

Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

JOHNSON & JOHNSON  
Form 10-Q/A  
August 08, 2006

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(X) Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d)  
of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the  
quarterly period ended July 2, 2006

or

( ) Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d)  
of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the  
transition period from to

Commission file number 1-3215

JOHNSON & JOHNSON  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

NEW JERSEY 22-1024240  
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer  
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

One Johnson & Johnson Plaza  
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08933  
(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code  
(732) 524-0400

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1)  
has filed all reports required to be filed by Section  
13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter  
period that the registrant was required to file such  
reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing  
requirements for the past 90 days. (X) Yes ( ) No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a  
large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-  
accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated  
filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the  
Exchange Act. Large accelerated filer (X)  
Accelerated filer ( ) Non-accelerated filer ( )

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a  
shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange  
Act). ( ) Yes (X) No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of  
each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of  
the latest practicable date.

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

On July 30, 2006 2,925,021,833 shares of Common Stock, \$1.00 par value, were outstanding.

JOHNSON & JOHNSON AND SUBSIDIARIES

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I - Financial Information	Page No.
Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)	
Consolidated Balance Sheets - July 2, 2006 and January 1, 2006	3
Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the Fiscal Second Quarters Ended July 2, 2006 and July 3, 2005	5
Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the Fiscal Six Months Ended July 2, 2006 and July 3, 2005	6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Fiscal Six Months Ended July 2, 2006 and July 3, 2005	7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	9
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	31
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	42
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	42
Part II - Other Information	
Item 1 - Legal Proceedings	43
Item 1A - Risk Factors	43
Item 2 - Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	43
Item 4 - Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	44
Item 6 - Exhibits	45
Signatures	46

Part I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

## Item 1 - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### JOHNSON & JOHNSON AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited; Dollars in Millions)

	ASSETS	
	July 2, 2006	January 1, 2006*
Current Assets:		
Cash & cash equivalents	\$14,647	\$16,055
Marketable securities	78	83
Accounts receivable, trade, less allowances for doubtful accounts \$163 (2005,\$164)	8,162	7,010
Inventories (note 4)	4,313	3,959
Deferred taxes on income	2,091	1,931
Prepaid expenses and other receivables	2,223	2,442
Total current assets	31,514	31,480
Marketable securities, non-current	21	20
Property, plant and equipment at cost	20,965	19,716
Less: accumulated depreciation	(9,678)	(8,886)
Property, plant and equipment, net	11,287	10,830
Intangible assets, net (note 5)	6,617	6,185
Goodwill, net (note 5)	6,657	5,990
Deferred taxes on income	1,683	1,138
Other assets	3,211	3,221
Total Assets	\$60,990	\$58,864

\* Restated to include the impact of share based compensation expense; see Notes 1 and 10 for additional information.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

# Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

## JOHNSON & JOHNSON AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited; Dollars in Millions)

### LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

July 2, 2006      January 1, 2006\*

Current Liabilities:		
Loans and notes payable	\$654	\$668
Accounts payable	4,089	4,315
Accrued liabilities	3,286	3,529
Accrued rebates, returns and promotions	1,948	2,017
Accrued salaries, wages and commissions	985	1,166
Accrued taxes on income	1,227	940
Total current Liabilities	12,189	12,635
Long-term debt	1,981	2,017
Deferred taxes on income	322	211
Employee related obligations	3,456	3,065
Other liabilities	2,300	2,226
Total liabilities	20,248	20,154
Shareholders' Equity:		
Common stock - par value \$1.00 per share (authorized 4,320,000,000 shares; issued 3,119,842,000 shares)	3,120	3,120
Accumulated other comprehensive income (note 8)	(550)	(755)
Retained earnings	46,530	42,310
Less: common stock held in treasury, at cost (185,855,000 and 145,364,000 shares)	8,358	5,965
Total shareholders' equity	40,742	38,710
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$60,990	\$58,864

\* Restated to include the impact of share based compensation expense; see Notes 1 and 10 for additional information.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

# Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

## JOHNSON & JOHNSON AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS (Unaudited; dollars & shares in millions except per share figures)

	Fiscal Quarters Ended			
	July 2, 2006	Percent to Sales	July 3, 2005*	Percent to Sales
Sales to customers (Note 6)	\$13,363	100.0%	\$12,762	100.0%
Cost of products sold	3,788	28.3	3,522	27.6
Gross profit	9,575	71.7	9,240	72.4
Selling, marketing and administrative expenses	4,351	32.6	4,278	33.5
Research expense	1,828	13.7	1,525	11.9
In-process research & development	87	0.6	353	2.8
Interest income	(209)	(1.6)	(109)	(0.8)
Interest expense, net of portion capitalized	13	0.1	15	0.1
Other income, net	(98)	(0.7)	(88)	(0.7)
Earnings before provision for taxes on income	3,603	27.0	3,266	25.6
Provision for taxes on income (Note 3)	783	5.9	678	5.3
NET EARNINGS	\$2,820	21.1%	\$2,588	20.3%
NET EARNINGS PER SHARE				
Basic	\$0.96		\$0.87	
Diluted	\$0.95		\$0.86	
CASH DIVIDENDS PER SHARE	\$0.375		\$0.33	
AVG. SHARES OUTSTANDING				
Basic	2,954.0		2,973.7	
Diluted	2,974.4		3,024.7	

\* Restated to include the impact of share based compensation expense; see Notes 1 and 10 for additional information.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

# Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

## JOHNSON & JOHNSON AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS (Unaudited; dollars & shares in millions except per share figures)

	Fiscal Six Months Ended			
	July 2, 2006	Percent to Sales	July 3, 2005*	Percent to Sales
Sales to customers (Note 6)	\$26,355	100.0%	\$25,594	100.0%
Cost of products sold	7,400	28.1	7,018	27.4
Gross profit	18,955	71.9	18,576	72.6
Selling, marketing and administrative expenses	8,446	32.0	8,405	32.8
Research expense	3,360	12.7	2,909	11.4
In-process research & development	124	0.5	353	1.4
Interest income	(406)	(1.5)	(193)	(0.7)
Interest expense, net of portion capitalized	29	0.1	30	0.1
Other income, net	(816)	(3.1)	(121)	(0.5)
Earnings before provision for taxes on income	8,218	31.2	7,193	28.1
Provision for taxes on income (Note 3)	2,093	8.0	1,766	6.9
NET EARNINGS	\$6,125	23.2%	\$5,427	21.2%
NET EARNINGS PER SHARE				
Basic	\$2.07		\$1.83	
Diluted	\$2.05		\$1.80	
CASH DIVIDENDS PER SHARE	\$0.705		\$0.615	
AVG. SHARES OUTSTANDING				
Basic	2,963.0		2,973.0	
Diluted	2,982.5		3,021.8	

\* Restated to include the impact of share based compensation expense; see Notes 1 and 10 for additional information.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

# Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

## JOHNSON & JOHNSON AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited; Dollars in Millions)

	Fiscal Six Months Ended	
	July 2, 2006	July 3, 2005*
CASH FLOW FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net earnings	\$6,125	\$5,427
Adjustment to reconcile net earnings to cash flow:		
Depreciation and amortization of property and intangibles	1,067	1,063
Stock based compensation	340	271
Purchased in-process research and development	124	353
Deferred tax provision	(628)	(212)
Accounts receivable allowances	(5)	(17)
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions:		
Increase in accounts receivable	(949)	(876)
Increase in inventories	(229)	(380)
Decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(794)	(1,651)
Decrease in other current and non-current assets	83	578
Increase in other current and non-current liabilities	696	93
NET CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES	5,830	4,649
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(1,034)	(874)
Proceeds from the disposal of assets	1	77
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(1,218)	(693)
Purchases of investments	(396)	(4,999)
Sales of investments	322	7,611
Other (primarily intangibles)	(37)	(282)
NET CASH (USED)/PROVIDED BY INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(2,362)	840
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Dividends to shareholders	(2,089)	(1,829)
Repurchase of common stock	(2,968)	(988)
Proceeds from short-term debt	500	351
Retirement of short-term debt	(723)	(314)
Proceeds from long-term debt	-	4
Retirement of long-term debt	(10)	(20)

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

Proceeds from the exercise of stock options/excess tax benefits	332	455
	(4,958)	(2,341)
NET CASH USED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	82	(195)
(Decrease)/increase in cash and cash equivalents	(1,408)	2,953
Cash and Cash equivalents, beginning of period	16,055	9,203
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	\$14,647	\$12,156
Acquisitions		
Fair value of assets acquired	\$1,392	\$854
Fair value of liabilities assumed	(174)	(161)
Net cash paid for acquisitions	\$1,218	\$693

\* Restated to include the impact of share based compensation expense; see Notes 1 and 10 for additional information.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 - The accompanying unaudited interim financial statements and related notes should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements of Johnson & Johnson and Subsidiaries (the "Company") and related notes as contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2006. The unaudited interim financial statements include all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) and accruals necessary in the judgment of management for a fair statement of the results for the periods presented.

During the fiscal first quarter of 2006, the Company elected to adopt SFAS 123(R), Share Based Payment, under the modified retrospective application method. Accordingly, financial statement amounts for the prior periods presented in this Form 10-Q have been restated to reflect the fair value method of expensing prescribed by SFAS 123(R).

### NOTE 2 - FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company follows the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 133, SFAS 138 and SFAS 149 requiring that all derivative instruments be recorded on the balance sheet at fair value.

As of July 2, 2006, the balance of deferred net losses



## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

on derivatives included in accumulated other comprehensive income was \$2 million after-tax. For additional information, see Note 8. The Company expects that substantially all of this amount will be reclassified into earnings over the next 12 months as a result of transactions that are expected to occur over that period. The amount ultimately realized in earnings will differ as foreign exchange rates change. Realized gains and losses are ultimately determined by actual exchange rates at maturity of the derivative. Transactions with third parties will cause the amount in accumulated other comprehensive income to affect net earnings. The maximum length of time over which the Company is hedging is 18 months. The Company also uses currency swaps to manage currency risk primarily related to borrowings, which may exceed 18 months.

For the fiscal second quarters ended July 2, 2006 and July 3, 2005, the net impact of the hedges' ineffectiveness, transactions not qualifying for hedge accounting and discontinuance of hedges, to the Company's financial statements was insignificant. Refer to Note 8 for disclosures of movements in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income.

### NOTE 3 - INCOME TAXES

The worldwide effective income tax rates for the first fiscal six months of 2006 and 2005 were 25.5% and 24.6%, respectively, an increase of 0.9% primarily due to the expiration of the U.S. research and development tax credit at the end of fiscal 2005, and the net effect of the following items. The tax rate for the first fiscal six months of 2006 benefited from a reversal of tax allowances of \$134 million associated with the Tibotec business. This benefit was offset by acquisition-related IPR&D charges of \$124 million, for which there was a minimal tax benefit. Additionally, the first fiscal six months of 2006 includes the gain associated with the Guidant termination fee, less associated expenses, of \$622 million before tax recorded at a 40.8% tax rate.

The first fiscal six months of 2005 included a benefit of \$225 million, due to the reversal of a tax liability previously recorded during the fiscal fourth quarter of 2004, associated with a technical correction made to the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004, in May 2005, as well as, the impact of acquisition-related IPR&D charges of \$353 million that are non-deductible for tax purposes.

