

SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC

Form 10-Q

November 05, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10 – Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2014

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission File Number 0-16587

Summit Financial Group, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

West Virginia

55-0672148

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

300 North Main Street

Moorefield, West Virginia

26836

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(304) 530-1000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Sections 13 or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of “large accelerated filer”, “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of Common Stock as of the latest practicable date.

Common Stock, \$2.50 par value

7,460,022 shares outstanding as of November 4, 2014

Table of Contents

	Page
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Financial Statements	
Consolidated balance sheets September 30, 2014 (unaudited), December 31, 2013, and September 30, 2013 (unaudited)	<u>4</u>
Consolidated statements of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (unaudited)	<u>5</u>
Consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (unaudited)	<u>6</u>
Consolidated statements of shareholders' equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (unaudited)	<u>7</u>
Consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (unaudited)	<u>8</u>
Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited)	<u>10</u>
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>46</u>
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	<u>58</u>
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	<u>59</u>

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	<u>60</u>
Item 1A. Risk Factors	<u>60</u>
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	None
Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities	None
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	None
Item 5. Other Information	None
Item 6. Exhibits	<u>60</u>
SIGNATURES	<u>61</u>
EXHIBIT INDEX	<u>62</u>

Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited)

	September 30, 2014 (unaudited)	December 31, 2013 (*)	September 30, 2013 (unaudited)
Dollars in thousands			
ASSETS			
Cash and due from banks	\$3,933	\$3,442	\$4,571
Interest bearing deposits with other banks	9,300	8,340	11,532
Cash and cash equivalents	13,233	11,782	16,103
Securities available for sale	282,401	288,780	291,258
Other investments	7,393	7,815	8,004
Loans held for sale, net	319	321	602
Loans, net	993,347	937,070	939,169
Property held for sale	47,252	53,392	45,303
Premises and equipment, net	20,131	20,623	20,780
Accrued interest receivable	5,467	5,669	5,364
Intangible assets	7,748	7,949	8,036
Cash surrender value of life insurance policies	36,417	35,611	35,257
Other assets	14,961	17,215	17,911
Total assets	\$1,428,669	\$1,386,227	\$1,387,787

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Liabilities

Deposits

Non interest bearing	\$104,442	\$92,837	\$99,109
Interest bearing	950,012	910,975	917,626
Total deposits	1,054,454	1,003,812	1,016,735
Short-term borrowings	127,432	62,769	54,163
Long-term borrowings	78,466	163,516	163,540
Subordinated debentures	16,800	16,800	16,800
Subordinated debentures owed to unconsolidated subsidiary trusts	19,589	19,589	19,589
Other liabilities	10,566	8,669	8,155
Total liabilities	1,307,307	1,275,155	1,278,982

Commitments and Contingencies

Shareholders' Equity

Preferred stock and related surplus - authorized 250,000 shares; Series 2009, 8% Non-cumulative convertible preferred stock, par value \$1.00; issued 3,710 shares	3,519	3,519	3,519
Series 2011, 8% Non-cumulative convertible preferred stock, par value \$1.00; issued 2014 - 11,914 shares, 2013 - 11,938 shares	5,764	5,776	5,776
Common stock and related surplus - authorized 20,000,000 shares; \$2.50 par value; issued and outstanding 2014 - 7,457,222 shares, December 2013 - 7,451,022 shares, and September 2013 - 7,448,222 shares	24,691	24,664	24,632
Retained earnings	84,712	77,134	74,541

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Accumulated other comprehensive income	2,676	(21) 337
Total shareholders' equity	121,362	111,072	108,805
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$1,428,669	\$1,386,227	\$1,387,787

(*) - December 31, 2013 financial information has been extracted from audited consolidated financial statements
See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

4

Consolidated Statements of Income (unaudited)

Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts	Three Months Ended		Nine months ended	
	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2013
Interest income				
Interest and fees on loans				
Taxable	\$ 12,943	\$ 12,469	\$ 37,516	\$ 38,037
Tax-exempt	89	63	248	197
Interest and dividends on securities				
Taxable	1,084	896	3,565	2,852
Tax-exempt	640	616	1,838	1,832
Interest on interest bearing deposits with other banks	3	1	6	4
Total interest income	14,759	14,045	43,173	42,922
Interest expense				
Interest on deposits	2,288	2,487	6,864	8,074
Interest on short-term borrowings	98	24	209	50
Interest on long-term borrowings and subordinated debentures	1,297	1,996	4,665	5,997
Total interest expense	3,683	4,507	11,738	14,121
Net interest income	11,076	9,538	31,435	28,801
Provision for loan losses	250	1,000	2,250	3,500
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	10,826	8,538	29,185	25,301
Other income				
Insurance commissions	1,105	1,057	3,377	3,373
Service fees related to deposit accounts	1,147	1,106	3,291	3,202
Realized securities gains	128	132	64	116
Other	533	606	1,670	1,741
Total other-than-temporary impairment loss on securities	—	(38) (1) (155
Portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income	—	—	—	37
Net impairment loss recognized in earnings	—	(38) (1) (118
Total other income	2,913	2,863	8,401	8,314
Other expense				
Salaries, commissions, and employee benefits	4,026	4,050	12,052	12,155
Net occupancy expense	482	454	1,528	1,387
Equipment expense	520	578	1,599	1,724
Professional fees	380	263	978	885
Amortization of intangibles	50	88	200	263
FDIC premiums	480	503	1,477	1,558
Foreclosed properties expense	298	262	780	836
(Gain) loss on sale of foreclosed properties	70	(17) 198	546
Write-down of foreclosed properties	1,580	654	3,471	3,078
Other	1,299	1,396	3,930	3,900
Total other expense	9,185	8,231	26,213	26,332
Income before income taxes	4,554	3,170	11,373	7,283
Income tax expense	1,218	898	3,215	2,001

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Net Income	3,336	2,272	8,158	5,282
Dividends on preferred shares	193	194	580	582
Net Income applicable to common shares	\$3,143	\$2,078	\$7,578	\$4,700
Basic earnings per common share	\$0.42	\$0.28	\$1.02	\$0.63
Diluted earnings per common share	\$0.35	\$0.24	\$0.85	\$0.55
See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements				

Table of Contents

5

Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income (unaudited)

Dollars in thousands	For the Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013
Net income	\$3,336	\$2,272
Other comprehensive income (loss):		
Net unrealized gain (loss) on cashflow hedge of:		
2014 - \$162, net of deferred taxes of \$60; 2013 - (\$117), net of deferred taxes of (\$43)	102	(74)
Net unrealized gain (loss) on available for sale debt securities of:		
2014 - \$1,017, net of deferred taxes of \$376 and reclassification adjustment for net realized gains included in net income of \$128; 2013 - (\$681), net of deferred taxes of (\$252) and reclassification adjustment for net realized gains included in net income of \$132	641	(429)
Total comprehensive income	\$4,079	\$1,769

Dollars in thousands	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013
Net income	\$8,158	\$5,282
Other comprehensive income (loss):		
Net unrealized (loss) on cashflow hedge of:		
2014 - (\$2,160), net of deferred taxes of (\$799); 2013 - (\$117), net of deferred taxes of (\$43)	(1,361)	(74)
Non-credit related other-than-temporary impairment on available for sale debt securities:		
2014- \$0, net of deferred taxes of \$0; 2013 - \$37, net of deferred taxes of \$14	—	(23)
Net unrealized gain (loss) on available for sale debt securities of:		
2014 - \$6,441, net of deferred taxes of \$2,383 and reclassification adjustment for net realized gains included in net income of \$64; 2013 - (\$7,038), net of deferred taxes of (\$2,604) and reclassification adjustment for net realized gains included in net income of \$116	4,058	(4,434)
Total comprehensive income	\$10,855	\$751

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

6

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity (unaudited)

Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts	Series 2009 Preferred Stock and Related Surplus	Series 2011 Preferred Stock and Related Surplus	Common Stock and Related Surplus	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Total Shareholders' Equity
Balance, December 31, 2013	\$3,519	\$5,776	\$24,664	\$77,134	\$(21)	\$111,072
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014						
Comprehensive income:						
Net income	—	—	—	8,158	—	8,158
Other comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	2,697	2,697
Total comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	10,855
Exercise of stock options	—	—	15	—	—	15
Stock compensation expense	—	—	—	—	—	—
Series 2009 Preferred Stock cash dividends declared (\$60.00 per share)	—	—	—	(223)	—	(223)
Series 2011 Preferred Stock cash dividends declared (\$30.00 per share)	—	—	—	(357)	—	(357)
Conversion of Series 2011 Preferred Stock to Common Stock	—	(12)	12	—	—	—
Balance, September 30, 2014	\$3,519	\$5,764	\$24,691	\$84,712	\$2,676	\$121,362
Balance, December 31, 2012	\$3,519	\$5,807	\$24,520	\$69,841	\$4,868	\$108,555
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013						
Comprehensive income:						
Net income	—	—	—	5,282	—	5,282
Other comprehensive (loss)	—	—	—	—	(4,531)	(4,531)
Total comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	751
Exercise of stock options	—	—	80	—	—	80
Stock compensation expense	—	—	1	—	—	1
Series 2009 Preferred Stock cash dividends declared (\$60.00 per share)	—	—	—	(223)	—	(223)
Series 2011 Preferred Stock cash dividends declared (\$30.00 per share)	—	—	—	(359)	—	(359)
Conversion of Series 2011 Preferred Stock to Common Stock	—	(31)	31	—	—	—
Balance, September 30, 2013	\$3,519	\$5,776	\$24,632	\$74,541	\$337	\$108,805

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

7

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)

Dollars in thousands	Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2013
Cash Flows from Operating Activities		
Net income	\$8,158	\$5,282
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	814	878
Provision for loan losses	2,250	3,500
Stock compensation expense	—	1
Deferred income tax expense	232	1,248
Loans originated for sale	(1,859) (7,963
Proceeds from loans sold	1,861	7,587
Securities losses	(64) (116
Other-than-temporary impairment of securities	1	118
Loss on disposal of assets	199	535
Write down of foreclosed properties	3,471	3,078
Amortization of securities premiums (accretion of discounts), net	3,931	4,698
Amortization of goodwill and purchase accounting adjustments, net	209	272
Decrease in accrued interest receivable	201	257
Increase in cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance	(806) (704
(Increase) decrease in other assets	(753) 2,354
Increase (decrease) in other liabilities	540	(748
Net cash provided by operating activities	18,385	20,277
Cash Flows from Investing Activities		
Proceeds from maturities and calls of securities available for sale	3,601	1,258
Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale	61,027	41,188
Principal payments received on securities available for sale	25,890	50,650
Purchases of securities available for sale	(81,565) (111,443
Purchases of other investments	(2,429) (2,541
Proceeds from maturities and calls of other investments	—	—
Redemption of Federal Home Loan Bank Stock	2,851	5,922
Net principal payments received on loans	(61,088) (8,371
Purchases of premises and equipment	(321) (528
Proceeds from disposal of premises and equipment	—	11
Proceeds from sales of other repossessed assets & property held for sale	5,411	10,339
Purchase of life insurance contracts	—	(5,000
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(46,623) (18,515
Cash Flows from Financing Activities		
Net increase in demand deposit, NOW and savings accounts	82,643	9,759
Net decrease in time deposits	(32,002) (20,149
Net increase in short-term borrowings	64,663	50,205
Proceeds from long-term borrowings	—	3,454
Repayment of long-term borrowings	(85,050) (43,228
Exercise of stock options	15	80

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Dividends paid on preferred stock	(580) (582)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	29,689	(461)
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	1,451	1,301	
Cash and cash equivalents:			
Beginning	11,782	14,802	
Ending	\$13,233	\$16,103	

(Continued)

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

8

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)

Dollars in thousands	Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2013
Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information		
Cash payments for:		
Interest	\$12,352	\$14,526
Income taxes	\$2,445	\$1,098
Supplemental Schedule of Noncash Investing and Financing Activities		
Other assets acquired in settlement of loans	\$2,560	\$2,871

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

9

NOTE 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

We, Summit Financial Group, Inc. and subsidiaries, prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with instructions to Form 10-Q and Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for annual year end financial statements. In our opinion, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included and are of a normal recurring nature.

The presentation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates.

The results of operations for the quarter ended September 30, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. The consolidated financial statements and notes included herein should be read in conjunction with our 2013 audited financial statements and Annual Report on Form 10-K. Certain accounts in the consolidated financial statements for December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013, as previously presented, have been reclassified to conform to current year classifications.

NOTE 2. SIGNIFICANT NEW AUTHORITATIVE ACCOUNTING GUIDANCE

ASU 2013-11, Income Taxes (Topic 740) - Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists requires that an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward. However, if a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position or the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a liability and should not be combined with deferred tax assets. The amendments were effective for years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013. The amendments did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

ASU 2014-1, Investments (Topic 323) - Accounting for Investments in Affordable Housing Projects revises the necessary criteria that need to be met in order for an entity to account for investments in affordable housing projects net of the provision for income taxes. It also changes the method of recognition from an effective amortization approach to a proportional amortization approach. Additional disclosures were also set forth in this update. The amendments are effective for annual periods, and interim reporting periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. The amendments are required to be applied retrospectively to all periods presented. Early adoption is permitted. Management is currently evaluating the impact of the guidance on our consolidated financial statements.

ASU 2014-4, Receivables (Topic 310) - Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure clarifies that an in substance repossession or foreclosure occurs upon either the creditor obtaining legal title to the residential real estate property or the borrower conveying all interest in the residential real estate property to the creditor to satisfy that loan through completion of a deed in lieu of foreclosure or through a similar legal agreement. The amendments are effective for annual periods, and interim reporting periods

within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. The amendments may be adopted using either a modified retrospective transition method or a prospective transition method. Early adoption is permitted. Management does not believe the amendments will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 3. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

ASC Topic 820 defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. ASC Topic 820 also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value.

Table of Contents

10

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) or identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active, and other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a company's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

Accordingly, securities available-for-sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Additionally, from time to time, we may be required to record other assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, such as loans held for sale, and impaired loans held for investment. These nonrecurring fair value adjustments typically involve application of lower of cost or market accounting or write-downs of individual assets.

Following is a description of valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities recorded at fair value.

Available-for-Sale Securities: Investment securities available-for-sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Fair value measurement is based upon quoted prices, if available. If quoted prices are not available, fair values are measured using independent pricing models or other model-based valuation techniques such as the present value of future cash flows, adjusted for the security's credit rating, prepayment assumptions and other factors such as credit loss assumptions. Level 1 securities include those traded on an active exchange, such as the New York Stock Exchange, U.S. Treasury securities that are traded by dealers or brokers in active over-the-counter markets and money market funds. Level 2 securities include mortgage-backed securities issued by government sponsored entities, municipal bonds and corporate debt securities.

Derivative Financial Instruments: Derivative financial instruments are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Fair value measurement is based on pricing models run by a third-party, utilizing observable market-based inputs. All future floating cash flows are projected and both floating and fixed cash flows are discounted to the valuation date. As a result, we classify interest rate swaps as Level 2.

Loans Held for Sale: Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or market value. The fair value of loans held for sale is based on what secondary markets are currently offering for portfolios with similar characteristics. As such, we classify loans subject to nonrecurring fair value adjustments as Level 2.

