PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES INC Form 10-Q July 31, 2007

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

b QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	T TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2007	
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	T TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
	Tile Number: 000-50058 covery Associates, Inc.
(Exact name of regist	rant as specified in its charter)
Delaware	75-3078675
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
120 Corporate Boulevard, Norfolk, Virginia	23502
(Address of principal executive offices)	(zip code) 8) 772-7326
(Registrant s telepho Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has f Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject t YES Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large acce Large accelerated filer o Acc Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell YES The number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer	nie number, including area code) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. NO o
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value	15,979,884

PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, INC. $\underline{\text{INDEX}}$

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page(s)
Item 1. Financial Statements	
Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited) as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006	3
Consolidated Income Statements (unaudited) For the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006	4
Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders Equity (unaudited) For the six months ended June 30, 2007	5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) For the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006	6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	7-17
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	18-37
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk	38
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	38
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	38
Item 1A. Risk Factors	38
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	38
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	39
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of the Security Holders	39
Item 5. Other Information	39
Item 6. Exhibits	39
SIGNATURES 2	40

PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 (unaudited)

	June 30, 2007			December 31, 2006			
Assets							
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	15,041,590	\$	25,100,834			
Finance receivables, net		288,648,041		226,447,495			
Income tax receivable		2,423,988		1,512,823			
Property and equipment, net		13,510,310		11,192,974			
Goodwill		18,287,511		18,287,511			
Intangible assets, net		5,772,675		6,754,014			
Other assets		4,353,698		4,082,780			
Total assets	\$	348,037,813	\$	293,378,431			
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity							
Liabilities:							
Accounts payable	\$	2,456,073	\$	2,891,469			
Accrued expenses	Ψ	3,477,362	Ψ	2,578,896			
Accrued payroll and bonuses		4,327,308		6,244,852			
Deferred tax liability		43,969,186		33,452,670			
Revolving lines of credit		38,000,000		,,-,-			
Long-term debt		19,325		689,892			
Obligations under capital lease		173,437		242,385			
Total liabilities		92,422,691		46,100,164			
Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)							
Stockholders equity:							
Preferred stock, par value \$0.01, authorized shares, 2,000,000, issued							
and outstanding shares - 0							
Common stock, par value \$0.01, authorized shares, 30,000,000, issued							
and outstanding shares - 15,979,884 at June 30, 2007, and 15,987,432							
at December 31, 2006		159,799		159,874			
Additional paid-in capital		114,142,020		115,527,975			
Retained earnings		141,313,303		131,590,418			
Total stockholders equity		255,615,122		247,278,267			
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$	348,037,813	\$	293,378,431			

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, INC. CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENTS For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 (unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,				
	200		,	2006		2007		2006
Revenues:								
Income recognized on finance								
receivables, net	\$ 46,38		\$ 4	10,393,729	\$	91,853,081	\$	79,767,138
Commissions	8,38	8,991		5,790,954		16,930,944		11,758,822
Total revenues	54,77	6,457	۷	16,184,683		108,784,025		91,525,960
Operating expenses:								
Compensation and employee services Outside legal and other fees and	16,68	1,027	1	14,334,711		33,115,791		28,431,288
services	11,24	6,070		9,740,222		22,683,204		18,800,501
Communications	2,00	4,926		1,303,915		3,888,838		2,917,867
Rent and occupancy	73	9,138		559,639		1,398,372		1,120,207
Other operating expenses	1,47	8,283		1,204,973		2,861,543		2,281,429
Depreciation and amortization	1,36	1,982		1,239,800		2,656,865		2,492,440
Total operating expenses	33,51	1,426	2	28,383,260		66,604,613		56,043,732
Income from operations	21,26	5,031	1	17,801,423		42,179,412		35,482,228
Other income and (expense):								
Interest income	12	0,765		170,967		299,691		243,861
Interest expense		9,253)		(74,751)		(405,760)		(242,697)
Income before income taxes	21,04	6,543	1	17,897,639		42,073,343		35,483,392
Provision for income taxes	8,05	7,689		6,794,978		16,203,764		13,650,714
Net income	\$ 12,98	8,854	\$ 1	11,102,661	\$	25,869,579	\$	21,832,678
Net income per common share:								
Basic	\$	0.81	\$	0.70	\$	1.62	\$	1.37
Diluted	\$	0.80	\$	0.69	\$	1.60	\$	1.36

Weighted average number of shares

outstanding:

Basic 16,004,797 15,896,585 15,999,003 15,884,074 Diluted 16,168,089 16,085,321 16,153,795 16,075,145

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

4

PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 (unaudited)

		Total		
	Common	Paid-in	Retained	Stockholders
	Stock	Capital	Earnings	Equity
Balance at December 31, 2006	\$ 159,874	\$ 115,527,975	\$ 131,590,418	\$ 247,278,267
Net income			25,869,579	25,869,579
Exercise of stock options and vesting				
of nonvested shares	925	1,297,449		1,298,374
Repurchase and cancellation of				
common stock	(1,000)	(5,189,332)		(5,190,332)
Cash dividends paid (\$1.00 per				
common share)			(16,069,694)	(16,069,694)
Amortization of share-based				
compensation		1,364,364		1,364,364
Income tax benefit from share-based				
compensation		951,564		951,564
Adoption of FIN 48		190,000	(77,000)	113,000
Balance at June 30, 2007	\$ 159,799	\$ 114,142,020	\$ 141,313,303	\$ 255,615,122

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 (unaudited)

	S	Six Months Ended June 30, 2007	S	Six Months Ended June 30, 2006
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net income	\$	25,869,579	\$	21,832,678
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Amortization of share-based compensation		1,364,364		969,972
Depreciation and amortization		2,656,865		2,492,440
Deferred tax expense		10,516,516		2,773,153
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		, ,		
Other assets		(270,918)		637,409
Accounts payable		(435,396)		(796,845)
Income taxes		(721,165)		(2,125,351)
Accrued expenses		821,466		2,180,242
Accrued payroll and bonuses		(1,917,544)		(1,476,860)
rectued payron and bonuses		(1,717,544)		(1,470,000)
Net cash provided by operating activities		37,883,767		26,486,838
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Purchases of property and equipment		(3,992,862)		(1,460,429)
Acquisition of finance receivables, net of buybacks		(102,262,742)		(41,954,209)
Collections applied to principal on finance receivables		40,062,196		38,161,176
Net cash used in investing activities		(66,193,408)		(5,253,462)
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Dividends paid		(16,069,694)		
Proceeds from exercise of options and warrants		1,298,374		1,689,744
Income tax benefit from share-based compensation		951,564		1,599,812
Proceeds from lines of credit		41,000,000		
Principal payments on lines of credit		(3,000,000)		(15,000,000)
Repurchase of common stock		(5,190,332)		
Principal payments on long-term debt		(670,567)		(230,309)
Principal payments on capital lease obligations		(68,948)		(72,940)
Net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities		18,250,397		(12,013,693)

Net (decrease)/increase in cash and cash equivalents		(10,059,244)		9,219,683
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		25,100,834		15,984,855
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	15,041,590	\$	25,204,538
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: Cash paid for interest Cash paid for income taxes	\$ \$	210,798 5,260,000	\$ \$	257,000 11,403,100
Noncash investing and financing activities: SFAS 123R adoption reclass of payroll liability to additional paid-in capital The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated finance	\$ ial sta	tements.	\$	426,752

1. Organization and Business:

Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC (PRA) was formed on March 20, 1996. Portfolio Recovery Associates, Inc. (PRA Inc) was formed in August 2002. On November 8, 2002, PRA Inc completed its initial public offering (IPO) of common stock. As a result, all of the membership units and warrants of PRA were exchanged on a one to one basis for warrants and shares of a single class of common stock of PRA Inc. PRA Inc owns all outstanding membership units of PRA, PRA Holding I, LLC (PRA Holding I), PRA Holding II, LLC (PRA Holding II), PRA Receivables Management, LLC (d/b/a Anchor Receivables Management) (Anchor), PRA Location Services, LLC (d/b/a IGS Nevada) (IGS) and PRA Government Services, LLC (d/b/a Alatax and RDS) (RDS). One of PRA Inc s wholly owned subsidiaries, Thomas West Associates, LLC (TWA), was dissolved as an entity on May 8, 2006. PRA Inc, a Delaware corporation, and its subsidiaries (collectively, the Company) are full-service providers of outsourced receivables management and related services. The Company is engaged in the business of purchasing, managing and collecting portfolios of defaulted consumer receivables as well as offering a broad range of accounts receivable management services. The majority of the Company s business activities involve the purchase, management and collection of defaulted consumer receivables. These are purchased from sellers of finance receivables and collected by a highly skilled staff whose purpose is to locate and contact customers and arrange payment or resolution of their debts. The Company, through its Legal Recovery Department, collects accounts judicially, either by using its own attorneys, or by contracting with independent attorneys throughout the country through whom the Company takes legal action to satisfy consumer debts. The Company also services receivables on behalf of clients on either a commission or transaction-fee basis. Clients include entities in the financial services, auto, retail, utility, health care and government sectors. Services provided to these clients include standard collection services on delinquent accounts, obtaining location information for clients in support of their collection activities (known as skip tracing), and the management of both delinquent and non-delinquent tax receivables for government entities.

The consolidated financial statements of the Company include the accounts of PRA Inc, PRA, PRA Holding I, PRA Holding II, Anchor, IGS and RDS.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and, therefore, do not include all information and disclosures required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of the Company, however, the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the Company s balance sheet as of June 30, 2007, its income statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, its statement of changes in stockholders—equity for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and its statements of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. The income statements of the Company for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 may not be indicative of future results. These unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed for the year ended December 31, 2006.

2. Finance Receivables, net:

The Company s principal business consists of the acquisition and collection of accounts that have experienced deterioration of credit quality between origination and the Company s acquisition of the accounts. The amount paid for an account reflects the Company s determination that it is probable the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the account s contractual terms. At acquisition, the Company reviews the portfolio both by account and aggregate pool to determine whether there is evidence of deterioration of credit quality since origination and if it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the account s contractual terms. If both conditions exist, the Company determines whether each such account is to be accounted for individually or whether such accounts will be assembled into pools based on common risk characteristics. The Company considers expected prepayments and estimates the amount and timing of undiscounted expected principal, interest and other cash flows for each acquired portfolio and subsequently aggregated pools of accounts. The Company determines the

excess of the pool s scheduled contractual principal and contractual interest payments over all cash flows expected at acquisition as an amount that should not be accreted (nonaccretable difference) based on the Company s proprietary acquisition models. The remaining amount, representing the excess of the account s cash flows expected to be collected over the amount paid, is accreted into income recognized on finance receivables over the remaining life of the account or pool (accretable yield).