### NOTE 4 - INVENTORIES

(Dollars in Millions)

	July 2, 2006	January 1, 2006
Raw materials and supplies	\$1,124	\$931
Goods in process	1,067	1,073
Finished goods	2,122	1,955
	\$4,313	\$3,959

### NOTE 5 - INTANGIBLE ASSETS AND GOODWILL

Intangible assets that have finite useful lives are

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

amortized over their estimated useful lives. Goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets are assessed annually for impairment. The latest impairment assessment of goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets was completed in the fiscal fourth quarter of 2005 and no impairment was determined. Future impairment tests will be performed annually in the fiscal fourth quarter, or sooner if warranted by economic conditions.

(Dollars in Millions)

	July 2, 2006	January 1, 2006
Trademarks (non-amortizable)	\$1,565	\$1,400
Less accumulated amortization	134	134
Trademarks (non-amortizable)-net	1,431	1,266
Patents and trademarks	4,445	4,128
Less accumulated amortization	1,524	1,370
Patents and trademarks - net	2,921	2,758
Other amortizable intangibles	3,773	3,544
Less accumulated amortization	1,508	1,383
Other intangibles - net	2,265	2,161
Total intangible assets - gross	9,783	9,072
Less accumulated amortization	3,166	2,887
Total intangible assets - net	6,617	6,185
Goodwill - gross	7,381	6,703
Less accumulated amortization	724	713
Goodwill - net	\$6,657	\$5,990

Goodwill as of July 2, 2006 as allocated by segment of business is as follows:

(Dollars in Millions)

	Consumer	Pharm	Med Dev & Diag	Total
Goodwill, net of accumulated amortization at January 1, 2006	\$1,090	\$874	\$4,026	\$5,990
Acquisitions	153	-	455	608
Translation & Other	30	17	12	59
Goodwill as of July 2, 2006	\$1,273	\$891	\$4,493	\$6,657

The weighted average amortization periods for patents and trademarks and other intangible assets are 15 years and 17 years, respectively. The amortization expense of amortizable intangible assets for the fiscal six months ended July 2, 2006 was \$268 million and the estimated amortization expense for the five succeeding years approximates \$565 million, per year.

NOTE 6 - SEGMENTS OF BUSINESS AND GEOGRAPHIC AREAS  
(Dollars in Millions)

SALES BY SEGMENT OF BUSINESS (1)

Fiscal Quarters Ended

# Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

	July 2, 2006	July 3, 2005	Percent Change
Consumer			
U.S.	\$1,103	\$1,092	1.0%
International	1,295	1,186	9.2
	2,398	2,278	5.3
Pharmaceutical			
U.S.	3,682	3,595	2.4
International	2,128	2,033	4.7
	5,810	5,628	3.2
Medical Devices & Diagnostics			
U.S.	2,590	2,378	8.9
International	2,565	2,478	3.5
	5,155	4,856	6.2
U.S.	7,375	7,065	4.4
International	5,988	5,697	5.1
Worldwide	\$13,363	\$12,762	4.7%

	Fiscal Six Months Ended		
	July 2, 2006	July 3, 2005	Percent Change
Consumer			
U.S.	\$2,253	\$2,206	2.1%
International	2,500	2,352	6.3
	4,753	4,558	4.3
Pharmaceutical			
U.S.	7,383	7,378	0.1
International	4,053	4,005	1.2
	11,436	11,383	0.5
Medical Devices & Diagnostics			
U.S.	5,110	4,739	7.8
International	5,056	4,914	2.9
	10,166	9,653	5.3
U.S.	14,746	14,323	3.0
International	11,609	11,271	3.0
Worldwide	\$26,355	\$25,594	3.0%

(1) Export and intersegment sales are not significant.

## OPERATING PROFIT BY SEGMENT OF BUSINESS (Dollars in Millions)

	Fiscal Quarters Ended		
	July 2, 2006	July 3, 2005	Percent Change
Consumer	\$439	\$399	10.0%
Pharmaceutical (1)	1,697	1,524	11.4
Medical Devices & Diagnostics (2)	1,435	1,364	5.2
Segments total	3,571	3,287	8.6
Income/ (expense)			

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

not allocated to segments	32	(21)	
Worldwide total	\$3,603	\$3,266	10.3%

	Fiscal Six Months Ended		
	July 2, 2006	July 3, 2005	Percent Change
Consumer	\$904	\$837	8.0%
Pharmaceutical(1)	3,624	3,600	0.7
Medical Devices & Diagnostics(3)	3,595	2,812	27.8
Segments total	8,123	7,249	12.1
Income/(expense) not allocated to segments	95	(56)	
Worldwide total	\$8,218	\$7,193	14.2%

- (1) Includes \$302 million of IPR&D charges related to acquisitions completed in the fiscal second quarter of 2005.
- (2) Includes \$87 million and \$51 million of IPR&D charges related to acquisitions completed in the fiscal second quarter of 2006 and fiscal second quarter of 2005, respectively.
- (3) Includes \$124 million and \$51 million of IPR&D charges related to acquisitions completed in the first fiscal six months of 2006 and first fiscal six months of 2005, respectively. The first fiscal six months of 2006 also includes the gain associated with the Guidant termination fee, less associated expenses, of \$622 million before tax. Excluding the Guidant termination fee operating profit growth for the first fiscal six months of 2006 versus the same period last year was 5.7%.

### SALES BY GEOGRAPHIC AREA (Dollars in Millions)

	Fiscal Quarters Ended		
	July 2, 2006	July 3, 2005	Percent Change
U.S.	\$7,375	\$7,065	4.4%
Europe	3,295	3,186	3.4
Western Hemisphere, excluding U.S.	876	751	16.6
Asia-Pacific, Africa	1,817	1,760	3.2
Total	\$13,363	\$12,762	4.7%

	Fiscal Six Months Ended		
	July 2, 2006	July 3, 2005	Percent Change

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

U.S.	\$14,746	\$14,323	3.0%
Europe	6,366	6,362	0.1
Western Hemisphere, excluding U.S.	1,698	1,477	15.0
Asia-Pacific, Africa	3,545	3,432	3.3
Total	\$26,355	\$25,594	3.0%

### NOTE 7 - EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following is a reconciliation of basic net earnings per share to diluted net earnings per share for the fiscal second quarters ended July 2, 2006 and July 3, 2005.

(Shares in Millions)	Fiscal Quarters Ended	
	July 2, 2006	July 3, 2005
Basic net earnings per share	\$0.96	\$0.87
Average shares outstanding - basic	2,954.0	2,973.7
Potential shares exercisable under stock option plans	227.5	260.2
Less: shares which could be repurchased under treasury stock method	(211.0)	(216.6)
Convertible debt shares	3.9	7.4
Adjusted average shares outstanding - diluted	2,974.4	3,024.7
Diluted earnings per share	\$0.95	\$0.86

The diluted earnings per share calculation included the dilutive effect of convertible debt that was offset by the related reduction in interest expense of \$1 million and \$3 million for the fiscal second quarters ended July 2, 2006 and July 3, 2005, respectively.

The diluted earnings per share calculation excluded 45 million and 0.4 million shares related to options for the fiscal second quarters ended July 2, 2006 and July 3, 2005, respectively, as the exercise price per share of these options was greater than the average market value. If these shares were included it would result in an anti-dilutive effect on diluted earnings per share.

The following is a reconciliation of basic net earnings per share to diluted net earnings per share for the fiscal six months ended July 2, 2006 and July 3, 2005.

(Shares in Millions)	Fiscal Six Months Ended	
	July 2, 2006	July 3, 2005
Basic net earnings per share	\$2.07	\$1.83
Average shares outstanding - basic	2,963.0	2,973.0
Potential shares		

# Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

exercisable under stock option plans	227.4	214.3
Less: shares which could be repurchased under treasury stock method	(211.8)	(172.9)
Convertible debt shares	3.9	7.4
Average shares outstanding - diluted	2,982.5	3,021.8
Diluted earnings per share	\$2.05	\$1.80

The diluted earnings per share calculation included the dilutive effect of convertible debt that was offset by the related reduction in interest expense of \$2 million and \$7 million for the first fiscal six months ended July 2, 2006 and July 3, 2005, respectively.

The diluted earnings per share calculation excluded 45 million and 46 million shares related to options for the first fiscal six months ended July 2, 2006 and July 3, 2005, respectively, as the exercise price per share of these options was greater than the average market value. If these shares were included it would result in an anti-dilutive effect on diluted earnings per share.

## NOTE 8 - ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The total comprehensive income for the first fiscal six months ended July 2, 2006 was \$6.3 billion, compared with \$5.1 billion for the same period a year ago. The total comprehensive income for the fiscal second quarter ended July 2, 2006 was \$2.9 billion, compared with \$2.4 billion for the same period a year ago. Total comprehensive income included net earnings, net unrealized currency gains and losses on translation, net unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale and net gains and losses on derivative instruments qualifying and designated as cash flow hedges. The following table sets forth the components of accumulated other comprehensive income.

(Dollars in Millions)

	For. Cur. Trans.	Unrld Gains/ (Losses) on Sec	Pens Liab Adj.	Gains/ (Losses) on Deriv & Hedg	Total Accum Other Comp Inc/ (Loss)
January 1, 2006	\$ (520)	70	(320)	15	(755)
2006 six months changes:					
Net change associated with current period hedging transactions	-	-	-	7	
Net amount reclassified to net earnings	-	-	-	(24) *	
Net six months changes	246	(24)	-	(17)	205
July 2, 2006	\$ (274)	46	(320)	(2)	(550)

Amounts in accumulated other comprehensive income are

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

presented net of the related tax impact. Foreign currency translation adjustments are not currently adjusted for income taxes, as they relate to permanent investments in international subsidiaries.

\*Primarily offset in net earnings by changes in value of the underlying transactions.

### NOTE 9 - MERGERS, ACQUISITIONS AND DIVESTITURES

On June 25, 2006 the Company entered into a definitive agreement to acquire the Consumer Healthcare business of Pfizer Inc. for a purchase price of \$16.6 billion in cash. The transaction is expected to close by the end of 2006 and is subject to customary clearances, including the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act and European Union merger control regulation.

During the fiscal second quarter of 2006, the following companies were acquired: Vascular Control Systems, Inc., a privately held company focused on developing medical devices to treat fibroids and to control bleeding in obstetric and gynecologic applications and Groupe Vendome S.A., a privately held French marketer of adult and baby skin care products.

During the fiscal first quarter of 2006, the following companies were acquired: Animas Corporation, a leading maker of insulin infusion pumps and related products; Hand Innovations LLC, a privately held manufacturer of fracture fixation products for the upper extremities; and Future Medical Systems S.A., a privately held company that primarily develops, manufactures and markets arthroscopic fluid management systems.

On January 25, 2006 the definitive agreement to acquire Guidant Corporation was terminated by Guidant in accordance with its terms. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, Guidant paid the Company a fee of \$705 million. The Company recorded a gain associated with the Guidant termination fee, less associated expenses, of \$622 million before tax in other income during the fiscal first quarter of 2006.

The 2005 acquisitions included: TransForm Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a company specializing in the discovery of superior formulations and novel crystalline forms of drug molecules; Closure Medical Corporation, a company with expertise and intellectual property in the biosurgicals market; Peninsula Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a biopharmaceutical company focused on developing and commercializing antibiotics to treat life-threatening infections; and rights to all consumer and professionally dispensed REMBRANDT(R) Brand of oral care products, such as whitening toothpastes, strips, systems and mouth rinses.

