

BOYD GAMING CORP
Form 10-Q
August 09, 2013

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 1-12882

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada 88-0242733
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)
3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Ninth Floor, Las Vegas, NV 89169
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)
(702) 792-7200
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

| Class | Outstanding as of August 7, 2013 |
|-------|----------------------------------|
|-------|----------------------------------|

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

Common stock, \$0.01 par value 107,766,479

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION
 QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
 FOR THE PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 2013
 TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page No. |
|---|-------------|
| <u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u> | <u>5</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u> | <u>7</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2013</u> | <u>8</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012</u> | <u>9</u> |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>11</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>51</u> |
| <u>Item 3.</u> <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | <u>79</u> |
| <u>Item 4.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>79</u> |
| <u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>80</u> |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> <u>Risk Factors</u> | <u>80</u> |
| <u>Item 6.</u> <u>Exhibits</u> | <u>102</u> |
| <u>Signature Page</u> | <u>103</u> |

Table of Contents

PART I. Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
 as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012

| (In thousands, except share data) (Unaudited) | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 182,612 | \$ 192,545 |
| Restricted cash | 23,576 | 22,900 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 59,426 | 61,753 |
| Inventories | 19,567 | 18,539 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 48,451 | 48,673 |
| Income taxes receivable | 1,643 | 2,875 |
| Deferred income taxes and other current tax assets | 7,796 | 7,623 |
| Current assets of discontinued operations | — | 685 |
| Total current assets | 343,071 | 355,593 |
| Property and equipment, net | 3,550,178 | 3,587,314 |
| Assets held for development | — | 331,770 |
| Debt financing costs, net | 82,451 | 85,468 |
| Restricted investments held by variable interest entity | — | 21,382 |
| Other assets, net | 82,954 | 98,415 |
| Intangible assets, net | 1,095,494 | 1,119,638 |
| Goodwill, net | 694,929 | 694,929 |
| Assets of discontinued operations | — | 37,684 |
| Total assets | \$ 5,849,077 | \$ 6,332,193 |

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
 as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012

| (In thousands, except share data) (Unaudited) | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | \$ 50,759 | \$ 61,570 |
| Accounts payable | 102,063 | 91,156 |
| Accrued liabilities | 370,776 | 363,732 |
| Deferred income taxes and income taxes payable | — | 8,129 |
| Current deferred tax liability | 7,433 | — |
| Current maturities of non-recourse obligations of variable interest entity | — | 225,113 |
| Current liabilities of discontinued operations | — | 864 |
| Total current liabilities | 531,031 | 750,564 |
| Long-term debt, net of current maturities | 4,519,481 | 4,827,853 |
| Deferred income taxes | 150,754 | 139,943 |
| Other long-term tax liabilities | 26,260 | 43,457 |
| Other liabilities | 95,493 | 103,249 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 10) | | |
| Stockholders' equity | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized | — | — |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized; 88,767,611 and 86,871,977 shares outstanding | 888 | 869 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 675,454 | 655,694 |
| Accumulated deficit | (347,467 |) (351,810 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (846 |) (962 |
| Total Boyd Gaming Corporation stockholders' equity | 328,029 | 303,791 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 198,029 | 163,336 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 526,058 | 467,127 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 5,849,077 | \$ 6,332,193 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

| (In thousands, except per share data) (Unaudited) | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|------------|------------------|--------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | 2012 | June 30, 2013 | 2012 |
| REVENUES | | | | |
| Operating revenues: | | | | |
| Gaming | \$ 627,926 | \$ 514,018 | \$ 1,260,485 | \$ 1,048,554 |
| Food and beverage | 112,804 | 105,187 | 224,578 | 211,218 |
| Room | 67,154 | 69,628 | 131,009 | 135,625 |
| Other | 41,898 | 35,784 | 81,209 | 71,505 |
| Gross revenues | 849,782 | 724,617 | 1,697,281 | 1,466,902 |
| Less promotional allowances | 111,034 | 110,547 | 222,949 | 221,163 |
| Net revenues | 738,748 | 614,070 | 1,474,332 | 1,245,739 |
| COST AND EXPENSES | | | | |
| Operating costs and expenses: | | | | |
| Gaming | 287,801 | 239,170 | 585,063 | 486,942 |
| Food and beverage | 64,242 | 60,250 | 124,295 | 114,209 |
| Room | 15,955 | 15,931 | 29,055 | 30,066 |
| Other | 31,199 | 26,680 | 59,373 | 52,696 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 127,000 | 109,671 | 251,028 | 218,318 |
| Maintenance and utilities | 41,042 | 39,387 | 80,251 | 77,995 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 70,318 | 50,661 | 140,356 | 100,635 |
| Corporate expense | 15,148 | 13,009 | 30,504 | 25,880 |
| Preopening expense | 789 | 2,210 | 3,154 | 3,870 |
| Impairments of assets | 5,032 | — | 5,032 | — |
| Asset transactions costs | 614 | 6,242 | 3,627 | 6,272 |
| Other operating charges and credits, net | 229 | (8,438) | 1,795 | (8,221) |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 659,369 | 554,773 | 1,313,533 | 1,108,662 |
| Operating income | 79,379 | 59,297 | 160,799 | 137,077 |
| Other expense (income): | | | | |
| Interest income | (570) | (408) | (1,226) | (412) |
| Interest expense, net | 88,126 | 64,788 | 183,808 | 128,616 |
| Other, net | 2,419 | — | 1,901 | — |
| Total other expense, net | 89,975 | 64,380 | 184,483 | 128,204 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | (10,596) | (5,083) | (23,684) | 8,873 |
| Income taxes benefit (expense) | 4,102 | 5,080 | 6,526 | (1,623) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax | (6,494) | (3) | (17,158) | 7,250 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 11,753 | (688) | 10,790 | (1,466) |
| Net income (loss) | 5,259 | (691) | (6,368) | 5,784 |
| Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | 6,368 | 1,668 | 10,711 | 1,045 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation | \$ 11,627 | \$ 977 | \$ 4,343 | \$ 6,829 |

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

| (In thousands, except per share data) (Unaudited) | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|---------|------------------|-----------|
| | June 30, 2013 | 2012 | June 30, 2013 | 2012 |
| Basic net income (loss) per common share: | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ — | \$ 0.02 | \$ (0.07) |) \$ 0.09 |
| Discontinued operations | 0.13 | (0.01) |) 0.12 | (0.01) |
| Basic net income (loss) per common share | \$ 0.13 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.08 |
| Weighted average basic shares outstanding | 89,230 | 87,588 | 88,606 | 87,559 |
| Diluted net income (loss) per common share: | | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ — | \$ 0.02 | \$ (0.07) |) \$ 0.09 |
| Discontinued operations | 0.13 | (0.01) |) 0.12 | (0.01) |
| Diluted net income (loss) per common share | \$ 0.13 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.05 | \$ 0.08 |
| Weighted average diluted shares outstanding | 90,265 | 87,829 | 89,447 | 87,978 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)
 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

| (In thousands) (Unaudited) | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|-----------|------------------|----------|
| | June 30, 2013 | 2012 | June 30, 2013 | 2012 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 5,259 | \$ (691) | \$ (6,368) | \$ 5,784 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax: | | | | |
| Fair value of derivative instruments, net | — | 27 | — | 2,467 |
| Fair value of adjustments to available-for-sale securities, net of tax of \$0 | (179) | — | 116 | — |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | 5,080 | (664) | (6,252) | 8,251 |
| Less: other comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest | — | 27 | — | 2,467 |
| Less: net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | (6,368) | (1,668) | (10,711) | (1,045) |
| Comprehensive income attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation | \$ 11,448 | \$ 977 | \$ 4,459 | \$ 6,829 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
 for the six months ended June 30, 2013

| Boyd Gaming Corporation Stockholders' Equity | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------|----------------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------|------------|
| (In thousands, except share data) (Unaudited) | Common Stock | | Additional Paid-in Capital | Accumulated Deficit | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), Net | Noncontrolling Interest | Total |
| | Shares | Amount | | | | | |
| Balances, January 1, 2013 | 86,871,977 | \$ 869 | \$ 655,694 | \$(351,810) | \$ (962) | \$ 163,336 | \$ 467,127 |
| Net income (loss) | — | — | — | 4,343 | — | (10,711) | (6,368) |
| Unrealized gain on investment available for sale | — | — | — | — | 116 | — | 116 |
| Stock options exercised | 1,765,037 | 18 | 13,127 | — | — | — | 13,145 |
| RSU released/settled | 130,597 | 1 | (351) | — | — | — | (350) |
| Share-based compensation costs | — | — | 6,984 | — | — | — | 6,984 |
| Deconsolidation of LVE | — | — | — | — | — | 45,404 | 45,404 |
| Balances, June 30, 2013 | 88,767,611 | \$ 888 | \$ 675,454 | \$(347,467) | \$ (846) | \$ 198,029 | \$ 526,058 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

| (In thousands) (Unaudited) | Six Months Ended | |
|--|------------------|------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | 2012 |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (6,368 |) \$ 5,784 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Loss (Gain) on discontinued operations, net of tax | (10,790 |) 1,466 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 140,356 | 100,635 |
| Amortization of debt financing costs | 11,425 | 6,256 |
| Amortization of discounts on debt | 9,156 | 1,811 |
| Loss on early retirements of debt | 2,372 | — |
| Share-based compensation expense | 6,984 | 5,953 |
| Deferred income taxes | 4,732 | 10,064 |
| Noncash asset write-downs | 5,089 | 15 |
| Gain on insurance settlement | — | (6,323 |
| Gain on insurance subrogation settlement | — | (2,203 |
| Other operating activities | 1,535 | 4,548 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Restricted cash | (675 |) (1,417 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 23 | 5,131 |
| Inventories | (1,030 |) (1,087 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 249 | (4,618 |
| Current other tax asset | (17 |) — |
| Income taxes receivable | 577 | (51 |
| Other long-term tax assets | — | 1,168 |
| Other assets, net | 3,818 | (989 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 14,970 | 3,847 |
| Income taxes payable | — | 267 |
| Other long-term tax liabilities | (19,939 |) (18,786 |
| Other liabilities | 3,303 | (1,673 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 165,770 | 109,798 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities | | |
| Capital expenditures | (58,456 |) (70,063 |
| Proceeds from sale of Echelon, net | 343,750 | — |
| Cash paid for exercise of LVE option | (187,000 |) — |
| Other investing activities | 214 | 2,334 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 98,508 | (67,729 |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities | | |
| Borrowings under Boyd Gaming bank credit facility | 490,400 | 488,500 |
| Payments under Boyd Gaming bank credit facility | (557,250 |) (672,450 |
| Borrowings under Peninsula bank credit facility | 161,100 | — |
| Payments under Peninsula bank credit facility | (182,725 |) — |
| Borrowings under Borgata bank credit facility | 200,000 | 354,500 |

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|----------|---|----------|---|
| Payments under Borgata bank credit facility | (215,600 |) | (370,500 |) |
| Proceeds from issuance of senior notes | — | | 350,000 | |
| Debt financing costs, net | (11,333 |) | (10,246 |) |
| Proceeds from issuance of non-recourse debt by variable interest entity | — | | 1,935 | |
| Proceeds from stock options exercised | 13,145 | | 117 | |
| Restricted stock units released, net | (350 |) | — | |
| Payments on notes payable | (10,816 |) | — | |
| Payments on early retirements of debt | (215,668 |) | — | |
| Payments on non-recourse debt of variable interest entity | — | | (501 |) |
| Other financing activities | (4 |) | (360 |) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (329,101 |) | 140,995 | |

9

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

| (In thousands) (Unaudited) | Six Months Ended | |
|--|------------------|------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | 2012 |
| Cash Flows from Discontinued Operations | | |
| Cash flows from operating activities | (2,144) | (1,025) |
| Cash flows from investing activities | 56,751 | (340) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | — | — |
| Net cash provided by (used in) discontinued operations | 54,607 | (1,365) |
| Change in cash and cash equivalents | (10,216) | 181,699 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 192,545 | 178,091 |
| Change in cash classified as discontinued operations | 283 | (1,077) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 182,612 | \$ 358,713 |
| Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information | | |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ 164,551 | \$ 115,177 |
| Cash received for income taxes, net | (2,136) | (1) |
| Supplemental Schedule of Noncash Investing and Financing Activities | | |
| Payables incurred for capital expenditures | \$ 12,600 | \$ 13,194 |
| Assets and Liabilities Deconsolidated of Variable Interest Entity | | |
| Current assets | \$ 184,013 | \$ — |
| Long-term assets | 2,429 | — |
| Total assets deconsolidated | \$ 186,442 | \$ — |
| Current liabilities | \$ 48,366 | \$ — |
| Noncontrolling interests | (48,924) | — |
| Total liabilities and noncontrolling interests deconsolidated | \$ (558) | \$ — |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

NOTE 1. ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Organization

Boyd Gaming Corporation (and together with its subsidiaries, the "Company," "Boyd Gaming," "we" or "us") was incorporated in the state of Nevada in 1988 and has been operating since 1973. The Company's common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "BYD".

We are a diversified operator of 21 wholly owned gaming entertainment properties and one controlling interest in a limited liability company. Headquartered in Las Vegas, Nevada, we have gaming operations in Nevada, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, and New Jersey.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X and, therefore, do not include all information and footnote disclosures necessary for complete financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP").

The results for the periods indicated are unaudited, but reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) that management considers necessary for a fair presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the results that would be achieved during a full year of operations or in future periods.

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Boyd Gaming and its subsidiaries. Investments in unconsolidated affiliates, which are less than 50% owned and do not meet the consolidation criteria of the authoritative accounting guidance for voting interest, controlling interest or variable interest entities, are accounted for under the equity method. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, as filed with the SEC on March 18, 2013.

Revisions and Reclassifications

The financial information for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 is derived from our condensed consolidated financial statements and footnotes included in the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 and has been revised to reflect the results of operations and cash flows of our Dania Jai-Alai property as discontinued operations. See Note 3, Acquisitions and Dispositions, for further discussion.

Certain prior period amounts presented in our condensed consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation. These reclassifications related to other assets of discontinued operations that were previously accumulated in property and equipment, current assets, and current liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2012. This reclassification had no effect on our total assets as previously reported in our condensed consolidated balance sheet. In addition, asset transactions costs that were previously accumulated in other operating charges were disaggregated in our condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. This reclassification had no effect on our retained earnings or net income (loss) as

previously reported.

NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Revenue Recognition

Gaming revenue represents the net win from gaming activities, which is the aggregate difference between gaming wins and losses. The majority of our gaming revenue is counted in the form of cash and chips and therefore is not subject to any significant or complex estimation procedures. Cash discounts, commissions and other cash incentives to customers related to gaming play are recorded as a reduction of gross gaming revenues. Race revenue recognition criteria are met at the time the results of the event are official. Room revenue recognition criteria are met at the time of occupancy. Food and beverage revenue recognition criteria are met at the time of service.

11

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Asset Transactions Costs

Asset transactions costs are comprised of certain costs incurred related to the activities associated with various acquisition opportunities and other business development activities, as well as, transaction costs incurred to dispose of assets, including, but not limited to, the sale of Echelon.

Promotional Allowances

The retail value of accommodations, food and beverage, and other services furnished to guests without charge is included in gross revenues and then deducted as a promotional allowance. Promotional allowances also include incentives such as cash, goods and services (such as complimentary rooms, food and beverages) earned in our slot bonus point program. We reward customers, through the use of bonus programs, with points based on amounts wagered that can be redeemed for a specified period of time, principally for cash play, and to a lesser extent for goods or services, depending upon the property. We record the estimated retail value of these goods and services as revenue and then deduct them as a promotional allowance.

The amounts included in promotional allowances for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| | June 30, | 2012 | June 30, | 2012 |
| | 2013 | | 2013 | |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Rooms | \$35,321 | \$35,991 | \$70,441 | \$70,673 |
| Food and beverage | 49,875 | 46,815 | 100,653 | 95,103 |
| Other | 25,838 | 27,741 | 51,855 | 55,387 |
| Total promotional allowances | \$111,034 | \$110,547 | \$222,949 | \$221,163 |

The estimated costs of providing such promotional allowances for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|----------|------------------|-----------|
| | June 30, | 2012 | June 30, | 2012 |
| | 2013 | | 2013 | |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Rooms | \$14,432 | \$15,352 | \$29,143 | \$29,099 |
| Food and beverage | 44,123 | 45,279 | 89,182 | 85,507 |
| Other | 5,404 | 6,462 | 10,559 | 11,886 |
| Total cost of promotional allowances | \$63,959 | \$67,093 | \$128,884 | \$126,492 |

Gaming Taxes

We are subject to taxes based on gross gaming revenues in the jurisdictions in which we operate. These gaming taxes are assessed based on our gaming revenues and are recorded as a gaming expense in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. These taxes totaled approximately \$101.8 million and \$67.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$204.1 million and \$139.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

CRDA Investments

Pursuant to the New Jersey Casino Control Act ("Casino Control Act"), we are assessed as a casino licensee 1.25% of our gross gaming revenues to fund qualified investments. This assessment is made in lieu of an investment alternative tax equal to 2.5% of gross gaming revenues. Once our funds are deposited with the New Jersey Casino Reinvestment Development Authority ("CRDA"), qualified investments may be satisfied by: (i) purchase of bonds issued by the CRDA at below market rates of interest; (ii) direct investment in CRDA approved projects; or (iii) donation of funds to projects as determined by the CRDA. According to the Casino Control Act, funds on deposit with the CRDA are invested by the CRDA and the resulting income is shared two-thirds to the casino licensee and one-third to the CRDA. Further, the Casino Control Act requires that CRDA bonds be issued at statutory rates established at two-thirds of market value.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

We are required to make quarterly deposits with the CRDA to satisfy our investment obligations. At the date the obligation arises, we record charges to expense (i) pursuant to the respective underlying agreements for obligations with identified qualified investments and (ii) by applying a one-third valuation reserve to our obligations that are available to fund qualified investment to reflect the anticipated below market return on investment. The one-third valuation reserve is adjusted accordingly when a qualified investment is identified. Our deposits with the CRDA, net of valuation reserves held by Borgata, were \$25.1 million and \$28.5 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and are included in other assets, net, on our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

On May 8, 2013, we entered into an agreement with the CRDA that included a 50% donation and a 50% refund of \$45.1 million of our available deposits. As a result, the carrying values of our CRDA-related accounts at June 30, 2013 were reviewed and adjusted to their net realizable values resulting in a charge of \$5.0 million, which is included in impairments of assets on our condensed consolidated statements of operations. On July 17, 2013, the CRDA disbursed \$45.1 million from our funds on deposit with the CRDA of which we received a \$22.5 million refund.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are recorded under the asset and liability method, whereby deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized based on the future tax consequences attributable to temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases, and attributable to operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. We reduce the carrying amounts of deferred tax assets by a valuation allowance, if based on the available evidence it is more likely than not that such assets will not be realized. Accordingly, the need to establish valuation allowances for deferred tax assets is assessed periodically based on a more-likely-than-not realization threshold. This assessment considers, among other matters, the nature, frequency and severity of current and cumulative losses, forecasts of future profitability, the duration of statutory carryforward periods, our experience with the utilization of operating loss and tax credit carryforwards before expiration, and tax planning alternatives. For the three months and six months ended June 30, 2013, in accordance with GAAP, we have computed our provision for income taxes by applying the actual effective tax rate, under the discrete method, to year-to-date income. Our current rate is impacted by adjustments that are largely independent of our operating results before taxes. Such adjustments relate primarily to the accrual of non-cash tax expense in connection with the tax amortization of indefinite-lived intangible assets that are not available to offset existing deferred tax assets. The deferred tax liabilities created by the tax amortization of these intangibles cannot be used to offset corresponding increases in the net operating loss deferred tax assets in determining our valuation allowance. As such, we believe this method provides the most reliable estimate of year-to-date income tax expense.

