gross profit decrease for the second quarter of fiscal 2011 also related to an increase in the shipment mix toward lower margin process system sales and market driven pricing pressures. The company expects the gross margin percentages in the third quarter to be more consistent with the gross margin percentages recorded in the third quarter of fiscal 2010 through the first quarter of fiscal 2011.

Operating expenses of \$8.1 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2011 were 29.0% of net sales. Operating expenses during the second quarter of fiscal 2011 were down in most areas compared with \$9.0 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2010, and down on a percentage basis compared with the 29.2% of net sales for the second quarter of fiscal 2010. The most significant change in operating expenses related to lower amortization expenses, lower variable incentive costs, and lower commissions on lower sales volumes. The Company expects a modest increase in operating expenses in the second half of fiscal 2011 as it strategically adds specific project and engineering talent to the organization, in addition to higher sales commissions related to higher expected sales volumes.

Other expense for the second quarter of fiscal 2011 was \$149,000 compared to other income of \$154,000 for the corresponding period in fiscal 2010. Other expense increased in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 compared to the corresponding period in fiscal 2010 due primarily to a \$325,000 gain recorded in the second quarter of fiscal 2010 related to a partial collection on a note receivable from the fiscal 2007 sale of the Company's interest in the InspX joint venture.

Net loss for the quarter ending March 31, 2011 was \$71,000, or \$0.01 per diluted share. Net earnings for the same period last year were \$1.4 million, or \$0.26 per diluted share. The net loss in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 was due to lower gross profit dollars related to lower sales, lower gross margins as a percentage of net revenues due to a higher concentration of lower margin product sales, market pricing challenges, and higher than expected costs related to certain specific large projects and new product applications, partially offset by lower operating expenses.

For the six months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

Net sales in the first half of fiscal 2011 increased by \$2.9 million, or 5%, to \$56.1 million compared to \$53.2 million for the same period in fiscal 2010. The Company expects to exceed this percentage increase in the second half of fiscal 2011. The increase in net sales occurred primarily in North America partially offset by a decrease in net sales in the Asia Pacific region. European sales were consistent with the prior six-month period. International sales for the more recent six-month period were 37% of net sales compared to 44% for the first half of fiscal 2010. Increases in total net sales for the first six months of fiscal 2011 compared to the same period in the prior year occurred in process systems sales, up \$3.5 million, or 22%, partially offset by a decrease in automated inspection systems sales, down \$600,000, or 2%. The increase in process systems sales related primarily to increases in the sales of vibratory systems and other process equipment in North America. Included in automated inspection net sales are upgrade sales of \$3.6 million for the first half of fiscal 2011, which decreased \$1.5 million, or 29%, compared to the same period in the

prior year. The decrease in upgrade sales was partially offset by net increases in other major automated inspection systems products, primarily Tegra. Automated inspection systems net sales, including upgrade systems, represented 47% of net sales in the first half of fiscal 2011 compared to 51% of net sales in the first half of fiscal 2010. Process systems represented 34% of net sales in the first half of fiscal 2011 compared to 30% of net sales in the first six months of fiscal 2010. Parts and service and sales remained flat at \$10.4 million, accounting for 19% of net sales in the first half of both fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2010.

New orders for the first six months of fiscal 2011 increased \$4.0 million, or 7%, to \$59.2 million compared to orders of \$55.2 million for the first half of fiscal 2010. Orders for process systems increased \$2.3 million, or 12%,

Table of Contents

to \$21.0 million compared to \$18.7 million in fiscal 2010. Orders for automated inspection systems increased approximately \$1.4 million, or 5%, to \$27.4 million compared to \$26.1 million in fiscal 2010. Orders for parts and service were \$10.8 million, up \$262,000, or 3%, from \$10.5 million in the prior year. The increase in orders from the prior year occurred primarily in North America, Europe and Asia Pacific and most significantly in the processed fruit and vegetable and tobacco markets, partially offset by a decline in the potato market.