### NOTE 10 - SHARE BASED COMPENSATION

At July 2, 2006, the Company had 16 share based compensation plans. The shares outstanding are for contracts under the Company's 1995 and 2000 Stock Option Plans, the 2005 Long Term Incentive Plan, the

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

1997 Non-Employee Director's Plan and the Centocor, Innovasive Devices, ALZA, Inverness and Scios Stock Option Plans. During 2006, no options were granted under any of these plans except the 2005 Long Term Incentive Plan. The compensation cost that has been charged against income for these plans was \$187 million for the fiscal second quarter of 2006 and \$136 million for the fiscal second quarter of 2005. The total income tax benefit recognized in the income statement for share based compensation arrangements was \$65 million and \$48 million for the fiscal second quarters of 2006 and 2005, respectively. The compensation cost that has been charged against income for these plans was \$340 million for the first fiscal six months of 2006 and \$271 million for the first fiscal six months of 2005. The total income tax benefit recognized in the income statement for share based compensation arrangements was \$119 million and \$95 million for the first fiscal six months of 2006 and 2005, respectively. Share based compensation costs capitalized as part of inventory were insignificant in all periods.

Stock options expire 10 years from the date they are granted and vest over periods that range from one to five years. All options are granted at current market price on the date of grant. Under the 2005 Long Term Incentive Plan, the Company may issue up to 260 million shares of common stock. Shares available for future grants under the 2005 Long Term Incentive Plan were 223.4 million at July 2, 2006.

The Company settles employee stock option exercises with treasury shares. Treasury shares are replenished throughout the year for the number of shares used to settle employee stock option exercises.

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black Scholes option valuation model that uses the assumptions noted in the following table. Starting in 2006, expected volatility represents a blended rate of 4-year daily historical average volatility rate, and 5-week average implied volatility rate based on at-the-money traded Johnson & Johnson options, with a life of 2 years. Prior to 2006, expected volatility was based on 5-year weekly historical volatility rate. Historical data is used to determine the expected life of the option. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

The weighted average fair value of options granted was \$12.22 for fiscal year to date 2006, \$15.48 in 2005, and \$13.11 in 2004. The fair value was estimated based on the weighted average assumptions of:

	Fiscal YTD 2006	Fiscal Year 2005	Fiscal Year 2004
Risk Free Rate	4.60%	3.72%	3.15%
Expected			
Volatility	19.6%	25.0%	27.0%
Expected Life	6 yrs	5 yrs	5 yrs



# Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

Dividend Yield                      2.50%                      1.93%                      1.76%

A summary of option activity under the Plan as of January 2, 2006, and changes during the year then ended is presented below.

	Shares (000's)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Avg Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (000's)
Outstanding at January 2, 2006	248,542	\$53.05		
Options granted	28,895	\$58.37		
Options exercised	(8,054)	\$41.48		
Options canceled/forfeited	(4,152)	\$58.98		
Outstanding at July 2, 2006	265,231	\$53.89	6.28	\$1,877,175
Exercisable at July 2, 2006	148,859	\$49.39		\$1,567,740

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during 2006 was \$148.9 million. As of July 2, 2006, the total unrecognized compensation cost was \$964.5 million, which has a weighted average period of 1.41 years to be recognized.

During 2006, the Company granted 7.3 million shares of Restricted Stock Units, at an average fair value of \$54.15, using the fair market value at the date of grant. The fair value of Restricted Stock Units is discounted for dividends, which are not paid on Restricted Stock Units during the vesting period. The outstanding shares of Restricted Stock Units as of July 2, 2006 were 7.2 million. The fair value of Restricted Stock Units vested during the fiscal year-to-date 2006 was \$1.7 million.

The Company settles employee stock issuances with treasury shares. Treasury shares are replenished throughout the year for the number of shares used for employee stock issuances.

As previously discussed, the Company elected to adopt SFAS 123(R) under the modified retrospective application method. The Company believes that the modified retrospective application of this standard achieves the highest level of clarity and comparability among the presented periods. Accordingly, financial statement amounts for the prior period presented in this Form 10-Q have been restated to reflect the fair value method of expensing prescribed by SFAS 123(R). The Company has filed a Current Report on Form 8-K on April 17, 2006 with restated data to reflect the modified retrospective application.

The following table details the retroactive application impact of SFAS 123(R) on previously reported results.

(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

	Restated	As Previously Reported
For the quarter ended July 3, 2005		
Earnings before provision for taxes		

# Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

on income	\$ 3,266	\$ 3,402
Net earnings	2,588	2,676
Basic net earnings per share	0.87	0.90
Diluted net earnings per share	0.86	0.89

For the six months ended July 3, 2005:

Earnings before provision for taxes		
on income	\$ 7,193	\$ 7,464
Net earnings	5,427	5,603
Basic net earnings per share	1.83	1.88
Diluted net earnings per share	1.80	1.86

Net cash flows from operating activities	4,649	4,687
Net cash used by financing activities	\$ (2,341)	\$ (2,379)

## NOTE 11 - PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

### Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost

Net periodic benefit cost for the Company's defined benefit retirement plans and other benefit plans for the fiscal second quarters of 2006 and 2005 include the following components:

(Dollars in Millions)

	Retirement Plans		Other Benefit Plans	
	July 2, 2006	July 3, 2005	July 2, 2006	July 3, 2005
Service cost	\$ 136	\$106	\$19	\$15
Interest cost	144	128	26	18
Expected return on plan assets	(177)	(159)	(1)	(1)
Amortization of prior service cost	3	3	(1)	(2)
Amortization of net transition asset	-	-	-	-
Recognized actuarial losses	64	54	10	2
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 170	\$132	\$53	\$32

Net periodic benefit cost for the Company's defined benefit retirement plans and other benefit plans for the first fiscal six months of 2006 and 2005 include the following components:

(Dollars in Millions)

	Retirement Plans		Other Benefit Plans	
	July 2, 2006	July 3, 2005	July 2, 2006	July 3, 2005
Service cost	\$ 262	\$216	\$37	\$28
Interest cost	284	246	52	44
Expected return on plan assets	(350)	(291)	(2)	(2)
Amortization of prior service cost	6	6	(3)	(3)

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

Amortization of net transition asset	-	(1)	-	-
Recognized actuarial losses	127	111	20	13
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 329	\$287	\$104	\$80

### Company Contributions

For the fiscal six months ended July 2, 2006, the Company contributed \$11 million and \$13 million to its U.S. and international retirement plans, respectively. The Company does not anticipate a minimum statutory funding requirement for its U.S. retirement plans in 2006. International plans will be funded in accordance with local regulations.

### NOTE 12 - LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

#### PRODUCT LIABILITY

The Company is involved in numerous product liability cases in the United States, many of which concern adverse reactions to drugs and medical devices. The damages claimed are substantial, and while the Company is confident of the adequacy of the warnings and instructions for use that accompany such products, it is not feasible to predict the ultimate outcome of litigation. However, the Company believes that if any liability results from such cases, it will be substantially covered by existing amounts accrued in the Company's balance sheet and, where available, by third-party product liability insurance. One group of cases against the Company concerns a product of the Company's subsidiary, Janssen Pharmaceutica Inc. (Janssen), PROPULSID(R) (cisapride), which was withdrawn from general sale and restricted to limited use in 2000. In the wake of publicity about those events, numerous lawsuits were filed against Janssen and the Company regarding PROPULSID(R) in state and federal courts across the country.

In February 2004, Janssen reached an agreement with the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee (PSC) of the PROPULSID(R) Federal Multi-District Litigation (MDL), to resolve federal lawsuits related to PROPULSID(R). The agreement was to become effective once 85% of the death claimants, and 75% of the remainder, agreed to the terms of the settlement. In addition, 12,000 individuals who had not filed lawsuits, but whose claims were the subject of tolling agreements suspending the running of the statutes of limitations against those claims, also had to agree to participate in the settlement before it became effective.

In March 2005, it was confirmed that the PSC of the MDL had enrolled enough plaintiffs and claimants in the settlement program to make the agreement effective. Those participating in the settlement submit medical records to an independent panel of physicians who determine whether the claimed injuries were caused by PROPULSID(R) and otherwise meet the standards for

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

compensation. If those standards are met, a court-appointed special master determines compensatory damages. Janssen has paid into a compensation escrow account \$77.6 million, established an administrative fund of \$15 million, and paid legal fees to the PSC of \$22.5 million, which amount was approved by the court. No additional funds will be contributed to the first settlement program.

In December 2005, Janssen reached agreement with the MDL PSC and the plaintiffs' State Liaison Committee (SLC) to create a second settlement program for resolving the state and federal lawsuits not subject to, or not participating in, the first settlement program, as well as the remaining unfiled claims subject to tolling agreements. The new program becomes effective once 90% of the plaintiffs representing decedents, 95% of the other plaintiffs and 5,000 of the remaining tolled claims, agree to the terms of the settlement. Janssen will pay as compensation a minimum of \$14.5 million and a maximum of \$15 million into the second settlement program, depending upon the percentage of enrollment above the 90% and 95% thresholds. Janssen will also establish an administrative fund not to exceed \$3 million and pay legal fees not to exceed \$4 million subject to court approval. Funds remaining in the compensation account, after resolution of all filed claims, will be returned to Janssen and the Company.

Janssen and the Company believe they have adequate self-insurance accruals and third-party product liability insurance with respect to these cases. In communications to the Company, the excess insurance carriers raised certain defenses to their liability under the policies and to date have declined voluntarily to reimburse Janssen and the Company for PROPULSID(R)-related costs despite demand for payment. In May 2005, hearings were held in London in the arbitration proceeding commenced by Janssen and the Company against Allianz Underwriters Insurance Company, which issued the first layer of applicable excess insurance coverage, to obtain reimbursement of PROPULSID(R)-related costs. That proceeding was resolved in a fashion satisfactory to Janssen and the Company in November 2005. In May 2005, the Company commenced arbitration against Lexington Insurance Company, which issued the second layer of excess insurance coverage and, in March 2006, against SR International Business Insurance Co., LTD., which issued the third. In the opinion of the Company, the excess carriers remain legally obligated to provide coverage for the PROPULSID(R)-related losses at issue.

A number of other products of Johnson & Johnson subsidiaries are subject to numerous product liability claims and lawsuits, including ORTHO EVRA(R), RISPERDAL(R) and DURAGESIC(R). There are approximately 500 claimants who have filed lawsuits or made claims regarding injuries allegedly due to ORTHO EVRA(R), 300 claimants with respect to RISPERDAL(R) and 100 with respect to DURAGESIC(R). These claimants seek substantial

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

compensatory and, where available, punitive damages. The Johnson & Johnson subsidiary responsible for marketing the product at issue is vigorously defending against these claims.

### AFFIRMATIVE STENT PATENT LITIGATION

In patent infringement actions tried in Delaware Federal District Court in late 2000, Cordis Corporation (Cordis), a subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson, obtained verdicts of infringement and patent validity, and damage awards against Boston Scientific Corporation (Boston Scientific) and Medtronic AVE, Inc. (Medtronic) based on a number of Cordis vascular stent patents. In December 2000, the jury in the damage action against Boston Scientific returned a verdict of \$324 million and the jury in the Medtronic action returned a verdict of \$271 million. These sums represent lost profit and reasonable royalty damages to compensate Cordis for infringement but do not include pre or post judgment interest.