Other Long Term Tax Liabilities

The Company's income tax returns are subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) and other tax authorities in the locations where it operates. The Company assesses potentially unfavorable outcomes of such examinations based on accounting standards for uncertain income taxes, which prescribe a minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements.

Uncertain tax position accounting standards apply to all tax positions related to income taxes. These accounting standards utilize a two-step approach for evaluating tax positions. Recognition occurs when the Company concludes that a tax position, based on its technical merits, is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination.

Measurement is only addressed if the position is deemed to be more likely than not to be sustained. The tax benefit is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is more likely than not to be realized upon settlement. Use of the term “more likely than not” indicates the likelihood of occurrence is greater than 50%.

Tax positions, failing to qualify for initial recognition, are recognized in the first subsequent interim period that they meet the “more likely than not” standard. If it is subsequently determined that a previously recognized tax position no longer meets the “more likely than not” standard, it is required that the tax position be derecognized. Accounting standards for uncertain tax positions specifically prohibit the use of a valuation allowance as a substitute for derecognition of tax positions. As applicable, the Company will recognize accrued penalties and interest related to unrecognized tax benefits in the provision for income taxes.

Unrecognized tax benefits at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are \$22.2 million and \$38.4 million, respectively. Included in the \$22.2 million balance of unrecognized tax benefits at June 30, 2013, are \$20.0 million of benefits that, if recognized, would impact the effective tax rate. We recognize accrued interest related to unrecognized tax benefits in our income tax provision. We

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

have accrued \$9.4 million and \$12.4 million of interest and penalties in our condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

In 2013, we reached agreement on certain proposed adjustments in connection with our IRS examination for tax years ended 2005 through 2009. As a result of the agreed adjustments, we reduced our unrecognized tax benefits by \$16.7 million, of which \$0.9 million impacted our effective tax rate. Such agreements also resulted in a reduction to the interest accrued on our unrecognized tax benefits and a corresponding benefit to our tax provision of \$3.8 million. During 2012, we reached an agreement with the Appeals Division in our IRS examination for tax years ended 2001 through 2004. We reduced our federal unrecognized tax benefits, primarily related to the settlement, by approximately \$20.8 million on a net basis, of which \$0.1 million impacted our effective tax rate. Additionally, we reduced the interest accrued on our federal unrecognized tax benefits by approximately \$4.9 million and recorded a \$3.2 million benefit to our tax provision.

We are in various stages of the examination and appeals process in connection with many of our audits and it is difficult to determine when these examinations will be closed; however, it is reasonably possible over the next twelve-month period, that we may experience a decrease in our unrecognized tax benefits, as of June 30, 2013, of up to \$10.1 million. Approximately \$8.0 million of the total reduction would impact our effective tax rate.

Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income applicable to Boyd Gaming Corporation's stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the additional dilution for all potentially-dilutive securities, such as stock options.

The weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares used in the calculations of basic and diluted earnings per share calculations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, consisted of the following amounts:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------|------------------|--------|
| | June 30, 2013 | 2012 | June 30, 2013 | 2012 |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic | 89,230 | 87,588 | 88,606 | 87,559 |
| Potential dilutive effect | 1,035 | 241 | 841 | 419 |
| Diluted | 90,265 | 87,829 | 89,447 | 87,978 |

Anti-dilutive options totaling 5.8 million and 6.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, and totaling 9.4 million and 8.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, have been excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share due to the exercise prices for these shares being in excess of the weighted-average market price of the Company's common stock during these periods.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant estimates incorporated into our condensed consolidated financial statements include the estimated allowance for doubtful accounts receivable, the estimated useful lives for depreciable and amortizable assets, recoverability of assets held for development, measurement of the fair value of our controlling interest and the

noncontrolling interest in Borgata, fair valuations of acquired assets and assumed liabilities, estimated cash flows in assessing the recoverability of long-lived assets and assumptions relative to the valuation and impairment of goodwill and intangible assets, estimated valuation allowances for deferred tax assets, accruals for slot bonus point programs, estimates of certain tax liabilities and uncertain tax positions, determination of self-insured liability reserves, computation of share-based payment valuation assumptions, estimates of fair values of assets and liabilities measured at fair value, estimates of fair values of assets and liabilities disclosed at fair value, fair values of derivative instruments and assessments of contingencies and litigation and claims. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

A variety of proposed or otherwise potential accounting standards are currently under study by standard-setting organizations and certain regulatory agencies. Because of the tentative and preliminary nature of such proposed standards, we have not yet determined the effect, if any, that the implementation of such proposed standards would have on our consolidated financial statements.

Accounting Standards Update 2013-02 Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ("Update 2013-02")

In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Update 2013-02 which is an amendment to Topic 220-10 of the Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC").

The objective of Update 2013-02 is to amend ASC 220-10 to require entities to provide information about amounts reclassified out of other comprehensive income by component. The Company is required to present, either on the face of the financial statements or in the notes, the amounts reclassified from other comprehensive income to the respective line items in the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income (loss).

Update 2013-02 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2012. In February 2013, the Company adopted Update 2013-02. Update 2013-02 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 3. ACQUISITIONS AND DIVESTITURES

Acquisition of Peninsula Gaming

Overview

On November 20, 2012, we completed the acquisition of Peninsula Gaming pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement") entered into on May 16, 2012. Accordingly, the acquired assets and liabilities of Peninsula Gaming are included in our condensed consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and the results of its operations and cash flows are reported in our condensed consolidated statements of operations and cash flows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013.

Status of Purchase Price Allocation

The Company has recognized the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the Merger based on preliminary fair value estimates as of the date of the Merger. The determination of the fair values of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities (and the related determination of estimated lives of depreciable tangible and identifiable intangible assets) requires significant judgment. As such, management has not completed its valuation analysis and calculations in sufficient detail necessary to arrive at the final estimates of the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, along with the related allocations of goodwill and intangible assets. The fair values of certain tangible assets, intangible assets, the note payable to seller, certain contingent liabilities and residual goodwill are the most significant areas not yet finalized and therefore are subject to change. The final fair value determinations are expected to be completed no later than the third quarter of 2013. The final fair value determinations may be significantly different than those reflected in the condensed consolidated balance sheets at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Supplemental Unaudited Pro Forma Information

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table presents pro forma results of the Company, as though Peninsula Gaming had been acquired as of January 1, 2012. The pro forma results do not necessarily represent the results that may occur in the future. The pro forma amounts include the historical operating results of the Company and Peninsula Gaming prior to the acquisition, with adjustments directly attributable to the acquisition.

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | |
|---|--|------------------|--|
| | Boyd Gaming Corporation (As Reported) | Peninsula Gaming | Boyd Gaming Corporation (Pro Forma) |
| (In thousands) | | | |
| Condensed Statements of Operations | | | |
| Net revenues | \$614,070 | \$132,957 | \$747,027 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation | \$977 | \$457 | \$1,434 |
| Basic and diluted earnings per share | \$0.01 | | \$0.02 |

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | |
|---|--|------------------|--|
| | Boyd Gaming Corporation (As Reported) | Peninsula Gaming | Boyd Gaming Corporation (Pro Forma) |
| (In thousands) | | | |
| Condensed Statements of Operations | | | |
| Net revenues | \$1,245,739 | \$267,616 | \$1,513,355 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation | \$6,829 | \$4,156 | \$10,985 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$0.08 | | \$0.13 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$0.08 | | \$0.12 |

Disposition of Echelon

On March 1, 2013, we entered into a definitive agreement to sell the Echelon site for \$350 million in cash. The sale agreement included the 87-acre land parcel, as well as site improvements. The transaction was completed on March 4, 2013, and we realized approximately \$157.0 million in net proceeds from the sale after consideration of direct transaction costs and after payment of a portion of the proceeds to a third party to fulfill our obligations to LVE Energy Partners, LLC (see Note 5, Deconsolidation of LVE Energy Partners, LLC.)

Discontinued Operations - Disposition of Dania Jai-Alai

On May 22, 2013, we consummated the sale of certain assets and liabilities of the Dania pari-mutuel facility ("Dania Jai-Alia"), with approximately 47 acres of related land located in Dania Beach, Broward County, Florida, for a sales price of \$65.5 million. The sale was pursuant to an asset agreement (the "New Dania Agreement") that we entered into with Dania Entertainment Center, LLC ("Dania Entertainment"). As part of the New Dania Agreement, the \$5 million non-refundable deposit and \$2 million fees paid to us in 2011 by Dania Entertainment were applied to the sales price, and we received \$58.5 million in cash and recorded a pre-tax gain of \$18.9 million. We have presented the results of Dania Jai-Alai Business as discontinued operations for all periods presented in these condensed consolidated financial statements.

There were no assets and liabilities of the discontinued operation as of June 30, 2013, and the assets and liabilities of the discontinued operation as of December 31, 2012 were as follows:

| | December 31, 2012 |
|--|----------------------|
| (In thousands) | |
| Assets | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$283 |
| Other current assets | 402 |
| Current assets of discontinued operations | \$685 |
| Property and equipment, net | \$37,674 |
| Other assets | 10 |
| Noncurrent assets of discontinued operations | \$37,684 |

Liabilities

Accounts payable and accrued expenses

\$864

Liabilities of discontinued operations

\$864

16

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Net revenues, pre-tax income (loss) from operations, and income (loss), net of income taxes presented as discontinued operations are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| | June 30, 2013 | 2012 | June 30, 2013 | 2012 |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Operations | | | | |
| Net revenues | \$740 | \$1,152 | \$2,140 | \$2,566 |
| Loss from discontinued operations before income taxes | \$(1,237) | \$(1,058) | \$(2,200) | \$(2,256) |
| Income tax benefit | 776 | 370 | 776 | 790 |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | \$(461) | \$(688) | \$(1,424) | \$(1,466) |
| Disposal | | | | |
| Gain on disposal before income taxes | \$18,873 | \$— | \$18,873 | \$— |
| Income tax expense | (6,659) | — | (6,659) | — |
| Gain on disposal, net of tax | \$12,214 | \$— | \$12,214 | \$— |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | \$11,753 | \$(688) | \$10,790 | \$(1,466) |

NOTE 4. BORGATA HOTEL CASINO AND SPA

The Company and MGM Resorts International ("MGM") each originally held a 50% interest in Marina District Development Holding Co., LLC ("Holding Company"). Holding Company owns all the equity interests in Marina District Development Company, LLC, d.b.a. Borgata Hotel Casino and Spa. We are the managing member of Holding Company, and we are responsible for the day-to-day operations of Borgata, including the improvement of the facility and business. As a result, we consolidate the Borgata into our financial statements.

On March 24, 2010, MGM transferred its interest in Holding Company ("MGM Interest") to a divestiture trust ("Divestiture Trust") established for the purpose of selling the MGM Interest to a third party as a part of a settlement agreement between MGM and the New Jersey Department of Gaming Enforcement (the "NJDE").

On February 20, 2013, MGM announced that it had entered into an amendment with the NJDE, effective February 13, 2013, pursuant to which MGM was allowed to reapply to the New Jersey Casino Control Commission for licensure in New Jersey with the March 24, 2013 deadline to sell the MGM Interest deferred pending the outcome of the licensure process.

NOTE 5. DECONSOLIDATION OF LVE ENERGY PARTNERS, LLC

In connection with the disposition of Echelon on March 4, 2013 (see Note 3, Acquisitions and Divestitures), we exercised an option to acquire the central energy center assets from LVE Energy Partners, LLC ("LVE"), a joint venture

between Marina Energy LLC and DCO ECH Energy, LLC, for \$187 million. We immediately sold these assets to the buyer of Echelon, and our agreements with LVE were terminated.

Prior to these transactions, we had determined that we were the primary beneficiary of the contract with LVE, which required us to consolidate LVE for financial statement purposes. As a result of the March 4, 2013 transactions, we ceased consolidation of LVE as of that date.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

The effects of the consolidation of LVE on our financial position as of December 31, 2012, and its impact on our results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 are reconciled by respective line items to amounts as reported in our condensed consolidated balance sheets and condensed consolidated statements of operations as follows:

| | December 31, 2012 | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|--------------|---|
| | Boyd Gaming Corporation | LVE, LLC | Eliminations | Boyd Gaming Corporation (as consolidated) |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| ASSETS | | | | |
| Current assets | \$354,140 | \$1,453 | \$— | \$355,593 |
| Property and equipment, net | 3,587,314 | — | — | 3,587,314 |
| Assets held for development | 168,251 | 163,519 | — | 331,770 |
| Debt financing costs, net | 83,020 | 2,448 | — | 85,468 |
| Restricted investments | — | 21,382 | — | 21,382 |
| Other assets | 98,415 | — | — | 98,415 |
| Intangible assets, net | 1,119,638 | — | — | 1,119,638 |
| Goodwill, net | 694,929 | — | — | 694,929 |
| Assets of discontinued operation | 37,684 | — | — | 37,684 |
| Total Assets | \$6,143,391 | \$188,802 | \$— | \$6,332,193 |
| LIABILITIES | | | | |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | \$61,570 | \$— | \$— | \$61,570 |
| Accounts payable | 90,992 | 164 | — | 91,156 |
| Accrued and other liabilities | 355,246 | 8,486 | — | 363,732 |
| Income taxes payable | 8,129 | — | — | 8,129 |
| Non-recourse obligations of variable interest entity | — | 225,113 | — | 225,113 |
| Long-term debt, net of current maturities | 4,827,853 | — | — | 4,827,853 |
| Deferred income taxes | 139,943 | — | — | 139,943 |
| Long-term tax and other liabilities | 146,706 | — | — | 146,706 |
| Liabilities of discontinued operation | 864 | — | — | 864 |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | | | |
| Common stock | 869 | — | — | 869 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 655,694 | — | — | 655,694 |
| Retained earnings | (351,810) |) — | — | (351,810) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | (962) |) — | — | (962) |
| Noncontrolling interest | 208,297 | (44,961) |) — | 163,336 |

| | | | | |
|--|-------------|-----------|-----|-------------|
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | \$6,143,391 | \$188,802 | \$— | \$6,332,193 |
|--|-------------|-----------|-----|-------------|

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------|--------------|---|
| | Boyd Gaming Corporation | LVE, LLC | Eliminations | Boyd Gaming Corporation (as consolidated) |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| REVENUES | | | | |
| Other revenue | \$35,784 | \$2,724 | \$(2,724) |) \$35,784 |
| COSTS AND EXPENSES | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | \$109,665 | \$6 | \$— | \$109,671 |
| Preopening expenses | \$4,934 | \$— | \$(2,724) |) \$2,210 |
| Operating income | \$56,579 | \$2,718 | \$— | \$59,297 |
| Other expense | | | | |
| Interest expense, net | \$62,139 | \$2,649 | \$— | \$64,788 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | \$(5,152) |) \$69 | \$— | \$(5,083) |
| Income taxes | 5,080 | — | — | 5,080 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax | (72) |) 69 | — | (3) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | (688) |) — | — | (688) |
| Net income (loss) | (760) |) 69 | — | (691) |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | 1,737 | — | (69) |) 1,668 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation | \$977 | \$69 | \$(69) |) \$977 |

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | Boyd Gaming Corporation (as consolidated) |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| | Boyd Gaming Corporation | LVE, LLC | Eliminations | |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| REVENUES | | | | |
| Other revenue | \$81,209 | \$1,933 | \$(1,933) |) \$81,209 |
| COSTS AND EXPENSES | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | \$251,028 | \$— | \$— | \$251,028 |
| Preopening expenses | \$5,087 | \$— | \$(1,933) |) \$3,154 |
| Operating income | \$158,866 | \$1,933 | \$— | \$160,799 |
| Other expense | | | | |
| Interest expense, net | \$181,432 | \$2,376 | \$— | \$183,808 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | \$(23,241) |) \$(443) |) \$— | \$(23,684) |
| Income taxes | 6,526 | — | — | 6,526 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax | (16,715) |) (443) |) — | (17,158) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 10,790 | — | — | 10,790 |
| Net income (loss) | (5,925) |) (443) |) — | (6,368) |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | 10,268 | — | 443 | 10,711 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation | \$4,343 | \$(443) |) \$443 | \$4,343 |

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | | Boyd Gaming Corporation (as consolidated) |
|--|--------------------------------|----------|--------------|--|
| | Boyd Gaming Corporation | LVE, LLC | Eliminations | |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| REVENUES | | | | |
| Other revenue | \$71,505 | \$5,448 | \$(5,448) |) \$71,505 |
| COSTS AND EXPENSES | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | \$218,309 | \$9 | \$— | \$218,318 |
| Maintenance and utilities | \$77,995 | \$— | \$— | \$77,995 |
| Preopening expenses | \$9,318 | \$— | \$(5,448) |) \$3,870 |
| Operating income | \$131,638 | \$5,439 | \$— | \$137,077 |
| Other expense | | | | |
| Interest expense, net | \$122,574 | \$6,042 | \$— | \$128,616 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | \$9,476 | \$(603) |) \$— | \$8,873 |
| Income taxes | (1,623) |) — | — | (1,623) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax | 7,853 | (603) |) — | 7,250 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | (1,466) |) — | — | (1,466) |
| Net income (loss) | 6,387 | (603) |) — | 5,784 |
| Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | 442 | — | 603 | 1,045 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation | \$6,829 | \$(603) |) \$603 | \$6,829 |

The reduction in other revenue and preopening expenses reflects the elimination of the Periodic Fee paid by Boyd Gaming to LVE. Such fee was recognized as revenue by LVE, but eliminated in consolidation completely, thereby having no impact on our consolidated other revenues. Although this Periodic Fee is eliminated in consolidation, it was actually paid to LVE directly on a monthly basis through March 4, 2013, the date we completed the Echelon transaction.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

NOTE 6. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, NET

Property and equipment, net consists of the following:

| (In thousands) | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Land | \$340,778 | \$341,174 |
| Buildings and improvements | 3,836,072 | 3,826,880 |
| Furniture and equipment | 1,310,744 | 1,305,216 |
| Riverboats and barges | 188,780 | 187,620 |
| Construction in progress | 64,977 | 27,397 |
| Other | 23,002 | 23,013 |
| Total property and equipment | 5,764,353 | 5,711,300 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | 2,214,175 | 2,123,986 |
| Property and equipment, net | \$3,550,178 | \$3,587,314 |

Other property and equipment presented in the table above relates to the net realizable value of construction materials inventory that was previously included in assets held for development at December 31, 2012, and that was not disposed of with the sale of the Echelon project. Such assets are not in service and are not currently being depreciated.