Gross profit for the first six months of fiscal 2011 was \$17.5 million compared to \$18.7 million in the corresponding period last year. Gross profit as a percentage of net sales in the first half of fiscal 2011 decreased to 31.1%, compared to the 35.1% reported for the same period of fiscal 2010. The gross profit decline for the first six months of fiscal 2011 compared to the same period in fiscal 2010 was significantly related to the adverse impact of higher than expected costs associated with specific large projects and complex customer applications in the quarter ended March 31, 2011. These costs have been reflected in the Company's warranty expenses and allowances for warranties. In addition, this gross profit decrease for the first six months of fiscal 2011 also related to pricing pressures and a higher mix of lower margin process system sales.

Operating expenses of \$16.5 million for the first six months of fiscal 2011 were 29.5% of sales compared with \$16.9 million, or 31.8%, of sales for the first half of fiscal 2010. Operating expenses for the first six months of fiscal 2011 were lower than the operating expenses for the first six months of fiscal 2010 due primarily to lower amortization and variable incentive costs, partially offset by higher sales and marketing spending and increased sales commissions related to higher sales volumes.

Other expense for the first half of fiscal 2011 was \$224,000 compared to other income of \$174,000 for the corresponding period in fiscal 2010. Other expense increased in the six-month period of fiscal 2011 compared to the corresponding period in fiscal 2010 due primarily to a \$475,000 gain recorded in the first six months of fiscal 2010 related to a partial collection on a note receivable from the fiscal 2007 sale of the Company's interest in the InspX joint venture, partially offset by foreign exchange losses in fiscal 2011 of \$15,000 compared to \$71,000 in fiscal 2010.

Net earnings for the first six months of fiscal 2011 were \$533,000, or \$0.10 per diluted share. The net earnings for the same period in fiscal 2010 were \$1.3 million, or \$0.25 per diluted share. The decrease in net earnings for the first six months of fiscal 2011 compared to the net earnings for the first six months of fiscal 2010 was primarily the result of lower gross margins as a percentage of net revenues due to a higher concentration of lower margin product sales, market pricing challenges, and higher than expected costs related to certain specific large projects and new product applications, partially offset by lower operating expenses.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

In the first half of fiscal 2011, net cash increased by \$2.4 million to \$31.5 million on March 31, 2011 from \$29.1 million on September 30, 2010. Cash provided by operating activities was \$5.2 million during the six-month period ended March 31, 2011. Investing activities consumed \$2.4 million of cash. Financing activities used \$430,000 of cash. The effect of exchange rate changes on cash was a positive \$32,000 during the first six months of fiscal 2011.

Cash provided by operating activities during the six-month period ended March 31, 2011 was \$5.2 million compared to \$2.9 million of cash provided by operating activities for the comparable period in fiscal 2010. The primary contributor was non-cash working capital, partially offset by the decrease in net earnings. For the first six months of fiscal 2011, net earnings were \$533,000 compared to a net earnings of \$1.3 million for the first six months of fiscal 2010. Non-cash items included in net earnings in the first six months of 2011, such as depreciation, amortization and share-based compensation, were approximately \$2.1 million compared to \$2.7 million for the first six months of fiscal 2010. In the first six months of fiscal 2011, changes in non-cash working capital provided \$2.3 million of cash from operating activities. During the first six months of fiscal 2010, changes in non-cash working capital used \$810,000 of

cash from operating activities. The major changes in current assets and current liabilities during the first six months of fiscal 2011 were decreased accounts receivable of \$4.7 million due to lower second quarter sales volume and timing of customer receipts, decreased accrued payroll liabilities and commissions of \$1.0 million due to the timing of the payments for commissions, payroll, profit sharing and other incentive programs, increased customer deposits of \$1.0 million related to the increase in order volume and backlog at March 31, 2011, increased inventories of \$919,000 due to increased parts inventory for large customer orders scheduled to ship in the third quarter of fiscal 2011, increased prepaid expenses and other assets of \$1.2 million, and decreased other accrued liabilities of \$869,000.