Loans: We do not record loans at fair value on a recurring basis. However, from time to time, a loan is considered impaired and an allowance for loan loss is established. Loans for which it is probable that payment of interest and principal will not be made in accordance with the original contractual terms of the loan agreement are considered impaired. Once a loan is identified as individually impaired, management measures impairment in accordance with ASC Topic 310, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan. The fair value of impaired loans is estimated using one of several methods, including collateral value, liquidation value and discounted cash flows. Those impaired loans not requiring an allowance represent loans for which the fair value of the expected repayments or collateral exceed the recorded investments in such loans. Prior to January 1, 2014, substantially all impaired loans were valued based upon the fair value of their collateral. Beginning January 1, 2014, only impaired loans internally graded as substandard, doubtful, or loss are evaluated using the fair value of collateral method. In accordance with ASC Topic 310, impaired loans where an allowance is established based on the fair value of collateral requires classification in the fair value hierarchy. When the fair value of the collateral is based on an observable market price or a current appraised value, we record the impaired loan as nonrecurring Level 2. When a current appraised value is not available and there is no observable market price, we record the impaired loan as nonrecurring Level 3. This change in accounting estimate did not have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

When impaired loans are deemed required to be included in the fair value hierarchy, management immediately begins the process of evaluating the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral to determine if a related specific allowance for loan losses or charge-off is necessary. Current appraisals are ordered once a loan is deemed impaired if the existing appraisal is more than twelve months old, or more frequently if there is known deterioration in value. For recently identified impaired loans, a current appraisal may not be available at the financial statement date. Until the current appraisal is obtained, the original appraised value is discounted, as appropriate, to compensate for the estimated depreciation in the value of the loan's underlying collateral since the date of the original appraisal. Such discounts are generally estimated based upon management's knowledge of sales of similar collateral within the applicable market area and its knowledge of other real estate market-related data as well as general economic trends. When a new appraisal is received (which generally are received within 3 months of a

Table of Contents

11

loan being identified as impaired), management then re-evaluates the fair value of the collateral and adjusts any specific allocated allowance for loan losses, as appropriate. In addition, management also assigns a discount of 7–10% for the estimated costs to sell the collateral.

Other Real Estate Owned (“OREO”): OREO consists of real estate acquired in foreclosure or other settlement of loans. Such assets are carried on the balance sheet at the lower of the investment in the real estate or its fair value less estimated selling costs. The fair value of OREO is determined on a nonrecurring basis generally utilizing current appraisals performed by an independent, licensed appraiser applying an income or market value approach using observable market data (Level 2). Updated appraisals of OREO are generally obtained if the existing appraisal is more than 18 months old or more frequently if there is a known deterioration in value. However, if a current appraisal is not available, the original appraised value is discounted, as appropriate, to compensate for the estimated depreciation in the value of the real estate since the date of its original appraisal. Such discounts are generally estimated based upon management’s knowledge of sales of similar property within the applicable market area and its knowledge of other real estate market-related data as well as general economic trends (Level 3). Upon foreclosure, any fair value adjustment is charged against the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent fair value adjustments are recorded in the period incurred and included in other noninterest expense in the consolidated statements of income.

Assets and Liabilities Recorded at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The table below presents the recorded amount of assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

Dollars in thousands	Balance at September 30, 2014	Fair Value Measurements Using:		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Available for sale securities				
U.S. Government sponsored agencies	\$23,515	\$—	\$23,515	\$—
Mortgage backed securities:				
Government sponsored agencies	154,884	—	154,884	—
Nongovernment sponsored entities	10,168	—	10,168	—
State and political subdivisions	10,094	—	10,094	—
Corporate debt securities	—	—	—	—
Other equity securities	7	—	7	—
Tax-exempt state and political subdivisions	83,733	—	83,733	—
Total available for sale securities	\$282,401	\$—	\$282,401	\$—
Derivative financial instrument				
Interest rate swaps	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—

Table of Contents

Dollars in thousands	Balance at December 31, 2013	Fair Value Measurements Using:		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Available for sale securities				
U.S. Government sponsored agencies	\$29,657	\$—	\$29,657	\$—
Mortgage backed securities:				
Government sponsored agencies	155,716	—	155,716	—
Nongovernment sponsored entities	11,819	—	11,819	—
State and political subdivisions	15,870	—	15,870	—
Corporate debt securities	3,966	—	3,966	—
Other equity securities	77	—	77	—
Tax-exempt state and political subdivisions	71,675	—	71,675	—
Total available for sale securities	\$288,780	\$—	\$288,780	\$—
Derivative financial instrument				
Interest rate swaps	\$803	\$—	\$803	\$—

There were no assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the period ended September 30, 2014.

Assets and Liabilities Recorded at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

We may be required, from time to time, to measure certain assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. These include assets that are measured at the lower of cost or market that were recognized at fair value below cost at the end of the period. Assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis are included in the table below.

Dollars in thousands	Balance at September 30, 2014	Fair Value Measurements Using:		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Residential mortgage loans held for sale	\$319	\$—	\$319	\$—
Collateral-dependent impaired loans				
Commercial	\$44	\$—	\$—	\$44
Commercial real estate	1,684	—	1,684	—
Construction and development	12,923	—	12,923	—
Residential real estate	9,590	—	9,477	113
Consumer	3	—	—	3
Total collateral-dependent impaired loans	\$24,244	\$—	\$24,084	\$160
OREO				
Commercial	\$110	\$—	\$110	\$—
Commercial real estate	5,815	—	5,815	—
Construction and development	30,609	—	28,637	1,972
Residential real estate	10,718	—	10,104	614
Total OREO	\$47,252	\$—	\$44,666	\$2,586

Table of Contents

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Dollars in thousands	Balance at December 31, 2013	Fair Value Measurements Using:		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Residential mortgage loans held for sale	\$321	\$—	\$321	\$—
Collateral-dependent impaired loans				
Commercial	\$1,616	—	\$920	\$696
Commercial real estate	17,902	—	4,879	13,023
Construction and development	22,083	—	17,590	4,493
Residential real estate	14,747	—	8,336	6,411
Consumer	34	—	3	31
Total collateral-dependent impaired loans	\$56,382	\$—	\$31,728	\$24,654
OREO				
Commercial	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Commercial real estate	9,903	—	9,903	—
Construction and development	31,610	—	29,993	1,617
Residential real estate	11,879	—	11,847	32
Total OREO	\$53,392	\$—	\$51,743	\$1,649

ASC Topic 825, Financial Instruments, requires disclosure of the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities, including those financial assets and financial liabilities that are not measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis or non-recurring basis. The following summarizes the methods and significant assumptions we used in estimating our fair value disclosures for financial instruments.

Cash and cash equivalents: The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents approximate their estimated fair value.

Interest bearing deposits with other banks: The carrying values of interest bearing deposits with other banks approximate their estimated fair values.

Federal funds sold: The carrying values of Federal funds sold approximate their estimated fair values.

Securities: Estimated fair values of securities are based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, estimated fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable securities.

Loans held for sale: The carrying values of loans held for sale approximate their estimated fair values.

Loans: The estimated fair values for loans are computed based on scheduled future cash flows of principal and interest, discounted at interest rates currently offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality. No prepayments of principal are assumed.

Accrued interest receivable and payable: The carrying values of accrued interest receivable and payable approximate their estimated fair values.

Deposits: The estimated fair values of demand deposits (i.e. non-interest bearing checking, NOW, money market and savings accounts) and other variable rate deposits approximate their carrying values. Fair values of fixed maturity deposits are estimated using a discounted cash flow methodology at rates currently offered for deposits with similar remaining maturities. Any intangible value of long-term relationships with depositors is not considered in estimating the fair values disclosed.

Short-term borrowings: The carrying values of short-term borrowings approximate their estimated fair values.

Long-term borrowings: The fair values of long-term borrowings are estimated by discounting scheduled future payments of principal and interest at current rates available on borrowings with similar terms.

Table of Contents

14

Subordinated debentures: The carrying values of subordinated debentures approximate their estimated fair values.

Subordinated debentures owed to unconsolidated subsidiary trusts: The carrying values of subordinated debentures owed to unconsolidated subsidiary trusts approximate their estimated fair values.

Derivative financial instruments: The fair value of the interest rate swaps is valued using independent pricing models.

Off-balance sheet instruments: The fair values of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit are estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present credit standing of the counter parties. The amounts of fees currently charged on commitments and standby letters of credit are deemed insignificant, and therefore, the estimated fair values and carrying values are not shown below.

The carrying values and estimated fair values of our financial instruments are summarized below:

Dollars in thousands	September 30, 2014		December 31, 2013	
	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value
Financial assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 13,233	\$ 13,233	\$ 11,782	\$ 11,782
Securities available for sale	282,401	282,401	288,780	288,780
Other investments	7,393	7,393	7,815	7,815
Loans held for sale, net	319	319	321	321
Loans, net	993,347	1,003,166	937,070	952,592
Accrued interest receivable	5,467	5,467	5,669	5,669
Derivative financial assets	—	—	803	803
	\$ 1,302,160	\$ 1,311,979	\$ 1,252,240	\$ 1,267,762
Financial liabilities				
Deposits	\$ 1,054,454	\$ 1,075,730	\$ 1,003,812	\$ 1,029,606
Short-term borrowings	127,432	127,432	62,769	62,769
Long-term borrowings	78,466	85,998	163,516	173,863
Subordinated debentures	16,800	16,800	16,800	16,800
Subordinated debentures owed to unconsolidated subsidiary trusts	19,589	19,589	19,589	19,589
Accrued interest payable	821	821	1,433	1,433
Derivative financial liabilities	1,357	1,357	—	—
	\$ 1,298,919	\$ 1,327,727	\$ 1,267,919	\$ 1,304,060

Table of Contents

NOTE 4. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The computations of basic and diluted earnings per share follow:

Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014			2013		
	Income (Numerator)	Common Shares (Denominator)	Per Share	Income (Numerator)	Common Shares (Denominator)	Per Share
Net income	\$3,336			\$2,272		
Less preferred stock dividends	(193)			(194)		
Basic EPS	\$3,143	7,457,222	\$0.42	\$2,078	7,443,865	\$0.28
Effect of dilutive securities:						
Stock options		9,276			7,819	
Series 2011 convertible preferred stock	119	1,489,250		120	1,495,728	
Series 2009 convertible preferred stock	74	674,545		74	674,545	
Diluted EPS	\$3,336	9,630,293	\$0.35	\$2,272	9,621,957	\$0.24
Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014			2013		
	Income (Numerator)	Common Shares (Denominator)	Per Share	Income (Numerator)	Common Shares (Denominator)	Per Share
Net income	\$8,158			\$5,282		
Less preferred stock dividends	(580)			(582)		
Basic EPS	\$7,578	7,455,952	\$1.02	\$4,700	7,438,216	\$0.63
Effect of dilutive securities:						
Stock options		9,547			7,316	
Series 2011 convertible preferred stock	357	1,489,898		359	1,498,251	
Series 2009 convertible preferred stock	223	674,545		223	674,545	
Diluted EPS	\$8,158	9,629,942	\$0.85	\$5,282	9,618,328	\$0.55

Stock option grants and the convertible preferred shares are disregarded in this computation if they are determined to be anti-dilutive. Our anti-dilutive stock options at September 30, 2014 and 2013 totaled 143,000 shares and 170,500 shares, respectively.

[Table of Contents](#)

NOTE 5. SECURITIES

The amortized cost, unrealized gains, unrealized losses and estimated fair values of securities at September 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and September 30, 2013 are summarized as follows:

Dollars in thousands	September 30, 2014			Estimated Fair Value
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Losses	
Available for Sale				
Taxable debt securities				
U.S. Government and agencies and corporations	\$22,643	\$919	\$47	\$23,515
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Government-sponsored agencies	153,095	2,680	891	154,884
Nongovernment-sponsored entities	9,873	323	28	10,168
State and political subdivisions				
General obligations	3,856	16	66	3,806
Water and sewer revenues	2,375	5	36	2,344
Other revenues	3,927	29	12	3,944
Corporate debt securities	—	—	—	—
Total taxable debt securities	195,769	3,972	1,080	198,661
Tax-exempt debt securities				
State and political subdivisions				
General obligations	46,610	2,047	62	48,595
Water and sewer revenues	12,436	224	25	12,635
Lease revenues	5,060	65	38	5,087
Lottery/casino revenues	4,287	115	—	4,402
Other revenues	12,624	440	50	13,014
Total tax-exempt debt securities	81,017	2,891	175	83,733
Equity securities	7	—	—	7
Total available for sale securities	\$276,793	\$6,863	\$1,255	\$282,401

Table of Contents

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Dollars in thousands	December 31, 2013			Estimated Fair Value
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Losses	
Available for Sale				
Taxable debt securities				
U.S. Government and agencies and corporations	\$29,100	\$675	\$118	\$29,657
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Government-sponsored agencies	155,270	2,019	1,573	155,716
Nongovernment-sponsored entities	11,519	321	21	11,819
State and political subdivisions				
General obligations	9,317	—	475	8,842
Water and sewer revenues	3,229	—	114	3,115
Other revenues	4,051	4	142	3,913
Corporate debt securities	3,973	24	31	3,966
Total taxable debt securities	216,459	3,043	2,474	217,028
Tax-exempt debt securities				
State and political subdivisions				
General obligations	41,156	675	1,154	40,677
Water and sewer revenues	8,996	15	306	8,705
Lease revenues	7,956	—	391	7,565
Lottery/casino revenues	4,443	63	169	4,337
Other revenues	10,527	55	191	10,391
Total tax-exempt debt securities	73,078	808	2,211	71,675
Equity securities	77	—	—	77
Total available for sale securities	\$289,614	\$3,851	\$4,685	\$288,780

Table of Contents

18

Dollars in thousands	September 30, 2013			Estimated Fair Value
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Losses	
Available for Sale				
Taxable debt securities:				
U.S. Government and agencies and corporations	\$27,740	\$757	\$67	\$28,430
Residential mortgage-backed securities:				
Government-sponsored agencies	149,569	2,444	1,226	150,787
Nongovernment-sponsored agencies	13,134	315	9	13,440
State and political subdivisions:				
General obligations	9,064	—	383	8,681
Water and sewer revenues	3,234	1	91	3,144
Other revenues	3,298	—	112	3,186
Corporate debt securities	3,970	25	45	3,950
Total taxable debt securities	210,009	3,542	1,933	211,618
Tax-exempt debt securities:				
State and political subdivisions:				
General obligations	49,569	1,048	1,112	49,505
Water and sewer revenues	10,132	89	290	9,931
Lease revenues	8,869	1	441	8,429
Lottery/casino revenues	4,457	68	166	4,359
Other revenues	7,490	61	212	7,339
Total tax-exempt debt securities	80,517	1,267	2,221	79,563
Equity securities	77	—	—	77
Total available for sale securities	\$290,603	\$4,809	\$4,154	\$291,258

The below information is relative to the five states where issuers with the highest volume of state and political subdivision securities held in our portfolio are located. We own no such securities of any single issuer which we deem to be a concentration.

