On Deck Capital, Inc.
Form 10-Q
November 06, 2018
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q
(MARK ONE)
ý QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ${ }^{\text {y }}$ ACT OF 1934
FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2018
OR
"TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO
Commission File Number 001-36779

On Deck Capital, Inc.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

42-1709682
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

1400 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10018
(Address of principal executive offices)
(Zip Code)
(888) 269-4246
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES ý NO *
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically every Interactive Data file required to be submitted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T ( $\$ 232.405$ of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit such files). YES ý NO *

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.
Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
Emerging growth company
If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. YES "NO x
The number of shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding as of October 31, 2018 was 75,046,285.

## Table of Contents

On Deck Capital, Inc.
Table of Contents
Page
PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION
Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited) ..... 3
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets ..... $\underline{3}$
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income ..... 4
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows ..... 5
Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements ..... 7
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ..... 18
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk ..... $\underline{50}$
Item 4. Controls and Procedures ..... $\underline{51}$
PART II - OTHER INFORMATION
Item 1. Legal Proceedings ..... 51
Item 1A. Risk Factors ..... $\underline{51}$
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds ..... $\underline{51}$
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities ..... $\underline{52}$
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures ..... $\underline{52}$
Item 5. Other Information ..... $\underline{52}$
Item 6 Exhibits ..... $\underline{53}$
Signatures ..... $\underline{54}$

## Table of Contents

## PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)
ON DECK CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 30, \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | December <br> 31, <br> 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$71,304 | \$71,362 |
| Restricted cash | 48,919 | 43,462 |
| Loans held for investment | 1,117,828 | 952,796 |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses | (133,644 | ) $(109,015)$ |
| Loans held for investment, net | 984,184 | 843,781 |
| Property, equipment and software, net | 16,286 | 23,572 |
| Other assets | 19,240 | 13,867 |
| Total assets | \$1,139,933 | \$996,044 |
| Liabilities and equity |  |  |
| Liabilities: |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$5,651 | \$2,674 |
| Interest payable | 2,132 | 2,330 |
| Funding debt | 812,428 | 684,269 |
| Corporate debt | - | 7,985 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 29,500 | 32,730 |
| Total liabilities | 849,711 | 729,988 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 9) |  |  |
| Stockholders' equity (deficit): |  |  |
| Common stock-\$0.005 par value, 1,000,000,000 shares authorized and 78,631,018 and |  |  |
| $77,284,266$ shares issued and $75,029,010$ and 73,822,001 outstanding at September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. | 393 | 386 |
| Treasury stock-at cost | (8,766 | ) $(7,965$ |
| Additional paid-in capital | 503,049 | 492,509 |
| Accumulated deficit | (209,191 | ) $(222,833)$ |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (503 | ) (52 |
| Total On Deck Capital, Inc. stockholders' equity | 284,982 | 262,045 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 5,240 | 4,011 |
| Total equity | 290,222 | 266,056 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$1,139,933 | \$996,044 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.
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ON DECK CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (in thousands, except share and per share data)

Revenue:
Interest income
Gain on sales of loans
Other revenue
Gross revenue
Cost of revenue:
Provision for loan losses
Funding costs
Total cost of revenue
Net revenue
Operating expense:
Sales and marketing
Technology and analytics
Processing and servicing
General and administrative
Total operating expense
Income (loss) from operations
Other expense:
Interest expense
Total other expense
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes
Provision for income taxes
Net income (loss)
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest
Net income (loss) attributable to On Deck Capital, Inc. common stockholders
Net income (loss) per share attributable to On Deck Capital, Inc. common stockholders:
Basic
Diluted
Weighted-average common shares outstanding:
Basic
Diluted
$\$ 0.13 \quad \$(0.06 \quad) \$ 0.18 \quad \$(0.23 \quad)$
$\$ 0.12 \quad \$(0.06) \$ 0.17 \quad \$(0.23)$

Comprehensive income (loss):
Net income (loss)
74,715,5973,272,085 74,362,211 72,613,221
79,372,49173,272,085 78,314,71972,613,221

Other comprehensive income (loss):
Foreign currency translation adjustment
Comprehensive income (loss)
Less: Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to On Deck Capital, Inc. common stockholders

| Three Months Ended     <br> September 30, Nine Months Ended    <br> 2018 2017 2018 September 30,  <br>    2017  <br> $\$ 99,476$ $\$ 80,122$ $\$ 278,216$ $\$ 250,954$  <br> - 146 - 1,890  <br> 3,523 3,398 10,681 10,365  <br> 102,999 83,666 288,897 263,209  <br>      <br> 39,102 39,582 108,688 118,495  <br> 11,665 11,330 35,688 34,223  <br> 50,767 50,912 144,376 152,718  <br> 52,232 32,754 144,521 110,491  <br>      <br> 10,845 11,903 32,875 42,090  <br> 13,418 11,748 37,224 41,960  <br> 5,302 4,160 15,564 13,521  <br> 13,107 9,440 46,866 30,917  <br> 42,672 37,251 132,529 128,488  <br> 9,560 $(4,497$ $)$ 11,992  <br>    $(17,997$ $)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 63 | 35 | 157 | 706 |  |
| 63 | 35 | 157 | 706 |  |
| 9,497 | $(4,532$ | $)$ | 11,835 | $(18,703$ |$)$

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.
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ON DECK CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (in thousands)

|  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 | 2017 |
| Cash flows from operating activities |  |  |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 11,835 | \$ 18,703 ) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses | 108,688 | 118,495 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 6,232 | 7,623 |
| Amortization of debt issuance costs | 5,575 | 2,777 |
| Stock-based compensation | 8,852 | 9,521 |
| Amortization of net deferred origination costs | 41,180 | 36,419 |
| Changes in servicing rights, at fair value | 223 | 1,440 |
| Gain on sales of loans | - | (1,890 ) |
| Unfunded loan commitment reserve | 829 | 227 |
| Gain on extinguishment of debt | - | (312 ) |
| Loss on disposal of fixed assets | 5,667 | - |
| Gain on lease termination | (1,481 |  |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: |  |  |
| Other assets | (7,064 | 2,106 |
| Accounts payable | 2,977 | (2,353 ) |
| Interest payable | (198 |  |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | (1,128 | $(7,641)$ |
| Originations of loans held for sale | - | $(44,489$ |
| Capitalized net deferred origination costs of loans held for sale | - | (1,128 ) |
| Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale | - | 45,921 |
| Principal repayments of loans held for sale | - | 1,039 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 182,187 | 149,143 |
| Cash flows from investing activities |  |  |
| Purchases of property, equipment and software | (677 ) | (1,129 ) |
| Capitalized internal-use software | (3,738 ) | (2,226 ) |
| Originations of term loans and lines of credit, excluding rollovers into new originations | (1,566,889 | (1,302,889 |
| Proceeds from sale of loans held for investment |  | 12,396 |
| Payments of net deferred origination costs | (46,659) | (32,747 ) |
| Principal repayments of term loans and lines of credit | 1,324,078 | 8 1,220,673 |
| Purchase of loans | (801 ) | (13,730 ) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | $(294,686)$ | $(119,652)$ |
| Cash flows from financing activities |  |  |
| Investments by noncontrolling interests | 3,403 | 3,443 |
| Purchase of treasury shares | (801 | (864 ) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options and warrants | 76 | 490 |
| Issuance of common stock under employee stock purchase plan | 1,435 | 1,838 |
| Proceeds from the issuance of funding debt | 672,522 | 133,318 |
| Proceeds from the issuance of corporate debt | 25,000 | 24,200 |
| Payments of debt issuance costs | (5,460 ) | (3,228 |
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|  | Nine Months Ended |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | September 30, |  |  |
|  | 2018 | 2017 |  |
| Repayments of funding debt principal | $(544,586)$ | $(156,477)$ |  |
| Repayments of corporate debt principal | $(33,000$ | $(35,000$ | $(1,000$ |$)$

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

## Table of Contents

## ON DECK CAPITAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

1. Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

On Deck Capital, Inc.'s principal activity is providing financing to small businesses located throughout the United States, as well as Canada and Australia, through term loans and lines of credit. We use technology and analytics to aggregate data about a business and then quickly and efficiently analyze the creditworthiness of the business using our proprietary credit-scoring model. We originate most of the loans in our portfolio and also purchase loans from an issuing bank partner. We subsequently transfer most of our loan volume into one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries and also have the option to sell them through OnDeck Marketplace ${ }^{\circledR}$.
Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation
We prepare our condensed consolidated financial statements and footnotes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP, as contained in the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Accounting Standards Codification, or ASC. All intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior year amounts to conform to the current year presentation. When used in these notes to condensed consolidated financial statements, the terms "we," "us," "our" or similar terms refers to On Deck Capital, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.
We consolidate the financial position and results of operations of these entities. The noncontrolling interest, which is presented as a separate component of our consolidated equity, represents the minority owners' proportionate share of the equity of the jointly owned entities. The noncontrolling interest is adjusted for the minority owners' share of the earnings, losses, investments and distributions.

## Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts in the condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Significant estimates include allowance for loan losses, stock-based compensation expense, capitalized software development costs, the useful lives of long-lived assets, servicing assets/liabilities, loans purchased, and valuation allowance for deferred tax assets. We base our estimates on historical experience, current events and other factors we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. These estimates and assumptions are inherently subjective in nature; actual results may differ from these estimates and assumptions.
Recently Adopted Accounting Standards
In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue Recognition, which creates ASC 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, and supersedes ASC 605, Revenue Recognition. ASU 2014-09 requires revenue to be recognized in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for goods or services and also requires additional disclosure about the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows from customer contracts. The FASB subsequently issued numerous amendments including ASU 2016-08 - Principal versus Agent Considerations, ASU 2016-10 - Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing, and ASU 2016-12 - Narrow-Scope Improvements and Practical Expedients. Each amendment has the same effective date and transition requirements as the new revenue recognition standard. We adopted the new standard effective January 1,2018 and applied the modified retrospective method of adoption. The adoption of ASC 606 did not have a material effect on our condensed consolidated financial statements and disclosures, nor did it result in a cumulative effect adjustment at the date of initial application.
In November 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-18, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Restricted Cash. ASU 2016-18 intends to reduce diversity in practice for the classification and presentation of changes in restricted cash on the statement of cash flows. ASU 2016-18 clarifies that transfers between cash, cash equivalents, and amounts generally described as restricted cash or restricted cash equivalents are not part of the entity's operating, investing, and financing activities, and details of those transfers should not be reported as cash flow activities in the statement of cash flows. It requires that a statement of cash flows explain the change during the period in the total of cash, cash equivalents, and amounts generally described as restricted cash or restricted cash equivalents. Therefore, amounts generally described as restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents should be included with cash and cash equivalents when reconciling the beginning-of-period and end-of-period total amounts shown on the statement of cash
flows. We adopted the new standard effective January 1, 2018 using the retrospective transition method for each period presented and no longer present restricted cash as a reconciling item in our consolidated statement of cash flows. For the nine months ended September 30, 2017, cash flows from investing activities increased $\$ 12.3$ million and the net decrease in cash and cash equivalents of $\$ 15.3$ million became a net decrease in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash of $\$ 3.0$ million.
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## Recent Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases, which creates ASC 842, Leases, and supersedes ASC 840, Leases. ASU 2016-02 requires lessees to recognize a right-of-use asset and lease liability for all leases with terms of more than 12 months. The new standard will be effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within that reporting period. In July 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-11, Leases (Topic 842): Targeted Improvements. This ASU provides a prospective transition option that would not require earlier periods to be restated upon adoption. We expect that most of our operating lease commitments will be recognized as operating lease liabilities and right-of-use assets upon adoption of the standard that will result in an offsetting increase in assets and liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. We do not expect the standard to impact our future results of operations or cash flows. The Company will adopt the standard in the first quarter of 2019 and apply the standard prospectively as of the adoption date. We expect to elect the package of practical expedients afforded under the standard which permit an entity not to: (i) reassess whether existing or expired contracts are or contain a lease, (ii) reassess the lease classification, and (iii) reassess any initial direct costs for any existing leases.
In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-13, Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments. ASU 2016-13 will change the impairment model and how entities measure credit losses for most financial assets. The standard requires entities to use the new expected credit loss impairment model which will replace the incurred loss model used today. The new guidance will be effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019. Early adoption is permitted after December 15, 2018, however, we anticipate adopting the standard on January 1, 2020. We are currently assessing the impact that the adoption of this guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements.
2. Earnings Per Common Share

Basic and diluted net income (loss) per common share is calculated as follows (in thousands, except share and per share data):

| Three Months |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ended September | Nine Months Ended |
| 30, |  |
| 20182017 | 20182017 |
| \$9,497 \$ (4,532 | \$11,835 \$(18,703) |
| (272 ) (458 ) | $(1,807$ ) (2,073 |
| \$9,769 \$ (4,074 | \$13,642 \$(16,630) |
| 74,715,5973,272,085 | 74,362,2172,613,221 |
| \$0.13 \$ (0.06 ) | ) 0.18 \$ (0.23 |
| 4,656,899 | 3,952,508 - |
| 79,372,491B,272,085 | 78,314,71972,613,221 |
| \$0.12 \$ (0.06 ) | \$0.17 \$ (0.23 |
| 3,020,562 1,813,427 | 5,169,484 11,813,427 |

## Numerator:

Net Income (loss)
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest
Net income (loss) attributable to On Deck Capital, Inc. common stockholders
Denominator:
Weighted-average common shares outstanding, basic
Net income (loss) per common share, basic
Effect of dilutive securities
Weighted-average common shares outstanding, diluted
Net income (loss) per common share, diluted
Anti-dilutive securities excluded
The difference between basic and diluted income per common share has been calculated using the Treasury Stock Method based on the assumed exercise of outstanding stock options, the vesting of restricted stock awards, and the issuance of stock under our employee stock purchase plan. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, the effects of potentially dilutive items were anti-dilutive given our net losses. The following common share equivalent securities have been included in the calculation of dilutive weighted-average common shares outstanding:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Three Months Ended } & \text { Nine Months Ended } \\
\text { September 30, } & \text { September 30, } \\
2018 \quad 2017 & 2018 \quad 2017
\end{array}
$$

Dilutive Common Share Equivalents
Weighted Average common shares outstanding 74,715,592 73,272,085 74,362,211 72,613,221
Restricted stock units
Stock options
Employee stock purchase program
Total dilutive common share equivalents
1,616,072 - 1,083,237 -

3,040,827 - 2,869,271 -
79,372,491 73,272,085 78,314,719 72,613,221
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The following common share equivalent securities were excluded from the calculation of diluted net income per share attributable to common stockholders. Their effect would have been antidilutive for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017:

| Three Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| September 30, | Nine Months Ended <br> September 30, |  |  |
| 2018 | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 22,000 | 22,000 | 22,000 | 22,000 |
| 124,582 | $3,528,871$ | 527,326 | $3,528,871$ |
| $2,840,298$ | $8,227,736$ | $4,586,476$ | $8,227,736$ |
| 33,682 | 34,820 | 33,682 | 34,820 |
| $3,020,562$ | $11,813,427$ | $5,169,484$ | $11,813,427$ |