Table of Contents

The net cash used in investing activities was \$2.4 million for the first six months of fiscal 2011 compared to the net cash used in investing activities for the first six months of fiscal 2010 of \$450,000. The net cash used in investing activities for the first six months of fiscal 2011 related entirely to capital expenditures. The net cash used in investing activities of \$450,000 for the first six months of fiscal 2010 included \$1.0 million of capital expenditures partially offset by the Company's collection of \$575,000 associated with its notes receivable from the 2007 sale of its interest in the InspX joint venture. The increase in capital expenditures in the first six months of fiscal 2011 compared to the same period in fiscal 2010 was primarily due to leasehold improvements made to the Company's new Innovation and Solutions Center.

Net cash used in financing activities during the first six months of fiscal 2011 was \$430,000, compared with net cash used in financing activities of \$314,000 during the corresponding period in fiscal 2010. The net cash used in financing activities during the first six months of fiscal 2011 resulted from \$165,000 of repayments of long-term debt associated with the Company's mortgage on its headquarters facility, and \$364,000 of payroll taxes paid related to stock surrenders, partially offset by \$92,000 related to the proceeds from the issuance of common stock. Net cash used in financing activities during the first six months of fiscal 2010 resulted mainly from payments on long-term debt of \$159,000, \$198,000 of payroll taxes paid related to stock surrenders, and \$46,000 excess tax benefits from share-based payments, partially offset by \$89,000 related to the proceeds from the issuance of common stock .

The Company's domestic credit facility provides for a variable-rate revolving credit line of up to \$15 million and a credit sub-facility of \$6.0 million for standby letters of credit. The credit facility matures on September 30, 2011. The credit facility bears interest, at the Company's option, at either the bank prime rate or the British Bankers Association LIBOR Rate ("BBA LIBOR") plus 1.75% per annum. At March 31, 2011, the interest rate would have been 1.99% based on the lowest of the available alternative rates. The credit facility is secured by all U.S. accounts receivable, inventory and equipment and fixtures. The loan agreement also provided for a 15-year term loan in the amount of \$6.4 million of which \$5.7 million was outstanding at March 31, 2011. The term loan provided for a mortgage on the Company's Avery Street headquarters' land and building located in Walla Walla, Washington. The term loan bears interest at the BBA LIBOR rate plus 1.4% and matures on January 2, 2024. The Company has also simultaneously entered into an interest rate swap agreement with the lender to fix the interest rate at 4.27%. The credit facilities contain covenants which require the maintenance of a funded debt to EBITDA ratio, a fixed charge coverage ratio and minimum working capital levels. The loan agreement permits capital expenditures up to a certain level, and contains customary default and acceleration provisions. The credit facilities also restrict acquisitions, incurrence of additional indebtedness and lease expenditures above certain levels without the prior consent of the lender. At March 31, 2011, the Company had no borrowings outstanding under the credit facility and \$250,000 in standby letters of credit. At March 31, 2011, the Company was in compliance with its loan covenants.

Subsequent to the end of the fiscal quarter, the Company entered into an amendment to its agreement with its principal domestic bank. The amendment extended the maturity date of its line of credit three years to September 30, 2014. The amendment also changed the Company's interest rate options to a tiered structure based upon the Company's achievement of a specified financial ratio. The Company's prime rate option will be either the bank's prime rate or prime less 0.25% per annum. The Company's BBA LIBOR option will be either BBA LIBOR plus 1.75% or 1.50% per annum.

The Company's credit accommodation with a commercial bank in the Netherlands was renewed in fiscal 2011 and provides a credit facility for its European subsidiary. This credit accommodation totals \$2.5 million and includes an operating line of the lesser of \$350,000 or the available borrowing base, which is based on varying percentages of eligible accounts receivable and inventories, and a bank guarantee facility of \$2.1 million. The operating line and bank guarantee facility are secured by all of the subsidiary's personal property. The credit facility bears interest at the bank's prime rate, with a minimum of 3.00%, plus 1.75%. At March 31, 2011, the interest rate was 5.95%. The credit

accommodation contains a covenant which requires the maintenance of minimum tangible net worth levels at the subsidiary. At March 31, 2011, the Company was in compliance with its loan covenants. At March 31, 2011, the Company had no borrowings under this facility and had received bank guarantees of \$538,000 under the bank guarantee facility. The credit facility allows overages on the bank guarantee facility. Any overages reduce the available borrowings under the operating line.