On May 22, 2013, we completed the sale of certain assets and liabilities of Dania Jai-Alai in Dania Beach, Broward County, Florida, to Dania Entertainment. The property and equipment table above excludes the assets related to these discontinued operations.

Depreciation expense for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$58.5 million and \$49.5 million, respectively. Depreciation expense for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$116.7 million and \$98.4 million, respectively.

NOTE 7. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets consist of the following:

| (In thousands) | June 30, 2013 Weighted Average Life Remaining | Gross Carrying Value | Cumulative Amortization | Cumulative Impairment Losses | Intangible Assets, Net |
|---------------------------|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Amortizing intangibles: | | | | | |
| Customer relationships | 4.0 years | \$154,000 | \$(45,880) |) \$— | \$108,120 |
| Non-competition agreement | 0.4 years | 3,200 | (1,955) |) — | 1,245 |
| Favorable lease rates | 34.9 years | 45,370 | (9,390) |) — | 35,980 |
| Development agreement | — | 21,373 | — | — | 21,373 |
| | | 223,943 | (57,225) |) — | 166,718 |

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

Indefinite lived intangible assets:

| | | | | | | |
|------------------------|------------|-------------|-----------|--------|------------|---------------|
| Trademarks and other | Indefinite | 192,381 | — | (5,000 |) | 187,381 |
| Gaming license rights | Indefinite | 955,355 | (33,960 |) | (180,000 |) 741,395 |
| | | 1,147,736 | (33,960 |) | (185,000 |) 928,776 |
| Balance, June 30, 2013 | | \$1,371,679 | \$(91,185 |) | \$(185,000 |) \$1,095,494 |

22

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

| | December 31, 2012 | | Cumulative Amortization | Cumulative Impairment Losses | Intangible Assets, Net |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Weighted Average Life Remaining | Gross Carrying Value | | | |
| (In thousands) | | | | | |
| Amortizing intangibles: | | | | | |
| Customer relationships | 4.5 years | \$ 154,000 | \$(23,059) |) \$— | \$ 130,941 |
| Non-competition agreement | 0.9 years | 3,200 | (354) |) — | 2,846 |
| Favorable lease rates | 35.4 years | 45,370 | (8,867) |) — | 36,503 |
| Development agreement | — | 21,373 | — |) — | 21,373 |
| | | 223,943 | (32,280) |) — | 191,663 |
| Indefinite lived intangible assets: | | | | | |
| Trademarks | Indefinite | 191,800 | — | (5,000) |) 186,800 |
| Gaming license rights | Indefinite | 955,135 | (33,960) | (180,000) |) 741,175 |
| | | 1,146,935 | (33,960) | (185,000) |) 927,975 |
| Balance, December 31, 2012 | | \$ 1,370,878 | \$(66,240) |) \$(185,000) |) \$ 1,119,638 |

NOTE 8. ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Accrued liabilities consist of the following:

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| (In thousands) | | |
| Payroll and related expenses | \$92,070 | \$86,448 |
| Interest | 61,917 | 67,145 |
| Gaming liabilities | 78,182 | 85,561 |
| Accrued liabilities | 138,607 | 124,578 |
| Total accrued liabilities | \$370,776 | \$363,732 |

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

NOTE 9. LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt, net of current maturities consists of the following:

| | June 30, 2013 | | | |
|---|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| | Outstanding | Unamortized | Unamortized | Long-Term |
| | Principal | Discount | Origination | Debt, Net |
| | | | Fees | |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Boyd Debt: | | | | |
| Boyd Gaming Debt: | | | | |
| Bank credit facility | \$1,408,000 | \$(4,029) |) \$(2,586 |) \$1,401,385 |
| 9.125% senior notes due 2018 | 500,000 | — | (6,701 |) 493,299 |
| 9.00% senior notes due 2020 | 350,000 | — | — | 350,000 |
| 7.125% senior subordinated notes due 2016 | 240,750 | — | — | 240,750 |
| HoldCo Note | 147,800 | (25,513) |) — | 122,287 |
| | 2,646,550 | (29,542) |) (9,287 |) 2,607,721 |
| Peninsula Gaming Debt: | | | | |
| Bank credit facility | 832,775 | — | — | 832,775 |
| 8.375% senior notes due 2018 | 350,000 | — | — | 350,000 |
| Other | 17 | — | — | 17 |
| | 1,182,792 | — | — | 1,182,792 |
| Total Boyd Debt | 3,829,342 | (29,542) |) (9,287 |) 3,790,513 |
| Borgata Debt: | | | | |
| Bank credit facility | 4,400 | — | — | 4,400 |
| 9.50% senior secured notes due 2015 | 398,000 | (2,123) |) (4,984 |) 390,893 |
| 9.875% senior secured notes due 2018 | 393,500 | (1,961) |) (7,105 |) 384,434 |
| | 795,900 | (4,084) |) (12,089 |) 779,727 |
| Less current maturities | 50,759 | — | — | 50,759 |
| Long-term debt, net | \$4,574,483 | \$(33,626) |) \$(21,376 |) \$4,519,481 |

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

| | December 31, 2012 | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| | Outstanding Principal | Unamortized Discount | Unamortized Origination Fees | Long-Term Debt, Net |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Boyd Debt: | | | | |
| Boyd Gaming Debt: | | | | |
| Bank credit facility | \$1,474,850 | \$(5,001) | \$(3,214) | \$1,466,635 |
| 9.125% senior notes due 2018 | 500,000 | — | (7,320) | 492,680 |
| 9.00% senior notes due 2020 | 350,000 | — | — | 350,000 |
| 6.75% senior subordinated notes due 2014 | 215,668 | — | — | 215,668 |
| 7.125% senior subordinated notes due 2016 | 240,750 | — | — | 240,750 |
| HoldCo Note and other | 158,141 | (32,666) | — | 125,475 |
| | 2,939,409 | (37,667) | (10,534) | 2,891,208 |
| Peninsula Gaming Debt: | | | | |
| Bank credit facility | 854,400 | — | — | 854,400 |
| 8.375% senior notes due 2018 | 350,000 | — | — | 350,000 |
| Other | 494 | (3) | — | 491 |
| | 1,204,894 | (3) | — | 1,204,891 |
| Total Boyd Debt | 4,144,303 | (37,670) | (10,534) | 4,096,099 |
| Borgata Debt: | | | | |
| Bank credit facility | 20,000 | — | — | 20,000 |
| 9.50% senior secured notes due 2015 | 398,000 | (2,525) | (5,928) | 389,547 |
| 9.875% senior secured notes due 2018 | 393,500 | (2,103) | (7,620) | 383,777 |
| | 811,500 | (4,628) | (13,548) | 793,324 |
| Less current maturities | 61,570 | — | — | 61,570 |
| Long-term debt, net | \$4,894,233 | \$(42,298) | \$(24,082) | \$4,827,853 |

Boyd Gaming Debt

Bank Credit Facility

The blended interest rate for outstanding borrowings under our Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (as amended, the "Credit Facility") was 4.2% at both June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. At June 30, 2013, approximately \$1.41 billion was outstanding under our Credit Facility, with \$8.5 million allocated to support various letters of credit, leaving remaining contractual availability of approximately \$304.8 million.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Amounts Outstanding

The net amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility are comprised of the following:

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| (In thousands) | | |
| Extended Revolving Facility | \$610,000 | \$660,000 |
| Initial Term Loan | 437,500 | 450,000 |
| Increased Term Loan | 323,750 | 332,500 |
| Swing Loan | 30,135 | 24,135 |
| Total outstanding borrowings under Credit Facility, net | \$1,401,385 | \$1,466,635 |

Guarantees

The Company's obligations under the Credit Facility, subject to certain exceptions, are guaranteed by certain of the Company's subsidiaries and are secured by the capital stock of certain subsidiaries. In addition, subject to certain exceptions, the Company and each of the guarantors granted the administrative agent first priority liens and security interests on substantially all of their real and personal property (other than gaming licenses and subject to certain other exceptions) as additional security for the performance of the secured obligations under the Credit Facility.

Compliance with Financial Covenants

The Credit Facility contains certain financial and other covenants, including, without limitation, various covenants (i) requiring the maintenance of a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio of 2.00 to 1.00, (ii) establishing a maximum permitted consolidated total leverage ratio, (iii) establishing a maximum permitted secured leverage ratio, (iv) imposing limitations on the incurrence of indebtedness, (v) imposing limitations on transfers, sales and other dispositions and (vi) imposing restrictions on investments, dividends and certain other payments. Subject to certain exceptions, the Company may be required to repay the amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility in connection with certain asset sales and issuances of certain additional secured indebtedness. We believe that, at June 30, 2013, we were in compliance with the Credit Facility covenants.

Senior Notes

9.125% Senior Notes due December 2018

The notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, on a joint and several basis, by certain of our current and future domestic restricted subsidiaries, all of which are 100% owned by us. The notes contain certain restrictive covenants that, subject to exceptions and qualifications, among other things, limit our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries (as defined in the indenture governing the notes) to incur additional indebtedness or liens, pay dividends or make distributions or repurchase our capital stock, make certain investments, and sell or merge with other companies. We believe that we were in compliance with these covenants at June 30, 2013.

Senior Notes

9.00% Senior Notes due July 2020

The notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, on a joint and several basis, by certain of our current and future domestic restricted subsidiaries, all of which are 100% owned by us. The notes contain certain restrictive covenants that, subject to exceptions and qualifications, among other things, limit our ability and the ability of our restrictive subsidiaries (as defined in the indenture governing the notes) to incur additional indebtedness or liens, pay dividends

or make distributions or repurchase our capital stock, make certain investments, and sell or merge with other companies. We believe that we were in compliance with these covenants at June 30, 2013.

Pursuant to the registration rights agreement entered into with the initial purchasers on June 8, 2012, the date these notes were issued, we agreed that, subject to certain suspension and other rights provided in the Registration Rights Agreement, we would file a registration statement with the SEC with respect to a registered exchange offer to exchange the 2020 notes for new notes with terms substantially identical in all material respects to the 2020 notes, and consummate the exchange offer within 365 days of the issuance of the notes. We filed the registration statement with the SEC on March 22, 2013. The registration statement was declared effective on April 23, 2013 and the exchange offer was completed on May 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Senior Subordinated Notes

6.75% Senior Subordinated Notes due April 2014

On March 7, 2013, we announced that we would redeem \$150 million of the notes at a redemption price of 100.00% plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date, April 6, 2013, subject to the right of holders of record on April 1, 2013 to receive accrued and unpaid interest on the redemption date. The redemption was completed on April 6, 2013 and resulted in a write-off of unamortized debt fees of \$0.3 million which was recognized in our second quarter 2013 financial results.

On April 30, 2013, we announced that we would redeem the remaining \$65.7 million notes at a redemption price of 100.00% plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date, May 30, 2013, subject to the right of holders of record on April 1, 2013 to receive accrued and unpaid interest on the redemption date. The redemption was completed on May 30, 2013 and resulted in a write-off of unamortized debt fees of \$0.1 million, which was recognized in our second quarter 2013 financial results.

As a result of these redemptions, these notes have been fully extinguished.

Senior Subordinated Notes

7.125% Senior Subordinated Notes due February 2016

The notes contain certain restrictive covenants regarding, among other things, incurrence of debt, sales of assets, mergers and consolidations, and limitations on restricted payments (as defined in the indenture governing the notes). We believe that we were in compliance with these covenants at June 30, 2013.

Current Maturities of Our Indebtedness

We classified certain non-extending balances under our Credit Facility as a current maturity, as such amounts come due within the next twelve months.

Debt Service Requirements

Debt service requirements under our current outstanding senior subordinated notes and senior notes consist of semi-annual interest payments (based upon fixed annual interest rates ranging from 7.125% to 9.125%) and principal repayments of our 7.125% senior subordinated notes due on February 1, 2016, our 9.125% senior notes due on December 1, 2018, and our 9.00% senior notes due on July 1, 2020.

Peninsula Gaming Debt

Bank Credit Facility

The blended interest rate for outstanding borrowings under the Peninsula Gaming \$875.0 million senior secured credit facility (the "Peninsula Credit Facility") were 4.3% and 5.7% at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. At June 30, 2013, approximately \$832.8 million was outstanding under the Peninsula Credit Facility, with \$5.8 million allocated to support various letters of credit, leaving remaining contractual availability of \$32.3 million.

First Amendment to the Peninsula Credit Agreement

On May 1, 2013, Peninsula Gaming entered into the First Amendment to the Peninsula Credit Agreement (the "Peninsula Amendment"), among Peninsula Gaming, certain financial institutions and Bank of America, N.A. ("Bank of

America”), as administrative agent (in such capacity, “Administrative Agent”) for the lenders. The Peninsula Amendment amends certain terms of the Peninsula Credit Agreement dated as of November 14, 2012 (as amended, restated, supplemented or otherwise modified from time to time, the “Peninsula Credit Agreement”), among Peninsula Gaming, the lenders from time to time party thereto, the Administrative Agent, and Bank of America, as Collateral Agent, Swing Line Lender and L/C Issuer.

Among other things, the Peninsula Amendment: (i) decreases the applicable margin with respect to the Term Loan to 3.25% in the case of Eurodollar Rate Loans and 2.25% in the case of Base Rate Loans, (ii) reduces the minimum Eurodollar Rate with respect to the Term Loan to 1.00% per annum, (iii) requires the Company to pay a premium of 1.00% of the principal amount prepaid for full or partial repayments of Term Loans through the issuance of indebtedness having a lower interest rate than described in clause (i) above during the period of six calendar months after the effective date of the Peninsula Amendment and requires payment of an amendment fee of 1.00% during such period payable to lenders who consent to any such reduced interest rate, (iv) extends the deadline for delivery of year-end reports to 90 days after the end of each fiscal year of the Company, (v) clarifies the definition of Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA with respect to management fees, and (vi) allows quarterly amortization installments to be paid prior to the last day of the applicable quarter.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

As a result of the Peninsula Amendment, Peninsula Gaming incurred \$10.3 million of financing fees of which \$8.3 million were capitalized as of June 30, 2013 and \$2.0 million were expensed as incurred for the three months ended June 30, 2013.

Guarantees and Collateral

Peninsula Gaming's obligations under the Peninsula Credit Facility, subject to certain exceptions, are guaranteed by Peninsula Gaming's subsidiaries and are secured by the capital stock and equity interests of Peninsula Gaming's subsidiaries. In addition, subject to certain exceptions, Peninsula Gaming and each of the guarantors granted the collateral agent first priority liens and security interests on substantially all of the real and personal property (other than gaming licenses and subject to certain other exceptions) of Peninsula Gaming and its subsidiaries as additional security for the performance of the obligations under the Peninsula Credit Facility. The obligations under the Revolver rank senior in right of payment to the obligations under the Term Loan.

Compliance with Financial and Other Covenants

The Peninsula Credit Facility contains certain financial and other covenants, including, without limitation, various covenants requiring the maintenance of (i) beginning with the fiscal quarter ended March 31 2013, a maximum consolidated leverage ratio over each 12-month period ending on the last fiscal day of each quarter; (ii) beginning with the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2013, a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio of 2.0 to 1.0 as of the end of each calendar quarter; and (iii) a maximum amount of capital expenditures for each fiscal year. Substantially all of Peninsula Gaming's net assets were restricted from distribution under the Peninsula Gaming Notes and Credit Facility subject to specific amounts allowed for certain investments and other restricted payments as well as payments under a management services agreement between Peninsula Gaming and Boyd Acquisition Sub. We believe we were in compliance with our financial covenants at June 30, 2013.

Senior Notes

8.375% Senior Notes due February 2018

The notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, on a joint and several basis, by Peninsula Gaming, LLC's subsidiaries (other than Peninsula Gaming Corp.). The notes contain certain restrictive covenants that, subject to exceptions and qualifications, among other things, limit our ability and the ability of our restrictive subsidiaries (as defined in the indenture governing the notes) to incur additional indebtedness or liens, pay dividends or make distributions or repurchase our capital stock, make certain investments, and sell or merge with other companies. We believe that we were in compliance with these covenants at June 30, 2013.

Borgata Debt

Borgata Bank Credit Facility

The blended interest rate for outstanding borrowings under the Marina District Finance Company Inc.'s ("MDFC") \$60 million payment priority secured revolving credit facility (the "Borgata bank credit facility") was 4.9% at both June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. At June 30, 2013, approximately \$4.4 million was outstanding under the credit facility leaving contractual availability of \$55.6 million.

Guarantees

The Borgata bank credit facility is guaranteed on a senior secured basis by Marina District Development Company, LLC ("MDDC") and any future subsidiaries of MDDC and is secured by a first priority lien on substantially all of Borgata's assets, subject to certain exceptions. The obligations under the Borgata bank credit facility have priority in payment to Borgata's senior secured notes.

Neither Boyd Gaming Corporation nor its subsidiaries are guarantors of the Borgata bank credit facility, as amended.

Compliance with Financial and Other Covenants

The Borgata bank credit facility, as amended, contains certain financial and other covenants, including, without limitation, (i) establishing a minimum consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the Borgata bank credit facility) of \$125 million over each trailing twelve-month period ending on the last day of each calendar quarter; (ii) imposing limitations on MDDC's ability to incur additional debt; and (iii) imposing restrictions on Borgata's ability to pay dividends and make other distributions, make certain restricted payments, create liens, enter into transactions with affiliates, merge or consolidate, and engage in unrelated business activities. We believe that MDDC was in compliance with the amended Borgata bank credit facility covenants at June 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Borgata Senior Secured Notes

9.5% Senior Secured Notes Due 2015

The notes are guaranteed on a senior secured basis by MDDC and any future restricted subsidiaries of MDDC. The notes contain covenants that, among other things, limit MDFC's ability and the ability of MDDC to (i) incur additional indebtedness or liens; (ii) pay dividends or make distributions; (iii) make certain investments; (iv) sell or merge with other companies; and (v) enter into certain types of transactions. MDFC believes that it was in compliance with these covenants at June 30, 2013.

Borgata Senior Secured Notes

9.875% Senior Secured Notes Due 2018

The notes are guaranteed on a senior secured basis by MDDC and any future restricted subsidiaries of MDDC. The notes contain covenants that, among other things, limit MDFC's ability and the ability of MDDC to (i) incur additional indebtedness or liens; (ii) pay dividends or make distributions; (iii) make certain investments; (iv) sell or merge with other companies; and (v) enter into certain types of transactions. MDFC believes that it was in compliance with these covenants at June 30, 2013.

Borgata Amended and Restated Credit Agreement

On July 24, 2013, MDFC entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "New Borgata Credit Facility") with MDDC, certain financial institutions, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, letter of credit issuer and swing line lender. The New Borgata Credit Facility replaces the Credit Agreement, dated as of August 6, 2010, among MDFC, MDDC, various lenders and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, letter of credit issuer and swing line lender, as amended (the "Prior Borgata Credit Agreement"), which provided for the Borgata bank credit facility.

The New Borgata Credit Facility provides for a \$60 million senior secured revolving credit facility (the "Borgata Revolving Credit Facility") which matures in February 2018 (or earlier upon the occurrence or non-occurrence of certain events). A portion of the availability under the New Borgata Credit Facility will be used to repay obligations outstanding under the Prior Borgata Credit Agreement and is expected to be used, together with cash on hand, to redeem up to 10% of MDFC's 9 ½% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 (the "Borgata 2015 Notes") outstanding pursuant to that certain Indenture dated as of August 6, 2010 (the "Borgata Indenture") among MDFC, MDDC and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee.