The weighted-average exercise price for warrants to purchase 22,000 shares of common stock was $\$ 14.50$ as of September 30, 2018. A warrant to purchase $1,985,846$ shares expired in September 2018 as a result of performance conditions not being met by that time. That warrant was excluded in the prior year periods from the anti-dilutive common share equivalents as performance obligations had not been met.
3. Loans Held for Investment and Allowance for Loan Losses

Loans Held for Investment and Allowance for Loan Losses
Loans held for investment consisted of the following as of September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017 (in thousands):

Term loans
Lines of credit
Total unpaid principal balance $1,095,792 \quad 936,239$
Net deferred origination costs $22,036 \quad 16,557$
Total loans held for investment $\$ 1,117,828$ \$952,796
During the nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, we paid $\$ 0.8$ million and $\$ 13.7$ million, respectively, to purchase term loans that we previously sold to a third party.
We include both loans we originate and loans originated by our issuing bank partner and later purchased by us as part of our originations. During the three months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017 we purchased loans from our issuing bank partner in the amount of $\$ 112.1$ million and $\$ 101.6$ million, respectively. During the nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017 we purchased loans from our issuing bank partner in the amount of $\$ 360.6$ million and $\$ 367.3$ million, respectively.
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The change in the allowance for loan losses for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017 consisted of the following (in thousands):

|  | Three Months Ended |  | Nine Months Ended |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | September 30, | September 30, |  |  |
|  | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 |
| Balance at beginning of period | $\$ 124,058$ | $\$ 105,217$ | $\$ 109,015$ | $\$ 110,162$ |
| Recoveries of loans previously charged off | 3,306 | 5,330 | 9,857 | 12,173 |
| Loans charged off | $(32,822$ | $)(45,257$ | $(93,916$ | $(135,958)$ |
| Provision for loan losses | 39,102 | 39,582 | 108,688 | 118,495 |
| Allowance for loan losses at end of period | $\$ 133,644$ | $\$ 104,872$ | $\$ 133,644$ | $\$ 104,872$ |

When loans are charged off, we typically continue to attempt to recover amounts from the respective borrowers and guarantors, including, when we deem it appropriate, through formal legal action. Alternatively, we may sell previously charged-off loans to a third-party debt collector. The proceeds from these sales are recorded as a component of the recoveries of loans previously charged off. For the three months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, previously charged-off loans sold accounted for $\$ 0.2$ million and $\$ 2.4$ million, respectively, of recoveries of loans previously charged off. For the nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, previously charged-off loans sold accounted for $\$ 0.9$ million and $\$ 6.2$ million, respectively, of recoveries of loans previously charged off. As of September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, our off-balance sheet credit exposure related to the undrawn line of credit balances was $\$ 243.2$ million and $\$ 204.6$ million, respectively. The related reserve on unfunded loan commitments was $\$ 5.2$ million and $\$ 4.4$ million as of September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. Net adjustments to the accrual for unfunded loan commitments are included in general and administrative expense. The following table contains information, on a combined basis, regarding the unpaid principal balance of loans we originated and the amortized cost of loans purchased from third parties other than our issuing bank partner related to current, paying and non-paying delinquent loans as of September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017 (in thousands):

|  | September | December |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 30,2018 | 31,2017 |
| Current loans | $\$ 1,002,932$ | $\$ 850,060$ |
| Delinquent: paying (accrual status) | 52,275 | 49,252 |
| Delinquent: non-paying (non-accrual status) | 40,585 | 36,927 |
| Total | $\$ 1,095,792$ | $\$ 936,239$ |

The portion of the allowance for loan losses attributable to current loans was $\$ 87.1$ million and $\$ 74.0$ million as of September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively, while the portion of the allowance for loan losses attributable to delinquent loans was $\$ 46.5$ million and $\$ 35.0$ million as of September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively.
The following table shows an aging analysis of the unpaid principal balance related to loans held for investment by delinquency status as of September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017 (in thousands):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { September } & \text { December } \\
30,2018 & 31,2017
\end{array}
$$

By delinquency status:
Current loans
\$1,002,932 \$850,060
1-14 calendar days past due 22,573 23,611
$15-29$ calendar days past due $10,261 \quad 12,528$
$30-59$ calendar days past due $18,671 \quad 22,059$
$60-89$ calendar days past due $15,161 \quad 12,809$
$90+$ calendar days past due $26,194 \quad 15,172$
Total unpaid principal balance $\$ 1,095,792 \$ 936,239$
4. Servicing Rights
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As of September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, the remaining unpaid principal balance of term loans we serviced that previously were sold was $\$ 132.0$ million and $\$ 181.0$ million, respectively. No loans were sold during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018. During the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2017, we sold through OnDeck Marketplace loans with an unpaid principal balance of $\$ 5.3$ million and $\$ 55.5$ million, respectively.
For the three months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, we earned $\$ 0.1$ million and $\$ 0.4$ million of servicing revenue, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017, we earned $\$ 0.6$ million and $\$ 1.3$ million of servicing revenue, respectively.
The following table summarizes the activity related to the fair value of our servicing assets for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017 (in thousands):

Three

| Months | Nine Months |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ended | Ended |
| September 30, | September 30, |
| 20182017 | 20182017 |
| \$29 \$701 | \$154 \$1,131 |
| 16275 | 78938 |

Changes in fair value:
Change in inputs or assumptions used in the valuation model
Other changes in fair value ${ }^{(1)}$

-     -         -             - 

Fair value at the end of period (Level 3)
(36) (347) (223) (1,440)
${ }^{(1)}$ Represents changes due to collection of expected cash flows through September 30, 2018 and 2017.
5. Debt

The following table summarizes our outstanding debt as of September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017 (in thousands):

|  | Type | Maturity Date | Weighted Average Interest Rate at September 30, 2018 | Outstandin <br> September <br> 30, 2018 | g <br> December <br> 31, 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Funding Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |
| ODAST II Series 2018-1 | Securitization | April $2022{ }^{(1)}$ | 3.8\% | \$225,000 | \$- |
| ODAST II Series 2016-1 | Securitization | May $2020{ }^{(2)}$ | N/A | - | 250,000 |
| ODART | Revolving | March 2019 | 4.7\% | 120,985 | 102,058 |
| RAOD | Revolving | November $2018$ | 5.3\% | 111,542 | 86,478 |
| ODAC | Revolving | May 2019 (3) | N/A | - | 62,350 |
| ODAF | Revolving | February 2020 <br> (3) | N/A | - | 75,000 |
| ODAF II | Revolving | August 2022 (4) | 4.4\% | 111,119 | - |
| PORT II | Revolving | December <br> 2018 | 4.7\% | 110,605 | 63,851 |
| LAOD | Revolving | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October } 2022 \\ & (5) \end{aligned}$ | 4.1\% | 95,096 | - |
| Other Agreements | Various | Various ${ }^{(6)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.4 \% \\ & 4.6 \% \end{aligned}$ | 44,194 | 50,706 |
|  |  |  |  | 818,541 | 690,443 |
|  |  |  |  | (6,113 ) | (6,174 |

Deferred debt issuance
cost
Total Funding Debt $\quad \$ 812,428 \quad \$ 684,269$
Corporate Debt:

| Square 1 | Revolving | October 2018 <br> $(7)$ | $6.5 \%$ | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Deferred debt issuance <br> cost |  | - | $(15,000$ |  |
| Total Corporate Debt |  | $\$-$ | $\$ 7,985$ |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ The period during which new borrowings may be made under this facility expires in March 2020.
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${ }^{(2)}$ In April 2018, we issued $\$ 225$ million of debt in a new ODAST II securitization transaction (Series 2018-1) and the net proceeds were used, together with other available funds, to voluntarily prepay in full all $\$ 250$ million of the prior Series 2016-1 Notes.
(3) This debt facility was voluntarily repaid in full and terminated in August 2018.
${ }^{(4)}$ The period during which new borrowings may be made under this debt facility expires in August 2021.
${ }^{(5)}$ The period during which new borrowings may be made under this debt facility expires in April 2022.
${ }^{(6)}$ Maturity dates range from January 2020 through June 2021.
${ }^{(7)}$ In October 2018 this debt facility was amended to extend the maturity date to January 2019.
On August 8, 2018, our wholly-owned subsidiary, OnDeck Asset Funding II LLC, established a new asset-backed revolving debt facility with a commitment amount of $\$ 175$ million and an interest rate of 1 -month LIBOR $+3.0 \%$. The period during which new borrowings may be made under this facility expires on August 6, 2021 and the final maturity date is August 8,2022 . Concurrent with closing this facility, the Company optionally prepaid in full and terminated the $\$ 100$ million asset-backed revolving debt facility by and between, among others, On Deck Asset Company, LLC, as borrower, and WM 2016-1, LLC, as administrative agent.
On August 14, 2018, our wholly-owned subsidiary, OnDeck Asset Funding I LLC, voluntarily prepaid in full and terminated the $\$ 150$ million asset-backed revolving debt facility originally entered into in August 2016 by and between, among others, OnDeck Asset Funding I LLC, as borrower, and Ares Agent Services, L.P., as administrative agent.
On October 4, 2018, On Deck Capital, Inc. amended its existing $\$ 30$ million revolving debt facility to extend the maturity date of the facility to January 2019 and made various technical, definitional, conforming and other changes. Certain of our loans held for investment are pledged as collateral for borrowings in our funding debt facilities. These loans totaled $\$ 970.2$ million and $\$ 852.3$ million as of September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. Our corporate debt facility is collateralized by substantially all of our assets.

## 6. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) We evaluate our financial assets and liabilities subject to fair value measurements on a recurring basis to determine the appropriate level at which to classify them for each reporting period. Due to the lack of transparency and quantity of transactions related to trades of servicing rights of comparable loans, we utilize an income valuation technique to estimate fair value. We utilize industry-standard modeling, such as discounted cash flow models, to arrive at an estimate of fair value and may utilize third-party service providers to assist in the valuation process. This determination requires significant judgments to be made.

The following tables present information about our assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017 (in thousands):

September 30, 2018
Lekelvel 2 Level 3 Total
Assets:
$\begin{array}{lrllll}\text { Servicing assets } & \$ \$ & -\$ & 9 & \$ & 9 \\ \text { Total assets } & \$ \$ & -\$ & 9 & \$ & 9\end{array}$
December 31, 2017
Lekeled 2 Level 3 Total
Assets:
Servicing assets \$ \$ \$ $154 \quad \$ 154$
Total assets \$ \$ \$ $154 \quad \$ 154$
There were no transfers between levels for the nine months ended September 30, 2018 or December 31, 2017.

The following tables presents quantitative information about the significant unobservable inputs used for certain of our Level 3 fair value measurement as of September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017:
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September 30, 2018

${ }^{(1)}$ Estimated cost of servicing a loan as a percentage of unpaid principal balance.

December 31, 2017
Unobservable input
${ }^{(1)}$ Estimated cost of servicing a loan as a percentage of unpaid principal balance.

Changes in certain of the unobservable inputs noted above may have a significant impact on the fair value of our servicing asset. The following table summarizes the effect adverse changes in estimate would have on the fair value of the servicing asset as of September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017 given hypothetical changes in default rate and cost to service (in thousands):

Default rate assumption:
Default rate increase of $25 \% \quad \$(3) \$(40 \quad)$
Default rate increase of $50 \%$ (5) \$ (76 )
Cost to service assumption:
Cost to service increase by $25 \%$ \$(4) \$ (63 )
Cost to service increase by $50 \%$ (9) \$ (126 )
Assets and Liabilities Disclosed at Fair Value
Because our loans held for investment and fixed-rate debt are not measured at fair value, we are required to disclose their fair value in accordance with ASC 825. Due to the lack of transparency and comparable loans, we utilize an income valuation technique to estimate fair value. We utilize industry-standard modeling, such as discounted cash flow models, to arrive at an estimate of fair value and may utilize third-party service providers to assist in the valuation process. This determination requires significant judgments to be made. The following tables summarize the carrying value and fair value of our loans held for investment and fixed-rate debt (in thousands):

September 30, 2018
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Carrying } \\ \text { Value } & \text { Fair Value } & \text { Level } \\ 1\end{array}$
Assets:
Loans held for investment, net \$984,184 \$1,095,854 \$ \$ \$ 1,095,854
Total assets $\quad \$ 984,184 \$ 1,095,854 \$ \quad \$ \quad \$ 1,095,854$

Liabilities:
Fixed-rate debt
Total fixed-rate debt
\$232,982 \$223,022 $\$ \quad \$ \quad \$ 223,022$
\$232,982 \$223,022 $\quad \$ \quad \$ \quad \$ 223,022$
13
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December 31, 2017
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Carrying } & \text { Fair } & \text { Level } \\ \text { Value } & \text { Value } & 1\end{array}$
Assets:
Loans held for investment, net $\$ 843,781 \$ 932,343 \$ \$ \$ 932,343$
Total assets
\$843,781 \$932,343 \$ \$ \$932,343

Liabilities:
Fixed-rate debt
\$300,706 \$293,512 \$ \$ \$293,512
Total fixed-rate debt
\$300,706 \$293,512 \$ $\quad \$ \quad \$ 293,512$
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7. Noncontrolling Interest

The following tables summarize changes in equity, including the equity attributable to noncontrolling interests, for the nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017 (in thousands):

Balance as of January 1, 2018
Net income (loss)
Stock based compensation
Exercise of options and warrants
Employee Stock Purchase Plan
Cumulative translation adjustment
Purchase of treasury shares
Investments by noncontrolling interests
Balance at September 30, 2018
Comprehensive loss:
Net income (loss)
Other comprehensive income (loss):
Foreign currency translation adjustment (448) (367) (815)
Comprehensive income (loss):

Balance as of January 1, 2017
Net income (loss)
Stock based compensation
Exercise of options and warrants
Employee stock purchase plan
Cumulative translation adjustment
Purchase of treasury shares
Investments by noncontrolling interests
Return of equity to noncontrolling interest
Balance at September 30, 2017
Comprehensive loss:
Net income (loss)
Other comprehensive income (loss):
$\left.\begin{array}{lll}\$ 262,045 & \$ 4,011 & \$ 266,056 \\ 13,642 & (1,807 & ) \\ 8,573 & - & 8,835 \\ 76 & - & 76 \\ 1,895 & - & 1,895 \\ (448 & ) & (367 \\ (801 & ) & (815 \\ - & 3,403 & (801 \\ 284,982 & 5,240 & 3,403 \\ \hline\end{array}\right)$

13,642 ( 1,807 ) 11,835
\$13,194 \$ (2,174 ) \$11,020

Nine Months Ended September 30,
2017
On Deck
Capital, Noncontrolling Total
Inc.'s interest
stockholders
equity
$\left.\begin{array}{lll}\$ 259,525 & \$ 4,072 & \$ 263,597 \\ (16,630 & ) & (2,073 \\ 9,115 & - & ) \\ 490 & - & 9,115 \\ 2,299 & - & 490 \\ 375 & 307 & 682 \\ (864 & - & (864 \quad \\ - & 3,443 & 3,443 \\ - & (959 & ) \\ 254,310 & 4,790 & (959 \\ & & 259,100\end{array}\right)$
$(16,630)(2,073)(18,703)$

Nine Months Ended September 30,
2018
On Deck
Capital,
Capital, Noncontrolling
Inc's intal
stockholders
equity

| Foreign currency translation adjustment | 375 | 307 | 682 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Comprehensive income (loss): | $\$(16,255)$ | $\$(1,766$ | $)$ |
| $(18,021)$ |  |  |  |

In the third quarter of 2015, we acquired a $67 \%$ interest in an entity, with the remaining $33 \%$ owned by an unrelated third party strategic partner, for the purpose of providing small business loans to customers of the third party. We consolidate the financial position and results of operations of that entity. On June 29, 2017, OnDeck purchased the loans owned by that entity for an immaterial amount. That entity made a liquidating distribution to us of approximately $\$ 2$ million and to the unrelated
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third party of approximately $\$ 1$ million representing our respective proportionate share of the equity in that entity. The loan sale and distribution effectively ended the operations of that entity. No material gain or loss was recorded.
8. Stock-Based Compensation and Employee Benefit Plans

Options
The following is a summary of option activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2018:

Outstanding at January 1, 2018
Granted
Exercised
Forfeited
Expired
Outstanding at September 30, 2018
Exercisable at September 30, 2018
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Vested and expected to vest as of September 30, } 2018 & 7,917,209 & \$ 5.85 & 5.9 & \$ 24,694\end{array}$
Total compensation cost related to nonvested option awards not yet recognized as of September 30, 2018 was $\$ 3.4$ million and will be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 2.0 years. The aggregate intrinsic value of employee options exercised during the nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017 was $\$ 2.9$ million and $\$ 5.0$ million, respectively.