The Company's continuing contractual obligations and commercial commitments existing on March 31, 2011 are as follows:

22

Table of Contents

Contractual Obligations (1)	Total	Payments due by period (in thousands)			
		Less than 1 year	1 – 3 years	4 – 5 years	After 5 years
Long-term debt	\$ 5,710	\$ 340	\$ 725	\$ 793	\$ 3,852
Interest on long-term debt (2)	1,721	237	429	365	690
Operating leases	2,506	1,059	1,096	351	-
Purchase obligations	1,359	1,359	-	-	-
Total contractual cash obligations	\$ 11,296	\$ 2,995	\$ 2,250	\$ 1,509	\$ 4,542

(1) The Company also has \$96,000 of contractual obligations related to uncertain tax positions for which the timing and amount of payment cannot be reasonably estimated due to the nature of the uncertainties and the unpredictability of jurisdictional examinations in relation to the statute of limitations.

(2) Includes the effect of the interest-rate swap agreement that fixes the interest rate at 4.27%.

The Company anticipates that current cash balances and ongoing cash flows from operations will be sufficient to fund the Company's operating needs in the near term. At March 31, 2011, the Company had standby letters of credit totaling \$788,000, which includes secured bank guarantees under the Company's domestic and European credit facilities and letters of credit securing certain self-insurance contracts. If the Company fails to meet its contractual obligations, these bank guarantees and letters of credit may become liabilities of the Company. The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements or transactions, or arrangements or relationships with "special purpose entities."

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company has assessed its exposure to market risks for its financial instruments and has determined that its exposures to such risks are generally limited to those affected by the value of the U.S. Dollar compared to the Euro and to a lesser extent the Australian Dollar, Mexican Peso, Singapore Dollar and Chinese Renminbi.

The terms of sales to European customers are typically denominated in Euros. The Company expects that its standard terms of sale to international customers, other than those in Europe, will continue to be denominated in U.S. dollars, although as the Company expands its operations in Australia, Latin America and China, transactions denominated in the local currencies of these countries may increase. For sales transactions between international customers and the Company's domestic operations, which are denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollars, the Company assesses its currency exchange risk and may enter into forward contracts to minimize such risk. At March 31, 2011, the Company held a 30-day forward contract for €3.7 million (\$5.2 million). As of March 31, 2011, management estimates that a 10% change in foreign exchange rates would affect net earnings before taxes by approximately \$138,000 on an annual basis as a result of the conversion to U.S. dollars of cash, accounts receivable, loans to foreign subsidiaries, and sales or other contracts denominated in foreign currencies. These changes would positively affect net earnings if the U.S. dollar weakens on world markets and negatively affect net earnings if the U.S. dollar strengthens on world markets.

As of March 31, 2011, the Euro gained approximately 3% in value against the U.S. dollar compared to its value at September 30, 2010. During the six-month period ended March 31, 2011, changes in the value of the Euro against the U.S. dollar ranged between a 3% gain and a 3% loss as compared to the value at September 30, 2010. Most other foreign currencies gained in value against the U.S. dollar during the first six months of fiscal 2011. The effect of these fluctuations on the operations and financial results of the Company during the first six months of fiscal 2011 were:

- Translation adjustments of (\$9,000), net of income tax, were recognized as a component of comprehensive income as a result of converting the Euro denominated balance sheets of Key Technology B.V. and Suplusco Holding B.V. into U.S. dollars, and to a lesser extent, the Australian dollar balance sheets of Key Technology Australia Pty Ltd., the RMB balance sheet of Key Technology (Shanghai) Trading Co., Ltd., the Singapore dollar balance sheet of Key Technology Asia-Pacific Pte. Ltd., and the Peso balance sheet of Productos Key Mexicana, S. de R.L. de C.V.
- Foreign exchange losses of \$15,000, net of the effects of forward contracts settled during the period, were recognized in the other income and expense section of the consolidated statement of operations as a result of

Table of Contents

conversion of Euro and other foreign currency denominated receivables, intercompany loans, and cash carried on the balance sheet of the U.S. operations, as well as the result of the conversion of other non-functional currency receivables, payables and cash carried on the balance sheets of the European, Australian, Chinese, Singapore and Mexican operations.

