

SUNTRUST BANKS INC  
Form 10-Q  
August 09, 2011  
[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

☒ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)

OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

or

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE

ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number 001-08918

**SUNTRUST BANKS, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Georgia**  
(State or other jurisdiction)

**58-1575035**  
(I.R.S. Employer

of incorporation or organization) Identification No.)  
**303 Peachtree Street, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30308**

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

# Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

(404) 588-7711

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

☒ Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☒

Non-accelerated filer ☐

Accelerated filer ☐

Smaller reporting company ☐

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

At July 28, 2011, 536,877,003 shares of the Registrant's Common Stock, \$1.00 par value, were outstanding.

**Table of Contents****TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Glossary of Defined Terms	<u>Page</u> i -iii
---------------------------	-----------------------

**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

Item 1.	<u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	1
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Income/(Loss)</u>	1
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	2
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity</u>	3
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	4
	<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	5
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	71
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	110
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	110

**PART II OTHER INFORMATION**

Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	110
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	110
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	110
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	111
Item 4.	<u>(Removed and Reserved)</u>	111
Item 5.	<u>Other Information</u>	111
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	111

<b><u>SIGNATURE</u></b>	113
-------------------------	-----

**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

The following unaudited financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X, and accordingly do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. However, in the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary to comply with Regulation S-X have been included. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year ending December 31, 2011.

**Table of Contents**

**GLOSSARY OF DEFINED TERMS**

**ABS** Asset-backed securities.

**AFS** Available for sale.

**ALCO** Asset/Liability Management Committee.

**ALLL** Allowance for loan and lease losses.

**AOCI** Accumulated other comprehensive income.

**ARS** Auction rate securities.

**ASC** FASB Accounting Standard Codification.

**ASU** Accounting standards update.

**ATE** Additional termination event.

**ATM** Automated teller machine.

**Bank** SunTrust Bank.

**BCBS** Basel Committee on Banking Supervision.

**Board** The Company's Board of Directors.

**CCAR** Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review.

**CDO** Collateralized debt obligation.

**CD** Certificate of deposit.

**CDS** Credit default swaps.

**CIB** Corporate and Investment Banking.

**Class A shares** Visa Inc. Class A common stock.

**Class B shares** Visa Inc. Class B common stock.

**CLO** Collateralized loan obligation.

**Coke** The Coca-Cola Company.

**Company** SunTrust Banks, Inc.

**CP** Commercial paper.

**CPP** Capital Purchase Program.

**CRE** Commercial Real Estate.

## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

**CSA** Credit support annex.

**DBRS** Dun and Bradstreet, Inc.

**Dodd-Frank Act** The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010.

**EPS** Earnings per share.

**ERISA** Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.

**Exchange Act** Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

**FASB** Financial Accounting Standards Board.

**FDIC** The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

**Federal Reserve** The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

**Fed funds** Federal funds.

**FFIEC** Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council

**FHA** Federal Housing Administration.

**FHLB** Federal Home Loan Bank.

**Table of Contents**

**FICO** Fair Isaac Corporation.

**FINRA** Financial Industry Regulatory Authority.

**Fitch** Fitch Ratings Ltd.

**FTE** Fully taxable-equivalent.

**FVO** Fair value option.

**GB&T** GB&T Bancshares, Inc.

**GSE** Government-sponsored enterprise.

**IFRS** International Financial Reporting Standards.

**IPO** Initial public offering.

**IRLC** Interest rate lock commitments.

**IRS** Internal Revenue Service.

**ISDA** International Swaps and Derivatives Associations Master Agreement.

**KBW Bank Sector Index** Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc. Bank Sector Index.

**LHFI** Loans held for investment.

**LHFI-FV** Loans held for investment carried at fair value.

**LHFS** Loans held for sale.

**LIBOR** London InterBank Offered Rate.

**LOCOM** Lower of cost or market.

**LTI** Long-term incentive.

**LTV** Loan to value.

**MBS** Mortgage-backed securities.

**MD&A** Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

**Moody's** Moody's Investors Service.

**MSR** Mortgage servicing right.

**MVE** Market value of equity.

**NEO** Named executive officers.

**NOW** Negotiable order of withdrawal account.

## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

**NPL** Nonperforming loan.

**NSF** Non-sufficient funds.

**OCI** Other comprehensive income.

**OREO** Other real estate owned.

**OTC** Over-the-counter.

**OTTI** Other-than-temporary impairment.

**Parent Company** Parent Company of SunTrust Banks, Inc. and subsidiaries.

**QSPE** Qualifying special-purpose entity.

**RidgeWorth** RidgeWorth Capital Management, Inc.

**ROA** Return on average total assets.

**ROE** Return on average common shareholders' equity.

**S&P** Standard and Poor's.

**SBA** Small Business Administration.

**Table of Contents**

<b>SEC</b>	U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.
<b>SIV</b>	Structured investment vehicles.
<b>SPE</b>	Special purpose entity.
<b>STIS</b>	SunTrust Investment Services, Inc.
<b>STM</b>	SunTrust Mortgage, Inc.
<b>STRH</b>	SunTrust Robinson Humphrey, Inc.
<b>SunTrust</b>	SunTrust Banks, Inc.
<b>TARP</b>	Troubled Asset Relief Program.
<b>TDR</b>	Troubled debt restructuring.
<b>The Agreements</b>	Equity forward agreements.
<b>Three Pillars</b>	Three Pillars Funding, LLC.
<b>TRS</b>	Total return swaps.
<b>U.S. GAAP</b>	Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States.
<b>U.S. Treasury</b>	The United States Department of the Treasury.
<b>UTB</b>	Unrecognized tax benefits.
<b>VA</b>	Veteran s Administration.
<b>VAR</b>	Value at risk.
<b>VI</b>	Variable interest.
<b>VIE</b>	Variable interest entity.
<b>Visa</b>	The Visa, U.S.A. Inc. card association or its affiliates, collectively.
<b>W&amp;IM</b>	Wealth and Investment Management.



**Table of Contents****Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)****SunTrust Banks, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Income/(Loss)**

	000000 For the Three Months Ended June 30 2011	000000 2010	000000 For the Six Months Ended June 30 2011	000000 2010
(Dollars in millions and shares in thousands, except per share data) (Unaudited)				
<b>Interest Income</b>				
Interest and fees on loans	\$1,299	\$1,318	\$2,613	\$2,635
Interest and fees on loans held for sale	22	33	50	66
Interest and dividends on securities available for sale:				
Taxable interest	177	167	342	343
Tax-exempt interest	6	9	11	18
Dividends <sup>1</sup>	21	19	41	38
Trading account interest	21	24	43	44
<b>Total interest income</b>	<b>1,546</b>	<b>1,570</b>	<b>3,100</b>	<b>3,144</b>
<b>Interest Expense</b>				
Interest on deposits	162	225	331	458
Interest on funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase	1	2	2	3
Interest on trading liabilities	8	8	16	14
Interest on other short-term borrowings	3	3	6	6
Interest on long-term debt	113	154	237	313
<b>Total interest expense</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>392</b>	<b>592</b>	<b>794</b>
<b>Net interest income</b>	<b>1,259</b>	<b>1,178</b>	<b>2,508</b>	<b>2,350</b>
Provision for credit losses	392	662	839	1,524
<b>Net interest income after provision for credit losses</b>	<b>867</b>	<b>516</b>	<b>1,669</b>	<b>826</b>
<b>Noninterest Income</b>				
Service charges on deposit accounts	170	208	333	404
Other charges and fees	130	133	256	262
Card fees	105	94	205	181
Trust and investment management income	135	127	270	249
Retail investment services	59	48	117	95
Mortgage production related income/(loss)	4	(16)	3	(47)
Mortgage servicing related income	72	88	144	158
Investment banking income	95	58	162	114
Trading account profits and commissions	53	109	105	102
Net securities gains <sup>2</sup>	32	57	96	58
Other noninterest income	57	46	104	74
<b>Total noninterest income</b>	<b>912</b>	<b>952</b>	<b>1,795</b>	<b>1,650</b>
<b>Noninterest Expense</b>				
Employee compensation	638	575	1,256	1,132
Employee benefits	110	107	246	242
Outside processing and software	162	158	320	307
Net occupancy expense	89	90	178	181
Regulatory assessments	81	65	152	129
Other real estate expense	64	87	133	133
Credit and collection services	60	66	111	140

# Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Equipment expense	44	42	88	83
Marketing and customer development	46	44	84	78
Operating losses	62	16	89	30
Amortization of intangible assets	12	13	23	26
Net loss/(gain) on debt extinguishment	(1)	63	(2)	54
Other noninterest expense	175	177	329	329
<b>Total noninterest expense</b>	<b>1,542</b>	<b>1,503</b>	<b>3,007</b>	<b>2,864</b>
Income/(loss) before provision/(benefit) for income taxes	237	(35)	457	(388)
Provision/(benefit) for income taxes	58	(50)	91	(244)
Net income/(loss) including income attributable to noncontrolling interest	179	15	366	(144)
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	1	3	8	5
Net income/(loss)	\$178	\$12	\$358	(\$149)
Net income/(loss) available to common shareholders	\$174	(\$56)	\$212	(\$285)
Net income/(loss) per average common share				
Diluted <sup>3</sup>	\$0.33	(\$0.11)	\$0.41	(\$0.58)
Basic	0.33	(0.11)	0.41	(0.58)
Dividends declared per common share	\$0.01	\$0.01	\$0.02	\$0.02
Average common shares - diluted	535,416	498,499	519,548	498,369
Average common shares - basic	531,792	495,351	515,819	495,112

<sup>1</sup> Includes dividends on common stock of The Coca-Cola Company of \$14 million and \$13 million during the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$28 million and \$26 million during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

<sup>2</sup> Includes credit-related other-than-temporary impairment losses of \$1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, and \$2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

<sup>3</sup> For earnings per share calculation purposes, the impact of dilutive securities are excluded from the diluted share count during periods that the Company has recognized a net loss available to common shareholders because the impact would be anti-dilutive.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited).

## Table of Contents

### SunTrust Banks, Inc.

#### Consolidated Balance Sheets

	0000000000000000	0000000000000000
	June 30, 2011	As of December 31, 2010
(Dollars in millions and shares in thousands) (Unaudited)		
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash and due from banks	\$5,633	\$4,296
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks	20	24
Funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell	1,134	1,058
Cash and cash equivalents	6,787	5,378
Trading assets	6,586	6,175
Securities available for sale	27,216	26,895
Loans held for sale <sup>1</sup> (loans at fair value: \$1,925 as of June 30, 2011 and \$3,168 as of December 31, 2010)	2,052	3,501
Loans <sup>2</sup> (loans at fair value: \$449 as of June 30, 2011 and \$492 as of December 31, 2010)	114,913	115,975
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(2,744)	(2,974)
Net loans	112,169	113,001
Premises and equipment	1,536	1,620
Goodwill	6,343	6,323
Other intangible assets (MSRs at fair value: \$1,423 as of June 30, 2011 and \$1,439 as of December 31, 2010)	1,539	1,571
Other real estate owned	483	596
Other assets	7,462	7,814
Total assets	\$172,173	\$172,874
<b>Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity</b>		
Noninterest-bearing consumer and commercial deposits	\$30,591	\$27,290
Interest-bearing consumer and commercial deposits	91,080	92,735
Total consumer and commercial deposits	121,671	120,025
Brokered deposits (CDs at fair value: \$1,140 as of June 30, 2011 and \$1,213 of December 31, 2010)	2,345	2,365
Foreign deposits	905	654
Total deposits	124,921	123,044
Funds purchased	939	951
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	2,253	2,180
Other short-term borrowings	2,791	2,690
Long-term debt <sup>3</sup> (debt at fair value: \$2,022 as of June 30, 2011 and \$2,837 as of December 31, 2010)	13,693	13,648
Trading liabilities	3,026	2,678
Other liabilities	4,890	4,553
Total liabilities	152,513	149,744
Preferred stock, no par value	172	4,942
Common stock, \$1.00 par value	550	515
Additional paid in capital	9,330	8,403
Retained earnings	8,745	8,542
Treasury stock, at cost, and other	(805)	(888)
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax	1,668	1,616
Total shareholders' equity	19,660	23,130
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$172,173	\$172,874

# Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Common shares outstanding	536,907	500,436
Common shares authorized	750,000	750,000
Preferred shares outstanding	2	50
Preferred shares authorized	50,000	50,000
Treasury shares of common stock	13,014	14,231

<sup>1</sup> Includes loans held for sale, at fair value, of consolidated VIEs	\$329	\$316
--	-------	-------

<sup>2</sup> Includes loans of consolidated VIEs	2,896	2,869
--	-------	-------

<sup>3</sup> Includes debt of consolidated VIEs (\$289 and \$290 at fair value at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively)	743	764
--	-----	-----

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited).

# Table of Contents

## SunTrust Banks, Inc.

### Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity

	Accumulated							
	Common		Additional		Treasury		Other	
(Dollars and shares in millions, except per share data)	Preferred	Shares	Common	Paid in	Retained	Stock and	Comprehensive	
(Unaudited)	Stock	Outstanding	Stock	Capital	Earnings	Other <sup>1</sup>	Income	Total
<b>Balance, January 1, 2010</b>	\$4,917	499	\$515	\$8,521	\$8,563	(\$1,055)	\$1,070	\$22,531
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(149)	-	-	(149)
Other comprehensive income:								
Change in unrealized gains on securities, net of taxes	-	-	-	-	-	-	215	215
Change in unrealized gains on derivatives, net of taxes	-	-	-	-	-	-	377	377
Change related to employee benefit plans	-	-	-	-	-	-	83	83
Total comprehensive income								526
Common stock dividends, \$0.02 per share	-	-	-	-	(10)	-	-	(10)
Series A preferred stock dividends, \$2,022 per share	-	-	-	-	(4)	-	-	(4)
U.S. Treasury preferred stock dividends, \$2,500 per share	-	-	-	-	(120)	-	-	(120)
Accretion of discount for preferred stock issued to U.S. Treasury	12	-	-	-	(12)	-	-	-
Stock compensation expense	-	-	-	11	-	-	-	11
Restricted stock activity	-	1	-	(69)	-	42	-	(27)
Amortization of restricted stock compensation	-	-	-	-	-	22	-	22
Issuance of stock for employee benefit plans and other	-	-	-	(18)	1	23	-	6
Fair value election of MSRs	-	-	-	-	89	-	-	89
<b>Balance, June 30, 2010</b>	\$4,929	500	\$515	\$8,445	\$8,358	(\$968)	\$1,745	\$23,024
<b>Balance, January 1, 2011</b>	\$4,942	500	\$515	\$8,403	\$8,542	(\$888)	\$1,616	\$23,130
Net income	-	-	-	-	358	-	-	358
Other comprehensive income:								
Change in unrealized gains on securities, net of taxes	-	-	-	-	-	-	121	121
Change in unrealized gains on derivatives, net of taxes	-	-	-	-	-	-	(53)	(53)
Change related to employee benefit plans	-	-	-	-	-	-	(16)	(16)

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Total comprehensive income								<b>410</b>
Change in noncontrolling interest	-	-	-	-	-	<b>1</b>	-	<b>1</b>
Common stock dividends, \$0.02 per share	-	-	-	-	(11)	-	-	(11)
Series A preferred stock dividends, \$2,022 per share	-	-	-	-	(4)	-	-	(4)
U.S. Treasury preferred stock dividends, \$1,236 per share	-	-	-	-	(60)	-	-	(60)
Accretion of discount for preferred stock issued to U.S. Treasury	<b>6</b>	-	-	-	(6)	-	-	-
Repurchase of preferred stock issued to U.S. Treasury	(4,776)	-	-	-	(74)	-	-	(4,850)
Issuance of common stock	-	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>982</b>	-	-	-	<b>1,017</b>
Stock compensation expense	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	7
Restricted stock activity	-	<b>2</b>	-	(54)	-	<b>46</b>	-	(8)
Amortization of restricted stock compensation	-	-	-	-	-	<b>17</b>	-	<b>17</b>
Issuance of stock for employee benefit plans and other	-	-	-	(8)	-	<b>19</b>	-	<b>11</b>
<b>Balance, June 30, 2011</b>	<b>\$172</b>	<b>537</b>	<b>\$550</b>	<b>\$9,330</b>	<b>\$8,745</b>	<b>(\$805)</b>	<b>\$1,668</b>	<b>\$19,660</b>

1 Balance at June 30, 2011 includes (\$869) for treasury stock, (\$67) for compensation element of restricted stock, and \$131 for noncontrolling interest.

Balance at June 30, 2010 includes (\$1,021) for treasury stock, (\$55) for compensation element of restricted stock, and \$108 for noncontrolling interest.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited).

**Table of Contents****SunTrust Banks, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

	<b>Six Months Ended June 30</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
(Dollars in millions) (Unaudited)		
<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities:</b>		
Net income/(loss) including income attributable to noncontrolling interest	<b>\$366</b>	<b>(\$144)</b>
Adjustments to reconcile net income/(loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation, amortization, and accretion	<b>372</b>	<b>404</b>
Origination of Mortgage Servicing Rights	<b>(136)</b>	<b>(134)</b>
Provisions for credit losses and foreclosed property	<b>930</b>	<b>1,620</b>
Amortization of restricted stock compensation	<b>17</b>	<b>22</b>
Stock option compensation	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>
Net (gain)/loss on extinguishment of debt	<b>(2)</b>	<b>54</b>
Net securities gains	<b>(96)</b>	<b>(58)</b>
Net (gain)/loss on sale of assets	<b>(141)</b>	<b>(218)</b>
Net decrease in loans held for sale	<b>1,718</b>	<b>1,045</b>
Net increase in other assets	<b>(358)</b>	<b>(406)</b>
Net increase in other liabilities	<b>421</b>	<b>170</b>
<b>Net cash provided by operating activities</b>	<b>3,098</b>	<b>2,366</b>
<b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities:</b>		
Proceeds from maturities, calls, and paydowns of securities available for sale	<b>2,414</b>	<b>2,802</b>
Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale	<b>10,763</b>	<b>10,526</b>
Purchases of securities available for sale	<b>(12,603)</b>	<b>(12,677)</b>
Proceeds from maturities, calls, and paydowns of trading securities	<b>124</b>	<b>78</b>
Proceeds from sales of trading securities	<b>102</b>	<b>61</b>
Net (increase)/decrease in loans including purchases of loans	<b>(1,109)</b>	<b>31</b>
Proceeds from sales of loans	<b>287</b>	<b>600</b>
Capital expenditures	<b>(9)</b>	<b>(89)</b>
Contingent consideration and other payments related to acquisitions	<b>(18)</b>	<b>(4)</b>
Proceeds from the sale of other assets	<b>360</b>	<b>349</b>
<b>Net cash provided by investing activities</b>	<b>311</b>	<b>1,677</b>
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities:</b>		
Net increase/(decrease) in total deposits	<b>1,877</b>	<b>(3,194)</b>
Net increase/(decrease) in funds purchased, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and other short-term borrowings	<b>162</b>	<b>(1,135)</b>
Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt	<b>1,039</b>	<b>500</b>
Repayment of long-term debt	<b>(1,170)</b>	<b>(2,283)</b>
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock	<b>1,017</b>	<b>-</b>
Repurchase of preferred stock	<b>(4,850)</b>	<b>-</b>
Common and preferred dividends paid	<b>(75)</b>	<b>(135)</b>
<b>Net cash used in financing activities</b>	<b>(2,000)</b>	<b>(6,247)</b>
<b>Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>1,409</b>	<b>(2,204)</b>
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	<b>5,378</b>	<b>6,997</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at end of period</b>	<b>\$6,787</b>	<b>\$4,793</b>
<b>Supplemental Disclosures:</b>		
Loans transferred from loans held for sale to loans	<b>\$46</b>	<b>\$17</b>
Loans transferred from loans to loans held for sale	<b>198</b>	<b>238</b>
Loans transferred from loans to other real estate owned	<b>367</b>	<b>622</b>

## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Accretion of discount for preferred stock issued to the U.S. Treasury	80	12
Total assets of newly consolidated VIEs at January 1, 2010	-	2,049
<i>See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited).</i>		



## **Table of Contents**

### **Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)**

#### **Note 1 Significant Accounting Policies**

##### ***Basis of Presentation***

The unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP for interim financial information. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, which are necessary for a fair presentation of the results of operations in these financial statements, have been made.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could vary from these estimates. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period amounts to conform to the current period presentation.

The Company evaluated subsequent events through the date its financial statements were issued.

These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. Except for accounting policies that have been modified or recently adopted as described below, there have been no significant changes to the Company's accounting policies as disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

##### ***Loans***

Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or pay-off are considered LHFI. The Company's loan balance is comprised of loans held in portfolio, including commercial loans, consumer loans, and residential loans. Interest income on all types of loans, except those classified as nonaccrual, is accrued based upon the outstanding principal amounts using the effective yield method.

Commercial loans (commercial & industrial, commercial real estate, and commercial construction) are considered to be past due when payment is not received from the borrower by the contractually specified due date. The Company typically classifies commercial loans as nonaccrual when one of the following events occurs: (i) interest or principal has been past due 90 days or more, unless the loan is secured by collateral having realizable value sufficient to discharge the debt in full and the loan is in the legal process of collection; (ii) collection of recorded interest or principal is not anticipated; or (iii) income for the loan is recognized on a cash basis due to the deterioration in the financial condition of the debtor. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual, unpaid interest is reversed against interest income. Interest income on nonaccrual loans, if recognized, is recognized after the principal has been reduced to zero. If and when commercial borrowers demonstrate the ability to repay a loan in accordance with the contractual terms of a loan classified as nonaccrual, the loan may be returned to accrual status.

Consumer loans (guaranteed student loans, other direct, indirect, and credit card) are considered to be past due when payment is not received from the borrower by the contractually specified due date. Other direct and indirect loans are typically placed on nonaccrual when payments have been past due for 90 days or more except when the borrower has declared bankruptcy, in which case, they are moved to nonaccrual status once they become 60 days past due. Credit card loans are never placed on nonaccrual status but rather are charged off once they are 180 days past due. Guaranteed student loans continue to accrue interest regardless of delinquency status because collection of principal and interest is reasonably assured. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual, unpaid interest is reversed against interest income. Interest income on nonaccrual loans, if recognized, is recognized after the principal has been reduced to zero. Nonaccrual loans are typically returned to accrual status once they no longer meet the delinquency threshold that resulted in them initially being moved to nonaccrual status.

Residential loans (guaranteed residential mortgages, nonguaranteed residential mortgages, home equity products, and residential construction) are considered to be past due when a monthly payment is due and unpaid for one month. Nonguaranteed residential mortgages and residential construction loans are generally placed on nonaccrual when payments are 120 days past due. Home equity products are generally placed on nonaccrual when payments are 90 days past due. The exception for nonguaranteed residential mortgages, residential construction loans, and home equity products is when the borrower has declared bankruptcy, in which case, they are moved to nonaccrual status once they become 60 days past due. Guaranteed residential mortgages continue to accrue interest regardless of delinquency status because collection of principal and interest is reasonably assured. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual, unpaid interest is reversed against interest income. Interest income on nonaccrual loans, if recognized, is recognized on a cash basis. Nonaccrual loans are typically returned to accrual status once they no longer meet the delinquency threshold that resulted in them initially being moved to nonaccrual status.