Dollars in thousands	September 30, 2014			Estimated Fair Value
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Losses	
California	\$14,959	\$572	\$3	\$15,528
West Virginia	14,048	330	40	14,338
Illinois	8,963	255	35	9,183
Texas	7,391	418	44	7,765
Pennsylvania	7,404	148	6	7,546

Management performs pre-purchase and ongoing analysis to confirm that all investment securities meet applicable credit quality standards. Prior to July 1, 2013, we principally used credit ratings from Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations (“NRSROs”) to support analyses of our portfolio of securities issued by state and political subdivisions, as we generally do not purchase securities that are rated below the six highest NRSRO rating categories. Beginning July 1, 2013, in addition to considering a security’s NRSRO rating, we now also assess or confirm through an internal review of an issuer’s financial information and other applicable information that: 1) the issuer’s risk of default is low; 2) the characteristics of the issuer’s demographics and economic environment are satisfactory; and 3) the issuer’s budgetary position and stability of tax or other revenue sources are sound.

Table of Contents

The maturities, amortized cost and estimated fair values of securities at September 30, 2014, are summarized as follows:

Dollars in thousands	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$60,321	\$61,210
Due from one to five years	97,348	98,597
Due from five to ten years	23,114	23,764
Due after ten years	96,003	98,823
Equity securities	7	7
	\$276,793	\$282,401

The proceeds from sales, calls and maturities of available for sale securities, including principal payments received on mortgage-backed obligations, and the related gross gains and losses realized, for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 are as follows:

Dollars in thousands	Proceeds from			Gross realized	
	Sales	Calls and Maturities	Principle Payments	Gains	Losses
Securities available for sale	\$61,027	\$3,601	\$25,890	\$782	\$718

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, we recorded other-than-temporary impairment losses on residential mortgage-backed nongovernment sponsored entity securities as follows:

Dollars in thousands	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses	\$—	\$(38)	\$(1)	\$(155)
Portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income	—	—	—	37
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	\$—	\$(38)	\$(1)	\$(118)

Activity related to the credit component recognized on debt securities available for sale for which a portion of other-than-temporary impairment was recognized in other comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 is as follows:

In thousands	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014	
	Total		Total	
Beginning Balance	\$(3,021))	\$(3,021))
Additions for the credit component on debt securities in which other-than-temporary impairment was not previously recognized	(1))	(1))
Securities sold during the period	2,816)	2,816)
Ending Balance	\$(206))	\$(206))

We held 67 available for sale securities having an unrealized loss at September 30, 2014. We do not intend to sell these securities, and it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell these securities before recovery of their amortized cost bases. We believe that this decline in value is primarily attributable to the lack of market liquidity and to changes in market interest rates and not due to credit quality. Accordingly, no additional other-than-temporary impairment charge to earnings is warranted at this time.

Table of Contents

20

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Provided below is a summary of securities available for sale which were in an unrealized loss position at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, including debt securities for which a portion of other-than-temporary impairment has been recognized in other comprehensive income.

Dollars in thousands	September 30, 2014					
	Less than 12 months		12 months or more		Total	
	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Loss
Temporarily impaired securities						
Taxable debt securities						
U.S. Government agencies and corporations	\$1,399	\$(3)	\$2,682	\$(44)	\$4,081	\$(47)
Residential mortgage-backed securities:						
Government-sponsored agencies	38,707	(548)	20,979	(343)	59,686	(891)
Nongovernment-sponsored entities	4,151	(28)	58	—	4,209	(28)
State and political subdivisions:						
General obligations	707	(2)	2,798	(64)	3,505	(66)
Water and sewer revenues	—	—	1,838	(36)	1,838	(36)
Other revenues	1,098	(12)	—	—	1,098	(12)
Corporate debt securities	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tax-exempt debt securities						
State and political subdivisions:						
General obligations	4,234	(12)	2,613	(50)	6,847	(62)
Water and sewer revenues	2,188	(25)	—	—	2,188	(25)
Lease revenues	—	—	2,126	(38)	2,126	(38)
Lottery/casino revenues	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other revenues	—	—	1,949	(50)	1,949	(50)
Total temporarily impaired securities	52,484	(630)	35,043	(625)	87,527	(1,255)
Other-than-temporarily impaired securities						
Taxable debt securities						
Residential mortgage-backed securities:						
Nongovernment-sponsored entities	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total other-than-temporarily impaired securities	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$52,484	\$(630)	\$35,043	\$(625)	\$87,527	\$(1,255)

Table of Contents

21

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Dollars in thousands	December 31, 2013					
	Less than 12 months		12 months or more		Total	
	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Loss
Temporarily impaired securities						
Taxable debt securities						
U.S. Government agencies and corporations	\$10,868	\$(118)	\$—	\$—	\$10,868	\$(118)
Residential mortgage-backed securities:						
Government-sponsored agencies	55,035	(1,385)	13,249	(188)	68,284	(1,573)
Nongovernment-sponsored entities	2,407	(12)	565	(7)	2,972	(19)
State and political subdivisions:						
General obligations	4,505	(264)	2,337	(211)	6,842	(475)
Water and sewer revenues	1,309	(31)	1,554	(83)	2,863	(114)
Other revenues	3,142	(142)	—	—	3,142	(142)
Corporate debt securities	2,968	(31)	—	—	2,968	(31)
Tax-exempt debt securities						
State and political subdivisions:						
General obligations	19,603	(997)	2,102	(157)	21,705	(1,154)
Water and sewer revenues	5,643	(224)	983	(82)	6,626	(306)
Lease revenues	6,112	(349)	958	(42)	7,070	(391)
Lottery/casino revenues	2,720	(132)	554	(37)	3,274	(169)
Other revenues	8,815	(191)	—	—	8,815	(191)
Total temporarily impaired securities	123,127	(3,876)	22,302	(807)	145,429	(4,683)
Other-than-temporarily impaired securities						
Taxable debt securities						
Residential mortgage-backed securities:						
Nongovernment-sponsored entities	—	—	1	(2)	1	(2)
Total other-than-temporarily impaired securities	—	—	1	(2)	1	(2)
Total	\$123,127	\$(3,876)	\$22,303	\$(809)	\$145,430	\$(4,685)

NOTE 6. LOANS

Loans are generally stated at the amount of unpaid principal, reduced by unearned discount and allowance for loan losses. Interest on loans is accrued daily on the outstanding balances. Loan origination fees and certain direct loan origination costs are deferred and amortized as adjustments of the related loan yield over its contractual life. We categorize residential real estate loans in excess of \$600,000 as jumbo loans.

Generally, loans are placed on nonaccrual status when principal or interest is greater than 90 days past due based upon the loan's contractual terms. Interest is accrued daily on impaired loans unless the loan is placed on nonaccrual status. Impaired loans are placed on nonaccrual status when the payments of principal and interest are in default for a period of 90 days, unless the loan is both well-secured and in the process of collection. Interest on nonaccrual loans is recognized primarily using the cost-recovery method. Loans may be returned to accrual status when repayment is reasonably assured and there has been demonstrated performance under the terms of the loan or, if applicable, the

terms of the restructured loans.

Table of Contents

22

Commercial-related loans or portions thereof (which are risk-rated) are charged off to the allowance for loan losses when the loss has been confirmed. This determination is made on a case by case basis considering many factors, including the prioritization of our claim in bankruptcy, expectations of the workout/restructuring of the loan and valuation of the borrower's equity. We deem a loss confirmed when a loan or a portion of a loan is classified "loss" in accordance with bank regulatory classification guidelines, which state, "Assets classified loss are considered uncollectible and of such little value that their continuance as bankable assets is not warranted".

Consumer-related loans are generally charged off to the allowance for loan losses upon reaching specified stages of delinquency, in accordance with the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council policy. For example, credit card loans are charged off by the end of the month in which the account becomes 180 days past due or within 60 days from receiving notification about a specified event (e.g., bankruptcy of the borrower), which ever is earlier. Residential mortgage loans are generally charged off to net realizable value no later than when the account becomes 180 days past due. Other consumer loans, if collateralized, are generally charged off to net realizable value at 120 days past due.

Loans are summarized as follows:

Dollars in thousands	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013
Commercial	\$83,762	\$88,352	\$83,844
Commercial real estate			
Owner-occupied	156,765	149,618	151,260
Non-owner occupied	314,577	280,790	279,412
Construction and development			
Land and land development	61,088	71,453	73,089
Construction	27,239	15,155	12,323
Residential real estate			
Non-jumbo	218,125	212,946	215,058
Jumbo	51,917	53,406	59,701
Home equity	64,256	54,844	53,674
Consumer	19,906	19,889	20,472
Other	6,753	3,276	3,375
Total loans, net of unearned fees	1,004,388	949,729	952,208
Less allowance for loan losses	11,041	12,659	13,039
Loans, net	\$993,347	\$937,070	\$939,169

[Table of Contents](#)

23

Dollars in thousands	At September 30, 2013			Total	Current	> 90 days and Accruing
	Past Due					
	30-59 days	60-89 days	> 90 days			
Commercial	\$10	\$111	\$1,583	\$1,704	\$82,140	\$—
Commercial real estate						
Owner-occupied	846	229	432	1,507	149,753	—
Non-owner occupied	587	244	—	831	278,581	—
Construction and development						
Land and land development	154	—	8,669	8,823	64,266	—
Construction	—	—	38	38	12,285	—
Residential mortgage						
Non-jumbo	3,467	2,031	2,225	7,723	207,335	—
Jumbo	—	—	9,000	9,000	50,701	—
Home equity	214	49	24	287	53,387	—
Consumer	197	77	91	365	20,107	—
Other	50	—	—	50	3,325	—
Total	\$5,525	\$2,741	\$22,062	\$30,328	\$921,880	\$—

Nonaccrual loans: The following table presents the nonaccrual loans included in the net balance of loans at September 30, 2014, December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013.

Dollars in thousands	September 30,		December 31,
	2014	2013	2013
Commercial	\$309	\$2,557	\$1,224
Commercial real estate			
Owner-occupied	593	3,795	1,953
Non-owner occupied	344	—	365
Construction and development			
Land & land development	4,591	12,851	12,830
Construction	—	38	—
Residential mortgage			
Non-jumbo	3,602	3,119	2,446
Jumbo	1,435	9,000	—
Home equity	220	266	—
Consumer	146	145	128
Total	\$11,240	\$31,771	\$18,946

Impaired loans: Impaired loans include the following:

Loans which we risk-rate (consisting of loan relationships having aggregate balances in excess of \$2.0 million, or loans exceeding \$500,000 and exhibiting credit weakness) through our normal loan review procedures and which, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due in accordance with the original contractual terms of the loan agreement. Risk-rated loans with insignificant delays or insignificant short falls in the amount of payments expected to be collected are not considered to be impaired.

Loans that have been modified in a troubled debt restructuring.

Both commercial and consumer loans are deemed impaired upon being contractually modified in a troubled debt restructuring. Troubled debt restructurings typically result from our loss mitigation activities and occur when we grant a concession to a borrower who is experiencing financial difficulty in order to minimize our economic loss and to

avoid foreclosure or

Table of Contents

25

repossession of collateral. Once restructured in a troubled debt restructuring, a loan is generally considered impaired until its maturity, regardless of whether the borrower performs under the modified terms. Although such a loan may be returned to accrual status if the criteria set forth in our accounting policy are met, the loan would continue to be evaluated for an asset-specific allowance for loan losses and we would continue to report the loan in the impaired loan table below.

The table below sets forth information about our impaired loans.

Method Used to Measure Impairment of Impaired Loans

Dollars in thousands

Loan Category	September 30,		December 31, 2013	Method used to measure impairment
	2014	2013		
Commercial	\$51	\$5,997	\$1,864	Fair value of collateral
	403	160	158	Discounted cash flow
Commercial real estate				
Owner-occupied	1,257	9,054	10,067	Fair value of collateral
	9,181	2,497	2,483	Discounted cash flow
Non-owner occupied	512	5,884	5,832	Fair value of collateral
	7,358	—	—	Discounted cash flow
Construction and development				
Land & land development	12,934	24,870	24,625	Fair value of collateral
	1,479	649	644	Discounted cash flow
Residential mortgage				
Non-jumbo	1,548	5,133	5,516	Fair value of collateral
	4,980	884	566	Discounted cash flow
Jumbo	7,791	17,786	8,768	Fair value of collateral
	890	—	—	Discounted cash flow
Home equity	285	213	212	Fair value of collateral
	523	—	—	Discounted cash flow
Consumer	3	52	47	Fair value of collateral
	32	—	—	Discounted cash flow
Total	\$49,227	\$73,179	\$60,782	

Table of Contents

26

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

The following tables present loans individually evaluated for impairment at September 30, 2014, December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2013.

Dollars in thousands	September 30, 2014				
	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Impaired Balance	Interest Income Recognized while impaired
Without a related allowance					
Commercial	\$403	\$403	\$—	\$409	\$26
Commercial real estate					
Owner-occupied	5,808	5,807	—	5,879	211
Non-owner occupied	4,989	4,991	—	5,014	248
Construction and development					
Land & land development	13,597	13,596	—	14,099	478
Construction	—	—	—	—	—
Residential real estate					
Non-jumbo	4,284	4,294	—	3,631	175
Jumbo	7,786	7,791	—	7,817	310
Home equity	808	808	—	186	11
Consumer	35	35	—	38	2
Total without a related allowance	\$37,710	\$37,725	\$—	\$37,073	\$1,461
With a related allowance					
Commercial	\$50	\$51	\$7	\$12	\$1
Commercial real estate					
Owner-occupied	4,631	4,631	256	4,618	214
Non-owner occupied	2,879	2,879	87	733	28
Construction and development					
Land & land development	817	817	10	865	38
Construction	—	—	—	—	—
Residential real estate					
Non-jumbo	2,234	2,234	201	2,076	99
Jumbo	890	890	49	893	45
Home equity	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer	—	—	—	—	—
Total with a related allowance	\$11,501	\$11,502	\$610	\$9,197	\$425
Total					
Commercial	\$33,174	\$33,175	\$360	\$31,629	\$1,244
Residential real estate	16,002	16,017	250	14,603	640
Consumer	35	35	—	38	2
Total	\$49,211	\$49,227	\$610	\$46,270	\$1,886

Table of Contents

27

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Dollars in thousands	December 31, 2013		Related Allowance	Average Impaired Balance	Interest Income Recognized while impaired
	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance			
Without a related allowance					
Commercial	\$ 1,161	\$ 1,167	\$—	\$ 1,518	\$ 98
Commercial real estate					
Owner-occupied	8,434	8,434	—	7,675	226
Non-owner occupied	5,075	5,077	—	5,110	253
Construction and development					
Land & land development	14,732	14,737	—	11,628	325
Construction	—	—	—	—	—
Residential real estate					
Non-jumbo	3,587	3,595	—	2,858	157
Jumbo	7,862	7,867	—	7,910	405
Home equity	186	186	—	186	11
Consumer	26	27	—	28	1
Total without a related allowance	\$ 41,063	\$ 41,090	\$—	\$ 36,913	\$ 1,476
With a related allowance					
Commercial	\$ 855	\$ 855	\$ 406	\$ 1,013	\$—
Commercial real estate					
Owner-occupied	4,116	4,116	305	3,945	184
Non-owner occupied	747	755	175	515	28
Construction and development					
Land & land development	10,532	10,532	3,186	11,310	147
Construction	—	—	—	—	—
Residential real estate					
Non-jumbo	2,485	2,487	256	2,292	107
Jumbo	900	901	37	906	45
Home equity	27	26	22	27	—
Consumer	20	20	13	9	—
Total with a related allowance	\$ 19,682	\$ 19,692	\$ 4,400	\$ 20,017	\$ 511
Total					
Commercial	\$ 45,652	\$ 45,673	\$ 4,072	\$ 42,714	\$ 1,261
Residential real estate	15,047	15,062	315	14,179	725
Consumer	46	47	13	37	1
Total	\$ 60,745	\$ 60,782	\$ 4,400	\$ 56,930	\$ 1,987