In March and May 2002, the district judge granted Boston Scientific a new trial on liability and damages and vacated the verdict against Medtronic on legal grounds. In August 2003, the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit found the trial judge erred in vacating the verdict against Medtronic and remanded the case to the trial judge for further proceedings. In March 2005, the remaining issues were tried in the remanded case against Medtronic and the retrial proceeded against Boston Scientific. Juries returned verdicts of infringement and patent validity in favor of Cordis in both retrials. In March 2006, the district judge entered judgment on liability for Cordis, but deferred deciding on damages pending appeal to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Those appeals will now follow. Cordis also has an arbitration claim against Medtronic AVE accusing Medtronic of infringement by sale of stent products introduced by Medtronic subsequent to its GFX(R) and MicroStent(R) products, the subject of the earlier action referenced above. Those products were found to have been licensed pursuant to a 1997 license by an arbitration panel in March 2005. Further arbitration proceedings will determine whether royalties are owed for those products.

In January 2003, Cordis filed a patent infringement action against Boston Scientific in Delaware Federal District Court accusing its Express2(TM), Taxus(R) and Liberte(R) stents of infringing the Palmaz patent that expired in November 2005. The Liberte(R) stent was also accused of infringing Cordis' Gray patent that expires in 2016. In June 2005, a jury found that the Express2(TM), Taxus(R) and Liberte(R) stents infringed the Palmaz patent and that the Liberte(R) stent also infringed the Gray patent. Motions filed by Boston Scientific seeking to vacate the verdict or obtain a new trial were denied in June 2006. Appeals to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit will now proceed.

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

### PATENT LITIGATION AGAINST VARIOUS JOHNSON & JOHNSON SUBSIDIARIES

The products of various Johnson & Johnson subsidiaries are the subject of various patent lawsuits, the outcomes of which could potentially adversely affect the ability of those subsidiaries to sell those products, or require the payment of past damages and future royalties. With respect to all of these matters, the Johnson & Johnson subsidiary involved is vigorously defending against the claims of infringement and disputing, where appropriate, the validity and enforceability of the patent claims asserted against it.

In July 2005, a jury in Federal District Court in Delaware found that the Cordis CYPHER(R) stent infringed Boston Scientific's Ding `536 patent and that the Cordis CYPHER(R) and BX VELOCITY(R) stents also infringed Boston Scientific's Jang `021 patent. The jury also found both of those patents valid. Boston Scientific seeks substantial damages and an injunction in that action. In June 2006, the District Court denied motions by Cordis to overturn the jury verdicts or grant a new trial. Cordis will appeal the jury verdicts to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

Trial of Boston Scientific's case asserting infringement by the CYPHER(R) stent of another Boston Scientific patent, which had been scheduled for trial in March 2006, has been adjourned without a new date. In that case as well, Boston Scientific seeks an injunction and substantial damages.

In an action filed in Belgium by Boston Scientific under its Kastenhofer patent, Boston Scientific is seeking a pan-European injunction against the sale of infringing catheters, i.e., an injunction that would be effective in all of the countries served by the European Patent Office. Trial has not been scheduled but could occur during 2006. In Germany, Boston Scientific has several actions based on Ding patents pending against the Cordis CYPHER(R) stent. No trial has been scheduled in those cases.

The following chart summarizes various patent lawsuits concerning products of Johnson & Johnson subsidiaries.

J&J Product	Company	Patents	Plaintiff/ Patent Holder	Court	Trial Date	Filed
Drug Eluting Stents	Cordis	Grainger	Boston Scientific Corp.	D. Del.	*	12/03
Drug Eluting Stents	Cordis	Ding	Boston Scientific Corp.	Germany	*	04/04 11/04
Two-layer Catheters	Cordis	Kasten- hofer Forman	Boston Scientific Corp.	N.D. Cal Belgium	* *	02/02 12/03

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

Stents	Cordis	Israel	Medinol	Multiple E.U. * jurisdictions	05/03
Contact Lenses	Vision Care	Nicolson	CIBA Vision	M.D. Fla. *	09/03

\* Trial date to be established.

### LITIGATION AGAINST FILERS OF ABBREVIATED NEW DRUG APPLICATIONS (ANDAS)

The following chart indicates lawsuits pending against generic firms that filed Abbreviated New Drug Applications seeking to market generic forms of products sold by various subsidiaries of the Company prior to expiration of the applicable patents covering those products. These ANDAs typically include allegations of non-infringement, invalidity and unenforceability of these patents. In the event the subsidiary of the Company involved is not successful in these actions, or the 30-month stay expires before a ruling from the district court is obtained, the firms involved will have the ability to introduce generic versions of the product at issue resulting in very substantial market share and revenue losses for the product of the Company's subsidiary.

As previously communicated and noted from the following chart, 30-month stays have or are scheduled to expire during 2006 with respect to ANDA challenges regarding ORTHO TRI-CYCLEN(R) LO, RISPERDAL(R) and TOPAMAX(R). Trial did not occur before the expiration of the stays with respect to ORTHO TRI-CYCLEN(R) LO and RISPERDAL(R), but could occur in the case of TOPAMAX(R). Unless 30-month stays are extended or preliminary injunctions granted, outcomes which are uncertain, final FDA approval to market will usually occur shortly after expiration of the 30-month stays. Because a firm that launches an ANDA product before trial would be liable potentially for lost profits if found at trial to infringe a valid patent, typically ANDA products are not launched under such circumstances. Nonetheless, such "at risk" launches have occurred in cases involving drugs of Johnson & Johnson subsidiaries, and the risk of such a launch cannot be ruled out.

Brand Name Product	Patent/NDA Holder	Generic Challenger	Court	Trial Date	Date Filed	30-Month Stay Expires
ACIPHEX(R) 20 mg delay release tablet	Eisai (for Janssen)	Teva	S.D.N.Y.	*	11/03	02/07
		Dr. Reddy's	S.D.N.Y.	*	11/03	02/07
		Mylan	S.D.N.Y.	*	01/04	02/07
AXERT(R) 6.25 and 12.5 mg	Almirall Ortho-McNeil Neurologics	Teva	S.D.N.Y.	*	03/06	11/08
CONCERTA(R) 18,27,36 and 54 mg	McNeil-PPC	Impax	D.Del.	*	09/05	None
	ALZA	Andrx				

# Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

controlled  
release tablet

DITROPAN XL(R)	Ortho-McNeil	Mylan	D.W.V.	02/05	05/03	09/05
5, 10, 15 mg ALZA		Impax	N.D.Cal.	12/05	09/03	01/06

controlled  
release tablet

LEVAQUIN(R)						
Injectable	Daiichi, JJPRD	Sicor (Teva)	D.N.J.	*	12/03	05/06
Single use						
vials	Ortho-McNeil					
and 5 mg/ml						
premix						

LEVAQUIN(R)						
Injectable	Daiichi, JJPRD	American	D.N.J.	*	12/03	05/06
Single use						
vials	Ortho-McNeil	Pharmaceutical				
		Partners				

QUIXIN(R)						
Ophthalmic	Daiichi,	Hi-Tech	D.N.J.	*	12/03	05/06
Solution						
(Levo-						
floxacin)	Ortho-McNeil	Pharmacal				
Ophthalmic						
solution						

ORTHO TRI CYCLEN(R)						
LO	Ortho-McNeil	Barr	D.N.J.	*	10/03	02/06
0.18 mg/						
0.025 mg						
0.215 mg/						
0.025 mg						
and 0.25 mg/						
0.025 mg						

PEPCID(R)						
Complete	McNeil-PPC	Perrigo	S.D.N.Y.	10/06	02/05	06/07

RAZADYNE (TM)	Janssen	Teva	D. Del	06/07	07/05	01/08
		Mylan	D. Del	06/07	07/05	01/08
		Dr. Reddy's	D. Del	06/07	07/05	01/08
		Purepac	D. Del	06/07	07/05	01/08
		Barr	D. Del	06/07	07/05	01/08
		Par	D. Del	06/07	07/05	01/08
		AlphaPharm	D. Del	06/07	07/05	01/08

RAZADYNE (TM)						
ER	Janssen	Barr	D.N.J.	*	06/06	11/08

RISPERDAL (R)						
Tablets	Janssen	Mylan	D.N.J.	06/06	12/03	05/06
..25, 0.5, 1,						
2, 3, 4		Dr. Reddy's	D.N.J.	06/06	12/03	06/06
mg tablets		Apotex	D.N.J.	*	06/06	11/08

RISPERDAL (R)						
M-Tab	Janssen	Dr. Reddy's	D.N.J.	06/06	02/05	07/07
0.5, 1, 2, 3,						
4 mg		Barr	D.N.J.	*	10/05	02/08



## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

RISPERDAL (R)						
Oral	Janssen	Apotex	D.N.J.	*	03/06	08/08
Solution,						
1 mg/ml						
TOPAMAX (R)	Ortho-McNeil	Mylan	D.N.J.	*	04/04	09/06
25,50,100,						
200 mg tablet		Cobalt	D.N.J.	*	10/05	03/08
TOPAMAX (R)						
SPRINKLE	Ortho-McNeil	Cobalt	D.N.J.	*	12/05	05/08
25,50 mg						
capsule						
ULTRACET (R)	Ortho-McNeil	Kali (Par)	D.N.J.	*	11/02	04/05
37.5 tram/		Teva	D.N.J.	*	02/04	07/06
325 apap tablet		Caraco	E.D. Mich	*	09/04	*

\* Trial date to be established

In the action against Mylan and Dr. Reddy's Laboratories regarding RISPERDAL(R) tablets, trial on the merits was heard by the district court in New Jersey between June 28 and July 5, 2006. At the court's direction, defendants have agreed not to launch pending the court's decision which is expected in the fourth quarter of 2006.

In the action against Mylan involving the Company's subsidiary Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceutical, Inc.'s (Ortho-McNeil) product, DITROPAN XL(R) (oxybutynin chloride), the court in September 2005 found the DITROPAN XL(R) patent invalid and not infringed by Mylan's ANDA product. Ortho-McNeil and ALZA Corporation (ALZA), a subsidiary of the Company, have appealed. In the action against Impax, Impax also received judgment of invalidity based on the decision in the Mylan suit and Ortho-McNeil and ALZA have appealed that decision. Both appeals have been consolidated. Neither Mylan nor Impax has received final FDA approval to launch its ANDA product, but such approval could come at any point.

In December 2005, Mylan announced that it had entered into two agreements with Ortho-McNeil regarding oxybutynin chloride extended release tablets. One agreement relates to Ortho-McNeil's supply of certain dosages of oxybutynin chloride extended release tablets and the second relates to a patent license to ALZA intellectual property regarding DITROPAN XL(R). These agreements, which are confidential, have been submitted to the Federal Trade Commission.

In the weeks following the adverse ruling in the DITROPAN XL(R) ANDA litigation against Mylan in September 2005, Ortho-McNeil and ALZA received seven antitrust class action complaints filed by purchasers of the product. The complaints were filed in various federal courts, but all claim damages based on the laws of over 25 states. They allege that Ortho-McNeil and ALZA

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

violated the antitrust laws of the various states by knowingly pursuing baseless patent litigation, and thereby delaying entry into the market by Mylan and Impax.