The New Borgata Credit Facility includes an accordion feature which permits: (a) an increase in the Borgata Revolving Credit Facility in an amount not to exceed \$15 million and (b) the issuance of senior secured term loans to refinance the 2015 Borgata Notes and, concurrently with or after the 2015 Borgata Notes have been refinanced, to refinance MDFC's 9.875% Senior Secured Notes due 2018 (the "2018 Borgata Notes") outstanding pursuant to the Borgata Indenture, in each case, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions.

The New Borgata Credit Facility is guaranteed on a senior secured basis by MDDC and any future subsidiaries of MDDC and is secured by a first priority lien on substantially all of the assets of MDFC, MDDC and any future subsidiaries of MDDC, subject to certain exceptions. The obligations under the Borgata Revolving Credit Facility will have priority in payment to the payment of the 2015 Borgata Notes and the 2018 Borgata Notes. Neither we nor our subsidiaries (other than MDDC) are a guarantor of the New Borgata Credit Facility.

Outstanding borrowings under the Borgata Revolving Credit Facility accrue interest, at the option of MDFC, at a rate based upon either: (i) the highest of (a) the agent bank's quoted prime rate, (b) the one-month Eurodollar rate plus 1.00%, and (c) the daily federal funds rate plus 0.50%, or (ii) the Eurodollar rate, plus with respect to each of clause (i) and (ii), an applicable margin as specified in the New Borgata Credit Facility. In addition, a commitment fee is incurred on the unused portion of the Borgata Revolving Credit Facility ranging from 0.50% per annum to 0.75% per annum.

The New Borgata Credit Facility contains customary affirmative and negative covenants, including but not limited to, (i) establishing a minimum Consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the New Borgata Credit Facility) of \$110 million over each trailing twelve-month period ending on the last day of each calendar quarter; (ii) imposing limitations on MDFC's and MDDC's ability to incur additional debt, create liens, enter into transactions with affiliates, merge or consolidate, and engage in unrelated business activities; and (iii) imposing restrictions on MDDC's ability to pay dividends.

As a result of the Borgata Amendment, Borgata incurred approximately \$2.1 million of financing fees.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

NOTE 10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Commitments

There have been no material changes to our commitments described under Note 13, Commitments and Contingencies, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the SEC on March 18, 2013.

Contingencies

Copeland

Alvin C. Copeland, the sole shareholder (deceased) of an unsuccessful applicant for a riverboat license at the location of our Treasure Chest Casino (“Treasure Chest”), has made several attempts to have the Treasure Chest license revoked and awarded to his company. In 1999 and 2000, Copeland unsuccessfully opposed the renewal of the Treasure Chest license and has brought two separate legal actions against Treasure Chest. In November 1993, Copeland objected to the relocation of Treasure Chest from the Mississippi River to its current site on Lake Pontchartrain. The predecessor to the Louisiana Gaming Control Board allowed the relocation over Copeland's objection. Copeland then filed an appeal of the agency's decision with the Nineteenth Judicial District Court. Through a number of amendments to the appeal, Copeland unsuccessfully attempted to transform the appeal into a direct action suit and sought the revocation of the Treasure Chest license. Treasure Chest intervened in the matter in order to protect its interests. The appeal/suit, as it related to Treasure Chest, was dismissed by the District Court and that dismissal was upheld on appeal by the First Circuit Court of Appeal. Additionally, in 1999, Copeland filed a direct action against Treasure Chest and certain other parties seeking the revocation of Treasure Chest's license, an award of the license to him, and monetary damages. The suit was dismissed by the trial court, citing that Copeland failed to state a claim on which relief could be granted. The dismissal was appealed by Copeland to the Louisiana First Circuit Court of Appeal. On June 21, 2002, the First Circuit Court of Appeal reversed the trial court's decision and remanded the matter to the trial court. On January 14, 2003, we filed a motion to dismiss the matter and that motion was partially denied. The Court of Appeal refused to reverse the denial of the motion to dismiss. In May 2004, we filed additional motions to dismiss on other grounds. There was no activity regarding this matter during 2005 and 2006, and the case was set to be dismissed by the court for failure to prosecute by the plaintiffs in mid-May 2007; however on May 1, 2007, the plaintiff filed a motion to set a hearing date related to the motions to dismiss. The hearing was scheduled for September 10, 2007, at which time all parties agreed to postpone the hearing indefinitely. The hearing has not yet been rescheduled. Mr. Copeland has since passed away and his son, the executor of his estate, has petitioned the court to be substituted as plaintiff in the case. On June 9, 2009, the plaintiff filed to have the exceptions set for hearing. The parties decided to submit the exceptions to the court on the previously filed briefs. The court issued a ruling denying the exceptions on August 9, 2010. Copeland's counsel indicated a desire to move forward with the litigation and requested that the parties respond to outstanding discovery. Subsequently, on August 11, 2010, Robert J. Guidry, the co-defendant, filed a third party demand against the U.S. Attorney's Office seeking enforcement of Guidry's plea agreement which would limit Guidry's exposure in the case. On September 9, 2010, the U.S. Attorney's Office removed the suit to the U.S. District Court, Middle District of Louisiana. Guidry then filed a motion to dismiss for failing to state a cause of action, asserting the same arguments he tried in state court, which we joined. The U.S. Attorney filed a motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. The U.S. District Court heard the motions on March 16, 2011. On April 1, 2011, the U.S. Attorney's Office moved for summary judgment, maintaining its jurisdictional argument as well as seeking substantive relief. On September 2, 2011, the judge issued an Order stating that the case should be remanded to state district court. A Remand Order was issued on September 15, 2011, sending the case back to the 19th Judicial District Court, East Baton Rouge Parish, State of Louisiana. Guidry filed a motion for partial summary judgment on

November 14, 2011 to limit the damages in the case. Treasure Chest joined in the motion. The hearing on the Motion for Partial Summary Judgment was held on September 10, 2012. On October 3, 2012, Judge Clark granted the motion which effectively struck Copeland's demands for lost profits, the value of the Treasure Chest license and the value of Treasure Chest's success. On October 26, 2012, Copeland filed a supervisory writ application with the First Circuit Court of Appeal asking that the partial summary judgment be reversed. Treasure Chest and Guidry opposed the writ. On February 13, 2013, the writ was denied leaving intact the partial summary judgment. Discovery is proceeding. We are vigorously defending the lawsuit. If this matter ultimately results in the Treasure Chest license being revoked, it could have a significant adverse effect on Treasure Chest's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Nevada Use Tax Refund Claims

On March 27, 2008, the Nevada Supreme Court issued a decision in Sparks Nugget, Inc. vs. The State of Nevada Department of Taxation (the "Department"), holding that food purchased for subsequent use in the provision of complimentary and/or employee meals was exempt from use tax. As a result of this decision, refund claims were filed for use taxes paid, over the period November 2000 through May 2008, on food purchased for subsequent use in complimentary and employee meals at our Nevada casino properties. The estimated refund, as of May 30, 2013 was in the range of \$19.1 million to \$21.6 million, including interest. In 2009, the Department audited and denied our refund claim while simultaneously issuing a \$12.3 million sales tax deficiency assessment, plus interest of \$7.5 million. We appealed both the denial of the refund claim as well as the deficiency assessment in

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

a hearing before the Nevada Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") in September 2010. In April 2011, the judge issued a split decision, granting a refund on employee meals and applying a sales tax measure on complimentary meals; however, the ruling barred retroactive application of the sales tax measure to all years in the refund claim period, effectively overturning the Department's 2009 deficiency assessment. Both we and the Department appealed the decision to the Nevada State Tax Commission (the "Commission"). On August 8, 2011, the Commission remanded the case back for a second administrative hearing, which was held on September 26, 2011, to allow for the introduction of additional supporting documentation. The ALJ issued a decision on November 8, 2011, reversing her position on the employee meal refund claim while also affirming the denial of the complimentary meal refund, as well as the denial of a retroactive application of the sales tax measure to both employee and complimentary meals. The ALJ's decision was affirmed in a Commission hearing on January 23, 2012. On February 15, 2012 we filed a petition for judicial review in Clark County District Court. We received a split decision at our District Court hearing on October 17, 2012. The District Court Judge (the "Judge") affirmed the ALJ decision that sales tax was applicable to complimentary meals and reversed the decision on employee meals, concluding that such meals were exempt from sales tax. The Department has asserted that, although the statute of limitations prohibits their ability to collect incremental sales tax on complimentary meals, the statutes provide for an offset of the incremental sales tax against refunds due on employee meals. As such, the Department believes that it is not required to pay the employee meal refunds. The Judge did not issue a decision with respect to the refund claim offset. We appealed the decision on complimentary meals to the Nevada State Supreme Court and the Department appealed the decision on employee meals. The State Supreme Court suspended our briefing schedule and remanded the case back to District Court for a remedy on the offset issue. In May 2013 we executed a settlement agreement with the Department and Commission. Under the terms of the agreement, we would withdraw our refund claims and dismiss the related litigation, contingent on the enactment of prospective legislation that exempted complimentary and employee meals from sales tax. The Department agreed not to assess sales tax on such meals prior to the date of the legislation's enactment. The legislation exempting complimentary and employee meals from sales tax was passed on May 30, 2013 and signed into law by the Governor of Nevada on June 13, 2013. The agreement further provides a claw-back provision whereby the State of Nevada would be required to refund a pro-rata portion of the withdrawn claims in the event future legislation was enacted, prior to February 1, 2019, subjecting complimentary and employee meals to sales tax. As the terms of the agreement were met upon enactment of the legislation, we intend to file a stipulation for dismissal of our claims in District Court and all contingent liabilities associated with sales tax on complimentary and employee meals have been eliminated.

Blue Chip Property Taxes

Blue Chip received a property tax assessment for its 2010 tax year in January 2013 but has not received valuation notices or final tax rates for the years 2011 through 2013. The 2010 tax assessment increased the taxable property value approximately 46% over the settlement valuation agreed to in Blue Chip's 2009 appeal. We have made the minimum required payment against provisional bills received for the tax years 2010 through 2013, all of which were based on our 2006 appeal valuation. We have appealed the 2010 tax assessment and believe the assessments for the period from January 1, 2010 through June 30, 2013 could result in a total property tax obligation, net of previous payments, ranging between \$5.1 million and \$17.0 million. We have accrued, net of the payment of the minimum requirements discussed above, approximately \$17.0 million for this property tax liability as of June 30, 2013, based on what we believe to be the most likely outcome within our range, once all valuations have been received and all tax rates have been finalized; however, we can provide no assurances that the estimated amount accrued will approximate the actual amount assessed. The final tax assessment notices for the period January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2013, which have not been received, could result in further adjustment to our estimated property tax liability at Blue Chip.

Legal Matters

We are also parties to various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. We believe that, except for the Copeland matter discussed above, all pending claims, if adversely decided, would not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position or results of operations.

NOTE 11. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND STOCK INCENTIVE PLANS

Share-Based Compensation

We account for share-based awards exchanged for employee services in accordance with the authoritative accounting guidance for share-based payments. Under the guidance, share-based compensation expense is measured at the grant date, based on the estimated fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense, net of estimated forfeitures, over the employee's requisite service period.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

The following table provides classification detail of the total costs related to our share-based employee compensation plans reported in our condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2013.

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| | June 30, 2013 | 2012 | June 30, 2013 | 2012 |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Gaming | \$58 | \$58 | \$117 | \$117 |
| Food and beverage | 11 | 12 | 22 | 23 |
| Room | 6 | 6 | 11 | 11 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 298 | 299 | 596 | 596 |
| Corporate expense | 2,520 | 2,462 | 6,238 | 5,206 |
| Total shared-based compensation expense | \$2,893 | \$2,837 | \$6,984 | \$5,953 |

NOTE 12. NONCONTROLLING INTEREST

Noncontrolling interest represents: (i) the 50% interest in Holding Company, held by the Divestiture Trust for the economic benefit of MGM, which was initially recorded at fair value at the date of the effective change in control, on March 24, 2010; and (ii) until the Echelon transaction, which closed on March 4, 2013, all 100% of the members' equity interest in LVE, the variable interest entity which had been consolidated in our financial statements, but in which we did not hold an equity interest.

Changes in the noncontrolling interest during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------|--------|-----------|
| | Holding Company | LVE | Other | Total |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Balance, January 1, 2013 | \$208,277 | \$(44,961) |) \$20 | \$163,336 |
| Attributable net loss | (10,268) |) (443) |) — | (10,711) |
| Deconsolidation of LVE on March 4, 2013 | — | 45,404 | — | 45,404 |
| Balance, June 30, 2013 | \$198,009 | \$— | \$20 | \$198,029 |

NOTE 13. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

We have adopted the authoritative accounting guidance for fair value measurements, which does not determine or affect the circumstances under which fair value measurements are used, but defines fair value, expands disclosure requirements around fair value and specifies a hierarchy of valuation techniques based on whether the inputs to those valuation techniques are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect the Company's market assumptions.

These inputs create the following fair value hierarchy:

Level 1: Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.

Level 2: Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs and significant value drivers are observable in active markets.

Level 3: Valuations derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs or significant value drivers are unobservable.

As required by the guidance for fair value measurements, financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Thus, assets and liabilities categorized as Level 3 may

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

be measured at fair value using inputs that are observable (Levels 1 and 2) and unobservable (Level 3). Management's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect the valuation of assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.

Balances Measured at Fair Value

The following tables show the fair values of certain of our financial instruments.

| | June 30, 2013 | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|---------|---------|
| | Balance | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$182,612 | \$182,612 | \$— | \$— |
| Restricted cash | 23,576 | 23,576 | — | — |
| CRDA deposits | 25,114 | — | — | 25,114 |
| Investment available for sale | 17,742 | — | — | 17,742 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Merger earnout | \$8,983 | \$— | \$— | \$8,983 |
| Contingent payments | 4,470 | — | — | 4,470 |
| | | | | |
| | December 31, 2012 | | | |
| | Balance | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$192,545 | \$192,545 | \$— | \$— |
| Restricted cash | 22,900 | 22,900 | — | — |
| CRDA deposits | 28,464 | — | — | 28,464 |
| Investment available for sale | 17,907 | — | — | 17,907 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Merger earnout | \$9,800 | \$— | \$— | \$9,800 |
| Contingent payments | 4,563 | — | — | 4,563 |

Cash and Cash Equivalents and Restricted Cash

The fair value of our cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash, classified in the fair value hierarchy as Level 1, are based on statements received from our banks at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

CRDA Deposits

The fair value of Borgata's CRDA deposits, classified in the fair value hierarchy as Level 3, is based on estimates of the realizable value applied to the balances on statements received from the CRDA at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Investment Available for Sale

We have an investment in a single municipal bond issuance of \$22.4 million aggregate principal amount of 7.5% Urban Renewal Tax Increment Revenue Bonds, Taxable Series 2007 that is classified as available for sale. We are the only holder of this instrument and there is no quoted market price for this instrument. As such, the fair value of this investment is classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. The estimate of the fair value of such investment was determined using a combination of current market rates and estimates of market conditions for instruments with similar terms, maturities, and degrees of risk and a discounted cash flows analysis as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Unrealized gains and losses on this instrument resulting from changes in the fair value of the instrument are not charged to earnings, but rather are recorded as other comprehensive income (loss) in the stockholders' equity section of the condensed consolidated balance sheets. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, \$0.4 million

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

and \$0.3 million, respectively, of the carrying value of the investment available for sale is included as a current asset in prepaid expenses and other current assets, and at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, \$17.4 million and \$17.6 million, respectively, is included in investment on the condensed consolidated balance sheets. The discount associated with this investment of \$3.5 million and \$3.6 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, is netted with the investment on the condensed consolidated balance sheets and is being accreted over the life of the investment using the effective interest method. The accretion of such discount is included in interest income on the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income (loss).

Merger Earnout

Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, Boyd Acquisition II, LLC, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Boyd, is obligated to make an additional payment to PGP in 2016 if Kansas Star Casino's ("KSC") EBITDA, as defined in the Merger Agreement, for 2015 exceeds \$105.0 million. The additional payment would be equal to 7.5 times the amount by which KSC's 2015 EBITDA exceeds \$105.0 million. The actual payout will be determined based on actual EBITDA of KSC for calendar year 2015, and payments are not limited by a maximum value. If the actual 2015 EBITDA of KSC is less than the target, the Company is not required to make any additional consideration payment. The liability was initially recorded upon consummation of the Merger, at the estimated fair value of the earnout determined in conjunction with the preliminary purchase price allocation using the modified Black-Scholes option pricing model, which requires the following assumptions: expected EBITDA volatility, forecasted 2015 EBITDA, risk-free interest rates and risk adjusted discount rate. The fair value of the earnout liability is not yet finalized and is therefore subject to change. We formed our preliminary valuation assumptions using historical experience in the gaming industry and observable market conditions. The contingent consideration agreement will be fair valued periodically with updated assumptions and any change in the fair value of the obligation will be included in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income (loss). At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were outstanding liabilities of \$9.0 million and \$9.8 million, respectively, related to the merger earnout which are included in other liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Contingent Payments

In connection with KSC's acquisition of the land upon which KSC's casino was developed, KSC agreed to pay a former casino project developer and option holder 1% of KSC's EBITDA each month for a period of ten years commencing December 20, 2011. The liability was initially recorded upon consummation of the Merger, at the estimated fair value of the contingent land purchase price using a discounted cash flows approach. At each of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there was a current liability of \$0.9 million related to this agreement which was recorded in accrued liabilities on the respective condensed consolidated balance sheets and long-term obligations of \$3.5 million and \$3.6 million, respectively, which were included in other liabilities on the respective condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

The following table summarizes the fair value of the Company's Level 3 assets and liabilities for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013.