[Table of Contents](#)

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Dollars in thousands	September 30, 2013				
	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Impaired Balance	Interest Income Recognized while impaired
Without a related allowance					
Commercial	\$4,254	\$4,268	\$—	\$5,632	\$334
Commercial real estate					
Owner-occupied	7,609	7,613	—	8,839	293
Non-owner occupied	5,368	5,370	—	5,800	289
Construction and development					
Land & land development	20,923	20,921	—	20,331	404
Construction	—	—	—	—	—
Residential real estate					
Non-jumbo	2,888	2,895	—	2,930	171
Jumbo	7,876	7,882	—	8,168	419
Home equity	186	186	—	186	11
Consumer	28	28	—	32	2
Total without a related allowance	\$49,132	\$49,163	\$—	\$51,918	\$1,923
With a related allowance					
Commercial	\$1,891	\$1,889	\$702	\$2,537	\$—
Commercial real estate					
Owner-occupied	3,938	3,938	216	3,956	138
Non-owner occupied	514	514	110	516	28
Construction and development					
Land & land development	4,597	4,598	1,390	3,992	121
Construction	—	—	—	—	—
Residential real estate					
Non-jumbo	3,119	3,122	316	2,843	130
Jumbo	9,904	9,904	1,040	10,152	45
Home equity	27	27	27	27	—
Consumer	24	24	13	25	1
Total with a related allowance	\$24,014	\$24,016	\$3,814	\$24,048	\$463
Total					
Commercial	\$49,094	\$49,111	\$2,418	\$51,603	\$1,607
Residential real estate	24,000	24,016	1,383	24,306	776
Consumer	52	52	13	57	3
Total	\$73,146	\$73,179	\$3,814	\$75,966	\$2,386

A modification of a loan is considered a troubled debt restructuring (“TDR”) when a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty and the modification constitutes a concession that we would not otherwise consider. This may include a transfer of real estate or other assets from the borrower, a modification of loan terms, or a combination of both. A loan continues to be classified as a TDR for the life of the loan. Included in impaired loans are TDRs of \$35.9 million, of which \$33.9 million were current with respect to restructured contractual payments at September 30, 2014, and \$34.5 million, of which \$33.6 million were current with respect to restructured contractual payments at

December 31, 2013. There were no commitments to lend additional funds under these restructurings at either balance sheet date.

Table of Contents

29

The following table presents by class the TDRs that were restructured during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. Generally, the modifications were extensions of term, modifying the payment terms from principal and interest to interest only for an extended period, or reduction in interest rate. All TDRs are evaluated individually for allowance for loan loss purposes.

Dollars in thousands	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014			For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		
	Number of Modifications	Pre-modification Recorded Investment	Post-modification Recorded Investment	Number of Modifications	Pre-modification Recorded Investment	Post-modification Recorded Investment
Commercial	—	\$ —	\$ —	—	\$ —	\$ —
Commercial real estate						
Owner-occupied	—	—	—	—	—	—
Non-owner occupied	1	2,154	2,154	—	—	—
Construction and development						
Land & land development	—	—	—	—	—	—
Construction	—	—	—	—	—	—
Residential real estate						
Non-jumbo	3	634	670	2	487	487
Jumbo	—	—	—	—	—	—
Home equity	1	411	523	—	—	—
Consumer	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	5	\$ 3,199	\$ 3,347	2	\$ 487	\$ 487

Dollars in thousands	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014			For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		
	Number of Modifications	Pre-modification Recorded Investment	Post-modification Recorded Investment	Number of Modifications	Pre-modification Recorded Investment	Post-modification Recorded Investment
Commercial	3	\$ 82	\$ 86	1	\$ 23	\$ 23
Commercial real estate						
Owner-occupied	—	—	—	—	—	—
Non-owner occupied	1	2,154	2,154	—	—	—
Construction and development						
Land & land development	—	—	—	1	49	50
Construction	—	—	—	—	—	—
Residential real estate						
Non-jumbo	3	634	670	4	728	514
Jumbo	—	—	—	—	—	—
Home equity	1	411	523	—	—	—
Consumer	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	8	\$ 3,281	\$ 3,433	6	\$ 800	\$ 587

Table of Contents

The following table presents defaults during the stated period of TDRs that were restructured during the past twelve months. For purposes of these tables, a default is considered as either the loan was past due 30 days or more at any time during the period, or the loan was fully or partially charged off during the period.

Dollars in thousands	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014		For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014	
	Number of Defaults	Recorded Investment at Default Date	Number of Defaults	Recorded Investment at Default Date
Commercial	2	\$59	3	\$86
Commercial real estate				
Owner-occupied	—	—	—	—
Non-owner occupied	—	—	—	—
Construction and development				
Land & land development	1	699	1	698
Construction	—	—	—	—
Residential real estate				
Non-jumbo	2	278	2	278
Jumbo	—	—	—	—
Home equity	—	—	—	—
Consumer	—	—	—	—
Total	5	\$1,036	6	\$1,062

The following table details the activity regarding TDRs by loan type for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2014, and the related allowance on TDRs.

For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014

Dollars in thousands	Construction & Land Development		Commercial Real Estate	Residential Real Estate							Other Total
	Land & Development	Construction		Owner Occupied	Non-Owner Occupied	Non-jumbo	Jumbo	Home Equity	Consumer		
Troubled debt restructurings											
Balance June 30, 2014	\$5,968	\$—	\$498	\$9,589	\$5,465	\$5,494	\$6,201	\$—	\$38	\$—	\$33,253
Additions	—	—	—	—	2,154	670	—	523	—	—	3,347
Charge-offs	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Net (paydowns) advances	(155)	—	(52)	(22)	(37)	(216)	(52)	—	(3)	—	(537)
Transfer into OREO	—	—	—	—	—	(88)	—	—	—	—	(88)
Refinance out of TDR status	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Balance, September 30, 2014	\$5,813	\$—	\$446	\$9,567	\$7,582	\$5,860	\$6,149	\$523	\$35	\$—	\$35,975
Allowance related to troubled debt	\$10	\$—	\$7	\$183	\$87	\$201	\$49	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$537

restructurings

Table of Contents

31

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014

Dollars in thousands	Construction & Land Development		Commercial Land & Development	Commercial Real Estate		Residential Real Estate				Other	Total	
	Land Development	Construction		Owner Occupied	Non-Owner Occupied	Non-jumbo	Jumbo	Home Equity	Consumer			
Troubled debt restructurings												
Balance												
January 1, 2014	\$6,163	\$—	\$1,243	\$9,699	\$5,544	\$5,541	\$6,278	\$—	\$47	\$—	\$34,515	
Additions	—	—	86	—	2,154	670	—	523	—	—	3,433	
Charge-offs	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(3)	—	(3)	
Net (paydowns) advances	(350)	—	(883)	(132)	(116)	(263)	(129)	—	(9)	—	(1,882)	
Transfer into OREO	—	—	—	—	—	(88)	—	—	—	—	(88)	
Refinance out of TDR status	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Balance, September 30, 2014	\$5,813	\$—	\$446	\$9,567	\$7,582	\$5,860	\$6,149	\$523	\$35	\$—	\$35,975	
Allowance related to troubled debt restructurings	\$10	\$—	\$7	\$183	\$87	\$201	\$49	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$537	

We categorize loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. We analyze loans individually by classifying the loans as to credit risk. We internally grade all commercial loans at the time of loan origination. In addition, we perform an annual loan review on all non-homogenous commercial loan relationships with an aggregate exposure of \$2 million, at which time these loans are re-graded. We use the following definitions for our risk grades:

Pass: Loans graded as Pass are loans to borrowers of acceptable credit quality and risk. They are higher quality loans that do not fit any of the other categories described below.

OLEM (Special Mention): Commercial loans categorized as OLEM are potentially weak. The credit risk may be relatively minor yet represent a risk given certain specific circumstances. If the potential weaknesses are not monitored or mitigated, the asset may weaken or inadequately protect our position in the future.

Substandard: Commercial loans categorized as Substandard are inadequately protected by the borrower's ability to repay, equity, and/or the collateral pledged to secure the loan. These loans have identified weaknesses that could hinder normal repayment or collection of the debt. These loans are characterized by the distinct possibility that we will sustain some loss if the identified weaknesses are not mitigated.

Doubtful: Commercial loans categorized as Doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those loans classified as Substandard, with the added elements that the full collection of the loan is improbable and the possibility of loss is high.

Loss: Loans classified as loss are considered to be non-collectible and of such little value that their continuance as a bankable asset is not warranted. This does not mean that the loan has absolutely no recovery value, but rather it is neither practical nor desirable to defer writing off the loan, even though partial recovery may be obtained in the future.

Table of Contents

32

The following table presents the recorded investment in construction and development, commercial, and commercial real estate loans which are generally evaluated based upon the internal risk ratings defined above.

Loan Risk Profile by Internal

Risk Rating

	Construction and Development				Commercial Real Estate					
	Land and Land Development		Construction		Commercial		Owner Occupied		Non-Owner Occupied	
Dollars in thousands	9/30/2014	12/31/2013	9/30/2014	12/31/2013	9/30/2014	12/31/2013	9/30/2014	12/31/2013	9/30/2014	12/31/2013
Pass	\$47,344	\$41,662	\$27,239	\$15,022	\$82,094	\$82,323	\$154,128	\$143,982	\$303,848	\$268,967
OLEM (Special Mention)	724	5,550	—	133	1,320	4,544	1,658	1,412	9,226	10,222
Substandard	13,020	24,131	—	—	348	1,485	979	4,224	1,503	1,601
Doubtful	—	110	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$61,088	\$71,453	\$27,239	\$15,155	\$83,762	\$88,352	\$156,765	\$149,618	\$314,577	\$280,790

The following table presents the recorded investment in consumer, residential real estate, and home equity loans, which are generally evaluated based on the aging status of the loans, which was previously presented, and payment activity.

Dollars in thousands	Performing			Nonperforming		
	9/30/2014	12/31/2013	9/30/2013	9/30/2014	12/31/2013	9/30/2013
Residential real estate						
Non-jumbo	\$214,523	\$210,500	\$211,939	\$3,602	\$2,446	\$3,119
Jumbo	50,482	53,406	50,701	1,435	—	9,000
Home Equity	64,037	54,844	53,408	219	—	266
Consumer	19,760	19,761	20,327	146	128	145
Other	6,753	3,276	3,375	—	—	—
Total	\$355,555	\$341,787	\$339,750	\$5,402	\$2,574	\$12,530

Loan commitments: ASC Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging, requires that commitments to make mortgage loans should be accounted for as derivatives if the loans are to be held for sale, because the commitment represents a written option and accordingly is recorded at the fair value of the option liability.

Table of Contents

NOTE 7. ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

An analysis of the allowance for loan losses for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, and for the year ended December 31, 2013 is as follows:

Dollars in thousands	Nine Months Ended		Year Ended
	September 30, 2014	2013	December 31, 2013
Balance, beginning of year	\$12,659	\$17,933	\$17,933
Losses:			
Commercial	390	205	723
Commercial real estate			
Owner occupied	11	1,067	1,031
Non-owner occupied	—	9	9
Construction and development			
Land and land development	3,535	3,560	3,596
Construction	—	—	—
Residential real estate			
Non-jumbo	280	494	541
Jumbo	65	3,739	4,741
Home equity	—	77	77
Consumer	119	55	79
Other	71	84	162
Total	4,471	9,290	10,959
Recoveries:			
Commercial	19	7	12
Commercial real estate			
Owner occupied	38	2	8
Non-owner occupied	12	633	674
Construction and development			
Land and land development	177	13	187
Construction	—	—	—
Real estate - mortgage			
Non-jumbo	71	106	127
Jumbo	163	4	6
Home equity	3	4	5
Consumer	63	53	79
Other	57	74	87
Total	603	896	1,185
Net losses	3,868	8,394	9,774
Provision for loan losses	2,250	3,500	4,500
Balance, end of year	\$11,041	\$13,039	\$12,659

[Table of Contents](#)

34

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Activity in the allowance for loan losses by loan class during the first nine months of 2014 is as follows:

Dollars in thousands	Construction & Land Development		Commercial	Commercial Real Estate		Residential Real Estate				Other	Total
	Land Development	Construction		Owner Occupied	Non-Owner Occupied	Non-jumbo	Jumbo	Home Equity	Consumer		
Allowance for loan losses											
Beginning balance	\$5,455	\$269	\$1,324	\$969	\$641	\$1,842	\$1,888	\$173	\$47	\$51	\$12,659
Charge-offs	3,535	—	390	11	—	280	65	—	119	71	4,471
Recoveries	177	—	19	38	12	71	163	3	63	57	603
Provision	772	209	(64)	(99)	1,002	91	170	55	66	48	2,250
Ending balance	\$2,869	\$478	\$889	\$897	\$1,655	\$1,724	\$2,156	\$231	\$57	\$85	\$11,041
Allowance related to:											
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$10	\$—	\$7	\$256	\$87	\$201	\$49	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$610
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	2,859	478	882	641	1,568	1,523	2,107	231	57	85	10,431
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$2,869	\$478	\$889	\$897	\$1,655	\$1,724	\$2,156	\$231	\$57	\$85	\$11,041
Loans individually evaluated for impairment											
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	\$14,413	\$—	\$454	\$10,438	\$7,870	\$6,528	\$8,681	\$808	\$35	\$—	\$49,227
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	46,675	27,239	83,308	146,327	306,707	211,597	43,236	63,448	19,871	6,753	\$955,161
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality												
Total	\$61,088	\$27,239	\$83,762	\$156,765	\$314,577	\$218,125	\$51,917	\$64,256	\$19,906	\$6,753	\$1,004,388	

NOTE 8. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The following tables present our goodwill by reporting unit at September 30, 2014 and other intangible assets by reporting unit at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Dollars in thousands	Goodwill Activity		
	Community Banking	Insurance Services	Total
Balance, January 1, 2014	\$1,488	\$4,710	\$6,198
Acquired goodwill, net	—	—	—
Balance, September 30, 2014	\$1,488	\$4,710	\$6,198

[Table of Contents](#)

35

Dollars in thousands	Other Intangible Assets September 30, 2014			December 31, 2013		
	Community Banking	Insurance Services	Total	Community Banking	Insurances Services	Total
Unidentifiable intangible assets						
Gross carrying amount	\$2,268	\$—	\$2,268	\$2,267	\$—	\$2,267
Less: accumulated amortization	2,268	—	2,268	2,216	—	2,216
Net carrying amount	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$51	\$—	\$51
Identifiable intangible assets						
Gross carrying amount	\$—	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$—	\$3,000	\$3,000
Less: accumulated amortization	—	1,450	1,450	—	1,300	1,300
Net carrying amount	\$—	\$1,550	\$1,550	\$—	\$1,700	\$1,700

We recorded amortization expense of approximately \$200,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 relative to our other intangible assets. Annual amortization is expected to be approximately \$251,000 in 2014, and \$200,000 for each of the years ending 2015 through 2018.