**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

TDRs are loans in which the borrower is experiencing financial difficulty and the Company has granted an economic concession to the borrower. To date, the Company's TDRs have been predominantly first and second lien residential mortgages and home equity lines of credit. Prior to modifying a borrower's loan terms, the Company performs an evaluation of the borrower's financial condition and ability to service under the potential modified loan terms. The types of concessions granted are generally interest rate reductions and/or term extensions. If a loan is accruing at the time of modification, the loan remains on accrual status and is subject to the Company's charge-off and nonaccrual policies. See the Allowance for Credit Losses section within this Note for further information regarding these policies. If a loan is on nonaccrual before it is determined to be a TDR then the loan remains on nonaccrual. TDRs may be returned to accrual status if there has been at least a six month sustained period of repayment performance by the borrower. Consistent with regulatory guidance, upon sustained performance and classification as a TDR through the Company's year end, the loan will be removed from TDR status as long as the modified terms were market-based at the time of modification. Generally, once a residential loan becomes a TDR, it is probable that the loan will likely continue to be reported as a TDR for the life of the loan. Interest income recognition on impaired loans is dependent upon nonaccrual status, TDR designation, and loan type as discussed above.

For loans accounted for at amortized cost, fees and incremental direct costs associated with the loan origination and pricing process, as well as premiums and discounts, are deferred and amortized as level yield adjustments over the respective loan terms. Premiums for purchased credit cards are amortized on a straight-line basis over one year. Fees received for providing loan commitments that result in funded loans are recognized over the term of the loan as an adjustment of the yield. If a loan is never funded, the commitment fee is recognized into noninterest income at the expiration of the commitment period. Origination fees and costs are recognized in noninterest income and expense at the time of origination for newly-originated loans that are accounted for at fair value. See Note 3, Loans for additional information.

***Allowance for Credit Losses***

The Allowance for Credit Losses is composed of the ALLL and the reserve for unfunded commitments. The Company's ALLL is the amount considered adequate to absorb probable losses within the portfolio based on management's evaluation of the size and current risk characteristics of the loan portfolio. In addition to the review of credit quality through ongoing credit review processes, the Company employs a variety of modeling and estimation techniques to measure credit risk and construct an appropriate and adequate ALLL. Numerous asset quality measures, both quantitative and qualitative, are considered in estimating the ALLL. Such evaluation considers numerous factors for each of the loan portfolio segments, including, but not limited to net charge-off trends, internal risk ratings, changes in internal risk ratings, loss forecasts, collateral values, geographic location, delinquency rates, nonperforming and restructured loan status, origination channel, product mix, underwriting practices, industry conditions, and economic trends. In addition to these factors, refreshed FICO scores are considered for consumer and residential loans and single name borrower concentration is considered for commercial loans. These credit quality factors are incorporated into various loss estimation models and analytical tools utilized in the ALLL process and/or are qualitatively considered in evaluating the overall reasonableness of the ALLL.

Large commercial (all loan classes) nonaccrual loans and certain consumer (other direct), residential (nonguaranteed residential mortgages, home equity products, and residential construction), and commercial (all classes) loans whose terms have been modified in a TDR are individually identified for evaluation of impairment. A loan is considered impaired when it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due, including principal and interest, according to the contractual terms of the agreement. If necessary, a specific allowance is established for individually evaluated impaired loans. The specific allowance established for these loans is based on a thorough analysis of the most probable source of repayment, including the present value of the loan's expected future cash flows, the loan's estimated market value, or the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral depending on the most likely source of repayment. Any change in the present value attributable to the passage of time is recognized through the provision for credit losses.

General allowances are established for loans and leases grouped into pools based on similar characteristics. In this process, general allowance factors are based on an analysis of historical charge-off experience, portfolio trends, regional and national economic conditions, and expected loss given default derived from the Company's internal risk rating process. Other adjustments may be made to the ALLL after an assessment of internal and external influences on credit quality that are not fully reflected in the historical loss or other risk rating data. These influences may include elements such as changes in credit underwriting, concentration risk, macroeconomic conditions, and/or recent observable asset quality trends.

The Company's charge-off policy meets or is more stringent than regulatory minimums. Losses on unsecured consumer loans are recognized at 90 days past due compared to the regulatory loss criteria of 120 days past due. Secured consumer loans, including residential real estate, are typically charged-off between 120 and 180 days past due, depending on the collateral type, in compliance with the FFIEC guidelines. Loans that

## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

have been partially charged-off remain on nonperforming status, regardless of collateral value, until specific borrower performance criteria are met.

**Table of Contents**

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

The Company uses numerous sources of information in order to make an appropriate evaluation of a property's value. Estimated collateral valuations are based on appraisals, broker price opinions, recent sales of foreclosed properties, automated valuation models, other property-specific information, and relevant market information, supplemented by the Company's internal property valuation professionals. The value estimate is based on an orderly disposition and marketing period of the property. In limited instances, the Company adjusts externally provided appraisals for justifiable and well-supported reasons, such as an appraiser not being aware of certain property-specific factors or recent sales information. Appraisals generally represent the as is value of the property but may be adjusted based on the intended disposition strategy of the property.

For commercial real estate loans secured by property, acceptable third-party appraisal or other form of evaluation is obtained prior to the origination of the loan. Updated evaluations of the collateral's value are obtained at least annually, or earlier if the credit quality of the loan deteriorates. In situations where an updated appraisal has not been received or a formal evaluation performed, the Company monitors factors that can positively or negatively impact property value, such as the date of the last valuation, the volatility of property values in specific markets, changes in the value of similar properties, and changes in the characteristics of individual properties. Changes in collateral value affect the ALLL through the risk rating or impaired loan evaluation process. Charge-offs are recognized when the amount of the loss is quantifiable and timing is known. The charge-off is measured based on the difference between the loan's carrying value, including deferred fees, and the estimated net realizable value of the loan, net of estimated selling costs. When assessing property value for the purpose of determining a charge-off, a third-party appraisal or an independently derived internal evaluation is generally employed.

For mortgage loans secured by residential property where the Company is proceeding with a foreclosure action, a new valuation is obtained prior to the loan becoming 180 days past due and, if required, the loan is written down to net realizable value, net of estimated selling costs. In the event the Company decides not to proceed with a foreclosure action, the full balance of the loan is charged-off. If a loan remains in the foreclosure process for 12 months past the original charge-off, typically at 180 days past due, the Company obtains a new valuation and, if required, writes the loan down to the new valuation, less estimated selling costs. At foreclosure, a new valuation is obtained and the loan is transferred to OREO at the new valuation less estimated selling costs; any loan balance in excess of the transfer value is charged-off. Estimated declines in value of the residential collateral between these formal evaluation events are captured in the ALLL based on changes in the house price index in the applicable metropolitan statistical area or other market information.

In addition to the ALLL, the Company also estimates probable losses related to unfunded lending commitments, such as letters of credit and binding unfunded loan commitments. Unfunded lending commitments are analyzed and segregated by risk similar to funded loans based on the Company's internal risk rating scale. These risk classifications, in combination with an analysis of historical loss experience, probability of commitment usage, existing economic conditions, and any other pertinent information, result in the estimation of the reserve for unfunded lending commitments. The reserve for unfunded lending commitments is reported on the Consolidated Balance Sheets in other liabilities and through the third quarter of 2009, the provision associated with changes in the unfunded lending commitment reserve was reported in the Consolidated Statements of Income/(Loss) in noninterest expense. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2009, the Company began recording changes in the unfunded lending commitment reserve in the provision for credit losses. See Note 4, Allowance for Credit Losses, for additional information.

***Accounting Policies Recently Adopted and Pending Accounting Pronouncements***

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-06, an update to ASC 820-10, Fair Value Measurements. This ASU requires the disclosure of transfers in and out of level 1 and 2 of the fair value hierarchy, along with the reasons for the transfers and a gross presentation of purchases and sales of level 3 instruments. Additionally, the ASU requires fair value measurement disclosures for each class of assets and liabilities and enhanced disclosures around level 2 valuation techniques and inputs. The Company adopted the disclosure requirements for level 1 and 2 transfers and the expanded fair value measurement and valuation disclosures effective January 1, 2010. The disclosure requirements for level 3 activities were effective for the interim reporting period ending March 31, 2011. The required disclosures are included in Note 12, Fair Value Election and Measurement. The adoption of these disclosure requirements had no impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or EPS.

**Table of Contents**

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

In July 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-20, Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses. The ASU requires more disclosures about the credit quality of financing receivables, which include loans, lease receivables, and other long-term receivables, and the credit allowances held against them. The disclosure requirements that were effective as of December 31, 2010 are included in Note 3, Loans, and Note 4, Allowance for Credit Losses. Disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period were effective for the interim reporting period ending March 31, 2011 are also included in Note 3, Loans, and Note 4, Allowance for Credit Losses. The adoption of the ASU did not have an impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or EPS.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-28, When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts. The update requires companies to perform step 2 of the goodwill impairment analysis if the carrying value of a reporting unit is zero or negative and it is more likely than not that goodwill for that reporting unit is impaired. The adoption of the ASU as of January 1, 2011 did not have an impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or EPS.

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-02, Receivables (Topic 310): A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring. The ASU provides additional guidance to assist creditors in determining whether a modification of a receivable meets the criteria to be considered a TDR, both for purposes of recognizing loan losses and additional disclosures regarding TDRs. A modification of a credit arrangement constitutes a TDR if it constitutes a concession and the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. The clarifications for classification apply to all restructurings occurring on or after January 1, 2011. The measurement of impairment for those newly identified TDRs will be applied prospectively beginning on July 1, 2011. The related disclosures, which were previously deferred by ASU 2011-01, will be required for the interim reporting period ending September 30, 2011 and subsequent reporting periods. The adoption of the ASU is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or EPS. The Company's level of TDRs increased by less than \$100 million at the date of adoption.

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-03, Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860): Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements. A repurchase agreement is a transaction in which a company sells financial instruments to a buyer, typically in exchange for cash, and simultaneously enters into an agreement to repurchase the same or substantially the same financial instruments from the buyer at a stated price plus accrued interest at a future date. The determination of whether the transaction is accounted for as a sale or a collateralized financing is determined by assessing whether the seller retains effective control of the financial instrument. The ASU changes the assessment of effective control by removing the criterion that requires the seller to have the ability to repurchase or redeem financial assets with substantially the same terms, even in the event of default by the buyer and the collateral maintenance implementation guidance related to that criterion. The Company will apply the new guidance to repurchase agreements entered into or amended after January 1, 2012. The Company does not expect the ASU to have a significant impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or EPS.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. The primary purpose of the ASU is to conform the language in the fair value measurements guidance in U.S. GAAP and IFRS. The ASU also clarifies how to apply existing fair value measurement and disclosure requirements. Further, the ASU requires additional disclosures about transfers between level 1 and 2 of the fair value hierarchy, quantitative information for level 3 inputs, and the level of the fair value measurement hierarchy for items that are not measured at fair value in the statement of financial position but for which the fair value is required to be disclosed. The ASU is effective for the interim reporting period ending March 31, 2012. The Company is evaluating the impact of the ASU; however, it is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or EPS.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income. The ASU requires presentation of the components of comprehensive income in either a continuous statement of comprehensive income or two separate but consecutive statements. The update does not change the items presented in OCI and does not affect the calculation or reporting of EPS. The guidance is effective on January 1, 2012 and must be applied retrospectively for all periods presented. The Company is in the process of evaluating the presentation options; however, adoption of the ASU will not have an impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or EPS.

**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued****Note 2 Securities Available for Sale****Securities Portfolio Composition**

	0000000000000000	0000000000000000	0000000000000000	0000000000000000
	June 30, 2011			
(Dollars in millions)	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
U.S. Treasury securities	\$730	\$4	\$8	\$726
Federal agency securities	2,519	48	1	2,566
U.S. states and political subdivisions	499	19	2	516
MBS - agency	18,797	536	2	19,331
MBS - private	335	1	25	311
CDO securities	337	-	-	337
ABS	615	14	4	625
Corporate and other debt securities	54	3	1	56
Coke common stock	-	2,019	-	2,019
Other equity securities <sup>1</sup>	728	1	-	729
<b>Total securities AFS</b>	<b>\$24,614</b>	<b>\$2,645</b>	<b>\$43</b>	<b>\$27,216</b>

	December 31, 2010			
(Dollars in millions)	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
U.S. Treasury securities	\$5,446	\$115	\$45	\$5,516
Federal agency securities	1,883	19	7	1,895
U.S. states and political subdivisions	565	17	3	579
MBS - agency	14,014	372	28	14,358
MBS - private	378	3	34	347
CDO securities	50	-	-	50
ABS	798	15	5	808
Corporate and other debt securities	464	19	1	482
Coke common stock	-	1,973	-	1,973
Other equity securities <sup>1</sup>	886	1	-	887
<b>Total securities AFS</b>	<b>\$24,484</b>	<b>\$2,534</b>	<b>\$123</b>	<b>\$26,895</b>

<sup>1</sup>At June 30, 2011, other equity securities included \$205 million in FHLB of Atlanta stock (par value), \$391 million in Federal Reserve Bank stock (par value), and \$132 million in mutual fund investments (par value). At December 31, 2010, other equity securities included \$298 million in FHLB of Atlanta stock (par value), \$391 million in Federal Reserve Bank stock (par value), and \$197 million in mutual fund investments (par value).

Securities AFS that were pledged to secure public deposits, repurchase agreements, trusts, and other funds had a fair value of \$4.5 billion and \$6.9 billion as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Further, under The Agreements, the Company pledged its shares of Coke common stock, which is hedged with derivative instruments, as discussed in Note 11, Derivative Financial Instruments. The Company has also pledged \$1.1 billion of certain trading assets and cash equivalents to secure \$1.0 billion of repurchase agreements as of June 30, 2011.

**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

The amortized cost and fair value of investments in debt securities at June 30, 2011 by estimated average life are shown below. Actual cash flows may differ from estimated average lives and contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

(Dollars in millions)	1 Year or Less	1-5 Years	5-10 Years	After 10 Years	Total
<b>Distribution of Maturities:</b>					
<b>Amortized Cost</b>					
U.S. Treasury securities	\$8	\$214	\$508	\$-	\$730
Federal agency securities	60	2,010	414	35	2,519
U.S. states and political subdivisions	131	255	44	69	499
MBS - agency	778	11,566	1,105	5,348	18,797
MBS - private	33	300	2	-	335
CDO securities	150	187	-	-	337
ABS	386	223	6	-	615
Corporate and other debt securities	8	4	17	25	54
<b>Total debt securities</b>	<b>\$1,554</b>	<b>\$14,759</b>	<b>\$2,096</b>	<b>\$5,477</b>	<b>\$23,886</b>

<b>Fair Value</b>					
U.S. Treasury securities	\$8	\$219	\$499	\$-	\$726
Federal agency securities	60	2,044	427	35	2,566
U.S. states and political subdivisions	135	269	45	67	516
MBS - agency	797	11,947	1,146	5,441	19,331
MBS - private	30	279	2	-	311
CDO securities	150	187	-	-	337
ABS	395	225	5	-	625
Corporate and other debt securities	8	4	19	25	56
<b>Total debt securities</b>	<b>\$1,583</b>	<b>\$15,174</b>	<b>\$2,143</b>	<b>\$5,568</b>	<b>\$24,468</b>

***Securities in an Unrealized Loss Position***

The Company held certain investment securities having unrealized loss positions. Market changes in interest rates and credit spreads will result in temporary unrealized losses as the market price of securities fluctuates. As of June 30, 2011, the Company did not intend to sell these securities nor was it more likely than not that the Company would be required to sell these securities before their anticipated recovery or maturity. The Company has reviewed its portfolio for OTTI in accordance with the accounting policies outlined in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.



# Table of Contents

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued

Securities in a continuous unrealized loss position at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	Less than twelve months		June 30, 2011 Twelve months or longer		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
<b>Temporarily impaired securities</b>						
U.S. Treasury securities	\$499	\$8	\$-	\$-	\$499	\$8
Federal agency securities	85	1	-	-	85	1
U.S. states and political subdivisions	9	-	34	2	43	2
MBS - agency	477	2	-	-	477	2
MBS - private	4	-	23	3	27	3
CDO securities	162	-	-	-	162	-
ABS	-	-	13	3	13	3
Corporate and other debt securities	-	-	3	1	3	1
<b>Total temporarily impaired securities</b>	<b>1,236</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1,309</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Other-than-temporarily impaired securities<sup>1</sup></b>						
MBS - private	19	1	241	21	260	22
ABS	2	-	3	1	5	1
<b>Total other-than-temporarily impaired securities</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>265</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>Total impaired securities</b>	<b>\$1,257</b>	<b>\$12</b>	<b>\$317</b>	<b>\$31</b>	<b>\$1,574</b>	<b>\$43</b>
(Dollars in millions)	Less than twelve months		December 31, 2010 Twelve months or longer		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
<b>Temporarily impaired securities</b>						
U.S. Treasury securities	\$2,010	\$45	\$-	\$-	\$2,010	\$45
Federal agency securities	1,426	7	-	-	1,426	7
U.S. states and political subdivisions	45	1	35	2	80	3
MBS - agency	3,497	28	-	-	3,497	28
MBS - private	18	-	17	3	35	3
ABS	-	-	14	4	14	4
Corporate and other debt securities	-	-	3	1	3	1
<b>Total temporarily impaired securities</b>	<b>6,996</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7,065</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>Other-than-temporarily impaired securities<sup>1</sup></b>						
MBS - private	-	-	286	31	286	31
ABS	4	1	-	-	4	1
<b>Total other-than-temporarily impaired securities</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>Total impaired securities</b>	<b>\$7,000</b>	<b>\$82</b>	<b>\$355</b>	<b>\$41</b>	<b>\$7,355</b>	<b>\$123</b>

<sup>1</sup>Includes OTTI securities for which credit losses have been recorded in earnings in current or prior periods.

## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Unrealized losses on securities that have been other-than-temporarily impaired are the result of factors other than credit and therefore are recorded in OCI. Losses related to credit impairment on these securities is determined through estimated cash flow analyses and have been recorded in earnings in current or prior periods. The unrealized OTTI loss relating to private MBS as of June 30, 2011, includes purchased and retained interests from 2007 vintage securitizations. The unrealized OTTI loss relating to ABS is related to three securities within the portfolio that are 2003 vintage home equity issuances. The expectation of cash flows for the previously impaired ABS securities has improved such that the amount of expected credit losses was reduced, and the expected increase in cash flows will be accreted into earnings as a yield adjustment over the remaining life of the securities.

**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued*****Realized Gains and Losses and Other than Temporarily Impaired***

Gross realized gains and losses on sales and OTTI on securities AFS during the periods were as follows:

	<b>Three Months Ended June 30</b>		<b>Six Months Ended June 30</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
(Dollars in millions)				
Gross realized gains	<b>\$33</b>	\$62	<b>\$176</b>	\$77
Gross realized losses	-	(4)	<b>(78)</b>	(17)
OTTI	<b>(1)</b>	(1)	<b>(2)</b>	(2)
Net securities gains	<b>\$32</b>	\$57	<b>\$96</b>	\$58

The securities that gave rise to the credit impairment recognized during the six months ended June 30, 2011 consisted of private MBS with a fair value of \$193 million at June 30, 2011. The securities impacted by credit impairment during the six months ended June 30, 2010, consisted of private MBS with a fair value of \$1 million as of June 30, 2010. Credit impairment that is determined through the use of cash flow models is estimated using cash flows on security specific collateral and the transaction structure. Future expected credit losses are determined by using various assumptions, the most significant of which include current default rates, prepayment rates, and loss severities. For the majority of the securities that the Company has reviewed for credit-related OTTI, credit information is available and modeled at the loan level underlying each security, and the Company also considers information such as loan to collateral values, FICO scores, and geographic considerations such as home price appreciation/depreciation. These inputs are updated on a regular basis to ensure the most current credit and other assumptions are utilized in the analysis. If, based on this analysis, the Company does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security, the expected cash flows are then discounted at the security's initial effective interest rate to arrive at a present value amount. OTTI credit losses reflect the difference between the present value of cash flows expected to be collected and the amortized cost basis of these securities. During the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, all OTTI recognized in earnings on private MBS have underlying collateral of residential mortgage loans securitized in 2007. The majority of the OTTI was taken on private MBS which were originated by the Company and, therefore, have geographic concentrations in the Company's primary footprint. Additionally, the Company has not purchased new private MBS during the six months ended June 30, 2011, and continues to reduce existing exposure primarily through paydowns.

	<b>Three Months Ended June 30</b>		<b>Six Months Ended June 30</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
(Dollars in millions)				
Total OTTI losses	<b>\$1</b>	\$1	<b>\$2</b>	\$2
Portion of losses recognized in OCI (before taxes) <sup>1</sup>	-	-	-	-
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	<b>\$1</b>	\$1	<b>\$2</b>	\$2

<sup>1</sup> The initial OTTI amount represents the excess of the amortized cost over the fair value of AFS debt securities. For subsequent impairments of the same security, amount represents additional declines in the fair value subsequent to the previously recorded OTTI, if applicable, until such time the security is no longer in an unrealized loss position.

The following is a rollforward of credit losses recognized in earnings for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, related to securities for which some portion of the OTTI loss remains in AOCI:

(Dollars in millions)

## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Balance, as of January 1, 2010	\$22
Additions/reductions <sup>1</sup>	-
Balance, as of June 30, 2010	\$22
Balance, as of January 1, 2011	\$20
Additions:	
OTTI credit losses on previously impaired securities	2
Reductions:	
Increases in expected cash flows recognized over the remaining life of the securities	(1)
Balance, as of June 30, 2011	\$21

<sup>1</sup> During the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company recognized \$2 million of OTTI through earnings on debt securities in which no portion of the OTTI loss was included in OCI at any time during the period. OTTI related to these securities are excluded from this amount.

**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

The following table presents a summary of the significant inputs used in determining the measurement of credit losses recognized in earnings for private MBS as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	<b>June 30, 2011</b>	December 31, 2010
Current default rate	<b>4 - 8%</b>	2 - 7%
Prepayment rate	<b>12 - 22%</b>	14 - 22%
Loss severity	<b>39 - 44%</b>	37 - 46%

**Note 3 - Loans****Composition of Loan Portfolio**

(Dollars in millions)	<b>June 30, 2011</b>	December 31, 2010
Commercial loans:		
Commercial & industrial <sup>1</sup>	<b>\$45,922</b>	\$44,753
Commercial real estate	<b>5,707</b>	6,167
Commercial construction	<b>1,740</b>	2,568
Total commercial loans	<b>53,369</b>	53,488
Residential loans:		
Residential mortgages - guaranteed	<b>4,513</b>	4,520
Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed <sup>2</sup>	<b>23,224</b>	23,959
Home equity products	<b>16,169</b>	16,751
Residential construction	<b>1,118</b>	1,291
Total residential loans	<b>45,024</b>	46,521
Consumer loans:		
Guaranteed student loans	<b>4,620</b>	4,260
Other direct	<b>1,863</b>	1,722
Indirect	<b>9,630</b>	9,499
Credit cards	<b>407</b>	485
Total consumer loans	<b>16,520</b>	15,966
LHFI	<b>\$114,913</b>	\$115,975
LHFS	<b>\$2,052</b>	\$3,501

<sup>1</sup>Includes \$4 million of loans carried at fair value at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

<sup>2</sup>Includes \$445 million and \$488 million of loans carried at fair value at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company transferred \$198 million in LHFI to LHFS. Additionally, during the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company sold \$277 million in loans and leases that had been held for investment at December 31, 2010 for a gain of \$10 million. There were no other material purchases or sales of LHFI during the period.