7

Prior to January 1, 2005, the Company accounted for its investment in finance receivables using the interest method under the guidance of Practice Bulletin 6, Amortization of Discounts on Certain Acquired Loans. Effective January 1, 2005, the Company adopted and began to account for its investment in finance receivables using the interest method under the guidance of American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) Statement of Position (SOP) 03-3, Accounting for Loans or Certain Securities Acquired in a Transfer. For loans acquired in fiscal vears beginning prior to December 15, 2004, Practice Bulletin 6 is still effective; however, Practice Bulletin 6 was amended by SOP 03-3 as described further in this note. For loans acquired in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2004, SOP 03-3 is effective. Under the guidance of SOP 03-3 (and the amended Practice Bulletin 6), static pools of accounts may be established. These pools are aggregated based on certain common risk criteria. Each static pool is recorded at cost, which includes certain direct costs of acquisition paid to third parties, and is accounted for as a single unit for the recognition of income, principal payments and loss provision. Once a static pool is established for a quarter, individual receivable accounts are not added to the pool (unless replaced by the seller) or removed from the pool (unless sold or returned to the seller). SOP 03-3 (and the amended Practice Bulletin 6) requires that the excess of the contractual cash flows over expected cash flows not be recognized as an adjustment of revenue or expense or on the balance sheet. SOP 03-3 initially freezes the internal rate of return, referred to as IRR, estimated when the accounts receivable are purchased as the basis for subsequent impairment testing. Significant increases in actual, or expected future cash flows may be recognized prospectively through an upward adjustment of the IRR over a portfolio s remaining life. Any increase to the IRR then becomes the new benchmark for impairment testing. Effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2004 under SOP 03-3 (and the amended Practice Bulletin 6), rather than lowering the estimated IRR if the collection estimates are not received or projected to be received, the carrying value of a pool would be written down to maintain the then current IRR and is shown as a reduction in revenue in the consolidated income statements with a corresponding valuation allowance offsetting the finance receivables, net, on the balance sheet. Income on finance receivables is accrued quarterly based on each static pool s effective IRR. Quarterly cash flows greater than the interest accrual will reduce the carrying value of the static pool. Likewise, cash flows that are less than the accrual will accrete the carrying balance. The IRR is estimated and periodically recalculated based on the timing and amount of anticipated cash flows using the Company s proprietary collection models. A pool can become fully amortized (zero carrying balance on the balance sheet) while still generating cash collections. In this case, all cash collections are recognized as revenue when received. Additionally, the Company uses the cost recovery method when collections on a particular pool of accounts cannot be reasonably predicted. These pools are not aggregated with other portfolios. Under the cost recovery method, no revenue is recognized until the Company has fully collected the cost of the portfolio, or until such time that the Company considers the collections to be probable and estimable and begins to recognize income based on the interest method as described above. At June 30, 2007 and 2006, the Company had unamortized purchased principal (purchase price) in pools accounted for under the cost recovery method of \$5,142,887 and \$2,547,355, respectively.

The Company establishes valuation allowances for all acquired accounts subject to SOP 03-3 to reflect only those losses incurred after acquisition (that is, the present value of cash flows initially expected at acquisition that are no longer expected to be collected). Valuation allowances are established only subsequent to acquisition of the accounts. At June 30, 2007 and 2006, the Company had an allowance against its finance receivables of \$1,755,000 and \$575,000, respectively. Prior to January 1, 2005, in the event that a reduction of the yield to as low as zero in conjunction with estimated future cash collections that were inadequate to amortize the carrying balance, an allowance charge would be taken with a corresponding write-off of the receivable balance.

The Company capitalizes certain fees paid to third parties related to the direct acquisition of a portfolio of accounts. These fees are added to the acquisition cost of the portfolio and accordingly are amortized over the life of the portfolio using the interest method. The balance of the unamortized capitalized fees at June 30, 2007 and 2006 was \$1,798,722 and \$1,208,011, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, the Company capitalized \$549,937 and \$793,709, respectively, of these direct acquisition fees. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company capitalized \$365,414 and \$463,457, respectively, of these direct acquisition fees. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, the Company amortized \$165,106 and \$317,708, respectively, of these direct acquisition fees. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company amortized \$136,830 and \$283,516, respectively, of these direct acquisition fees.

The agreements to purchase the aforementioned receivables include general representations and warranties from the sellers covering account holder death or bankruptcy and accounts settled or disputed prior to sale. The representation and warranty period permitting the return of these accounts from the Company to the seller is typically 90 to 180 days. Any funds received from the seller of finance receivables as a return of purchase price are referred to as buybacks. Buyback funds are simply applied against the finance receivable balance received and are not included in the Company s cash collections from operations. In some cases, the seller will replace the returned accounts with new accounts in lieu of returning the purchase price. In that case, the old account is removed from the pool and the new account is added.

Changes in finance receivables, net for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2007		Three Months Ended June 30, 2006			Six Months Ended une 30, 2007	Six Months Ended June 30, 2006		
Balance at beginning of period Acquisitions of finance	\$	243,568,411	\$	189,847,379	\$	226,447,495	\$	193,644,670	
receivables, net of buybacks		63,298,532		26,635,403		102,262,742		41,954,209	
Cash collections		(64,606,368)		(59,438,808)		(131,915,277)		(117,928,314)	
Income recognized on finance receivables, net		46,387,466		40,393,729		91,853,081		79,767,138	
Cash collections applied to principal		(18,218,902)		(19,045,079)		(40,062,196)		(38,161,176)	
Balance at end of period	\$	288,648,041	\$	197,437,703	\$	288,648,041	\$	197,437,703	

At the time of acquisition, the life of each pool is generally estimated to be between 72 to 96 months based on projected amounts and timing of future cash receipts using the proprietary models of the Company. As of June 30, 2007, the Company had \$288,648,041 in net finance receivables. Based upon current projections, cash collections applied to principal are estimated to be as follows for the twelve months in the periods ending:

June 30, 2008	\$ 69,188,178
June 30, 2009	63,699,454
June 30, 2010	58,069,963
June 30, 2011	49,760,381

June 30, 2012 June 30, 2013 34,124,917 13,805,148

\$ 288,648,041

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, the Company purchased approximately \$2.54 billion and \$4.84 billion, respectively, of face value of charged-off consumer receivables. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 the Company purchased approximately \$1.66 billion and \$5.53 billion of face value of charged-off consumer receivables. At June 30, 2007, the estimated remaining collections on the receivables purchased in the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 were \$134,263,918 and \$218,987,962, respectively. At June 30, 2007, the estimated remaining collections on the receivables purchased in the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 were \$44,975,328 and \$60,708,166, respectively.

9

Accretable yield represents the amount of income recognized on finance receivables the Company can expect to generate over the remaining life of its existing portfolios based on estimated future cash flows as of June 30, 2007 and 2006. Reclassifications from nonaccretable difference to accretable yield primarily result from the Company s increase in its estimate of future cash flows. Changes in accretable yield for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 were as follows:

	T	Three Months Ended		Three Months Ended				Six Months Ended
	\mathbf{J}	une 30, 2007	\mathbf{J}^{\dagger}	une 30, 2006	J	une 30, 2007	J	une 30, 2006
Balance at beginning of period	\$	354,526,078	\$	288,460,943	\$	326,775,399	\$	299,280,328
Income recognized on finance								
receivables, net		(46,387,466)		(40,393,729)		(91,853,081)		(79,767,138)
Additions		74,374,619		33,017,181		126,589,182		49,033,696
Reclassifications from								
nonaccretable difference		28,329,279		28,059,880		49,331,010		40,597,389
Balance at end of period	\$	410,842,510	\$	309,144,275	\$	410,842,510	\$	309,144,275

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, the Company recorded \$90,000 and \$700,000, respectively, in allowance charges on pools that had recently underperformed expectations. During the six months ended June 30, 2007, the Company also reversed \$245,000 of allowance charges recorded in prior periods. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, the Company recorded \$200,000 and \$375,000, respectively, in allowance charges. The change in the valuation allowance for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 is as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2007		 ee Months Ended ee 30, 2006	ix Months Ended ne 30, 2007	Six Months Ended June 30, 2006	
Balance at beginning of period Allowance charges recorded Reversal of previously recorded allowance charges	\$	1,665,000 90,000	\$ 375,000 200,000	\$ 1,300,000 700,000 (245,000)	\$	200,000 375,000
Change in allowance charge		90,000	200,000	455,000		375,000
Balance at end of period	\$	1,755,000	\$ 575,000	\$ 1,755,000	\$	575,000

3. Revolving Lines of Credit:

On November 29, 2005, the Company entered into a Loan and Security Agreement for a revolving line of credit jointly offered by Bank of America, N. A. and Wachovia Bank, National Association. The agreement was amended on May 9, 2006 to include RBC Centura Bank as an additional lender and again on May 4, 2007 to increase the line of credit to \$150,000,000 and incorporate a \$50,000,000 non-revolving fixed rate sub-limit. The agreement is a revolving line of credit in an amount equal to the lesser of \$150,000,000 or 30% of the Company s estimated remaining collections of all its eligible asset pools. Borrowings under the revolving credit facility bear interest at a floating rate equal to the LIBOR Market Index Rate plus 1.40% and the facility expires on May 4, 2010. The loan is collateralized by substantially all the tangible and intangible assets of the Company. The agreement provides as follows:

monthly borrowings may not exceed 30% of estimated remaining collections;

funded debt to EBITDA ratio must be less than 2.0 to 1.0 calculated on a rolling twelve-month average;

tangible net worth must be at least 100% of prior quarter tangible net worth plus 25% of cumulative positive net income since the end of such fiscal quarter, plus 100% of the net proceeds from any equity offering without giving effect to reductions in tangible net worth due to repurchases of up to \$100,000,000 of the Company s common stock; and

restrictions on change of control.

Outstanding borrowings under the facility totaled \$38,000,000 as of June 30, 2007. As of June 30, 2007, the Company is in compliance with all of the covenants of the agreement.

4. Long-Term Debt:

On February 20, 2002, the Company completed the construction of a satellite parking lot at its Norfolk, Virginia location. The parking lot was financed with a commercial loan for \$500,000 with a fixed rate of 6.47%. The loan is collateralized by the parking lot. The loan required only interest payments during the first six months. Monthly payments on the loan are \$9,797 and the loan matures on September 1, 2007.

The loan is collateralized by the related asset and is subject to the following covenants: net worth greater than \$20,000,000, and;

a cash flow coverage ratio of at least 1.5 to 1 calculated on a rolling twelve-month average.

As of June 30, 2007, the Company is in compliance with these covenants.

On May 1, 2003, the Company secured financing for its computer equipment purchases related to the Hampton, Virginia office opening. The computer equipment was financed with a commercial loan for \$975,000 with a fixed rate of 4.25%. This loan was collateralized by computer equipment. Monthly payments were \$18,096 and the loan was paid in full on May 4, 2007.

On January 9, 2004, the Company entered into a commercial loan agreement in the amount of \$750,000 to finance equipment purchases at one of its leased Norfolk facilities. This loan bears interest at a fixed rate of 4.45% and was collateralized by the purchased equipment. Monthly payments were \$13,975 and the loan was paid in full on May 4, 2007.

5. Property and Equipment, net:

Property and equipment, at cost, consist of the following as of the dates indicated:

		D	ecember 31, 2006	
Software	\$	5,204,371	\$	5,007,449
Computer equipment		5,143,334		4,467,524
Furniture and fixtures		3,872,667		2,716,723
Equipment		4,191,278		3,802,427
Leasehold improvements		2,201,422		1,842,402
Building and improvements		4,449,865		3,282,620
Land		939,263		930,263
Accumulated depreciation and amortization		(12,491,890)		(10,856,434)
Property and equipment, net	\$	13,510,310	\$	11,192,974

Depreciation and amortization expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$871,312 and \$1,675,526, respectively. Depreciation and amortization expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$672,637 and \$1,358,113, respectively.

Beginning in July 2006 upon initiation of certain internally developed software projects, in accordance with the provisions of SOP 98-1, Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use, the Company began capitalizing qualifying computer software costs incurred during the application development stage and amortizing them over their estimated useful life of three years on a straight-line basis beginning when the project is completed. Costs associated with preliminary project stage activities, training, maintenance and all other post implementation stage activities are expensed as incurred. The Company s policy provides for the capitalization of certain direct payroll costs for employees who are directly associated with internal use computer software projects, as well as external direct costs of services associated with developing or obtaining internal use software. Capitalizable personnel costs are limited to the time directly spent on such projects. As of June 30, 2007, the Company has incurred

and capitalized \$314,142 of these direct payroll costs related to software developed for internal use. Of these costs, \$247,890 are for projects that are in the development stage and, therefore, are a component of Other Assets. Once the projects are completed, the costs will be transferred to Software and amortized over their estimated useful life of three years. Amortization expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$3,313 and \$6,625, respectively and the remaining unamortized costs relating to this internally developed software at June 30, 2007 is \$57,418.