In the action against Mylan involving its ANDA for Ortho-McNeil's product LEVAQUIN(R) (levofloxacin), the trial judge in December 2004 found the patent at issue valid, enforceable and infringed by Mylan's ANDA product and issued an injunction precluding sale of the product until patent expiration in late 2010. In December 2005, the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit affirmed the judgment of validity, enforceability and infringement. Mylan filed a motion for rehearing by the Court of Appeals, which has been denied.

In the consolidated actions against Teva, Sicor, Hi-Tech Pharmacal, and American Pharmaceutical Partners involving the ANDAs for various levofloxacin preparations, summary judgment was granted for Ortho-McNeil and ALZA in March 2006 on the claim that the LEVAQUIN(R) patent was obtained by inequitable conduct and was therefore unenforceable.

In the action against Mylan involving Ortho-McNeil's TOPAMAX(R) tablets, Ortho-McNeil has moved for a preliminary injunction to prevent launch of Mylan's generic copy upon expiration of the 30-month stay in September 2006. Mylan has agreed not to launch pending outcome of the motion.

In the action against Kali involving Ortho-McNeil's ULTRACETr (tramadol hydrochloride/acetaminophen), Kali moved for summary judgment on the issues of infringement and invalidity in October 2004 and a decision is expected anytime. With respect to claims other than that at issue in the litigation against Kali, Ortho-McNeil has filed a reissue application in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office seeking to narrow the scope of the claims. That patent issued August 1, 2006. Kali obtained final approval of its ANDA at expiration of the 30-month stay in April 2005, and launched its generic product the same day. If Ortho-McNeil ultimately prevails in its patent infringement action against Kali, Kali could be subject to an injunction and damages.

In the action against Teva Pharmaceuticals USA (Teva) involving Ortho-McNeil's ULTRACET(R) (tramadol hydrochloride/acetaminophen), Teva has moved for summary judgment on the issues of infringement and validity. The briefing on that motion was completed in March 2005. A ruling could issue at any point. Barr Laboratories has been joined in the suit as a codefendant as the successor to Teva's ANDA.

In the action against Caraco involving Ortho-McNeil's ULTRACET(R) (tramadol hydrochloride/acetaminophen), Caraco's motion for summary judgment of non-infringement was granted in October 2005. Ortho-McNeil has appealed that decision. Caraco launched its generic ULTRACET(R) "at risk" in December 2005.

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

With respect to all of the above matters, the Johnson & Johnson subsidiary involved is vigorously defending the validity and enforceability and asserting the infringement of its own or its licensor's patents.

### AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE (AWP) LITIGATION

Johnson & Johnson and its pharmaceutical subsidiaries, along with numerous other pharmaceutical companies, are defendants in a series of lawsuits in state and federal courts involving allegations that the pricing and marketing of certain pharmaceutical products amounted to fraudulent and otherwise actionable conduct because, among other things, the companies allegedly reported an inflated Average Wholesale Price (AWP) for the drugs at issue. Most of these cases, both federal actions and state actions removed to federal court, have been consolidated for pre-trial purposes in a Multi-District Litigation (MDL) in Federal District Court in Boston, Massachusetts. The plaintiffs in these cases include classes of private persons or entities that paid for any portion of the purchase of the drugs at issue based on AWP, and state government entities that made Medicaid payments for the drugs at issue based on AWP. In the MDL proceeding in Boston, plaintiffs moved for class certification of all or some portion of their claims. On August 16, 2005, the trial judge certified Massachusetts-only classes of private insurers providing "Medi-gap" insurance coverage and private payers for physician-administered drugs where payments were based on AWP. The judge also allowed plaintiffs to file a new complaint seeking to name proper parties to represent a national class of individuals who made co-payments for physician-administered drugs covered by Medicare. The Court of Appeals declined to allow an appeal of those issues and in January 2006, the court certified the national class as noted above. A trial of some or all of the issues in the Massachusetts or the national class actions could occur before the end of 2006.

### OTHER

In June 2003, the Company received a request for records and information from the U.S. House of Representatives' Committee on Energy and Commerce in connection with its investigation into pharmaceutical reimbursements and rebates under Medicaid. The Committee's request focuses on the drug REMICADER (infliximab), marketed by the Company's Centocor, Inc. (Centocor) subsidiary. In July 2003, Centocor received a request that it voluntarily provide documents and information to the criminal division of the U.S. Attorney's Office, District of New Jersey, in connection with its investigation into various Centocor marketing practices. Subsequent requests for documents have been received from the U.S. Attorney's Office. Both the Company and Centocor responded, or are in the process of responding, to these requests for documents and information.

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

In December 2003, Ortho-McNeil received a subpoena from the U.S. Attorney's Office in Boston, Massachusetts seeking documents relating to the marketing, including alleged off-label marketing, of the drug TOPAMAX(R) (topiramate). An additional subpoena for documents was served in June 2006. Ortho-McNeil is cooperating in responding to the subpoenas. In October 2004, the U.S. Attorney's Office in Boston asked attorneys for Ortho-McNeil to cooperate in facilitating the subpoenaed testimony of several present and former Ortho-McNeil employees before a federal grand jury in Boston. Cooperation in securing the testimony of additional witnesses before the grand jury has been requested and is being provided.

In January 2004, Janssen received a subpoena from the Office of the Inspector General of the U.S. Office of Personnel Management seeking documents concerning sales and marketing of, any and all payments to physicians in connection with sales and marketing of, and clinical trials for, RISPERDAL(R) (risperidone) from 1997 to 2002. Documents subsequent to 2002 have also been requested. An additional subpoena seeking information about marketing of and adverse reactions to RISPERDAL(R) was received from the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania in November 2005. Janssen is cooperating in responding to these subpoenas.

In April 2004, the Company's pharmaceutical companies were requested to submit information to the U.S. Senate Finance Committee on their use of the "nominal pricing exception" in calculating Best Price under the Medicaid Rebate Program. This request was sent to manufacturers for the top twenty drugs reimbursed under the Medicaid Program. The Company's pharmaceutical companies have responded to the request. In February 2005 a request for supplemental information was received from the Senate Finance Committee, which has been responded to by the Company's pharmaceutical companies.

In August 2004, Johnson & Johnson Health Care Systems, Inc. (HCS), a Johnson & Johnson subsidiary, received a subpoena from the Dallas, Texas U. S. Attorney's Office seeking documents relating to the relationships between the group purchasing organization Novation and HCS and other Johnson & Johnson subsidiaries. The Company's subsidiaries involved have responded to the subpoena.

In September 2004, Ortho Biotech Inc. (Ortho Biotech), a Johnson & Johnson subsidiary, received a subpoena from the U.S. Office of Inspector General's Denver, Colorado field office seeking documents directed to sales and marketing of PROCRIT(R) (Epoetin alfa) from 1997 to the present, as well as to dealings with U.S. Oncology Inc., a healthcare services network for oncologists. Ortho Biotech has responded to the subpoena.

In March 2005, DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc. (DePuy

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

Orthopaedics), a Johnson & Johnson subsidiary, received a subpoena from the U.S. Attorney's Office, District of New Jersey, seeking records concerning contractual relationships between DePuy Orthopaedics and surgeons or surgeons-in-training involved in hip and knee replacement and reconstructive surgery. Other leading orthopaedic companies are known to have received the same subpoena. DePuy Orthopaedics is responding to the subpoena.

In June 2005, the U.S. Senate Committee on Finance requested the Company to produce information regarding use by its pharmaceutical subsidiaries of educational grants. A similar request was sent to other major pharmaceutical companies. In July 2005, the Committee specifically requested information about educational grants in connection with the drug PROPULSID(R). A follow up request was received from the Committee for additional information in January 2006.

In July 2005, Scios Inc. (Scios), a Johnson & Johnson subsidiary, received a subpoena from the U.S. Attorney's Office, District of Massachusetts, seeking documents related to the sales and marketing of NATRECOR(R). Scios is responding to the subpoena. In early August 2005, Scios was advised that the investigation would be handled by the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Northern District of California in San Francisco.

In September 2005, Johnson & Johnson received a subpoena from the U.S. Attorney's Office, District of Massachusetts, seeking documents related to sales and marketing of eight drugs to Omnicare, Inc., a manager of pharmaceutical benefits for long-term care facilities. The Johnson & Johnson subsidiaries involved are in the process of responding to the subpoena.

In January 2006, Janssen received a civil investigative demand from the Texas Attorney General seeking broad categories of documents related to sales and marketing of RISPERDAL(R). Janssen is in the process of responding to the request.

In February 2006, Johnson & Johnson received a subpoena from the Securities & Exchange Commission requesting documents relating to the participation by several Johnson & Johnson subsidiaries in the United Nations Iraq Oil For Food Program. The subsidiaries are cooperating with the SEC in producing responsive documents.

In June 2006, DePuy, Inc. (DePuy), a Johnson & Johnson subsidiary, received a subpoena from the U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, requesting documents related to the manufacture and sale of orthopaedic devices, and had search warrants executed in connection with the investigation. DePuy is cooperating in responding to the request for documents.

In September 2004, plaintiffs in an employment discrimination litigation initiated against the Company

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

in 2001 in Federal District Court in New Jersey moved to certify a class of all African American and Hispanic salaried employees of the Company and its affiliates in the U.S., who were employed at any time from November 1997 to the present. Plaintiffs seek monetary damages for the period 1997 through the present (including punitive damages) and equitable relief. The Company filed its response to plaintiffs' class certification motion in May 2005. The Company disputes the allegations in the lawsuit and is vigorously defending against them.

The Company, along with its wholly owned Ethicon and Ethicon Endo-Surgery subsidiaries, are defendants in three federal antitrust actions challenging suture and endo-mechanical contracts with group purchasing organizations and hospitals in which discounts are predicated on a hospital achieving specified market share targets for both categories of products. In each case, plaintiffs seek substantial monetary damages and injunctive relief. These actions are: Applied Medical v. Ethicon Inc. et al. (C.D.CA, filed September 5, 2003); Conmed v. Johnson & Johnson et al. (S.D.N.Y., filed November 6, 2003); and Genico v. Ethicon, Inc. et al. (E.D. TX, filed October 15, 2004). Trial in the Applied Medical case commenced July 11, 2006 and is expected to last five weeks. In December 2005, two purported class actions were filed on behalf of purchasers of endo-mechanical instruments. These actions, captioned Delaware Valley Surgical Supply Co., Inc. v. Johnson & Johnson et al. and Niagara Falls Memorial Medical Center v. Johnson & Johnson et al., were both filed in the Federal District Court for the Central District of California.

After a remand from the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals in January 2003, a partial retrial was commenced in October and concluded in November 2003 in Federal District Court in Boston, Massachusetts in the action Amgen, Inc. (Amgen) v. Transkaryotic Therapies, Inc. (TKT) and Aventis Pharmaceutical, Inc. (Aventis). The matter is a patent infringement action brought by Amgen against TKT, the developer of a gene-activated EPO product, and Aventis, which held marketing rights to the TKT product, asserting that TKT's product infringes various Amgen patent claims. TKT and Aventis dispute infringement and are seeking to invalidate the Amgen patents asserted against them. On October 15, 2004, the District Court issued rulings that upheld its initial findings in 2001 that Amgen's patent claims were valid and infringed. The Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit affirmed in part those rulings in August 2006, finding certain claims infringed, but reversing and remanding as to other claims. The Amgen patents at issue in the case are exclusively licensed to Ortho Biotech in the U.S. for non-dialysis indications. Ortho Biotech is not a party to the action.