## Restricted Stock Units

The following table summarizes our Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs") and Performance Restricted Stock Units ("PRSUs") activity during the nine months ended September 30, 2018:

Unvested at January 1, 2018
RSUs and PRSUs granted
RSUs vested
RSUs forfeited/expired
Unvested at September 30, 2018
Expected to vest after September 30, 2018 3,066,327 \$

| Number of | Weighted-Average <br> Grant Date Fair |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RSUs | Value |  |

As of September 30, 2018, there was $\$ 13$ million of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested RSUs and PRSUs, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.6 years.
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Stock-based compensation expense related to stock options, RSUs, PRSUs and the Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP") are included in the following line items in our accompanying consolidated statements of operations for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2018 and 2017 (in thousands):

| Three Months |  | Nine Months |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ended |  | Ended |  |
| September 30, |  | September 30, |  |
| 2018 | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 |
| \$452 | \$538 | \$ 1,492 | \$ 1,830 |
| 621 | 499 | 1,874 | 1,824 |
| 77 | 99 | 278 | 429 |
| 1,698 | 1,920 | 5,208 | 5,438 |
|  |  |  |  |

## 9. Commitments and Contingencies

Commitments under Operating Leases
Effective February 1, 2018, we terminated our lease obligation for the 12th floor of our New York office which accounted for approximately $32 \%$ of our total New York office space. The lease of the 12 th floor was previously scheduled to continue through December 2026. As part of the termination, we paid the landlord a cash surrender fee of approximately $\$ 2.6$ million and recorded a net charge of approximately $\$ 3.2$ million in the quarter ending March 31, 2018. The net charge includes the surrender fee and approximately $\$ 4.0$ million related to the impairment of leasehold improvements and other fixed assets in the surrendered space, which were partially offset by other deferred credits. On March 29, 2018, we terminated our lease obligation with respect to a portion of our Denver office which accounted for approximately $38 \%$ of our total Denver office space. Our lease of that space was previously scheduled to continue through April 2026. As part of the termination, we paid a surrender fee and related charges of approximately $\$ 900,000$ and recorded a net charge of approximately $\$ 1$ million in the quarter ended March 31, 2018. The net charge includes the surrender fee and the impairment of leasehold improvements and other fixed assets in the surrendered space, which were partially offset by other deferred credits.
The net charges related to these lease terminations were allocated to each of our operating expense line items on our condensed consolidated statement of operations with the exception of the aggregate impairment charges of leasehold improvements and other fixed assets in the surrendered spaces of approximately $\$ 5.7$ million which were included in general and administrative expense.
In the aggregate, the termination of these two leases reduced future required rental payments by approximately $\$ 23$ million through 2026.

## Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to significant concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and loans. We hold cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash in accounts at regulated domestic financial institutions in amounts that exceed or may exceed FDIC insured amounts and at non-U.S. financial institutions where deposited amounts may be uninsured. We believe these institutions to be of recognized standing and we have not experienced any related losses to date.
We are exposed to default risk on loans we originate and hold and that we purchase from our issuing bank partner. We perform an evaluation of each customer's financial condition and during the term of the customer's loan(s), we have the contractual right to limit a customer's ability to take working capital loans or other financing from other lenders that may cause a material adverse change in the financial condition of the customer.

## Contingencies

From time to time we are subject to legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of business. The results of such matters cannot be predicted with certainty. However, we believe that the final outcome of any such current
matters will not result in a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, consolidated results of operations or consolidated cash flows.
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Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
You should read the following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations together with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and the related notes, and other financial information included elsewhere in this report. Some of the information contained in this discussion and analysis, including information with respect to our plans and strategy for our business, includes forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. You should review the "Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" and Part II Item 1A. ""Risk Factors" sections of this report for a discussion of important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results described in, or implied by, the forward-looking statements contained in the following discussion and analysis.

## CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and other legal authority. These forward-looking statements concern our operations, economic performance, financial condition, goals, beliefs, future growth strategies, objectives, plans and current expectations.
Forward-looking statements appear throughout this report including in Part I-Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Part II - Item 1. Legal Proceedings and Part II - Item 1A. Risk Factors. Forward-looking statements can generally be identified by words such as "will," "enables," "expects," "intends," "may," "allows," "plan," "continues," "believes," "anticipates," "estimates" or similar expressions.
Forward-looking statements are neither historical facts nor assurances of future performance. They are based only on our current beliefs, expectations and assumptions regarding the future of our business, anticipated events and trends, the economy and other future conditions. As such, they are subject to inherent uncertainties, risks and changes in circumstances that are difficult to predict and in many cases outside our control. Therefore, you should not rely on any of these forward-looking statements. Our expected results may not be achieved, and actual results may differ materially from our expectations.
Important factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include risks relating to: our ability to attract potential customers to our platform and broaden our distribution capabilities and offerings; the degree to which potential customers apply for loans, are approved and borrow from us; anticipated trends, growth rates, loan originations, volume of loans sold and challenges in our business and in the markets in which we operate; the ability of our customers to repay loans and our ability to accurately assess creditworthiness; our ability to adequately forecast and reserve for loan losses; the impact of our decision to tighten our credit policies; our liquidity and working capital requirements, including the availability and pricing of new debt facilities, extensions and increases to existing debt facilities, increases in our corporate line of credit, securitizations and OnDeck Marketplace ${ }^{\circledR}$ sales to fund our existing operations and planned growth, including the consequences of having inadequate resources to fund additional loans or draws on lines of credit; our reliance on our third-party service providers and the effect on our business of originating loans without third-party funding sources; the impact of increased utilization of cash or incurred debt to fund originations; the effect on our business of utilizing cash for voluntary loan purchases from third parties; the effect on our business of the current credit environment and increases in interest rate benchmarks; our ability to hire and retain necessary qualified employees in a competitive labor market; practices and behaviors of members of our funding advisor channel and other third parties who may refer potential customers to us; changes in our product distribution channel mix and/or our funding mix; our ability to anticipate market needs and develop new and enhanced offerings to meet those needs; lack of customer acceptance of possible increases in interest rates and origination fees on loans; maintaining and expanding our customer base; the impact of competition in our industry and innovation by our competitors; our anticipated and unanticipated growth and growth strategies, including the introduction of new products or features, expanding the availability of our platform to other lenders through our wholly-owned ODX subsidiary and possible expansion in new or existing international markets, and our ability to effectively manage that growth; our reputation and possible adverse publicity about us or our industry; the availability and cost of our funding, including challenges in replacing existing debt facilities and arranging funding for new types of loans; the impact of funding loans from our cash reserves; locating funding sources for new types of loans that are ineligible for funding
under our existing credit or securitization facilities and the possibility of reducing originations of these loan types; the effect of potential selective pricing increases; our expected utilization of OnDeck Marketplace and the available OnDeck Marketplace premiums; our failure to anticipate or adapt to future changes in our industry; the impact of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 and any related Treasury regulations, rules or interpretations, if and when issued; our ability to offer loans to our small business customers that have terms that are competitive with alternative sources of capital; our ability to issue new loans to existing customers that seek additional capital; the evolution of technology affecting our offerings and our markets; our compliance with applicable local, state and federal and non-U.S. laws, rules and regulations and their application and interpretation, whether existing, modified or new; our ability to adequately protect our intellectual property; the effect of litigation or other disputes to which we are or may be a party; the increased expenses and administrative workload associated with being a public company; the unenforceability of choice of law
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provisions in our loan agreements and any potential violation of state interest rate limit laws; our ability to successfully evaluate, consummate and integrate acquisitions; failure to maintain an effective system of internal controls necessary to accurately report our financial results and prevent fraud; the estimates and estimate methodologies used in preparing our consolidated financial statements; the future trading prices of our common stock, and the impact of securities analysts' reports and shares eligible for future sale on these prices; our ability to prevent or discover security breaches, disruption in service and comparable events that could compromise the personal and confidential information held in our data systems, reduce the attractiveness of our platform or adversely impact our ability to service our loans; the impact of our cost rationalization programs; and other risks, including those described in Part I - Item 1A. "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017 and other documents that we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, from time to time which are or will be available on the SEC website at www.sec.gov.
Except as required by law, we undertake no duty to update any forward-looking statements. Readers are also urged to carefully review and consider all of the information in this report, as well as the other documents we make available through the SEC's website.

When we use the terms "OnDeck," the "Company," "we," "us" or "our" in this report, we are referring to On Deck Capital, Inc and its consolidated subsidiaries unless the context requires otherwise.

## Overview

We are a leading online small business lender. We make it efficient and convenient for small businesses to access financing. Enabled by our proprietary technology and analytics, we aggregate and analyze thousands of data points from dynamic, disparate data sources to assess the creditworthiness of small businesses rapidly and accurately. Small businesses can apply for a term loan or line of credit on our website in minutes and, using our loan decision process, including our proprietary OnDeck Score®, we can make a funding decision immediately and, if approved, transfer funds as fast as one business day. Qualified customers may have both a term loan and line of credit concurrently, which we believe provides opportunities for repeat business, as well as increased value to our customers. We originated more than $\$ 10$ billion of loans since we made our first loan in 2007.
We generate the majority of our revenue through interest income and fees earned on the loans we make to our customers. Our term loans, which we offer in principal amounts ranging from $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 500,000$ and with maturities of 3 to 36 months, feature fixed dollar repayments. Our lines of credit range from $\$ 6,000$ to $\$ 100,000$, and are generally repayable within six months of the date of the most recent draw. We earn interest on the balance outstanding and lines of credit are subject to a monthly fee unless the customer makes a qualifying minimum draw, in which case the fee is waived for the first six months. The balance of our other revenue primarily comes from our servicing and other fee income, most of which consists of marketing fees from our issuing bank partner, fees generated by OnDeck-as-a-Service through our wholly-owned subsidiary, ODX, and monthly fees earned from lines of credit. We rely on a diversified set of funding sources for the loans we make to our customers. Our primary source of this financing has historically been debt facilities with various financial institutions. We have also used proceeds from operating cash flow to fund loans in the past and continue to finance a portion of our outstanding loans with these funds. As of September 30, 2018, we had $\$ 818.5$ million of funding debt principal outstanding and $\$ 1.0$ billion total borrowing capacity under such debt facilities. No loans were sold through OnDeck Marketplace during the nine months ended September 30, 2018. During the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2017, we sold loans with an unpaid principal balance of $\$ 5.3$ million and $\$ 55.5$ million, respectively. Of the total principal outstanding as of September 30, 2018, including our loans held for investment, plus loans sold to OnDeck Marketplace purchasers which had a balance remaining as of September 30, 2018, $65 \%$ were funded via our debt facilities, $23 \%$ were financed via proceeds raised from our securitization transaction, $11 \%$ were funded via cash on hand and $1 \%$ were funded via OnDeck Marketplace purchasers.

We originate loans throughout the United States, Canada and Australia, although, to date, substantially all of our revenue has been generated in the United States. These loans are originated through our direct marketing, including direct mail, social media and other online marketing channels, outbound sales team, referrals from our strategic partners, including banks, payment processors and small business-focused service providers, and through funding advisors who advise small businesses on available funding options.
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Key Financial and Operating Metrics
We regularly monitor a number of metrics in order to measure our current performance and project our future performance. These metrics aid us in developing and refining our growth strategies and making strategic decisions.

Originations
Effective Interest Yield
Cost of Funds Rate
Net Interest Margin*
Marketplace Gain on Sale Rate
Reserve Ratio
Provision Rate
15+ Day Delinquency Ratio
Net Charge-off Rate
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Net Charge-off Rate } & 11.1 \% & 16.9 & \% & 11.1 \% & 16.8 & \%\end{array}$
*Non-GAAP measure. Refer to "Non-GAAP Financial Measures" below for an explanation and reconciliation to GAAP.
Originations
Originations represent the total principal amount of the term loans we made during the period, plus the total amount drawn on lines of credit during the period. Many of our repeat term loan customers renew their term loan before their existing term loan is fully repaid. In accordance with industry practice, originations of such repeat term loans are presented as the full renewal loan principal, rather than the net funded amount, which would be the renewal term loan's principal net of the unpaid principal balance on the existing term loan. Loans referred to, and originated by, our issuing bank partner and later purchased by us are included as part of our originations.
Effective Interest Yield
Effective Interest Yield is the rate of interest we achieve on loans outstanding during a period. It is calculated as our calendar day-adjusted annualized interest income divided by average loans. Prior to the first quarter of 2018, annualization was based on 252 business days per year. Beginning with the three months ended March 31, 2018, annualization is based on 365 days per year and is calendar day-adjusted. All revisions have been applied retrospectively.
Net deferred origination costs in loans held for investment and loans held for sale consist of deferred origination fees and costs. Deferred origination fees include fees paid up front to us by customers when loans are originated and decrease the carrying value of loans, thereby increasing Effective Interest Yield. Deferred origination costs are limited to costs directly attributable to originating loans such as commissions, vendor costs and personnel costs directly related to the time spent by the personnel performing activities related to loan origination and increase the carrying value of loans, thereby decreasing Effective Interest Yield.
Recent pricing trends are discussed under the subheading "Key Factors Affecting Our Performance - Pricing." Cost of Funds Rate
Cost of Funds Rate is the interest expense, fees and amortization of deferred debt issuance costs we incur in connection with our lending activities across all of our funding debt facilities. For full years, it is calculated as our funding cost divided by average funding debt outstanding and for interim periods it is calculated as our annualized funding cost for the period divided by average funding debt outstanding. Annualization is based on four quarters per year and is not business or calendar day-adjusted.
Net Interest Margin