**Credit Quality Evaluation**

## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

The Company evaluates the credit quality of its loan portfolio based on internal credit risk ratings using numerous factors, including consumer credit risk scores, rating agency information, LTV ratios, collateral, collection experience, and other internal metrics. For the commercial portfolio, the Company believes that the most appropriate credit quality indicator is the individual loan's risk assessment expressed according to regulatory agency classification, pass or criticized. Loans are rated pass or criticized based on the borrower's willingness and ability to contractually perform along with the estimated net losses the Company would incur in the event of default. Criticized loans have a higher probability of default. As a result, criticized loans are further categorized into accruing and nonaccruing, representing management's assessment of the collectability of principal and interest. Ratings for loans are updated at least annually or more frequently if there is a material change in creditworthiness.

**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

For consumer and residential loans, the Company believes that consumer credit risk, as assessed by the FICO scoring method, is a relevant credit quality indicator. FICO scores are obtained at origination as part of the Company's formal underwriting process, and refreshed FICO scores are obtained by the Company at least quarterly. However, for student loans which are guaranteed by a federal agency, the Company does not utilize FICO scores as the Company does not originate government guaranteed student loans. For guaranteed student loans, the Company monitors the credit quality based primarily on delinquency status, which it believes is the most appropriate indicator of credit quality. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, 77% of the guaranteed student loan portfolio was current with respect to payments; however, the loss exposure to the Company was mitigated by the government guarantee.

LHFI by credit quality indicator are shown in the tables below.

	<b>Commercial &amp; industrial</b>		<b>Commercial real estate</b>		<b>Commercial construction</b>	
(Dollars in millions)	<b>June 30, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>	<b>June 30, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>	<b>June 30, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>
<b>Credit rating:</b>						
Pass	<b>\$43,551</b>	\$42,140	<b>\$3,941</b>	\$4,316	<b>\$641</b>	\$836
Criticized accruing	<b>1,834</b>	2,029	<b>1,367</b>	1,509	<b>472</b>	771
Criticized nonaccruing	<b>537</b>	584	<b>399</b>	342	<b>627</b>	961
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$45,922</b>	\$44,753	<b>\$5,707</b>	\$6,167	<b>\$1,740</b>	\$2,568

	<b>Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed<sup>2</sup></b>		<b>Home equity products</b>		<b>Residential construction</b>	
	<b>June 30, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>	<b>June 30, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>	<b>June 30, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>
<b>Current FICO score range:</b>						
700 and above	<b>\$15,752</b>	\$15,920	<b>\$11,471</b>	\$11,673	<b>\$752</b>	\$828
620 - 699	<b>4,226</b>	4,457	<b>2,862</b>	2,897	<b>219</b>	258
Below 620 <sup>1</sup>	<b>3,246</b>	3,582	<b>1,836</b>	2,181	<b>147</b>	205
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$23,224</b>	\$23,959	<b>\$16,169</b>	\$16,751	<b>\$1,118</b>	\$1,291

	<b>Consumer - other direct<sup>3</sup></b>		<b>Consumer - indirect</b>		<b>Consumer - credit cards</b>	
	<b>June 30, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>	<b>June 30, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>	<b>June 30, 2011</b>	<b>December 31, 2010</b>
<b>Current FICO score range:</b>						
700 and above	<b>\$1,111</b>	\$973	<b>\$7,023</b>	\$6,780	<b>\$219</b>	\$258
620 - 699	<b>237</b>	231	<b>1,822</b>	1,799	<b>123</b>	149
Below 620 <sup>1</sup>	<b>90</b>	105	<b>785</b>	920	<b>65</b>	78
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,438</b>	\$1,309	<b>\$9,630</b>	\$9,499	<b>\$407</b>	\$485

<sup>1</sup> For substantially all loans with refreshed FICO scores below 620, the borrower's FICO score at the time of origination exceeded 620 but has since deteriorated as the loan has seasoned.

## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

<sup>2</sup> Excludes \$4.5 billion at both June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 of federally guaranteed residential loans. At both June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the vast majority of these loans had FICO scores of 700 and above.

<sup>3</sup> Excludes \$425 million and \$413 million as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, of private-label student loans with third party insurance. At both June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the vast majority of these loans had FICO scores of 700 and above.



# Table of Contents

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued

The payment status for the LHFI portfolio at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is shown in the tables below:

	Nonaccruing <sup>3</sup>	Nonaccruing <sup>3</sup>	Nonaccruing <sup>3</sup> As of June 30, 2011	Nonaccruing <sup>3</sup>	Nonaccruing <sup>3</sup>
(Dollars in millions)	Accruing Current	Accruing 30-89 Days Past Due	Accruing 90+ Days Past Due	Nonaccruing <sup>3</sup>	Total
Commercial loans:					
Commercial & industrial <sup>1</sup>	\$45,271	\$93	\$21	\$537	\$45,922
Commercial real estate	5,291	15	2	399	5,707
Commercial construction	1,102	11	-	627	1,740
Total commercial loans	51,664	119	23	1,563	53,369
Residential loans:					
Residential mortgages - guaranteed	3,408	163	942	-	4,513
Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed <sup>2</sup>	21,448	336	28	1,412	23,224
Home equity products	15,601	233	-	335	16,169
Residential construction	825	25	2	266	1,118
Total residential loans	41,282	757	972	2,013	45,024
Consumer loans:					
Guaranteed student loans	3,578	417	625	-	4,620
Other direct	1,834	15	5	9	1,863
Indirect	9,547	55	3	25	9,630
Credit cards	391	8	8	-	407
Total consumer loans	15,350	495	641	34	16,520
Total LHFI	\$108,296	\$1,371	\$1,636	\$3,610	\$114,913

<sup>1</sup> Includes \$4 million in loans carried at fair value.

<sup>2</sup> Includes \$445 million in loans carried at fair value.

<sup>3</sup> Total nonaccruing loans past due 90 days or more totaled \$2.8 billion. Nonaccruing loans past due fewer than 90 days include modified nonaccrual loans reported as TDRs.

	Nonaccruing <sup>3</sup>	Nonaccruing <sup>3</sup>	Nonaccruing <sup>3</sup> As of December 31, 2010	Nonaccruing <sup>3</sup>	Nonaccruing <sup>3</sup>
(Dollars in millions)	Accruing Current	Accruing 30-89 Days Past Due	Accruing 90+ Days Past Due	Nonaccruing <sup>3</sup>	Total
Commercial loans:					
Commercial & industrial <sup>1</sup>	\$44,046	\$111	\$12	\$584	\$44,753
Commercial real estate	5,794	27	4	342	6,167
Commercial construction	1,595	11	1	961	2,568
Total commercial loans	51,435	149	17	1,887	53,488
Residential loans:					
Residential mortgages - guaranteed	3,469	167	884	-	4,520

## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed <sup>2</sup>	21,916	456	44	1,543	23,959
Home equity products	16,162	234	-	355	16,751
Residential construction	953	42	6	290	1,291
<b>Total residential loans</b>	<b>42,500</b>	<b>899</b>	<b>934</b>	<b>2,188</b>	<b>46,521</b>
Consumer loans:					
Guaranteed student loans	3,281	383	596	-	4,260
Other direct	1,692	15	5	10	1,722
Indirect	9,400	74	-	25	9,499
Credit cards	460	12	13	-	485
<b>Total consumer loans</b>	<b>14,833</b>	<b>484</b>	<b>614</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>15,966</b>
<b>Total LHFI</b>	<b>\$108,768</b>	<b>\$1,532</b>	<b>\$1,565</b>	<b>\$4,110</b>	<b>\$115,975</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes \$4 million in loans carried at fair value.

<sup>2</sup> Includes \$488 million in loans carried at fair value.

<sup>3</sup> Total nonaccruing loans past due 90 days or more totaled \$3.3 billion. Nonaccruing loans past due fewer than 90 days include modified nonaccrual loans reported as TDRs.

**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

A loan is considered impaired when it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due, including principal and interest, according to the contractual terms of the agreement. Commercial nonaccrual loans greater than \$4 million and certain consumer, residential, and commercial loans whose terms have been modified in a TDR are individually evaluated for impairment. Smaller-balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment are not included in the following tables. Additionally, the tables below exclude student loans and residential mortgages that were guaranteed by government agencies and for which there was nominal risk of principal loss.

	As of June 30, 2011			For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011		For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011	
	Unpaid Principal Balance	Amortized Cost <sup>1</sup>	Related Allowance	Average Amortized Cost	Interest Income Recognized <sup>2</sup>	Average Amortized Cost	Interest Income Recognized <sup>2</sup>
(Dollars in millions)							
Impaired loans with no related allowance recorded:							
Commercial loans:							
Commercial & industrial	\$109	\$100	\$-	\$102	\$-	\$103	\$-
Commercial real estate	87	62	-	68	1	63	1
Commercial construction	62	51	-	94	1	102	2
Total commercial loans	258	213	-	264	2	268	3
Impaired loans with an allowance recorded:							
Commercial loans:							
Commercial & industrial	97	86	26	88	-	130	-
Commercial real estate	169	138	35	154	1	136	1
Commercial construction	394	300	104	321	-	356	1
Total commercial loans	660	524	165	563	1	622	2
Residential loans:							
Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed	2,860	2,488	283	2,445	22	2,455	44
Home equity products	521	488	92	487	5	447	10
Residential construction	228	188	22	191	1	195	3
Total residential loans	3,609	3,164	397	3,123	28	3,097	57
Consumer loans:							
Other direct	13	13	2	13	-	11	-
Total impaired loans	\$4,540	\$3,914	\$564	\$3,963	\$31	\$3,998	\$62

<sup>1</sup> Amortized cost reflects charge-offs that have been recognized plus other amounts that have been applied to reduce the net book balance.

<sup>2</sup> Of the interest income recognized for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, cash basis interest income was \$7 million and \$13 million, respectively.

	As of December 31, 2010		
	Unpaid Principal Balance	Amortized Cost <sup>1</sup>	Related Allowance
(Dollars in millions)			
Impaired loans with no related allowance recorded:			
Commercial loans:			
Commercial & industrial	\$86	\$67	\$-
Commercial real estate	110	86	-
Commercial construction	67	52	-

# Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Total commercial loans	263	205	-
Impaired loans with an allowance recorded:			
Commercial loans:			
Commercial & industrial	123	96	18
Commercial real estate	103	81	19
Commercial construction	673	524	138
Total commercial loans	899	701	175
Residential loans:			
Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed	2,785	2,467	309
Home equity products	503	503	93
Residential construction	226	196	26
Total residential loans	3,514	3,166	428
Consumer loans:			
Other direct	11	11	2
Total impaired loans	\$4,687	\$4,083	\$605

<sup>1</sup> Amortized cost reflects charge-offs that have been recognized plus other amounts that have been applied to reduce net book balance.

**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

Included in the impaired loan balances above were \$2.6 billion and \$2.5 billion of accruing TDRs at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, of which 86% and 85% were current, respectively. See Note 1, Significant Accounting Policies, to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for further information regarding the Company's loan impairment policy.

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had \$21 million and \$15 million, respectively, in commitments to lend additional funds to debtors owing receivables whose terms have been modified in a TDR.

Nonperforming assets at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are shown in the following table:

(Dollars in millions)	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
<b>Nonperforming Assets</b>		
Nonaccrual/NPLs:		
Commercial loans:		
Commercial & industrial <sup>1</sup>	\$537	\$584
Commercial real estate	399	342
Commercial construction	627	961
Residential loans:		
Residential mortgages - nonguaranteed <sup>2</sup>	1,412	1,543
Home equity products	335	355
Residential construction	266	290
Consumer loans:		
Other direct	9	10
Indirect	25	25
 Total nonaccrual/NPLs	 3,610	 4,110
OREO <sup>3</sup>	483	596
Other repossessed assets	11	52
 Total nonperforming assets	 \$4,104	 \$4,758

<sup>1</sup>Includes \$4 million of loans carried at fair value at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

<sup>2</sup>Includes \$23 million and \$24 million of loans carried at fair value at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

<sup>3</sup>Does not include foreclosed real estate related to loans insured by the FHA or the VA. Proceeds due from the FHA and the VA are recorded as a receivable in other assets until the funds are received and the property is conveyed. The receivable amount related to proceeds due from FHA or the VA totaled \$175 million and \$195 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

***Concentrations of Credit Risk***

The Company does not have a significant concentration of risk to any individual client except for the U.S. government and its agencies. However, a geographic concentration arises because the Company operates primarily in the Southeastern and Mid-Atlantic regions of the U.S. SunTrust engages in limited international banking activities. The Company's total cross-border outstanding loans were \$383 million and \$446 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

The major concentrations of credit risk for the Company arise by collateral type in relation to loans and credit commitments. The only significant concentration that exists is in loans secured by residential real estate. At June 30, 2011, the Company owned \$45.0 billion in residential loans, representing 39% of total LHFI, and had \$13.2 billion in commitments to extend credit on home equity lines and \$7.2 billion in mortgage loan commitments. Of the residential loans owned at June 30, 2011, 10% were guaranteed by a federal agency or a GSE. At December 31, 2010, the Company owned \$46.5 billion in residential real estate loans, representing 40% of total LHFI, and had \$13.6 billion in commitments to extend credit on home equity lines and \$9.2 billion in mortgage loan commitments. Of the residential loans owned at December 31, 2010, 10% were guaranteed by a federal agency or a GSE.

**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

Included in the residential mortgage portfolio were \$16.7 billion and \$17.6 billion of mortgage loans at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, that were not covered by mortgage insurance and whose terms, such as an interest only feature, a high LTV ratio, or a junior lien position, may increase the Company's exposure to credit risk and result in a concentration of credit risk. Of these mortgage loans, \$12.1 billion and \$13.2 billion were interest only loans at origination, primarily with a ten year interest only period, including \$1.9 billion and \$2.0 billion, respectively, of loans that have since been modified into fully amortizing products.

**Note 4 - Allowance for Credit Losses**

The allowance for credit losses consists of the ALLL and the reserve for unfunded commitments. Activity in the allowance for credit losses is summarized in the table below:

	2011 Three Months Ended		2011 Six Months Ended	
	June 30		June 30	
(Dollars in millions)	2011	2010	2011	2010
Balance at beginning of period	\$2,908	\$3,276	\$3,032	\$3,235
Provision for loan losses	395	702	846	1,579
Benefit for unfunded commitments	(3)	(40)	(7)	(55)
Loan charge-offs	(563)	(768)	(1,178)	(1,630)
Loan recoveries	58	46	102	87
Balance at end of period	\$2,795	\$3,216	\$2,795	\$3,216
Components:				
ALLL	\$2,744	\$3,156		
Unfunded commitments reserve <sup>1</sup>	51	60		
Allowance for credit losses	\$2,795	\$3,216		

<sup>1</sup>The unfunded commitments reserve is separately recorded in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Activity in the ALLL by segment is presented in the tables below:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2011			
(Dollars in millions)	Commercial	Residential	Consumer	Total
Balance at beginning of period	\$1,255	\$1,440	\$159	\$2,854
Provision for loan losses	124	252	19	395
Loan charge-offs	(220)	(303)	(40)	(563)
Loan recoveries	41	6	11	58
Balance at end of period	\$1,200	\$1,395	\$149	\$2,744

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2010			
(Dollars in millions)	Commercial	Residential	Consumer	Total
Balance at beginning of period	\$1,399	\$1,590	\$187	\$3,176

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Provision for loan losses	270	413	19	702
Loan charge-offs	(251)	(470)	(47)	(768)
Loan recoveries	29	5	12	46
Balance at end of period	\$1,447	\$1,538	\$171	\$3,156



**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

(Dollars in millions)	Six Months Ended June 30, 2011			
	Commercial	Residential	Consumer	Total
Balance at beginning of period	\$1,303	\$1,498	\$173	\$2,974
Provision for loan losses	232	574	40	846
Loan charge-offs	(405)	(688)	(85)	(1,178)
Loan recoveries	70	11	21	102
Balance at end of period	\$1,200	\$1,395	\$149	\$2,744

(Dollars in millions)	Six Months Ended June 30, 2010			
	Commercial	Residential	Consumer	Total
Balance at beginning of period	\$1,353	\$1,592	\$175	\$3,120
Provision for loan losses	485	1,014	80	1,579
Loan charge-offs	(443)	(1,078)	(109)	(1,630)
Loan recoveries	52	10	25	87
Balance at end of period	\$1,447	\$1,538	\$171	\$3,156

As further discussed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, the ALLL is composed of specific allowances for certain nonaccrual loans and TDRs and general allowances grouped into loan pools based on similar characteristics. No allowance is required for loans carried at fair value. Additionally, the Company does not record an allowance for loan products that are guaranteed by government agencies, as there is nominal risk of principal loss. The Company's LHFI portfolio and related ALLL at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, is shown in the tables below:

(Dollars in millions)	00000000		00000000		00000000		00000000	
	Commercial		Residential		Consumer		Total	
	Carrying Value	Associated ALLL	Carrying Value	Associated ALLL	Carrying Value	Associated ALLL	Carrying Value	Associated ALLL
As of June 30, 2011								
Individually evaluated	\$737	\$165	\$3,164	\$397	\$13	\$2	\$3,914	\$564
Collectively evaluated	52,628	1,035	41,415	998	16,507	147	110,550	2,180
Total evaluated	53,365	1,200	44,579	1,395	16,520	149	114,464	2,744
LHFI at fair value	4	-	445	-	-	-	449	-
Total LHFI	\$53,369	\$1,200	\$45,024	\$1,395	\$16,520	\$149	\$114,913	\$2,744

(Dollars in millions)	Commercial		Residential		Consumer		Total	
	Carrying Value	Associated ALLL	Carrying Value	Associated ALLL	Carrying Value	Associated ALLL	Carrying Value	Associated ALLL
	As of December 31, 2010							
Individually evaluated	\$906	\$175	\$3,166	\$428	\$11	\$2	\$4,083	\$605
Collectively evaluated	52,578	1,128	42,867	1,070	15,955	171	111,400	2,369
Total evaluated	53,484	1,303	46,033	1,498	15,966	173	115,483	2,974
LHFI at fair value	4	-	488	-	-	-	492	-

## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Total LHFI	\$53,488	\$1,303	\$46,521	\$1,498	\$15,966	\$173	\$115,975	\$2,974
------------	----------	---------	----------	---------	----------	-------	-----------	---------

### **Note 5 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets**

#### ***Goodwill***

Goodwill is required to be tested for impairment on an annual basis or as events occur or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount or indicate that it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists when the carrying amount of a reporting unit is zero or negative. No events have occurred or circumstances changed since the annual testing of the Company's goodwill as of September 30, 2010 that caused interim testing of goodwill during the first six months of 2011.

## Table of Contents

### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill by reportable segment for the six months ended June 30 are as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	Retail & Commercial	Retail Banking	Diversified Commercial Banking	CIB	W&IM	Total
Balance, January 1, 2010	\$5,739	\$-	\$-	\$223	\$357	\$6,319
Intersegment transfers	(5,739)	4,854	928	(43)	-	-
Contingent consideration	-	-	-	-	4	4
Balance, June 30, 2010	\$-	\$4,854	\$928	\$180	\$361	\$6,323
<b>Balance, January 1, 2011</b>	<b>\$-</b>	<b>\$4,854</b>	<b>\$928</b>	<b>\$180</b>	<b>\$361</b>	<b>\$6,323</b>
Contingent consideration	-	-	-	-	1	1
Purchase of the assets of asset management business	-	-	-	-	19	19
<b>Balance, June 30, 2011</b>	<b>\$-</b>	<b>\$4,854</b>	<b>\$928</b>	<b>\$180</b>	<b>\$381</b>	<b>\$6,343</b>

#### Other Intangible Assets

Changes in the carrying amounts of other intangible assets for the six months ended June 30 are as follows:

	\$1,439	\$1,439	\$1,439	\$1,439	\$1,439
(Dollars in millions)	Core Deposit Intangibles	MSRs LOCOM	MSRs Fair Value	Other	Total
Balance, January 1, 2010	\$104	\$604	\$936	\$67	\$1,711
Designated at fair value (transfers from amortized cost)	-	(604)	604	-	-
Amortization	(19)	-	-	(7)	(26)
MSRs originated	-	-	134	-	134
Changes in fair value:					
Due to fair value election	-	-	145	-	145
Due to changes in inputs or assumptions <sup>1</sup>	-	-	(402)	-	(402)
Other changes in fair value <sup>2</sup>	-	-	(119)	-	(119)
Balance, June 30, 2010	\$85	\$-	\$1,298	\$60	\$1,443
<b>Balance, January 1, 2011</b>	<b>\$67</b>	<b>\$-</b>	<b>\$1,439</b>	<b>\$65</b>	<b>\$1,571</b>
Amortization	(16)	-	-	(7)	(23)
MSRs originated	-	-	136	-	136
Sale of MSRs	-	-	(7)	-	(7)
Changes in fair value:					
Due to changes in inputs or assumptions <sup>1</sup>	-	-	(51)	-	(51)
Other changes in fair value <sup>2</sup>	-	-	(94)	-	(94)
Other	-	-	-	7	7
<b>Balance, June 30, 2011</b>	<b>\$51</b>	<b>\$-</b>	<b>\$1,423</b>	<b>\$65</b>	<b>\$1,539</b>

<sup>1</sup> Primarily reflects changes in discount rates and prepayment speed assumptions, due to changes in interest rates.

<sup>2</sup> Represents changes due to the collection of expected cash flows, net of accretion, due to passage of time.

**Mortgage Servicing Rights**

The Company retains MSRs from certain of its sales or securitizations of residential mortgage loans. MSRs on residential mortgage loans are the only servicing assets capitalized by the Company and are classified within intangible assets on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Income earned by the Company on its MSRs is derived primarily from contractually specified mortgage servicing fees and late fees, net of curtailment costs. Such income earned for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, was \$94 million, and \$100 million, respectively, and \$186 million and \$198 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. These amounts are reported in mortgage servicing related income in the Consolidated Statements of Income/(Loss).

**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the total unpaid principal balance of mortgage loans serviced was \$162.9 billion and \$167.2 billion, respectively. Included in these amounts were \$131.5 billion and \$134.1 billion as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, of loans serviced for third parties. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company sold MSR on residential loans with an unpaid principal balance of \$1.7 billion. Because MSRs are reported at fair value, the sale did not have a material impact on mortgage servicing related income.

A summary of the key characteristics, inputs, and economic assumptions used to estimate the fair value of the Company's MSRs as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and the sensitivity of the fair values to immediate 10% and 20% adverse changes in those assumptions are shown in the table below.