NOTE 9. DEPOSITS

The following is a summary of interest bearing deposits by type as of September 30, 2014 and 2013 and December 31, 2013:

Dollars in thousands	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	September 30, 2013
Demand deposits, interest bearing	\$195,182	\$186,578	\$186,702
Savings deposits	255,880	193,446	193,285
Time deposits	498,950	530,951	537,639
Total	\$950,012	\$910,975	\$917,626

Included in time deposits are deposits acquired through a third party (“brokered deposits”) totaling \$146.9 million, \$160.8 million and \$174.5 million at September 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and September 30, 2013, respectively.

A summary of the scheduled maturities for all time deposits as of September 30, 2014 is as follows:

Dollars in thousands	
Six month period ending December 31, 2014	\$54,079
Year ending December 31, 2015	159,728
Year ending December 31, 2016	118,360
Year ending December 31, 2017	46,734
Year ending December 31, 2018	45,397
Thereafter	74,652
	\$498,950

[Table of Contents](#)

The following is a summary of the maturity distribution of all certificates of deposit in denominations of \$100,000 or more as of September 30, 2014:

Dollars in thousands	Amount	Percent	
Three months or less	\$32,585	8.9	%
Three through six months	38,478	10.5	%
Six through twelve months	49,374	13.5	%
Over twelve months	246,279	67.1	%
Total	\$366,716	100.00	%

NOTE 10. BORROWED FUNDS

Short-term borrowings: A summary of short-term borrowings is presented below:

Dollars in thousands	Nine Months Ended September 30,					
	2014		2013			
	Short-term FHLB Advances	Federal Funds Purchased and Lines of Credit	Short-term FHLB Advances	Federal Funds Purchased and Lines of Credit		
Balance at September 30	\$125,000	\$2,432	\$45,200	\$8,963		
Average balance outstanding for the period	86,039	6,925	22,834	2,745		
Maximum balance outstanding at any month end during period	132,550	8,976	45,200	8,963		
Weighted average interest rate for the period	0.26	% 0.25	% 0.27	% 0.25		%
Weighted average interest rate for balances outstanding at September 30	0.30	% 0.25	% 0.26	% 0.25		%

Dollars in thousands	Year Ended December 31, 2013			
	Short-term FHLB Advances	Federal Funds Purchased and Lines of Credit		
Balance at December 31	\$53,800	\$8,969		
Average balance outstanding for the period	29,786	4,313		
Maximum balance outstanding at any month end during period	55,300	8,969		
Weighted average interest rate for the period	0.28	% 0.25		%
Weighted average interest rate for balances outstanding at December 31	0.26	% 0.25		%

Long-term borrowings: Our long-term borrowings of \$78.5 million, \$163.5 million and \$163.5 million at September 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and September 30, 2013 respectively, consisted primarily of advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") and structured reverse repurchase agreements with two unaffiliated institutions. All FHLB advances are collateralized primarily by similar amounts of residential mortgage loans, certain commercial loans, mortgage backed securities and securities of U. S. Government agencies and corporations.

Dollars in thousands	Balance at September 30,		Balance at
	2014	2013	December 31,
Long-term FHLB advances	\$1,001	\$82,624	\$82,600
Long-term reverse repurchase agreements	72,000	72,000	72,000
Term loans	5,465	8,916	8,916
Total	\$78,466	\$163,540	\$163,516

Table of Contents

37

The term loans are secured by the common stock of our subsidiary bank. \$5.0 million bears a variable interest rate of prime minus 50 basis points with a final maturity of 2017, and \$0.5 million bears a fixed rate of 8% with a final maturity of 2023.

Our long term FHLB borrowings and reverse repurchase agreements bear both fixed and variable rates and mature in varying amounts through the year 2026.

The average interest rate paid on long-term borrowings for the nine month period ended September 30, 2014 was 4.10% compared to 3.89% for the first nine months of 2013.

Subordinated debentures: We have subordinated debt totaling \$16.8 million at September 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and September 30, 2013. The subordinated debt qualifies as Tier 2 capital under Federal Reserve Board guidelines until the debt is within 5 years of its maturity; thereafter the amount qualifying as Tier 2 capital is reduced by 20 percent each year until maturity. During 2009, we issued \$6.8 million in subordinated debt, of which \$5 million was issued to an affiliate of a director of Summit. We also issued \$1.0 million and \$0.8 million to two unrelated parties. These three issuances bear an interest rate of 10 percent per annum, a term of 10 years, and are not prepayable by us within the first five years. During 2008, we issued \$10 million of subordinated debt to an unrelated institution, which bears a variable interest rate of 1 month LIBOR plus 275basis points and a term of 7.5 years.

Subordinated debentures owed to unconsolidated subsidiary trusts: We have three statutory business trusts that were formed for the purpose of issuing mandatorily redeemable securities (the “capital securities”) for which we are obligated to third party investors and investing the proceeds from the sale of the capital securities in our junior subordinated debentures (the “debentures”). The debentures held by the trusts are their sole assets. Our subordinated debentures totaled \$19.6 million at September 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and September 30, 2013.

In October 2002, we sponsored SFG Capital Trust I, in March 2004, we sponsored SFG Capital Trust II, and in December 2005, we sponsored SFG Capital Trust III, of which 100% of the common equity of each trust is owned by us. SFG Capital Trust I issued \$3.5 million in capital securities and \$109,000 in common securities and invested the proceeds in \$3.61 million of debentures. SFG Capital Trust II issued \$7.5 million in capital securities and \$232,000 in common securities and invested the proceeds in \$7.73 million of debentures. SFG Capital Trust III issued \$8.0 million in capital securities and \$248,000 in common securities and invested the proceeds in \$8.25 million of debentures. Distributions on the capital securities issued by the trusts are payable quarterly at a variable interest rate equal to 3 month LIBOR plus 345basis points for SFG Capital Trust I, 3 month LIBOR plus 280basis points for SFG Capital Trust II, and 3 month LIBOR plus 145basis points for SFG Capital Trust III, and equals the interest rate earned on the debentures held by the trusts, and is recorded as interest expense by us. The capital securities are subject to mandatory redemption in whole or in part, upon repayment of the debentures. We have entered into agreements which, taken collectively, fully and unconditionally guarantee the capital securities subject to the terms of the guarantee. The debentures of each Capital Trust are redeemable by us quarterly.

The capital securities held by SFG Capital Trust I, SFG Capital Trust II, and SFG Capital Trust III qualify as Tier 1 capital under Federal Reserve Board guidelines. In accordance with these Guidelines, trust preferred securities generally are limited to 25% of Tier 1 capital elements, net of goodwill. The amount of trust preferred securities and certain other elements in excess of the limit can be included in Tier 2 capital.

A summary of the maturities of all long-term borrowings and subordinated debentures for the next five years and thereafter is as follows:

Dollars in thousands	Long-term borrowings	Subordinated debentures	Subordinated debentures owed to unconsolidated subsidiary trusts
----------------------	----------------------	-------------------------	--

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Year Ending December 31,	2014	\$476	\$—	\$—
	2015	1,909	10,000	—
	2016	28,911	—	—
	2017	960	—	—
	2018	45,100	—	—
	Thereafter	1,110	6,800	19,589
		\$78,466	\$16,800	\$19,589

Table of Contents

38

NOTE 11. SHARE BASED COMPENSATION

The 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan (“2014 LTIP”) was adopted by our shareholders in May 2014 to enhance the ability of the Company to attract and retain exceptionally qualified individuals to serve as key employees. The LTIP provides for the issuance of up to 500,000 shares of common stock, in the form of equity awards including stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock appreciation rights, performance units, other stock-based awards or any combination thereof, to our key employees. No awards have been granted under the 2014 LTIP.

Stock options awarded under the 2009 Officer Stock Option Plan and the 1998 Officer Stock Option Plan (collectively, the “Plans”) were not altered by the 2014 LTIP, and remain subject to the terms of the Plans. However, under the terms of the 2014 LTIP, all shares of common stock remaining issuable under the Plans at the time the 2014 LTIP was adopted ceased to be available for future issuance.

The fair value of our employee stock options granted under the Plans is estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. This model requires the input of highly subjective assumptions, changes to which can materially affect the fair value estimate. Additionally, there may be other factors that would otherwise have a significant effect on the value of employee stock options granted but are not considered by the model. Because our employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management’s opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of its employee stock options at the time of grant. There were no options granted during the first nine months of 2014 or 2013.

We recognize compensation expense based on the estimated number of stock awards expected to actually vest, exclusive of the awards expected to be forfeited. During the first nine months of 2014 and 2013, our stock compensation expense and related deferred taxes were insignificant.

A summary of activity in our Plans during the first nine months of 2014 and 2013 is as follows:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014		2013	
	Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Outstanding, January 1	185,410	\$ 19.59	249,700	\$ 18.98
Granted	—	—	—	—
Exercised	(3,200) 4.63	(15,200) 5.27
Forfeited	—	—	(1,750) 19.69
Expired	(2,500) 17.43	(39,700) 23.41
Outstanding, September 30	179,710	\$ 19.89	193,050	\$ 19.14

Other information regarding options outstanding and exercisable at September 30, 2014 is as follows:

Range of exercise price	Options Outstanding			Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)	Options Exercisable		
	# of shares	WAEP	Wted. Avg. Remaining Contractual Life (yrs)		# of shares	WAEP	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
2.54 - \$6.00	13,550	\$4.69	3.95	\$76	12,550	\$4.86	\$68
6.01 - 10.00	23,160	9.07	2.48	27	23,160	9.07	27

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

10.01 - 17.50	—	—	0	—	—	—	—
17.51 - 20.00	38,500	17.80	2.25	—	38,500	17.80	—
20.01 - 25.93	104,500	25.04	1.99	—	104,500	25.04	—
	179,710	19.89		\$103	178,710	19.99	\$95

Table of Contents

39

NOTE 12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We are a party to certain financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of our customers. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the statement of financial position. The contract amounts of these instruments reflect the extent of involvement that we have in this class of financial instruments.

Many of our lending relationships contain both funded and unfunded elements. The funded portion is reflected on our balance sheet. The unfunded portion of these commitments is not recorded on our balance sheet until a draw is made under the loan facility. Since many of the commitments to extend credit may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash flow requirements.

A summary of the total unfunded, or off-balance sheet, credit extension commitments follows:

Dollars in thousands	September 30, 2014
Commitments to extend credit:	
Revolving home equity and credit card lines	\$51,364
Construction loans	23,389
Other loans	47,710
Standby letters of credit	2,791
Total	\$125,254

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. We evaluate each customer's credit worthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if we deem necessary upon extension of credit, is based on our credit evaluation. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, equipment or real estate.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Standby letters of credit generally are contingent upon the failure of the customer to perform according to the terms of the underlying contract with the third party.

Our exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. We use the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as we do for on-balance sheet instruments.

Legal Contingencies

On May 13, 2014, the ResCap Liquidating Trust ("ResCap"), as successor to Residential Funding Company, LLC f/k/a Residential Funding Corporation ("RFC"), filed a complaint against Summit Financial Mortgage, LLC ("Summit Mortgage"), a former residential mortgage subsidiary of Summit whose operations were discontinued in 2007, in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York and subsequently amended its complaint on July 25, 2014. The Amended Complaint asserts the following three causes of action related to Summit Mortgage's origination and subsequent sale of mortgage loans to Residential Funding Corporation: 1) Summit Mortgage breached its representations and warranties made in the contract governing the sale of the mortgage loans to RFC; 2) an indemnification claim against Summit Mortgage for damages paid by ResCap to settle claims in RFC's bankruptcy proceeding which allegedly relate to mortgage loans Summit Mortgage sold to RFC; 3) a claim for damages against

Summit Community Bank, Inc., former parent of Summit Mortgage, arising out of a guaranty in which the Bank guaranteed Summit Mortgage's full performance under the contract governing the sale of mortgage loans to RFC. An estimate as to possible loss resulting from the Amended Complaint cannot be provided at this time because such an estimate cannot be made. Summit intends to defend these claims vigorously.

Table of Contents

40

We are not a party to any other litigation except for matters that arise in the normal course of business. While it is impossible to ascertain the ultimate resolution or range of financial liability with respect to these contingent matters, in the opinion of management, the outcome of these matters will not have a significant adverse effect on the consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 13. REGULATORY MATTERS

We and our subsidiaries are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the banking regulatory agencies. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, we and each of our subsidiaries must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of our and our subsidiaries' assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. We and each of our subsidiaries' capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require us and each of our subsidiaries to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of total and Tier I capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier I capital (as defined) to average assets (as defined). We believe, as of September 30, 2014, that we and each of our subsidiaries met all capital adequacy requirements to which they were subject.

The most recent notifications from the banking regulatory agencies categorized us and each of our subsidiaries as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized, we and each of our subsidiaries must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier I risk-based, and Tier I leverage ratios as set forth in the table below.

Our actual capital amounts and ratios as well as our subsidiary, Summit Community Bank's ("Summit Community") are presented in the following table.

Dollars in thousands	Actual		Minimum Required Regulatory Capital		To be Well Capitalized under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions			
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio		
As of September 30, 2014								
Total Capital (to risk weighted assets)								
Summit	\$149,309	14.3	% \$83,530	8.0	% \$104,412	10.0	%	
Summit Community	161,116	15.5	% 83,157	8.0	% 103,946	10.0	%	
Tier I Capital (to risk weighted assets)								
Summit	130,668	12.5	% 41,814	4.0	% 62,721	6.0	%	
Summit Community	150,075	14.4	% 41,688	4.0	% 62,531	6.0	%	
Tier I Capital (to average assets)								
Summit	130,668	9.2	% 56,812	4.0	% 71,015	5.0	%	
Summit Community	150,075	10.5	% 57,171	4.0	% 71,464	5.0	%	
As of December 31, 2013								
Total Capital (to risk weighted assets)								
Summit	144,202	14.5	% 79,638	8.0	% 99,547	10.0	%	
Summit Community	156,473	15.7	% 79,627	8.0	% 99,534	10.0	%	
Tier I Capital (to risk weighted assets)								
Summit	122,918	12.4	% 39,499	4.0	% 59,248	6.0	%	
Summit Community	143,989	14.5	% 39,814	4.0	% 59,720	6.0	%	
Tier I Capital (to average assets)								

Edgar Filing: SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Summit	122,918	8.9	% 55,151	4.0	% 68,938	5.0	%
Summit Community	143,989	10.4	% 55,150	4.0	% 68,938	5.0	%

Summit Financial Group, Inc. (“Summit”) and its bank subsidiary, Summit Community Bank, Inc. (the “Bank”), have entered into informal Memoranda of Understanding (“MOU’s”) with their respective regulatory authorities. A memorandum of understanding is characterized by the regulatory authorities as an informal action that is not published or publicly available and

Table of Contents

41

that is used when circumstances warrant a milder form of action than a formal supervisory action, such as a formal written agreement or order.