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| | Assets | | Liabilities | |
| | Investment Available for Sale | CRDA Deposits | Merger Earnout | Contingent Payments |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Balance at April 1, 2013 | \$18,223 | \$29,101 | \$(8,983 |) \$(4,522) |
| Deposits | — | 1,874 | — | — |
| Total gains (losses) (realized or unrealized): | | | | |
| Included in earnings | 28 | (5,861 |) — | (193) |
| Included in other comprehensive income (loss) | (179 |) — | — | — |
| Transfers in or out of Level 3 | — | — | — | — |
| Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements: | | | | |
| Settlements | (330 |) — | — | 245 |
| Ending balance at June 30, 2013 | \$17,742 | \$25,114 | \$(8,983 |) \$(4,470) |
| Gains (losses) included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains relating to assets and liabilities still held at the reporting date: | | | | |
| Included in interest income | \$28 | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Included in interest expense | — | — | — | (193) |
| Included in non-operating income | — | — | — | — |
| | | | | |
| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | |
| | Assets | | Liabilities | |
| | Investment Available for Sale | CRDA Deposits | Merger Earnout | Contingent Payments |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Balance at January 1, 2013 | \$17,907 | \$28,464 | \$(9,800 |) \$(4,563) |
| Deposits | — | 3,698 | — | — |
| Total gains (losses) (realized or unrealized): | | | | |
| Included in earnings | 49 | (6,883 |) 817 | (387) |
| Included in other comprehensive income (loss) | 116 | — | — | — |
| Transfers in or out of Level 3 | — | — | — | — |
| Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements: | | | | |
| Settlements | (330 |) (165 |) — | 480 |
| Ending balance at June 30, 2013 | \$17,742 | \$25,114 | \$(8,983 |) \$(4,470) |

Gains (losses) included in earnings attributable to
the change in
unrealized gains relating to assets and liabilities
still held at
the reporting date:

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------|-----|-----|------|---|
| Included in interest income | \$49 | \$— | \$— | \$— | |
| Included in interest expense | — | — | — | (387 |) |
| Included in non-operating income | — | — | 817 | — | |

35

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

The table below summarizes the significant unobservable inputs used in calculating fair value for our Level 3 assets and liabilities:

| | Valuation Technique | Unobservable Input | Rate | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|------|---|
| Investment available for sale | Discounted cash flow | Discount rate | 10.3 | % |
| CRDA deposits | Valuation Allowance | Reserves | 33.3 | % |
| Merger earnout | Option Model | Risk-free interest rate | 0.4 | % |
| | | Risk-adjusted discount rate | 14.5 | % |
| | | EBITDA volatility | 21.3 | % |
| Contingent payments | Discounted cash flow | Discount rate | 18.5 | % |

Balances Disclosed at Fair Value

The following tables provide the fair value measurement information about our note receivable, obligation under minimum assessment agreements and other financial instruments at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

| | June 30, 2013 | | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Outstanding Face Amount | Carrying Value | Estimated Fair Value | Fair Value Hierarchy |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Note receivable | \$2,506 | \$2,506 | \$2,506 | Level 3 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Obligation under assessment arrangements | \$38,198 | \$29,063 | \$29,102 | Level 3 |
| Other financial instruments | 500 | 329 | 329 | Level 3 |
| | December 31, 2012 | | | |
| | Outstanding Face Amount | Carrying Value | Estimated Fair Value | Fair Value Hierarchy |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Note receivable | \$2,470 | \$2,470 | \$2,470 | Level 3 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Obligation under assessment arrangements | \$38,787 | \$29,335 | \$29,113 | Level 3 |
| Other financial instruments | 500 | 413 | 413 | Level 3 |

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

The following tables provide the fair value measurement information about our long-term debt at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

| | June 30, 2013 Outstanding Face Amount | Carrying Value | Estimated Fair Value | Fair Value Hierarchy |
|--|---|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Boyd Debt: | | | | |
| Boyd Gaming Debt: | | | | |
| Bank credit facility | \$1,408,000 | \$1,401,385 | \$1,408,809 | Level 2 |
| 9.125% Senior Notes due 2018 | 500,000 | 493,299 | 520,000 | Level 1 |
| 9.00% Senior Notes due 2020 | 350,000 | 350,000 | 355,250 | Level 1 |
| 7.125% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2016 | 240,750 | 240,750 | 237,139 | Level 1 |
| Other | 147,800 | 122,287 | 122,287 | Level 3 |
| | 2,646,550 | 2,607,721 | 2,643,485 | |
| Peninsula Gaming Debt: | | | | |
| Bank credit facility | 832,775 | 832,775 | 846,155 | Level 2 |
| 8.375% senior notes due 2018 | 350,000 | 350,000 | 364,000 | Level 1 |
| Other | 17 | 17 | 17 | Level 3 |
| | 1,182,792 | 1,182,792 | 1,210,172 | |
| Total Boyd debt | 3,829,342 | 3,790,513 | 3,853,657 | |
| Borgata Debt: | | | | |
| Borgata bank credit facility | 4,400 | 4,400 | 4,400 | Level 2 |
| Borgata 9.50% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 | 398,000 | 390,893 | 411,930 | Level 1 |
| Borgata 9.875% Senior Secured Notes due 2018 | 393,500 | 384,434 | 410,224 | Level 1 |
| Total Borgata debt | 795,900 | 779,727 | 826,554 | |
| Total debt | \$4,625,242 | \$4,570,240 | \$4,680,211 | |

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

| | December 31, 2012 | | Estimated Fair Value | Fair Value Hierarchy |
|--|-------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | Outstanding Face Amount | Carrying Value | | |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Boyd Debt: | | | | |
| Boyd Gaming Debt: | | | | |
| Bank credit facility | \$1,474,850 | \$1,466,635 | \$1,508,516 | Level 2 |
| 9.125% Senior Notes due 2018 | 500,000 | 492,680 | 523,995 | Level 1 |
| 9.00% Senior Notes due 2020 | 350,000 | 350,000 | 347,158 | Level 1 |
| 6.75% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2014 | 215,668 | 215,668 | 216,460 | Level 1 |
| 7.125% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2016 | 240,750 | 240,750 | 236,537 | Level 1 |
| Other | 158,141 | 125,475 | 123,424 | Level 3 |
| | 2,939,409 | 2,891,208 | 2,956,090 | |
| Peninsula Gaming Debt: | | | | |
| Bank credit facility | 854,400 | 854,400 | 868,838 | Level 2 |
| 8.375% Senior Notes due 2018 | 350,000 | 350,000 | 367,721 | Level 1 |
| Other | 494 | 491 | 494 | Level 3 |
| | 1,204,894 | 1,204,891 | 1,237,053 | |
| Total Boyd Debt | 4,144,303 | 4,096,099 | 4,193,143 | |
| Borgata Debt: | | | | |
| Borgata bank credit facility | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | Level 2 |
| Borgata 9.50% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 | 398,000 | 389,547 | 402,275 | Level 1 |
| Borgata 9.875% Senior Secured Notes due 2018 | 393,500 | 383,777 | 373,825 | Level 1 |
| Total Borgata debt | 811,500 | 793,324 | 796,100 | |
| Total debt | \$4,955,803 | \$4,889,423 | \$4,989,243 | |

The estimated fair value of the Credit Facility and the Peninsula Credit Facility are based on a relative value analysis performed on or about June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The estimated fair value of Borgata's bank credit facility at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 approximates its carrying value due to the short-term nature and variable repricing of the underlying Eurodollar loans comprising the Borgata bank credit facility. The estimated fair values of our senior subordinated and senior notes and Borgata's senior secured notes are based on quoted market prices as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Debt included in the "Other" category has an escalating interest rate debt that is not traded and does not have an observable market input; therefore, we have estimated its fair value based on the short-term nature of the note (maturing is less than five years) after giving consideration to the changes in market rates of interest, creditworthiness of both parties, and credit spreads.

There were no transfers between Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3 measurements during the six months ended June 30, 2013 or 2012.

Fair Value of Non-Recourse Obligations of Variable Interest Entity

At December 31, 2012, the carrying value of LVE's long-term debt approximated its fair value due to the prevailing interest rates on the debt, which are comparable to market.

NOTE 14. SEGMENT INFORMATION

We have aggregated certain of our properties in order to present five Reportable Segments: (i) Las Vegas Locals; (ii) Downtown Las Vegas; (iii) Midwest and South; (iv) Peninsula; and (v) Atlantic City. The table below lists the classification of each of our properties.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

| | |
|---|---------------------------|
| Las Vegas Locals | |
| Gold Coast Hotel and Casino | Las Vegas, Nevada |
| The Orleans Hotel and Casino | Las Vegas, Nevada |
| Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall | Las Vegas, Nevada |
| Suncoast Hotel and Casino | Las Vegas, Nevada |
| Eldorado Casino | Henderson, Nevada |
| Jokers Wild Casino | Henderson, Nevada |
| Downtown Las Vegas | |
| California Hotel and Casino | Las Vegas, Nevada |
| Fremont Hotel and Casino | Las Vegas, Nevada |
| Main Street Station Casino, Brewery and Hotel | Las Vegas, Nevada |
| Midwest and South | |
| Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall | Tunica, Mississippi |
| IP Casino Resort Spa | Biloxi, Mississippi |
| Par-A-Dice Hotel Casino | East Peoria, Illinois |
| Blue Chip Casino, Hotel & Spa | Michigan City, Indiana |
| Treasure Chest Casino | Kenner, Louisiana |
| Delta Downs Racetrack Casino & Hotel | Vinton, Louisiana |
| Sam's Town Hotel and Casino | Shreveport, Louisiana |
| Peninsula | |
| Diamond Jo Dubuque | Dubuque, Iowa |
| Diamond Jo Worth | Northwood, Iowa |
| Evangeline Downs Racetrack and Casino | Opelousas, Louisiana |
| Amelia Belle Casino | Amelia, Louisiana |
| Kansas Star Casino | Mulvane, Kansas |
| Atlantic City | |
| Borgata Hotel Casino & Spa | Atlantic City, New Jersey |

Results of Operations

We determine each of our wholly owned property's profitability based upon Property EBITDA, which represents each property's earnings before interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, preopening expenses, other operating charges, net, share-based compensation expense, deferred rent, change in value of derivative instruments, and gain/loss on early retirements of debt, as applicable. Reportable Segment Adjusted EBITDA is the aggregate sum of the Property EBITDA for each of the properties included in our Las Vegas Locals, Downtown Las Vegas, and Midwest and South, and Peninsula segments, and also includes our share of Borgata's operating income before net amortization, preopening and other items.

Results for Downtown Las Vegas include the results of our Hawaii-based travel agency and captive insurance company.

We reclassify the reporting of corporate expense on the accompanying table in order to exclude it from our subtotal for Reportable Segment Adjusted EBITDA and include it as part of total other operating costs and expenses. Furthermore, corporate expense excludes its portion of share-based compensation expense. Corporate expense represents unallocated payroll, professional fees, aircraft expenses and various other expenses not directly related to our casino and hotel operations. Other operating costs and expenses include deferred rent, and share-based compensation expense charged to our Reportable Segments.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain operating data for our Reportable Segments, and reconciles Adjusted EBITDA to operating income, as reported in our accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|------------|------------------|--------------|
| | June 30, | 2012 | June 30, | 2012 |
| | 2013 | | 2013 | |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Net Revenues | | | | |
| Las Vegas Locals | \$ 149,690 | \$ 148,987 | \$ 302,517 | \$ 303,776 |
| Downtown Las Vegas | 56,128 | 55,939 | 110,211 | 112,947 |
| Midwest and South | 224,273 | 233,728 | 453,390 | 477,450 |
| Peninsula | 135,780 | — | 269,693 | — |
| Atlantic City | 172,877 | 175,416 | 338,521 | 351,566 |
| Reportable Segment Net Revenues | \$ 738,748 | \$ 614,070 | \$ 1,474,332 | \$ 1,245,739 |
| Reportable Segment Adjusted EBITDA | | | | |
| Las Vegas Locals | \$ 38,723 | \$ 34,535 | \$ 77,928 | \$ 73,021 |
| Downtown Las Vegas | 9,297 | 8,109 | 16,408 | 16,541 |
| Midwest and South | 48,625 | 51,003 | 98,307 | 109,133 |
| Peninsula | 48,323 | — | 99,035 | — |
| Atlantic City | 27,847 | 30,735 | 56,252 | 69,616 |
| Reportable Segment Adjusted EBITDA | 172,815 | 124,382 | 347,930 | 268,311 |
| Other operating costs and expenses | | | | |
| Corporate expense | 12,628 | 10,547 | 24,266 | 20,674 |
| Deferred rent | 958 | 996 | 1,915 | 1,992 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 70,318 | 50,661 | 140,356 | 100,635 |
| Preopening expense | 789 | 2,210 | 3,154 | 3,870 |
| Share-based compensation expense | 2,894 | 2,837 | 6,985 | 5,953 |
| Impairment of assets | 5,032 | — | 5,032 | — |
| Asset transaction costs | 614 | 6,242 | 3,627 | 6,272 |
| Other operating charges, net | 203 | (8,408) | 1,796 | (8,162) |
| Total other operating costs and expenses | 93,436 | 65,085 | 187,131 | 131,234 |
| Operating income | \$ 79,379 | \$ 59,297 | \$ 160,799 | \$ 137,077 |

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Total Assets

The Company's total assets by Reportable Segment consisted of the following amounts at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| (In thousands) | | |
| Assets | | |
| Las Vegas Locals | \$1,210,076 | \$1,215,494 |
| Downtown Las Vegas | 129,433 | 133,689 |
| Midwest and South | 1,340,063 | 1,367,063 |
| Peninsula | 1,570,569 | 1,604,778 |
| Atlantic City | 1,355,809 | 1,388,562 |
| Total Reportable Segment assets | 5,605,950 | 5,709,586 |
| Corporate | 243,127 | 395,436 |
| Other | — | 227,171 |
| Total assets | \$5,849,077 | \$6,332,193 |

NOTE 15. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Separate condensed consolidating financial information for our subsidiary guarantors and non-guarantors of our 9.125% Senior Notes due December 2018 and 9.00% Senior Notes due July 2020 is presented below. The notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, on a joint and several basis, by certain of our current and future domestic restricted subsidiaries, all of which are 100% owned by us. The non-guarantors primarily represent special purpose entities, tax holding companies, our less significant operating subsidiaries and our less than wholly owned subsidiaries.

The tables below present the condensed consolidating balance sheets as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and the condensed consolidating statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 and the condensed consolidating statements of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. The Company has corrected certain prior year amounts in the current year presentation to reflect certain intercompany activities between the parent and the sub-groups that had previously been excluded from the presentation, and to properly record the impact of certain reclassification entries within the correct sub-group.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets

| | June 30, 2013 | | Non- | Non- | | |
|--|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| | Parent | Guarantor | Guarantor | Guarantor | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| (In thousands) | | Subsidiaries | Subsidiaries | Subsidiaries | | |
| | | (100% | (100% | (Not 100% | | |
| | | Owned) | Owned) | Owned) | | |
| Assets | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$— | \$115,809 | \$32,875 | \$33,928 | \$— | \$182,612 |
| Other current assets | 92,989 | (13,303) | 35,186 | 45,784 | (197) | 160,459 |
| Property and equipment, net | 66,256 | 1,846,306 | 454,636 | 1,182,980 | — | 3,550,178 |
| Investments in subsidiaries | 3,147,384 | 265,412 | 8,778 | (215) | (3,421,359) | — |
| Intercompany receivable | — | 528,263 | 49,098 | — | (577,361) | — |
| Other assets, net | 37,071 | 12,820 | 82,141 | 33,373 | — | 165,405 |
| Intangible assets, net | — | 467,194 | 567,503 | 60,797 | — | 1,095,494 |
| Goodwill, net | — | 212,795 | 482,134 | — | — | 694,929 |
| Total assets | \$3,343,700 | \$3,435,296 | \$1,712,351 | \$1,356,647 | \$(3,998,917) | \$5,849,077 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | | | | | |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | \$42,500 | \$— | \$8,259 | \$— | \$— | \$50,759 |
| Other current liabilities | 62,655 | 228,981 | 80,337 | 109,066 | (767) | 480,272 |
| Intercompany payable | 455,549 | — | 121,268 | 229 | (577,046) | — |
| Long-term debt, net of current maturities | 2,442,934 | — | 1,296,819 | 779,728 | — | 4,519,481 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 12,033 | 185,872 | 42,469 | 30,407 | 1,726 | 272,507 |
| Common stock | 888 | 31,124 | — | — | (31,124) | 888 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 675,454 | 2,736,895 | 244,003 | 480,833 | (3,461,731) | 675,454 |
| Retained earnings (deficit) | (347,467) | 252,424 | (79,958) | (43,616) | (128,850) | (347,467) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (846) | — | (846) | — | 846 | (846) |
| Total Boyd Gaming Corporation stockholders' equity | 328,029 | 3,020,443 | 163,199 | 437,217 | (3,620,859) | 328,029 |
| Noncontrolling interest | — | — | — | — | 198,029 | 198,029 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 328,029 | 3,020,443 | 163,199 | 437,217 | (3,422,830) | 526,058 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' | \$3,343,700 | \$3,435,296 | \$1,712,351 | \$1,356,647 | \$(3,998,917) | \$5,849,077 |

equity

42

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets - continued

December 31, 2012

| | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (100% Owned) | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (Not 100% Owned) | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|-------------|---------------------------|--|--|----------------|--------------|
| (In thousands) | | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$2,520 | \$118,714 | \$36,619 | \$34,692 | \$— | \$192,545 |
| Other current assets | 87,493 | (4,371) | 32,460 | 50,751 | (3,970) | 162,363 |
| Current assets from discontinued operation | — | — | 685 | — | — | 685 |
| Property and equipment, net | 67,500 | 1,691,120 | 462,986 | 1,365,708 | — | 3,587,314 |
| Assets held for development | 775 | 330,995 | — | — | — | 331,770 |
| Investments in subsidiaries | 3,089,125 | 267,777 | 4,103 | — | (3,361,005) | — |
| Intercompany receivable | (134,385) | 264,686 | 10 | (224) | (130,087) | — |
| Other assets, net | 45,880 | 12,791 | 81,846 | 64,748 | — | 205,265 |
| Intangible assets, net | — | 468,229 | 589,845 | 61,564 | — | 1,119,638 |
| Goodwill, net | — | 212,795 | 482,134 | — | — | 694,929 |
| Noncurrent assets from discontinued operation | — | — | 37,684 | — | — | 37,684 |
| Total assets | \$3,158,908 | \$3,362,736 | \$1,728,372 | \$1,577,239 | \$(3,495,062) | \$6,332,193 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' | | | | | | |
| Equity | | | | | | |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | \$42,500 | \$10,341 | \$8,729 | \$— | \$— | \$61,570 |
| Non-recourse debt | — | — | — | 225,113 | — | 225,113 |
| Other current liabilities | 66,121 | 208,399 | 79,928 | 109,442 | (873) | 463,017 |
| Current liabilities from discontinued operation | — | — | 70,864 | — | (70,000) | 864 |
| Intercompany payable | — | — | 58,198 | — | (58,198) | — |
| Long-term debt, net of current maturities | 2,723,234 | — | 1,311,295 | 793,324 | — | 4,827,853 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 23,262 | 185,717 | 41,289 | 36,350 | 31 | 286,649 |
| Preferred stock | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Common stock | 869 | 31,128 | — | — | (31,128) | 869 |

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| Additional paid-in capital | 655,694 | 2,756,184 | 250,504 | 480,833 | (3,487,521) | 655,694 |
| Retained earnings (deficit) | (351,810) | 170,967 | (91,473) | (67,823) | (11,671) | (351,810) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (962) | — | (962) | — | 962 | (962) |
| Total Boyd Gaming Corporation stockholders' equity | 303,791 | 2,958,279 | 158,069 | 413,010 | (3,529,358) | 303,791 |
| Noncontrolling interest | — | — | — | — | 163,336 | 163,336 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 303,791 | 2,958,279 | 158,069 | 413,010 | (3,366,022) | 467,127 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$3,158,908 | \$3,362,736 | \$1,728,372 | \$1,577,239 | \$(3,495,062) | \$6,332,193 |