(Dollars in millions)	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Fair value of retained MSRs	\$1,423	\$1,439
Prepayment rate assumption (annual)	10 %	12 %
Decline in fair value from 10% adverse change	\$63	\$50
Decline in fair value from 20% adverse change	103	95
Discount rate (annual)	11 %	12 %
Decline in fair value from 10% adverse change	\$67	\$68
Decline in fair value from 20% adverse change	129	130
Weighted-average life (in years)	6.4	6.2
Weighted-average coupon	5.3 %	5.4 %

The above sensitivities are hypothetical and should be used with caution. As the amounts indicate, changes in fair value based on variations in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in assumption to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, in this table, the effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the fair value of the retained interest is calculated without changing any other assumption. In reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another, which might magnify or counteract the sensitivities. In addition, the sensitivities above do not include the effect of hedging activity undertaken by the Company to offset changes in the fair value of MSRs. See Note 11, Derivative Financial Instruments, for further information regarding these hedging transactions.

**Note 6 - Certain Transfers of Financial Assets and Variable Interest Entities*****Certain Transfers of Financial Assets and related Variable Interest Entities***

The Company has transferred residential and commercial mortgage loans, student loans, commercial and corporate loans, and CDO securities in sale or securitization transactions in which the Company has, or had, continuing involvement. All such transfers have been accounted for as sales by the Company. The Company's continuing involvement in such transfers includes owning certain beneficial interests, including senior and subordinate debt instruments as well as equity interests, servicing or collateral manager responsibilities, and guarantee or recourse arrangements. Except as specifically noted herein, the Company is not required to provide additional financial support to any of the entities to which the Company has transferred financial assets, nor has the Company provided any support it was not otherwise be obligated to provide. In accordance with the accounting guidance related to transfers of financial assets that became effective on January 1, 2010, upon completion of transfers of assets that satisfy the conditions to be reported as a sale, the Company derecognizes the transferred assets and recognizes at fair value any beneficial interests in the transferred financial assets such as trading assets or securities AFS, as well as, servicing rights retained and guarantee liabilities incurred. See Note 12, Fair Value Election and Measurement, for further discussion of the Company's fair value methodologies.

When evaluating transfers and other transactions with VIEs for consolidation, the Company first determines if it has a VI in the VIE. A VI is typically in the form of securities representing retained interests in the transferred assets and, at times, servicing rights and collateral manager fees. If the Company has a VI in the entity, it then evaluates whether or not it has both (1) the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIE, and (2) the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE to determine if the Company should consolidate the VIE.



**Table of Contents**

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

Below is a summary of transfers of financial assets to VIEs for which the Company has retained some level of continuing involvement.

**Residential Mortgage Loans**

The Company typically transfers first lien residential mortgage loans in conjunction with Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae, and Freddie Mac securitization transactions whereby the loans are exchanged for cash or securities that are readily redeemed for cash proceeds and servicing rights. The securities issued through these transactions are guaranteed by the issuer and, as such, under seller/servicer agreements the Company is required to service the loans in accordance with the issuers' servicing guidelines and standards. The Company sold residential mortgage loans to these entities, which resulted in pre-tax gains of \$107 million and \$137 million, including servicing rights, for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$118 million and \$222 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. These gains are included within mortgage production related income/(loss) in the Consolidated Statements of Income/(Loss). These gains include the change in value of the loans as a result of changes in interest rates from the time the related IRLCs were issued to the borrowers but do not include the results of hedging activities initiated by the Company to mitigate this market risk. See Note 11, Derivative Financial Instruments, for further discussion of the Company's hedging activities. As seller, the Company has made certain representations and warranties with respect to the originally transferred loans, including those transferred under Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae, and Freddie Mac programs, which are discussed in Note 13, Reinsurance Arrangements and Guarantees.

In a limited number of securitizations, the Company has transferred loans to trusts, which previously qualified as QSPEs, sponsored by the Company. These trusts issue securities which are ultimately supported by the loans in the underlying trusts. In these transactions, the Company has received securities representing retained interests in the transferred loans in addition to cash and servicing rights in exchange for the transferred loans. The received securities are carried at fair value as either trading assets or securities AFS. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the fair value of securities received totaled \$171 million and \$193 million, respectively. At June 30, 2011, securities with a fair value of \$153 million were valued using a third party pricing service. The remaining \$18 million in securities consist of subordinate interests from a 2003 securitization of prime fixed and floating rate loans and were valued using a discounted cash flow model that uses historically derived prepayment rates and credit loss assumptions along with estimates of current market discount rates. The Company did not significantly modify the assumptions used to value these retained interests at June 30, 2011, from the assumptions used to value the interests at December 31, 2010. For both periods, analyses of the impact on the fair values of two adverse changes from the key assumptions were performed and the resulting amounts were insignificant for each key assumption and in the aggregate.

The Company evaluated these securitization transactions for consolidation under the VIE consolidation guidance. As servicer of the underlying loans, the Company is generally deemed to have power over the securitization. However, if a single party, such as the issuer or the master servicer, effectively controls the servicing activities or has the unilateral ability to terminate the Company as servicer without cause, then that party is deemed to have power. In almost all of its securitization transactions, the Company does not have power over the VIE as a result of these rights held by the master servicer. In certain transactions, the Company does have power as the servicer; however, the Company does not also have an obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the securitization. The absorption of losses and the receipt of benefits would generally manifest itself through the retention of senior or subordinated interests. As of December 31, 2010, the Company determined that it was not the primary beneficiary of, and thus did not consolidate, any of these securitization entities. No events occurred during the six months ended June 30, 2011 that would change the Company's previous conclusion that it is not the primary beneficiary of any of these securitization entities. Total assets as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 of the unconsolidated trusts in which the Company has a VI are \$578 million and \$651 million, respectively.

The Company's maximum exposure to loss related to the unconsolidated VIEs in which it holds a VI is comprised of the loss of value of any interests it retains and any repurchase obligations it incurs as a result of a breach of its representations and warranties.

**Commercial and Corporate Loans**

In 2007, the Company completed a \$1.9 billion structured sale of corporate loans to multi-seller CP conduits, which are VIEs administered by unrelated third parties, from which it retained a 3% residual interest in the pool of loans transferred, which does not constitute a VI in the third party conduits as it relates to the unparticipated portion of the loans. In conjunction with the transfer of the loans, the Company also provided commitments in the form of liquidity facilities to these conduits. In January 2010, the administrator of the conduits drew on these commitments in full, resulting in a funded loan to the conduits that was recorded on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. During the first quarter of 2011, the Company exercised its clean up call rights on the structured participation and repurchased the remaining corporate loans. In

## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

conjunction with the clean up call, the outstanding amount of the liquidity facilities and the residual interest were paid off. The exercise of the clean up call was not material to the Company's financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.



**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

The Company has involvement with CLO entities that own commercial leveraged loans and bonds, certain of which were transferred by the Company to the CLOs. In addition to retaining certain securities issued by the CLOs, the Company also acts as collateral manager for these CLOs. The securities retained by the Company and the fees received as collateral manager represent a VI in the CLOs, which are considered to be VIEs.

The Company determined that it was the primary beneficiary of, and thus, would consolidate one of these CLOs as it has both the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and the obligation to absorb losses and the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the CLO. In addition to fees received as collateral manager, including eligibility for performance incentive fees, and owning certain preference shares, the Company's multi-seller conduit, Three Pillars, owns a senior interest in the CLO, resulting in economics that could potentially be significant to the VIE. On January 1, 2010, the Company consolidated \$307 million in total assets and \$279 million in net liabilities of the CLO entity. The Company elected to consolidate the CLO at fair value and to carry the financial assets and financial liabilities of the CLO at fair value subsequent to adoption. The initial consolidation of the CLO had a negligible impact on the Company's Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity. Substantially all of the assets and liabilities of the CLO are loans and issued debt, respectively. The loans are classified within LHFS at fair value and the debt is included within long-term debt at fair value on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets (see Note 12, Fair Value Election and Measurement, for a discussion of the Company's methodologies for estimating the fair values of these financial instruments). At June 30, 2011, the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets reflected \$329 million of loans held by the CLO and \$289 million of debt issued by the CLO. The Company is not obligated, contractually or otherwise, to provide financial support to this VIE nor has it previously provided support to this VIE. Further, creditors of the VIE have no recourse to the general credit of the Company, as the liabilities of the CLO are paid only to the extent of available cash flows from the CLO's assets.

For the remaining CLOs, which are also considered to be VIEs, the Company has determined that it is not the primary beneficiary as it does not have an obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits from the entities that could potentially be significant to the VIE. The Company was able to liquidate a number of its positions in these CLO preference shares during 2010. Its remaining preference share exposure was valued at \$2 million as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Upon liquidation of the preference shares, the Company's only remaining involvement with these VIEs was through its collateral manager role. The Company receives fees for managing the assets of these vehicles; these fees are considered adequate compensation and are commensurate with the level of effort required to provide such services. The fees received by the Company from these entities are recorded as trust and investment management income in the Consolidated Statements of Income/(Loss). Senior fees earned by the Company are generally not considered at risk; however, subordinate fees earned by the Company are subject to the availability of cash flows and to the priority of payments. The estimated assets and liabilities of these entities that were not included on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets were \$2.1 billion and \$1.9 billion, respectively, at June 30, 2011, and \$2.1 billion and \$2.0 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2010. The Company is not obligated to provide any support to these entities, nor has it previously provided support to these entities. No events occurred during the six months ended June 30, 2011 that would change the Company's previous conclusion that it is not the primary beneficiary of any of these securitization entities.

**Student Loans**

In 2006, the Company completed a securitization of government-guaranteed student loans through a transfer of loans to a securitization SPE, which previously qualified as a QSPE, and retained the related residual interest in the SPE. The Company, as master servicer of the loans in the SPE, has agreed to service each loan consistent with the guidelines determined by the applicable government agencies in order to maintain the government guarantee. The Company and the SPE have entered into an agreement to have the loans subserviced by an unrelated third party.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company determined that this securitization of government-guaranteed student loans (the Student Loan entity) should be consolidated. Accordingly, the Company consolidated the Student Loan entity at its unpaid principal amount as of September 30, 2010, resulting in incremental total assets and total liabilities of approximately \$490 million, and an immaterial impact on shareholders' equity. The consolidation of the Student Loan entity had no impact on the Company's earnings or cash flows that results from its involvement with this VIE. The primary balance sheet impacts from consolidating the Student Loan entity were increases in LHFI, the related ALLL, and long-term debt. In addition, the Company's ownership of the residual interest in the SPE, previously classified in trading assets, was eliminated upon consolidation and the assets and liabilities of the Student Loan entity are recorded on a cost basis. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets reflected \$459 million and \$479 million, respectively, of assets held by the Student Loan entity and \$454 million and \$474 million, respectively, of debt issued by the Student Loan entity.



**Table of Contents**

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

Payments from the assets in the SPE must first be used to settle the obligations of the SPE, with any remaining payments remitted to the Company as the owner of the residual interest. To the extent that losses occur on the SPE's assets, the SPE has recourse to the federal government as the guarantor up to a maximum guarantee amount of 97%. Losses in excess of the government guarantee reduce the amount of available cash payable to Company as the owner of the residual interest. To the extent that losses result from a breach of the master servicer's servicing responsibilities, the SPE has recourse to the Company; the SPE may require the Company to repurchase the loan from the SPE at par value. If the breach was caused by the subservicer, the Company has recourse to seek reimbursement from the subservicer up to the guaranteed amount. The Company's maximum exposure to loss related to the SPE is represented by the potential losses resulting from a breach of servicing responsibilities. To date, all loss claims filed with the guarantor that have been denied due to servicing errors that have either been cured or reimbursement has been provided to the Company by the subservicer. The Company is not obligated to provide any noncontractual support to this entity, and it has not provided any such support.

**CDO Securities**

The Company has transferred bank trust preferred securities in securitization transactions. The majority of these transfers occurred between 2002 and 2005 with one transaction completed in 2007. The Company retained equity interests in certain of these entities and also holds certain senior interests that were acquired during 2008 in conjunction with its acquisition of assets from the ARS transactions discussed in Note 14, Contingencies. The assumptions and inputs considered by the Company in valuing this retained interest include prepayment speeds, credit losses, and the discount rate. While all the underlying collateral is currently eligible for repayment by the obligor, given the nature of the collateral and the current repricing environment, the Company assumed no prepayment would occur before the final maturity, which is approximately 23 years on a weighted average basis. Due to the seniority of the interests in the structure, current estimates of credit losses in the underlying collateral could withstand a 20% adverse change in the default assumption without the securities incurring a valuation loss assuming all other assumptions remain constant. Therefore, the key assumption in valuing these securities was the assumed discount rate, which was estimated to range from 8% to 10% over LIBOR at June 30, 2011 compared to 14% to 16% over LIBOR at December 31, 2010. This significant change in the discount rate was supported by a return to liquidity in the market for similar interests. At June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010, a 20% adverse change in the assumed discount rate results in declines of approximately \$7 million and \$5 million, respectively, in the fair value of these securities. Although the impact of each assumption change in isolation is minimal, the underlying collateral of the VIEs is highly concentrated and as a result, the default or deferral of certain large exposures may have a more dramatic effect on the discount rate than the 20% discussed above. Due to this, we estimate that each of the retained positions could experience a single deferral or default of an underlying collateral obligation that would result in a decline in valuation of the retained ARS ranging from \$4 million to \$15 million.

The Company is not obligated to provide any support to these entities and its maximum exposure to loss at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 includes current senior interests held in trading securities, which had a fair value of \$42 million as of June 30, 2011 and \$25 million as of December 31, 2010. In addition, the Company settled an ARS claim in the second quarter of 2011 to purchase additional ARS in the VIEs. The fair value of ARS subject to the settlement was \$7 million at June 30, 2011 and the purchases were completed in July 2011. The total assets of the trust preferred CDO entities in which the Company has remaining exposure to loss was \$1.2 billion at June 30, 2011 and \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2010. The Company determined that it was not the primary beneficiary of any of these VIEs, as the Company lacks the power to direct the significant activities of any of the VIEs. No events occurred during the six months ended June 30, 2011 that changed either the Company's sale accounting or the Company's conclusions that it is not the primary beneficiary of these VIEs.

**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

The following tables present certain information related to the Company's asset transfers in which it has continuing economic involvement for the three and six months ended June 30:

<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2011</b>					
(Dollars in millions)	<b>Residential Mortgage Loans</b>	<b>Commercial and Corporate Loans</b>	<b>Student Loans</b>	<b>CDO Securities</b>	<b>Total</b>
Cash flows on interests held	<b>\$13</b>	<b>\$1</b>	<b>\$-</b>	<b>\$-</b>	<b>\$14</b>
Servicing or management fees	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>3</b>

<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2010</b>					
(Dollars in millions)	<b>Residential Mortgage Loans</b>	<b>Commercial and Corporate Loans</b>	<b>Student Loans</b>	<b>CDO Securities</b>	<b>Total</b>
Cash flows on interests held	<b>\$13</b>	<b>\$1</b>	<b>\$1</b>	<b>\$-</b>	<b>\$15</b>
Servicing or management fees	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>5</b>

<b>Six Months Ended June 30, 2011</b>					
(Dollars in millions)	<b>Residential Mortgage Loans</b>	<b>Commercial and Corporate Loans</b>	<b>Student Loans</b>	<b>CDO Securities</b>	<b>Total</b>
Cash flows on interests held	<b>\$28</b>	<b>\$1</b>	<b>\$-</b>	<b>\$1</b>	<b>\$30</b>
Servicing or management fees	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>7</b>

<b>Six Months Ended June 30, 2010</b>					
(Dollars in millions)	<b>Residential Mortgage Loans</b>	<b>Commercial and Corporate Loans</b>	<b>Student Loans</b>	<b>CDO Securities</b>	<b>Total</b>
Cash flows on interests held	<b>\$28</b>	<b>\$2</b>	<b>\$3</b>	<b>\$1</b>	<b>\$34</b>
Servicing or management fees	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>9</b>

Portfolio balances and delinquency balances based on accruing loans 90 days or more past due and all nonaccrual loans as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and net charge-offs related to managed portfolio loans (both those that are owned or consolidated by the Company and those that have been transferred) for three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	Principal Balance		Past Due		Net Charge-offs			
					For the Three		For the Six	
	<b>June 30 2011</b>	<b>December 31 2010</b>	<b>June 30 2011</b>	<b>December 31 2010</b>	<b>Months Ended June 30 2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>Months Ended June 30 2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Type of loan:								
Commercial	<b>\$53,369</b>	\$53,488	<b>\$1,586</b>	\$1,904	<b>\$179</b>	\$222	<b>\$335</b>	\$391
Residential	<b>45,024</b>	46,521	<b>2,985</b>	3,122	<b>297</b>	465	<b>677</b>	1,068
Consumer	<b>16,520</b>	15,966	<b>675</b>	649	<b>29</b>	35	<b>64</b>	84
Total loan portfolio	<b>114,913</b>	115,975	<b>5,246</b>	5,675	<b>505</b>	722	<b>1,076</b>	1,543

## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

### Managed securitized loans

Commercial	<b>2,051</b>	2,244	<b>41</b>	44	-	22	-	22
Residential	<b>120,875</b>	120,429	<b>3,053</b>	3,497	<b>15</b>	11	<b>27</b>	22
Total managed loans	<b>\$237,839</b>	\$238,648	<b>\$8,340</b>	\$9,216	<b>\$520</b>	\$755	<b>\$1,103</b>	\$1,587

Servicing fees received by the Company were \$90 million and \$94 million during the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$176 million and \$187 million during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

#### ***Other Variable Interest Entities***

In addition to the Company's involvement with certain VIEs related to transfers of financial assets, which is discussed above, the Company also has involvement with VIEs from other business activities.

#### **Three Pillars Funding, LLC**

SunTrust assists in providing liquidity to select corporate clients by directing them to a multi-seller CP conduit, Three Pillars. Three Pillars provides financing for direct purchases of financial assets originated and serviced by SunTrust's corporate clients by issuing CP.

The Company has determined that Three Pillars is a VIE as Three Pillars has not issued sufficient equity at risk. In accordance with the VIE consolidation guidance, the Company has determined that it is the primary beneficiary of Three Pillars, as certain subsidiaries have both the power to direct the significant activities of Three Pillars and own potentially significant VIs, as discussed further herein. The assets and liabilities of Three Pillars were consolidated by the Company at their unpaid principal amounts at January 1, 2010; upon consolidation, the Company recorded an allowance for loan losses on \$1.7 billion of secured loans that were consolidated at that time, resulting in an immaterial transition adjustment, which was recorded in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity.

The Company's involvement with Three Pillars includes the following activities: services related to the administration of Three Pillars' activities and client referrals to Three Pillars; the issuing of letters of credit, which provide partial credit protection to the CP holders; and providing liquidity arrangements that would provide funding to Three Pillars in the event it can no longer issue CP or in certain other circumstances. The Company's activities with Three Pillars generated total revenue for the Company, net of direct salary and administrative costs, of \$16 million and \$15 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$32 million and \$30 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets reflected approximately \$2.5 billion and \$2.4 billion, respectively, of secured loans held by Three Pillars, which are included within commercial loans, and \$35 million and \$99 million, respectively, of CP issued by Three Pillars, excluding intercompany liabilities, which is included within other short-term borrowings; other assets and liabilities were de minimis to the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. No losses on any of Three Pillars' assets were incurred during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

Funding commitments extended by Three Pillars to its customers totaled \$4.0 billion with outstanding receivables totaling \$2.5 billion at June 30, 2011; the majority of which generally carry initial terms of one to three years and may be repaid or refinanced at any time. At December 31, 2010, Three Pillars had funding commitments and outstanding receivables totaling \$4.1 billion and \$2.4 billion, respectively. The majority of the commitments are backed by trade receivables and commercial loans that have been originated by companies operating across a number of industries. Trade receivables and commercial loans collateralize 38% and 20%, respectively, of the outstanding commitments, as of June 30, 2011, compared to 48% and 14%, respectively, as of December 31, 2010. Total assets supporting outstanding commitments have a weighted average life of 2.9 years and 2.3 years at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Each transaction added to Three Pillars is typically structured to a minimum implied A/A2 rating according to established credit and underwriting policies as approved by credit risk management and monitored on a regular basis to ensure compliance with each transaction's terms and conditions. Typically, transactions contain dynamic credit enhancement features that provide increased credit protection in the event asset performance deteriorates. If asset performance deteriorates beyond predetermined covenant levels, the transaction could become ineligible for continued funding by Three Pillars. This could result in the transaction being amended with the approval of credit risk management, or Three Pillars could terminate the transaction and enforce any rights or remedies available, including amortization of the transaction or liquidation of the collateral. In addition, Three Pillars has the option to fund under the liquidity facility provided by the Bank in connection with the transaction and may be required to fund under the liquidity facility if the transaction remains in breach. In addition, each commitment renewal requires credit risk management approval. The Company is not aware of unfavorable trends related to Three Pillars' assets for which the Company expects to suffer material losses.

**Table of Contents**

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

At June 30, 2011, Three Pillars' outstanding CP used to fund its assets had remaining weighted average lives of 11 days and maturities through August 1, 2011. The assets of Three Pillars generally provide the sources of cash flows for the CP. However, the Company has issued commitments in the form of liquidity facilities and other credit enhancements to support the operations of Three Pillars. Due to the Company's consolidation of Three Pillars as of January 1, 2010, these commitments are eliminated in consolidation for U.S. GAAP purposes. The liquidity commitments are revolving facilities that are sized based on the current commitments provided by Three Pillars to its customers. The liquidity facilities may generally be used if new CP cannot be issued by Three Pillars to repay maturing CP. However, the liquidity facilities are available in all circumstances, except certain bankruptcy-related events with respect to Three Pillars. Draws on the facilities are subject to the purchase price (or borrowing base) formula that, in many cases, excludes defaulted assets to the extent that they exceed available over-collateralization in the form of non-defaulted assets, and may also provide the liquidity banks with loss protection equal to a portion of the loss protection provided for in the related securitization agreement. Additionally, there are transaction specific covenants and triggers that are tied to the performance of the assets of the relevant seller/servicer that may result in a transaction termination event, which, if continuing, would require funding through the related liquidity facility. Finally, in a termination event of Three Pillars, such as if its tangible net worth falls below \$5,000 for a period in excess of 15 days, Three Pillars would be unable to issue CP, which would likely result in funding through the liquidity facilities. Draws under the credit enhancement are also available in all circumstances, but are generally used to the extent required to make payment on any maturing CP if there are insufficient funds from collections of receivables or the use of liquidity facilities. The required amount of credit enhancement at Three Pillars will vary from time to time as new receivable pools are purchased or removed from its asset portfolio, but is generally equal to 10% of the aggregate commitments of Three Pillars.

Due to the consolidation of Three Pillars, the Company's maximum exposure to potential loss was \$4.1 billion and \$4.2 billion as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, which represents the Company's exposure to the lines of credit that Three Pillars had extended to its clients. The Company did not recognize any liability on its Consolidated Balance Sheets related to the liquidity facilities and other credit enhancements provided to Three Pillars as of June 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010, as no amounts had been drawn, nor were any draws probable to occur, such that a loss should have been accrued.