Under the Summit MOU, Summit has agreed among other things to:

Summit suspending all cash dividends on its common stock until further notice. Dividends on all preferred stock, as well as interest payments on subordinated notes underlying Summit's trust preferred securities, continue to be permissible; and,

Summit not incurring any additional debt, other than trade payables, without the prior written consent of the principal banking regulators.

Additional information regarding Summit's MOU is included in Part I. Item 1A – Risk Factors on our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

On October 25, 2012, the Bank entered into a revised MOU ("Bank MOU") which replaced the Bank MOU effective September 24, 2009 and subsequently amended on February 1, 2011. In general, the Bank MOU includes provisions substantially similar to those in the prior Bank MOU with the exception that several provisions deemed no longer applicable by the regulatory authorities were removed and a provision relative to reducing the Bank's levels of classified assets was added.

In summary, we have agreed, among other things, to address the following matters relative to the Bank:

maintaining a Board committee which monitors and promotes compliance with the provisions of the Bank MOU;

providing the Bank's regulatory authorities with updated reports of criticized assets and/or formal workout plans for all nonperforming borrower relationships with an aggregate outstanding balance exceeding \$1 million;

developing and submitting to regulatory authorities a written plan to reduce the Bank's risk exposure in each adversely classified credit relationship in excess of \$1 million and all OREO;

establishing procedures to report all loans with balances exceeding \$500,000 that have credit weaknesses or that fall outside of the Bank's policy;

annually reviewing the organizational structure and operations of the Bank's loan department;

maintaining an adequate allowance for loan and lease losses through charges to current operating income;

reviewing overall liquidity objectives and developing and submitting to regulatory authorities plans and procedures aimed to improve liquidity and reduce reliance on volatile liabilities;

preparing comprehensive budgets and earnings forecasts for the Bank and submitting reports comparing actual performance to the budget plan;

maintaining a minimum Tier 1 Leverage Capital ratio of at least 8% and a Total Risk-based Capital ratio of at least 11%;

not paying any cash dividends without the prior written consent of the banking regulators; and,

providing quarterly progress reports to the Bank's regulatory authorities detailing steps taken to comply with the Bank MOU.

NOTE 14. SEGMENT INFORMATION

We operate two business segments: community banking and insurance & financial services. These segments are primarily identified by the products or services offered. The community banking segment consists of our full service banks which offer customers traditional banking products and services through various delivery channels. The insurance & financial services segment includes three insurance agency offices that sell insurance products. The accounting policies discussed throughout the notes to the consolidated financial statements apply to each of our business segments.

Table of Contents

42

Inter-segment revenue and expense consists of management fees allocated to the community banking and the insurance & financial services segments for all centralized functions that are performed by the parent, including overall direction in the areas of strategic planning, investment portfolio management, asset/liability management, financial reporting and other financial and administrative services. Information for each of our segments is included below:

Dollars in thousands	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014				
	Community Banking	Insurance & Financial Services	Parent	Eliminations	Total
Net interest income	\$32,812	\$—	\$(1,377)) \$—	\$31,435
Provision for loan losses	2,250	—	—	—	2,250
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	30,562	—	(1,377)) —	29,185
Other income	4,574	3,786	931	(890)) 8,401
Other expenses	22,694	3,152	1,257	(890)) 26,213
Income (loss) before income taxes	12,442	634	(1,703)) —	11,373
Income tax expense (benefit)	3,507	210	(502)) —	3,215
Net income (loss)	8,935	424	(1,201)) —	8,158
Dividends on preferred shares	—	—	580	—	580
Net income (loss) applicable to common shares	\$8,935	\$424	\$(1,781)) \$—	\$7,578
Inter-segment revenue (expense)	\$(802)) \$(88)) \$890	\$—	\$—
Average assets	\$1,462,070	\$6,119	\$162,904	\$(216,335)) \$1,414,758

Dollars in thousands	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013				
	Community Banking	Insurance & Financial Services	Parent	Eliminations	Total
Net interest income	\$30,237	\$—	\$(1,436)) \$—	\$28,801
Provision for loan losses	3,500	—	—	—	3,500
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	26,737	—	(1,436)) —	25,301
Other income	4,646	3,668	815	(815)) 8,314
Other expenses	22,530	3,459	1,158	(815)) 26,332
Income (loss) before income taxes	8,853	209	(1,779)) —	7,283
Income tax expense (benefit)	2,534	79	(612)) —	2,001
Net income (loss)	6,319	130	(1,167)) —	5,282
Dividends on preferred shares	—	—	582	—	582
Net income (loss) applicable to common shares	\$6,319	\$130	\$(1,749)) \$—	\$4,700
Inter-segment revenue (expense)	\$(734)) \$(81)) \$815	\$—	\$—
Average assets	\$1,431,835	\$6,329	\$155,522	\$(213,202)) \$1,380,484

Table of Contents

Dollars in thousands	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014				
	Community Banking	Insurance & Financial Services	Parent	Eliminations	Total
Net interest income	\$11,500	\$—	\$(424)) \$—	\$11,076
Provision for loan losses	250	—	—	—	250
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	11,250	—	(424)) —	10,826
Other income	1,674	1,198	341	(300)) 2,913
Other expenses	8,022	1,063	400	(300)) 9,185
Income (loss) before income taxes	4,902	135	(483)) —	4,554
Income tax expense (benefit)	1,320	38	(140)) —	1,218
Net income (loss)	3,582	97	(343)) —	3,336
Dividends on preferred shares	—	—	193	—	193
Net income (loss) applicable to common shares	\$3,582	\$97	\$(536)) \$—	\$3,143
Inter-segment revenue (expense)	\$(270)) \$(30)) \$300	\$—	\$—
Average assets	\$1,477,127	\$6,229	\$164,637	\$(214,953)) \$1,433,040

Dollars in thousands	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013				
	Community Banking	Insurance & Financial Services	Parent	Eliminations	Total
Net interest income	\$10,025	\$—	\$(487)) \$—	\$9,538
Provision for loan losses	1,000	—	—	—	1,000
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	9,025	—	(487)) —	8,538
Other income	1,705	1,158	272	(272)) 2,863
Other expenses	7,005	1,133	365	(272)) 8,231
Income (loss) before income taxes	3,725	25	(580)) —	3,170
Income tax expense (benefit)	1,087	12	(201)) —	898
Net income (loss)	2,638	13	(379)) —	2,272
Dividends on preferred shares	—	—	194	—	194
Net income (loss) applicable to common shares	\$2,638	\$13	\$(573)) \$—	\$2,078
Inter-segment revenue (expense)	\$(251)) \$(21)) \$272	\$—	\$—
Average assets	\$1,409,870	\$6,377	\$153,596	\$(205,332)) \$1,364,511

NOTE 15. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

We use derivative instruments primarily to protect against the risk of adverse interest rate movements on the cash flows of certain liabilities. Derivative instruments represent contracts between parties that usually require little or no initial net investment and result in one party delivering cash or another type of asset to the other party based upon a notional amount and an underlying as specified in the contract. A notional amount represents the number of units of a specific item, such as currency units. An underlying represents a variable, such as an interest rate or price index. The amount of cash or other asset delivered from one party to the other is determined based upon the interaction of the notional amount of the contract with the underlying. Derivatives can also be implicit in certain contracts and commitments.

Table of Contents

44

As with any financial instrument, derivative instruments have inherent risks, primarily market and credit risk. Market risk associated with changes in interest rates is managed by establishing and monitoring limits as to the degree of risk that may be undertaken as part of our overall market risk monitoring process. Credit risk occurs when a counterparty to a derivative contract with an unrealized gain fails to perform according to the terms of the agreement. Credit risk is managed by monitoring the size and maturity structure of the derivative portfolio, and applying uniform credit standards to all activities with credit risk.

In accordance with ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging, all derivative instruments are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are recorded each period in current earnings or other comprehensive income, depending on whether a derivative is designated as part of a hedge transaction and, if it is, depending on the type of hedge transaction.

Fair-value hedges – For transactions in which we are hedging changes in fair value of an asset, liability, or a firm commitment, changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument are generally offset in the income statement by changes in the hedged item’s fair value.

Cash-flow hedges – For transactions in which we are hedging the variability of cash flows related to a variable-rate asset, liability, or a forecasted transaction, changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument are reported in other comprehensive income. The gains and losses on the derivative instrument, which are reported in comprehensive income, are reclassified to earnings in the periods in which earnings are impacted by the variability of cash flows of the hedged item.

The ineffective portion of all hedges is recognized in current period earnings.

Other derivative instruments – For risk management purposes that do not meet the hedge accounting criteria and, therefore, do not qualify for hedge accounting. These derivative instruments are accounted for at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in the income statement.

We have entered into three forward-starting, pay-fixed/receive LIBOR interest rate swaps. \$40 million notional with an effective date of July 18, 2016, was designated as a cash flow hedge of \$40 million of forecasted variable rate Federal Home Loan Bank advances. Under the terms of this swap we will pay a fixed rate of 2.98% for a 3 year period. \$30 million notional with an effective date of April 18, 2016, was designated as a cash flow hedge of \$30 million of forecasted variable rate Federal Home Loan Bank advances. Under the terms of this swap we will pay a fixed rate of 2.89% for a 4.5 year period. \$40 million notional with an effective date of October 18, 2016, was designated as a cash flow hedge of \$40 million of forecasted variable rate Federal Home Loan Bank advances. Under the terms of the swap we will pay a fixed rate of 2.84% for a 3 year period.

A summary of our derivative financial instruments as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 follows:

Dollars in thousands	September 30, 2014		Net Ineffective Hedge Gains/(Losses)
	Notional Amount	Derivative Fair Value	
		Asset	Liability
CASH FLOW HEDGES			
Pay-fixed/receive-variable interest rate swaps			
Long term borrowings	\$ 110,000	\$—	\$ 1,357
	\$ 110,000	\$—	\$ 1,357
December 31, 2013			
Dollars in thousands	Notional	Derivative Fair Value	Net Ineffective
		Asset	Liability

	Amount			Hedge Gains/(Losses)
CASH FLOW HEDGES				
Pay-fixed/receive-variable interest rate swaps				
Long term borrowings	\$70,000	\$803	\$—	\$—
	\$70,000	\$803	\$—	\$—

Table of Contents

45

Part I. Item 2

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

INTRODUCTION

The following discussion and analysis focuses on significant changes in our financial condition and results of operations of Summit Financial Group, Inc. ("Company" or "Summit") and our operating segments, Summit Community Bank ("Summit Community"), and Summit Insurance Services, LLC for the periods indicated. See Note 14 of the accompanying consolidated financial statements for our segment information. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our 2013 audited financial statements and Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The Private Securities Litigation Act of 1995 indicates that the disclosure of forward-looking information is desirable for investors and encourages such disclosure by providing a safe harbor for forward-looking statements by us. Our following discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations contains certain forward-looking statements that involve risk and uncertainty. In order to comply with the terms of the safe harbor, we note that a variety of factors could cause our actual results and experience to differ materially from the anticipated results or other expectations expressed in those forward-looking statements.

OVERVIEW

Our primary source of income is net interest income from loans and deposits. Business volumes tend to be influenced by the overall economic factors including market interest rates, business spending, and consumer confidence, as well as competitive conditions within the marketplace.

Interest earning assets increased by 2.34% for the first nine months in 2014 compared to the same period of 2013 while our net interest earnings on a tax equivalent basis increased 8.93%. Our tax equivalent net interest margin increased 20 basis points as our reduced cost of interest bearing funds continues to positively impact our net interest earnings.

BUSINESS SEGMENT RESULTS

We are organized and managed along two major business segments, as described in Note 14 of the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The results of each business segment are intended to reflect each segment as if it were a stand alone business. Net income by segment follows:

Dollars in thousands	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Community banking	\$3,582	\$2,638	\$8,935	\$6,319
Insurance & financial services	97	13	424	130
Parent	(536) (573) (1,781) (1,749
Consolidated net income	\$3,143	\$2,078	\$7,578	\$4,700

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and follow general practices within the financial services industry. Application of these principles requires us to make estimates, assumptions, and judgments that affect the amounts reported in our financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates, assumptions, and judgments are based on information available as of the date of the financial statements; accordingly, as this information changes, the financial statements could reflect different estimates, assumptions, and judgments. Certain policies inherently have a greater reliance on the use of estimates, assumptions, and judgments and as such have a greater possibility of producing results that could be

materially different than originally reported.

Our most significant accounting policies are presented in the notes to the consolidated financial statements of our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K. These policies, along with the other disclosures presented in the financial statement notes and in this financial review, provide information on how significant assets and liabilities are valued in the financial statements and how those values are determined.

Based on the valuation techniques used and the sensitivity of financial statement amounts to the methods, assumptions, and estimates underlying those amounts, we have identified the determination of the allowance for loan losses, the valuation of

Table of Contents

46

goodwill, fair value measurements and deferred tax assets to be the accounting areas that require the most subjective or complex judgments, and as such could be most subject to revision as new information becomes available.

Allowance for Loan Losses: The allowance for loan losses represents our estimate of probable credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Determining the amount of the allowance for loan losses is considered a critical accounting estimate because it requires significant judgment and the use of estimates related to the amount and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, estimated losses on pools of homogeneous loans based on historical loss experience, and consideration of current economic trends and conditions, all of which may be susceptible to significant change. The loan portfolio also represents the largest asset type on our consolidated balance sheet. To the extent actual outcomes differ from our estimates, additional provisions for loan losses may be required that would negatively impact earnings in future periods. Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements of our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K describes the methodology used to determine the allowance for loan losses and a discussion of the factors driving changes in the amount of the allowance for loan losses is included in the Asset Quality section of the financial review of the 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Goodwill: Goodwill is subject to an analysis by reporting unit at least annually to determine whether write-downs of the recorded balances are necessary. Initially, an assessment of qualitative factors (Step 0) is performed to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, we determine it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value, then performing the two-step impairment test is unnecessary. However, if we conclude otherwise, then we are required to perform the first step (Step 1) of the two-step impairment test by calculating the fair value of the reporting unit and comparing the fair value with the carrying amount of the reporting unit. If the fair value is less than the carrying value, an expense may be required on our books to write down the goodwill to the proper carrying value. Step 2 of impairment testing, which is necessary only if the reporting unit does not pass Step 1, compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill with the carrying amount of the goodwill for the reporting unit. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined in the same manner as goodwill that is recognized in a business combination.

Community Banking – During third quarter 2014, we performed the Step 0 assessment of our goodwill of our community banking reporting unit and determined that it was not more likely than not that the fair value was less than its carrying value. In performing the qualitative Step 0 assessments, we considered certain events and circumstances such as macroeconomic conditions, industry and market considerations, overall financial performance and cost factors when evaluating whether it is more likely than not that the fair value is less than its carrying amount. No indicators of impairment were noted as of September 30, 2014.