Net Interest Margin is calculated as annualized Net Interest Income divided by average Interest Earning Assets. Net Interest Income represents interest income less funding costs during the period. Interest income is net of fees on loans held for investment and held for sale. Net deferred origination costs in loans held for investment and loans held for sale consist of deferred origination costs as offset by corresponding deferred origination fees. Deferred origination fees include fees paid up front to us by customers when loans are funded. Deferred origination costs are limited to costs directly attributable to originating loans such as commissions, vendor costs and personnel costs directly related to the time spent by the personnel performing activities related to loan origination.
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Funding costs are the interest expense, fees, and amortization of deferred debt issuance costs we incur in connection with our lending activities across all of our debt facilities. Annualization is based on 365 days per year and is calendar day-adjusted.
Marketplace Gain on Sale Rate
Marketplace Gain on Sale Rate equals our gain on sale revenue from loans sold through OnDeck Marketplace divided by the carrying value of loans sold, which includes both unpaid principal balance sold and the remaining carrying value of the net deferred origination costs. A portion of any loans sold through OnDeck Marketplace may be loans which were initially designated as held for investment upon origination. The portion of such loans sold, if any, in a given period may vary materially depending upon market conditions and other circumstances.
Reserve Ratio
Reserve Ratio is our allowance for loan losses as of the end of the period divided by the Unpaid Principal Balance as of the end of the period.
Provision Rate
Provision Rate equals the provision for loan losses divided by the new originations volume of loans held for investment, net of originations of sales of such loans within the period. Because we reserve for probable credit losses inherent in the portfolio upon origination, this rate is significantly impacted by the expectation of credit losses for the period's originations volume. This rate may also be impacted by changes in loss estimates for loans originated prior to the commencement of the period.
All other things equal, an increased volume of loan rollovers and line of credit repayments and re-borrowings in a period will reduce the Provision Rate.
The Provision Rate is not directly comparable to the net cumulative lifetime charge-off ratio because (i) the Provision Rate reflects estimated losses at the time of origination while the net cumulative lifetime charge-off ratio reflects actual charge-offs, (ii) the Provision Rate includes provisions for losses on both term loans and lines of credit while the net cumulative lifetime charge-off ratio reflects only charge-offs related to term loans and (iii) the Provision Rate for a period reflects the provision for losses related to all loans held for investment while the net cumulative lifetime charge-off ratio reflects lifetime charge-offs of term loans related to a particular cohort of term loans.
15+ Day Delinquency Ratio
$15+$ Day Delinquency Ratio equals the aggregate Unpaid Principal Balance for our loans that are 15 or more calendar days past due as of the end of the period as a percentage of the Unpaid Principal Balance. The Unpaid Principal Balance for our loans that are 15 or more calendar days past due includes loans that are paying and non-paying. Because our loans require weekly or daily repayments, excluding weekends and holidays, they may be deemed delinquent more quickly than loans from traditional lenders that require only monthly payments.
15+ Day Delinquency Ratio is not annualized, but reflects balances as of the end of the period.
Net Charge-off Rate
Net Charge-off Rate is calculated as our annualized net charge-offs for the period divided by the average Unpaid Principal Balance outstanding. Annualization is based on four quarters per year and is not business or calendar day-adjusted. Net charge-offs are charged-off loans in the period, net of recoveries.
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On Deck Capital, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Average Balance Sheets (in thousands)

|  | Average |  | Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |
|  | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$55,851 | \$59,530 | \$50,004 | \$58,595 |
| Restricted cash | 53,024 | 58,659 | 55,466 | 59,316 |
| Loans held for investment | 1,081,259 | 960,587 | 1,030,403 | 1,001,697 |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses | (129,804 | ) $(103,397$ | ) $(122,319$ | ) $(109,486$ |
| Loans held for investment, net | 951,455 | 857,190 | 908,084 | 892,211 |
| Loans held for sale | - | - | - | 462 |
| Property, equipment and software, net | 16,591 | 25,919 | 18,416 | 27,480 |
| Other assets | 15,967 | 17,843 | 15,302 | 18,483 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,092,888 | \$1,019,141 | \$ 1,047,272 | \$1,056,547 |
| Liabilities and equity |  |  |  |  |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$4,318 | \$3,077 | \$3,607 | \$3,377 |
| Interest payable | 2,402 | 2,300 | 2,388 | 2,322 |
| Funding debt | 771,483 | 710,601 | 733,601 | 737,864 |
| Corporate debt | - | 11,078 | 1,783 | 20,213 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 31,645 | 32,277 | 31,004 | 33,786 |
| Total liabilities | 809,848 | 759,333 | 772,383 | 797,562 |
| Total On Deck Capital, Inc. stockholders' equity | 277,570 | 254,731 | 269,924 | 253,716 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 5,470 | 5,077 | 4,965 | 5,269 |
| Total equity | 283,040 | 259,808 | 274,889 | 258,985 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$1,092,888 | \$1,019,141 | \$ 1,047,272 | \$ 1,056,547 |
| Memo: |  |  |  |  |
| Unpaid Principal Balance | \$ 1,060,222 | \$944,372 | \$1,011,155 | \$983,230 |
| Interest Earning Assets | \$1,060,222 | \$944,372 | \$ 1,011,155 | \$983,689 |
| Loans | \$1,081,259 | \$960,587 | \$1,030,403 | \$1,002,159 |

Average Balance Sheet Items for the period represent monthly averages based on the beginning and the ending period balances.
Non-GAAP Financial Measures
We believe that the non-GAAP metrics can provide useful supplemental measures for period-to-period comparisons of our core business and useful supplemental information to investors and others in understanding and evaluating our operating results. However, non-GAAP metrics are not calculated in accordance with GAAP, and should not be considered an alternative to any measures of financial performance calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP. Other companies may calculate these non-GAAP metrics differently than we do. The reconciliations below reconcile each of our non-GAAP metrics to their most comparable respective GAAP metric.
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Adjusted Net Income (Loss) and Adjusted Net Income (Loss) per Share
Adjusted Net Income (Loss) represents our net income (loss) adjusted to exclude net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest, stock-based compensation expense, real estate disposition charges, and severance and executive transition expenses. Stock-based compensation includes employee compensation as well as compensation to third-party service providers. Adjusted Net Income (Loss) per Share is calculated by dividing Adjusted Net Income (Loss) by the weighted average common shares outstanding during the period.
Our use of Adjusted Net Income has limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider it in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations are:

Adjusted Net Income does not reflect the potentially dilutive impact of stock-based compensation; and
Adjusted Net Income excludes charges we are required to incur in connection with real estate dispositions, severance obligations and debt extinguishment costs.
The following table presents a reconciliation of net loss to Adjusted Net Income (Loss) and Adjusted Net Income (Loss) per Share for each of the periods indicated:

| Three Months |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ended September | Nine Months Ended |
| 30, | September 30, |
| $2018 \quad 2017$ | $2018 \quad 2017$ |
| (in thousands) | (in thousands) |

Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) Attributable to OnDeck to Adjusted Net Income (Loss)
Net income (loss) attributable to On Deck Capital, Inc. common stockholders
Adjustments:
Stock-based compensation expense
Real estate disposition charges
Severance and executive transition expenses
Debt Extinguishment Costs
Adjusted Net income (loss)

| $\$ 9,769$ | $\$(4,074$ | $)$ | $\$ 13,642$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $\$(16,630)$ |  |
| 2,848 | 3,056 | 8,852 | 9,521 |
| - | - | 4,187 | - |
| - | - | 911 | 3,183 |
| 550 | - | 1,935 | - |
| $\$ 13,167$ | $\$(1,018$ | $)$ | $\$ 29,527$ |

Adjusted Net income (loss) per share:
Basic
Diluted
Weighted-average common shares outstanding:
Basic
$\$ 0.18 \quad \$(0.01 \quad) \$ 0.40 \quad \$(0.05 \quad)$
$\$ 0.17 \quad \$(0.01) \$ 0.38 \$(0.05)$

Diluted
74,715,597B,272,085 74,362,2772,613,221
$79,372,4973,272,08578,314,7192,613,221$
Below are reconciliations of the Adjusted Net income (loss) per basic and diluted share to the most directly comparable measures calculated in accordance with GAAP.

Net income (loss) per basic share attributable to On Deck Capital, Inc. common stockholders
Add / (Subtract):
Stock-based compensation expense
Real estate disposition charges

| Three Months <br> Ended | Nine Months <br> Ended |
| :--- | :--- |
| September 30,  <br> 2018 September 30, <br> (per share)  | 2018 2017 <br> (per share) |
| $\$ 0.13$ | $\$(0.06)$ |$\$ 0.18 \quad \$(0.23)$

Edgar Filing: On Deck Capital, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| Severance and executive transition expenses | - | - | 0.01 | 0.05 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Debt Extinguishment Costs | 0.01 | - | 0.03 | - |
| Adjusted Net income (loss) per basic share | $\$ 0.18$ | $\$(0.01)$ | $\$ 0.40$ | $\$(0.05)$ |
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Diluted
Net income (loss) per diluted share attributable to On Deck Capital, Inc. common stockholders
Add/ (Subtract):
Stock-based compensation expense
Real estate disposition charges
Severance and executive transition expenses
Debt Extinguishment Costs
Adjusted Net income (loss) per diluted share

| Three Months | Nine Months |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ended | Ended |
| September 30, | September 30, |
| 20182017 | 201820 |
| (per share) | (per share) |
| \$0.12 \$ (0.06) | \$0.17 \$(0.23) |
| $0.04 \quad 0.05$ | $0.12 \quad 0.13$ |
| - - | 0.05 |
| - - | $0.01 \quad 0.05$ |
| 0.01 | 0.03 |
| \$0.17 \$ (0.01) | \$0.38 \$ (0.05) |

## Net Interest Margin

Net Interest Margin is calculated as annualized Net Interest Income divided by average Interest Earning Assets. Net Interest Income represents interest income less funding costs during the period. Funding costs are the interest expense, fees, and amortization of deferred debt issuance costs we incur in connection with our lending activities across all of our debt facilities. Annualization is based on 365 days per year and is calendar day-adjusted.
Our use of Net Interest Margin has limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider it in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations are:

Net Interest Margin is the rate of net return we achieve on our Average Interest Earning Assets outstanding during a period. It only includes interest income and funding costs and excludes all other revenues and operating expenses. As a result, it does not represent our overall financial results or profitability.

Funding costs do not reflect interest associated with debt used for corporate purposes.
The following table presents a reconciliation of interest income to Net Interest Margin for each of the periods indicated:

| Three Months Ended September 30, |  | Nine Months Ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | September 30, |  |
| 2018 | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 |
| (in thousan | ds) | (in thousands) |  |
| \$99,476 | \$80,122 | \$278,216 | \$250,954 |
| $(11,665)$ | (11,330) | (35,688 ) | (34,223 |
| 87,811 | 68,792 | 242,528 | 216,731 |
| 92 | 92 | 273 | 273 |
| 955 | 748 | 888 | 794 |
| 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 |
| 348,575 | 273,020 | 324,120 | 289,810 |
| \$1,060,222 | \$944,372 | \$1,011,155 | \$983,689 |
| 32.9 \% | 28.9 \% | 32.1 \% | 29.5 \% |
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Key Factors Affecting Our Performance
Investment in Long-Term Growth
The core elements of our growth strategy include:

- expanding our financing offerings;
-acquiring new customers;
-broadening our distribution capabilities through strategic partners and funding advisors;
-enhancing our technology, data and analytics capabilities;
- extending customer lifetime value; and
$\cdot$ developing and growing ODX.
We plan to continue to invest significant resources to accomplish these goals. As a result, our total operating expense increased in absolute dollars during the first nine months of 2018 and we anticipate it will continue to increase through 2019. These investments are intended to contribute to our long-term growth, but they may affect our near-term financial results.
In October 2018, we announced the launch of ODX, a wholly-owned subsidiary that will focus on helping banks digitize their small business lending process. ODX offers a combination of software, analytic insights, and professional services that allow banks to bring their small business lending process online. At the core of the ODX solution is a modular and scalable SaaS platform that enables banks to either create a fully end-to-end digital experience for their customers or to select certain components for specific functions. By utilizing ODX, banks can realize improved customer experiences, increased portfolio growth, and reduced processing costs. We expect ODX results to reflect a period of net investment as it builds its infrastructure and capabilities to grow existing and develop additional bank relationships.
Originations
During the three months ended September 30, 2018 and September 30, 2017, we originated $\$ 647.8$ million and $\$ 530.9$ million of loans, respectively. During the nine months ended September 30, 2018 and September 30, 2017, we originated $\$ 1.8$ billion and $\$ 1.6$ billion of loans, respectively. The increase in originations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2018 relative to the same periods in 2017 was driven by the tightening of our credit policies in the first half of 2017 which constrained 2017 originations, and in the current year periods, the addition of new customers, including those in newly credit-eligible industries, an increase in renewals from existing customers and the continued growth of our line of credit originations. Lending volume from our strategic and funding advisor channels continued to build as we grew our network of partners. It also increased in our direct channel as a result of our marketing activity and website traffic, which led to higher application volumes.
The number of weekends and holidays in a period can impact our business. Many small businesses tend to apply for loans on weekdays, and their businesses may be closed at least part of a weekend and on holidays. In addition, our loan fundings and automated customer loan repayments only occur on weekdays (excluding bank holidays).
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We anticipate that our future growth will continue to depend in part on attracting new customers. As we continue to aggregate data on customers and prospective customers, we seek to use that data and our increasing knowledge to optimize our marketing spending to attract these customers as well as to continue to focus our analytics resources on better identifying potential customers. We have historically relied on all three of our channels for customer acquisition but remain focused on growing our direct and strategic partner channels. Collective originations through our direct and strategic partner channels made up $69 \%$ and $73 \%$ of total originations from all customers in the third quarter of 2018 and 2017, respectively. We plan to continue investing in direct marketing and sales, increasing our brand awareness and growing our strategic partnerships.