**Total Return Swaps**

The Company has had involvement with various VIEs related to its TRS business, which recommenced during 2010. Under the matched book TRS business model, the VIEs purchase assets (typically loans) from the market, which are identified by third party clients, that serve as the underlying reference assets for a TRS between the VIE and the Company and a mirror TRS between the Company and its third party clients. The TRS contracts between the VIEs and the Company hedge the Company's exposure to the TRS contracts with its third party clients. These third parties are not related parties to the Company, nor are they and the Company de facto agents of each other. In order for the VIEs to purchase the reference assets, the Company provides senior financing, in the form of demand notes, to these VIEs. The TRS contracts pass through interest and other cash flows on the assets owned by the VIEs to the third parties, along with exposing the third parties to depreciation on the assets and providing them with the rights to appreciation on the assets. The terms of the TRS contracts require the third parties to post initial collateral, in addition to ongoing margin as the fair values of the underlying assets change. Although the Company has always caused the VIEs to purchase a reference asset in response to the addition of a reference asset by its third party clients, there is no legal obligation between the Company and its third party clients for the Company to purchase the reference assets or for the Company to cause the VIEs to purchase the assets.

The Company considered the VIE consolidation guidance, which requires an evaluation of the substantive contractual and non-contractual aspects of transactions involving VIEs established subsequent to January 1, 2010. The Company and its third party clients are the only VIE holders. As such, the Company evaluated the nature of all VIEs and other interests and involvement with the VIEs, in addition to the purpose and design of the VIEs, relative to the risks they were designed to create. The purpose and design of a VIE are key components of a consolidation analysis and any power should be analyzed based on the substance of that power relative to the purpose and design of the VIE. The VIEs were designed for the benefit of the third parties and would not exist if the Company did not enter into the TRS contracts with the third parties. The activities of the VIEs are restricted to buying and selling reference assets with respect to the TRS contracts entered into between the Company and its third party clients and the risks/benefits of any such assets owned by the VIEs are passed to the third party clients via the TRS contracts. The TRS contracts between the Company and its third party clients have a substantive effect on the design of the overall transaction and the VIEs. Based on its evaluation, the Company has determined that it is not the primary beneficiary of the VIEs, as the design of the TRS business results in the Company having no substantive power to direct the significant activities of the VIEs.





**Table of Contents**

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had \$1.1 billion and \$972 million, respectively, in senior financing outstanding to VIEs, which were classified within trading assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and carried at fair value. These VIEs had entered into TRS contracts with the Company with outstanding notional amounts of \$1.1 billion and \$969 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, and the Company had entered into mirror TRS contracts with its third parties with the same outstanding notional amounts. At June 30, 2011, the fair values of these TRS assets and liabilities were \$22 million and \$19 million, respectively, and at December 31, 2010, the fair values of these TRS assets and liabilities were \$34 million and \$32 million, respectively, reflecting the pass-through nature of these structures. The notional amounts of the TRS contracts with the VIEs represent the Company's maximum exposure to loss, although such exposure to loss has been mitigated via the TRS contracts with the third parties. The Company has not provided any support to the VIE that it was not contractually obligated to for the six months ended June 30, 2011 or during the year ended December 31, 2010. For additional information on the Company's TRS with these VIEs, see Note 11, Derivative Financial Instruments.

**Community Development Investments**

As part of its community reinvestment initiatives, the Company invests almost exclusively within its footprint in multi-family affordable housing developments and other community development entities as a limited and/or general partner and/or a debt provider. The Company receives tax credits for its partnership investments. The Company has determined that these partnerships are VIEs. During 2011 and 2010, the Company did not provide any financial or other support to its consolidated or unconsolidated investments that it was not previously contractually required to provide.

For partnerships where the Company operates strictly as the general partner, the Company consolidates these partnerships on its Consolidated Balance Sheets. As the general partner, the Company typically guarantees the tax credits due to the limited partner and is responsible for funding construction and operating deficits. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, total assets, which consist primarily of fixed assets and cash attributable to the consolidated partnerships, were \$8 million, and total liabilities, excluding intercompany liabilities, were \$1 million. Security deposits from the tenants are recorded as liabilities on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company maintains separate cash accounts to fund these liabilities and these assets are considered restricted. The tenant liabilities and corresponding restricted cash assets were de minimis as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. While the obligations of the general partner are generally non-recourse to the Company, as the general partner, the Company may from time to time step in when needed to fund deficits. During 2011 and 2010, the Company did not provide any significant amount of funding as the general partner or to cover any deficits the partnerships may have generated.

For other partnerships, the Company acts only in a limited partnership capacity. The Company has determined that it is not the primary beneficiary of these partnerships and accounts for its limited partner interests in accordance with the accounting guidance for investments in affordable housing projects. The general partner or an affiliate of the general partner provides guarantees to the limited partner, which protects the Company from losses attributable to operating deficits, construction deficits and tax credit allocation deficits. Partnership assets of \$1.1 billion in these partnerships were not included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. These limited partner interests had carrying values of \$198 million and \$202 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, and are recorded in other assets on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company's maximum exposure to loss for these limited partner investments totaled \$430 million and \$458 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The Company's maximum exposure to loss would be borne by the loss of the limited partnership equity investments along with \$204 million and \$222 million of loans issued by the Company to the limited partnerships at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The difference between the maximum exposure to loss and the investment and loan balances is primarily attributable to the unfunded equity commitments. Unfunded equity commitments are amounts that the Company has committed to the partnerships upon the partnerships meeting certain conditions. When these conditions are met, the Company will invest these additional amounts in the partnerships.

When the Company owns both the limited partner and general partner interests or acts as the indemnifying party, the Company consolidates the partnerships. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, total assets, which consist primarily of fixed assets and cash, attributable to the consolidated, non-VIE partnerships were \$383 million and \$394 million, respectively, and total liabilities, excluding intercompany liabilities, primarily representing third party borrowings, were \$109 million and \$123 million, respectively. See Note 12, Fair Value Election and Measurement, for further discussion on the impact of impairment charges on affordable housing partnership investments.

**Registered and Unregistered Funds Advised by RidgeWorth**

## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

RidgeWorth, a registered investment advisor and majority owned subsidiary of the Company, serves as the investment advisor for various private placement, common and collective funds, and registered mutual funds (collectively the Funds ). The Company evaluates these Funds to determine if the Funds are VIEs. In February 2010, the FASB issued guidance that defers the application of the existing VIE consolidation guidance for investment funds meeting certain criteria. All of the registered and unregistered Funds advised by RidgeWorth meet the scope exception criteria and thus are not evaluated for consolidation under the guidance. Accordingly, the Company continues to apply the consolidation guidance in effect prior to the issuance of the existing guidance to interests in funds that qualify for the deferral.

**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

The Company has concluded that some of the Funds are VIEs. However, the Company has concluded that it is not the primary beneficiary of these funds as the Company does not absorb a majority of the expected losses nor expected returns of the funds. The Company's exposure to loss is limited to the investment advisor and other administrative fees it earns and if applicable, any equity investments. The total unconsolidated assets of these funds as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were \$1.4 billion and \$1.9 billion, respectively.

The Company does not have any contractual obligation to provide monetary support to any of the Funds. The Company did not provide any significant support, contractual or otherwise, to the Funds during the six months ended June 30, 2011 or during the year ended December 31, 2010.

**Note 7 Net Income/(Loss) Per Share**

Equivalent shares of 32 million related to common stock options and common stock warrants outstanding as of June 30, 2011 and 2010 were excluded from the computations of diluted income/(loss) per average common share because they would have been anti-dilutive. Further, for EPS calculation purposes, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the impact of dilutive securities were excluded from the diluted share count because the Company recognized a net loss available to common shareholders and the impact would have been anti-dilutive.

A reconciliation of the difference between average basic common shares outstanding and average diluted common shares outstanding for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 is included below. Additionally, included below is a reconciliation of net income/(loss) to net income/(loss) available to common shareholders.

	<b>Three Months Ended June 30</b>		<b>Six Months Ended June 30</b>	
(In millions, except per share data)	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Net income/(loss)	<b>\$178</b>	<b>\$12</b>	<b>\$358</b>	<b>(\$149)</b>
Series A preferred dividends	<b>(2)</b>	<b>(2)</b>	<b>(4)</b>	<b>(4)</b>
Dividends and accretion of discount on preferred stock issued to the U.S. Treasury	<b>-</b>	<b>(66)</b>	<b>(66)</b>	<b>(132)</b>
Accelerated accretion for repurchase of preferred stock issued to the U.S. Treasury	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(74)</b>	<b>-</b>
Dividends and undistributed earnings allocated to unvested shares	<b>(2)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(2)</b>	<b>-</b>
Net income/(loss) available to common shareholders	<b>\$174</b>	<b>(\$56)</b>	<b>\$212</b>	<b>(\$285)</b>
Average basic common shares	<b>532</b>	<b>495</b>	<b>516</b>	<b>495</b>
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Stock options	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
Restricted stock	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>
Average diluted common shares	<b>535</b>	<b>498</b>	<b>520</b>	<b>498</b>
Net income/(loss) per average common share - diluted	<b>\$0.33</b>	<b>(\$0.11)</b>	<b>\$0.41</b>	<b>(\$0.58)</b>
Net income/(loss) per average common share - basic	<b>\$0.33</b>	<b>(\$0.11)</b>	<b>\$0.41</b>	<b>(\$0.58)</b>

**Note 8 Long-Term Debt and Capital**

In March 2011, the Federal Reserve completed its review of the Company's capital plan in connection with the CCAR. Upon completion of the review, the Federal Reserve did not object to the Company's capital plan as originally submitted in December 2010. As a result, during the first

## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

quarter of 2011, the Company completed a \$1.0 billion common stock offering and a \$1.0 billion senior debt offering, which pays 3.60% interest and is due in 2016. The Company subsequently used the proceeds from these offerings as well as from other available funds to repurchase, on March 30, 2011, \$3.5 billion of Fixed Rate Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series C, and \$1.4 billion of Fixed Rate Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series D that was issued to the U.S. Treasury under the TARP's CPP. As a result of the repurchase of Series C and D preferred stock, the Company incurred a one-time non-cash charge to net income/(loss) available to common shareholders of \$74 million during the first quarter of 2011, related to accelerating the outstanding discount accretion on the Series C and D preferred stock. The U.S. Treasury continues to hold warrants to purchase 11,891,280 shares of SunTrust common stock at an exercise price of \$44.15 per share and 6,008,902 shares of SunTrust common stock at an exercise price of \$33.70 per share.

**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

The Company's long-term debt increased from \$13.6 billion at December 31, 2010 to \$13.7 billion at June 30, 2011. The change was primarily as a result of the \$1.0 billion senior debt offering described above, offset by \$852 million subordinated debt that matured in the second quarter of 2011 and the repurchase of \$220 million of fixed rate senior and junior subordinated notes that were due in 2011 and 2036.

As a result of the common stock offering, the Company's common equity increased by \$1.0 billion, net of issuance costs, and approximately 35 million new common shares were added to the Company's outstanding common shares. Conversely, Consolidated Shareholders' Equity decreased by \$3.5 billion from December 31, 2010 primarily as a result of the repurchase of the Series C and D preferred stock, offset by the new common share issuance. The Company's capital ratios as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are noted below.

(Dollars in millions)	June 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
<b>SunTrust Banks, Inc.</b>				
Tier 1 common	<b>\$11,994</b>	<b>9.22 %</b>	\$10,737	8.08 %
Tier 1 capital	<b>14,446</b>	<b>11.11</b>	18,156	13.67
Total capital	<b>18,230</b>	<b>14.01</b>	21,967	16.54
Tier 1 leverage		<b>8.92</b>		10.94
<b>SunTrust Bank</b>				
Tier 1 capital	<b>\$13,650</b>	<b>10.67 %</b>	\$13,120	10.05 %
Total capital	<b>16,927</b>	<b>13.23</b>	16,424	12.58
Tier 1 leverage		<b>8.66</b>		8.33

**Note 9 - Income Taxes**

The provision for income taxes was \$58 million and a benefit of \$50 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, representing effective tax rates of 24.5% and (133.1%), respectively, during those periods. The provision for income taxes was \$91 million and a benefit of \$244 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, representing effective tax rates of 20.2% and (62.2%), respectively, during those periods. The Company calculated income taxes for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 based on actual year-to-date results.

As of June 30, 2011, the Company's gross cumulative income tax on UTBs amounted to \$99 million, of which \$67 million (net of federal tax benefit) would affect the Company's effective tax rate, if recognized. As of December 31, 2010, the Company's gross cumulative income tax on UTBs amounted to \$102 million. Additionally, the Company had a gross liability of \$20 million and \$21 million for interest related to its UTBs as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Interest recognized related to UTBs was income of less than \$1 million for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to an expense of \$2 million and income of \$3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. The Company continually evaluates the UTBs associated with its uncertain tax positions. It is reasonably possible that the total amount of income tax on UTBs could decrease during the next 12 months by up to \$10 million due to completion of tax authority examinations and the expiration of statutes of limitations.

The Company files consolidated and separate income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and in various state jurisdictions. As of June 30, 2011, the Company's federal returns through 2006 have been examined by the IRS and all issues have been resolved. The Company's 2007 through 2009 federal income tax returns are currently under examination by the IRS. Generally, the state jurisdictions in which the Company files income tax returns are subject to examination for a period from three to seven years after returns are filed.

**Note 10 - Employee Benefit Plans**

The Company sponsors various short-term incentive and LTI plans for eligible employees. The Company delivers LTIs through various incentive programs, including stock options, restricted stock, LTI cash, and salary shares. Compensation expense related to LTI cash was \$9 million and \$8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$18 million and \$14 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.



**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

TARP prohibited the payment of any bonus, incentive compensation or stock option award to our five NEOs and certain other highly compensated executives. As a result, until TARP repayment, SunTrust continued the use of salary shares in 2011 as defined in the U.S. Treasury's Interim Final Rule on TARP Standards for Compensation and Corporate Governance. Specifically, the Company paid additional base salary amounts in the form of stock (salary shares) to the senior executive officers and some of the other employees who were among the next 20 most highly-compensated employees. The Company did this each pay period in the form of stock units under the SunTrust Banks, Inc. 2009 Stock Plan. The stock units did not include any rights to receive dividends or dividend equivalents. As required by The Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, each salary share was non-forfeitable upon grant but may not be sold or transferred until the expiration of a holding period (except as necessary to satisfy applicable withholding taxes). As a result, these individuals are at risk for the value of our stock price until the stock unit is settled. The stock units are settled in cash; for the 2010 salary shares, one half was settled on March 31, 2011 and one half will be settled on March 31, 2012, unless settled earlier due to the executive's death. The 2011 salary shares were settled on the date of TARP repayment on March 30, 2011. The amount to be paid on settlement of the stock units will be equal to the value of a share of SunTrust common stock on the settlement date. Benefit plan determinations and limits were established to ensure that the salary shares were accounted for equitably within relevant benefit plans. As of June 30, 2011, the accrual related to salary shares was \$5 million.

Following the repayment by SunTrust of the U.S. Treasury's TARP investment in the Company, the Compensation Committee of the Board approved a revised compensation structure for the Company's NEOs. Effective April 1, 2011, the compensation structure includes an annual incentive opportunity under the Company's existing Management Incentive Plan. A new LTI arrangement was also implemented. The design of the LTI plan delivers 50% restricted stock units with vesting tied to the Company's total shareholder return relative to a peer group consisting of the banks which comprise the KBW Bank Sector Index. The remaining 50% of the LTI plan will consist of approximately half restricted stock units, the vesting of which is tied to the achievement of a Tier 1 capital ratio target, and the other half in stock options.

**Stock-Based Compensation**

The Company granted 736,538 shares of stock options, 1,325,000 shares of restricted stock and 344,590 restricted stock units during the first six months of 2011. The weighted average prices of these grants were \$30.71, \$31.88 and \$37.57, respectively. The fair value of options granted during the first six months of 2011 and 2010 were \$10.97 per share and \$12.78 per share, respectively. The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

	<b>Six Months Ended June 30</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Dividend yield	<b>0.67 %</b>	0.17 %
Expected stock price volatility	<b>34.73</b>	56.10
Risk-free interest rate (weighted average)	<b>2.61</b>	2.84
Expected life of options	<b>6 years</b>	6 years

Stock-based compensation expense recognized in noninterest expense was as follows:

	<b>Three Months Ended June 30</b>		<b>Six Months Ended June 30</b>	
(Dollars in millions)	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Stock-based compensation expense:				
Stock options	<b>\$5</b>	\$3	<b>\$8</b>	\$7
Restricted stock	<b>8</b>	10	<b>17</b>	22
Restricted stock units	<b>8</b>	-	<b>8</b>	-
Total stock-based compensation expense	<b>\$21</b>	\$13	<b>\$33</b>	\$29

## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

The recognized stock-based compensation tax benefit amounted to \$8 million and \$5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the recognized stock-based compensation tax benefit was \$12 million and \$11 million, respectively.



## Table of Contents

### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued

#### Retirement Plans

SunTrust did not contribute to either of its noncontributory qualified retirement plans ( Retirement Benefits plans) in the first six months of 2011. The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets for the Retirement Benefit Plans is 7.75% for 2011.

Anticipated employer contributions/benefit payments for 2011 are \$22 million for the Supplemental Retirement Benefit plans. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the actual contributions/benefit payments totaled \$4 million and \$5 million, respectively.

SunTrust contributed less than \$1 million to the Postretirement Welfare Plan in the second quarter of 2011. Additionally, SunTrust expects to receive a Medicare Part D Subsidy reimbursement for 2011 in the amount of \$3 million. The expected pre-tax long-term rate of return on plan assets for the Postretirement Welfare plan is 6.75% for 2011.

	Three Months Ended June 30			
	2011		2010	
	Retirement	Other	Retirement	Other
(Dollars in millions)	Benefits	Postretirement	Benefits	Postretirement
		Benefits		Benefits
Service cost	\$17	\$-	\$18	\$-
Interest cost	32	2	32	2
Expected return on plan assets	(47)	(2)	(46)	(2)
Amortization of prior service cost	(4)	-	(3)	-
Recognized net actuarial loss	11	-	15	1
Net periodic benefit cost	\$9	\$-	\$16	\$1

	Six Months Ended June 30			
	2011		2010	
	Retirement	Other	Retirement	Other
(Dollars in millions)	Benefits	Postretirement	Benefits	Postretirement
		Benefits		Benefits
Service cost	\$35	\$-	\$35	\$-
Interest cost	64	5	64	5
Expected return on plan assets	(94)	(4)	(91)	(4)
Amortization of prior service cost	(9)	-	(6)	-
Recognized net actuarial loss	21	-	30	1
Net periodic benefit cost	\$17	\$1	\$32	\$2

**Table of Contents**

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

**Note 11 - Derivative Financial Instruments**

The Company enters into various derivative financial instruments, both in a dealer capacity to facilitate client transactions and as an end user as a risk management tool. When derivatives have been entered into with clients, the Company generally manages the risk associated with these derivatives within the framework of its VAR approach that monitors total exposure daily and seeks to manage the exposure on an overall basis. Derivatives are used as a risk management tool to hedge the Company's exposure to changes in identified cash flow and fair value risks, either economically or in accordance with hedge accounting provisions. The Company's Corporate Treasury function is responsible for employing the various hedge accounting strategies to manage these objectives and all derivative activities are monitored by ALCO. The Company may also enter into derivatives, on a limited basis, in consideration of trading opportunities in the market. In addition, as a normal part of its operations, the Company enters into IRLCs on mortgage loans that are accounted for as freestanding derivatives and has certain contracts containing embedded derivatives that are carried, in their entirety, at fair value. All freestanding derivatives and any embedded derivatives that the Company bifurcates from the host contracts are carried at fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in trading assets, other assets, trading liabilities, or other liabilities. The associated gains and losses are either recorded in AOCI, net of tax, or within the Consolidated Statements of Income/(Loss) depending upon the use and designation of the derivatives.

***Credit and Market Risk Associated with Derivatives***

Derivatives expose the Company to credit risk. The Company minimizes the credit risk in derivatives by entering into transactions with high credit-quality counterparties with defined exposure limits that are reviewed periodically by the Company's Credit Risk Management division. The Company's derivatives may also be governed by an ISDA, and depending on the nature of the derivative transactions, bilateral collateral agreements are typically in place as well. When the Company has more than one outstanding derivative transaction with a single counterparty and there exists a legally enforceable master netting agreement with that counterparty, the Company considers its exposure to the counterparty to be the net market value of all positions with that counterparty, if such net value is an asset to the Company, and zero, if such net value is a liability to the Company. As of June 30, 2011, net derivative asset positions to which the Company was exposed to risk of its counterparties were \$1.7 billion, representing the net of \$2.9 billion in net derivative gains, netted by counterparty where formal netting arrangements exist, adjusted for collateral of \$1.2 billion that the Company holds in relation to these gain positions. As of December 31, 2010, net derivative asset positions to which the Company was exposed to risk of its counterparties were \$1.6 billion, representing the net of \$2.8 billion in net derivative gains by counterparty, netted by counterparty where formal netting arrangements exist, adjusted for collateral of \$1.2 billion that the Company holds in relation to these gain positions.

Derivatives also expose the Company to market risk. Market risk is the adverse effect that a change in market factors, such as interest rates, currency rates, equity prices, or implied volatility, has on the value of a derivative. The Company manages the market risk associated with its derivatives by establishing and monitoring limits on the types and degree of risk that may be undertaken. The Company continually measures this risk by using a VAR methodology.

Derivative instruments are primarily transacted in the institutional dealer market and priced with observable market assumptions at a mid-market valuation point, with appropriate valuation adjustments for liquidity and credit risk. For purposes of valuation adjustments to its derivative positions, the Company has evaluated liquidity premiums that may be demanded by market participants, as well as the credit risk of its counterparties and its own credit. The Company has considered factors such as the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties, its net exposures, and remaining maturities in determining the appropriate fair value adjustments to record. Generally, the expected loss of each counterparty is estimated using the Company's proprietary internal risk rating system. The risk rating system utilizes counterparty-specific probabilities of default and loss given default estimates to derive the expected loss. For counterparties that are rated by national rating agencies, those ratings are also considered in estimating the credit risk. In addition, counterparty exposure is evaluated by netting positions that are subject to master netting arrangements, as well as considering the amount of marketable collateral securing the position. All counterparties are explicitly approved, as are defined exposure limits. Counterparties are regularly reviewed and appropriate business action is taken to adjust the exposure to certain counterparties, as necessary. This approach used to estimate exposures to counterparties is also used by the Company to estimate its own credit risk on derivative liability positions. The Company adjusted the net fair value of its derivative contracts for estimates of net counterparty credit risk by approximately \$35 million and \$33 million as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 respectively.