In November 2005, Amgen filed suit against Hoffmann-LaRoche, Inc. in the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts seeking a declaration that the Roche product CERA, which Roche has indicated it

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

will seek to introduce into the United States, infringes a number of Amgen patents concerning EPO. Ortho Biotech has sought to intervene in the case. The suit is in its preliminary stages.

The Company is also involved in a number of other patent, trademark and other lawsuits incidental to its business. The ultimate legal and financial liability of the Company in respect to all claims, lawsuits and proceedings referred to above cannot be estimated with any certainty. However, in the Company's opinion, based on its examination of these matters, its experience to date and discussions with counsel, the ultimate outcome of legal proceedings, net of liabilities already accrued in the Company's balance sheet, is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, although the resolution in any reporting period of one or more of these matters could have a significant impact on the Company's results of operations and cash flows for that period.

### Item 2 - MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### Results of Operations

##### Analysis of Consolidated Sales

For the first fiscal six months of 2006, worldwide sales were \$26.4 billion, a total increase of 3.0% and an operational increase of 4.2% over 2005 first fiscal six months sales of \$25.6 billion. Currency fluctuations negatively impacted sales by 1.2% for the period.

Sales by U.S. companies were \$14.7 billion in the first fiscal six months of 2006, which represented an increase of 3.0% over the same period last year. Sales by international companies were \$11.6 billion, which represented a total increase of 3.0%, an operational increase of 5.7%, and a negative impact from currency of 2.7% over the first fiscal six months of 2005.

Sales by companies in Europe experienced an increase of 0.1%, with operational growth of 4.8% and a negative impact from currency of 4.7%. Sales by companies in the Western Hemisphere, excluding the U.S., experienced total growth of 15.0%, operational growth of 7.5% and a positive impact from currency of 7.5%. Sales by companies in the Asia-Pacific, Africa region posted sales growth of 3.3%, with operational growth of 6.7% and a negative impact from currency of 3.4%.

For the fiscal second quarter of 2006, worldwide sales were \$13.4 billion, a total increase of 4.7% and an operational increase of 4.8%, over 2005 fiscal second quarter sales of \$12.8 billion. Currency fluctuations negatively impacted sales by 0.1% for the period.

Sales by U.S. companies were \$7.4 billion in the fiscal second quarter of 2006, which represented an increase of 4.4%. Sales by international companies were \$6.0 billion, which represented a total increase of 5.1%, an

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

operational increase of 5.2%, and a negative impact from currency of 0.1% over the fiscal second quarter of 2005.

Sales by companies in Europe experienced a total increase of 3.4%, with operational growth of 4.3% and a negative impact from currency of 0.9%. Sales by companies in the Western Hemisphere, excluding the U.S., experienced total growth of 16.6%, operational growth of 9.4% and a positive impact from currency of 7.2%. Sales by companies in the Asia-Pacific, Africa region posted sales growth of 3.2%, with operational growth of 5.2% and a negative impact from currency of 2.0%.

### Analysis of Sales by Business Segments

#### Consumer

Consumer segment sales in the first fiscal six months of 2006 were \$4.8 billion, an increase of 4.3% over the same period a year ago, with 4.5% of operational growth and a negative currency impact of 0.2%. U.S. Consumer segment sales increased by 2.1% while international sales experienced a total increase of 6.3%, an operational increase of 6.7%, with a negative currency impact of 0.4%.

#### Major Consumer Franchise Sales (Dollars in Millions)

	First Fiscal Six Months				
	July 2, 2006	July 3, 2005	Total Change	Operations Change	Currency Change
Skin Care	\$1,313	\$1,223	7.4%	8.4%	(1.0)%
OTC Pharm & Nutr	1,286	1,313	(2.1)	(2.1)	-
Baby & Kids Care	827	772	7.2	7.0	0.2
Women's Health	814	782	4.0	3.7	0.3
Other	513	468	9.6	9.8	(0.2)
Total	\$4,753	\$4,558	4.3%	4.5%	(0.2)%

Consumer segment sales in the fiscal second quarter of 2006 were \$2.4 billion, an increase of 5.3% over the same period a year ago with 4.5% of operational growth and a positive currency impact of 0.8%. U.S. Consumer segment sales increased by 1.0% while international sales experienced a total increase of 9.2%, an operational increase of 7.7%, with a positive currency impact of 1.5%.

#### Major Consumer Franchise Sales (Dollars in Millions)

	Fiscal Second Quarter				
	July 2, 2006	July 3, 2005	Total Change	Operations Change	Currency Change
Skin Care	\$654	\$602	8.6%	8.1%	0.5%
OTC Pharm & Nutr	633	628	0.7	(0.2)	0.9



## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

Baby & Kids Care	421	393	7.2	6.1	1.1
Women's Health	415	406	2.4	1.4	1.0
Other	275	249	10.4	10.0	0.4
Total	\$2,398	\$2,278	5.3%	4.5%	0.8%

Consumer segment sales growth in the fiscal second quarter of 2006 was attributable to strong sales performance in Skin Care and Baby & Kids Care. The Skin Care franchise operational sales growth of 8.1% was attributed to sales of products in the AVEENO(R), JOHNSON'S(R) adult, suncare, CLEAN AND CLEAR(R) lines and the newly acquired Groupe Vendome product line, partially offset by a sales decline in ROC(R) products. The OTC Pharmaceuticals and Nutritionals franchise experienced an operational decline of 0.2%, primarily due to a decline in demand for Adult TYLENOL(R) products, partially offset by strong growth from SPLENDAR No Calorie Sweeteners. The Baby & Kids Care franchise achieved operational sales growth of 6.1%, which resulted from continued success of cleanser, lotion and cream product lines in international markets, partially offset by declines in U.S. sales. BABYCENTER(R) revenue was also a growth contributor in this franchise. The Women's Health franchise achieved operational growth of 1.4% resulting from contributions from STAYFREE(R) product lines.

### Pharmaceutical

Pharmaceutical segment sales in the first fiscal six months of 2006 were \$11.4 billion, a total increase of 0.5% over the same period a year ago with 1.4% of this change due to operational increases and the remaining 0.9% decrease related to the negative impact of currency. The U.S. Pharmaceutical sales increase was 0.1% and the total growth in international Pharmaceutical sales was 1.2%, with 3.8% of this change due to operational increases and the remaining 2.6% decrease related to the negative impact of currency.

### Major Pharmaceutical Product Revenues (Dollars in Millions)

	First Fiscal Six Months				
	July 2, 2006	July 3, 2005	Total Change	Operations Change	Currency Change
RISPERDAL (R) / RISPERDAL (R)					
CONSTA (R)	\$2,055	\$1,738	18.2%	20.3%	(2.1)%
PROCRI (R) / EPREX (R)	1,594	1,682	(5.2)	(4.4)	(0.8)
REMICADE (R)	1,457	1,219	19.6	19.6	-
TOPAMAX (R)	965	837	15.3	15.8	(0.5)
LEVAQUIN (R) / FLOXIN (R)	744	760	(2.1)	(2.2)	0.1
DURAGESIC (R) / Fentanyl					
Transdermal	661	832	(20.5)	(18.6)	(1.9)
ACIPHEX (R) / PARIET (TM)	614	559	9.9	11.0	(1.1)
Hormonal					

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

Contraceptives	501	598	(16.2)	(16.4)	0.2
Other	2,845	3,158	(9.9)	(9.0)	(0.9)
Total	\$11,436	\$11,383	0.5%	1.4%	(0.9)%

Pharmaceutical segment sales in the fiscal second quarter of 2006 were \$5.8 billion, a total and operational increase of 3.2% over the same period a year ago, with a neutral year over year currency comparison. The U.S. Pharmaceutical sales increase was 2.4% and the growth in international Pharmaceutical sales was 4.7%, with no net impact from currency.

### Major Pharmaceutical Product Revenues (Dollars in Millions)

	Fiscal Second Quarter				
	July 2, 2006	July 3, 2005	Total Change	Operations Change	Currency Change
RISPERDAL (R) / RISPERDAL (R)					
CONSTRA (R)	\$1,036	\$894	16.0%	16.6%	(0.6)%
PROCRI (R) / EPREX (R)	808	846	(4.5)	(4.7)	0.2
REMICADE (R)	777	642	21.0	21.0	-
TOPAMAX (R)	495	431	14.8	14.7	0.1
LEVAQUIN (R) / FLOXIN (R)	343	320	7.2	7.2	-
DURAGESIC (R) / Fentanyl Transdermal	336	382	(12.0)	(11.9)	(0.1)
ACIPHEX (R) / PARIET (TM)	308	281	9.8	9.1	0.7
Hormonal Contraceptives	247	296	(16.4)	(17.1)	0.7
Other	1,460	1,536	(4.9)	(4.9)	-
Total	\$5,810	\$5,628	3.2%	3.2%	-%

Sales growth within the segment was led by strong performances from RISPERDAL (R) / RISPERDAL (R) CONSTA (R) (risperidone), REMICADE (R) (infliximab) and TOPAMAX (R) (topiramate). Generic competition related to DURAGESIC (R) (fentanyl transdermal system), ULTRACET (R) (tramadol hydrochloride/acetaminophen), SPORANOX (R) (itraconazole) and hormonal contraceptives continued to negatively impact sales during the fiscal second quarter of 2006.

RISPERDAL (R) (risperidone), a medication that treats the symptoms of schizophrenia and bipolar mania, and RISPERDALr CONSTAr (risperidone) long acting injection that treats the symptoms of schizophrenia, achieved operational growth of 16.6% in the fiscal second quarter of 2006. Sales growth was positively impacted by increases in the net pricing of RISPERDAL (R) and demand of RISPERDAL (R) CONSTA (R).

PROCRI (R) (Epoetin alfa) and EPREX (R) (Epoetin alfa) performance combined had an operational sales decline of 4.7%, as compared to prior year fiscal second quarter. PROCRIr experienced an operational decline

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

of 7.4% due to competitive pressure, while EPREXr had operational growth of 0.7%. The approval of the once weekly administration for EPREX(R) in Europe contributed to stabilizing EPREX(R) sales. Although the EPREX(R) patent has expired in most major European markets, an erythropoietin biosimilar has not yet been approved.

REMICADE(R) (infliximab), a biologic approved for the treatment of Crohn's disease, ankylosing spondylitis, psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis, ulcerative colitis and use in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, experienced strong operational growth of 21.0% over prior year fiscal second quarter. This continued growth was driven by increased demand due to expanded indications. During the fiscal second quarter of 2006, REMICADE(R) received approval for the pediatric Crohn's disease indications.

TOPAMAX(R) (topiramate), which has been approved for adjunctive and monotherapy use in epilepsy, as well as, for the prophylactic treatment of migraines, experienced strong operational growth of 14.7%.

LEVAQUIN(R) (levofloxacin) experienced operational sales growth of 7.2% over prior year, primarily due to increased volume.

DURAGESIC(R)/Fentanyl Transdermal (fentanyl transdermal system) experienced an operational sales decline of 11.9%, primarily driven by the negative impact of generic competition in the U.S. beginning in January 2005. Additionally, generic versions of DURAGESIC(R) have been launched in Europe.

The hormonal contraceptive franchise experienced an operational sales decline of 17.1% primarily resulting from generic competition in oral contraceptives. This was partially offset by strong growth in ORTHO TRI-CYCLEN(R) LO (norgestimate/ethinyl estradiol), a low dose oral contraceptive. ORTHO EVRA(R) (norelgestromin/ethinyl estradiol), the first contraceptive patch approved by the FDA, experienced a significant decline in sales as a result of labeling changes and negative media coverage concerning product safety.