The following table summarizes the percentage of loans made to all customers originated by our distribution channels for the periods indicated. From time to time management is required to make judgments to determine customers' appropriate channel distribution.

| Three | Nine |
| :--- | :--- |
| Months | Months |
| Ended | Ended |
| September | September |
| 30, | 30, |

Percentage of Originations (Dollars) 2018201720182017
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Direct and Strategic Partner } & 69 \% & 73 \% & 70 \%\end{array} \quad 73 \%$
Funding Advisor $\quad 31 \% \quad 27 \% \quad 30 \% \quad 27 \%$
We originate term loans and lines of credit to customers who are new to OnDeck as well as to repeat customers. New originations are defined as new term loan originations plus all line of credit draws in the period, including subsequent draws on existing lines of credit. Renewal originations include term loans only. We believe our ability to increase adoption of our loans within our existing customer base will be important to our future growth. A component of our future growth will include increasing the length of our customer life cycle by expanding our product offerings. In both the third quarter of 2018 and 2017, originations from our repeat customers were comparable. We believe our significant number of repeat customers is primarily due to our high levels of customer service and continued improvement in our loan features and services. Repeat customers generally show improvements in several key metrics. From our 2015 customer cohort, customers who took at least three loans grew their revenue and bank balance on average by $33 \%$ and $50 \%$, respectively, from their initial loan to their third loan. Similarly, from our 2016 customer cohort, customers who took at least three loans grew their revenue and bank balance on average by $33 \%$ and $41 \%$, respectively. In the third quarter of $2018,28 \%$ of our origination volume from repeat customers was due to unpaid principal balance rolled from existing loans directly into such repeat originations. In order for a current customer to qualify for a new term loan while a term loan payment obligation remains outstanding, the customer must pass the following standards:
*he business must be approximately $50 \%$ or more paid down on its existing loan;
the business must be current on its outstanding OnDeck loan with no material delinquency history; and the business must be fully re-underwritten and determined to be of adequate credit quality.
The extent to which we generate repeat business from our customers will be an important factor in our continued revenue growth and our visibility into future revenue. In conjunction with repeat borrowing activity, many of our customers also tend to increase their subsequent loan size compared to their initial loan size.
The following table summarizes the percentage of loans originated by new and repeat customers. Loans from cross-selling efforts are classified in the table as repeat loans.

| Three | Nine |
| :--- | :--- |
| Months | Months |
| Ended | Ended |

September September
30, 30,
Percentage of Originations (Dollars) 2018201720182017
New $48 \% ~ 45 \% ~ 49 \% ~ 49 \%$
Repeat $52 \%$ 55\% $51 \%$ 51\%
Credit Performance
Credit performance refers to how credit losses on a portfolio of loans performs relative to expectations. A certain amount of losses are expected so credit performance must be assessed relative to pricing and expectations. Pricing is determined with the goal of generating a desired rate of return after taking portfolio estimated losses into account. When a portfolio realizes higher than estimated losses, the desired rate of return may not be achieved and that portfolio would be considered to have underperformed.
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Conversely, if the portfolio incurred lower than estimated losses, resulting in a higher than expected rate of return, the portfolio would be considered to have overperformed.
We originate and price our loans based on risk. When we originate our loans, we establish a reserve for estimated loan losses. As we gather more data as the portfolio performs, we may increase or decrease that reserve as deemed necessary to reflect our latest loss estimate. Some portions of our loan portfolio may be performing better than expected while other portions may perform below expectations. The net result of the underperforming and overperforming portfolio segments determines if we require an overall increase or decrease to our loan reserve related to those existing loans.
We evaluate and track portfolio credit performance primarily through four key financial metrics: 15+Day Delinquency Ratio; Net Charge-off Rate; Reserve Ratio; and Provision Rate.
15+ Day Delinquency Ratio
The 15+ Day Delinquency Ratio, which is the aggregate Unpaid Principal Balance for our loans that are 15 or more calendar days past due as of the end of the period as a percentage of the Unpaid Principal Balance, decreased from $7.5 \%$ at September 30, 2017 to $6.4 \%$ at September 30, 2018. This decrease is driven by continued improvement in credit, partially offset by the impact of our decision to hold certain delinquent loans for a longer period and more actively pursue collections as opposed to selling these loans earlier in our collection cycle.
During the past two years, the 15+ Day Delinquency Ratio peaked at $7.8 \%$ at March 31, 2017 primarily as a result of 2016 originations of term loans that were 15 months or more in term length at origination. This credit deterioration was internally driven as our credit model was under-predicting losses, in the aggregate, for loans of 15 months or more due to our relatively limited experience at that time with loans of similar terms. We took corrective action during the first half of 2017 including tightening of credit policies used to determine eligibility, pricing, loan size and term. This tightening helped reduce the 15+ Day Delinquency Ratio in the subsequent quarters, which was partially offset by the impact of our decision to hold delinquent loans longer as we continue to pursue collections as opposed to selling our delinquent loans earlier in the collection cycle as we used to do.
Net Charge-off Rate
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Our Net Charge-off Rate, which is calculated as our annualized net charge-offs for the period divided by the average Unpaid Principal Balance outstanding, declined from $16.9 \%$ during the three months ended September 30, 2017 to $11.1 \%$ during the three months ended September 30, 2018. Generally, the Net Charge-off Rate is expected to trail the 15+ Day Delinquency Ratio because loans become delinquent before deteriorating further to charged-off status. The reduction in Net Charge-off Rate is also related to credit improvements since early 2017.
Reserve Ratio
The Reserve Ratio, which is the allowance for loan losses divided by the Unpaid Principal Balance as of the end of a specified period, is a comprehensive measurement of our allowance for loan losses because it presents, as a percentage, the portion of the total Unpaid Principal Balance for which an allowance has been recorded. Our Reserve Ratio increased from $11.1 \%$ as of September 30, 2017 to $12.2 \%$ as of September 30, 2018. The increase in the Reserve Ratio is commensurate with our decision to hold certain delinquent loans for a longer period and more actively pursue collections as opposed to selling these loans earlier in our collection cycle. This range of Reserve Ratio is in line with our business model objectives given the characteristics of our loan portfolio and other factors.
Provision Rate

28
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The Provision Rate is the provision for loan losses divided by the new originations volume of loans held for investment, net of originations of sales of such loans within the period. Originations include the full renewal loan principal of repeat loans, rather than the net funded amount.
Our Provision Rates decreased from $7.5 \%$ during the three months ended September 30, 2017 to $6.0 \%$ for the three months ended September 30, 2018. The decline in our Provision Rate primarily reflects our internally driven credit improvements resulting from our previously described credit tightening in the first half of 2017.
In 2017, we tightened our credit policies used to determine eligibility, pricing and loan size for certain customers. As additional seasoning took place on our loans and as our predictive model incorporated newer data, our Provision Rate generally improved during 2017. Our Provision Rate was $5.7 \%$ in the second quarter of 2018 and $6.0 \%$ in the third quarter of 2018 , which is the low end of our target range. The 2018 provision rate improved relative to 2017 as a result of our improved credit policies, collection processes and fraud detection procedures. The Provision Rate for the third quarter of 2018 was generally lower than our historical Provision Rates and may not be indicative of the Provision Rate in future periods.
Two hurricanes recently struck the United States: Hurricane Florence in September 2018 and Hurricane Michael in October 2018. These hurricanes had a catastrophic impact on the people and businesses of Florida, Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina and Virginia. For the past few years, Florida has been one of our top five states for loan originations. For the nine months ended September 30, 2018, 10\% of our total originations were in Florida and less than $1 \%$ of our Unpaid Principal Balance was in areas in Florida that had been designated as "individual assistance" disaster areas by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, or FEMA, as a result of the two hurricanes. Hurricane Florence did not have a material impact on our financial results for the three months ended September 30, 2018. We do not expect Hurricane Florence or Hurricane Michael will have a material impact on our financial results for the quarter ending December 31, 2018.
Pricing
Customer pricing is determined primarily based on the customer's risk level as measured by our decision structure, including the OnDeck Score, loan type (term loan or line of credit), term loan duration, customer type (new or repeat) and origination channel. In addition, general market conditions and the competitive environment may broadly influence pricing industry-wide. Loans originated through the direct and strategic partner channels are generally priced lower than loans originated through the funding advisor channel due to the higher commissions paid to funding advisors.
Our customers generally pay between $\$ 0.003$ and $\$ 0.042$ per month in interest for every dollar they borrow under one of our term loans. Historically, our term loans have been primarily quoted in "Cents on Dollar," or COD, and lines of credit in annual percentage rate, or APR. Given the use case and payback period associated with our shorter-term loans, we believe many of our customers prefer to understand pricing on a "dollars in, dollars out" basis and are primarily focused on total payback cost. As of September 30, 2018, the APRs of our term loans outstanding ranged from $9.1 \%$ to $99.8 \%$ and the APRs of our lines of credit outstanding ranged from $12.5 \%$ to $63.2 \%$.
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We believe that our product pricing has historically fallen between traditional bank loans to small businesses and certain non-bank small business financing alternatives such as merchant cash advances.


The pricing decrease between 2013 and 2016 was due to longer average loan term lengths, increased originations from our lower cost direct and strategic partner channels as a percentage of total originations, the growth of our line of credit product which is priced at a lower APR level than our term loans, the introduction of our customer loyalty program and our efforts to pass savings on to customers. The pricing increases in 2017 and the first three quarters of 2018 were primarily a reflection of past and expected future increases in the underlying market interest rates that we, like many other lenders in the market, are passing on to our customers. Additionally, in the past year we have increased our originations in the Funding Advisor channel, which typically have higher APRs than the Direct and Strategic Partner channels.
We consider Effective Interest Yield, or EIY, as a key pricing metric. EIY is the rate of return we achieve on loans outstanding during a period. Our EIY differs from APR in that it takes into account deferred origination fees and deferred origination costs. Deferred origination fees include fees paid up front to us by customers when loans are originated and decrease the carrying value of loans, thereby increasing the EIY. Deferred origination costs are limited to costs directly attributable to originating loans such as commissions, vendor costs and personnel costs directly related to the time spent by the personnel performing activities related to loan origination and increase the carrying value of loans, thereby decreasing EIY.
In addition to individual loan pricing and the number of days in a period, there are many other factors that can affect EIY, including:
Channel Mix - In general, loans originated from the strategic partner channel have lower EIYs than loans from the direct and funding advisor channel. This is primarily due to strategic partner channel's higher commissions as compared to the direct channel, and lower pricing as compared to the funding advisor channel.

Term Mix - In general, term loans with longer durations have lower annualized interest rates. Despite lower EIYs, total revenues from customers with longer loan durations are typically higher than the revenue of customers with shorter-term, higher EIY loans because total payback is typically higher compared to a shorter length term for the same principal loan amount. Following the introduction of our 24 -month and 36 -month term loans, the average length of new term loan originations had increased from 10.8 months for the year ended December 31, 2014 to 13.3 months for the year ended December 31, 2016. As part of our 2017 credit tightening, when appropriate, the offered duration of term loans to certain customers was shortened to control duration risk. For the three months ended September 30, 2018, the average length of new term loan originations had decreased to 11.3 months.

Customer Type Mix - In general, loans originated from repeat customers historically have had lower EIYs than loans from new customers. This is primarily because repeat customers typically have a higher OnDeck Score and are therefore deemed to be lower risk. In addition, repeat customers are more likely to be approved for longer terms than new customers given their established payment history and lower risk profiles. Finally, origination fees can be reduced or waived for repeat customers, contributing to lower EIYs.

Product Mix - In general, lines of credit have lower EIYs than term loans. For the third quarter of 2018, the weighted average line of credit APR was $32.7 \%$, compared to $49.7 \%$ for term loans. Draws by line of credit customers
increased to $19.8 \%$ of total originations in the third quarter of 2018 from $19.6 \%$ in the third quarter of 2017.
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Effective Interest Yield
For the Year For the Quarter
2013201420152016 Q1 2017 Q2 2017 Q3 2017 Q4 2017 Q1 2018 Q2 2018 Q3 2018
$42.7 \% \quad 40.4 \% \quad 35.4 \% \quad 33.2 \% \quad 33.8 \% \quad 33.5 \% \quad 33.1 \% \quad 34.8 \% \quad 35.6 \% \quad 36.1 \% \quad 36.5 \%$

Prior to the first quarter of 2018, annualization was based on 252 business days per year. Beginning with the three months ended March 31, 2018, annualization is based on 365 days per year and is calendar day-adjusted. All revisions have been applied retrospectively.

Sale of Whole Loans through OnDeck Marketplace
We may sell whole loans to institutional investors through OnDeck Marketplace. Marketplace originations are defined as loans that are sold through OnDeck Marketplace in the period or are held for sale at the end of the period. No loans were sold during the nine months ended September 30, 2018. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, we sold through OnDeck Marketplace loans with an unpaid principal balance of $\$ 5.3$ million and $\$ 55.5$ million, respectively.
Our OnDeck Marketplace originations come from one of the following two origination sources:
New loans that are designated at origination to be sold, referred to as "Originations of loans held for sale;" and Loans that were originally designated as held for investment that are subsequently designated to be sold at the time of their renewal and which are considered modified loans, referred to as "Originations of loans held for investment, modified."
The following table summarizes the initial principal of originations of the aforementioned two sources as it relates to the statement of cash flows during the periods shown for 2018 and 2017.

|  | Three | Nine |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Months | Months |
|  | Ended | Ended |
|  | September | September |
|  | 30, | 30, |
|  | 20087 | 20087 |
|  | (in | (in |
| Originations of loans held for sale | thousands) | thousands) |
| Originations of loans held for investment, modified | $\$ 3,069$ | $\$ 44,490$ |
| Marketplace originations | $-2,271$ | $-41,475$ |
|  | $\$ 5,340$ | $\$ 55,965$ |

## Customer Acquisition Costs

Our customer acquisition costs, or CACs, differ depending upon the acquisition channel. CACs in our direct channel include the commissions paid to our internal sales force and expenses associated with items such as direct mail, social media and other online marketing activities. CACs in our strategic partner channel include commissions paid to our internal sales force and strategic partners. CACs in our funding advisor channel include commissions paid to our internal sales force and funding advisors. CACs in all channels include new originations as well as renewals. Our CACs, on a combined basis for all three acquisition channels and evaluated as a percentage of originations, increased for the three months ended September 30, 2018 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2017. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase in CACs in our funding advisor channel driven by an increase in external commissions and origination volume. The increase was partially offset by a decline in CACs in our strategic partner channel due to a decrease in commission and a decline in our direct channel resulting from improvements in customer targeting.
Increased competition for customer response could require us to incur higher customer acquisition costs and make it more difficult for us to grow our loan originations in both unit and volume for both new as well as repeat customers.

Historical Charge-Offs

We illustrate below our historical loan losses by providing information regarding our net lifetime charge-off ratios by cohort. Net lifetime charge-offs are the Unpaid Principal Balance charged off less recoveries of loans previously charged off, and a given cohort's net lifetime charge-off ratio equals the cohort's net lifetime charge-offs through September 30, 2018 divided by the cohort's
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total original loan volume. Repeat loans in the denominator include the full renewal loan principal, rather than the net funded amount, which is the renewal loan's principal net of the unpaid principal balance on the existing loan. Loans are typically charged off after 90 days of nonpayment. Loans originated and charged off between January 1, 2013 and September 30, 2018 were on average charged off near the end of their loan term. The chart immediately below includes all term loan originations, regardless of funding source, including loans sold through OnDeck Marketplace or held for sale on our balance sheet.
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Lifetime Net Charge-off Ratios by Cohort Through September 30, 2018

| For the Year | For the Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2013201420152016 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 |
|  | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2018 | 2018 | 2018 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The following chart displays the historical lifetime cumulative net charge-off ratio by cohort for the origination periods shown. The chart reflects all term loan originations, regardless of funding source, including loans sold through our OnDeck Marketplace or held for sale on our balance sheet. The data is shown as a static pool for each cohort, illustrating how the cohort has performed given equivalent months of seasoning.
Given that the originations in the second and third quarter of 2018 cohorts are relatively unseasoned as of September 30, 2018, these cohorts reflect low lifetime charge-off ratios in the chart below. Further, given our loans are typically charged off after 90 days of nonpayment, all cohorts reflect approximately $0 \%$ charge offs for the first three months in the chart below.
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Net Cumulative Lifetime Charge-off Ratios
All Loans

Loans we originated in 2016 demonstrated higher than historical net cumulative lifetime charge-off ratios, which were primarily related to loans with longer terms and larger loan sizes. In response and as part of our focus on achieving profitability, during the first and second quarters of 2017 we broadly tightened our credit policies to eliminate originations of loans with expected negative unit economics and to reduce those with expected marginal unit economics.