6. Intangible Assets, net:

With the acquisition of IGS on October 1, 2004 and RDS on July 29, 2005, the Company purchased certain tangible and intangible assets. Intangible assets purchased included client and customer relationships, non-compete agreements and goodwill. In accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (SFAS 142), the Company is amortizing the IGS client relationships over seven years, the RDS customer relationships over ten years and the non-compete agreements over three years for both the IGS and RDS acquisitions, with a combined original weighted average amortization period of 7.54 years. The Company reviews these relationships at least annually for impairment. Total amortization expense was \$490,670 and \$981,339 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively. Total amortization expense was \$567,163 and \$1,134,326 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively. In addition, goodwill, pursuant to SFAS 142, is not amortized but rather is reviewed at least annually for impairment. During the fourth quarter of 2006, the Company underwent its annual review of goodwill. Based upon the results of this review, which was conducted as of October 1, 2006, no impairment charges to goodwill or the other intangible assets were necessary as of the date of this review. The Company believes that nothing has occurred since the review was performed through June 30, 2007 that would necessitate an impairment charge to goodwill or the other intangible assets. At June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, the carrying value of goodwill was \$18,287,511.

7. Share-Based Compensation:

The Company has a stock option and nonvested share plan. The Amended and Restated Portfolio Recovery Associates 2002 Stock Option Plan and 2004 Restricted Stock Plan was approved by the Company s shareholders at its Annual Meeting of Shareholders on May 12, 2004, enabling the Company to issue to its employees and directors nonvested shares of stock, as well as stock options. Also, in connection with the IPO, all existing PRA warrants that were owned by certain individuals and entities were exchanged for an equal number of PRA Inc warrants. Prior to 2002, the Company accounted for stock compensation issued under the recognition and measurement provisions of the Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 (APB 25), Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related Interpretations.

Effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 (SFAS 123), Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, prospectively to all employee awards granted, modified, or settled after January 1, 2002. All stock-based compensation measured under the provisions of APB 25 became fully vested during 2002. All stock-based compensation expense recognized thereafter was derived from stock-based compensation based on the fair value method prescribed in SFAS 123. Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123R (SFAS 123R), Share-Based Payment using the modified prospective approach. The adoption of SFAS 123R had no material impact on the Company s Consolidated Income Statement or on previously reported interim periods. As of June 30, 2007, total future compensation costs related to nonvested awards of stock options and nonvested shares (not including nonvested shares granted under the Long-Term Incentive Program) were \$215,359 and \$5,029,091, respectively, with a weighted average remaining life of 2.5 years for stock options and 3.3 years for nonvested shares (not including nonvested shares granted under the Long-Term Incentive Program). Based upon historical data, the Company used an annual forfeiture rate of 12.6% for stock options and 16.4% for nonvested shares for most of the employee grants. Grants made to key employee hires and directors of the Company were assumed to have no forfeiture rates associated with them due to the historically low turnover among this group. In addition, commensurate with the adoption of SFAS 123R, all previous references to restricted stock are now referred to as nonvested shares.

Total share-based compensation expense was \$837,758 and \$1,364,364 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively. Total share-based compensation expense was \$538,153 and \$969,972 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively. Tax benefits resulting from tax deductions in excess of share-based compensation expense recognized under the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123R (windfall tax benefits) are credited to additional paid-in capital in the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets. Realized tax shortfalls are first offset against the cumulative balance of windfall tax benefits, if any, and then charged directly to income tax expense. The total tax benefit realized from share-based compensation was approximately \$1.2 million and \$1.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively. The total tax benefit realized from share-based compensation was approximately \$0.3 million and \$1.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively. Stock Options

The Company created the 2002 Stock Option Plan (the Plan) on November 7, 2002. The Plan was amended in 2004 (the Amended Plan) to enable the Company to issue nonvested shares of stock to its employees and directors. The Amended Plan was approved by the Company s shareholders at its Annual Meeting on May 12, 2004. Up to 2,000,000 shares of common stock may be issued under the Amended Plan. The Amended Plan expires November 7, 2012. With the exception of the Long-Term Incentive Program, all options and nonvested shares issued under the Amended Plan vest ratably over five years. Granted options expire seven years from grant date. Expiration dates range between November 7, 2009 and January 16, 2011. Options granted to a single person cannot exceed 200,000 in a single year. As of June 30, 2007, 895,000 options have been granted under the Amended Plan, of which 115,615 have been cancelled.

Prior to January 1, 2006, options were expensed under SFAS 123 and were included in operating expenses as a component of compensation. Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted and began expensing options under SFAS 123R. The expense is included in operating expenses as a component of compensation. The Company granted no options during the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. All of the stock options which have been granted under the Amended Plan were granted to employees of the Company except for 40,000 which were granted to non-employee directors. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 was approximately \$2.4 million and \$2.6 million, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was approximately \$0.7 million and \$4.3 million, respectively.

The following summarizes all option related transactions from December 31, 2005 through June 30, 2007:

	Options Outstanding	Weighted- Average Exercise Price		Weighted- Average Fair Value	
December 31, 2005	504,509	\$	15.12	\$	3.1
Exercised	(188,475)		13.19		2.8
Cancelled	(15,015)		13.00		2.7
December 31, 2006	301,019		16.43		3.3
Exercised	(77,152)		16.83		3.5
Cancelled	(6,445)		13.00		2.7
June 30, 2007	217,422	\$	16.39	\$	3.2
	13				

The following information is as of June 30, 2007:

	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable			
		Average	Weighted-			Weighted-	
		Remaining	Average			Average	
Exercise	Number	Contractual	Exercise	Aggregate	Number	Exercise	Aggregate
				Intrinsic			Intrinsic
Prices	Outstanding	Life	Price	Value	Exercisable	Price	Value
\$13.00	162,922	2.4	\$ 13.00	\$ 7,660,592	38,367	\$ 13.00	\$ 1,804,016
\$16.16	7,500	2.4	16.16	328,950	5,500	16.16	241,230
\$27.77-\$29.79	47,000	3.2	28.18	1,496,430	19,000	28.30	602,610
Total as of							
June 30, 2007	217,422	2.5	\$ 16.39	\$ 9,485,972	62,867	\$ 17.90	\$ 2,647,856

The Company utilizes the Black-Scholes option pricing model to calculate the value of the stock options when granted. This model was developed to estimate the fair value of traded options, which have different characteristics than employee stock options. In addition, changes to the subjective input assumptions can result in materially different fair market value estimates. Therefore, the Black-Scholes model may not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of employee stock options. There were no options granted during 2006 or 2007.

Nonvested Shares

Prior to the approval of the Amended Plan, nonvested shares were permitted to be issued as an incentive to attract new employees and, effective commensurate with the adoption of the Amended Plan at the meeting of shareholders held on May 12, 2004, are permitted to be issued to directors and existing employees. With the exception of the awards made pursuant to the Long-Term Incentive Program, the terms of the nonvested share awards are similar to those of the stock option awards, wherein the nonvested shares vest ratably over five years and are expensed over their vesting period.

The following summarizes all nonvested share transactions from December 31, 2005 through June 30, 2007:

		W	eighted	
	Nonvested	Nonvested Average Shares Price at		
	Shares			
		Grant		
	Outstanding		Date	
December 31, 2005	135,337	\$	34.96	
Granted	82,700		46.88	
Vested	(27,764)		33.88	
Cancelled	(19,165)		37.75	
December 31, 2006	171,108		40.59	
Granted	2,510		44.09	
Vested	(15,300)		45.56	
Cancelled	(8,230)		39.66	
June 30, 2007	150,088	\$	40.20	

The total fair value of shares vested during the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$566,105 and \$727,990, respectively. The total fair value of shares vested during the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$9,124 and \$55,134, respectively.

Long-Term Incentive Program

On March 30, 2007, the Compensation Committee approved the grant of 96,550 shares of performance based nonvested shares pursuant to the Amended Plan. The shares were granted to key employees of the Company. The grant is performance based and cliff vests after the requisite service period of three years if certain financial goals are met. The goals are based upon cumulative diluted earnings per share (EPS) totals for the 2007, 2008 and 2009 fiscal years as well as the return on invested capital for the same period. The number of shares granted can double if the financial goals are exceeded or no shares can be granted if the financial goals are not met. The Company is expensing the nonvested shares over the requisite service period of three years beginning January 1, 2007. If the Company believes that the number of shares granted will be more or less than originally projected, an adjustment to the expense will be made at that time based on the probable outcome. The weighted average price per share at grant date was \$44.65. As of June 30, 2007, total future compensation costs related to nonvested share awards granted under the Long-Term Incentive Program are estimated to be approximately \$3,239,000. The Company assumed a 10.0% forfeiture rate for this grant and the shares have a weighted average life of 2.50 years as of June 30, 2007.

8. Income Taxes FIN 48:

On July 13, 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise s financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. The evaluation of a tax position in accordance with FIN 48 is a two-step process. The first step is recognition: the enterprise determines whether it is more-likely-than-not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. In evaluating whether a tax position has met the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold, the enterprise should presume that the position will be examined by the appropriate taxing authority that would have full knowledge of all relevant information. The second step is measurement: a tax position that meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold is measured to determine the amount of benefit to recognize in the financial statements. The tax position is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Tax positions that previously failed to meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold should be recognized in the first subsequent financial reporting period in which that threshold is met. Previously recognized tax positions that no longer meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold should be derecognized in the first subsequent financial reporting period in which that threshold is no longer met.

The Company adopted the provisions of FIN 48 with respect to all of its tax positions as of January 1, 2007. The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits as of June 30, 2007 and the date of adoption was \$388,000 and \$379,000, respectively. Included in the balance at June 30, 2007 are \$208,000 of tax positions for which the ultimate deductibility is highly certain but for which there is uncertainty about the timing of such deductibility. The remaining balance of unrecognized tax benefits relate to items that when recognized would result in an adjustment to additional paid-in capital and, therefore, would not affect the annual effective tax rate. Because of the impact of deferred tax accounting, other than interest and penalties, the disallowance of the shorter deductibility period for temporary differences would not affect the annual effective tax rate but would accelerate the payment of cash to the taxing authority to an earlier period. During the next twelve months, the approval of a recently filed application for a change in accounting method would reduce unrecognized tax benefits by \$208,000. Such unrecognized tax benefits are focused on the timing of deductibility for certain expenses, the ultimate deductibility of which is highly certain.

The Company was notified on June 21, 2007 that it is currently being examined by the Internal Revenue Service for the 2005 calendar year. As of January 1, 2007, the tax years that remain subject to examination by the major taxing jurisdictions, including the Internal Revenue Service, are 2002 and subsequent years. The 2002 and 2003 tax years are

still open to examination because of net operating losses that originated in those years but were not fully utilized until the 2004 and 2005 tax years.

FIN 48 requires the recognition of interest, if the tax law would require interest to be paid on the underpayment of taxes, and recognition of penalties, if a tax position does not meet the minimum statutory threshold to avoid payment of penalties. Penalties and interest may be classified as either penalties and interest expense or income tax expense. Management has elected to classify penalties and interest as income tax expense. Accrued penalties and interest as of January 1, 2007, in the amount of \$77,000, were recorded to beginning of year retained earnings. As of June 30, 2007, there were no material changes to the amount of accrued interest and penalties.