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | | | Eliminations | Consolidated | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|-----------|--------------|--------------|-------|---------|---|
| | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (100% Owned) | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (Not 100% Owned) | | | | | | |
| (In thousands) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net revenues | \$36,939 | \$417,767 | \$153,699 | \$172,877 | \$(42,534 |) | \$738,748 | | | |
| Costs and expenses | | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating | 462 | 225,454 | 82,326 | 90,955 | — | | 399,197 | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 11,671 | 59,469 | 16,269 | 39,622 | (31 |) | 127,000 | | | |
| Maintenance and utilities | — | 22,991 | 3,598 | 14,453 | — | | 41,042 | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,684 | 30,287 | 22,844 | 15,503 | — | | 70,318 | | | |
| Corporate expense | 14,154 | (22 |) | 1,016 | — | | 15,148 | | | |
| Preopening expense | 70 | 103 | 623 | 54 | (61 |) | 789 | | | |
| Impairments of assets | — | 12,734 | — | 5,032 | (12,734 |) | 5,032 | | | |
| Asset transactions costs | 165 | 325 | 52 | 72 | — | | 614 | | | |
| Other operating charges and credits, net | (1 |) | 94 | 136 | — | | 229 | | | |
| Intercompany expenses | 286 | 31,495 | 10,659 | — | (42,440 |) | — | | | |
| Total costs and expenses | 28,491 | 382,930 | 137,523 | 165,691 | (55,266 |) | 659,369 | | | |
| Equity in earnings of subsidiaries | 45,298 | 22,095 | — | — | (67,393 |) | — | | | |
| Operating income (loss) | 53,746 | 56,932 | 16,176 | 7,186 | (54,661 |) | 79,379 | | | |
| Other expense (income) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest expense, net | 40,294 | 2,520 | 23,899 | 20,843 | — | | 87,556 | | | |
| Other, net | 396 | — | 2,023 | — | — | | 2,419 | | | |
| Total other expense, net | 40,690 | 2,520 | 25,922 | 20,843 | — | | 89,975 | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | 13,056 | 54,412 | (9,746 |) | (13,657 |) | (54,661 |) | (10,596 |) |
| Income taxes benefit (expense) | (1,429 |) | (1,255 |) | 5,865 | 921 | — | 4,102 | | |
| | 11,627 | 53,157 | (3,881 |) | (12,736 |) | (54,661 |) | (6,494 |) |

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | — | — | 24,487 | — | (12,734 |) 11,753 |
| Net income (loss) | 11,627 | 53,157 | 20,606 | (12,736 |) (67,395 |) 5,259 |
| Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | — | — | — | — | 6,368 | 6,368 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to controlling interest | \$ 11,627 | \$ 53,157 | \$ 20,606 | \$(12,736 |) \$(61,027 |) \$ 11,627 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 11,448 | \$ 53,157 | \$ 20,427 | \$(12,736 |) \$(67,216 |) \$ 5,080 |

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations - continued

| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | | | | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (100% Owned) | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (Not 100% Owned) | | | |
| (In thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Net revenues | \$30,668 | \$426,160 | \$13,052 | \$178,139 | \$(33,949) |) | \$614,070 |
| Costs and expenses | | | | | | | |
| Operating | 455 | 233,074 | 13,234 | 95,268 | — | | 342,031 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 11,340 | 61,181 | 2,154 | 34,996 | — | | 109,671 |
| Maintenance and utilities | — | 24,590 | 373 | 14,424 | — | | 39,387 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,097 | 31,900 | 650 | 16,014 | — | | 50,661 |
| Corporate expense | 12,838 | (61) |) 232 | — | — | | 13,009 |
| Preopening expense | 521 | 3,925 | 380 | 108 | (2,724) |) | 2,210 |
| Asset transactions costs | 6,242 | — | — | — | — | | 6,242 |
| Other operating charges and credits, net | — | (6,280) |) — | (2,158) |) — | | (8,438) |
| Intercompany expenses | 333 | 30,397 | 495 | — | (31,225) |) | — |
| Total costs and expenses | 33,826 | 378,726 | 17,518 | 158,652 | (33,949) |) | 554,773 |
| Equity in earnings of subsidiaries | 25,196 | (4,564) |) — | — | (20,632) |) | — |
| Operating income (loss) | 22,038 | 42,870 | (4,466) |) 19,487 | (20,632) |) | 59,297 |
| Other expense (income) | | | | | | | |
| Interest expense, net | 37,920 | 3,572 | (409) |) 23,297 | — | | 64,380 |
| Total other expense, net | 37,920 | 3,572 | (409) |) 23,297 | — | | 64,380 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | (15,882) |) 39,298 | (4,057) |) (3,810) |) (20,632) |) | (5,083) |
| Income taxes benefit (expense) | 16,859 | (13,226) |) 1,042 | 405 | — | | 5,080 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax | 977 | 26,072 | (3,015) |) (3,405) |) (20,632) |) | (3) |

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|----------|----------|------------|-------------|----------|---|
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | — | — | (688 |) — | — | (688 |) |
| Net income (loss) | 977 | 26,072 | (3,703 |) (3,405 |) (20,632 |) (691 |) |
| Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | — | — | — | — | 1,668 | 1,668 | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to controlling interest | \$977 | \$26,072 | \$(3,703 |) \$(3,405 |) \$(18,964 |) \$977 | |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$977 | \$26,072 | \$(3,703 |) \$(3,378 |) \$(20,632 |) \$(664 |) |

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | | | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (100% Owned) | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (Not 100% Owned) | | | |
| (In thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Net revenues | \$74,114 | \$842,431 | \$304,650 | \$340,454 | \$(87,317) |) | \$1,474,332 |
| Costs and expenses | | | | | | | |
| Operating | 924 | 457,222 | 160,537 | 179,103 | — | | 797,786 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 23,485 | 120,556 | 32,621 | 74,397 | (31) |) | 251,028 |
| Maintenance and utilities | — | 44,448 | 7,033 | 28,770 | — | | 80,251 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,398 | 60,711 | 45,136 | 31,111 | — | | 140,356 |
| Corporate expense | 28,040 | 94 | 2,370 | — | — | | 30,504 |
| Preopening expense | 1,100 | 2,892 | 1,037 | 58 | (1,933) |) | 3,154 |
| Impairments of assets | — | 12,734 | — | 5,032 | (12,734) |) | 5,032 |
| Asset transactions costs | 2,735 | 325 | 161 | 406 | — | | 3,627 |
| Other operating charges and credits, net | 278 | 1,353 | 164 | — | — | | 1,795 |
| Intercompany expenses | 611 | 63,247 | 21,495 | — | (85,353) |) | — |
| Total costs and expenses | 60,571 | 763,582 | 270,554 | 318,877 | (100,051) |) | 1,313,533 |
| Equity in earnings of subsidiaries | 73,110 | 17,670 | — | — | (90,780) |) | — |
| Operating income (loss) | 86,653 | 96,519 | 34,096 | 21,577 | (78,046) |) | 160,799 |
| Other expense (income) | | | | | | | |
| Interest expense, net | 84,068 | 5,362 | 49,158 | 43,994 | — | | 182,582 |
| Other, net | 396 | — | 1,505 | — | — | | 1,901 |
| Total other expense, net | 84,464 | 5,362 | 50,663 | 43,994 | — | | 184,483 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | 2,189 | 91,157 | (16,567) | (22,417) | (78,046) |) | (23,684) |
| Income taxes benefit (expense) | 2,154 | (2,924) | 5,863 | 1,433 | — | | 6,526 |
| | 4,343 | 88,233 | (10,704) | (20,984) | (78,046) |) | (17,158) |

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--|---------|----------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | — | — | 23,524 | — | (12,734 |) 10,790 |
| Net income (loss) | 4,343 | 88,233 | 12,820 | (20,984 |) (90,780 |) (6,368) |
| Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | — | — | — | — | 10,711 | 10,711 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to controlling interest | \$4,343 | \$88,233 | \$12,820 | \$(20,984 |) \$(80,069 |) \$4,343 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$4,459 | \$88,233 | \$12,936 | \$(20,984 |) \$(90,896 |) \$(6,252) |

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations - continued

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | | | | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (100% Owned) | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (Not 100% Owned) | | | |
| (In thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Net revenues | \$63,677 | \$868,524 | \$26,749 | \$357,014 | \$(70,225 |) | \$1,245,739 |
| Costs and expenses | | | | | | | |
| Operating | 911 | 470,061 | 27,251 | 185,690 | — | | 683,913 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 22,679 | 123,902 | 4,198 | 67,539 | — | | 218,318 |
| Maintenance and utilities | — | 48,538 | 726 | 28,731 | — | | 77,995 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 4,227 | 63,962 | 1,302 | 31,144 | — | | 100,635 |
| Corporate expense | 25,395 | (57 |) 542 | — | — | | 25,880 |
| Preopening expense | 905 | 7,824 | 349 | 240 | (5,448 |) | 3,870 |
| Asset transactions costs | 6,272 | — | — | — | — | | 6,272 |
| Other operating charges and credits, net | — | (6,033 |) — | (2,188 |) — | | (8,221 |
| Intercompany expenses | 665 | 63,122 | 990 | — | (64,777 |) | — |
| Total costs and expenses | 61,054 | 771,319 | 35,358 | 311,156 | (70,225 |) | 1,108,662 |
| Equity in earnings of subsidiaries | 51,175 | (3,520 |) — | — | (47,655 |) | — |
| Operating income (loss) | 53,798 | 93,685 | (8,609 |) 45,858 | (47,655 |) | 137,077 |
| Other expense (income) | | | | | | | |
| Interest expense, net | 74,088 | 7,353 | (409 |) 47,172 | — | | 128,204 |
| Total other expense, net | 74,088 | 7,353 | (409 |) 47,172 | — | | 128,204 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | (20,290 |) 86,332 | (8,200 |) (1,314 |) (47,655 |) | 8,873 |
| Income taxes benefit (expense) | 27,119 | (30,628 |) 2,059 | (173 |) — | | (1,623 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax | 6,829 | 55,704 | (6,141 |) (1,487 |) (47,655 |) | 7,250 |

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--|---------|----------|------------|------------|-------------|----------|
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | — | — | (1,466) | — | — | (1,466) |
| Net income (loss) | 6,829 | 55,704 | (7,607) | (1,487) | (47,655) | 5,784 |
| Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | — | — | — | — | 1,045 | 1,045 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to controlling interest | \$6,829 | \$55,704 | \$(7,607) | \$(1,487) | \$(46,610) | \$6,829 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$6,829 | \$55,704 | \$(7,607) | \$980 | \$(47,655) | \$8,251 |

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | | | | | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (100% Owned) | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (Not 100% Owned) | | | |
| (In thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | | | | | | |
| Net cash from operating activities | \$ 127,577 | \$ 17,247 | \$(191) | \$ 25,488 | \$(4,351) | \$ 165,770 | |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (10,883) | (20,152) | (16,793) | (10,628) | — | (58,456) | |
| Proceeds from sale of Echelon | 343,750 | — | — | — | — | 343,750 | |
| Cash paid for exercise of LVE option | (187,000) | — | — | — | — | (187,000) | |
| Investment in subsidiaries | (4,351) | — | — | — | 4,351 | — | |
| Other investing activities | — | — | 238 | (24) | — | 214 | |
| Net cash from investing activities | 141,516 | (20,152) | (16,555) | (10,652) | 4,351 | 98,508 | |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | | | | | |
| Borrowings under bank credit facility | 490,400 | — | 161,100 | 200,000 | — | 851,500 | |
| Payments under bank credit facility | (557,250) | — | (182,725) | (215,600) | — | (955,575) | |
| Debt financing costs, net | (1,045) | — | (10,288) | — | — | (11,333) | |
| Payments on notes payable | (10,341) | — | (475) | — | — | (10,816) | |
| Payments on early retirement of debt | (215,668) | — | — | — | — | (215,668) | |
| Distribution to parent | 9,500 | — | (9,500) | — | — | — | |
| Proceeds from stock options exercised | 13,145 | — | — | — | — | 13,145 | |
| Restricted stock units released, net | (350) | — | — | — | — | (350) | |
| Other financing activities | (4) | — | — | — | — | (4) | |
| | (271,613) | — | (41,888) | (15,600) | — | (329,101) | |

Net cash from financing
activities

Cash flows from
discontinued
operations

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|---|-----------|---|----------|---|----------|---|-----|---------|-----------|
| Cash flows from operating activities | — | — | (2,144 |) | — | — | (2,144 |) | | | |
| Cash flows from investing activities | — | — | 56,751 | — | — | — | 56,751 | | | | |
| Cash flows from financing activities | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | | | | |
| Net cash flows from discontinued operations | — | — | 54,607 | — | — | — | 54,607 | | | | |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | (2,520 |) | (2,905 |) | (4,027 |) | (764 |) | — | (10,216 |) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 2,520 | | 118,714 | | 36,619 | | 34,692 | | — | | 192,545 |
| Change in cash classified as discontinued operations | — | | — | | 283 | | — | | — | | 283 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$— | | \$115,809 | | \$32,875 | | \$33,928 | | \$— | | \$182,612 |

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows - continued

Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

| | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (100% Owned) | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries (Not 100% Owned) | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|--------------|---------------------------|--|--|--------------|--------------|
| (In thousands) | | | | | | |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | | | | | |
| Net cash from operating activities | \$(134,825) | \$6,756 | \$207,405 | \$30,462 | \$— | \$109,798 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (21,160) | (18,312) | (254) | (30,337) | — | (70,063) |
| Investment in subsidiaries | (1) | — | — | 1 | — | — |
| Other investing activities | — | 126 | 5 | 2,203 | — | 2,334 |
| Net cash from investing activities | (21,161) | (18,186) | (249) | (28,133) | — | (67,729) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | | | | |
| Borrowings under bank credit facility | 488,500 | — | — | 354,500 | — | 843,000 |
| Payments under bank credit facility | (672,450) | — | — | (370,500) | — | (1,042,950) |
| Debt financing costs, net | (10,246) | — | — | — | — | (10,246) |
| Proceeds from issuance of debt | 350,000 | — | — | 1,935 | — | 351,935 |
| Other financing activities | 117 | (360) | — | (501) | — | (744) |
| Net cash from financing activities | 155,921 | (360) | — | (14,566) | — | 140,995 |
| Cash flows from discontinued operations | | | | | | |
| Cash flows from operating activities | — | — | (1,025) | — | — | (1,025) |
| Cash flows from investing activities | — | — | (340) | — | — | (340) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | — | — | — | — | — | — |

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|---|-----------|---|-----------|----------|---------|-----------|
| Net cash from discontinued operations | — | — | (1,365) |) | — | — | (1,365) |) |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | (65) |) | (11,790) |) | 205,791 | (12,237) |) | — |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 364 | | 128,185 | | 3,279 | 46,263 | | — |
| Change in cash classified as discontinued operations | — | | — | | (1,077) |) | — | — |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$299 | | \$116,395 | | \$207,993 | \$34,026 | | \$— |
| | | | | | | | | \$358,713 |

NOTE 16. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

We have evaluated all events or transactions that occurred after June 30, 2013. During this period, the following subsequent events occurred:

On May 8, 2013, Borgata entered into an agreement with the CRDA that included a 50% donation and a 50% refund of \$45.1 million of available deposits. On July 17, 2013, the CRDA disbursed \$45.1 million from Borgata's funds on deposit with the CRDA of which Borgata received a \$22.5 million refund. The \$22.5 million in proceeds from the CRDA agreement will be used to redeem a portion of Borgata's 9.5% Senior Secured Notes (the "2015 Notes"). On July 19, 2013, Borgata issued a conditional notice of its election to redeem \$21.9 million of its outstanding 2015 Notes on August 18, 2013. On July 24, 2013, Borgata issued a second conditional notice of its election to redeem \$17.9 million of its outstanding 2015 Notes on August 23, 2013.

Table of Contents

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

On July 24, 2013, MDFC entered into the New Borgata Credit Facility with MDDC, certain financial institutions, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, letter of credit issuer and swing line lender. The New Borgata Credit Facility replaces the Prior Borgata Credit Agreement, dated as of August 6, 2010, among MDFC, MDDC, various lenders and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, letter of credit issuer and swing line lender, which provided for the Borgata bank credit facility. Proceeds from the New Borgata Credit Facility will be used to repay obligations outstanding under the Prior Borgata Credit Agreement and is expected to be used to redeem up to 10% of the Company's 2015 Notes. As a result of the New Borgata Credit Facility, Borgata incurred \$2.1 million of financing fees.

On August 1, 2013, we entered into an underwriting agreement with Deutsche Bank Securities and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC (the "Representatives") and the other underwriters of the party thereto (together with the Representatives, the "Underwriters"), pursuant to which we sold 18,975,000 shares of our common stock (the "Shares") to the Underwriters, which included the full exercise of the Underwriters' option to purchase additional shares of common stock. All of the Shares were sold by the Company on August 7, 2013. The net proceeds received by the Company after the underwriting discount, but before expenses, were \$216.7 million.

On August 7, 2013, we issued a notice of election to redeem 7.125% Senior Subordinated Notes due February 2016, (the "7.125% Notes") in full on September 6, 2013. The 7.125% Notes will be redeemed at a redemption price of 101.188% of their principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date. As of August 7, 2013, there was approximately \$240.8 million in aggregate principal amount of the 7.125% Notes outstanding. We will fund the redemption of the 7.125% Notes using the net proceeds from the common stock offering discussed above and available capacity under our Credit Facility.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Executive Overview

Boyd Gaming Corporation (the “Company,” “Boyd Gaming,” “we” or “us”) is a multi-jurisdictional gaming company that has been operating for approximately 37 years.

We are a diversified operator of 21 wholly owned gaming entertainment properties and one controlling interest in a limited liability company. Headquartered in Las Vegas, Nevada, we have gaming operations in Nevada, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, and New Jersey which we aggregate in order to present the following five reportable segments:

Las Vegas Locals

| | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Gold Coast Hotel and Casino | Las Vegas, Nevada |
| The Orleans Hotel and Casino | Las Vegas, Nevada |
| Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall | Las Vegas, Nevada |
| Suncoast Hotel and Casino | Las Vegas, Nevada |
| Eldorado Casino | Henderson, Nevada |
| Jokers Wild Casino | Henderson, Nevada |

Downtown Las Vegas

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| California Hotel and Casino | Las Vegas, Nevada |
| Fremont Hotel and Casino | Las Vegas, Nevada |
| Main Street Station Casino, Brewery and Hotel | Las Vegas, Nevada |

Midwest and South

| | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall | Tunica, Mississippi |
| IP Casino Resort Spa | Biloxi, Mississippi |
| Par-A-Dice Hotel Casino | East Peoria, Illinois |
| Blue Chip Casino, Hotel & Spa | Michigan City, Indiana |
| Treasure Chest Casino | Kenner, Louisiana |
| Delta Downs Racetrack Casino & Hotel | Vinton, Louisiana |
| Sam's Town Hotel and Casino | Shreveport, Louisiana |

Peninsula

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Diamond Jo Dubuque | Dubuque, Iowa |
| Diamond Jo Worth | Northwood, Iowa |
| Evangeline Downs Racetrack and Casino | Opelousas, Louisiana |
| Amelia Belle Casino | Amelia, Louisiana |
| Kansas Star Casino | Mulvane, Kansas |

Atlantic City

| | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Borgata Hotel Casino & Spa | Atlantic City, New Jersey |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|

Our Emphasis

We operate gaming entertainment properties, most of which also include hotel, dining, retail and other amenities. Our main business emphasis is on slot revenues, which are highly dependent upon the number and spending levels of customers at our properties, which affects our operating results.