By design, the broad credit tightening resulted in a significant decline in originations for the second quarter of 2017 and a significant decline in the net cumulative lifetime charge-off ratios for loans originated in that quarter.
Subsequent cohorts have incorporated measured and targeted credit optimization designed to bring our net cumulative charge-off ratios in line with business model objectives. Loans originated after the third quarter of 2017 are not yet seasoned enough for meaningful comparison.

| Originations | For the Year |  |  | For the Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20132014 | 2015 | 2016 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 |
|  | 20132014 |  | 2016 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2017 | 2018 | 2018 | 2018 |
| All term loans (in millions) | \$456\$1,101 | \$1,70 | 4\$2,052 | \$470 | \$362 | \$427 | \$437 | \$469 | \$465 | \$520 |
| Weighted average term (in months) | 10.011 .2 | 12.4 | 13.2 | 12.3 | 11.8 | 12.1 | 12.2 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 11.9 |

Economic Conditions
Changes in the overall economy may impact our business in several ways, including demand for our loans, credit performance, and funding costs.
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Demand for Our Loans. Generally, we believe a strong economic climate tends to increase demand for our loans as consumer spending increases and small businesses seek to expand and more potential customers may meet our underwriting requirements, although some small businesses may generate enough additional cash flow that they no longer require a loan. In that climate, traditional lenders may also approve loans for a higher percentage of our potential customers.
Credit Performance. In a strong economic climate, our customers may experience improved cash flow and liquidity, which may result in lower loan losses. In a weakening economic climate or recession, the opposite may occur. We factor economic conditions into our loan underwriting analysis and reserves for loan losses, but changes in economic conditions, particularly sudden changes, may affect our actual loan losses. These effects may be partially mitigated by the short-term nature and repayment structure of our loans, which should allow us to react more quickly than if the terms of our loans were longer.
Loan Losses. Our underwriting process is designed to limit our loan losses to levels consistent with our risk tolerance and financial model. Our aggregate loan loss rates from 2013 through 2015 were consistent with our financial targets while 2016 was higher than our financial target as we incurred higher than estimated loss rates on certain larger and longer-term loans. Our 2017 loan loss levels were also higher than our financial targets largely because we were taking corrective action throughout the first half of the year to address the higher 2016 loan losses. Our first three quarters of 2018 loan loss levels are consistent with our financial targets. Our overall loan losses are affected by a variety of factors, including external factors such as prevailing economic conditions, general small business sentiment and unusual events such as natural disasters, as well as internal factors such as the accuracy of the OnDeck Score, the effectiveness of our underwriting process and the introduction of new types of loans, such as our line of credit, with which we have less experience to draw upon when forecasting their loss rates. Our loan loss rates may vary in the future.
Funding Costs. Changes in monetary and fiscal policy may affect generally prevailing interest rates. Interest rates may also change for reasons unrelated to economic conditions. To the extent that interest rates rise, our funding costs will increase and the spread between our Effective Interest Yield and our Cost of Funds Rate may narrow to the extent we cannot correspondingly increase the interest rates we charge our customers or reduce the credit spreads in our borrowing facilities.

## Components of Our Results of Operations

## Revenue

Interest Income. We generate revenue primarily through interest and origination fees earned on the term loans and lines of credit we originate. Interest income also includes interest income earned on loans held for sale from the time the loan is originated until it is ultimately sold, as well as other miscellaneous interest income. Our interest and origination fee revenue is amortized over the term of the loan using the effective interest method. Origination fees collected but not yet recognized as revenue are netted with direct origination costs and recorded as a component of loans held for investment or loans held for sale, as appropriate, on our consolidated balance sheets and recognized over the term of the loan. Direct origination costs include costs directly attributable to originating a loan, including commissions, vendor costs and personnel costs directly related to the time spent by those individuals performing activities related to loan origination.
Gain on Sales of Loans. We may sell term loans to third-party institutional investors through OnDeck Marketplace. We recognize a gain or loss on the sale of such loans as the difference between the proceeds received, adjusted for initial recognition of servicing assets or liabilities obtained at the date of sale, and the outstanding principal and net deferred origination costs.
Other Revenue. Other revenue includes servicing revenue related to loans serviced for others, fair value adjustments to servicing rights, fees generated by OnDeck-as-a-Service through our wholly-owned subsidiary, ODX, monthly fees charged to customers for our line of credit, marketing fees earned from our issuing bank partner, and referral fees from
other lenders, which are recognized as the related services are provided.
Cost of Revenue
Provision for Loan Losses. Provision for loan losses consists of amounts charged to income during the period to maintain an allowance for loan losses, or ALLL, estimated to be adequate to provide for probable credit losses inherent in our existing loan portfolio. Our ALLL represents our estimate of the credit losses inherent in our portfolio of term loans and lines of credit and is based on a variety of factors, including the composition and quality of the portfolio, loan specific information gathered through our collection efforts, delinquency levels, our historical charge-off and loss experience and general economic conditions. We
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expect our aggregate provision for loan losses to increase in absolute dollars as the amount of term loans and lines of credit we originate and hold for investment increases.
Funding Costs. Funding costs consist of the interest expense we pay on the debt we incur to fund our lending activities, certain fees and the amortization of deferred debt issuance costs incurred in connection with obtaining this debt, such as banker fees, origination fees and legal fees. Our Cost of Funds Rate will vary based on a variety of external factors, such as credit market conditions, general interest rate levels and spreads, as well as OnDeck-specific factors, such as origination volume and credit quality. We expect funding costs will continue to increase in absolute dollars as we increase borrowings to fund portfolio growth and expected increases in market interest rates. Operating Expense

Operating expense consists of sales and marketing, technology and analytics, processing and servicing, and general and administrative expenses. Salaries and personnel-related costs, including benefits, bonuses, stock-based compensation expense and occupancy, comprise a significant component of each of these expense categories. All operating expense categories also include an allocation of overhead, such as rent and other overhead, which is based on employee headcount. We believe that continuing to invest in our business is essential to maintaining our competitive position, and therefore, we expect the absolute dollars of operating expenses to increase, excluding charges related to real estate dispositions, severance and executive transitions and debt extinguishment costs. During 2017, we reduced our headcount and personnel-related costs as we endeavored to achieve GAAP profitability and increase our efficiency. At September 30, 2018, we had 555 employees compared to 475 at December 31, 2017 and 708 at December 31, 2016. During 2018, we increased our headcount and personnel-related costs across our business in order to support our growth strategy. We plan to continue these increases in 2019 while remaining focused profitability and efficiency. Our headcount at September 30, 2018 was approximately $22 \%$ lower than at December 31, 2016. Our efficiency ratio (operating expenses divided by gross revenues) for the three months ended September 30, 2018 was significantly better than for the year ended December 31, 2016.
Sales and Marketing. Sales and marketing expense consists of salaries and personnel-related costs of our sales and marketing and business development employees, as well as direct marketing and advertising costs, online and offline CACs (such as direct mail, paid search and search engine optimization costs), public relations, promotional event programs and sponsorships, corporate communications and allocated overhead. We expect our sales and marketing expense in terms of absolute dollars to remain generally consistent with 2017 levels but to decrease as a percentage of revenue in the near term as we continue to optimize marketing spend.
Technology and Analytics. Technology and analytics expense consists primarily of the salaries and personnel-related costs of our engineering and product employees as well as our credit and analytics employees who develop our proprietary credit-scoring models. Additional expenses include third-party data acquisition expenses, professional services, consulting costs, expenses related to the development of new types of loans and technologies and maintenance of existing technology assets, amortization of capitalized internal-use software costs related to our technology platform and allocated overhead.
We plan to hire additional highly skilled engineering, data analytics and risk management personnel in connection with our strategy to expand our financing offerings and enhance our technology and data analytics capabilities. As a result, we expect salary and personnel-related costs in these areas to increase over time. Competition for these employees is extremely intense. Recent U.S. immigration policy has made it more difficult for qualified foreign nationals to obtain or maintain work visas under the HB-1 classification. These HB-1 visa limitations make it more difficult and/or more expensive for us to hire the skilled professionals we need to execute our growth strategy and may adversely impact our business.
Processing and Servicing. Processing and servicing expense consists primarily of salaries and personnel related costs of our credit analysis, underwriting, funding, fraud detection, customer service and collections employees. Additional expenses include vendor costs associated with third-party credit checks, lien filing fees and other costs to evaluate, close and fund loans and overhead costs.
General and Administrative. General and administrative expense consists primarily of salary and personnel-related costs for our executive, finance and accounting, legal and people operations employees. Additional expenses include a
provision for the unfunded portion of our lines of credit, consulting and professional fees, insurance, legal, travel, gain or loss on foreign exchange, other corporate expenses and costs associated with the early extinguishment of debt. These expenses also include costs associated with compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and other regulations governing public companies, directors' and officers' liability insurance and increased accounting costs.
Other Expense
36
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Interest Expense. Interest expense consists of interest expense and amortization of deferred debt issuance costs incurred on debt associated with our corporate activities. It does not include interest expense incurred on debt associated with our lending activities.
Provision for Income Taxes
We have not recorded any provision for U.S. federal, state and foreign income taxes. Through December 31, 2017, we have not been required to pay any material U.S. federal or state income taxes nor any foreign taxes because of accumulated net operating losses. As of December 31, 2017, we had $\$ 82.1$ million of federal net operating loss carryforwards and $\$ 81.3$ million of state net operating loss carryforwards available to reduce future taxable income, unless limited due to historical or future ownership changes. The federal net operating loss carryforwards will begin to expire at various dates beginning in 2029.
The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, imposes substantial restrictions on the utilization of net operating losses and other tax attributes in the event of an "ownership change" of a corporation. Events which may cause limitation in the amount of the net operating losses and other tax attributes that are able to be utilized in any one year include, but are not limited to, a cumulative ownership change of more than $50 \%$ over a three-year period, which has occurred as a result of historical ownership changes. Accordingly, our ability to use pre-change net operating loss and certain other attributes are limited as prescribed under Sections 382 and 383 of the Code. Therefore, if we earn net taxable income in the future, our ability to reduce our federal income tax liability with our existing net operating losses is subject to limitation.
On December 22, 2017, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 118, Income Tax Accounting Implications of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act that was codified through the issuance of ASU No. 2018-05. This pronouncement permits us to record provisional amounts during a measurement period not to extend beyond one year of the enactment date. We recorded the impact of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act for the year ended December 31, 2017, which included a provisional amount associated with our deferred tax asset. We did not revise our initial provisional estimate during the nine months ended September 30, 2018, and continue to assess the income tax effects of the tax reform. Because a full valuation allowance has been and will continue to be recorded against our deferred tax asset, any change in our provisional amounts is expected to be offset by a corresponding change in our valuation allowance, resulting in no net impact to our results of operations.
As of December 31, 2017, a full valuation allowance of $\$ 37.8$ million was recorded against our net deferred tax assets.
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Results of Operations
The consolidated statements of operations data as a percentage of gross revenue for each of the periods indicated.
Comparison of the Three Months Ended September 30, 2018 and 2017
Three Months Ended September 30,
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Revenue

Revenue:

| Interest income | $\$ 99,476$ | 96.6 |  | $\$ 80,122$ | 95.8 | $\%$ | $\$ 19,354$ | 24.2 | $\%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gain on sales of loans | - | - |  | 146 | 0.2 |  | $(146$ | $)$ | $(100.0$ | $)$ |
| Other revenue | 3,523 | 3.4 |  | 3,398 | 4.0 |  | 125 | 3.7 |  |  |
| Gross revenue | $\$ 102,999$ | 100.0 | $\%$ | $\$ 83,666$ | 100.0 | $\%$ | $\$ 19,333$ | 23.1 | $\%$ |  |

Gross revenue increased by $\$ 19.3$ million, or $23.1 \%$, from $\$ 83.7$ million to $\$ 103$ million. This increase was in part attributable to a $\$ 19.4$ million, or $24.2 \%$, increase in interest income, which was primarily driven by the higher balance of loans being held on our balance sheet as evidenced by the $13 \%$ increase in Average Loans to $\$ 1.08$ billion from $\$ 0.96$ billion. The increase in interest income was also driven by the increase in weighted average APR on our loans.
Gain on sales of loans decreased by $\$ 0.1$ million to $\$ 0$ as no loans were sold in the quarter ending September 30, 2018.

Other revenue increased by $\$ 0.1$ million, or $3.7 \%$, primarily attributable to an increase in ODX revenue. This was partially offset by a decrease in loan servicing fees and a decrease in marketing fees from our issuing bank partner. Cost of Revenue

Three Months Ended September 30,

| 2018 |  | 2017 |  | Period-to-Period Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of |  | Percentage of |  |
| Amount | Gross | Amount | Gross | Amount Percentage |
|  | Revenue |  | Revenue |  |

Cost of revenue:
Provision for loan losses \$39,102 $38.0 \quad \% \quad \$ 39,58247.3 \quad \% \quad \$(480)(1.2) \%$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Funding costs } & 11,665 & 11.3 & 11,330 & 13.5 & 335 & 3.0\end{array}$
Total cost of revenue $\quad \$ 50,76749.3 \quad \% \quad \$ 50,91260.8 \quad \% \quad \$(145)(0.3) \%$
Provision for loan losses decreased by $\$ 0.5$ million, or $1.2 \%$, from $\$ 39.6$ million to $\$ 39.1$ million. In accordance with GAAP, we recognize revenue on loans over their term, but provide for probable credit losses on the loans at the time they are originated. We then periodically adjust our estimate of those probable credit losses based on actual performance and changes in loss estimates. As a result, we believe that analyzing provision for loan losses as a percentage of originations held for investment, rather than as a percentage of gross revenue, provides more useful insight into our operating performance. Our provision for loan losses as a percentage of originations held for investment, or the Provision Rate, decreased from $7.5 \%$ to $6.0 \%$. The decrease in the Provision Rate is largely attributable to the tightening of our credit policies used to determine eligibility, pricing and loan size for certain customers. The tightening of our credit policies began in early 2017.
Funding costs increased by $\$ 0.3$ million, or $3.0 \%$, from $\$ 11.3$ million to $\$ 11.7$ million. As a percentage of gross revenue, funding costs decreased from $13.5 \%$ to $11.3 \%$. The increase in funding costs, which was primarily attributable to increases in Average Funding Debt outstanding and benchmark rates, was partially offset by a decrease in interest rate spread (the applicable percentage rate above the benchmark interest rate charged by the lender).