9. Earnings per Share:

Basic EPS are computed by dividing income available to common shareholders by weighted average common shares outstanding. Diluted EPS are computed using the same components as basic EPS with the denominator adjusted for the dilutive effect of stock warrants, stock options and nonvested share awards. Share-based awards that are contingent upon the attainment of performance goals are not included in the computation of diluted EPS until the performance goals have been attained. The following tables provide a reconciliation between the computation of basic EPS and diluted EPS for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006:

	For the three months ended June 30,						
	Net Income	2007 Weighted Average Common Shares	EPS	Net Income	2006 Weighted Average Common Shares	EPS	
Basic EPS Dilutive effect of stock warrants, options and nonvested share	\$12,988,854	16,004,797	\$0.81	\$11,102,661	15,896,585	\$0.70	
awards		163,292			188,736		
Diluted EPS	\$12,988,854	16,168,089	\$0.80	\$11,102,661	16,085,321	\$0.69	
	For the six months ended June 30,						
		For t	he six mont	hs ended June 30,			
	Net Income	2007 Weighted Average Common			2006 Weighted Average Common Shares	EPS	
Basic EPS Dilutive effect of stock warrants, options and restricted stock	Net Income \$25,869,579	2007 Weighted Average Common Shares 15,999,003	EPS \$1.62	Net Income \$21,832,678	Weighted Average Common Shares 15,884,074	EPS \$1.37	
Dilutive effect of stock warrants, options and		2007 Weighted Average Common Shares	EPS	Net Income	Weighted Average Common Shares		

There were no antidilutive options or nonvested shares outstanding as of June 30, 2007 or 2006.

10. Stockholders Equity:

Cash Dividends Paid on Common Stock:

On April 23, 2007, the Company s Board of Directors authorized a special one-time cash dividend of \$1.00 per share with a record date of May 9, 2007. The cash dividends were paid on June 8, 2007 and totaled \$16,069,694. *Share Repurchase Program:*

On April 23, 2007, the Company s Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program to buyback one million of the Company s outstanding shares of common stock on the open market. The timing and volume of share purchases are dependent on several factors, including market conditions. During the three months ended June 30, 2007, the Company purchased 100,000 shares of its common stock at an average per share price of \$51.90.

11. Commitments and Contingencies:

Employment Agreements:

The Company has employment agreements with all of its executive officers and with several members of its senior management group, most of which expire on December 31, 2008. Such agreements provide for base salary payments as well as bonus entitlement, based on the attainment of specific personal and Company goals. Estimated future compensation under these agreements is approximately \$6,629,000 and is expected to be paid through December 31, 2008. The agreements also contain confidentiality and non-compete provisions. *Leases:*

The Company is party to various operating and capital leases with respect to its facilities and equipment. For further discussion of these leases please refer to the Company s audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed for the year ended December 31, 2006. *Forward Flow Agreements:*

The Company is party to several forward flow agreements that allow for the purchase of defaulted consumer receivables at pre-established prices. The maximum remaining amount to be purchased under forward flow agreements at June 30, 2007 is approximately \$76,200,000.

Litigation:

The Company is from time to time subject to routine litigation incidental to its business. The Company believes that the results of any pending legal proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, results of operations or liquidity of the Company.

12. Recent Accounting Pronouncements:

On September 15, 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The changes to current practice resulting from the application of SFAS 157 relate to the definition of fair value, the methods used to measure fair value, and the expanded disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently evaluating the impact SFAS 157 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. SFAS 159 allows entities to choose, at specified election dates, to measure eligible financial assets and liabilities at fair value that are not otherwise required to be measured at fair value. If a company elects the fair value option for an eligible item, changes in that item s fair value in subsequent reporting periods must be recognized in current earnings. SFAS 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to draw comparison between entities that elect different measurement attributes for similar assets and liabilities. The Company is currently evaluating the impact SFAS 159 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Cautionary Statements Pursuant to Safe Harbor Provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995:

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. These forward-looking statements involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions that, if they never materialize or prove incorrect, could cause our results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. All statements, other than statements of historical fact, are forward-looking statements, including statements regarding overall trends, gross margin trends, operating cost trends, liquidity and capital needs and other statements of expectations, beliefs, future plans and strategies, anticipated events or trends, and similar expressions concerning matters that are not historical facts. The risks, uncertainties and assumptions referred to above may include the following:

our ability to purchase defaulted consumer receivables at appropriate prices;

changes in the business practices of credit originators in terms of selling defaulted consumer receivables or outsourcing defaulted consumer receivables to third-party contingent fee collection agencies;

changes in government regulations that affect our ability to collect sufficient amounts on our acquired or serviced receivables;

changes in income tax laws or challenges by taxing authorities could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations;

changes in bankruptcy laws that could negatively affect our business;

our ability to employ and retain qualified employees, especially collection and information technology personnel;

changes in the credit or capital markets, which affect our ability to borrow money or raise capital to purchase or service defaulted consumer receivables;

the degree and nature of our competition;

our future ability to comply with the provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder;

our ability to successfully integrate IGS and Alatax/RDS businesses into our business operations;

our ability to secure sufficient levels of placements for our fee-for-service businesses;

the sufficiency of our funds generated from operations, existing cash and available borrowings to finance our current operations; and

the risk factors listed from time to time in our filings with the SEC.

You should assume that the information appearing in this quarterly report is accurate only as of the date it was issued. Our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects may have changed since that date.

For a discussion of the risks, uncertainties and assumptions that could affect our future events, developments or results, you should carefully review the following Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations as well as the discussion of Business and Risk Factors described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed on March 1, 2007.

Our forward-looking statements could be wrong in light of these and other risks, uncertainties and assumptions. The future events, developments or results described in this report could turn out to be materially different. We have no obligation to publicly update or revise our forward-looking statements after the date of this report and you should not expect us to do so.

Investors should also be aware that while we do, from time to time, communicate with securities analysts and others, we do not, by policy, selectively disclose to them any material nonpublic information or other confidential commercial information. Accordingly, stockholders should not assume that we agree with any statement or report issued by any analyst regardless of the content of the statement or report. We do not, by policy, confirm forecasts or projections issued by others. Thus, to the extent that reports issued by securities analysts contain any projections, forecasts or opinions, such reports are not our responsibility.

18

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth certain operating data as a percentage of total revenues for the periods indicated:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Revenues:				
Income recognized on finance receivables, net	84.7%	87.5%	84.4%	87.2%
Commissions	15.3%	12.5%	15.6%	12.8%
Total revenues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Operating expenses:				
Compensation and employee services	30.5%	31.0%	30.4%	31.1%
Outside legal and other fees and services	20.5%	21.1%	20.9%	20.5%
Communications	3.7%	2.8%	3.6%	3.2%
Rent and occupancy	1.3%	1.2%	1.3%	1.2%
Other operating expenses	2.7%	2.6%	2.6%	2.5%
Depreciation and amortization	2.5%	2.6%	2.4%	2.7%
Total operating expenses	61.2%	61.5%	61.2%	61.2%
Income from operations	38.8%	38.5%	38.8%	38.8%
Other income and (expense):				
Interest income	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%
Interest expense	(0.6%)	(0.2%)	(0.4%)	(0.3%)
Income before income taxes	38.4%	38.7%	38.7%	38.8%
Provision for income taxes	14.7%	14.7%	14.9%	14.9%
Net income	23.7%	24.0%	23.8%	23.9%

We use the following terminology throughout our reports: Cash Receipts refers to all collections of cash, regardless of the source. Cash Collections refers to collections on our owned portfolios only, exclusive of commission income and sales of finance receivables. Cash Sales of Finance Receivables refers to the sales of our owned portfolios.

Commissions refers to fee income generated from our wholly-owned contingent fee and fee-for-service subsidiaries. *Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 Compared To Three Months Ended June 30, 2006*Revenues

Total revenues were \$54.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$8.6 million or 18.6% compared to total revenues of \$46.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006.

Income Recognized on Finance Receivables, net

Income recognized on finance receivables, net was \$46.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$6.0 million or 14.9% compared to income recognized on finance receivables, net of \$40.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006. The majority of the increase was due to an increase in our cash collections on our owned defaulted consumer receivables to \$64.6 million from \$59.4 million, an increase of 8.8%. Our amortization rate, including the allowance charge, on our owned portfolio for the three months ended June 30, 2007 was 28.2% while for the three months ended June 30, 2006 it was 32.0%. During the three months ended June 30, 2007, we acquired defaulted consumer receivables portfolios with an aggregate face value amount of \$2.54 billion at a cost of \$63.4 million. During the three months ended June 30, 2006, we acquired defaulted consumer receivable portfolios with an aggregate face value of \$1.66 billion at a cost of \$27.9 million. In any period, we acquire defaulted consumer

receivables that can vary dramatically in their age, type and ultimate collectibility. We may pay significantly different purchase rates for purchased receivables within any period as a result of this quality fluctuation. In addition, market forces can drive pricing rates up or down in any period, irrespective of other quality fluctuations. As a result, the average purchase rate paid for any given period can fluctuate dramatically based on our particular buying activity in that period. However, regardless of the average purchase price, we intend to target a similar internal rate of return in pricing our portfolio acquisitions; therefore, the absolute rate paid is not necessarily relevant to estimated profitability of a period s buying.

Income recognized on finance receivables, net is shown net of valuation allowances recognized under SOP 03-3, which requires that a valuation allowance be taken for decreases in expected cash flows or change in timing of cash flows which would otherwise require a reduction in the stated yield on a pool of accounts. For the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, we recorded allowance charges of \$90,000 and \$200,000, respectively. *Commissions*

Commissions were \$8.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$2.6 million or 44.8% compared to commissions of \$5.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006. Commissions grew as a result of increases in revenue generated by our IGS fee-for-service business and RDS government processing and collection business offset by a decrease in our ARM contingent fee business compared to the prior year period.

Operating Expenses

Outside Legal and Other Fees and Services

Total operating expenses were \$33.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$5.1 million or 18.0% compared to total operating expenses of \$28.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006. Total operating expenses, including compensation and employee services expenses, were 45.9% of cash receipts for the three months ended June 30, 2007 compared to 43.5% for the same period in 2006. *Compensation and Employee Services*

Compensation and employee services expenses were \$16.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$2.4 million or 16.8% compared to compensation and employee services expenses of \$14.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006. Compensation and employee services expenses increased as total employees grew 19.0% to 1,441 as of June 30, 2007 from 1,211 as of June 30, 2006. Compensation and employee services expenses as a percentage of cash receipts increased to 22.9% for the three months ended June 30, 2007 from 22.0% of cash receipts for the same period in 2006, mainly due to the addition of our Jackson, Tennessee office as well as a slight decrease in collector productivity when compared to the prior year period.

Outside legal and other fees and services expenses were \$11.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$1.5 million or 15.5% compared to outside legal and other fees and services expenses of \$9.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006. Of the \$1.5 million increase, \$0.9 million was attributable to increases in agency fees mainly incurred by our IGS subsidiary and the remaining \$0.6 million of the increase was attributable to the increased cash collections resulting from the increased number of accounts referred to independent contingent fee attorneys. This increase is consistent with the growth we experienced in our portfolio of defaulted consumer receivables and a portfolio management strategy shift implemented in mid-2002. This strategy resulted in our referring to the legal suit process more previously unsuccessfully liquidated accounts that have an identified means of repayment but that are nearing their legal statute of limitations, than had been referred historically. Legal cash collections represented 28.7% of total cash receipts for the three months ended June 30, 2007 compared to 29.2% for the three months ended June 30, 2006. Legal cash collections represented 32.4% of total cash collections for the three months ended June 30, 2007 compared to 32.1% for the three months ended June 30, 2006. Total legal expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2007 were 36.6% of legal cash collections compared to 37.0% for the three months ended June 30, 2006. Legal fees and costs increased from \$7.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 to \$7.6 million, an increase of 7.0%, for the three months ended June 30, 2007. **Communications**

Communications expenses were \$2.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$0.7 million or 53.8% compared to communications expenses of \$1.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006. The increase was attributable to growth in mailings and higher telephone expenses incurred to collect on a greater number of defaulted consumer receivables owned and serviced as well as the addition of our new call center in Jackson, Tennessee. Mailing expenses were responsible for 58.9% of this increase, while the remaining 41.1% was attributable to higher telephone expenses.