Insurance Services – During third quarter 2014, we performed the Step 0 assessment of our goodwill of our insurance services reporting unit. We considered certain events and circumstances specific to the reporting unit, such as macroeconomic conditions, industry and market considerations, overall financial performance and cost factors when evaluating whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of our insurance services reporting unit is less than its carrying value and deemed it necessary to perform the further 2-step impairment test. We performed an internal valuation utilizing the income approach to determine the fair value of our insurance services reporting unit. This methodology consisted of discounting the expected future cash flows of this unit based upon a forecast of its operations considering long-term key business drivers such as anticipated commission revenue growth. The long term growth rate used in determining the terminal value was estimated at 2%, and a discount rate of 10.0% was applied to the insurance services unit's estimated future cash flows. We did not fail this Step 1 test as of September 30, 2014, therefore Step 2 testing was not necessary.

We cannot assure you that future goodwill impairment tests will not result in a charge to earnings. See Note 9 of the consolidated financial statements of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for further discussion of our intangible assets, which include goodwill.

Fair Value Measurements: ASC Topic 820 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures provides a definition of fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and requires expanded disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is the price that could be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. Based on the observability of the inputs used in the valuation techniques, we classify our financial assets and liabilities measured and disclosed at fair value in accordance with the three-level hierarchy (e.g., Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3) established under ASC Topic 820. Fair value determination in accordance with this guidance requires that we make a number of significant judgments. In determining the fair value of financial instruments, we use market prices of the same or similar instruments whenever such prices are available. We do not use prices involving distressed sellers in determining fair value. If

Table of Contents

47

observable market prices are unavailable or impracticable to obtain, then fair value is estimated using modeling techniques such as discounted cash flow analyses. These modeling techniques incorporate our assessments regarding assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or the liability, including assumptions about the risks inherent in a particular valuation technique and the risk of nonperformance.

Fair value is used on a recurring basis for certain assets and liabilities in which fair value is the primary basis of accounting. Additionally, fair value is used on a non-recurring basis to evaluate assets or liabilities for impairment or for disclosure purposes in accordance with ASC Topic 825 Financial Instruments.

Deferred Income Tax Assets: At September 30, 2014, we had net deferred tax assets of \$10.8 million. Based on our ability to offset the net deferred tax asset against taxable income in carryback years and expected future taxable income in carryforward years, there was no impairment of the deferred tax asset at September 30, 2014. All available evidence, both positive and negative, was considered to determine whether, based on the weight of that evidence, impairment should be recognized. However, our forecast process includes judgmental and quantitative elements that may be subject to significant change. If our forecast of taxable income within the carryback/carryforward periods available under applicable law is not sufficient to cover the amount of net deferred tax assets, such assets may become impaired.

Earnings Summary

Net income applicable to common shares for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 increased to \$7.6 million, or \$0.85 per diluted share as compared to \$4.7 million or \$0.55 per diluted share for the same period of 2013. Net income applicable to common shares for the quarter ended September 30, 2014 totaled \$3.1 million, or \$0.35 per diluted share as compared to \$2.1 million, or \$0.24 per diluted share for the quarter ended September 30, 2013. Earnings for both the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2014 were positively impacted by increased net interest income and lower provisions for loan losses. Included in earnings for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was \$199,000 in losses on the sales of assets, primarily foreclosed properties, and \$3.5 million of charges resulting from the write down of a portion of our foreclosed properties to fair value. Returns on average equity and assets for the first nine months of 2014 were 9.35% and 0.77%, respectively, compared with 6.46% and 0.51% for the same period of 2013.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the principal component of our earnings and represents the difference between interest and fee income generated from earning assets and the interest expense paid on deposits and borrowed funds. Fluctuations in interest rates as well as changes in the volume and mix of earning assets and interest bearing liabilities can materially impact net interest income.

Our net interest income on a fully tax-equivalent basis totaled \$32.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to \$29.8 million for the same period of 2013, representing an increase of \$2.7 million or 8.9%. Our tax-equivalent earnings on interest earning assets increased \$281,000, while the cost of interest bearing liabilities declined \$2.4 million (see Table II). Average interest earning assets increased 2.3% from \$1.26 billion during the first nine months of 2013 to \$1.29 billion for the first nine months of 2014. Average interest bearing liabilities increased 1.55% from \$1.170 billion at September 30, 2013 to \$1.188 billion at September 30, 2014, at an average cost for the first nine months of 2014 of 1.32% compared to 1.61% for the same period of 2013.

Our consolidated net interest margin increased to 3.37% for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, compared to 3.17% for the same period in 2013. The present continued low interest rate environment has served to positively impact our net interest margin due to our liability sensitive balance sheet. For the nine months ended September 30,

2014 compared to September 30, 2013, the yields on earning assets decreased 8 basis points, while the cost of our interest bearing funds decreased by 29 basis points.

Assuming no significant change in market interest rates, we anticipate modest growth in our net interest income to continue over the near term due to modest growth in the volume of interest earning assets coupled with expected moderate improvement in net interest margin over the same period. We continue to monitor the net interest margin through net interest income simulation to minimize the potential for any significant negative impact. See the “Market Risk Management” section for further discussion of the impact changes in market interest rates could have on us. Further analysis of our yields on interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities are presented in Tables I and II below.

Table of Contents

48

Table I - Average Balance Sheet and Net Interest Income Analysis

Dollars in thousands	For the Nine Months Ended							
	September 30, 2014				September 30, 2013			
	Average Balance	Earnings/ Expense	Yield/ Rate		Average Balance	Earnings/ Expense	Yield/ Rate	
Interest earning assets								
Loans, net of unearned income (1)								
Taxable	\$977,336	\$37,516	5.13 %		\$948,870	\$38,038	5.36 %	
Tax-exempt (2)	7,262	376	6.92 %		5,560	298	7.18 %	
Securities								
Taxable	213,089	3,565	2.24 %		220,669	2,851	1.73 %	
Tax-exempt (2)	80,814	2,785	4.61 %		76,021	2,776	4.88 %	
Federal funds sold and interest bearing deposits with other banks	9,737	6	0.08 %		7,706	4	0.07 %	
Total interest earning assets	1,288,238	44,248	4.59 %		1,258,826	43,967	4.67 %	
Noninterest earning assets								
Cash & due from banks	3,790				4,430			
Premises and equipment	20,414				21,006			
Other assets	114,203				111,948			
Allowance for loan losses	(11,887)				(15,726)			
Total assets	\$1,414,758				\$1,380,484			
Interest bearing liabilities								
Interest bearing demand deposits	\$189,581	\$162	0.11 %		\$178,911	\$193	0.14 %	
Savings deposits	232,730	1,137	0.65 %		195,907	875	0.60 %	
Time deposits	520,937	5,566	1.43 %		566,172	7,007	1.65 %	
Short-term borrowings	92,963	209	0.30 %		25,579	50	0.26 %	
Long-term borrowings and capital trust securities	151,541	4,665	4.12 %		203,019	5,997	3.95 %	
Total interest bearing liabilities	1,187,752	11,739	1.32 %		1,169,588	14,122	1.61 %	
Noninterest bearing liabilities and shareholders' equity								
Demand deposits	101,006				94,139			
Other liabilities	9,609				7,698			
Total liabilities	1,298,367				1,271,425			
Shareholders' equity - preferred	9,286				9,319			
Shareholders' equity - common	107,105				99,740			
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$1,414,758				\$1,380,484			
Net interest earnings		\$32,509				\$29,845		
Net yield on interest earning assets			3.37 %				3.17 %	

(1)- For purposes of this table, nonaccrual loans are included in average loan balances.

- Interest income on tax-exempt securities and loans has been adjusted assuming an effective tax rate of 34% for all (2) periods presented. The tax equivalent adjustment resulted in an increase in interest income of \$1,075,000 and \$1,045,000 for the periods ended September 30, 2014 and September 30, 2013, respectively.

Table of Contents

Table II - Changes in Interest Margin Attributable to Rate and Volume

Dollars in thousands	For the Nine Months Ended		
	September 30, 2014 versus September 30, 2013		
	Increase (Decrease) Due to Change in:		
	Volume	Rate	Net
Interest earned on:			
Loans			
Taxable	\$1,121	\$(1,643) \$(522
Tax-exempt	88	(10) 78
Securities			
Taxable	(101) 815	714
Tax-exempt	170	(161) 9
Federal funds sold and interest bearing deposits with other banks	1	1	2
Total interest earned on interest earning assets	1,279	(998) 281
Interest paid on:			
Interest bearing demand deposits			
Savings deposits	11	(42) (31
Time deposits	174	88	262
Short-term borrowings	(532) (909) (1,441
Long-term borrowings and capital trust securities	151	8	159
Total interest paid on interest bearing liabilities	(1,576) 244	(1,332
	(1,772) (611) (2,383
Net interest income	\$3,051	\$(387) \$2,664

Noninterest Income

Total noninterest income increased to \$8.4 million for the first nine months of 2014, compared to \$8.3 million for the same period of 2013. Further detail regarding noninterest income is reflected in the following table.

Table III - Noninterest Income

Dollars in thousands	For the Quarter Ended September		For the Nine Months Ended September	
	30, 2014	2013	30, 2014	2013
Insurance commissions	\$1,105	\$1,057	\$3,377	\$3,373
Service fees related to deposit accounts	1,147	1,106	3,291	3,202
Realized securities gains (losses)	128	132	64	116
Other-than-temporary impairment of securities	—	(38) (1) (118
Bank owned life insurance income	266	229	806	703
Other	267	377	864	1,038
Total	\$2,913	\$2,863	\$8,401	\$8,314

Other-than-temporary impairment of securities: We do not anticipate material charges for other-than-temporary impairment of securities in the near term.

Table of Contents

50

Noninterest Expense

Total noninterest expense decreased 0.5% for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013, with lower losses on sales of foreclosed properties having the largest positive impacts. Table IV below shows the breakdown of the changes.

Table IV - Noninterest Expense

Dollars in thousands	For the Quarter Ended September 30,				For the Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2014	Change \$	%	2013	2014	Change \$	%	2013
Salaries, commissions, and employee benefits	\$4,026	\$(24)	(0.6))% \$4,050	\$12,052	\$(103)	(0.8))% \$12,155
Net occupancy expense	482	28	6.2	% 454	1,528	141	10.2	% 1,387
Equipment expense	520	(58)	(10.0))% 578	1,599	(125)	(7.3))% 1,724
Professional fees	380	117	44.5	% 263	978	93	10.5	% 885
Amortization of intangibles	50	(38)	(43.2))% 88	200	(63)	(24.0))% 263
FDIC premiums	480	(23)	(4.6))% 503	1,477	(81)	(5.2))% 1,558
Foreclosed properties expense	298	36	13.7	% 262	780	(56)	(6.7))% 836
Loss on sales of foreclosed properties	70	87	(511.8))% (17)) 198	(348)	(63.7))% 546
Write-downs of foreclosed properties	1,580	926	141.6	% 654	3,471	393	12.8	% 3,078
Other	1,299	(97)	(6.9))% 1,396	3,930	30	0.8	% 3,900
Total	\$9,185	\$954	11.6	% \$8,231	\$26,213	\$(119)	(0.5))% \$26,332

Credit Experience

As a result of a historically slow economic recovery, our portfolio of OREO properties remains elevated. Prior elevated levels of loan delinquencies have returned to acceptable levels.

The provision for loan losses represents charges to earnings necessary to maintain an adequate allowance for probable credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Our determination of the appropriate level of the allowance is based on an ongoing analysis of credit quality and loss potential in the loan portfolio, change in the composition and risk characteristics of the loan portfolio, and the anticipated influence of national and local economic conditions. The adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is reviewed quarterly and adjustments are made as considered necessary.

We recorded \$2.3 million and \$3.5 million provisions for loan losses for the first nine months of 2014 and 2013, respectively. This decline is a result of lower levels of specific reserves necessary as a result of lower levels of impaired loans at September 30, 2014 compared to September 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

As illustrated in Table V below, our non-performing assets have decreased since year end 2013.

Table V - Summary of Non-Performing Assets

Dollars in thousands	September 30,		December 31,	
	2014	2013	2013	
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more	\$—	\$—	\$—	
Nonaccrual loans				
Commercial	309	2,557	1,224	
Commercial real estate	937	3,795	2,318	
Commercial construction and development	—	3,761	3,782	
Residential construction and development	4,591	9,128	9,048	
Residential real estate	5,257	12,385	2,446	
Consumer	146	145	128	
Total nonaccrual loans	11,240	31,771	18,946	
Foreclosed properties				
Commercial	110	—	—	
Commercial real estate	5,815	9,960	9,903	
Commercial construction and development	10,178	11,161	11,125	
Residential construction and development	20,431	20,585	20,485	
Residential real estate	10,718	3,597	11,879	
Total foreclosed properties	47,252	45,303	53,392	
Reposessed assets	34	—	8	
Total nonperforming assets	\$58,526	\$77,074	\$72,346	
Total nonperforming loans as a percentage of total loans	1.12	% 3.34	% 1.99	%
Total nonperforming assets as a percentage of total assets	4.10	% 5.55	% 5.22	%
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of nonperforming loans	98.23	% 41.04	% 66.82	%
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of period end loans	1.10	% 1.37	% 1.33	%

The following table details the activity regarding our foreclosed properties for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

Table VI - Foreclosed Property Activity

Dollars in thousands	For the Three Months Ended		For the Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Beginning balance	\$48,783	\$47,258	\$53,392	\$56,172
Acquisitions	557	60	2,506	2,858
Improvements	26	90	65	197
Disposals	(534) (1,451) (5,240) (10,846
Writedowns to fair value	(1,580) (654) (3,471) (3,078
Balance September 30	\$47,252	\$45,303	\$47,252	\$45,303

[Table of Contents](#)

The following table details our most significant nonperforming loan relationships at September 30, 2014.

Table VII - Significant Nonperforming Loan Relationships

September 30, 2014

Dollars in thousands

Location	Underlying Collateral	Loan Origination Date	Loan Nonaccrual Date	Loan Balance	Method Used to Measure Impairment	Most Recent Appraised Value	Amount Allocated to Allowance for Loan Losses	Amount Previously Charged-off
Eastern Panhandle WV	Residential development & undeveloped acreage	Mar. 2008 & June 2008	Jun. 2011	\$3,004	Collateral Value	\$6,000	(1) \$—	\$ 5,424

(1)- Value is based upon recent contract.

Refer to Note 6 of the accompanying consolidated financial statements for information regarding our past due loans, impaired loans, nonaccrual loans, and troubled debt restructurings.

We maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level considered adequate to provide for estimated probable credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance is comprised of three distinct reserve components: (1) specific reserves related to loans individually evaluated, (2) quantitative reserves related to loans collectively evaluated, and (3) qualitative reserves related to loans collectively evaluated. A summary of the methodology we employ on a quarterly basis with respect to each of these components in order to evaluate the overall adequacy of our allowance for loan losses is as follows:

Specific Reserve for Loans Individually Evaluated

First, we identify loan relationships having aggregate balances in excess of \$500,000 and that may also have credit weaknesses. Such loan relationships are identified primarily through our analysis of internal loan evaluations, past due loan reports, and loans adversely classified by regulatory authorities. Each loan so identified is then individually evaluated to determine whether it is impaired – that is, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due in accordance with the contractual terms of the underlying loan agreement. Substantially all of our impaired loans historically have been collateral dependent, meaning repayment of the loan is expected to be provided solely from the sale of the loan's underlying collateral. For such loans, we measure impairment based on the fair value of the loan's collateral, which is generally determined utilizing current appraisals. A specific reserve is established in an amount equal to the excess, if any, of the recorded investment in each impaired loan over the fair value of its underlying collateral, less estimated costs to sell. Our policy is to re-evaluate the fair value of collateral dependent loans at least every twelve months unless there is a known deterioration in the collateral's value, in which case a new appraisal is obtained.