CONCERTA(R) (methylphenidate HCl), a product for the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, achieved operational sales growth of 9.0% over the fiscal second quarter of 2005. At present, the FDA has not approved any generic version that is substitutable for CONCERTA(R). Abbreviated New Drug Applications (ANDAs) for generic versions of CONCERTA(R) are pending and may be approved at any time. Recent negative publicity and FDA activities concerning attention deficit hyperactivity products may impact CONCERTA(R) sales in 2006.

NATRECOR(R) (nesiritide), a product for the treatment of patients with acutely decompensated congestive heart failure who have dyspnea at rest or with minimal activity, has experienced a significant decline in demand due to past negative media coverage regarding a

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

meta analysis of selected historical clinical trials. The Company believes that there is no new data supporting the conclusions of these medical and consumer publications and the currently approved label for NATRECOR(R) reflects all available data to date.

On June 23, 2006 the FDA granted accelerated approval to the anti-HIV medication PREZISTAT (darunavir) tablets. PREZISTAT, co-administered with 100 mg ritonavir (PREZISTA/rtv) and with other antiretroviral agents, is indicated for the treatment of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection in antiretroviral treatment-experienced adult patients, such as those with HIV-1 strains resistant to more than one protease inhibitor.

### Medical Devices and Diagnostics

Medical Devices and Diagnostics segment sales in the first fiscal six months of 2006 were \$10.2 billion, an increase of 5.3% over the same period a year ago, with 7.3% of this change due to operational increases and the remaining 2.0% decrease related to the negative impact of currency. The U.S. Medical Devices and Diagnostics sales increase was 7.8% and the growth in international Medical Devices and Diagnostics sales was 2.9%, which included operational increases of 6.8% and a decrease of 3.9% related to the negative impact of currency.

### Major Medical Devices and Diagnostics Franchise Sales (Dollars in Millions)

	First Fiscal Six Months				
	July 2, 2006	July 3, 2005	Total Change	Operations Change	Currency Change
CORDIS(R)	\$2,143	\$1,983	8.1%	10.4%	(2.3)%
DEPUY(R)	2,074	1,973	5.1	6.7	(1.6)
ETHICON ENDO- SURGERY(R)	1,651	1,553	6.3	8.3	(2.0)
ETHICON(R)	1,590	1,584	0.4	2.3	(1.9)
LIFESCAN(R)	1,027	975	5.4	6.4	(1.0)
Vision Care	915	833	9.9	13.3	(3.4)
ORTHO-CLINICAL DIAGNOSTICS(R)	738	721	2.4	4.2	(1.8)
Other	28	31	(9.7)	(9.7)	-
Total	\$10,166	\$9,653	5.3%	7.3%	(2.0)%

Medical Devices and Diagnostics segment sales in the fiscal second quarter of 2006 were \$5.2 billion, an increase of 6.2% over the same period a year ago, with 6.7% of this change due to operational growth and the remaining 0.5% decrease related to the negative impact of currency. The U.S. Medical Devices and Diagnostics sales increase was 8.9% and the growth in international Medical Devices and Diagnostics sales was 3.5%, which included operational growth of 4.6% and a decrease of 1.1% related to the negative impact of currency.

### Major Medical Devices and Diagnostics Franchise Sales

# Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

(Dollars in Millions)

	Fiscal Second Quarter				
	July 2, 2006	July 3, 2005	Total Change	Operations Change	Currency Change
CORDIS(R)	\$1,068	\$1,014	5.4%	6.2%	(0.8)%
DEPUY(R)	1,035	980	5.6	5.9	(0.3)
ETHICON ENDO- SURGERY(R)	857	786	9.0	9.5	(0.5)
ETHICON(R)	816	797	2.4	2.7	(0.3)
LIFESCAN(R)	522	474	10.3	10.0	0.3
Vision Care	474	426	11.5	13.4	(1.9)
ORTHO-CLINICAL DIAGNOSTICS(R)	368	366	0.5	1.0	(0.5)
Other	15	13	15.4	15.9	(0.5)
Total	\$5,155	\$4,856	6.2%	6.7%	(0.5)%

The Cordis franchise was a key contributor to the Medical Devices and Diagnostics segment results, with operational growth of 6.2% over the fiscal second quarter of 2005. The primary growth driver of the Cordis franchise was the CYPHER(R) Sirolimus-eluting Stent in both U.S. and international markets. Strong performance was also achieved by Biosense Webster and the endovascular business.

In April and July of 2004, the Cordis Cardiology Division of Cordis Corporation received Warning Letters from the FDA regarding Good Manufacturing Practice regulations and Good Clinical Practice regulations. In response to the Warning Letters, Cordis has made improvements to its quality systems and has provided periodic updates to the FDA. The Clinical Warning Letter issues have been resolved to the FDA's satisfaction. With respect to the Quality System Warning Letter, in addition to the improvement updates, the Cordis Juarez and stent supplier locations were inspected with acceptable results. Cordis is preparing for third quarter re-inspections in the Miami Lakes and Puerto Rico locations and possible re-inspection of Warren.

The DePuy franchise's operational growth of 5.9% was primarily due to DePuy's orthopaedic joint reconstruction products. Strong performance was reported in Mitek sports medicine products and the trauma business, with the combined impact of the Hand Innovations acquisition and strong growth in the base business.

The Ethicon Endo-Surgery franchise experienced operational growth of 9.5% over prior year. A major contributor of growth continues to be endocutter sales, which include products used in performing bariatric procedures for the treatment of obesity, an important focus area for the franchise. Strong results were achieved with the success of the HARMONIC SCALPEL(R), an ultrasonic cutting and coagulating surgical device, which received approval in January 2006 for expanded

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

indications to include plastic surgery, as well as, continued growth in advanced sterilization products.

Ethicon worldwide sales grew operationally by 2.7% from the same period in the prior year, resulting from solid growth in wound management and women's health and urology, partially offset by challenging conditions with several European health care systems. Sales of both GYNECARE products and DERMABOND(R) had strong results in the fiscal second quarter of 2006 as compared to the same period in the prior year.

The LifeScan franchise experienced operational growth of 10.0%. Strong performance was achieved in the ONETOUCH(R) ULTRA(R) product line. An additional contributor was Animas Corporation, acquired in the fiscal first quarter of 2006, providing LifeScan with a platform for entry into the insulin pump segment of the diabetes market.

The Vision Care franchise operational sales growth of 13.4% was led by the continued success of ACUVUE(R) ADVANCE(TM) Brand Contact Lenses with HYDRACLEAR(TM), ACUVUE(R) ADVANCE(TM) Brand Contact Lenses for ASTIGMATISM, ACUVUE(R) OASYS(TM) Brand Contact Lenses with HYDRACLEAR(TM) PLUS and 1-DAY ACUVUE(R).

The Ortho-Clinical Diagnostics franchise achieved operational growth of 1.0% over prior year. Competitive pricing pressure was the major contributor to the modest results in the fiscal second quarter of 2006.

### Cost of Products Sold and Selling, Marketing and Administrative Expenses

Consolidated costs of products sold for the first fiscal six months of 2006 increased to 28.1% from 27.4% of sales over the same period a year ago. The cost of products sold for the fiscal second quarter of 2006 increased to 28.3% from 27.6% of sales. The increase resulted from unfavorable product mix, primarily in the Pharmaceutical segment.

Consolidated selling, marketing and administrative expenses for the first fiscal six months of 2006 increased 0.5% over the same period a year ago. Consolidated selling, marketing and administrative expenses as a percent to sales for the first fiscal six months of 2006 were 32.0% versus 32.8% for the same period a year ago. Consolidated selling, marketing and administrative expenses for the fiscal second quarter of 2006 increased 1.7% over the same period a year ago. As a percent to sales, consolidated selling, marketing and administrative expenses were 32.6% versus 33.5% for the same period a year ago. Decreases in the quarterly and six month periods were primarily associated with cost containment efforts across many of the Company's businesses.

### Research & Development

Research activities represent a significant part of the Company's business. These expenditures relate to the

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

development of new products, improvement of existing products, technical support of products and compliance with governmental regulations for the protection of the consumer. Worldwide costs of research activities, for the first fiscal six months of 2006 were \$3.4 billion, an increase of 15.5% over the same period a year ago. Research and development spending in the fiscal second quarter of 2006 was \$1.8 billion, an increase of 19.9% over the fiscal second quarter of 2005. The major factors contributing to this increase were the \$165 million up front payment to Vertex Pharmaceuticals for the rights to develop and commercialize VX-950 for Hepatitis C in selected regions, as well as, higher levels of investment in research projects in the Medical Devices and Diagnostics segment and a significant number of pharmaceutical projects in late stage development.

### In-Process Research & Development (IPR&D)

In the fiscal second quarter of 2006, the Company recorded IPR&D charges of \$87 million before tax, with no tax benefit, related to the acquisition of Vascular Control Systems, Inc.

In the fiscal second quarter of 2005, the Company recorded IPR&D charges of \$353 million before tax, with no tax benefit, related to acquisitions in the Pharmaceutical and Medical Devices and Diagnostics segments. These acquisitions included TransForm Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Peninsula Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Closure Medical Corporation.

### Other (Income) Expense, Net

Other (income) expense, net is the account where the Company records gains and losses related to the sale and write-down of certain equity securities of the Johnson & Johnson Development Corporation, gains and losses on the disposal of fixed assets, currency gains and losses, minority interests, litigation settlements, royalty income, as well as, certain miscellaneous one time events. The favorable change in other (income) expense for the first fiscal six months of 2006 of \$695 million, as compared to the same period a year ago, was primarily due to the gain associated with the Guidant termination fee, less associated expenses, of \$622 million before tax recorded in the fiscal first quarter of 2006.

### OPERATING PROFIT BY SEGMENT

#### Consumer Segment

Operating profit for the Consumer segment as a percent to sales in the first fiscal six months of 2006 was 19.0% versus 18.4% over the same period a year ago. Operating profit as a percent to sales in the fiscal second quarter of 2006 was 18.3% versus 17.5% over the same period a year ago. This increase was related to advertising and promotions spending in fiscal 2006 as compared to fiscal 2005.

#### Pharmaceutical Segment

Operating profit for the Pharmaceutical segment as a percent to sales in the first fiscal six months of 2006

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

was 31.7% versus 31.6% over the same period a year ago. Operating profit as a percent to sales in the fiscal second quarter of 2006 was 29.2% versus 27.1% over the same period a year ago. For both periods in 2006, operating profit was favorable, as compared to the same periods a year ago, due to the acquisition-related IPR&D charges incurred during the first fiscal six months of 2005 and the fiscal second quarter of 2005 of \$302 million. However, this favorability was partially offset in both periods of 2006 by increased research and development spending, including the \$165 million upfront payment to Vertex Pharmaceuticals in the fiscal second quarter of 2006 for the rights to develop and commercialize VX-950 for Hepatitis C in selected regions.

### Medical Devices and Diagnostics Segment

Operating profit for the Medical Devices and Diagnostics segment as a percent to sales in the first fiscal six months of 2006 was 35.4% versus 29.1% over the same period a year ago. Operating profit as a percent to sales in the fiscal second quarter of 2006 was 27.8% versus 28.1% over the same period a year ago. The primary driver of the improved operating profit in the Medical Devices and Diagnostics segment for the fiscal six months over the same period a year ago was the gain associated with the Guidant termination fee, less associated expenses, of \$622 million before tax. Additionally, gross profit for the first fiscal six months of 2006 was enhanced by cost reduction programs, and favorable product mix, which offset increased research and development spending and IPR&D charges.