Rent and Occupancy

Rent and occupancy expenses were \$739,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$179,000 or 32.0% compared to rent and occupancy expenses of \$560,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2006. The increase was primarily due to the addition of our new RDS facility, the addition of our new Norfolk, Virginia administrative and executive facility as well as increased utility charges.

Other Operating Expenses

Other operating expenses were \$1,478,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$273,000 or 22.7% compared to other operating expenses of \$1,205,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2006. The increase was due to increases in travel and meals, repairs and maintenance, taxes (non-income), fees and licenses and other miscellaneous expenses, offset by decreases in hiring expense and insurance. Travel and meals expenses increased by \$128,000, repairs and maintenance expenses increased by \$24,000, taxes (non-income), fees and licenses increased by \$64,000 and other miscellaneous expenses increased by \$78,000. These were offset by hiring expenses which decreased by \$5,000 and insurance expenses which decreased by \$16,000.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expenses were \$1.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$0.2 million or 16.7% compared to depreciation and amortization expenses of \$1.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006. The increase is mainly due to capital purchases for the addition of our new RDS facility, our new call center in Jackson, Tennessee, and our new administrative and executive facility in Norfolk, Virginia.

Interest Income

Interest income was \$121,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2007, a decrease of \$50,000 compared to interest income of \$171,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2006. This decrease is the result of lower invested cash and cash equivalents balances during the three months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006.

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$339,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$264,000 compared to interest expense of \$75,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2006. The increase is due to a higher average outstanding balance on our revolving line of credit during the three months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006.

Provision for Income Taxes

Income tax expense was \$8.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$1.3 million or 19.1% compared to income tax expense of \$6.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006. The increase is mainly due to a 17.3% increase in pre-tax income, up from \$17.9 million in 2006, to \$21.0 million in 2007 as well as an increase in the effective tax rate to 38.3% for the three months ended June 30, 2007, as compared to 38.0% for the three months ended June 30, 2006.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 Compared To Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 Revenues

Total revenues were \$108.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$17.3 million or 18.9% compared to total revenues of \$91.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006.

Income Recognized on Finance Receivables, net

Income recognized on finance receivables, net was \$91.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$12.1 million or 15.2% compared to income recognized on finance receivables, net of \$79.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. The majority of the increase was due to an increase in our cash collections on our owned defaulted consumer receivables to \$131.9 million from \$117.9 million, an increase of 11.9%. Our amortization rate, including the allowance charge, on our owned portfolio for the six months ended June 30, 2007 was 30.4% while for the six months ended June 30, 2006 it was 32.4%. During the six months ended June 30, 2007, we acquired defaulted consumer receivables portfolios with an aggregate face value amount of \$4.84 billion at a cost of \$103.0 million. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, we acquired defaulted consumer receivable portfolios with an aggregate face value of \$5.53 billion at a cost of \$44.0 million. In any period, we acquire defaulted consumer receivables that can vary dramatically in their age, type and ultimate collectibility. We may pay significantly different purchase rates for purchased receivables within any period as a result of this quality fluctuation. In addition, market forces can drive pricing rates up or down in any period, irrespective of other quality fluctuations. As a result, the average purchase rate paid for any given period can fluctuate dramatically based on our particular buying activity in that period. However, regardless of the average purchase price, we intend to target a similar internal rate of return in pricing our portfolio acquisitions; therefore, the absolute rate paid is not necessarily relevant to estimated profitability of a period s buying.

Income recognized on finance receivables, net is shown net of valuation allowances recognized under SOP 03-3, which requires that a valuation allowance be taken for decreases in expected cash flows or change in timing of cash flows which would otherwise require a reduction in the stated yield on a pool of accounts. For the six months ended June 30, 2007, we recorded allowance charges of \$700,000 and reversals of previously recorded allowance charges of \$245,000. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, we recorded allowance charges of \$375,000. *Commissions*

Commissions were \$16.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$5.1 million or 43.2% compared to commissions of \$11.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Commissions grew as a result of increases in revenue generated by our IGS fee-for-service business and RDS government processing and collection business offset by a decrease in our ARM contingent fee business compared to the prior year period.

Operating Expenses

Total operating expenses were \$66.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$10.6 million or 18.9% compared to total operating expenses of \$56.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Total operating expenses, including compensation and employee services expenses, were 44.8% of cash receipts for the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to 43.2% for the same period in 2006.

Compensation and Employee Services

Compensation and employee services expenses were \$33.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$4.7 million or 16.5% compared to compensation and employee services expenses of \$28.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Compensation and employee services expenses increased as total employees grew 19.0% to 1,441 as of June 30, 2007 from 1,211 as of June 30, 2006. Compensation and employee services expenses as a percentage of cash receipts increased to 22.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2007 from 21.9% of cash receipts for the same period in 2006, mainly due to the addition of our Jackson, Tennessee office.

Outside Legal and Other Fees and Services

Outside legal and other fees and services expenses were \$22.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$3.9 million or 20.7% compared to outside legal and other fees and services expenses of \$18.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Of the \$3.9 million increase, \$0.1 million was attributable to increases in outside fees and services, \$2.0 million was attributable to increases in agency fees mainly incurred by our IGS subsidiary and the remaining \$1.8 million of the increase was attributable to the increased cash collections resulting from the increased number of accounts referred to independent contingent fee attorneys. This increase is consistent with the growth we experienced in our portfolio of defaulted consumer receivables and a portfolio management strategy shift implemented in mid-2002. This strategy resulted in our referring to the legal suit process more previously unsuccessfully liquidated accounts that have an identified means of repayment but that are nearing their legal statute of limitations, than had been referred historically. Legal cash collections represented 28.0% of total cash receipts for the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to 28.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Legal cash collections represented 31.7% of total cash collections for the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to 31.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Total legal expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2007 were 36.4% of legal cash collections compared to 36.6% for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Legal fees and costs increased from \$13.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 to \$15.2 million, an increase of 13.4%, for the six months ended June 30, 2007.

Communications

Communications expenses were \$3.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$1.0 million or 34.5% compared to communications expenses of \$2.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. The increase was attributable to growth in mailings and higher telephone expenses incurred to collect on a greater number of defaulted consumer receivables owned and serviced as well as the addition of our new call center in Jackson, Tennessee. Mailing expenses were responsible for 59.6% of this increase, while the remaining 40.4% was attributable to higher telephone expenses.

Rent and Occupancy

Rent and occupancy expenses were \$1.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$0.2 million or 18.2% compared to rent and occupancy expenses of \$1.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. The increase was primarily due to the addition of our new RDS facility, the addition of our new Norfolk, Virginia administrative and executive facility as well as increased utility charges.

Other Operating Expenses

Other operating expenses were \$2,862,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$581,000 or 25.5% compared to other operating expenses of \$2,281,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2006. The increase was due to increases in travel and meals, repairs and maintenance, taxes (non-income), fees and licenses and other miscellaneous expenses, as well as decreases in hiring expense and insurance. Travel and meals expenses increased by \$266,000, repairs and maintenance expenses increased by \$77,000, taxes (non-income), fees and licenses increased by \$132,000 and other miscellaneous expenses increased by \$181,000. These were offset by hiring expenses which decreased by \$50,000 and insurance expenses which decreased by \$25,000.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expenses were \$2.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$0.2 million or 8.0% compared to depreciation and amortization expenses of \$2.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. The increase is mainly due to capital purchases for the addition of our new RDS facility, our new call center in Jackson, Tennessee, and our new administrative and executive facility in Norfolk, Virginia.

Interest Income

Interest income was \$300,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$56,000 compared to interest income of \$244,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2006. This increase is the result of larger invested cash and cash equivalents balances during the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006.

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$406,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$163,000 compared to interest expense of \$243,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2006. The increase is due to a higher average outstanding balance on our revolving line of credit during the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006.

Provision for Income Taxes

Income tax expense was \$16.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007, an increase of \$2.5 million or 18.2% compared to income tax expense of \$13.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006. The increase is mainly due to an 18.6% increase in pre-tax income, up from \$35.5 million in 2006, to \$42.1 million in 2007. The effective tax rate was 38.5% for both the six month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006.

Supplemental Performance Data

Owned Portfolio Performance:

The following tables show certain data related to our owned portfolio. These tables describe the purchase price, cash collections and related multiples. Further, these tables disclose our entire portfolio, the portfolio of purchased bankrupt accounts only and our entire portfolio less the impact of our purchased bankrupt accounts. The accounts represented in the purchased bankruptcy tables are those accounts that were bankrupt at the time of purchase. This contrasts with accounts that file bankruptcy after we purchase them.

Entire Portfolio (\$ in thousands)

				Actual			
		Unamortized Purchase Price	Percentage of Purchase Price	Cash Collections	Estimated		Total Estimated
Purchase Period	Purchase Price ⁽¹⁾	Balance at June 30, 2007 (2)	Remaining Unamortized at June 30, 2007 (3)	Including Cash Sales	Remaining Collections	Total Estimated Collections (5)	Collections to Purchase Price (6)
1996	\$ 3,080	\$ 0	0%	\$ 9,766	\$ 52	\$ 9,818	319%
1997	\$ 7,685	\$ 0	0%	\$ 24,134	\$ 163	\$ 24,297	316%
1998	\$ 11,089	\$ 0	0%	\$ 34,847	\$ 311	\$ 35,158	317%
1999	\$ 18,898	\$ 0	0%	\$ 62,230	\$ 785	\$ 63,015	333%
2000	\$ 25,018	\$ 0	0%	\$ 98,961	\$ 2,330	\$ 101,291	405%
2001	\$ 33,480	\$ 556	2%	\$ 146,454	\$ 8,417	\$ 154,871	463%
2002	\$ 42,318	\$ 1,176	3%	\$153,991	\$ 11,558	\$ 165,549	391%
2003	\$ 61,459	\$ 5,901	10%	\$187,881	\$ 35,131	\$ 223,012	363%
2004	\$ 59,329	\$ 11,130	19%	\$122,362	\$ 51,647	\$ 174,009	293%
2005	\$143,262	\$ 83,804	58%	\$132,079	\$188,062	\$ 320,141	223%
2006	\$108,546	\$ 85,475	79%	\$ 52,403	\$182,048	\$ 234,451	216%
YTD 2007	\$103,504	\$ 100,606	97%	\$ 9,206	\$218,988	\$ 228,194	220%

Purchased Bankruptcy only Portfolio (\$ in thousands)

Purchase Period	ırchase Price ⁽¹⁾	Pı Ba J	amortized urchase Price dance at une 30,	Percentage of Purchase Price Remaining Unamortized at June 30, 2007 (3)	Co	Actual Cash Ollections including Cash Sales	Re	etimated maining llections	Total stimated ollections	Total Estimated Collections to Purchase Price (6)
1996	\$ 0	\$	0	0%	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	0%
1997	\$ 0	\$	0	0%	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	0%
1998	\$ 0	\$	0	0%	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	0%
1999	\$ 0	\$	0	0%	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	0%
2000	\$ 0	\$	0	0%	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	0%
2001	\$ 0	\$	0	0%	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	0%
2002	\$ 0	\$	0	0%	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	0%
2003	\$ 0	\$	0	0%	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	0%
2004	\$ 7,472	\$	2,455	33%	\$	10,859	\$	5,178	\$ 16,037	215%