Our properties have historically generated significant operating cash flow, with the majority of our revenue being cash-based. While we do provide casino credit, subject to certain gaming regulations and jurisdiction imposed restrictions, most of our customers wager with cash and pay for non-gaming services by cash or credit card.

Our industry is capital intensive; we rely heavily on the ability of our properties to generate operating cash flow in order to fund maintenance capital expenditures, fund acquisitions, provide cash for future development, repay debt financing and associated interest costs, purchase our debt or equity securities, pay income taxes and pay dividends.

51

Table of Contents

Our Key Performance Indicators

We use several key performance indicators to evaluate the operations of our properties. These key performance indicators include the following:

Gaming revenue indicators:

Slot handle means the dollar amount wagered in slot machines and table game drop means the total amount of cash deposited in table games drop boxes, plus the sum of markers issued at all table games. Slot handle and table game drop are indicators of volume and/or market share.

Slot win and table game hold means the difference between customer wagers and customer winnings on slot machines and table games, respectively. Slot win and table game hold percentages represent the relationship between slot handle and table game drop to gaming wins and losses.

Food and beverage revenue indicators: average guest check means the average amount spent per customer visit and is an indicator of volume and product offerings; and number of guests served is an indicator of volume; and the cost per guest served is an indicator of operating margin.

Room revenue indicators: hotel occupancy rate is an indicator of volume measuring the utilization of our available rooms; and average daily rate ("ADR") which is a price indicator.

Our Strategy

Our overriding strategy is to increase shareholder value and grow our business by focusing on the following strategic initiatives:

Strengthening our Balance Sheet

We remain committed to finding opportunities to strengthen our balance sheet through diversifying and increasing cash flows to provide for deleveraging.

Operating Efficiently

We also remain committed to operating more efficiently, and endeavor to prevent unneeded expense in our business. The efficiencies of our business model position us to flow a substantial portion of revenue gains directly to the bottom line. Margin improvements will remain a driver of profit growth for the Company going forward.

Evaluating Acquisition Opportunities

We evaluate potential transactions and acquisition in a way that is strategic, deliberate, and disciplined. Our intention is to pursue opportunities that are a good fit for our business, deliver a solid return for shareholders, and are available at the right price.

Maintaining our Brand

The ability of our employees to deliver great customer service remains a key differentiator for our Company and our brands. Our employees are a big reason that our customers continue to choose our properties over the competition across the country.

Our Focus

Our focus has been, and will continue to remain on: (i) ensuring our existing operations are managed as efficiently as possible and remain positioned for growth; (ii) improving our capital structure and strengthening our balance sheet, including paying down debt and strengthening our operations and diversifying our asset base; and (iii) successfully implementing our growth strategy, which is built on identifying and acquiring those assets that are a good strategic fit and provide an appropriate return to our shareholders.

Overall Outlook

We believe that our key operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 demonstrate a slight recovery impacted by reduced discretionary spending, particularly among our casual players. Consumer confidence negatively influenced results, as consumers' decreased confidence led to reduced discretionary spending as a result of higher payroll taxes and uncertainties regarding future expectations that included potential higher unemployment and lower incomes. Over the course of the past several years, the severe economic recession has had a profound effect on consumer confidence, and has generally shifted spending away from discretionary items, such as leisure, hospitality, gaming and entertainment activities. With consideration to the challenging macroeconomic environment, we continue to look for opportunities to strengthen our balance sheet and deleverage.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we entered into an agreement for the sale of certain assets and liabilities of our Dania Jai-Alai pari-mutuel facility, located in Dania Beach, Broward County, Florida. On May 22, 2013, we completed the sale of Dania and received cash proceeds of \$58.5 million and recognized a pre-tax gain from the sale of \$18.9 million. In addition, on March

Table of Contents

1, 2013, we entered into a definitive agreement to sell the Echelon site and related site improvements for \$350.0 million in cash. The transaction was completed on March 4, 2013, and we realized approximately \$157.0 million in net proceeds from the sale. In addition to the benefit of monetizing the Echelon assets, we will realize savings benefits of approximately \$16 million to \$17 million per year due to the elimination of annually recurring expenses related to contractual obligations and site preservation. The monetization of Echelon and Dania reflect our commitment to strengthen our balance sheet and improve our long-term financial position.

Positioning

We continually work to position our Company for greater success by strengthening our existing operations and growing through capital investment and other strategic initiatives.

Boyd Brand Awareness

We have established a nationwide branding initiative and loyalty program. Previously, players were able to use their "Club Coast" or "B Connected" cards to earn and redeem points at nearly all of our wholly owned Boyd Gaming properties in Nevada, Illinois, Indiana, Louisiana and Mississippi. In June 2010, we launched an enhanced, multi-property player loyalty program under the "B Connected" brand, which replaced the "Club Coast" program. Customers under the "Club Coast" program were able to keep all earned benefits and club points they had previously earned under the program. The new "B Connected" club, among other benefits, extends the time period over which players may qualify for promotion and increases the credits awarded to reel slot and table games players. In addition to the "B Connected" player loyalty program, we launched the "B Connected Mobile" program in July 2010. "B Connected Mobile," the first multi-property, loyalty-program-based iPhone and Android application of its kind in the gaming industry, is a personalized mobile application that delivers customized offers and information directly to a customer's iPhone, iPad or Android device, making "B Connected Mobile" the first application of its kind available on multiple platforms. The application further expands the benefits of the "B Connected" program by providing real-time personalized information on hotel, dining and gaming offers when a customer visits a Boyd property, instant access to event information, schedules and special offers, and a search engine which allows customers to find Boyd Gaming casinos that have their favorite machines and displays the games' locations on a casino floor map, the ability to track "B Connected" point balances in real time, and the ability to make immediate hotel or restaurant reservations. These tools allow our customers to receive the greatest value from their "B Connected" membership, and ensure that our marketing is as effective as possible.

We continued to improve our "B Connected" loyalty program with the introduction of "B Connected Social" in the first quarter of 2012, which rewards users for using "B Connected Online", "B Connected Mobile", or sharing offers and events on social networks. "B Connected Social" is a dynamic network loyalty program that allows "B Connected" members to share offers with friends, connect to their favorite social networks, check in online via certain social networks, as well as, participate in a variety of online activities including interfacing with "B Connected Online" or "B Connected Mobile", participate in online contests, and register for alerts to deliver targeted information specific to the "B Connected" member.

Borgata Brand Awareness

Borgata sponsors its own program to expand its brand awareness and leverage its strong loyalty card program, predicated on efforts to use marketing and promotional programs to serve an important role: to retain existing customers, maintain trip frequency and acquire new customers. Borgata offers its guests comprehensive, competitive and targeted marketing and promotion programs. The "My Borgata Rewards" program, for example, offers players a hassle-free way of earning slot dollars, comp dollars and other rewards and benefits based on game play, with convenient on-line access of account balances and other program information. In addition, Borgata strives to differentiate its casino with high-quality guest services to further enhance overall brand and customer experience to position Borgata as the must visit property in Atlantic City.

Other Promotional Activities

From time to time, we offer other promotional offers and discounts targeted towards new customers, frequent customers, inactive customers, customers of various levels of play, and prospective customers who have not yet visited our properties, as well as mid-week and other promotional activities that seek to generate visits to our properties during slower periods. Comp dollars, generally in the form of monetary discounts, and other rewards generally can only be redeemed at our restaurants, retail and spa facilities.

Development Activities

We regularly evaluate opportunities for growth through the development of gaming operations in existing or new markets, along with opportunities associated with acquiring other gaming entertainment facilities.

Table of Contents

Other Events

6.75% Senior Subordinated Notes Redemption

On April 6, 2013, we redeemed \$150.0 million of our 6.75% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2014 (the "6.75% Notes"). On May 30, 2013, we redeemed \$65.7 million, all of the remaining 6.75% Notes. Both redemptions were at a redemption price of 100% plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 reflect the results of Peninsula Gaming, which we acquired (the "Peninsula Acquisition") on November 20, 2012.

Overview of Key Operating Results

Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

The following provides a summary of certain key operating results:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|-----------|------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | 2012 | June 30, 2013 | 2012 |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Net revenues | \$738,748 | \$614,070 | \$1,474,332 | \$1,245,739 |
| Operating income | 79,379 | 59,297 | 160,799 | 137,077 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax | (6,494 |) (3 | (17,158 |) 7,250 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operation, net of tax | 11,753 | (688 |) 10,790 | (1,466 |
| Net income attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation | 11,627 | 977 | 4,343 | 6,829 |

Net Revenues

Net revenues were \$738.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$614.1 million for the comparable period in the prior year. The \$124.6 million increase in net revenues was primarily due to \$135.8 million of net revenues attributable to the Peninsula Acquisition, offset by decreases in our Midwest and South and Atlantic City segments. The Midwest and South and Atlantic City segments experienced a 4.0% and 1.4% decrease in net revenues, respectively, due to competitive pressures. In particular, the Atlantic City market continues to be very competitive resulting from an increased promotional environment as operators increased marketing efforts. However, despite the decrease in net revenues at Borgata, Borgata remains the leader in table game drop and slot handle in Atlantic City.

Net revenues were \$1.5 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$1.2 billion for the comparable period in the prior year. Overall, with the exception of the Peninsula Acquisition, we saw decreases in net revenues at all of our reportable segments led by a 5.0% decrease in our Midwest and South segment. Borgata net revenues decreased \$13.0 million due to increased local and regional competition. Despite the decrease in net revenues at Borgata, Borgata remains the leader in table game drop and slot handle in Atlantic City. The Peninsula Acquisition accounted for \$269.7 million in incremental net revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2013.

Operating Income

Operating income increased by \$20.1 million, or 33.9%, to \$79.4 million, during the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the corresponding period of the prior year, due to the Peninsula Acquisition, partially offset by the effect of a decrease in net revenues in our Midwest and South segment.

Operating income increased by \$23.7 million, or 17.3%, to \$160.8 million, during the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the corresponding period of the prior year, due to the Peninsula Acquisition, partially offset by the

effect of a decrease in net revenues in our Midwest and South segment.

Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations, Net of Tax

Loss from continuing operations, net of tax was \$6.5 million, during the three months ended June 30, 2013. Loss from continuing operations, net of tax was \$17.2 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013. The loss from operations during the three and six months ended June 2013, compared to the corresponding periods of the prior year, is due to an increase in selling, general and administrative expense, interest expense and depreciation and amortization, that was not offset by the increase in net revenues.

Table of Contents

Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operation, Net of Tax

Income (loss) from discontinued operation, net of tax, reflects the operating results of Dania, which was sold during the second quarter of 2013. Results of the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, included an after-tax gain of \$12.2 million that was realized upon the completion of the sale.

Net income attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation

Net income attributable to Boyd Gaming was \$11.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to net income attributable to Boyd Gaming of \$1.0 million for the corresponding period of the prior year. The increase in net income attributable to Boyd Gaming for the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the prior year was due to an after-tax gain of \$12.2 million that was realized upon the completion of the Dania sale.

Net income attributable to Boyd Gaming was \$4.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to net income of \$6.8 million in the prior year. The decrease in net income attributable to Boyd Gaming was due to the factors stated above and a change in other operating charges from \$1.8 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to gains from insurance proceeds of \$6.1 million for the corresponding period of the prior year.

Operating Revenues

Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

The following analysis discusses our operating revenues, on a consolidated basis, which is further supplemented by operating segment detail below.

We derive the majority of our gross revenues from our gaming operations, which produced approximately 74% and 71% of gross revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and 74% and 72% of gross revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Food and beverage gross revenues, which produced approximately 13% and 15% of gross revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and 13% and 14% of gross revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, represent the next most significant revenue source, followed by room and other, each of which separately contributed less than 10% of gross revenues during these respective periods.

Table of Contents

The following table presents our gross revenues and expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| GROSS REVENUES | | | | |
| Gaming | \$627,926 | \$514,018 | \$1,260,485 | \$1,048,554 |
| Food and beverage | 112,804 | 105,187 | 224,578 | 211,218 |
| Room | 67,154 | 69,628 | 131,009 | 135,625 |
| Other | 41,898 | 35,784 | 81,209 | 71,505 |
| Total Gross Revenues | \$849,782 | \$724,617 | \$1,697,281 | \$1,466,902 |
| COSTS AND EXPENSES | | | | |
| Gaming | \$287,801 | \$239,170 | \$585,063 | \$486,942 |
| Food and beverage | 64,242 | 60,250 | 124,295 | 114,209 |
| Room | 15,955 | 15,931 | 29,055 | 30,066 |
| Other | 31,199 | 26,680 | 59,373 | 52,696 |
| Total Costs and Expenses | \$399,197 | \$342,031 | \$797,786 | \$683,913 |
| MARGINS | | | | |
| Gaming | 54.2% | 53.5% | 53.6% | 53.6% |
| Food and beverage | 43.0% | 42.7% | 44.7% | 45.9% |
| Room | 76.2% | 77.1% | 77.8% | 77.8% |
| Other | 25.5% | 25.4% | 26.9% | 26.3% |

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Gaming

Gaming revenues are significantly comprised of the net win from our slot machine operations and table games. The \$113.9 million, or 22.2%, increase in gaming revenues during the three months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year, was due primarily to \$126.6 million of incremental gaming revenues from the Peninsula Acquisition, offset by a \$13.5 million combined decrease in gaming revenues among our Midwest and South and Atlantic City markets. Consolidated same segment table game drop, excluding Peninsula, decreased 1.8%, and table game win decreased 1.0%, each during the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the same period in the prior year. Consolidated same segment slot drop and slot win decreased 3.0% and 2.5%, respectively, during the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year. Gaming expense increased during the three months ended June 30, 2013 primarily due to the addition of the Peninsula segment, offset by decreases in variable expenses associated with reduced gaming volume among our same segments compared to the same period in the prior year.

Food and Beverage

Food and beverage revenues increased \$7.6 million, or 7.2%, during the three months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year, and included \$10.1 million earned by the Peninsula segment during the three months ended June 30, 2013. The incremental food and beverage revenues earned by the Peninsula segment during the three months ended June 30, 2013 were offset by a 4.0% decrease in the number of guests served among our same store segments during the comparable period in the prior year. The \$4.0 million increase in food and beverage costs was due to \$6.8 million incremental costs associated with the Peninsula segment, that were offset by

decreases in variable expenses due to the 4.0% decrease in number of guests served.

56

Table of Contents

Room

Room revenues decreased by \$2.5 million, or 3.6%, during the three months ended June 30, 2013, due to a 1.5% decrease in the ADR coupled with a 1.0% decrease in the hotel occupancy rate, compared to the corresponding period of the prior year. Room expenses remained relatively flat during the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the same period in the prior year.

Other

Other revenues increased \$6.1 million and other expenses increased \$4.5 million during the three months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year, primarily due to the incremental revenues and expenses associated with the Peninsula Acquisition. Other revenues relate to patronage visits at the amenities at our properties, including entertainment and nightclub revenues, retail sales, theater tickets and other venues.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Gaming

Gaming revenues are significantly comprised of the net win from our slot machine operations and table games. The \$211.9 million, or 20.2%, increase in gaming revenues during the six months ended June 30, 2013 as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year, was due primarily to \$252.5 million of incremental gaming revenues from the Peninsula Acquisition, partially offset by a \$40.6 million combined decrease in gaming revenues among our Las Vegas Locals, Downtown Las Vegas, Midwest and South, and Atlantic City markets. The decrease in our same segment comparison, excluding Peninsula, was primarily due to a decrease of \$24.0 million and \$12.9 million in gross gaming revenues in our Midwest and South and Borgata segments, respectively. Consolidated same segment table game drop and table game win, excluding Peninsula, decreased 4.1% and 4.4%, respectively, during the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the same period in the prior year. Consolidated same segment slot drop and slot win decreased 3.7% and 3.3%, respectively, during the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year. Gaming expense increased during the six months ended June 30, 2013 primarily due to the addition of the Peninsula segment, offset by decreases in variable expenses associated with reduced gaming volume among our same segment comparison compared to the same period in the prior year.

Food and Beverage

Food and beverage revenues increased \$13.4 million, or 6.3%, during the six months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year, and included \$19.8 million earned by the Peninsula segment during the six months ended June 30, 2013. The incremental food and beverage revenues earned by the Peninsula segment during the six months ended June 30, 2013 were offset by a 4.2% decrease in the number of guests served among our other segments during the comparable period in the prior year. The \$10.1 million increase in food and beverage costs was due to \$13.5 million incremental costs associated with the Peninsula segment, offset by the variable expenses due to the 4.2% decrease in number of guests served.

Room

Room revenues decreased by \$4.6 million, or 3.4%, during the six months ended June 30, 2013, primarily due to 2.6% decrease in the ADR compared to the corresponding period of the prior year. Room expenses decreased \$1.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the same period in the prior year, due to the slight decrease in hotel occupancy rate and 4.4% decrease in the cost per room as a result of our cost containment measures.

Other

Other revenues increased \$9.7 million and other expenses increased \$6.7 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year, primarily due to the incremental revenues and expenses associated with the Peninsula Acquisition that occurred on November 20, 2012. Other revenues relate to patronage visits at the differing amenities at our properties, including entertainment and nightclub revenues, retail sales, theater tickets and other venues.

Revenues and Adjusted EBITDA by Reportable Segment

We determine each of our properties' profitability based upon Adjusted EBITDA, which represents earnings before interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, deferred rent, preopening expenses, share-based compensation expense, and other operating items, net, as applicable. Reportable Segment Adjusted EBITDA is the aggregate sum of the Adjusted EBITDA for each of the properties comprising our Las Vegas Locals, Downtown Las Vegas, Midwest and South, Peninsula and Atlantic City segments before net amortization, preopening and other items.

Table of Contents

The following table presents our net revenues and Adjusted EBITDA by Reportable Segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|------------|------------------|--------------|
| | June 30, 2013 | 2012 | June 30, 2013 | 2012 |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Net revenues | | | | |
| Las Vegas Locals | \$ 149,690 | \$ 148,987 | \$ 302,517 | \$ 303,776 |
| Downtown Las Vegas | 56,128 | 55,939 | 110,211 | 112,947 |
| Midwest and South | 224,273 | 233,728 | 453,390 | 477,450 |
| Peninsula | 135,780 | — | 269,693 | — |
| Atlantic City | 172,877 | 175,416 | 338,521 | 351,566 |
| Net revenues | \$ 738,748 | \$ 614,070 | \$ 1,474,332 | \$ 1,245,739 |
| Adjusted EBITDA | | | | |
| Las Vegas Locals | \$ 38,723 | \$ 34,535 | \$ 77,928 | \$ 73,021 |
| Downtown Las Vegas | 9,297 | 8,109 | 16,408 | 16,541 |
| Midwest and South | 48,625 | 51,003 | 98,307 | 109,133 |
| Peninsula | 48,323 | — | 99,035 | — |
| Wholly owned Adjusted Property EBITDA | 144,968 | 93,647 | 291,678 | 198,695 |
| Corporate expense | (12,628) | (10,547) | (24,266) | (20,674) |
| Wholly owned Adjusted EBITDA | 132,340 | 83,100 | 267,412 | 178,021 |
| Atlantic City | 27,847 | 30,735 | 56,252 | 69,616 |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$ 160,187 | \$ 113,835 | \$ 323,664 | \$ 247,637 |

Significant factors that affected our Reportable Segment Net Revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year, are listed below:

Las Vegas Locals

We have seen signs of recovery in the local housing market, however, we believe our casual players continue to remain cautious based on unemployment concerns and the impact of higher payroll taxes. We will continue to focus our marketing efforts on our casual players along with our cost containment measures.