Average Funding Debt Outstanding increased from $\$ 710.6$ million to $\$ 771.5$ million while our Cost of Funds Rate decreased from $6.4 \%$ to $6.0 \%$.
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Operating Expense
Sales and marketing
Three Months Ended September 30,

| 2018 |  | 2017 |  | Period-toChange | -Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of |  | Percentage of |  |  |
| Amount | Gross | Amount | Gross | Amount | Percentage |
|  | Revenue |  | Revenue |  |  |

Sales and marketing $\$ 10,845 \quad 10.5 \quad \% \quad \$ 11,903 \quad 14.2 \quad \% \quad \$(1,058)(8.9) \%$
Sales and marketing expense decreased by $\$ 1.1$ million, or $9 \%$, from $\$ 11.9$ million to $\$ 10.8$ million. The decrease was primarily attributable to a $\$ 0.7$ million decrease in customer acquisition costs and a decrease of $\$ 0.6$ million in syndication program costs. Additionally, radio/television advertising decreased by $\$ 0.4$ million and occupancy costs decreased by $\$ 0.3$ million due to the lease terminations which occurred during the first quarter of 2018. These decreased costs were partially offset by a $\$ 0.9$ million increase in personnel-related costs. Technology and analytics

Three Months Ended September 30,

| 2018 |  | 2017 |  | Period-to-Period Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of |  | Percentage of |  |
| Amount | Gross | Amount | Gross | Amount Percentage |
|  | Revenue |  | Revenue |  |

Technology and analytics $\$ 13,418 \quad 13.0 \quad \% \quad \$ 11,748 \quad 14.0 \quad \% \quad \$ 1,670 \quad 14.2 \quad \%$
Technology and analytics expense increased by $\$ 1.7$ million, or $14 \%$, from $\$ 11.7$ million to $\$ 13.4$ million. The increase was primarily attributable to a $\$ 1.3$ million increase in personnel-related costs and a $\$ 0.6$ million increase in technology consultant spend. These increased costs were partially offset by a $\$ 0.3$ million decrease in technology depreciation.
Processing and servicing
Three Months Ended September 30,


Processing and servicing \$5,302 5.1 \% \$4,160 5.0 \% \$ 1,142 $27.5 \quad \%$
Processing and servicing expense increased by $\$ 1.1$ million, or $28 \%$, from $\$ 4.2$ million to $\$ 5.3$ million. The increase was primarily attributable to a $\$ 0.6$ million increase in personnel-related costs and an increase of $\$ 0.5$ million in costs associated with the increase of originations.
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General and administrative
Three Months Ended September 30,


General and administrative \$13,107 12.7 \% $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$9,440 } \\ & 11.3\end{aligned}$ \% $\quad \$ 3,667 \quad 38.8 \quad \%$
General and administrative expense increased by $\$ 3.7$ million, or $39 \%$, from $\$ 9.4$ million to $\$ 13.1$ million. The increase was in part attributable to a $\$ 1.1$ million increase in personnel-related costs and a $\$ 0.6$ million increase in loss on foreign currency transactions and holdings driven by the decreased value of foreign currencies relative to the U.S. dollar in the third quarter of 2018 compared to the third quarter of 2017. Additionally, we recorded a $\$ 0.5$ million loss on the extinguishment of debt resulting from the write-off of the remaining balance of deferred issuance costs in connection with the prepayment of the ODAF debt during the third quarter of 2018. Recruiting fees increased by $\$ 0.7$ million, travel spend increased by $\$ 0.3$ million, and professional fees increased by $\$ 0.2$ million.
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Comparison of the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2018 and 2017
Nine Months Ended September 30,


Revenue:
Interest income
Gain on sales of loans
Other revenue
Gross revenue
Cost of revenue:
Provision for loan losses
Funding costs
Total cost of revenue
Net revenue
Operating expenses:
Sales and marketing
Technology and analytics
Processing and servicing
General and administrative
Total operating expenses
Income (loss) from operations
Other expense:
Interest expense
Total other expense:
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes
Provision for income taxes
Net income (loss)
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest
Net income (loss) attributable to On Deck
Capital, Inc. common stockholders

| $\$ 278,216$ | 96.3 | $\%$ | $\$ 250,954$ | 95.3 | $\%$ | $\$ 27,262$ | 10.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\%$

$\begin{array}{lllllll}\$ 13,642 & 4.7 & \% & \$(16,630) & (6.3 & ) \% & \$ 30,272 \quad 182.0\end{array}$
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Revenue
Nine Months Ended September 30,

| 2018 |  | 2017 |  | Period-to-P <br> Change | Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of |  | Percentage of |  |  |
| Amount | Gross | Amount | Gross | Amount | Percentage |
|  | Revenue |  | Revenue |  |  |

Revenue:
Interest income $\$ 278,216$ 96.3 $\% \quad \$ 250,954 \quad 95.3 \quad \% \quad \$ 27,262 \quad 10.9 \quad \%$
Gain on sales of loans - $\quad$ - $\quad 1,890 \quad 0.7 \quad(1,890 \quad$ ) (100.0)
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Other revenue } & 10,681 & 3.7 & 10,365 & 4.0 & 316 & 3.0\end{array}$
Gross revenue $\$ 288,897100.0 \quad \% \quad \$ 263,209100.0 \quad \% \quad \$ 25,688$ 9.8 $\quad$ \%
Gross revenue increased by $\$ 25.7$ million, or $9.8 \%$, from $\$ 263.2$ million to $\$ 288.9$ million. This increase was in part attributable to a $\$ 27.3$ million, or $10.9 \%$, increase in interest income, primarily driven by the increase of Average Loans from $\$ 1.00$ billion to $\$ 1.03$ billion. The increase in interest income was also driven by the increase in weighted average APR on our loans.
Gain on sales of loans decreased by $\$ 1.9$ million to zero as no loans were sold in the nine months ended September 30, 2018.
Other revenue increased by $\$ 0.3$ million, or $3 \%$, primarily attributable to an increase in ODX revenue. This was partially offset by a decrease in loan servicing fees and a decrease in marketing fees from our issuing bank partner. Cost of Revenue

Nine Months Ended September 30,

|  |  | Period-to-Period <br> Change |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Percentage of <br> Gross <br> Revenue | Amount |  | | Percentage of |
| :--- |
| Gross |
| Revenue |$\quad$ Amount Percentage

Cost of revenue:
Provision for loan losses $\$ 108,688 \quad 37.6 \quad \% \quad \$ 118,49545.0 \quad \% \quad \$(9,807)(8.3 \quad) \%$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Funding costs } & 35,688 & 12.4 & 34,223 & 13.0 & 1,465 & 4.3\end{array}$
Total cost of revenue $\$ 144,37650.0 \quad \% \quad \$ 152,718 \quad 58.0 \quad \% \quad \$(8,342)(5.5 \quad) \%$
Provision for loan losses. Provision for loan losses decreased by $\$ 9.8$ million, or $8.3 \%$, from $\$ 118.5$ million to $\$ 108.7$ million. In accordance with GAAP, we recognize revenue on loans over their term, but provide for probable credit losses on the loans at the time they are originated. We then periodically adjust our estimate of those probable credit losses based on actual performance and changes in loss estimates. As a result, we believe that analyzing provision for loan losses as a percentage of originations held for investment, rather than as a percentage of gross revenue, provides more useful insight into our operating performance. Our provision for loan losses as a percentage of originations held for investment, or the Provision Rate, decreased from $7.8 \%$ to $6.0 \%$. The decrease in the Provision Rate is largely attributable to the tightening of our credit policies used to determine eligibility, pricing and loan size for certain customers. The tightening of our credit policies began in early 2017.
Funding costs. Funding costs increased by $\$ 1.5$ million, or $4.3 \%$, from $\$ 34.2$ million to $\$ 35.7$ million. As a percentage of gross revenue, funding costs decreased from $13.0 \%$ to $12.4 \%$. The increase in funding costs, which was primarily attributable to an increase in benchmark rates, was partially offset by a decrease in interest rate spread (the applicable percentage rate above the benchmark interest rate charged by the lender). The Average Funding Debt Outstanding decreased from $\$ 737.9$ million to $\$ 733.6$ million while our Cost of Funds Rate increased from $6.2 \%$ to $6.5 \%$.

## Table of Contents

Operating Expense
Sales and marketing
Nine Months Ended September 30,


Sales and marketing \$32,875 11.4 \% \$42,090 16.0 \% \$ 9,215 ) (21.9 )\%
Sales and marketing expense decreased by $\$ 9.2$ million, or $21.9 \%$, from $\$ 42.1$ million to $\$ 32.9$ million. The decrease was primarily attributable to a $\$ 3.5$ million decrease in customer acquisition costs, a $\$ 1.2$ million decrease in occupancy costs due to the lease terminations which occurred during the first quarter of 2018, and a decrease of $\$ 0.7$ million in personnel-related costs. Additionally, radio/television advertising decreased by $\$ 1.2$ million, syndication program costs decreased by $\$ 1.5$ million and general marketing spend decreased by $\$ 0.7$ million. Technology and analytics

Nine Months Ended September 30,


Technology and analytics $\$ 37,224 \quad 12.9 \quad \% \quad 41,960 \quad 15.9 \quad \% \quad \$(4,736)(11.3) \%$
Technology and analytics expense decreased by $\$ 4.7$ million, or $11.3 \%$, from $\$ 42.0$ million to $\$ 37.2$ million. The decrease was primarily attributable to a $\$ 3.8$ million decrease in personnel-related costs. Additionally, there was a $\$ 0.7$ million decrease in occupancy costs due to the lease terminations which occurred during the first quarter of 2018 and a $\$ 1.1$ million decrease in technology depreciation. This was partially offset by a $\$ 1.1$ million increase in technology consultant spend.
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Processing and servicing
Nine Months Ended September 30,

| 2018 |  | 2017 |  | Period-to-Period Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percentage of |  | Percentage of |  |
| Amount | Gross | Amount | Gross | Amount Percentage |
|  | Revenue |  | Revenue |  |

Processing and servicing \$15,564 5.4 \% \$13,521 5.1 \% \$2,043 $15.1 \quad \%$
Processing and servicing expense increased by $\$ 2.0$ million, or $15.1 \%$, from $\$ 13.5$ million to $\$ 15.6$ million. The increase was primarily attributable to a $\$ 1.5$ million increase in personnel-related costs and an increase of $\$ 1.0$ million in costs associated with the increase of originations and loan servicing. This was partially offset by a decrease in occupancy costs of $\$ 0.6$ million due to the lease terminations which occurred during the first quarter of 2018. General and administrative

Nine Months Ended September 30,

2018 Percentage of 2017 Percentage of | Period-to-Period |
| :--- |
| Change |

| Amount Gross | Amount Gross | Amount Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenue | Revenue |  |
| (dollars in thousands) |  |  |

General and administrative \$46,866 $16.2 \quad \% \quad \$ 30,917 \quad 11.7 \quad \% \quad \$ 15,949 \quad 51.6 \quad \%$ General and administrative expense increased by $\$ 15.9$ million, or $51.6 \%$, from $\$ 30.9$ million to $\$ 46.9$ million. The increase was primarily attributable to a $\$ 5.7$ million charge related to the lease terminations in the New York and Denver offices. We also had a $\$ 3.5$ million increase in personnel-related costs. Additionally, we recorded $\$ 1.9$ million of debt extinguishment costs to write off the remaining balance of deferred issuance fees in connection with the extinguishment of the ODAST II 2016-1 debt and the ODAF debt in the nine month period ended September 30, 2018. The loss related to foreign currency transactions and holdings increased in the nine months ended September 30, 2018, increasing expenses by $\$ 3.1$ million, driven by the decreased value in exchange rates relative to the U.S. dollar in the third quarter of 2018 compared to the third quarter of 2017. Recruiting costs increased by $\$ 1.3$ million, consulting fees increased by $\$ 0.6$ million and insurance costs decreased by $\$ 0.3$ million in the current year period.

## Table of Contents

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

During the third quarter of 2018 , we originated $\$ 647.8$ million of loans and during the nine months ended September 30 , 2018 we originated $\$ 1.8$ billion of loans utilizing a diversified set of funding sources, including cash on hand, third-party lenders (through debt facilities and securitization), and the cash generated by our operating, investing and financing activities.
Cash on Hand
At September 30, 2018, we had approximately $\$ 71$ million of cash on hand to fund our future operations which was generally comparable to December 31, 2017.
Current Debt Facilities
The following table summarizes our current debt facilities available for funding our lending activities, referred to as funding debt, and our operating expenditures, referred to as corporate debt, as of September 30, 2018.

|  | Maturity <br> Date | Weighted <br> Average <br> Interest Rate at September 30, <br> 2018 | Borrowingrincipal <br> Capacity Outstanding |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | (in millions) |

${ }^{(1)}$ The period during which new borrowings may be made under this facility expires in March 2020.
${ }^{(2)}$ The period during which new borrowings may be made under this debt facility expires in August 2021.
${ }^{(3)}$ The period during which new borrowings may be made under this debt facility expires in April 2022.
${ }^{(4)}$ Maturity dates range from January 2020 through June 2021.
${ }^{(5)}$ May not sum due to rounding.
${ }^{(6)}$ In October 2018 the debt facility was amended to extend the maturity of the facility to January 2019.

Our ability to fully utilize the available capacity of our debt facilities may also be impacted by restrictions that limit concentration risk and eligibility. The debt facilities contain thresholds, known as concentration limitations, which restrict a debt facility's collateral pool from being overly concentrated with loans that share pre-defined loan characteristics. In addition, debt facilities contain restrictions that limit the eligibility criteria of loans that may be financed, such as term length, loan amount and a borrower's home country. Loans that do not meet the criteria to be financed are referred to as ineligible loans. To the extent such concentration limits are exceeded or loans are deemed ineligible, newly originated loans with the pre-defined loan characteristics subject to that concentration limit or eligibility criteria may not be financed despite available capacity under the debt facilities.

OnDeck Marketplace
OnDeck Marketplace is our proprietary whole loan sale platform that allows participating third-party institutional investors to directly purchase small business loans from us. No loans were sold during the nine months ended September 30, 2018. During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, we sold loans with an unpaid principal balance of $\$ 55.5$ million through OnDeck Marketplace. We may elect to make OnDeck Marketplace loan sales in the future to provide us an additional source of liquidity and to maintain active relationships with our institutional loan purchasers.
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Cash and Cash Equivalents, Loans (Net of Allowance for Loan Losses), and Cash Flows
The following table summarizes our cash and cash equivalents, loans (net of ALLL) and cash flows:

|  | As of and for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 20182017 (in thousands) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$71,304 | \$64,292 |
| Restricted cash | \$48,919 | \$56,729 |
| Loans held for investment, net | \$984,184 | \$852,331 |
| Cash provided by (used in): |  |  |
| Operating activities | \$182,187 | \$149,143 |
| Investing activities | \$ $(294,686)$ | \$ $(119,652)$ |
| Financing activities | \$118,589 | \$(33,280 |

Our cash and cash equivalents at September 30, 2018 were held primarily for working capital purposes. We may, from time to time, use excess cash and cash equivalents to fund our lending activities. We do not enter into investments for trading or speculative purposes. Our policy is to invest any cash in excess of our immediate working capital requirements in investments designed to preserve the principal balance and provide liquidity. Accordingly, our excess cash is invested primarily in demand deposit accounts that are currently providing only a minimal return. Our restricted cash represents funds held in accounts as reserves on certain debt facilities and as collateral for issuing bank partner transactions. We have no ability to draw on such funds as long as they remain restricted under the applicable arrangements.
Cash Flows
Operating Activities
For the nine months ended September 30, 2018, net cash provided by our operating activities was $\$ 182.2$ million, which was primarily the result of interest payments from our customers of $\$ 320.4$ million, less $\$ 107.0$ million utilized to pay our operating expenses and $\$ 32.3$ million we used to pay the interest on our debt (both funding and corporate). During that same period, accounts payable and accrued expenses and other liabilities increased by approximately $\$ 1.8$ million.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2017, net cash provided by our operating activities was $\$ 149.1$ million, which was primarily the result of cash received from our customers including interest payments and other revenue of $\$ 297.7$ million, plus proceeds from sale of loans held for sale of $\$ 45.9$ million, less $\$ 43.5$ million of loans held for sale originations in excess of loan repayments received, $\$ 118.9$ million utilized to pay our operating expenses, $\$ 31.5$ million we used to pay the interest on our debt (both funding and corporate) and $\$ 1.1$ million of origination costs paid in excess of fees collected. During that same period, accounts payable and accrued expenses and other liabilities decreased by approximately $\$ 10.0$ million.
Investing Activities
Our investing activities have consisted primarily of funding our term loan and line of credit originations, including payment of associated direct costs and receipt of associated fees, offset by customer repayments of term loans and lines of credit, purchases of property, equipment and software, capitalized internal-use software development costs and proceeds from the sale of term loans which were not specifically identified at origination through OnDeck Marketplace. Purchases of property, equipment and software and capitalized internal-use software development costs may vary from period to period due to the timing of the expansion of our operations, the addition of employee headcount and the development cycles of our internal-use technology.