Quantitative Reserve for Loans Collectively Evaluated

Second, we stratify the loan portfolio into the following ten loan pools: land and land development, construction, commercial, commercial real estate -- owner-occupied, commercial real estate -- non-owner occupied, conventional residential mortgage, jumbo residential mortgage, home equity, consumer, and other. Loans within each pool are then further segmented between (1) loans which were individually evaluated for impairment and not deemed to be impaired, (2) larger-balance loan relationships exceeding \$2 million which are assigned an internal risk rating in conjunction with our normal ongoing loan review procedures and (3) smaller-balance homogenous loans.

Quantitative reserves relative to each loan pool are established as follows: for all loan segments detailed above, an allocation equaling 100% of the respective pool's average 12 month historical net loan charge-off rate (determined based upon the most recent twelve quarters) is applied to the aggregate recorded investment in the pool of loans.

Table of Contents

53

Qualitative Reserve for Loans Collectively Evaluated

Third, we consider the necessity to adjust our average historical net loan charge-off rates relative to each of the above ten loan pools for potential risk factors that could result in actual losses deviating from prior loss experience. For example, if we observe a significant increase in delinquencies within the conventional mortgage loan pool above historical trends, an additional allocation to the average historical loan charge-off rate is applied. Such qualitative risk factors considered are: (1) levels of and trends in delinquencies and impaired loans, (2) levels of and trends in charge-offs and recoveries, (3) trends in volume and term of loans, (4) effects of any changes in risk selection and underwriting standards, and other changes in lending policies, procedures, and practice, (5) experience, ability, and depth of lending management and other relevant staff, (6) national and local economic trends and conditions, (7) industry conditions, and (8) effects of changes in credit concentrations.

Relationship between Allowance for Loan Losses, Net Charge-offs and Nonperforming Loans

In analyzing the relationship between the allowance for loan losses, net loan charge-offs and nonperforming loans, it is helpful to understand the process of how loans are treated as they deteriorate over time. Reserves for loans are established at origination through the quantitative and qualitative reserve process discussed above.

Charge-offs, if necessary, are typically recognized in a period after the reserves were established. If the previously established reserves exceed that needed to satisfactorily resolve the problem credit, a reduction in the overall level of the reserve could be recognized. In summary, if loan quality deteriorates, the typical credit sequence is periods of reserve building, followed by periods of higher net charge-offs.

Consumer loans are generally charged off to the allowance for loan losses upon reaching specified stages of delinquency, in accordance with the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council policy. For example, credit card loans are charged off by the end of the month in which the account becomes 180 days past due or within 60 days from receiving notification about a specified event (e.g., bankruptcy of the borrower), whichever is earlier. Residential mortgage loans are generally charged off to net realizable value no later than when the account becomes 180 days past due. Other consumer loans, if collateralized, are generally charged off to net realizable value at 120 days past due.

Commercial-related loans (which are risk-rated) are charged off to the allowance for loan losses when the loss has been confirmed. This determination includes many factors, including the prioritization of our claim in bankruptcy, expectations of the workout/restructuring of the loan and valuation of the borrower's equity.

Substantially all of our nonperforming loans are secured by real estate. The majority of these loans were underwritten in accordance with our loan-to-value policy guidelines which range from 70-85% at the time of origination. The fair values of the underlying collateral value remains in excess of the recorded investment in many of our nonperforming loans, and therefore, no specific reserve allocation is required; as of September 30, 2014, approximately 77% of our impaired loans required no reserves or have been charged down to their fair value.

At September 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and September 30, 2013, our allowance for loan losses totaled \$11.0 million, or 1.10% of total loans, \$12.7 million, or 1.33% of total loans and \$13.0 million, or 1.37% of total loans, respectively, and is considered adequate to cover our estimate of probable credit losses inherent in our loan portfolio.

At September 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and September 30, 2013, we had approximately \$47.3 million, \$53.4 million and \$45.3 million, respectively, in other real estate owned which was obtained as the result of foreclosure proceedings. Although foreclosed property is recorded at fair value less estimated costs to sell, the prices ultimately realized upon their sale may or may not result in us recognizing loss.

Table of Contents

54

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Our total assets were \$1.429 billion at September 30, 2014, compared to \$1.387 billion at December 31, 2013, representing a 3.0% increase. Table VIII below serves to illustrate significant changes in our financial position between December 31, 2013 and September 30, 2014.

Table VIII - Summary of Significant Changes in Financial Position

Dollars in thousands	Balance	Increase (Decrease)		Balance
	December 31, 2013	Amount	Percentage	September 30, 2014
Assets				
Securities available for sale	\$288,780	(6,379) (2.2)% \$282,401
Loans, net of unearned interest	937,070	56,277	6.0	% 993,347
Liabilities				
Deposits	\$1,003,812	\$50,642	5.0	% \$1,054,454
Short-term borrowings	62,769	64,663	103.0	% 127,432
Long-term borrowings	163,516	(85,050) (52.0)% 78,466

Loan growth of 6.0% during the first nine months of 2014 occurred principally in the commercial real estate and residential real estate portfolios, and was funded primarily with deposits.

Deposits increased approximately \$50.6 million during the first nine months of 2014; savings deposits increased approximately \$62.4 million while time deposits decreased \$32.0 million.

The increase in short-term borrowings and the decrease in long term borrowings is primarily attributable to maturities and repayments of long-term FHLB advances during the first nine months of 2014, of which a portion was refinanced with short-term FHLB advances.

Refer to Notes 5, 6, 9, and 10 of the notes to the accompanying consolidated financial statements for additional information with regard to changes in the composition of our securities, loans, deposits and borrowings between September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Liquidity reflects our ability to ensure the availability of adequate funds to meet loan commitments and deposit withdrawals, as well as provide for other transactional requirements. Liquidity is provided primarily by funds invested in cash and due from banks (net of float and reserves), Federal funds sold, non-pledged securities, and available lines of credit with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh ("FHLB") and Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond, which totaled approximately \$610 million or 42.70% of total consolidated assets at September 30, 2014.

Our liquidity strategy is to fund loan growth with deposits and other borrowed funds while maintaining an adequate level of short- and medium-term investments to meet normal daily loan and deposit activity. As a member of the FHLB, we have access to approximately \$494 million. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, these advances totaled approximately \$126 million and \$136 million, respectively. At September 30, 2014, we had additional borrowing capacity of \$368 million through FHLB programs. We have established a line with the Federal Reserve Bank to be used as a contingency liquidity vehicle. The amount available on this line at September 30, 2014 was approximately \$85 million, which is secured by a pledge of our consumer and commercial and industrial loan portfolios. We have a \$6 million unsecured line of credit with a correspondent bank. Also, we classify all of our securities as available for sale to enable us to liquidate them if the need arises.

Liquidity risk represents the risk of loss due to the possibility that funds may not be available to satisfy current or future commitments based on external market issues, customer or creditor perception of financial strength, and events unrelated to Summit such as war, terrorism, or financial institution market specific issues. The Asset/Liability Management Committee (“ALCO”), comprised of members of senior management and certain members of the Board of Directors, oversees our liquidity risk management process. The ALCO develops and recommends policies and limits governing our liquidity to the Board of

Table of Contents

55

Directors for approval with the objective of ensuring that we can obtain cost-effective funding to meet current and future obligations, as well as maintain sufficient levels of on-hand liquidity, under both normal and “stressed” circumstances.

We continuously monitor our liquidity position to ensure that day-to-day as well as anticipated funding needs are met. We are not aware of any trends, commitments, events or uncertainties that have resulted in or are reasonably likely to result in a material change to our liquidity.

One of our continuous goals is maintenance of a strong capital position. Through management of our capital resources, we seek to provide an attractive financial return to our shareholders while retaining sufficient capital to support future growth. Shareholders’ equity at September 30, 2014 totaled \$121.4 million compared to \$111.1 million at December 31, 2013.

Summit and Summit Community have entered into informal Memoranda of Understanding (“MOU’s”) with their respective regulatory authorities. A memorandum of understanding is characterized by the regulatory authorities as an informal action that is not published or publicly available and that is used when circumstances warrant a milder form of action than a formal supervisory action, such as a formal written agreement or order.

We have also entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with Castle Creek Capital Partners V, LP (“Castle Creek”). Castle Creek intends to acquire in a private placement shares of our common stock in an amount expected to approximate 9.9% of our outstanding common stock. We will receive approximately \$10.2 million in net proceeds, which will primarily be used to retire higher cost debt at our parent company. See our Form 8K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 25, 2014 for specific details regarding this transaction.

See Note 13 of the notes to the accompanying consolidated financial statements and Risks Relating to Our Business of the Risk Factors section of the 2013 Annual Report on Form 10K for specific details of the MOUs.

Refer to Note 13 of the notes to the accompanying consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding regulatory restrictions on our capital as well as our subsidiaries’ capital.

CONTRACTUAL CASH OBLIGATIONS

During our normal course of business, we incur contractual cash obligations. The following table summarizes our contractual cash obligations at September 30, 2014.

Table IX - Contractual Cash Obligations

Dollars in thousands	Long Term Debt	Capital Trust Securities	Operating Leases
2014	\$476	\$—	\$62
2015	11,909	—	128
2016	28,911	—	90
2017	960	—	45
2018	45,100	—	—
Thereafter	7,910	19,589	—
Total	\$95,266	\$19,589	\$325

[Table of Contents](#)

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We are involved with some off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have an effect on our financial condition, liquidity, or capital. These arrangements at September 30, 2014 are presented in the following table.

Table X - Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements Dollars in thousands	September 30, 2014
Commitments to extend credit:	
Revolving home equity and credit card lines	\$51,364
Construction loans	23,389
Other loans	47,710
Standby letters of credit	2,791
Total	\$125,254

Table of Contents

57

MARKET RISK MANAGEMENT

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in the fair value of financial instruments due to changes in interest rates, exchange rates and equity prices. Interest rate risk is our primary market risk and results from timing differences in the repricing of assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet instruments, changes in relationships between rate indices and the potential exercise of imbedded options. The principal objective of asset/liability management is to minimize interest rate risk and our actions in this regard are taken under the guidance of our Asset/Liability Management Committee (“ALCO”), which is comprised of members of senior management and members of the Board of Directors. The ALCO actively formulates the economic assumptions that we use in our financial planning and budgeting process and establishes policies which control and monitor our sources, uses and prices of funds.

Some amount of interest rate risk is inherent and appropriate to the banking business. Our net income is affected by changes in the absolute level of interest rates. Our interest rate risk position is liability sensitive. The nature of our lending and funding activities tends to drive our interest rate risk position to being liability sensitive. That is, absent any changes in the volumes of our interest earning assets or interest bearing liabilities, liabilities are likely to reprice faster than assets, resulting in a decrease in net income in a rising rate environment. Net income would increase in a falling interest rate environment. Net income is also subject to changes in the shape of the yield curve. In general, a flattening yield curve would result in a decline in our earnings due to the compression of earning asset yields and funding rates, while a steepening would result in increased earnings as margins widen.

Several techniques are available to monitor and control the level of interest rate risk. We primarily use earnings simulations modeling to monitor interest rate risk. The earnings simulation model forecasts the effects on net interest income under a variety of interest rate scenarios that incorporate changes in the absolute level of interest rates and changes in the shape of the yield curve. Each increase or decrease in interest rates is assumed to gradually take place over the next 12 months, and then remain stable, except for the up 400 scenario, which assumes a gradual increase in rates over 24 months. Assumptions used to project yields and rates for new loans and deposits are derived from historical analysis. Securities portfolio maturities and prepayments are reinvested in like instruments. Mortgage loan prepayment assumptions are developed from industry estimates of prepayment speeds. Noncontractual deposit repricings are modeled on historical patterns.

The following table presents the estimated sensitivity of our net interest income to changes in interest rates, as measured by our earnings simulation model as of September 30, 2014. The sensitivity is measured as a percentage change in net interest income given the stated changes in interest rates (gradual change over 12 months, stable thereafter for the down 100 and the up 200 scenarios, and gradual change over 24 months for the up 400 scenario) compared to net interest income with rates unchanged in the same period. The estimated changes set forth below are dependent on the assumptions discussed above and are well within our ALCO policy limits shown below relative to reductions in net interest income over the ensuing twelve month period.

Change in Interest Rates	Estimated % Change in Net Interest Income over:		
	Policy	0 - 12 Months Actual	13 - 24 Months Actual
Down 100 basis points (1)	(7)% (0.05)% (0.50
Up 200 basis points (1)	(10)% (4.52)% (6.48
Up 400 basis points (2)	(15)% (3.53)% (10.51

(1) assumes a parallel shift in the yield curve over 12 months
(2) assumes a parallel shift in the yield curve over 24 months

Table of Contents

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has conducted as of September 30, 2014, an evaluation of the effectiveness of disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e). Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2014 were effective. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2014 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

59

Part II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Refer to Note 12 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1 for information regarding legal proceedings not reportable under this Item.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit 3.i Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Summit Financial Group, Inc.

Exhibit 3.ii Articles of Amendment 2009

Exhibit 3.iii Articles of Amendment 2011

Exhibit 3.iv Amended and Restated By-Laws of Summit Financial Group, Inc.

Exhibit 11 Statement re: Computation of Earnings per Share – Information contained in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements on page 15 of this Quarterly Report is incorporated herein by reference.

Exhibit 31.1 Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer

Exhibit 31.2 Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer

Exhibit 32.1 Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 906 Certification of Chief Executive Officer

Exhibit 32.2 Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 906 Certification of Chief Financial Officer

Exhibit 101 Interactive Data File (XBRL)

Table of Contents

60

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SUMMIT FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.
(registrant)

By: /s/ H. Charles Maddy, III
H. Charles Maddy, III,
President and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Robert S. Tissue
Robert S. Tissue,
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By: /s/ Julie R. Cook
Julie R. Cook,
Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer

Date: November 5, 2014

Table of Contents

61

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description	Page Number
(3)	Articles of Incorporation and By-laws:	
	(i) Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Summit Financial Group, Inc.	(a)
	(ii) Articles of Amendment 2009	(b)
	(iii) Articles of Amendment 2011	(c)
	(iv) Amended and Restated By-laws of Summit Financial Group, Inc.	(d)
11	Statement re: Computation of Earnings per Share	15
31.1	Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer	
31.2	Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer	
32.1*	Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 906 Certification of Chief Executive Officer	
32.2*	Sarbanes-Oxley Act Section 906 Certification of Chief Financial Officer	
101**	Interactive data file (XBRL)	

*Furnished, not filed.

** As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this information is furnished and not filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

- (a) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.i of Summit Financial Group, Inc.'s filing on Form 10-Q dated March 31, 2006.
- (b) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Summit Financial Group, Inc.'s filing on Form 8-K dated September 30, 2009.
- (c) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Summit Financial Group, Inc.'s filing on Form 8-K dated November 3, 2011.
- (d) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Summit Financial Group, Inc.'s filing on Form 10-Q dated June 30, 2006.

Table of Contents

62