### Interest (Income) Expense

Interest income increased in both the first fiscal six months and fiscal second quarter of 2006 as compared to the same periods a year ago. The increase reflected an improved cash position, as well as, higher rates of interest being earned on cash holdings. The cash balance including marketable securities at the end of the fiscal second quarter of 2006 was \$14.7 billion, which was \$1.6 billion higher than the same period a year ago.

Interest expense decreased in both the first fiscal six months and fiscal second quarter of 2006 as compared to the same periods a year ago, resulting from lower average debt balances.

### Provision For Taxes on Income

The worldwide effective income tax rates for the first fiscal six months of 2006 and 2005 were 25.5% and 24.6%, respectively, an increase of 0.9% primarily due to the expiration of the U.S. research and development tax credit at the end of fiscal 2005, and the net effect of the following items. The tax rate for the first fiscal six months of 2006 benefited from a reversal of tax allowances of \$134 million associated with the Tibotec business. This benefit was offset by acquisition-related IPR&D charges of \$124 million, for which there was a minimal tax benefit. Additionally, the first fiscal six months of 2006 includes the gain



## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

associated with the Guidant termination fee, less associated expenses, of \$622 million before tax recorded at a 40.8% tax rate.

The first fiscal six months of 2005 included a benefit of \$225 million, due to the reversal of a tax liability previously recorded during the fiscal fourth quarter of 2004, associated with a technical correction made to the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004, in May 2005, as well as, the impact of acquisition-related IPR&D charges of \$353 million that are non-deductible for tax purposes.

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

#### Cash Flows

Cash generated from operations provided the major sources of funds for the growth of the business, including working capital, capital expenditures, and acquisitions. Other uses of cash included share repurchases, dividends and debt repayments. In the first fiscal six months of 2006, cash flow from operations was \$5.8 billion, an increase of \$1.2 billion over the same period a year ago. This was a result of growth in net income of \$0.5 billion, net of the non-cash impact of IPR&D charges. This increase in net income includes the gain associated with the Guidant termination fee, less associated expenses, of \$368 million after tax. A \$0.9 increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities was also a key driver of the increase in cash flow from operations. Net cash used by investing activities increased by \$3.2 billion due to a \$2.7 billion net decrease in sales of investments and a \$0.5 billion increase in acquisition activity. Net cash used by financing activities increased by \$2.6 billion due primarily to a \$2.0 billion increase in the repurchase of common stock. During the first fiscal six months of 2006 \$2.7 billion was utilized for the stock repurchase program. Cash and current marketable securities were \$14.7 billion at the end of the fiscal second quarter of 2006 as compared with \$16.1 billion at fiscal year end 2005.

#### Dividends

On April 27, 2006, the Board of Directors declared a regular cash dividend of \$0.375 per share, payable on June 13, 2006 to shareholders of record as of May 30, 2006. This represented an increase of 13.6% in the quarterly dividend rate and was the 44th consecutive year of cash dividend increases.

On July 17, 2006, the Board of Directors declared a regular cash dividend of \$0.375 per share, payable on September 12, 2006 to shareholders of record as of August 29, 2006.

The Company expects to continue the practice of paying regular cash dividends.

### OTHER INFORMATION

#### New Accounting Standards

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation 48 [FIN 48], Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes -

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

an interpretation of FASB Statement No 109. This interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The interpretation also provides guidance on derecognition, classification and other matters. FIN 48 is effective for the fiscal year 2007 and the Company plans to adopt the Interpretation at that time. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of FIN 48 on its results of operations, cash flows and financial position.

The Company implemented SFAS 123(R), Share Based Payment, in the fiscal first quarter of 2006. The Company applied the modified retrospective transition method to implement SFAS No. 123(R). Previously reported financial statements were restated to reflect SFAS No. 123 disclosure amounts. See Note 1 included in Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)- Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company implemented SFAS 151, Inventory Costs, an amendment of ARB No. 43 in the fiscal first quarter of 2006. The adoption of this statement did not have a material effect on the Company's results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

### Economic and Market Factors

Johnson & Johnson is aware that its products are used in an environment where, for more than a decade, policymakers, consumers and businesses have expressed concern about the rising cost of health care. Johnson & Johnson has a long-standing policy of pricing products responsibly. For the period 1995 through 2005 in the United States, the weighted average compound annual growth rate of Johnson & Johnson price increases for health care products (prescription and over-the-counter drugs, hospital and professional products) was below the U.S. Consumer Price Index (CPI).

Inflation rates, even though moderate in many parts of the world during 2005, continue to have an effect on worldwide economies and, consequently, on the way companies operate. In the face of increasing costs, the Company strives to maintain its profit margins through cost reduction programs, productivity improvements and periodic price increases. The Company faces various worldwide health care changes that may result in pricing pressures that include health care cost containment and government legislation relating to sales, promotions and reimbursement.

The Company also operates in an environment increasingly hostile to intellectual property rights. Generic drug firms have filed Abbreviated New Drug Applications seeking to market generic forms of most of the Company's key pharmaceutical products, prior to expiration of the applicable patents covering those products. In the event the Company is not successful in defending a lawsuit resulting from an Abbreviated New Drug Application filing, the generic firms will then

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

introduce generic versions of the product at issue, resulting in very substantial market share and revenue losses. For further information see the discussion on "Litigation Against Filers of Abbreviated New Drug Applications" in Note 12 included in Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)- Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

### CAUTIONARY FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS

This Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements do not relate strictly to historical or current facts and anticipate results based on management's plans that are subject to uncertainty. Forward-looking statements may be identified by the use of words like "plans," "expects," "will," "anticipates," "estimates" and other words of similar meaning in conjunction with, among other things, discussions of future operations, financial performance, the Company's strategy for growth, product development, regulatory approval, market position and expenditures.

Forward-looking statements are based on current expectations of future events. The Company cannot guarantee that any forward-looking statement will be accurate, although the Company believes that it has been reasonable in its expectations and assumptions. Investors should realize that if underlying assumptions prove inaccurate or that unknown risks or uncertainties materialize, actual results could vary materially from the Company's expectations and projections. Investors are therefore cautioned not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. The Company assumes no obligation to update any forward-looking statements as a result of new information or future events or developments.

Risks and uncertainties include general industry conditions and competition; economic conditions, such as interest rate and currency exchange rate fluctuations; technological advances, new products and patents attained by competitors; challenges inherent in new product development, including obtaining regulatory approvals; challenges to patents; U.S. and foreign health care reforms and governmental laws and regulations; trends toward health care cost containment; increased scrutiny of the health care industry by government agencies; product efficacy or safety concerns resulting in product recalls or regulatory action.

The Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2006 contains, as an Exhibit, a discussion of additional factors that could cause actual results to differ from expectations. The Company notes these factors as permitted by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

### Item 3 - QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There has been no material change in the Company's

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

assessment of its sensitivity to market risk since its presentation set forth in Item 7A, "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk," in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 1, 2006.

### Item 4 - CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure controls and procedures. At the end of the period covered by this report, the Company evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures. The Company's disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. William C. Weldon, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and Robert J. Darretta, Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer, reviewed and participated in this evaluation. Based on this evaluation, Messrs. Weldon and Darretta concluded that, as of the date of their evaluation, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Internal control. During the period covered by this report, there were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

### Part II - OTHER INFORMATION

#### Item 1 - LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The information called for by this item is incorporated herein by reference to Note 12 included in Part I, Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited) - Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### Item 1A - RISK FACTORS

Not applicable.

#### Item 2 - UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

(c) Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers.

Common Stock purchases on the open market are made as

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

part of a systematic plan to meet the Company's compensation programs. On March 8, 2006, the Company announced that its Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program, authorizing the Company to buy back up to \$5 billion of the Company's common stock. The program has no time limit and may be suspended for periods or discontinued.

The following table provides information with respect to Common Stock purchases by the Company during the fiscal second quarter of 2006.

Fiscal Month	Total Number of Shares Purchased(1)	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Remaining Maximum Number of Shares that May Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (2)
April 3, 2006 through April 30, 2006	12,209,400	\$58.29	11,312,600	
May 1, 2006 through May 28, 2006	14,487,200	\$59.46	14,487,200	
May 29, 2006 through July 2, 2006	16,368,000	\$60.74	14,088,900	
Total	43,064,600		39,888,700	38,723,685

(1) During the fiscal second quarter of 2006, the Company repurchased an aggregate of 39,888,700 shares of Johnson & Johnson Common Stock pursuant to the repurchase program that was publicly announced on March 8, 2006 and an aggregate of 3,175,900 shares in open-market transactions outside of the program.

(2) As of July 2, 2006, based on the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange on June 30, 2006 of \$59.92 per share.

### Item 4 - Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

(a) The annual meeting of the shareholders of the Company was held on April 27, 2006.

(b) Election of the directors is set forth in (c) below.

(c) The shareholders elected all the Company's nominees for director and ratified the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent registered accounting firm for the fiscal year 2006. The shareholders also approved of the amendments to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as proposed by management, and defeated the shareholder proposals on charitable contributions and majority voting

## Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

requirements for director nominees.

### 1. Election of Directors:

	Shares For	Shares Withheld
M. S. Coleman	2,493,523,726	50,198,917
J. G. Cullen	2,472,303,251	71,419,392
R. J. Darretta	2,412,508,484	131,214,159
M. M. E. Johns	2,506,812,713	36,909,930
A. D. Jordan	2,477,391,237	66,331,406
A. G. Langbo	2,484,553,445	59,169,198
S. L. Lindquist	2,507,139,743	36,582,900
L. F. Mullin	2,490,905,545	52,817,098
C. A. Poon	2,479,441,418	64,281,225
C. Prince	2,406,966,830	136,755,813
S. S Reinemund	2,506,672,307	37,050,336
D. Satcher	2,506,540,877	37,181,766
W. C. Weldon	2,482,692,868	61,029,775
Abstain	35,576,608	
Broker Non-vote	-	

### 2. Amendments to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation:

For	2,496,705,505
Against	19,668,147
Abstain	27,348,991
Broker Non-vote	-

### 3. Ratification of Appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP:

For	2,465,908,496
Against	53,156,383
Abstain	24,657,764
Broker Non-vote	-

### 4. Shareholder proposal on charitable contributions:

For	113,838,488
Against	1,714,896,357
Abstain	169,068,896

### 5. Shareholder proposal on majority voting requirements for director nominees:

For	762,845,143
Against	1,192,219,145
Abstain	42,739,453

## Item 6 - EXHIBITS

Exhibit 31.1 Certifications under Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 - Filed with this document.

Exhibit 32.1 Certifications pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 - Furnished

Edgar Filing: JOHNSON & JOHNSON - Form 10-Q/A

with this document.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

JOHNSON & JOHNSON  
(Registrant)

Date: August 8, 2006

By /s/ R. J. DARRETTA  
R. J. DARRETTA  
Vice Chairman, Board of  
Directors; Chief Financial  
Officer and Director  
(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: August 8, 2006

By /s/ S. J. COSGROVE  
S. J. COSGROVE  
Controller  
(Principal Accounting Officer)