From time to time in the past, we have voluntarily purchased and may again in the future voluntarily purchase our loans that were previously sold to third parties. The circumstances under which we effect these transactions depends
on a variety of factors. In determining whether to engage in a certain voluntary purchase transaction, we consider, among other things, our relationship with the potential seller, the potential purchase price, credit profile of the target loans, our overall liquidity position and possible alternative uses of cash. Although these purchases have not been material in the past, depending upon the circumstances, they could be material in the future, depending on the quantity and timing of these purchases.
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For the nine months ended September 30, 2018, net cash used to fund our investing activities was $\$ 294.7$ million, and consisted primarily of $\$ 242.8$ million of loan originations in excess of loan repayments received, $\$ 46.7$ million of origination costs paid in excess of fees collected and $\$ 4.4$ million for the purchase of property, equipment and software and capitalized internal-use software development costs.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2017, net cash used to fund our investing activities was $\$ 119.7$ million and consisted primarily of $\$ 82.2$ million of loan originations in excess of loan repayments received, $\$ 32.7$ million of origination costs paid in excess of fees collected, $\$ 13.7$ million for the purchase of loans, a $\$ 12.3$ million increase in restricted cash and $\$ 3.4$ million for the purchase of property, equipment and software and capitalized internal-use software development costs. These uses of cash were partially offset by $\$ 12.4$ million of proceeds from sales of loans held for investment.
Financing Activities
Our financing activities have consisted primarily of net borrowings from our securitization facility and our revolving debt facilities as well as the issuance of common stock.
For the nine months ended September 30, 2018, net cash provided by our financing activities was $\$ 118.6$ million and consisted primarily of $\$ 119.9$ million in net additional debt drawn down from our debt facilities and $\$ 5.5$ million of payments of debt issuance costs. These uses of cash were partially offset by $\$ 3.4$ million of net cash received from noncontrolling interest, and $\$ 1.4$ million of cash received from the issuance of common stock under the employee stock purchase plan.
For the nine months ended September 30, 2017, net cash used in financing activities was $\$ 33.3$ million and consisted primarily of $\$ 34.0$ million in net repayments on our securitization and debt facilities and $\$ 3.2$ million of payments of debt issuance costs. These uses of cash were partially offset by $\$ 2.4$ million of net cash received from noncontrolling interest, and $\$ 1.8$ million of cash received from the issuance of common stock under the employee stock purchase plan.
Operating and Capital Expenditure Requirements
We require substantial liquidity to fund our current operating and capital expenditure requirements. We expect these requirements to increase as we pursue our growth strategy.

Our strategy is to continue to grow in a disciplined manner while remaining highly focused on credit quality, operating leverage and profitability. We expect our originations to grow in absolute dollars for the full year 2018 as compared to 2017. We intend to allocate resources to continue to optimize marketing and customer acquisition costs based on targeted returns on investment rather than spending inefficiently in these areas to achieve incremental growth.

We estimate that at September 30, 2018, approximately $\$ 322$ million of our own cash had been invested in our loan portfolio, approximately two-thirds of which was used to fund our portfolio's residual value and the remainder was used to fund ineligible loans. While investing in our portfolio's residual value is a requirement of our funding model and will remain a use of cash so long as we continue to grow loan balances, the use of cash to fund ineligible loans may be mitigated if and to the extent we obtain funding capacity that permits the funding of the ineligible loans, either through debt facilities or OnDeck Marketplace.

As of September 30, 2018, approximately $\$ 460$ million of our funding debt capacity was scheduled to expire before September 30, 2019.

On April 13, 2018, our wholly-owned subsidiary, Loan Assets of OnDeck, LLC, established a new asset-backed revolving debt facility with a commitment amount of $\$ 100$ million and an interest rate of 1-month LIBOR $+2.0 \%$. The period during which new borrowings may be made under this facility expires on April 13, 2022 and the final maturity date is October 13, 2022.

On April 17, 2018, our wholly-owned subsidiary, OnDeck Asset Securitization Trust II LLC, issued $\$ 225$ million in initial principal amount of fixed-rate asset backed offered notes in a securitization transaction. The notes were issued in four classes with a weighted average fixed interest rate of $3.75 \%$. The revolving period expires on March 31, 2020 and the final maturity date is April 2022. The net proceeds of this transaction were used, together with other available funds, to voluntarily prepay in full all $\$ 250$ million of notes from a prior securitization.

On June 27, 2018, our wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, Canada OnDeck Asset Funding, L.P, established a new asset-backed revolving debt facility with a commitment amount of CAD25 million and an additional CAD25 million of capacity
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available at the discretion of the lenders. The interest rate for the facility is a commercial paper rate $+4 \%$. The period during which new borrowings may be made under this facility expires on June 27, 2020 and the final maturity date is June 27, 2021.

On June 28, 2018, OnDeck Funding Trust No. 2, a wholly-owned subsidiary of On Deck Capital Australia Pty Ltd, established a new asset-backed revolving debt facility with a commitment amount of AUD75 million. The interest rate for the facility is 1 Month BBSW+ $3.75 \%$. The period during which new borrowings may be made under this facility expires on December 28, 2019 and the final maturity date is June 28, 2020.
On August 8, 2018, our wholly-owned subsidiary, OnDeck Asset Funding II LLC, established a new asset-backed revolving debt facility with a commitment amount of $\$ 175$ million and an interest rate of 1 -month LIBOR $+3.0 \%$. The period during which new borrowings may be made under this facility expires on August 6, 2021 and the final maturity date is August 8,2022 . Concurrent with closing this facility, the Company optionally prepaid in full and terminated the $\$ 100$ million asset-backed revolving debt facility by and between, among others, On Deck Asset Company, LLC, as borrower, and WM 2016-1, LLC, as administrative agent.
On August 14, 2018, our wholly-owned subsidiary, OnDeck Asset Funding I LLC, voluntarily prepaid in full and terminated the $\$ 150$ million asset-backed revolving debt facility originally entered into in August 2016 by and between, among others, OnDeck Asset Funding I LLC, as borrower, and Ares Agent Services, L.P., as administrative agent.
On October 4, 2018, On Deck Capital, Inc. amended its existing $\$ 30$ million revolving debt facility to extend the maturity date of the facility to January 2019 and made various technical, definitional, conforming and other changes. In order to maintain or grow our loan originations over the next 12 months, we will be required to extend existing debt facilities that have borrowing period expirations or final maturities during that period and/or secure new funding. We currently expect to be able to do so on market terms.

We expect to use cash flow generated from operations, together with additional cash we may obtain by financing currently ineligible loans, to the extent that we are able to do so, to continue funding residual growth as our financed portfolio grows. In addition, we may also finance our expected residual growth through other unused liquidity sources such as our corporate line of credit or possible additional subordinated notes in our debt facilities.

Historically we have been successful in accessing the asset-backed loan market on terms acceptable to us, and we anticipate that we will be able to do so into the foreseeable future. However, if we deem the cost of accessing the asset-backed loan market to be in excess of an appropriate rate, we may elect to use available cash, seek to increase the use of OnDeck Marketplace, or use other financing options available to us. Furthermore, we could decide to alter the types of loans we originate, such that more loans are eligible for credit facilities, or we could decide to slow down the rate of originations.

We believe that our cash flow from operations, available capacity under our revolving lines of credit (and expected extensions or replacements of those lines) and existing cash balances, together with additional financing we expect to be able to obtain on market terms, are sufficient to meet both our existing operating and capital expenditure requirements and our currently planned growth for at least the next 12 months.

It is possible that we may require capital in excess of amounts we currently anticipate. Although it is not currently anticipated, depending upon the circumstances we may seek additional equity financing. The sale of equity may result in dilution to our stockholders, and those securities may have rights senior to those of our common stock. If we raise additional funds through the issuance of additional debt, the agreements governing such debt could contain covenants that would restrict our operations and such debt would rank senior to shares of our common stock. Depending on market conditions and other factors, we may not be able to obtain additional capital for our current operations or anticipated future growth on reasonable terms or at all.

## Contractual Obligations

Other than as described under the subheading "Liquidity and Capital Resources," and in Note 5 and Note 9 of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, there have been no material changes in our commitments under contractual obligations from those disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017.
As a result of the lease terminations described more fully in Note 9 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, our contractual obligations under our operating leases have decreased subsequent to year-end by approximately $\$ 23.4$ million. Our projected future cash payments related to our operating leases are as follows:
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Contractual Obligations Total 2018 2019-2020 2021-2022 Thereafter (in thousands)
Operating leases $\quad \$ 55,304 \$ 6,424 \$ 13,386 \quad \$ 12,641 \quad \$ 22,853$

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of September 30, 2018, we did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined in Item 303(a)(4)(ii) of Regulation S-K, such as the use of unconsolidated subsidiaries, structured finance, special purpose entities or variable interest entities.
Critical Accounting Policies and Significant Judgments and Estimates
There have been no material changes to our critical accounting policies and estimates as compared to those described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017.
Our management's discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based on our condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these condensed consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reported period. In accordance with GAAP, we base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

## Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements and JOBS Act Election

Recent Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted
Refer to Note 1, Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, contained in the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1 of Part I of this report for a full description of the recent accounting pronouncements and our expectation of their impact, if any, on our results of operations and financial conditions.
Under the JOBS Act, we meet the definition of an "emerging growth company." We have irrevocably elected to opt out of the extended transition period for complying with new or revised accounting standards pursuant to Section 107(b) of the JOBS Act.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk
There have been no material changes from the information previously reported under "Part II, Item 7A" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017.
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Item 4.Controls and Procedures
Disclosure Controls and Procedures
As required by Rule 13a-15 under the Exchange Act, management has evaluated, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Disclosure controls and procedures refer to controls and other procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act are recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in our reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act are accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding our required disclosure. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management was required to apply its judgment in evaluating and implementing possible controls and procedures. Based on the foregoing evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of September 30, 2018, the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at a reasonable assurance level.
Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting
There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2018 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1.Legal Proceedings

From time to time we are subject to legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of our business. The results of such matters cannot be predicted with certainty. However, we believe that the final outcome of any such current matters will not result in a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, consolidated results of operations or consolidated cash flows.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our current and prospective investors should carefully consider the risks described in "Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017, and other documents that we file with the SEC from time to time which are available on the SEC website at www.sec.gov, and all other information contained in this report, including our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and the related notes, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the "Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements," before making investment decisions regarding our securities. The risks and uncertainties in our Form 10-K are not the only ones we face, but include the most significant factors currently known by us. Additional risks and uncertainties that we are unaware of, or that we currently believe are not material, also may become important factors that affect us. If any of these risks materialize, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially harmed. In that case, the trading price of our securities could decline, and you may lose some or all of your investment.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds None.
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Item 3.Defaults Upon Senior Securities
None.
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures
None.
Item 5.Other Information
Amendment and Restatement of Bylaws
On October 31, 2018, our board of directors adopted amendments to our third amended and restated bylaws as previously in effect and approved the further amendment and restatement of our bylaws to reflect the amendments approved on such date (as so amended, the "Amended and Restated Bylaws"). The Amended and Restated Bylaws were effective immediately.

The amendments changed Section 2.4(i)(a) of the bylaws to require our stockholders to provide advance notice, not less than 120 calendar days before the one-year anniversary of the date on which we mailed our previous year's proxy statement, of any stockholder business to be acted upon at our next annual meeting of stockholders. Prior to the amendment, stockholders were required to provide advance notice no later than the 45th day, nor earlier than the 75th day, before the one-year anniversary of the date on which we mailed our previous year's proxy statement.

The amendments changed Section 2.9 of the bylaws to require (i) that director nominees be elected by the affirmative vote of the majority of the votes cast by our stockholders and (ii) director nominees be elected by a plurality of the votes cast when the number of director nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected. Prior to the amendment, all director nominees were required to be elected by a plurality of the voting power of the shares present at a stockholder meeting.

The amendments changed Section 2.14 of the bylaws to require that our board of directors appoint one or more inspectors of election prior to any stockholder meeting. Prior to the amendment, our board of directors was required to appoint either one or three inspectors of election prior to any stockholder meeting.

A copy of the Amended and Restated Bylaws is filed with this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q as Exhibit 3.2.
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Item 6.Exhibits
The documents listed in the Exhibit Index of this report are incorporated by reference or are filed with this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, in each case as indicated therein (numbered in accordance with Item 601 of Regulation S-K).

Exhibit Index

| Exhibit <br> Number | Description | Filed / <br> Incorporated by <br> Reference <br> from <br> Form * | Incorporated by Reference from Exhibit Number | Date Filed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation | 8-K | 3.1 | 12/22/2014 |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws (Effective as of October 31. 2018). | Filed herewith. |  |  |
| 4.1 | Form of common stock certificate. | S-1 | 4.1 | 11/10/2014 |
| 4.2 | Form of warrant to purchase common stock. | S-1 | 4.6 | 11/10/2014 |
|  | Credit Agreement, dated as of August 8, 2018, by and among |  |  |  |
| 10.1 | OnDeck Asset Funding II LLC, as Company, the Lenders from time to time party thereto. Ares Agent Services, L.P., as | Filed |  |  |
|  | Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent, and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.. as Paying Agent | herewith. |  |  |
| 31.1 | Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), by Chief Executive Officer. | Filed herewith. |  |  |
| 31.2 | Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), by Chief Financial Officer. | Filed herewith. |  |  |
| 32.1 | Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, by Chief Executive Officer. | Filed herewith. |  |  |
| 32.2 | Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, by Chief Financial Officer. | Filed herewith. |  |  |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document | Filed herewith. |  |  |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document | Filed herewith. |  |  |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document | Filed herewith. |  |  |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document | Filed herewith. |  |  |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document | Filed herewith. |  |  |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document | Filed herewith. |  |  |

* All exhibits incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Form S-1 or S-1/A registration statements relate to Registration No. 333-200043

Edgar Filing: On Deck Capital, Inc. - Form 10-Q
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

On Deck Capital, Inc.
/s/ Kenneth A.
Brause
Kenneth A. Brause
Chief Financial
Officer
(Principal Financial
Officer)
Date: November 6, 2018
/s/ Nicholas Sinigaglia
Nicholas Sinigaglia
Chief Accounting Officer
(Principal Accounting Officer)
Date: November 6, 2018
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