2005	\$ 29,326	\$ 11,102	38%	\$ 25,784	\$ 18,630	\$ 44,414	151%
2006	\$ 17,862	\$ 10,014	56%	\$ 10,851	\$ 18,550	\$ 29,401	165%
YTD 2007	\$ 8 502	\$ 8.452	99%	\$ 99	\$ 14 160	\$ 14 259	168%

Entire Portfolio less Purchased Bankruptcy Portfolio (\$\shape in thousands)

Purchase	Purchase	Unamortized Purchase Price Balance at	of Purchase Price Remaining Unamortized	Actual Cash Collections Including Cash	Estimated Remaining	Total Estimated	Total Estimated Collections to
Period	Price(1)	June 30, 2007 ⁽²⁾	at June 30, 2007 ⁽³⁾	Sales	Collections (4)	Collections (5)	Purchase Price ⁽⁶⁾
1996	\$ 3,080	\$ 0	0%	\$ 9,766	\$ 52	\$ 9,818	319%
1997	\$ 7,685	\$ 0	0%	\$ 24,134	\$ 163	\$ 24,297	316%
1998	\$ 11,089	\$ 0	0%	\$ 34,847	\$ 311	\$ 35,158	317%
1999	\$ 18,898	\$ 0	0%	\$ 62,230	\$ 785	\$ 63,015	333%
2000	\$ 25,018	\$ 0	0%	\$ 98,961	\$ 2,330	\$ 101,291	405%
2001	\$ 33,480	\$ 556	2%	\$ 146,454	\$ 8,417	\$ 154,871	463%
2002	\$ 42,318	\$ 1,176	3%	\$153,991	\$ 11,558	\$ 165,549	391%
2003	\$ 61,459	\$ 5,901	10%	\$187,881	\$ 35,131	\$ 223,012	363%
2004	\$ 51,857	\$ 8,675	17%	\$111,503	\$ 46,469	\$ 157,972	305%
2005	\$113,936	\$ 72,702	64%	\$106,295	\$169,432	\$ 275,727	242%
2006	\$ 90,684	\$ 75,461	83%	\$ 41,552	\$163,498	\$ 205,050	226%
YTD 2007	\$ 95,002	\$ 92,154	97%	\$ 9,107	\$204,828	\$ 213,935	225%
			25				

(1) Purchase price refers to the cash paid to a seller to acquire defaulted consumer receivables, plus certain capitalized costs, less the purchase price refunded by the seller due to the return of non-compliant accounts (also defined as buybacks). Non-compliant refers to the contractual representations and warranties provided for in the purchase and sale contract between the seller and us. These representations and warranties from the sellers generally cover account holders death or bankruptcy and accounts settled or disputed prior to sale. The seller can replace or repurchase these accounts.

(2) Unamortized purchase price balance refers to

the purchase price less amortization over the life of the portfolio.

- (3) Percentage of purchase price remaining unamortized refers to the amount of unamortized purchase price divided by the purchase price.
- (4) Estimated remaining collections refers to the sum of all future projected cash collections on our owned portfolios.
- (5) Total estimated collections refers to the actual cash collections, including cash sales, plus estimated remaining collections.
- (6) Total estimated collections to purchase price refers to the total estimated collections divided by the purchase price.

The following graph shows the purchase price of our owned portfolios by year beginning in 1996 and includes the year to date acquisition amount for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. The purchase price number represents the cash paid to the seller to acquire defaulted consumer receivables, plus certain capitalized costs, less the purchase price refunded by the seller due to the return of non-compliant accounts.

Portfolio Purchases by Year

We utilize a long-term approach to collecting our owned pools of receivables. This approach has historically caused us to realize significant cash collections and revenues from purchased pools of finance receivables years after they are originally acquired. As a result, we have in the past been able to reduce our level of current period acquisitions without a corresponding negative current period impact on cash collections and revenue.

The following table, which excludes any proceeds from cash sales of finance receivables, demonstrates our ability to realize significant multi-year cash collection streams on our owned pools:

2001

2,630

5,152

12,090

19,498

13,048

se

Price

3,080

7,685

11,089

18,898

25,018

33,480

42,318

1996

1997

2,507

548 \$2,484

1998

5,215

3,776

\$ 1,890 \$ 1,348

1999

4,069

6,807

5,138

2000

\$ 1,025 \$

3,347

6,398

13,069

6,894

Cash Collections By Year, By Year of Purchase Entire Portfolio

Cash Collection Period

730 \$

2002

1,829

3,948

9.598

19,478

28,831

15,073

496 \$

2003

398 \$

1,324

2,797

7,336

16,628

28,003

36,258

2004

285 \$

1.022

2,200

5,615

14,098

26,717

35,742

2005

210 \$

860

1,811

4,352

10,924

22,639

32,497

12,510							13,073	30,230	33,712	32,177	21,727	,
61,459								24,308	49,706	52,640	43,728	17,
59,329									18,019	46,475	40,424	
143,262										18,968	75,145	37,
108,546											22,971	29,
103,504												9,
\$617,668	548	\$4,991	\$10,881	\$17,362	\$30,733	\$53,148	\$79,253	\$117,052	\$153,404	\$191,376	\$236,393	\$131,
		Ca	ısh Collec	tions By Y	∕ear, By Υ	Zear of Pu	rchase I	Bankruptcy	y only Portf	folio		
Purchase					C	Cash Collec	ction Perio	od				YT
Price	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	200
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
7,472									743	4,554	3,956	1,
29,326										3,777	15,500	
17,862 8,502											5,608	5,
\$ 63,162	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 743	\$ 8,331	\$ 25,064	\$ 13.

YT

200

1.

5,

9.

2006

237 \$

597

1,415

3.032

8,067

16,048

24,729

Cash Collections By Year, By Year of Purchase Entire Portfolio less Bankruptcy

e						C	ash Collec	ction Perio	od				YT
]	Price	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	200
\$	3,080	\$548	\$2,484	\$ 1,890	\$ 1,348	\$ 1,025	\$ 730	\$ 496	\$ 398	\$ 285	\$ 210	\$ 237	\$
	7,685		2,507	5,215	4,069	3,347	2,630	1,829	1,324	1,022	860	597	
	11,089			3,776	6,807	6,398	5,152	3,948	2,797	2,200	1,811	1,415	í
	18,898				5,138	13,069	12,090	9,598	7,336	5,615	4,352	3,032	1,
	25,018					6,894	19,498	19,478	16,628	14,098	10,924	8,067	2,
	33,480						13,048	28,831	28,003	26,717	22,639	16,048	5,
	42,318							15,073	36,258	35,742	32,497	24,729	9,
	61,459								24,308	49,706	52,640	43,728	17,
	51,857									17,276	41,921	36,468	15,
1	13,936										15,191	59,645	31,
	90,684											17,363	24,
	95,002												9,
\$5	54,506	\$548	\$4,991	\$10,881	\$17,362	\$30,733	\$53,148	\$79,253	\$117,052	\$152,661	\$183,045	\$211,329	\$118,

When we acquire a new pool of finance receivables, our estimates typically result in a 72 - 96 month projection of cash collections. The following chart shows our historical cash collections (including cash sales of finance receivables) in relation to the aggregate of the total estimated collection projections made at the time of each respective pool purchase, adjusted for buybacks.

Actual Cash Collections and Cash Sales vs. Original Projections (\$ in millions)

Owned Portfolio Personnel Performance:

We measure the productivity of each collector each month, breaking results into groups of similarly tenured collectors. The following three tables display various productivity measures that we track.

Collector by Tenure

Collector FTE at:	12/31/03	12/31/04	12/31/05	12/31/06	06/30/06	06/30/07
One year + ¹	241	298	327	340	342	360
Less than one year ²	338	349	364	375	372	481
Total ²	579	647	691	715	714	841

- Calculated based on actual employees (collectors) with one year of service or more.
- ² Calculated using total hours worked by all collectors, including those in training to produce a full time equivalent FTE.

Monthly Cash Collections by Tenure ¹

Average performance YTD	12/31/03	12/31/04	12/31/05	12/31/06	06/30/06	06/30/07
One year $+ ^2$	\$ 18,158	\$ 17,129	\$ 16,694	\$ 18,024	\$ 18,297	\$ 20,021
Less than one year ³	\$ 8,303	\$ 9,363	\$ 8,491	\$ 8,533	\$ 9,373	\$ 8,314

Cash collection
numbers include
only accounts
assigned to
collectors.
Significant cash
collections do
occur on
unassigned
accounts.

- Calculated using average YTD monthly cash collections of all collectors with one year or more of tenure.
- Calculated using weighted average YTD monthly cash collections of all collectors with less than one year of tenure, including those in training.

YTD Cash Collections per Hour Paid 1

Average performance YTD	12/31/03	12/31/04	12/31/05	12/31/06	06/30/06	06/30/07
Total cash collections	\$ 108.27	\$ 117.59	\$ 133.39	\$ 146.03	\$ 148.74	\$ 148.52
Non-legal cash collections ²	\$ 80.10	\$ 82.06	\$ 89.25	\$ 99.06	\$ 102.50	\$ 101.39
Non-bk cash collections ³			\$ 128.02	\$ 132.15	\$ 136.14	\$ 134.83

- Cash collections
 (assigned and
 unassigned)
 divided by total
 hours paid
 (including
 holiday,
 vacation and
 sick time) to all
 collectors
 (including those
 in training).
- Represents total cash collections less legal cash collections.
- Represents total cash collections less bankruptcy cash collections. Although we began bankruptcy portfolio

purchasing in 2004, we began calculating this metric in 2005.

28

Cash collections have substantially exceeded revenue in each quarter since our formation. The following chart illustrates the consistent excess of our cash collections on our owned portfolios over the income recognized on finance receivables, net on a quarterly basis. The difference between cash collections and income recognized is referred to as payments applied to principal. It is also referred to as amortization. This amortization is the portion of cash collections that is used to recover the cost of the portfolio investment represented on the balance sheet.

Cash Collections⁽¹⁾ vs. Income Recognized on Finance Receivables, net

(1) Includes cash collections on finance receivables only. Excludes commission fees and cash proceeds from sales of defaulted consumer receivables.

Seasonality

We depend on the ability to collect on our owned and serviced defaulted consumer receivables. Cash collections tend to be higher in the first and second quarters of the year and lower in the third and fourth quarters of the year, due to consumer payment patterns in connection with seasonal employment trends, income tax refunds and holiday spending habits. Historically, our growth has partially masked the impact of this cash collections seasonality.

Quarterly Cash Collections(1)

(1) Includes cash collections on finance receivables only. Excludes commission fees and cash proceeds from sales of defaulted consumer receivables.

Quarterly Cash Collections by Group

The following table shows the changes in finance receivables, including the amounts paid to acquire new portfolios.

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2007	Three Months Ended June 30, 2006	Six Months Ended June 30, 2007	Six Months Ended June 30, 2006
Balance at beginning of period Acquisitions of finance receivables, net	\$ 243,568,411	\$ 189,847,379	\$ 226,447,495	\$ 193,644,670
of buybacks ⁽¹⁾ Cash collections applied to principal on	63,298,532	26,635,403	102,262,742	41,954,209
finance receivables (2)	(18,218,902)	(19,045,079)	(40,062,196)	(38,161,176)
Balance at end of period	\$ 288,648,041	\$ 197,437,703	\$ 288,648,041	\$ 197,437,703
Estimated Remaining Collections (ERC ³)	\$ 699,490,551	\$ 506,594,945	\$ 699,490,551	\$ 506,594,945

(1) Agreements to purchase receivables typically include general representations and warranties from the sellers covering account holders death or bankruptcy and accounts settled or disputed prior to sale. The seller can replace or repurchase these accounts. We refer to repurchased accounts as buybacks. We also capitalize certain acquisition related costs.