**Table of Contents**

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

The majority of the Company's derivatives contain contingencies that relate to the creditworthiness of the Bank. These contingencies that are contained in industry standard master trading agreements may be considered events of default. Should the Bank be in default under any of these provisions, the Bank's counterparties would be permitted under such master agreements to close-out net at amounts that would approximate the then-fair values of the derivatives and the netting of the amounts would produce a single sum due by one party to the other. The counterparties would have the right to apply any collateral posted by the Bank against any net amount owed by the Bank. In addition, certain of the Company's derivative liability positions, totaling \$1.1 billion in fair value at both June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, contain provisions conditioned on downgrades of the Bank's credit rating. These provisions, if triggered, would either give rise to an ATE that permits the counterparties to close-out net and apply collateral or, where a CSA is present, require the Bank to post additional collateral. Collateral posting requirements generally result from differences in the fair value of the net derivative liability compared to specified collateral thresholds at different ratings levels of the Bank, both of which are negotiated provisions within each CSA. At June 30, 2011, the Bank carried senior long-term debt ratings of A3/BBB+ from three of the major ratings agencies. At the current rating level, ATEs have been triggered for approximately \$3 million in fair value liabilities as of June 30, 2011. For illustrative purposes, if the Bank were further downgraded to Baa3/BBB-, ATEs would be triggered in derivative liability contracts that had a total fair value of \$9 million at June 30, 2011, against which the Bank had posted collateral of \$5 million; ATEs do not exist at lower ratings levels. At June 30, 2011, \$1.1 billion in fair value of derivative liabilities were subject to CSAs, against which the Bank has posted \$1.0 billion in collateral, primarily in the form of cash. If requested by the counterparty pursuant to the terms of the CSA, the Bank would be required to post estimated additional collateral against these contracts at June 30, 2011 of \$16 million if the Bank were downgraded to Baa3/BBB-, and any further downgrades to Ba1/BB+ or below would require the posting of an additional \$14 million. Such collateral posting amounts may be more or less than the Bank's estimates based on the specified terms of each CSA as to the timing of a collateral calculation and whether the Bank and its counterparties differ on their estimates of the fair values of the derivatives or collateral.

***Notional and Fair Value of Derivative Positions***

The tables below present the Company's derivative positions at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The notional amounts in the tables are presented on a gross basis and have been classified within Asset Derivatives or Liability Derivatives based on the estimated fair value of the individual contract at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. For purposes of the table below, the gross positive and gross negative fair value amounts associated with the respective notional amounts are presented without consideration of any netting agreements. For contracts constituting a combination of options that contain a written option and a purchased option (such as a collar), the notional amount of each option is presented separately, with the purchased notional amount generally being presented as an Asset Derivative and the written notional amount being presented as a Liability Derivative. The fair value of a combination of options is generally presented as a single value with the purchased notional amount if the combined fair value is positive, and with the written notional amount, if the combined fair value is negative.

## Table of Contents

### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued

The table below presents the Company's derivative positions at June 30, 2011.

		As of June 30, 2011				
		Asset Derivatives		Liability Derivatives		
(Dollars in millions)	Balance Sheet Classification	Notional Amounts	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Classification	Notional Amounts	Fair Value
<b>Derivatives designated in cash flow hedging relationships <sup>5</sup></b>						
Equity contracts hedging:						
Securities AFS	Trading assets	\$1,547	\$-	Trading liabilities	\$1,547	\$154
Interest rate contracts hedging:						
Floating rate loans	Trading assets	13,050	765	Trading liabilities	2,800	14
<b>Total</b>		<b>14,597</b>	<b>765</b>		<b>4,347</b>	<b>168</b>
<b>Derivatives designated in fair value hedging relationships <sup>6</sup></b>						
Interest rate contracts hedging:						
Fixed rate debt	Trading assets	1,000	19	Trading liabilities	-	-
<b>Total</b>		<b>1,000</b>	<b>19</b>		<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments <sup>7</sup></b>						
Interest rate contracts covering:						
Fixed rate debt	Trading assets	437	21	Trading liabilities	60	5
MSRs	Other assets	17,955	166	Other liabilities	1,920	25
LHFS, IRLCs, LHFI-FV	Other assets	3,104 <sup>3</sup>	9	Other liabilities	1,095	7
Trading activity	Trading assets	139,529 <sup>1</sup>	4,133	Trading liabilities	104,255	3,837
Foreign exchange rate contracts covering:						
Foreign-denominated debt and commercial loans	Trading assets	1,176	107	Trading liabilities	510	114
Trading activity	Trading assets	4,593	214	Trading liabilities	4,851	209
Credit contracts covering:						
Loans	Trading assets	10	-	Trading liabilities	192	2
Trading activity	Trading assets	1,232 <sup>2</sup>	26	Trading liabilities	1,187 <sup>2</sup>	21
Equity contracts - Trading activity	Trading assets	6,725 <sup>1</sup>	726	Trading liabilities	8,769	847
Other contracts:						
IRLCs and other	Other assets	2,438	29	Other liabilities	541 <sup>4</sup>	18 <sup>4</sup>
Trading activity	Trading assets	201	32	Trading liabilities	197	31
<b>Total</b>		<b>177,400</b>	<b>5,463</b>		<b>123,577</b>	<b>5,116</b>
<b>Total derivatives</b>		<b>\$192,997</b>	<b>\$6,247</b>		<b>\$127,924</b>	<b>\$5,284</b>

<sup>1</sup> Amounts include \$29.7 billion and \$0.6 billion of notional related to interest rate futures and equity futures, respectively. These futures contracts settle in cash daily and therefore no derivative asset or liability is recorded.

<sup>2</sup> Asset and liability amounts include \$1 million and \$8 million, respectively, of notional from purchased and written credit risk participation agreements, respectively, which notional is calculated as the notional of the derivative participated adjusted by the relevant risk weighted assets conversion factor.

## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

<sup>3</sup> Amount includes \$1.0 billion of notional amounts related to interest rate futures. These futures contracts settle in cash daily and therefore no derivative asset or liability is recorded.

<sup>4</sup> Includes a \$17 million derivative liability recorded in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, related to a notional amount of \$134 million. The notional amount is based on the number of Visa Class B shares, 3.2 million, the conversion ratio from Visa Class B common stock to Visa Class A common stock, and the Visa Class A common stock price at the derivative inception date of May 28, 2009. This derivative was established upon the sale of Visa Class B shares in the second quarter of 2009 as discussed in Note 18, Reinsurance Arrangements and Guarantees, to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

<sup>5</sup> See Cash Flow Hedges in this Note for further discussion.

<sup>6</sup> See Fair Value Hedges in this Note for further discussion.

<sup>7</sup> See Economic Hedging and Trading Activities in this Note for further discussion.

## Table of Contents

### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued

The table below presents the Company's derivative positions at December 31, 2010.

	As of December 31, 2010					
	Balance Sheet	Asset Derivatives		Balance Sheet	Liability Derivatives	
(Dollars in millions)	Classification	Notional Amounts	Fair Value	Classification	Notional Amounts	Fair Value
Derivatives designated in cash flow hedging relationships <sup>5</sup>						
Equity contracts hedging:						
Securities AFS	Trading assets	\$1,547	\$-	Trading liabilities	\$1,547	\$145
Interest rate contracts hedging:						
Floating rate loans	Trading assets	15,350	947	Trading liabilities	500	10
Total		16,897	947		2,047	155
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments <sup>6</sup>						
Interest rate contracts covering:						
Fixed rate debt	Trading assets	1,273	41	Trading liabilities	60	4
Corporate bonds and loans		-	-	Trading liabilities	5	-
MSRs	Other assets	20,474	152	Other liabilities	6,480	73
LHFS, IRLCs, LHFI-FV	Other assets	7,269 <sup>3</sup>	92	Other liabilities	2,383	20
Trading activity	Trading assets	132,286 <sup>1</sup>	4,211	Trading liabilities	105,926	3,884
Foreign exchange rate contracts covering:						
Foreign-denominated debt and commercial loans	Trading assets	1,083	17	Trading liabilities	495	128
Trading activity	Trading assets	2,691	92	Trading liabilities	2,818	91
Credit contracts covering:						
Loans	Trading assets	15	-	Trading liabilities	227	2
Trading activity	Trading assets	1,094 <sup>2</sup>	39	Trading liabilities	1,039 <sup>2</sup>	34
Equity contracts - Trading activity	Trading assets	5,010 <sup>1</sup>	583	Trading liabilities	8,012	730
Other contracts:						
IRLCs and other	Other assets	2,169	18	Other liabilities	2,196 <sup>4</sup>	42 <sup>4</sup>
Trading activity	Trading assets	111	11	Trading liabilities	111	11
Total		173,475	5,256		129,752	5,019
Total derivatives		\$190,372	\$6,203		\$131,799	\$5,174

<sup>1</sup> Amounts include \$25.0 billion and \$0.5 billion of notional related to interest rate futures and equity futures, respectively. These futures contracts settle in cash daily and therefore no derivative asset or liability is recorded.

<sup>2</sup> Asset and liability amounts include \$1 million and \$8 million, respectively, of notional from purchased and written interest rate swap risk participation agreements, respectively, which notional is calculated as the notional of the interest rate swap participated adjusted by the relevant risk weighted assets conversion factor.

<sup>3</sup> Amount includes \$1.4 billion of notional amounts related to interest rate futures. These futures contracts settle in cash daily and therefore no derivative asset or liability is recorded.

<sup>4</sup> Includes a \$23 million derivative liability recorded in other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, related to a notional amount of \$134 million. The notional amount is based on the number of Visa Class B shares, 3.2 million, the conversion ratio from Visa Class B common stock to Visa Class A common stock, and the Visa Class A common stock price at the derivative inception date of May 28, 2009. This derivative was established upon the sale of Visa Class B shares in the second quarter of 2009 as discussed in Note 18, Reinsurance Arrangements and Guarantees, to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's 2010

## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Annual Report on Form 10-K.

<sup>5</sup> See "Cash Flow Hedges" in this Note for further discussion.

<sup>6</sup> See "Economic Hedging and Trading Activities" in this Note for further discussion.

**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued****Impact of Derivatives on the Consolidated Statements of Income/(Loss) and Shareholders' Equity**

The impacts of derivatives on the Consolidated Statements of Income/(Loss) and the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 are presented below. The impacts are segregated between those derivatives that are designated in hedging relationships and those that are used for economic hedging or trading purposes, with further identification of the underlying risks in the derivatives and the hedged items, where appropriate. The tables do not disclose the financial impact of the activities that these derivative instruments are intended to hedge, for both economic hedges and those instruments designated in formal, qualifying hedging relationships.

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended June 30, 2011		
	Amount of pre-tax gain	Classification of gain reclassified from	Amount of pre-tax gain
	recognized		reclassified
	in OCI	AOCI into Income	from
	on Derivatives (Effective Portion)	(Effective Portion)	AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) <sup>1</sup>
<b>Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships</b>			
Equity contracts hedging Securities AFS	\$6		\$-
Interest rate contracts hedging Floating rate loans	261	Interest and fees on loans	105
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$267</b>		<b>\$105</b>

(Dollars in millions)	Six Months Ended June 30, 2011		
	Amount of pre-tax gain/(loss)	Classification of gain reclassified from	Amount of pre-tax gain
	recognized		reclassified
	in OCI	AOCI into Income	from
	on Derivatives (Effective Portion)	(Effective Portion)	AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) <sup>1</sup>
<b>Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships</b>			
Equity contracts hedging Securities AFS	(\$10)		\$-
Interest rate contracts hedging Floating rate loans	234	Interest and fees on loans	218
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$224</b>		<b>\$218</b>

<sup>1</sup> During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company reclassified \$49 million and \$90 million, respectively, in pre-tax gains from AOCI into net interest income. These gains related to hedging relationships that have been previously terminated or de-designated.

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended June 30, 2011		
	Amount of gain on Derivatives	Amount of loss on	Amount of gain/(loss) recognized
	recognized	related Hedged Items recognized in	in Income on
	in	Income	Hedges
	Income		(Ineffective Portion)



Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

**Derivatives in fair value hedging relationships**

Interest rate contracts hedging Fixed rate debt <sup>1</sup>	\$15	(\$15)	\$-
--	------	--------	-----

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2011		
	Amount of gain on Derivatives recognized in Income	Amount of loss on related Hedged Items recognized in Income	Amount of gain/(loss) recognized in Income on Hedges (Ineffective Portion)

(Dollars in millions)

**Derivatives in fair value hedging relationships**

Interest rate contracts hedging Fixed rate debt <sup>1</sup>	\$15	(\$15)	\$-
--	------	--------	-----

<sup>1</sup> Amounts are recorded in trading account profits/(losses) and commissions in the Consolidated Statements of Income/(Loss).

	Classification of gain/(loss) recognized	Amount of gain/(loss) recognized in Income on Derivatives	Amount of gain/(loss) recognized in Income on Derivatives for the three months ended June 30, 2011
--	--	---	--

(Dollars in millions)

**Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments**

Interest rate contracts covering:			
Fixed rate debt	Trading account profits and commissions	\$-	\$1
MSRs	Mortgage servicing related income	134	91
LHFS, IRLCs, LHFI-FV	Mortgage production related income/(loss)	(67)	(93)
Trading activity	Trading account profits and commissions	33	37
Foreign exchange rate contracts covering:			
Foreign-denominated debt and commercial loans	Trading account profits and commissions	29	110
Trading activity	Trading account profits and commissions	(5)	(6)
Credit contracts covering:			
Loans	Trading account profits and commissions	-	(1)
Other	Trading account profits and commissions	4	8
Equity contracts - trading activity	Trading account profits and commissions	5	8
Other contracts:			
IRLCs	Mortgage production related income/(loss)	48	84
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$181</b>	<b>\$239</b>

**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

The impacts of derivatives on the Consolidated Statements of Income/(Loss) and the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 are presented below.

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2010		
	Amount of pre-tax gain recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion)	Classification of gain reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of pre-tax gain reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) <sup>1</sup>
(Dollars in millions)			
<b>Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships</b>			
Equity contracts hedging Securities AFS	\$106		\$-
Interest rate contracts hedging Floating rate loans	447	Interest and fees on loans	124
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$553</b>		<b>\$124</b>

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2010		
	Amount of pre-tax gain recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion)	Classification of gain reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of pre-tax gain reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) <sup>1</sup>
(Dollars in millions)			
<b>Derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships</b>			
Equity contracts hedging Securities AFS	\$167		\$-
Interest rate contracts hedging Floating rate loans	735	Interest and fees on loans	251
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$902</b>		<b>\$251</b>

<sup>1</sup> During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company reclassified \$24 million and \$53 million, respectively, in pre-tax gains from AOCI into net interest income. These gains related to hedging relationships that have been previously terminated or de-designated.

	Amount of gain/(loss) recognized in Income on Derivatives for the three months ended June 30, 2010	Amount of gain/(loss) recognized in Income on Derivatives for the six months ended June 30, 2010	
(Dollars in millions)			
<b>Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments</b>			
Interest rate contracts covering:			
Fixed rate debt	Trading account profits and commissions	\$80	\$125
Corporate bonds and loans	Trading account profits and commissions	-	(1)
MSRs	Mortgage servicing related income	392	468
LHFS, IRLCs, LHFI-FV	Mortgage production related income/(loss)	(140)	(210)
Trading activity	Trading account profits and commissions	-	30

# Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

## Foreign exchange rate contracts covering:

Foreign-denominated debt and commercial loans	Trading account profits and commissions	(107)	(202)
Trading activity	Trading account profits and commissions	19	26

## Credit contracts covering:

Loans	Trading account profits and commissions	1	1
Trading activity	Trading account profits and commissions	3	4

Equity contracts - trading activity	Trading account profits and commissions	(1)	6
-------------------------------------	---	-----	---

## Other contracts:

IRLCs	Mortgage production related income/(loss)	119	211
-------	---	-----	-----

<b>Total</b>		<b>\$366</b>	<b>\$458</b>
--------------	--	--------------	--------------

**Table of Contents**

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

***Credit Derivatives***

As part of its trading businesses, the Company enters into contracts that are, in form or substance, written guarantees: specifically, CDS, swap participations, and TRS. The Company accounts for these contracts as derivative instruments and, accordingly, records these contracts at fair value, with changes in fair value recorded in trading account profits/(losses) and commissions in the Consolidated Statements of Income/(Loss).

The Company writes CDS, which are agreements under which the Company receives premium payments from its counterparty for protection against an event of default of a reference asset. In the event of default under the CDS, the Company would either net cash settle or make a cash payment to its counterparty and take delivery of the defaulted reference asset, from which the Company may recover all, a portion, or none of the credit loss, depending on the performance of the reference asset. Events of default, as defined in the CDS agreements, are generally triggered upon the failure to pay and similar events related to the issuer(s) of the reference asset. As of June 30, 2011, all written CDS contracts reference single name corporate credits or corporate credit indices. When the Company has written CDS, it has generally entered into offsetting CDS for the underlying reference asset, under which the Company paid a premium to its counterparty for protection against an event of default on the reference asset. The counterparties to these purchased CDS are generally of high creditworthiness and typically have ISDA master agreements in place that subject the CDS to master netting provisions, thereby mitigating the risk of non-payment to the Company. As such, at June 30, 2011, the Company did not have any significant risk of making a non-recoverable payment on any written CDS. During 2011 and 2010, the only instances of default on written CDS were driven by credit indices with constituent credit default. In all cases where the Company made resulting cash payments to settle, the Company collected like amounts from the counterparties to the offsetting purchased CDS. At June 30, 2011, the written CDS had remaining terms ranging from one year to four years. The maximum guarantees outstanding at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, as measured by the gross notional amounts of written CDS, were \$77 million and \$99 million, respectively. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the gross notional amounts of purchased CDS contracts, which represent benefits to, rather than obligations of, the Company, were \$75 million and \$87 million, respectively. The fair values of written CDS were \$3 million and de minimis at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, and the fair values of purchased CDS were de minimis at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

The Company writes risk participations, which are credit derivatives whereby the Company has guaranteed payment to a dealer counterparty in the event that the counterparty experiences a loss on a derivative instrument, such as an interest rate swap, due to a failure to pay by the counterparty's customer (the obligor) on that derivative instrument. The Company monitors its payment risk on its risk participations by monitoring the creditworthiness of the obligors, which is based on the normal credit review process the Company would have performed had it entered into the derivative instruments directly with the obligors. The obligors are all corporations or partnerships. However, the Company continues to monitor the creditworthiness of its obligors and the likelihood of payment could change at any time due to unforeseen circumstances. To date, no material losses have been incurred related to the Company's written risk participations. At June 30, 2011, the remaining terms on these risk participations generally ranged from two months to seven years, with a weighted average on the maximum estimated exposure of 3.2 years. The Company's maximum estimated exposure to written risk participations, as measured by projecting a maximum value of the guaranteed derivative instruments based on interest rate curve simulations and assuming 100% default by all obligors on the maximum values, was approximately \$72 million and \$74 million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The fair values of the written risk participations were de minimis at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. As part of its trading activities, the Company may enter into purchased risk participations, but such activity is not matched, as discussed herein related to CDS or TRS.

The Company has also entered into TRS contracts on loans. The Company's TRS business consists of matched trades, such that when the Company pays depreciation on one TRS, it receives the same depreciation on the matched TRS. As such, the Company does not have any long or short exposure, other than credit risk of its counterparty which is mitigated through collateralization. The Company typically receives initial cash collateral from the counterparty upon entering into the TRS and is entitled to additional collateral if the fair value of the underlying reference assets deteriorate. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were \$1.1 billion and \$969 million of outstanding and offsetting TRS notional balances, respectively. The fair values of the TRS derivative assets and liabilities at June 30, 2011 were \$22 million and \$19 million, respectively, and related collateral held at June 30, 2011 was \$258 million. The fair values of the TRS derivative assets and liabilities at December 31, 2010 were \$34 million and \$32 million, respectively, and related collateral held at December 31, 2010 was \$268 million.

**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued*****Cash Flow Hedges***

The Company utilizes a comprehensive risk management strategy to monitor sensitivity of earnings to movements in interest rates. Specific types of funding and principal amounts hedged are determined based on prevailing market conditions and the shape of the yield curve. In conjunction with this strategy, the Company may employ various interest rate derivatives as risk management tools to hedge interest rate risk from recognized assets and liabilities or from forecasted transactions. The terms and notional amounts of derivatives are determined based on management's assessment of future interest rates, as well as, other factors. At June 30, 2011, the Company's outstanding interest rate hedging relationships include interest rate swaps that have been designated as cash flow hedges of probable forecasted transactions related to recognized floating rate loans.

Interest rate swaps have been designated as hedging the exposure to the benchmark interest rate risk associated with floating rate loans. At June 30, 2011, the maximum range of hedge maturities for hedges of floating rate loans is two to six years, with the weighted average being 3.8 years. Ineffectiveness on these hedges was de minimis during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. As of June 30, 2011, \$369 million, net of tax, of the deferred net gains on derivatives that are recorded in AOCI are expected to be reclassified to net interest income over the next twelve months in connection with the recognition of interest income on these hedged items.

During the third quarter of 2008, the Company executed The Agreements on 30 million common shares of Coke. A consolidated subsidiary of SunTrust owns 22.9 million Coke common shares and a consolidated subsidiary of the Bank owns 7.1 million Coke common shares. These two subsidiaries entered into separate derivative contracts on their respective holdings of Coke common shares with a large, unaffiliated financial institution (the Counterparty). Execution of The Agreements (including the pledges of the Coke common shares pursuant to the terms of The Agreements) did not constitute a sale of the Coke common shares under U.S. GAAP for several reasons, including that ownership of the common shares was not legally transferred to the Counterparty. The Agreements were zero-cost equity collars at inception, which caused the Agreements to be derivatives in their entirety. The Company has designated The Agreements as cash flow hedges of the Company's probable forecasted sales of its Coke common shares, which are expected to occur between 6.5 years and 7 years from The Agreements' effective date, for overall price volatility below the strike prices on the floor (purchased put) and above the strike prices on the ceiling (written call). Although the Company is not required to deliver its Coke common shares under The Agreements, the Company has asserted that it is probable that it will sell all of its Coke common shares at or around the settlement date of The Agreements. The Federal Reserve's approval for Tier 1 capital treatment was significantly based on this expected disposition of the Coke common shares under The Agreements or in another market transaction. Both the sale and the timing of such sale remain probable to occur as designated. At least quarterly, the Company assesses hedge effectiveness and measures hedge ineffectiveness with the effective portion of the changes in fair value of The Agreements recorded in AOCI and any ineffective portions recorded in trading account profits/(losses) and commissions. None of the components of The Agreements' fair values are excluded from the Company's assessments of hedge effectiveness. Potential sources of ineffectiveness include changes in market dividends and certain early termination provisions. The Company recognized ineffectiveness gains of \$1 million and \$7 million during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Ineffectiveness gains were recorded in trading account profits/(losses) and commissions. Other than potential measured hedge ineffectiveness, no amounts are expected to be reclassified from AOCI over the next twelve months and any remaining amounts recorded in AOCI will be reclassified to earnings when the probable forecasted sales of the Coke common shares occur.

***Fair Value Hedges***

During the second quarter of 2011, the Company entered into interest rate swap agreements to convert Company issued fixed rate senior long-term debt to a floating rate, as part of the Company's risk management objectives for hedging its exposure to changes in fair value due to changes in interest rates. Consistent with this objective, the Company reflects the accrued contractual interest on the long-term debt and the related swaps as part of current period interest expense. There were no components of derivative gains or losses excluded in the Company's assessment of hedge effectiveness. No ineffectiveness related to fair value hedges was recorded during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

***Economic Hedging and Trading Activities***

In addition to designated hedging relationships, the Company also enters into derivatives as an end user as a risk management tool to economically hedge risks associated with certain non-derivative and derivative instruments, along with entering into derivatives in a trading capacity with its clients.

## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

The primary risks that the Company economically hedges are interest rate risk, foreign exchange risk, and credit risk. Economic hedging objectives are accomplished by entering into offsetting derivatives either on an individual basis, or collectively on a macro basis, and generally accomplish the Company's goal of mitigating the targeted risk. To the extent that specific derivatives are associated with specific hedged items, the notional amounts, fair values, and gains/(losses) on the derivatives are illustrated in the tables in this footnote.

**Table of Contents**

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

The Company utilizes interest rate derivatives to mitigate exposures from various instruments.

- o The Company is subject to interest rate risk on its fixed rate debt. As market interest rates move, the fair value of the Company's debt is affected. To protect against this risk on certain debt issuances that the Company has elected to carry at fair value, the Company has entered into pay variable-receive fixed interest rate swaps that decrease in value in a rising rate environment and increase in value in a declining rate environment.
- o The Company is exposed to risk on the returns of certain of its brokered deposits that are carried at fair value. To hedge against this risk, the Company has entered into interest rate derivatives that mirror the risk profile of the returns on these instruments.
- o The Company is exposed to interest rate risk associated with MSRs, which the Company hedges with a combination of mortgage and interest rate derivatives, including forward and option contracts, futures, and forward rate agreements.
- o The Company enters into mortgage and interest rate derivatives, including forward contracts, futures, and option contracts to mitigate interest rate risk associated with IRLCs, mortgage LHFS, and mortgage LHFI reported at fair value.

The Company is exposed to foreign exchange rate risk associated with certain senior notes denominated in euros and pound sterling. This risk is economically hedged with cross currency swaps, which receive either euros or pound sterling and pay U.S. dollars. Interest expense on the Consolidated Statements of Income/(Loss) reflects only the contractual interest rate on the debt based on the average spot exchange rate during the applicable period, while fair value changes on the derivatives and valuation adjustments on the debt are both recorded within trading account profits/(losses) and commissions.

The Company enters into CDS to hedge credit risk associated with certain loans held within its CIB line of business.

Trading activity, in the tables in this footnote, primarily includes interest rate swaps, equity derivatives, CDS, futures, options and foreign currency contracts. These derivatives are entered into in a dealer capacity to facilitate client transactions or are utilized as a risk management tool by the Company as an end user in certain macro-hedging strategies. The macro-hedging strategies are focused on managing the Company's overall interest rate risk exposure that is not otherwise hedged by derivatives or in connection with specific hedges and, therefore, the Company does not specifically associate individual derivatives with specific assets or liabilities.

**Note 12 - Fair Value Election and Measurement**

The Company carries certain assets and liabilities at fair value on a recurring basis and appropriately classifies them as level 1, 2, or 3 within the fair value hierarchy. The Company's recurring fair value measurements are based on a requirement to carry such assets and liabilities at fair value or the Company's election to carry certain financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value. Assets and liabilities that are required to be carried at fair value on a recurring basis include trading securities, securities AFS, and derivative financial instruments. Assets and liabilities that the Company has elected to carry at fair value on a recurring basis include certain LHFI and LHFS, MSRs, certain brokered deposits, and certain issuances of fixed rate debt.

In certain circumstances, fair value enables a company to more accurately align its financial performance with the economic value of actively traded or hedged assets or liabilities. Fair value also enables a company to mitigate the non-economic earnings volatility caused from financial assets and financial liabilities being carried at different bases of accounting, as well as to more accurately portray the active and dynamic management of a company's balance sheet.

## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

The classification of an instrument as level 3 versus 2 involves judgment and is based on a variety of subjective factors in order to assess whether a market is inactive, resulting in the application of significant unobservable assumptions to value a financial instrument. A market is considered inactive if significant decreases in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have been observed. In determining whether a market is inactive, the Company evaluates such factors as the number of recent transactions in either the primary or secondary markets, whether price quotations are current, the nature of the market participants, the variability of price quotations, the significance of bid/ask spreads, declines in (or the absence of) new issuances and the availability of public information. Inactive markets necessitate the use of additional judgment when valuing financial instruments, such as pricing matrices, cash flow modeling, and the selection of an appropriate discount rate. The assumptions used to estimate the value of an instrument where the market was inactive are based on the Company's assessment of the assumptions a market participant would use to value the instrument in an orderly transaction and include considerations of illiquidity in the current market environment.



**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued*****Recurring Fair Value Measurements***

The following tables present certain information regarding assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and the changes in fair value for those specific financial instruments in which fair value has been elected.

		Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2011 Using		
		Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets/Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
(Dollars in millions)	Assets/Liabilities			
<b><u>Assets</u></b>				
Trading assets				
U.S. Treasury securities	\$127	\$127	\$-	\$-
Federal agency securities	504	-	504	-
U.S. states and political subdivisions	42	-	42	-
MBS - agency	271	-	271	-
MBS - private	2	-	-	2
CDO securities	44	-	2	42
ABS	37	-	32	5
Corporate and other debt securities	806	-	806	-
CP	129	-	129	-
Equity securities	91	-	78	13
Derivative contracts	2,952	212	2,740	-
Trading loans	1,581	-	1,581	-
Total trading assets	6,586	339	6,185	62
Securities AFS				
U.S. Treasury securities	726	726	-	-
Federal agency securities	2,566	-	2,566	-
U.S. states and political subdivisions	516	-	448	68
MBS - agency	19,331	-	19,331	-
MBS - private	311	-	-	311
CDO securities	337	-	337	-
ABS	625	-	606	19
Corporate and other debt securities	56	-	51	5
Coke common stock	2,019	2,019	-	-
Other equity securities <sup>2</sup>	729	-	132	597
Total securities AFS	27,216	2,745	23,471	1,000

Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

LHFS				
Residential loans	1,596	-	1,593	3
Corporate and other loans	329	-	329	-
Total LHFS	1,925	-	1,922	3
LHFI				
MSRs	449	-	-	449
Other assets <sup>1</sup>	1,423	-	-	1,423
	198	1	167	30
<b>Liabilities</b>				
Trading liabilities				
U.S. Treasury securities	509	509	-	-
Federal agency securities	1	-	1	-
Corporate and other debt securities	359	-	359	-
Equity securities	14	14	-	-
Derivative contracts	2,143	149	1,840	154
Total trading liabilities	3,026	672	2,200	154
Brokered deposits				
Long-term debt	1,140	-	1,140	-
Other liabilities <sup>1</sup>	2,022	-	2,022	-
	42	-	24	18

<sup>1</sup> These amounts include IRLCs and derivative financial instruments entered into by the Mortgage line of business to hedge its interest rate risk along with a derivative associated with the Company's sale of Visa shares during the year ended December 31, 2009.

<sup>2</sup> Includes \$205 million of FHLB of Atlanta stock stated at par value and \$391 million of Federal Reserve Bank stock stated at par value.

**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

	00000000000000000000	00000000000000000000	00000000000000000000	00000000000000000000
			Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2010 Using	
		Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets/Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
(Dollars in millions)	Assets/Liabilities			
<b>Assets</b>				
Trading assets				
U.S. Treasury securities	\$187	\$187	\$-	\$-
Federal agency securities	361	-	361	-
U.S. states and political subdivisions	123	-	123	-
MBS - agency	301	-	301	-
MBS - private	15	-	9	6
CDO securities	55	-	2	53
ABS	59	-	32	27
Corporate and other debt securities	743	-	743	-
CP	14	-	14	-
Equity securities	221	-	98	123
Derivative contracts	2,743	166	2,577	-
Trading loans	1,353	-	1,353	-
Total trading assets	6,175	353	5,613	209
Securities AFS				
U.S. Treasury securities	5,516	5,516	-	-
Federal agency securities	1,895	-	1,895	-
U.S. states and political subdivisions	579	-	505	74
MBS - agency	14,358	-	14,358	-
MBS - private	347	-	-	347
CDO securities	50	-	50	-
ABS	808	-	788	20
Corporate and other debt securities	482	-	477	5
Coke common stock	1,973	1,973	-	-
Other equity securities <sup>2</sup>	887	-	197	690
Total securities AFS	26,895	7,489	18,270	1,136
LHFS				
Residential loans	2,847	-	2,845	2
Corporate and other loans	321	-	316	5
Total LHFS	3,168	-	3,161	7
LHFI				
MSRs	492	-	-	492
Other assets <sup>1</sup>	1,439	-	-	1,439
	241	-	223	18

# Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

## Liabilities

Trading liabilities				
U.S. Treasury securities	439	439	-	-
Corporate and other debt securities	398	-	398	-
Derivative contracts	1,841	120	1,576	145
Total trading liabilities	2,678	559	1,974	145
Brokered deposits	1,213	-	1,213	-
Long-term debt	2,837	-	2,837	-
Other liabilities <sup>1</sup>	114	-	72	42

<sup>1</sup> These amounts include IRLCs and derivative financial instruments entered into by the Mortgage line of business to hedge its interest rate risk along with a derivative associated with the Company's sale of Visa shares during the year ended December 31, 2009.

<sup>2</sup> Includes \$298 million of FHLB of Atlanta stock stated at par value and \$391 million of Federal Reserve Bank stock stated at par value.

**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

The following tables present the difference between the aggregate fair value and the aggregate unpaid principal balance of trading assets, LHFI, LHFS, brokered deposits, and long-term debt instruments for which the FVO has been elected. For LHFI and LHFS for which the FVO has been elected, the tables also include the difference between aggregate fair value and the aggregate unpaid principal balance of loans that are 90 days or more past due, as well as loans in nonaccrual status.

	Aggregate Fair Value	Aggregate Unpaid Principal Balance under FVO	Fair Value Over/(Under) Unpaid Principal
(Dollars in millions)	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2011	
Trading loans	\$1,581	\$1,558	\$23
LHFS	1,917	1,886	31
Past due loans of 90 days or more	7	7	-
Nonaccrual loans	1	8	(7)
LHFI	419	470	(51)
Past due loans of 90 days or more	3	5	(2)
Nonaccrual loans	27	48	(21)
Brokered deposits	1,140	1,107	33
Long-term debt	2,022	1,901	121

	Aggregate Fair Value	Aggregate Unpaid Principal Balance under FVO	Fair Value Over/(Under) Unpaid Principal
(Dollars in millions)	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2010	
Trading loans	\$1,353	\$1,320	\$33
LHFS	3,160	3,155	5
Past due loans of 90 days or more	2	2	-
Nonaccrual loans	6	25	(19)
LHFI	462	517	(55)
Past due loans of 90 days or more	2	4	(2)
Nonaccrual loans	28	54	(26)
Brokered deposits	1,213	1,188	25
Long-term debt	2,837	2,753	84

The following tables present the change in fair value during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 of financial instruments for which the FVO has been elected, as well as MSRs that are accounted for at fair value in accordance with applicable fair value accounting guidance. The tables do not reflect the change in fair value attributable to the related economic hedges the Company used to mitigate the market-related risks associated with the financial instruments. The changes in the fair value of economic hedges are also recognized in trading account profits and commissions, mortgage production related income/(loss), or mortgage servicing related income, as appropriate, and are designed to partially offset the change in fair value of the financial instruments referenced in the tables below. The Company's economic hedging activities are deployed at both the instrument and portfolio level.

## Table of Contents

### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued

	Fair Value Gain/(Loss) for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011, for Items Measured at Fair Value Pursuant to Election of the FVO				Fair Value Gain/(Loss) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011, for Items Measured at Fair Value Pursuant to Election of the FVO			
	Trading Account Profits/(Losses) and Commissions	Mortgage Production Related Income <sup>2</sup>	Mortgage Servicing Related Income	Total Changes in Fair Values Included in Current- Period Earnings <sup>1</sup>	Trading Account Profits/(Losses) and Commissions	Mortgage Production Related Income <sup>2</sup>	Mortgage Servicing Related Income	Total Changes in Fair Values Included in Current Period Earnings <sup>1</sup>
(Dollars in millions)								
<b>Assets</b>								
Trading assets	\$5	\$-	\$-	\$5	\$12	\$-	\$-	\$12
LHFS	(4)	119	-	115	(2)	149	-	147
LHFI	-	-	-	-	3	(4)	-	(1)
MSRs	-	2	(162)	(160)	-	4	(145)	(141)

#### Liabilities

Brokered deposits	8	-	-	8	(3)	-	-	(3)
Long-term debt	(21)	-	-	(21)	(38)	-	-	(38)

<sup>1</sup>Changes in fair value for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, exclude accrued interest for the periods then ended. Interest income or interest expense on trading assets, LHFS, LHFI, brokered deposits and long-term debt that have been elected to be carried at fair value are recorded in interest income or interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income/(Loss) based on their contractual coupons. Certain trading assets do not have a contractually stated coupon and, for these securities, the Company records interest income based on the effective yield calculated upon acquisition of the securities.

<sup>2</sup>For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, income related to LHFS includes \$46 million and \$132 million, respectively, related to MSRs recognized upon the sale of loans reported at fair value. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, income related to MSRs includes \$2 million and \$4 million, respectively, of MSRs recognized upon the sale of loans reported at LOCOM. These MSRs are included in the table since the Company elected to report MSRs recognized in 2009 using the fair value method. Previously, MSRs were reported under the amortized cost method.

	Fair Value Gain/(Loss) for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010, for Items Measured at Fair Value Pursuant to Election of the FVO				Fair Value Gain/(Loss) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010, for Items Measured at Fair Value Pursuant to Election of the FVO			
	Trading Account Profits/(Losses) and Commissions	Mortgage Production Related Income <sup>2</sup>	Mortgage Servicing Related Income	Total Changes in Fair Values Included in Current Period Earnings <sup>1</sup>	Trading Account Profits/(Losses) and Commissions	Mortgage Production Related Income <sup>2</sup>	Mortgage Servicing Related Income	Total Changes in Fair Values Included in Current Period Earnings <sup>1</sup>
(Dollars in millions)								
<b>Assets</b>								
Trading assets	(\$4)	\$-	\$-	(\$4)	(\$3)	\$-	\$-	(\$3)
LHFS	(4)	200	-	196	7	292	-	299
LHFI	(2)	8	-	6	(2)	8	-	6
MSRs	-	2	(411)	(409)	-	6	(520)	(514)

## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

### Liabilities

Brokered deposits	23	-	-	23	(8)	-	-	(8)
Long-term debt	(39)	-	-	(39)	(125)	-	-	(125)

<sup>1</sup>Changes in fair value for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, exclude accrued interest for the periods then ended. Interest income or interest expense on trading assets, LHFS, LHFI, brokered deposits and long-term debt that have been elected to be carried at fair value are recorded in interest income or interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income/(Loss) based on their contractual coupons. Certain trading assets do not have a contractually stated coupon and, for these securities, the Company records interest income based on the effective yield calculated upon acquisition of those securities.

<sup>2</sup>For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, income related to LHFS, includes \$65 million and \$128 million, respectively, related to MSRs recognized upon the sale of loans reported at fair value. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, income related to MSRs includes \$3 million and \$6 million, respectively, of MSRs recognized upon the sale of loans reported at LOCOM. These MSRs are included in the table since the Company elected to report MSRs recognized in 2009 using the fair value method. Previously, MSRs were reported under the amortized cost method.

The following is a discussion of the valuation techniques and inputs used in developing fair value measurements for assets and liabilities classified as level 2 or 3 that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, based on the class as determined by the nature and risks of the instrument.

### Trading Assets and Securities Available for Sale

Unless otherwise indicated, trading assets are priced by the trading desk and independently validated against pricing received from third party pricing sources; securities AFS are valued by an independent third party pricing service that is widely used by market participants. The Company classifies instruments as level 2 in the fair value hierarchy when it is able to determine that external pricing sources are using similar instruments trading in the markets as the basis for estimating fair value.

**Table of Contents**

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

*Federal agency securities*

The Company includes in this classification securities issued by federal agencies and GSEs. For SBA instruments, the Company estimated fair value based on pricing from observable trading activity for similar securities or obtained fair values from a third party pricing service; accordingly, the Company has classified these instruments as level 2.

*U.S. states and political subdivisions*

The Company's investments in U.S. states and political subdivisions (collectively "municipals") include obligations of county and municipal authorities and agency bonds, which are general obligations of the municipality or are supported by a specified revenue source. Holdings were geographically dispersed, with no significant concentrations in any one state or municipality. Additionally, all but an insignificant amount of AFS municipal obligations classified as level 2 are highly rated or are otherwise collateralized by securities backed by the full faith and credit of the federal government.

Level 3 municipal securities includes ARS purchased since the auction rate market began failing in February 2008 and have been considered level 3 securities due to the significant decrease in the volume and level of activity in these markets, which has necessitated the use of significant unobservable inputs into the Company's valuations. Municipal ARS are classified as securities AFS. These securities were valued using comparisons to similar ARS for which auctions are currently successful and/or to longer term, non-ARS issued by similar municipalities. The Company also looked at the relative strength of the municipality and made appropriate downward adjustments in price based on the credit rating of the municipality as well as the relative financial strength of the insurer on those bonds. Although auctions for several municipal ARS have been operating successfully, ARS owned by the Company at June 30, 2011 continued to be classified as level 3 as they are those ARS for which the auctions continued to fail; accordingly, due to the uncertainty around the success rates for auctions and the absence of any successful auctions for these identical securities, the Company continued to price the ARS below par.

Level 3 AFS municipal bond securities also include bonds that are only redeemable with the issuer at par and cannot be traded in the market. As such, no significant observable market data for these instruments is available. In order to estimate pricing on these securities, the Company utilized a third party municipal bond yield curve for the lowest investment grade bonds (BBB rated) and priced each bond based on the yield associated with that maturity.

*MBS - agency*

MBS - agency includes pass-through securities and collateralized mortgage obligations issued by GSEs and U.S. government agencies, such as Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae. Each security contains a guarantee by the issuing GSE or agency. For agency MBS, the Company estimated fair value based on pricing from observable trading activity for similar securities or obtained fair values from a third party pricing service; accordingly, the Company has classified these instruments as level 2.

*MBS - private*

Private-label MBS includes purchased interests in third party securitizations as well as retained interests in Company-sponsored securitizations of residential mortgages. Generally, the Company attempts to obtain pricing for its securities from an independent pricing service or third party brokers who have experience in valuing certain investments. This pricing may be used as either direct support for the Company's valuations or used to validate outputs from its own proprietary models. The Company evaluates third party pricing to determine the reasonableness of the information relative to changes in market data, such as any recent trades, market information received from outside market participants and analysts, and/or changes in the underlying collateral performance. When actual trades are not available to corroborate pricing information received, the Company uses industry-standard or proprietary models to estimate fair value and considers assumptions that are generally not observable in the current markets or that are not specific to the securities that the Company owns, such as relevant market indices that correlate to the underlying collateral, prepayment speeds, default rates, loss severity rates and discount rates. As liquidity returns to these markets, we have seen more pricing information from third parties and a reduction in the need to use internal pricing models to estimate fair value. Even though limited third party pricing has been available, the Company continued to classify private-label MBS as level 3, as the Company believes that this third party pricing relied on a significant amount of unobservable assumptions, as evidenced by a persistently wide bid-ask price range, particularly for the vintage and exposures held by the Company.



## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Securities that are classified as AFS and are in an unrealized loss position are included as part of our quarterly OTTI evaluation process. See Note 2, Securities Available for Sale, for details regarding assumptions used to assess impairment and impairment amounts recognized through earnings on private-label MBS during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

#### *CDO Securities*

Level 2 securities AFS consists of senior interests in third party CLOs for which independent broker pricing based on market trades and/or from new issuance of similar assets is readily available. At June 30, 2011, the Company's investments in level 3 trading CDOs consisted of senior ARS interests in Company-sponsored securitizations of trust preferred collateral totaling \$42 million. In the first quarter of 2011, the Company sold the remaining securities within trading assets that were received upon the liquidation of one of the Company's SIV investments, which included \$21 million of CDO securities. In addition, the Company's \$20 million retained interest in a structured participation of commercial loans was liquidated through the exercise of the Company's clean up call. For the remaining CDOs classified as level 3 trading assets, increases in the value of these interests during the six months ending June 30, 2011 was due primarily to a steady recovery in the broader CDO market. For the ARS CDO interests, although market conditions have improved, the auctions continued to fail and the Company continues to make significant adjustments to valuation assumptions available from observable secondary market trading of similar term securities; therefore, the Company continued to classify these as level 3 investments.

#### *Asset-backed securities*

Level 2 ABS classified as securities AFS are primarily interests collateralized by third party securitizations of 2009 through 2011 vintage auto loans. These ABS are either publicly traded or are 144A privately placed bonds. The Company utilizes an independent pricing service to obtain fair values for publicly traded securities and similar securities for estimating the fair value of the privately placed bonds. No significant unobservable assumptions were used in pricing the auto loan ABS; therefore, the Company classified these bonds as level 2. Additionally, the Company classified \$32 million of trading ARS and \$74 million of AFS ARS collateralized by government guaranteed student loans as level 2 due to observable market trades and bids for similar senior securities. Student loan ABS held by the Company are generally collateralized by Federal Family Education Loan Program student loans, the majority of which benefit from a 97% (or higher) government guarantee of principal and interest. For subordinate securities in the same structure, the Company adjusts valuations on the senior securities based on the likelihood that the issuer will refinance in the near term, a security's level of subordination in the structure, and/or the perceived risk of the issuer as determined by credit ratings or total leverage of the trust. These adjustments may be significant; therefore, the subordinate student loan ARS held as trading assets continue to be classified as level 3.

During the first quarter of 2011, the Company sold the remaining ABS related to the assets acquired in 2007, including those received in the SIV liquidation that occurred in December 2010. This included \$31 million of level 3 trading ABS collateralized by auto loans and home equity lines of credit.

#### *Corporate and other debt securities*

Corporate debt securities are predominantly comprised of senior and subordinate debt obligations of domestic corporations. Other debt securities in level 3 include bonds that are redeemable with the issuer at par and cannot be traded in the market; as such, no significant observable market data for these instruments is available.

#### *Commercial paper*

From time to time, the Company trades third party CP that is generally short-term in nature (less than 30 days) and highly rated. The Company estimates the fair value of the CP that it trades based on observable pricing from executed trades of similar instruments.

#### *Equity securities*

Level 2 equity securities, both trading and AFS, consist primarily of money market mutual funds that trade at a \$1 net asset value, which is considered the fair market value of those fund shares.

Level 3 equity securities classified as trading include nonmarketable preferred shares in municipal funds issued as ARS that the Company has purchased since the auction rate market began failing in February 2008. The fair value of ARS recorded in trading equity securities declined to \$13 million as of June 30, 2011 compared to \$123 million as of December 31, 2010 due to issuer redemptions. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company recognized gains of \$4 million and \$12 million, respectively from redemptions of these ARS at par. These

## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

ARS have been considered level 3 securities due to the significant decrease in the volume and level of activity in these markets, which has necessitated the use of significant unobservable inputs into the Company's valuations. Valuation of these shares is based on the level of issuer redemptions at par that have occurred as well as discussions with the dealer community.

Level 3 equity securities classified as securities AFS include, as of June 30, 2011, \$597 million of FHLB stock and Federal Reserve Bank stock, which are redeemable with the issuer at par and cannot be traded in the market. As such, no significant observable market data for these instruments is available. The Company accounts for the stock based on the industry guidance that requires these investments be carried at cost and evaluated for impairment based on the ultimate recovery of par value. During the second quarter, the FHLB of Atlanta repurchased \$93 million of its stock, which accounts for the decline in level 3 equity securities during the period.