When the dollar weakens on the world markets, the Company's market and economic outlook for international sales could be positively affected as export sales to international customers become relatively less expensive. Conversely, a relatively stronger U.S. dollar makes the Company's U.S.-manufactured goods more expensive to international customers when denominated in U.S. dollars or potentially less profitable to the Company when denominated in a foreign currency. On the other hand, materials or components imported into the U.S. may be less expensive. A relatively weaker U.S. dollar on world markets, especially as measured against the Euro, may favorably affect the Company's market and economic outlook for international sales. The Company's Netherlands-based subsidiary transacts business primarily in Euros and does not have significant exports to the U.S, but does import a significant portion of its products from its U.S.-based parent company.

Under the Company's current credit facilities, the Company may borrow at either the lender's prime rate or at BBA LIBOR plus 175 basis points on its domestic credit facility and at the lender's prime rate plus 175 basis points on its European credit facility. At March 31, 2011, the Company had no borrowings under these arrangements. During the six-month period ended March 31, 2011, interest rates applicable to these variable rate credit facilities ranged from 1.99% to 6.05%. At March 31, 2011, the rate was 1.99% on its domestic credit facility and 5.95% on its European credit facility based on the lowest of the available alternative rates. The Company's mortgage bears interest at the BBA LIBOR plus 140 basis points, but the Company simultaneously entered into an interest rate swap agreement with the lender to fix the interest rate at 4.27%. As of March 31, 2011, management estimates that a 100 basis point change in these interest rates would not affect net income before taxes because the Company had no borrowings outstanding under its variable interest rate credit facilities and the interest rate swap effectively converts its variable rate mortgage to a fixed rate mortgage.

ITEM 4.

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company's management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the disclosure controls and procedures relating to the Company at March 31, 2011 and concluded that such controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports filed or submitted by the Company under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended March 31, 2011 that materially affected, or are reasonable likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The following table provides information about purchases made by or on behalf of the Company during the quarter ended March 31, 2011 of equity securities registered by the Company under Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	Average Price Paid per Share (1)	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (2)
January 1 – 31, 2011	0	-	0	
February 1 – 28, 2011	6,848	17.21	0	
March 1 – 31, 2011	1,263	21.16	0	
Total	8,111	17.82	0	78,750 (2)

(1) Consists only of shares of restricted stock surrendered to satisfy tax withholding obligations by plan participants under the 2003 Restated Employees' Stock Incentive Plan. The shares were subsequently cancelled.

(2) The Company initiated a stock repurchase program effective November 27, 2006. The Company was authorized to purchase up to 500,000 shares of its common stock under the program. Following certain share repurchases, the Board of Directors increased the number of shares that may be repurchased to the original 500,000 share amount, and subsequently increased the maximum number of shares that may be repurchased under the share repurchase program to 750,000 shares. The program does not incorporate a fixed expiration date.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6.

EXHIBITS

10.1 Key Technology, Inc. 2010 Equity Incentive Plan (as approved by the shareholders of the Company on February 11, 2011) (filed as Appendix A to the Company's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 3, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference)

31.1 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

31.2 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Table of Contents

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

SIGNATURES

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC.
(Registrant)

Date: May 10, 2011

By /s/ David M.
Camp
David M. Camp
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: May 10, 2011

By /s/ John J.
Ehren
John J. Ehren
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial
Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Table of Contents

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
FORM 10-Q FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2011

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit

10.1 Key Technology, Inc. 2010 Equity Incentive Plan (as approved by the shareholders of the Company on February 11, 2011) (filed as Appendix A to the Company's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 3, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference)

31.1 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

31.2 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002