(2) Cash collections

applied to

principal (also

referred to as

amortization) on

finance

receivables

consists of cash

collections less

income

recognized on

finance

receivables, net.

(3) Estimated

Remaining

Collections

refers to the sum

of all future

projected cash

collections on

our owned

portfolios. ERC

is not a balance

sheet item;

however, it is

provided here

for

informational

purposes.

30

The following table categorizes our life to date owned portfolios as of June 30, 2007 into the major asset types represented:

			Life to Date Purchased Face Value of Defaulted	
Asset Type	No. of Accounts	%	Consumer Receivables ⁽¹⁾	%
Visa/MasterCard/Discover	7,229,340	51.7%	\$ 20,160,452,236	69.4%
Consumer Finance	3,708,616	26.5%	3,208,405,770	11.0%
Private Label Credit Cards	2,628,174	18.8%	3,181,709,829	11.0%
Auto Deficiency	415,599	3.0%	2,508,052,598	8.6%
Total:	13,981,729	100.0%	\$ 29,058,620,433	100.0%

(1) The Life to Date

Purchased Face

Value of

Defaulted

Consumer

Receivables

represents the

original face

amount

purchased from

sellers and has

not been

decremented by

any adjustments

including

payments and

buybacks

(buybacks are

defined as

purchase price

refunded by the

seller due to the

return of

non-compliant

accounts).

The following chart shows details of our life to date buying activity as of June 30, 2007. We actively seek to purchase both bankrupt and non-bankrupt accounts at any point in the delinquency cycle.

Life to Date Purchased Face

			Value of Defaulted Consumer	
Account Type	No. of Accounts	%	Receivables ⁽¹⁾	%
Fresh	355,244	2.5%	\$ 1,574,313,220	5.4%
Primary	1,436,941	10.3%	3,054,244,082	10.5%
Secondary	2,191,391	15.7%	4,104,950,414	14.1%
Tertiary	3,118,318	22.3%	3,871,535,169	13.3%
BK Trustees	1,674,925	12.0%	6,958,291,806	24.0%
Other	5,204,910	37.2%	9,495,285,742	32.7%
Total:	13,981,729	100.0%	\$ 29,058,620,433	100.0%

(1) The Life to Date Purchased Face Value of Defaulted Consumer Receivables represents the original face amount purchased from sellers and has not been decremented by any adjustments including payments and buybacks (buybacks are defined as purchase price refunded by the seller due to the return of non-compliant accounts).

We also review the geographic distribution of accounts within a portfolio because we have found that certain states have more debtor-friendly laws than others and, therefore, are less desirable from a collectibility perspective. In addition, economic factors and bankruptcy trends vary regionally and are factored into our maximum purchase price equation.

The following chart sets forth our overall life to date portfolio of defaulted consumer receivables geographically as of June 30, 2007:

			Life to Date Purchased Face Value of Defaulted		Original Purchase Price of Defaulted Consumer			
	No. of		Consumer		Receivables			
Geographic Distribution	Accounts	%	Receivables (1)	%	(2)	%		
Texas	2,468,546	18%	\$ 3,645,705,450	13%	\$ 74,330,327	12%		
California	1,386,640	10%	3,485,489,288	12%	66,516,009	11%		
Florida	1,059,224	8%	2,841,111,290	10%	56,226,327	9%		
New York	814,381	6%	2,012,767,933	7%	44,502,888	7%		
Pennsylvania	467,060	3%	1,130,303,877	4%	27,522,332	4%		
Illinois	568,311	4%	1,016,161,485	3%	23,879,952	4%		
North Carolina	467,956	3%	977,365,257	3%	22,125,959	4%		
New Jersey	337,275	2%	958,372,761	3%	19,696,604	3%		
Ohio	448,632	3%	929,824,748	3%	21,757,177	3%		
Georgia	380,396	3%	844,609,316	3%	22,022,822	3%		
Michigan	378,620	3%	733,657,568	3%	18,498,988	3%		
Massachusetts	274,546	2%	624,578,763	2%	13,193,394	2%		
Arizona	214,353	2%	547,772,531	2%	10,641,596	2%		
Virginia	261,351	2%	534,710,393	2%	13,137,097	2%		
South Carolina	243,085	2%	523,763,849	2%	11,664,246	2%		
Tennessee	237,154	2%	513,083,203	2%	13,191,382	2%		
Other (3)	3,974,199	27%	7,739,342,721	26%	171,874,878	27%		
Total:	13,981,729	100%	\$ 29,058,620,433	100%	\$ 630,781,978	100%		

(1) The Life to Date Purchased Face Value of Defaulted Consumer Receivables represents the original face amount purchased from sellers and has not been decremented by any adjustments including payments and buybacks (buybacks are

defined as purchase price refunded by the seller due to the return of non-compliant accounts).

- (2) The Original Purchase Price of Defaulted Consumer Receivables represents the cash paid to sellers to acquire portfolios of defaulted consumer receivables.
- (3) Each state included in Other represents less than 2% of the face value of total defaulted consumer receivables.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historically, our primary sources of cash have been cash flows from operations, bank borrowings and equity offerings. Cash has been used for acquisitions of finance receivables, corporate acquisitions, repurchase of our common stock, payment of cash dividends, repayments of bank borrowings, purchases of property and equipment and working capital to support our growth.

We believe that funds generated from operations, together with existing cash and available borrowings under our credit agreement will be sufficient to finance our current operations, planned capital expenditure requirements, and internal growth at least through the next twelve months. However, we could require additional debt or equity financing if we were to make any significant acquisitions requiring cash during that period.

Cash generated from operations is dependent upon our ability to collect on our defaulted consumer receivables. Many factors, including the economy and our ability to hire and retain qualified collectors and managers, are essential to our ability to generate cash flows. Fluctuations in these factors that cause a negative impact on our business could have a material impact on our expected future cash flows.

Our operating activities provided cash of \$37.9 million and \$26.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. In these periods, cash from operations was generated primarily from net income earned through cash collections and commissions received for the period which increased from \$21.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 to \$25.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. Net cash provided by operating activities was also impacted by the amount of income taxes paid during the period which was \$5.3 million and \$11.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The remaining increase was due to net changes in other accounts related to our operating activities.

Our investing activities used cash of \$66.2 million and \$5.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The majority of the change was due to acquisitions of finance receivables which increased from \$42.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006, to \$102.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. Cash used in investing activities is primarily driven by acquisitions of defaulted consumer receivables and purchases of property and equipment. Cash provided by investing activities is primarily driven by cash collections applied to principal on finance receivables.

Our financing activities provided cash of \$18.3 million and used cash of \$12.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The majority of the change was due to proceeds received from debt financing from our revolving line of credit partially offset by cash used to pay a cash dividend on our common stock and the repurchase of 100,000 shares of our common stock during the six months ended June 30, 2007. Cash used in financing activities is primarily driven by payments on our revolving lines of credit, dividends paid, repurchase of common stock and principal payments on long term debt and capital lease obligations. Cash is provided by proceeds from debt financing and stock option exercises.

Cash paid for interest was \$210,798 and \$257,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Interest was paid for our revolving lines of credit, capital lease obligations and other long-term debt.

On November 29, 2005, we entered into a Loan and Security Agreement for a revolving line of credit jointly offered by Bank of America, N. A. and Wachovia Bank, National Association. The agreement was amended on May 9, 2006 to include RBC Centura Bank as an additional lender and again on May 4, 2007 to increase the line of credit to \$150,000,000 and incorporate a \$50,000,000 non-revolving sub-limit. The agreement is a revolving line of credit in an amount equal to the lesser of \$150,000,000 or 30% of the Company s estimated remaining collections of all of our eligible asset pools. Borrowings under the revolving credit facility bear interest at a floating rate equal to the LIBOR Market Index Rate plus 1.40% and the facility expires on May 4, 2010. The loan is collateralized by substantially all of our tangible and intangible assets. The agreement provides as follows:

monthly borrowings may not exceed 30% of estimated remaining collections;

funded debt to EBITDA ratio must be less than 2.0 to 1.0 calculated on a rolling twelve-month average;

tangible net worth must be at least 100% of prior quarter tangible net worth plus 25% of cumulative positive net income since the end of such fiscal quarter, plus 100% of the net proceeds from any equity offering without giving effect to reductions in tangible net worth due to repurchases of up to \$100,000,000 of our common stock; and

restrictions on change of control.

Outstanding borrowings under the facility totaled \$38.0 million as of June 30, 2007. As of June 30, 2007, we are in compliance with all of the covenants of the agreement.

As of June 30, 2007, there is one loan outstanding. On February 20, 2002, one of our subsidiaries entered into an arrangement for a \$500,000 commercial loan in order to finance construction of a parking lot at our Norfolk, Virginia location. This loan bears interest at a fixed rate of 6.47% and matures on September 1, 2007. The loan is collateralized by the related asset and requires us to maintain net worth greater than \$20 million and a cash flow coverage ratio of at least 1.5 to 1.0 calculated on a rolling twelve-month average.

Contractual Obligations

Our contractual obligations as of June 30, 2007 are as follows:

	Payments due by period								
			Less					More	
				than 1		1 - 3	4 - 5	than 5	
Contractual Obligations		Total		year		years	years	years	
Operating Leases	\$	19,349,137	\$	2,400,314	\$	5,592,780	\$4,568,545	\$ 6,787,498	
Long-Term Debt and Revolving Lines of Credit (1)		39,478,274		653,274		38,825,000			
Capital Lease Obligations		179,917		134,353		45,564			
Purchase Commitments (2)		79,701,782	,	78,736,706		751,932	213,144		
Employment Agreements		6,628,797		4,547,521		2,081,276			
Total	\$	145,337,907	\$	86,472,168	\$	47,296,552	\$4,781,689	\$ 6,787,498	

- (1) To the extent that a balance is outstanding on our line of credit, it would be due in May, 2010.
- (2) This amount includes the maximum remaining amount to be purchased under forward flow contracts for the purchase of charged-off consumer debt in the amount of approximately \$76.2 million.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off balance sheet arrangements as defined by Regulation S-K 303(a)(4) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act).

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

On September 15, 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. SFAS 157 establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The changes to current practice resulting from the application of SFAS 157 relate to the definition of fair value, the methods used to measure fair value, and the expanded disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. We are currently evaluating the impact SFAS 157 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. SFAS 159 allows entities to choose, at specified election dates, to measure eligible financial assets and liabilities at fair value that are not otherwise required to be measured at fair value. If a company elects the fair value option for an eligible item, changes in that item s fair value in subsequent reporting periods must be recognized in current earnings. SFAS 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to draw comparison between entities that elect different measurement attributes for similar assets and liabilities. We are currently evaluating the impact SFAS 159 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations require our management to make judgments, assumptions, and estimates that affect the amounts reported in our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities. Actual results may differ from these estimates and such differences may be material.

Management believes our critical accounting policies and estimates are those related to revenue recognition, valuation of acquired intangibles and goodwill and income taxes. Management believes these policies to be critical because they are both important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results, and they require management to make judgments and estimates about matters that are inherently uncertain. Our senior management has reviewed these critical accounting policies and related disclosures with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors.