**Table of Contents**

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

*Derivative contracts (trading assets or trading liabilities)*

With the exception of one derivative contract discussed herein and certain instruments discussed under other assets/liabilities, net that qualify as derivative instruments, the Company's derivative instruments are level 1 or level 2 instruments. Level 1 derivative contracts generally include exchange-traded futures or option contracts for which pricing is readily available. See Note 11, Derivative Financial Instruments, for additional information on the Company's derivative contracts.

The Company's level 2 instruments are predominantly standard OTC swaps, options, and forwards, with underlying market variables of interest rates, foreign exchange, equity, and credit. Because fair values for OTC contracts are not readily available, the Company estimates fair values using internal, but standard, valuation models that incorporate market-observable inputs. The valuation model is driven by the type of contract: for option-based products, the Company uses an appropriate option pricing model, such as Black-Scholes; for forward-based products, the Company's valuation methodology is generally a discounted cash flow approach. The primary drivers of the fair values of derivative instruments are the underlying variables, such as interest rates, exchange rates, equity, or credit. As such, the Company uses market-based assumptions for all of its significant inputs, such as interest rate yield curves, quoted exchange rates and spot prices, market implied volatilities and credit curves.

The Agreements the Company entered into related to its Coke common stock are level 3 instruments, due to the unobservability of a significant assumption used to value these instruments. Because the value is primarily driven by the embedded equity collars on the Coke shares, a Black-Scholes model is the appropriate valuation model. Most of the assumptions are directly observable from the market, such as the per share market price of Coke common stock, interest rates, and the dividend rate on the Coke common stock. Volatility is a significant assumption and is impacted both by the unusually large size of the trade and the long tenor until settlement. Because the derivatives carry scheduled terms of 6.5 years and 7 years from the effective date and are on a significant number of Coke shares, the observable and active options market on Coke does not provide for any identical or similar instruments. As such, the Company receives estimated market values from a market participant who is knowledgeable about Coke equity derivatives and is active in the market. Based on inquiries of the market participant as to their procedures, as well as the Company's own valuation assessment procedures, the Company has satisfied itself that the market participant is using methodologies and assumptions that other market participants would use in estimating the fair value of The Agreements. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, The Agreements' combined fair value was a liability of \$154 million and \$145 million, respectively.

*Trading loans*

The Company engages in certain businesses whereby the election to carry loans at fair value for financial reporting aligns with the underlying business purposes. Specifically, the loans that are included within this classification are: (i) loans made in connection with the Company's TRS business (see Note 11, Derivative Financial Instruments, for further discussion of this business), (ii) loans backed by the SBA and (iii) the loan sales and trading business within the Company's CIB line of business. All of these loans have been classified as level 2, due to the market data that the Company uses in its estimates of fair value.

The loans made in connection with the Company's TRS business are short-term, demand loans, whereby the repayment is senior in priority and whose value is collateralized. While these loans do not trade in the market, the Company believes that the par amount of the loans approximates fair value and no unobservable assumptions are made by the Company to arrive at this conclusion. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had outstanding \$1.1 billion and \$972 million, respectively, of such short-term loans carried at fair value.

SBA loans are similar to SBA securities discussed herein under Federal agency securities, except for their legal form. In both cases, the Company trades instruments that are fully guaranteed by the U.S. government as to contractual principal and interest and has sufficient observable trading activity upon which to base its estimates of fair value.

The loans from the Company's sales and trading business are commercial and corporate leveraged loans that are either traded in the market or for which similar loans trade. The Company elected to carry these loans at fair value in order to reflect the active management of these positions. The Company is able to obtain fair value estimates for substantially all of these loans using a third party valuation service that is broadly used by market participants. While most of the loans are traded in the markets, the Company does not believe that trading activity qualifies the loans as level 1 instruments, as the volume and level of trading activity is subject to variability and the loans are not exchange-traded, such that the Company believes that level 2 is a more appropriate presentation of the underlying market activity for the loans. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, \$415 million and \$381 million, respectively, of loans related to the Company's trading business were held in inventory.



**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued****Loans and Loans Held for Sale***Residential LHFS*

The Company recognized at fair value certain newly-originated mortgage LHFS based upon defined product criteria. The Company chooses to fair value these mortgage LHFS in order to eliminate the complexities and inherent difficulties of achieving hedge accounting and to better align reported results with the underlying economic changes in value of the loans and related hedge instruments. This election impacts the timing and recognition of origination fees and costs, as well as servicing value. Specifically, origination fees and costs are recognized in earnings at the time of origination. The servicing value, which had been recorded as MSRs at the time the loan was sold, is included in the fair value of the loan and initially recognized at the time the Company enters into IRLCs with borrowers. The Company uses derivatives to economically hedge changes in servicing value as a result of including the servicing value in the fair value of the loan. The mark to market adjustments related to LHFS and the associated economic hedges are captured in mortgage production income.

Level 2 LHFS are primarily agency loans which trade in active secondary markets and are priced using current market pricing for similar securities adjusted for servicing and risk. Level 3 loans are primarily non-agency residential mortgages for which there is little to no observable trading activity of similar instruments in either the new issuance or secondary loan markets as either whole loans or as securities. Prior to the non-agency residential loan market disruption, which began during the third quarter of 2007 and continues, the Company was able to obtain certain observable pricing from either the new issuance or secondary loan market. However, as the markets deteriorated and certain loans were not actively trading as either whole loans or as securities, the Company began employing the same alternative valuation methodologies used to value level 3 residential MBS to fair value the loans.

As disclosed in the tabular level 3 rollforwards, transfers of certain mortgage LHFS into level 3 during 2011 were largely due to borrower defaults or the identification of other loan defects impacting the marketability of the loans.

For residential loans that the Company has elected to carry at fair value, the Company has considered the component of the fair value changes due to instrument-specific credit risk, which is intended to be an approximation of the fair value change attributable to changes in borrower-specific credit risk. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company recognized losses in the Consolidated Statements of Income/(Loss) of \$4 million and \$9 million, respectively, due to changes in fair value attributable to borrower-specific credit risk. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company recognized losses in the Consolidated Statements of Income/(Loss) of \$8 million and \$12 million, respectively, due to changes in fair value attributable to borrower-specific credit risk. In addition to borrower-specific credit risk, there are other, more significant, variables that drive changes in the fair values of the loans, including interest rates and general conditions in the principal markets for the loans.

*Corporate and other LHFS*

As discussed in Note 6, Certain Transfers of Financial Assets and Variable Interest Entities, the Company has determined that it is the primary beneficiary of a CLO vehicle, which resulted in the Company consolidating the loans of that vehicle. Because the CLO trades its loans from time to time and in order to fairly present the economics of the CLO, the Company elected to carry the loans of the CLO at fair value. The Company is able to obtain fair value estimates for substantially all of these loans using a third party valuation service that is broadly used by market participants. While most of the loans are traded in the markets, the Company does not believe the loans qualify as level 1 instruments, as the volume and level of trading activity is subject to variability and the loans are not exchange-traded, such that the Company believes that level 2 is more representative of the general market activity for the loans.

*LHFI*

Level 3 loans include \$4 million of fair value loans that were acquired through the acquisition of GB&T. The loans the Company elected to account for at fair value are primarily nonperforming commercial real estate loans, which do not trade in an active secondary market. As these loans are classified as nonperforming, cash proceeds from the sale of the underlying collateral is the expected source of repayment for a majority of these loans. Accordingly, the fair value of these loans is derived from internal estimates, incorporating market data when available, of the value of the underlying collateral. Additionally, level 3 LHFI include \$445 million of mortgage loans that have been deemed not marketable, largely due to borrower defaults or the identification of other loan defects. The Company values these loans using a discounted cash flow approach based on assumptions that are generally not observable in the current markets, such as prepayment speeds, default rates, loss severity

rates, and discount rates.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

#### **Other Intangible Assets**

Other intangible assets that the Company records at fair value are the Company's MSR assets. The fair values of MSRs are determined by projecting cash flows, which are then discounted to estimate an expected fair value. The fair values of MSRs are impacted by a variety of factors, including prepayment assumptions, discount rates, delinquency rates, contractually specified servicing fees, servicing costs, and underlying portfolio characteristics. The underlying assumptions and estimated values are corroborated by values received from independent third parties based on their review of the servicing portfolio. Because these inputs are not transparent in market trades, MSRs are considered to be level 3 assets.

#### **Other Assets/Liabilities, net**

The Company's other assets/liabilities that are carried at fair value on a recurring basis include IRLCs that satisfy the criteria to be treated as derivative financial instruments, derivative financial instruments that are used by the Company to economically hedge certain loans and MSRs, and the derivative that the Company obtained as a result of its sale of Visa Class B shares.

The fair value of IRLCs on residential mortgage LHFS, while based on interest rates observable in the market, is highly dependent on the ultimate closing of the loans. These pull-through rates are based on the Company's historical data and reflect the Company's best estimate of the likelihood that a commitment will ultimately result in a closed loan. Servicing value is included in the fair value of IRLCs, and the fair value of servicing value is determined by projecting cash flows which are then discounted to estimate an expected fair value. The fair value of servicing value is impacted by a variety of factors, including prepayment assumptions, discount rates, delinquency rates, contractually specified servicing fees, servicing costs, and underlying portfolio characteristics. Because these inputs are not transparent in market trades, IRLCs are considered to be level 3 assets.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company transferred \$40 million and \$54 million, respectively, of IRLCs out of level 3 as the associated loans were closed, compared to \$62 million and \$129 million, during the same periods in 2010, respectively.

The Company is exposed to interest rate risk associated with MSRs, IRLCs, mortgage LHFS, and mortgage LHFIs reported at fair value. The Company hedges these exposures with a combination of derivatives, including MBS forward and option contracts, interest rate swap and swaption contracts, futures contracts, and eurodollar options. The Company estimates the fair values of such derivative instruments consistent with the methodologies discussed herein under "Derivative contracts" and accordingly these derivatives are considered to be level 2 instruments.

During the second quarter of 2009, in connection with its sale of Visa Class B shares, the Company entered into a derivative contract whereby the ultimate cash payments received or paid, if any, under the contract are based on the ultimate resolution of litigation involving Visa. The value of the derivative was estimated based on the Company's expectations regarding the ultimate resolution of that litigation, which involved a high degree of judgment and subjectivity. Accordingly, the value of the derivative liability was classified as a level 3 instrument.

#### **Liabilities**

##### ***Trading liabilities***

Trading liabilities are primarily comprised of derivative contracts, but also include various contracts involving U.S. Treasury securities, Federal agency securities, and corporate debt securities that the Company uses in certain of its trading businesses. The Company employs the same valuation methodologies for these derivative contracts and securities as are discussed within the corresponding sections herein under "Trading Assets and Securities Available for Sale."

##### ***Brokered deposits***

The Company has elected to measure certain CDs at fair value. These debt instruments include embedded derivatives that are generally based on underlying equity securities or equity indices, but may be based on other underlyings that may or may not be clearly and closely related to the host debt instrument. The Company elected to carry these instruments at fair value in order to remove the mixed attribute accounting model for the single debt instrument or to better align the economics of the CDs with the Company's risk management strategies. The Company evaluated,



on an instrument by instrument basis, whether a new issuance would be carried at fair value.

**Table of Contents**

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

The Company has classified these CDs as level 2 instruments due to the Company's ability to reasonably measure all significant inputs based on observable market variables. The Company employs a discounted cash flow approach to the host debt component of the CD, based on observable market interest rates for the term of the CD and an estimate of the Bank's credit risk. For the embedded derivative features, the Company uses the same valuation methodologies as if the derivative were a standalone derivative, as discussed herein under *Derivative contracts*.

For brokered deposits carried at fair value, the Company estimated credit spreads above LIBOR, based on credit spreads from actual or estimated trading levels of the debt or other relevant market data. The Company recognized gains of \$1 million and losses of \$13 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, and gains of \$22 million and \$7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, due to changes in its own credit spread on its brokered deposits carried at fair value.

*Long-term debt*

The Company has elected to carry at fair value certain fixed rate debt issuances of public debt which are valued by obtaining quotes from a third party pricing service and utilizing broker quotes to corroborate the reasonableness of those marks. In addition, information from market data of recent observable trades and indications from buy side investors, if available, are taken into consideration as additional support for the value. Due to the availability of this information, the Company determined that the appropriate classification for the debt was level 2. The election to fair value the debt was made in order to align the accounting for the debt with the accounting for the derivatives without having to account for the debt under hedge accounting, thus avoiding the complex and time consuming fair value hedge accounting requirements.

The Company's public debt carried at fair value impacts earnings mainly through changes in the Company's credit spreads as the Company has entered into derivative financial instruments that economically convert the interest rate on the debt from fixed to floating. The estimated earnings impact from changes in credit spreads above U.S. Treasury rates were gains of \$5 million and losses of \$15 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, and gains of \$61 million and losses of \$17 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively.

The Company also carries approximately \$289 million of issued securities contained in a consolidated CLO at fair value in order to recognize the nonrecourse nature of these liabilities to the Company. Specifically, the holders of the liabilities are only paid interest and principal to the extent of the cash flows from the assets of the vehicle and the Company has no current or future obligations to fund any of the CLO vehicle's liabilities. The Company has classified these securities as level 2, as the primary driver of their fair values are the loans owned by the CLO, which the Company has also elected to carry at fair value, as discussed herein under *Loans and Loans Held for Sale - Corporate and other LHFS*.

The following tables show a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for fair valued assets and liabilities measured on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (other than MSR which are disclosed in Note 5, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*). Transfers into and out of the fair value hierarchy levels are assumed to be as of the end of the quarter in which the transfer occurred. None of the transfers into or out of level 3 have been the result of using alternative valuation approaches to estimate fair values.

**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

**Fair Value Measurements  
Using Significant Unobservable Inputs**

Using Significant Unobservable Inputs										Change in unrealized gains/(losses) included in earnings for the three months ended June 30, 2011 related to financial assets still held at June 30, 2011
(Dollars in millions)	Beginning balance April 1, 2011	Included in earnings	OCI	Sales	Settlements	Transfers to/from other balance sheet line items	Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3	Fair value June 30, 2011	
<b>Assets</b>										
Trading assets										
MBS - private	\$2	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$2	\$-
CDO securities	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42	-
ABS	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-
Equity securities	56	4	-	-	(47)	-	-	-	13	-
Total trading assets	105	4 <sup>1</sup>	-	-	(47)	-	-	-	62	-
Securities AFS										
U.S. states and political subdivisions	73	-	-	-	(5)	-	-	-	68	-
MBS - private	338	(1)	(7)	-	(19)	-	-	-	311	1
ABS	20	-	-	-	(1)	-	-	-	19	-
Corporate and other debt securities	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-
Other equity securities	690	-	-	-	(93)	-	-	-	597	-
Total securities AFS	1,126	(1) <sup>2</sup>	(7)	-	(118)	-	-	-	1,000	1 <sup>2</sup>
LHFS	17	1 <sup>3</sup>	-	(12)	-	(7)	5	(1)	3	-
LHFI	457	1 <sup>4</sup>	-	-	(11)	2	-	-	449	(1) <sup>4</sup>
Other assets/(liabilities), net	(2)	48	-	-	6	(40)	-	-	12	-
<b>Liabilities</b>										
Derivative contracts	(161)	1	6 <sup>5</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	(154)	-

**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

										Change in unrealized gains/(losses) included in earnings for the six months ended June 30, 2011 related to financial assets still held at June 30, 2011
(Dollars in millions)	Beginning balance January 1, 2011	Included in earnings	OCI	Sales	Settlements	Transfers to/from other balance sheet line items	Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3	Fair value June 30, 2011	
<b>Assets</b>										
<b>Trading assets</b>										
MBS - private	\$6	\$2	\$-	(\$5)	(\$1)	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$2	\$-
CDO securities	53	31	-	(21)	(1)	(20)	-	-	42	15
ABS	27	9	-	(31)	-	-	-	-	5	2
Equity securities	123	12	-	-	(122)	-	-	-	13	-
<b>Total trading assets</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>54 <sup>1</sup></b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(57)</b>	<b>(124)</b>	<b>(20)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>17 <sup>1</sup></b>
<b>Securities AFS</b>										
U.S. states and political subdivisions	74	1	-	-	(7)	-	-	-	68	-
MBS - private	347	(3)	9	-	(42)	-	-	-	311	(3)
ABS	20	-	1	-	(2)	-	-	-	19	-
Corporate and other debt securities	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-
Other equity securities	690	-	-	-	(93)	-	-	-	597	-
<b>Total securities AFS</b>	<b>1,136</b>	<b>(2) <sup>2</sup></b>	<b>10</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(144)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1,000</b>	<b>(3) <sup>2</sup></b>
<b>LHFS</b>										
Residential loans	2	-	-	(14)	(1)	2	16	(2)	3	-
Corporate and other loans	5	(1) <sup>6</sup>	-	-	-	(4)	-	-	-	-
LHFI	492	-	-	-	(34)	(9)	-	-	449	(3) <sup>4</sup>
<b>Other assets/(liabilities), net</b>	<b>(24)</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>(54)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>										
Derivative contracts	(145)	1	(10) <sup>5</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	(154)	-

<sup>1</sup> Amounts included in earnings are recorded in trading account profits and commissions.<sup>2</sup> Amounts included in earnings are recorded in net securities gains.

## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

<sup>3</sup> Amounts included in earnings are net of issuances, fair value changes, and expirations and are recorded in mortgage production related income/(loss).

<sup>4</sup> Amounts are generally included in mortgage production related income/(loss), however, the mark on certain fair value loans is included in trading account profits and commissions.

<sup>5</sup> Amount recorded in OCI is the effective portion of the cash flow hedges related to the Company's probable forecasted sale of its shares of Coke common stock as discussed in Note 11, Derivative Financial Instruments.

<sup>6</sup> Amounts included in earnings are recorded in other noninterest income.

# Table of Contents

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued

	Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs							Change in unrealized gains/(losses) included in earnings for the three months ended June 30, 2010 related to financial assets still held	
(Dollars in millions)	Beginning balance April 1, 2010	Included in earnings	Other incomprehensive income	Purchases, sales, issuances, settlements, maturities paydowns, net	Transfers to/from other balance sheet line items	Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3	Fair value June 30, 2010	at June 30, 2010
Assets									
Trading assets									
U.S. states and political subdivisions	\$6	\$-	\$-	\$3	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$9	\$-
MBS - private	5	(1)	-	(1)	-	-	-	3	(1)
CDO securities	159	6	-	(48)	-	-	-	117	4
ABS	51	(1)	-	(2)	-	-	-	48	(1)
Equity securities	145	(2)	-	(23)	-	-	-	120	(4)
Derivative contracts	22	-	106 <sup>5</sup>	-	-	-	-	128	-
Total trading assets	388	2 <sup>1</sup>	106	(71)	-	-	-	425	(2) <sup>1</sup>
Securities AFS									
U.S. states and political subdivisions	131	-	(2)	(4)	-	-	-	125	-
MBS - private	369	(1)	17	(20)	-	-	-	365	(1)
ABS	108	-	1	(1)	-	-	-	108	-
Corporate and other debt securities	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-
Other equity securities	705	-	-	-	-	-	-	705	-
Total securities AFS	1,318	(1) <sup>2</sup>	16	(25)	-	-	-	1,308	(1) <sup>2</sup>
LHFS									
Residential loans	152	6 <sup>3</sup>	-	(51)	(6)	4	(1)	104	2 <sup>3</sup>
Corporate and other loans	9	(2) <sup>6</sup>	-	(2)	-	-	-	5	(2) <sup>6</sup>
LHFI	422	5 <sup>4</sup>	-	(12)	(4)	-	-	411	4 <sup>4</sup>
Other assets/(liabilities), net	(10)	119 <sup>3</sup>	-	6	(62)	-	-	53	-

**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued**

									Change in unrealized gains/(losses) included in earnings for the six months ended June 30, 2010
	Beginning balance January 1, 2010	Included in earnings	Other comprehensive income	Purchases, sales, issuances, settlements, maturities paydowns, net	Transfers to/ from other balance sheet line items	Transfers into Level 3	Transfers out of Level 3	Fair value June 30, 2010	related to financial assets still held at June 30, 2010
(Dollars in millions)									
<b>Assets</b>									
<b>Trading assets</b>									
U.S. states and political subdivisions	\$7	\$-	\$-	\$2	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$9	\$-
MBS - private	6	(1)	-	(2)	-	-	-	3	(1)
CDO securities	175	17	-	(75)	-	-	-	117	11
ABS	51	3	-	(6)	-	-	-	48	1
Equity securities	151	4	-	(35)	-	-	-	120	-
Derivative contracts	-	7	121 <sup>5</sup>	-	-	-	-	128	-
<b>Total trading assets</b>	<b>390</b>	<b>30<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>121</b>	<b>(116)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>425</b>	<b>11<sup>1</sup></b>
<b>Securities AFS</b>									
U.S. states and political subdivisions	132	-	(2)	(5)	-	-	-	125	-
MBS - private	378	(2)	34	(45)	-	-	-	365	(2)
ABS	102	1	(7)	12	-	-	-	108	-
Corporate and other debt securities	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-
Other equity securities	705	-	-	-	-	-	-	705	-
<b>Total securities AFS</b>	<b>1,322</b>	<b>(1)<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>25</b>	<b>(38)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1,308</b>	<b>(2)<sup>2</sup></b>
<b>LHFS</b>									
Residential loans	142	5 <sup>3</sup>	-	(70)	4	24	(1)	104	(6) <sup>3</sup>
Corporate and other loans	9	(2) <sup>6</sup>	-	(2)	-	-	-	5	(2) <sup>6</sup>
LHFI	449	5 <sup>4</sup>	-	(25)	(17)	-	(1)	411	6 <sup>4</sup>
<b>Other assets/(liabilities), net</b>	<b>(35)</b>	<b>211<sup>3</sup></b>	<b>-</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>(129)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>									
Derivative contracts	(46)	-	46 <sup>5</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>1</sup> Amounts included in earnings are recorded in trading account profits/(losses) and commissions.

<sup>2</sup> Amounts included in earnings are recorded in net securities gains/(losses).

<sup>3</sup> Amounts included in earnings are net of issuances, fair value changes, and expirations and are recorded in mortgage production related income/(loss).

<sup>4</sup> Amounts are generally included in mortgage production related income, however, the mark on certain fair value loans is included in trading account profits/(losses) and commissions.

<sup>5</sup> Amount recorded in other comprehensive income is the effective portion of the cash flow hedges related to the Company's probable forecasted sale of its shares of Coke stock as discussed in Note 10, Derivative

## Edgar Filing: SUNTRUST BANKS INC - Form 10-Q

Financial Instruments.

<sup>6</sup> Amounts included in earnings are recorded in other noninterest income.



**Table of Contents****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)-Continued*****Non-recurring Fair Value Measurements***

The following tables present the change in carrying value of those assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis, for which impairment was recognized. The table does not reflect the change in fair value attributable to any related economic hedges the Company may have used to mitigate the interest rate risk associated with LHFS. The Company's economic hedging activities for LHFS are deployed at the portfolio level.

	Fair Value Measurement at June 30, 2011 Using				
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets/Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)		Valuation Allowance
(Dollars in millions)	Net Carrying Value				
LHFS	\$128	\$-	\$61		