Revenue Recognition

We acquire accounts that have experienced deterioration of credit quality between origination and our acquisition of the accounts. The amount paid for an account reflects our determination that it is probable we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the account s contractual terms. At acquisition, we review each account to determine whether there is evidence of deterioration of credit quality since origination and if it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the account s contractual terms. If both conditions exist, we determine whether each such account is to be accounted for individually or whether such accounts will be assembled into pools based on common risk characteristics. We consider expected prepayments and estimate the amount and timing of undiscounted expected principal, interest and other cash flows for each acquired portfolio and subsequently aggregated pools of accounts. We determine the excess of the pool s scheduled contractual principal and contractual interest payments over all cash flows expected at acquisition as an amount that should not be accreted (nonaccretable difference) based on our proprietary acquisition models. The remaining amount, representing the excess of the account s cash flows expected to be collected over the amount paid, is accreted into income recognized on finance receivables over the remaining life of the account or pool (accretable yield).

Prior to January 1, 2005, we accounted for our investment in finance receivables using the interest method under the guidance of Practice Bulletin 6, Amortization of Discounts on Certain Acquired Loans. Effective January 1, 2005, we adopted and began to account for our investment in finance receivables using the interest method under the guidance of AICPA SOP 03-3, Accounting for Loans or Certain Securities Acquired in a Transfer. For loans acquired in fiscal years beginning prior to December 15, 2004, Practice Bulletin 6 is still effective; however, Practice Bulletin 6 was amended by SOP 03-3 as described further in this note. For loans acquired in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2004, SOP 03-3 is effective. Under the guidance of SOP 03-3 (and the amended Practice Bulletin 6), static pools of accounts may be established. These pools are aggregated based on certain common risk criteria. Each static pool is recorded at cost, which includes certain direct costs of acquisition paid to third parties, and is accounted for as a single unit for the recognition of income, principal payments and loss provision. Once a static pool is established for a quarter, individual receivable accounts are not added to the pool (unless replaced by the seller) or removed from the pool (unless sold or returned to the seller). SOP 03-3 (and the amended Practice Bulletin 6) requires that the excess of the contractual cash flows over expected cash flows not be recognized as an adjustment of revenue or expense or on the balance sheet. The SOP initially freezes the internal rate of return, referred to as IRR, estimated when the accounts receivable are purchased as the basis for subsequent impairment testing. Significant increases in expected future cash flows may be recognized prospectively through an upward adjustment of the IRR over a portfolio s remaining life. Any increase to the IRR then becomes the new benchmark for impairment testing. Effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2004 under SOP 03-3 and the amended Practice Bulletin 6, rather than lowering the estimated IRR if the collection estimates are not received, the carrying value of a pool would be written down to maintain the then current IRR. Income on finance receivables is accrued quarterly based on each static pool s effective IRR. Quarterly cash flows greater than the interest accrual will reduce the carrying value of the static pool. Likewise, cash flows that are less than the accrual will accrete the carrying balance. The IRR is estimated and periodically recalculated based on the timing and amount of anticipated cash flows using our proprietary collection models. A pool can become fully amortized (zero carrying balance on the balance sheet) while still generating cash collections. In this case, all cash collections are recognized as revenue when received. Additionally, we use the cost recovery method when collections on a particular pool of accounts cannot be reasonably predicted. These pools are not aggregated with other portfolios. Under the cost recovery method, no revenue is recognized until we have fully collected the cost of the portfolio, or until such time that we consider the collections to be probable and estimable and begin to recognize income based on the interest method as described above.

We establish valuation allowances for all acquired accounts subject to SOP 03-3 to reflect only those losses incurred after acquisition (that is, the present value of cash flows initially expected at acquisition that are no longer expected to be collected). Valuation allowances are established only subsequent to acquisition of the accounts. At June 30, 2007, we had a \$1,755,000 valuation allowance on our finance receivables. Prior to January 1, 2005, in the event that a reduction of the yield to as low as zero in conjunction with estimated future cash collections that were inadequate to amortize the carrying balance, an allowance charge would be taken with a corresponding write-off of the

We utilize the provisions of Emerging Issues Task Force 99-19, Reporting Revenue Gross as a Principal versus Net as an Agent (EITF 99-19) to commission revenue from our contingent fee, skip-tracing and government processing and collection subsidiaries. EITF 99-19 requires an analysis to be completed to determine if certain revenues should be reported gross or reported net of their related operating expense. This analysis includes an assessment of who retains inventory/credit risk, who controls vendor selection, who establishes pricing and who remains the primary obligor on the transaction. Each of these factors was considered to determine the correct method of recognizing revenue from our subsidiaries.

For our contingent fee subsidiary, the portfolios which are placed for servicing are owned by our clients and are placed under a contingent fee commission arrangement. Our subsidiary is paid to collect funds from the client s debtors and earns a commission generally expressed as a percentage of the gross collection amount. The Commissions line of our income statement reflects the contingent fee amount earned, and not the gross collection amount.

Our skip tracing subsidiary utilizes gross reporting under EITF 99-19. We generate revenue by working an account and successfully locating a customer for our client. An investigative fee is received for these services. In addition, we incur agent expenses where we hire a third-party collector to effectuate repossession. In many cases we have an arrangement with our client which allows us to bill the client for these fees. We have determined these fees to be gross revenue based on the criteria in EITF 99-19 and they are recorded as such in the line item. Commissions, primarily because we are primarily liable to the third party collector. There is a corresponding expense in Outside legal and other fees and services for these pass-through items.

Our government processing and collection business s primary source of income is derived from servicing taxing authorities in several different ways: processing all of their tax payments and tax forms, collecting delinquent taxes, identifying taxes that are not being paid and auditing tax payments. The processing and collection pieces are standard commission based billings or fee for service transactions. When RDS conducts an audit, there are two components. The first is a charge for the hours incurred on conducting the audit. This charge is for hours worked. This charge is up-charged from the actual costs incurred. The gross billing is a component of the line item. Commissions and the expense is included in the line item. Compensation and employee services. The second item is for expenses incurred while conducting the audit. Most jurisdictions will reimburse RDS for direct expenses incurred for the audit including such items as travel and meals. The billed amounts are included in the line item. Commissions and the expense component is included in its appropriate expense category, generally, Other operating expenses.

We account for our gain on cash sales of finance receivables under SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities. Gains on sale of finance receivables, representing the difference between the sales price and the unamortized value of the finance receivables sold, are recognized when finance receivables are sold.

We apply a financial components approach that focuses on control when accounting and reporting for transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishments of liabilities. Under that approach, after a transfer of financial assets, an entity recognizes the financial and servicing assets it controls and the liabilities it has incurred, eliminates financial assets when control has been surrendered, and eliminates liabilities when extinguished. This approach provides consistent standards for distinguishing transfers of financial assets that are sales from transfers that are secured borrowings.

Valuation of Acquired Intangibles and Goodwill

In accordance with SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, we are required to perform a review of goodwill for impairment annually or earlier if indicators of potential impairment exist. The review of goodwill for potential impairment is highly subjective and requires that: (1) goodwill is allocated to various reporting units of our business to which it relates; and (2) we estimate the fair value of those reporting units to which the goodwill relates and then determine the book value of those reporting units. If the estimated fair value of reporting units with allocated goodwill is determined to be less than their book value, we are required to estimate the fair value of all identifiable assets and liabilities of those reporting units in a manner similar to a purchase price allocation for an acquired business. This requires independent valuation of certain unrecognized assets. Once this process is complete, the amount of goodwill impairment, if any, can be determined.

We believe that, as of June 30, 2007, there was no impairment of goodwill or other intangible assets. However, changes in various circumstances including changes in our market capitalization, changes in our forecasts and changes in our internal business structure could cause one of our reporting units to be valued differently thereby causing an impairment of goodwill. Additionally, in response to changes in our industry and changes in global or regional economic conditions, we may strategically realign our resources and consider restructuring, disposing or otherwise exiting businesses, which could result in an impairment of some or all of our identifiable intangibles or goodwill. *Income Taxes*

We record a tax provision for the anticipated tax consequences of the reported results of operations. In accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, the provision for income taxes is computed using the asset and liability method, under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities, and for operating losses and tax credit carry-forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the currently enacted tax rates that apply to taxable income in effect for the years in which those tax assets are expected to be realized or settled.

We believe it is more likely than not that forecasted income, including income that may be generated as a result of certain tax planning strategies, together with the tax effects of the deferred tax liabilities, will be sufficient to fully recover the remaining deferred tax assets. In the event that all or part of the deferred tax assets are determined not to be realizable in the future, a valuation allowance would be established and charged to earnings in the period such determination is made. Similarly, if we subsequently realize deferred tax assets that were previously determined to be unrealizable, the respective valuation allowance would be reversed, resulting in a positive adjustment to earnings or a decrease in goodwill in the period such determination is made. In addition, the calculation of tax liabilities involves significant judgment in estimating the impact of uncertainties in the application of complex tax laws. Resolution of these uncertainties in a manner inconsistent with our expectations could have a material impact on our results of operations and financial position.

FIN 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of SFAS No. 109, clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise s financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. We adopted the provisions of FIN 48 on January 1, 2007.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk.

Our exposure to market risk relates to interest rate risk with our variable rate credit line. As of June 30, 2007, we had \$38,000,000 of variable rate debt outstanding on our revolving credit line. A 10% change in future interest rates on the variable rate credit line would not lead to a material decrease in future earnings assuming all other factors remained constant.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial and Administrative Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Also, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions and the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. We conducted an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on this evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that, as of June 30, 2007, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2007 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we are involved in various legal proceedings which are incidental to the ordinary course of our business. We regularly initiate lawsuits against consumers and are occasionally countersued by them in such actions. Also, consumers occasionally initiate litigation against us, in which they allege that we have violated a state or federal law in the process of collecting on an account. We do not believe that these routine matters represent a substantial volume of our accounts or that, individually or in the aggregate, they are material to our business or financial condition. We are not a party to any material legal proceedings and we are unaware of any contemplated material actions against us.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

An investment in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the specific risk factors listed under Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 1, 2007, together with all other information included or incorporated in our reports filed with the SEC. Any such risks may materialize, and additional risks not known to us, or that we now deem immaterial, may arise. In such event, our business, financial condition, results of operations or prospects could be materially adversely affected. If that occurs, the market price of our common stock could fall, and you could lose all or part of your investment.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of the Security Holders

On May 18, 2007, we convened our Annual Meeting of Stockholders in Norfolk, Virginia. The matters voted on at the meeting were: (1) the election of two directors, each serving for a term of three years, and (2) the ratification of the selection of KPMG LLP as our independent auditors for the year ending December 31, 2007.

The voting was as follows for the election of directors:

Election of Directors: FOR WITHHELD William P. Brophey 14,529,025 130,885

David N. Roberts 12.501,179 2,158,731

The voting was as follows for the ratification of the selection of KPMG LLP as our independent auditors for the year ending December 31, 2007:

Ratification of independent auditors: FOR WITHHELD ABSTAIN KPMG LLP 14,574,144 68,910 16,856

There were no broker non-votes.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

- 10.1 Second amendment to the Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of May 4, 2007, by and between Portfolio Recovery Associates, Inc, Bank of America, N.A., Wachovia Bank, N.A. and RBC Centura Bank (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Form 8-K filed May 7, 2007).
- 31.1 Section 302 Certifications of Chief Executive Officer.
- 31.2 Section 302 Certifications of Chief Financial Officer.
- 32.1 Section 906 Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer.

39

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES,

INC.

(Registrant)

Date: July 31, 2007 By: /s/ Steven D. Fredrickson

Steven D. Fredrickson

Chief Executive Officer, President and Chairman of the Board of Directors (Principal Executive Officer)

Date: July 31, 2007 By: /s/ Kevin P. Stevenson

Kevin P. Stevenson

Chief Financial and Administrative

Officer

Executive Vice President, Treasurer and

Assistant

Secretary (Principal Financial and

Accounting Officer)

40