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Net revenues were relatively flat during the three months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year. We experienced a 3.2% increase in table game drop and a 3.0% increase in table game win. Additionally, we experienced a 1.9% decrease in slot drop that was offset by a 1.4% increase in slot win. Overall revenues were essentially flat as nominal increases in gaming and room revenues were partially offset by food and beverage revenues. Room revenues increased 1.8% due to a less than 1.0% increase in both the hotel occupancy rate and ADR. The nominal increase in net revenues reflects a slower recovery in the Las Vegas Locals market as compared to the national economy.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Net revenues decreased by \$1.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year, primarily due to a 1.2% decrease in gaming revenue. Both table game drop and slot drop decreased 1.8% and 3.4%, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year. Room revenues increased \$0.4 million due to a 2.1% increase in the occupancy rate. The decrease in net revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the same period in the prior year, reflects a slower recovery in the Las Vegas Locals market as compared to the national economy.

Downtown Las Vegas

We continue to tailor our marketing programs to cater to our Hawaiian market. In order to run more efficiently, during 2012 we reduced the number of weekly flights from five to four, lowering our fuel expense and maximizing our use of available seats

58

Table of Contents

without significantly reducing the number of customers flying into Las Vegas. We remain optimistic for a sustainable recovery as the Downtown region continues to undertake new development projects and attract new businesses.

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Net revenues increased \$0.2 million during the three months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year. Gaming revenues were positively impacted by a 3.1% and less than 1% increase in table game drop and slot drop, respectively. Hotel occupancy rates increased 2.3%, while the ADR decreased slightly less than 1.0%. Food and beverage revenues remained relatively flat despite a 2.6% increase in the average check, as this was offset by less than 1.0% decrease in the number of guests served. During the three months ended June 30, 2013, our Hawaiian market represented approximately 53% of our occupied rooms in this segment compared to 56% in the corresponding period of the prior year.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Net revenues decreased \$2.7 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year, due primarily to a 1.2% decrease in gaming revenue. The decrease in gaming revenue was primarily driven by a decrease in slot drop of slightly less than 1.0%. Hotel room revenues remained relatively flat as the 1.3% increase in the occupancy rate was offset by a 1.3% decrease in the ADR. Food and beverage revenues remained relatively flat despite a 3.5% increase in the average check, as this was offset by 2.9% decrease in the number of guests served. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, our Hawaiian market represented approximately 54% of our occupied rooms in this segment compared to 58% in the corresponding period of the prior year.

Midwest and South

Our Midwest and South segment experienced a difficult quarter after historically showing more resiliency to the economic weakness which negatively affected other regions of our business. Regional results were impacted by several winter storms that reduced discretionary travel.

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Net revenues decreased \$9.5 million, or 4.0%, during the three months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year. Gaming revenues were down \$10.4 million as slot drop decreased 5.7% and slot win decreased 5.2%, which was coupled with a 0.3% decrease in table game drop and a 3.6% decrease in table game win. Additionally, the hotel occupancy rate decreased 4.4%, while the ADR remained unchanged. Food covers decreased 8.9%, which was not offset by a 3.6% increase in the average guest check.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Net revenues decreased \$24.1 million, or 5.0%, during the six months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the corresponding period of the prior year. Gaming revenue decreased \$23.9 million, as slot drop decreased 5.6% and slot win decreased 5.4%, which was coupled with a 1.8% decrease in table game drop and a 5.0% decrease in table game win. Additionally, the hotel occupancy rate decreased 4.7%, while the ADR decreased 3.5%. Food covers decreased 9.6%, which was not offset by a 3.1% increase in the average guest check.

Peninsula

Our Peninsula segment benefited from the opening of the Kansas Star's permanent facility during December 2012. Overall, results for the six months ended June 30, 2013 were impacted by several major snow storms which reduced leisure travel. Net revenues were \$135.8 million and \$269.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. We remain optimistic about the continued development of the Kansas Star property, which includes the arena conversion, which was completed in the second quarter of 2013, and the construction of an equine center. The entire construction project must be completed no later than January 14, 2015.

Atlantic City

The Atlantic City market continues to be challenging due to increased local and regional competition. During 2012, a property in the Marina District was remodeled and rebranded and a new casino resort opened on the boardwalk in Atlantic City. However, these projects did not generate incremental growth in the market. Rather, they simply shifted existing business from other properties, including Borgata, which is reflected in the results during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, as the opening date of the new property occurred during the three months ended June 30, 2012. Regardless, Borgata continues to be the leader in table games drop and slot handle, reflecting the quality of its amenities, as well as the hospitality and service provided to its customers.

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Borgata's net revenues decreased by \$2.5 million, or 1.4%, during the three months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. Gaming revenues decreased \$3.1 million, as table game drop decreased 4.9% and table game hold decreased 0.6%, compared to the same period in the prior year. Slot drop decreased 1.1%, and slot win decreased 2.6% compared

Table of Contents

to the same period in the prior year. Despite these decreases, Borgata retains the position as the premiere destination in the Atlantic City market. Borgata continues to be the leader in table games and slot market share and achieved 25.2% of the table game drop market share and 21.2% of the slot handle market share for the three months ended June 30, 2013.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Borgata's net revenues decreased by \$13.0 million, or 3.7%, during the six months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. Gaming revenues decreased \$12.9 million, as table game drop decreased 6.6% and table game hold decreased 7.0%, compared to the same period in the prior year. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, slot drop decreased 1.4%, and slot win decreased 3.0% compared to the same period in the prior year. Borgata retains its position as the premiere destination in the Atlantic City market and continues to be the leader in table games and slot market share and achieved 25.7% of the table game drop market share and 22.0% of the slot handle market share for the six months ended June 30, 2013.

Other Costs and Expenses

The following costs and expenses, as presented in our condensed consolidated statements of operations, are further discussed below:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|------------|------------------|------------|
| | June 30, | June 30, | June 30, | June 30, |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | \$ 127,000 | \$ 109,671 | \$ 251,028 | \$ 218,318 |
| Maintenance and utilities | 41,042 | 39,387 | 80,251 | 77,995 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 70,318 | 50,661 | 140,356 | 100,635 |
| Corporate expense | 15,148 | 13,009 | 30,504 | 25,880 |
| Preopening expense | 789 | 2,210 | 3,154 | 3,870 |
| Impairments of assets | 5,032 | — | 5,032 | — |
| Asset transactions costs | 614 | 6,242 | 3,627 | 6,272 |
| Other operating items, net | 229 | (8,438) | 1,795 | (8,221) |

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Selling, general and administrative

Selling, general and administrative expenses, as a percentage of gross revenues, were 14.9% and 15.1%, during the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. These costs primarily include marketing, technology, compliance and risk, surveillance and security. We continue to focus on disciplined and targeted marketing spend, and our ongoing cost containment efforts. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of gross revenues remained relatively unchanged as the incremental increase in gross revenues and selling, general and administrative expenses from the Peninsula Acquisition offset each other.

Maintenance and Utilities

Maintenance and utilities expenses, as a percentage of gross revenues, were 4.8% and 5.4% during the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Although maintenance and utilities expense increased slightly compared to the same period in the prior year, this effect was absorbed by an increase in gross revenues.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expenses, as a percentage of gross revenues, was 8.3% and 7.0% during the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase in depreciation compared to the same period in the prior year is due to the Peninsula Acquisition, and the completion of various projects, including the Borgata room remodel completed during the three months ended June 30, 2012, and various other capital expenditures at IP.

Corporate Expense

Corporate expense represents unallocated payroll, professional fees, rent and various other administrative expenses that are not directly related to our casino and/or hotel operations, in addition to the corporate portion of share-based compensation expense. Corporate expense represented 1.8% of gross revenues during each of the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Preopening Expense

We expense certain costs of start-up activities as incurred. Preopening expenses relate to our efforts to develop gaming activities in other jurisdictions and to other business development activities.

60

Table of Contents

Impairments of Assets

Impairments of assets included the loss on the impairment of Borgata's CRDA deposits for the three months ended June 30, 2013 in the amount of \$5.0 million.

Other Operating Charges, Net

Other operating charges, net, generally include direct and non-reimbursable costs associated with natural disasters and severe weather, including hurricane and flood expenses. During the three months ended June 30, 2013, these costs were \$0.2 million. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, these credits were \$6.1 million, including a \$6.6 million gain primarily from the gain on insurance proceeds, net of costs associated with the flood damage at our property in Tunica, Mississippi and a gain on subrogation claim related to the fire that occurred during construction of The Water Club at Borgata in September 2007.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Selling, general and administrative

Selling, general and administrative expenses, as a percentage of gross revenues, were 14.8% and 14.9%, during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. These costs primarily include marketing, technology, compliance and risk, surveillance and security. We continue to focus on disciplined and targeted marketing spend, and our ongoing cost containment efforts. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of gross revenues remained relatively unchanged as the incremental increase in gross revenues and selling, general and administrative expenses from the Peninsula Acquisition offset each other.

Maintenance and Utilities

Maintenance and utilities expenses, as a percentage of gross revenues, were 4.7% and 5.3% during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Although maintenance and utilities expense increased compared to the same period in the prior year, this effect was absorbed by an increase in gross revenues.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expenses, as a percentage of gross revenues, was 8.3% and 6.9% during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase in depreciation compared to the same period in the prior year is due to the Peninsula Acquisition, and the completion of various projects, including the Borgata room remodel completed during the six months ended June 30, 2012, and various other capital expenditures at IP.

Corporate Expense

Corporate expense represents unallocated payroll, professional fees, rent and various other administrative expenses that are not directly related to our casino and/or hotel operations, in addition to the corporate portion of share-based compensation expense. Corporate expense represented 1.8% of gross revenues during each of the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Preopening Expense

We expense certain costs of start-up activities as incurred. Preopening expenses relate to our efforts to develop gaming activities in other jurisdictions and to other business development activities. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, and 2012, preopening expense primarily related to the Echelon project prior to the sale of Echelon on March 4, 2013.

Impairments of Assets

Impairments of assets included the loss on the impairment of Borgata's CRDA deposits for the six months ended June 30, 2013 in the amount of \$5.0 million.

Asset Transactions Costs

Asset transactions costs are comprised of certain costs incurred related to the activities associated with various acquisition opportunities and other business development activities, as well as, transaction costs incurred to dispose of assets, including, but not limited to, the sales of Echelon.

Other Operating Charges, Net

Other operating charges, net, generally include direct and non-reimbursable costs associated with natural disasters and severe weather, including hurricane and flood expenses. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, these costs were \$1.8 million. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, these credits included a \$6.1 million gain primarily from the gain on insurance proceeds, net of costs associated with the flood damage at our property in Tunica, Mississippi and a gain on subrogation claim related to the fire at Borgata.

Table of Contents

Other Expenses

Interest Expense, net

The following table presents our interest expense, net for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | | |
|--|--------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|---|
| | June 30, 2013 | 2012 | June 30, 2013 | 2012 | |
| (In thousands) | | | | | |
| Interest Expense, net of interest income | | | | | |
| Boyd Gaming Corporation | \$46,452 | \$41,082 | \$96,470 | \$81,031 | |
| Peninsula | 20,260 | — | 42,117 | — | |
| Borgata | 20,844 | 20,649 | 41,618 | 41,131 | |
| Variable Interest Entity | — | 2,649 | 2,377 | 6,042 | |
| Total interest expense, net of interest income | \$87,556 | \$64,380 | \$182,582 | \$128,204 | |
| Average Long-Term Debt Balance | | | | | |
| Boyd Gaming Corporation | \$2,739,388 | \$2,666,989 | \$2,832,411 | \$2,642,414 | |
| Peninsula | \$1,190,022 | \$— | \$1,192,813 | \$— | |
| Borgata | \$804,500 | \$818,425 | \$804,129 | \$819,443 | |
| Weighted Average Interest Rates | | | | | |
| Boyd Gaming Corporation | 6.8 | % 6.2 | % 6.8 | % 6.1 | % |
| Peninsula | 6.8 | % — | % 7.1 | % — | % |
| Borgata | 10.4 | % 10.1 | % 10.4 | % 10.0 | % |

Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Summary

Interest expense, net, was \$87.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to \$64.4 million during the comparable period in the prior year, primarily due to the Peninsula Acquisition on November 20, 2012, and higher weighted average interest rates.

Boyd Gaming Corporation

Interest expense increased \$5.1 million, or 12.3%, during the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the same period in the prior year. During the three months ended June 30, 2013, our average long-term debt balance increased compared to the same period in the prior year due to the issuance of the \$350 million 9.0% Senior Notes on June 8, 2012. As a result, weighted average interest rates increased to 6.8% from 6.2% during the three months ended June 30, 2012, as compared to the same period in the prior year.

Peninsula

On November 20, 2012, we completed the Peninsula Acquisition. The Peninsula Acquisition financing included the establishment of an \$875.0 million credit facility, as well as, the issuance of \$350.0 million 8.375% Senior Notes. During the three months ended June 30, 2013, we recorded interest expense, net, of \$20.3 million, as the full effect of the new financing was realized.

Borgata

Interest expense increased less than 1.0% during the three months ended June 30, 2013, as lower average long-term debt balances were offset by slightly higher weighted average interest rates compared to corresponding period in the prior year.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Summary

Interest expense, net, was \$182.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to \$128.2 million during the comparable period in the prior year, primarily due to the Peninsula Acquisition on November 20, 2012.

Table of Contents

Boyd Gaming Corporation

Interest expense increased \$15.2 million, or 18.6%, during the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the same period in the prior year. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, our average long-term debt balance increased compared to the same period in the prior year due to the issuance of the \$350.0 million 9.0% Senior Notes on June 8, 2012. As a result, weighted average interest rates increased to 6.8% from 6.1% during the six months ended June 30, 2012, as compared to the same period in the prior year.

Peninsula

On November 20, 2012, we completed the Peninsula Acquisition. The Peninsula Acquisition financing included the establishment of an \$875.0 million credit facility, as well as, the issuance of \$350.0 million 8.375% Senior Notes. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we recorded interest expense, net, of \$42.1 million, as the full effect of the new financing was realized.

Borgata

Interest expense increased \$0.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013, due to higher weighted average interest rates compared to corresponding period in the prior year.

Income Taxes

Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

The effective tax rates on continuing operations during the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 were 38.7% and 99.9%, respectively. The effective tax rates on continuing operations during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 were 27.6% and 18.3%, respectively. We have computed our provision for income taxes by applying the actual effective tax rate, under the discrete method, to year-to-date income from continuing operations. The discrete method was used to calculate the income tax expense or benefit as the annual effective tax rate was not considered a reliable estimate of year-to-date income tax expense or benefit. Our current rate is impacted by adjustments that are largely independent of our operating results before taxes. The tax benefit for the three months ended June 30, 2013 was favorably impacted by an intra-period tax allocation between continuing and discontinued operations, as prescribed by GAAP. The tax benefit for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was favorably impacted, as a result of an effective settlement in connection with an IRS audit, by the reversal of interest accrued on unrecognized tax benefits. The tax benefit for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 was adversely impacted by a valuation allowance applied to our federal and state income tax net operating losses and certain other deferred tax assets. Additionally, the tax benefit in both periods was adversely impacted by an accrual of non-cash tax expense in connection with the tax amortization of indefinite lived intangible assets that was not available to offset existing deferred tax assets. The deferred tax liabilities created by the tax amortization of these intangibles cannot be used to offset corresponding increases in the net operating loss deferred tax assets in determining our valuation allowance. The prior year effective tax rate was impacted by permanent adjustments related to our consolidation of Borgata and LVE. We consolidate Borgata for financial statement purposes; however, under federal income tax statutes, we are subject to income tax on our 50% interest in Borgata. Additionally, the prior year rate was adversely impacted by certain recurring permanent adjustments that are unaffected by our income or loss from continuing operations.

Adjusted Earnings (Loss) and Adjusted EPS

Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

We believe that Adjusted Earnings (Loss) and Adjusted Earnings Per Share ("EPS") are important supplemental measures of operating performance to investors, and management believes that Adjusted Earnings (Loss) and Adjusted EPS are widely used measures of performance in the gaming industry. We use Adjusted Earnings (Loss) and Adjusted EPS in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q because we believe they are useful to investors in allowing greater transparency related to significant measures used by management in its financial and operational decision-making. Management believes it is appropriate to adjust net income (loss) attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation for certain adjustments, which are eliminated from net income (loss) in order to enable investors to isolate the core operating results of the Company.

Adjusted Earnings (Loss) is net income (loss) before preopening expenses, adjustments to property tax accruals, net, change in value of derivative instruments, write-downs and other items, net, gain on early retirements of debt, other non-recurring items and our share of Borgata's other items and other operating charges, net.

Table of Contents

The following tables present our Adjusted Earnings (Loss) and Adjusted Earnings per Share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

| (In thousands, except per share data) (Unaudited) | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|-----------|------------------|----------|
| | June 30, 2013 | 2012 | June 30, 2013 | 2012 |
| Net income attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation | \$11,627 | \$977 | \$4,343 | \$6,829 |
| Less: (income) loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | (11,753 |) 688 | (10,790 |) 1,466 |
| Adjusted net income (loss) attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation | (126 |) 1,665 | (6,447 |) 8,295 |
| Pretax adjustments related to Boyd Gaming: | | | | |
| Preopening expenses, excluding impact of LVE | 735 | 4,826 | 5,033 | 9,078 |
| Debt modification fees | 1,976 | — | 1,976 | — |
| Accelerated debt fee amortization | 396 | — | 396 | — |
| Asset transactions costs | 544 | 6,256 | 3,223 | 6,330 |
| Adjustments to property tax accruals, net | — | — | — | (597 |
| Other operating charges and credits, net | 229 | (6,294 |) 1,795 | (6,091 |
| Other non-operating income, net | — | — | (817 |) — |
| Pretax adjustments related to Borgata: | | | | |
| Preopening expenses | 54 | 108 | 54 | 240 |
| Valuation adjustments related to consolidation, net | (243 |) 125 | (502 |) (19 |
| Impairments of assets | 5,032 | — | 5,032 | — |
| Asset transactions costs | 70 | (14 |) 404 | (58 |
| Other non-operating expense (income) | — | (2,144 |) — | (2,130 |
| Total adjustments | 8,793 | 2,863 | 16,594 | 6,753 |
| Income tax effect for above adjustments | (6,337 |) (1,306 |) (6,368 |) (2,716 |
| Impact on noncontrolling interest, net | (2,458 |) 945 | (2,496 |) 901 |
| Adjusted earnings (loss) | \$(128 |) \$4,167 | \$1,283 | \$13,233 |
| Basis Net income (loss) per common share | \$0.13 | \$0.01 | \$0.05 | \$0.08 |
| Less: (income) loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | (0.13 |) 0.01 | (0.12 |) 0.01 |
| Adjusted net income (loss) per share attributable to Boyd Gaming Corporation | — | 0.02 | (0.07 |) 0.09 |
| Pretax adjustments related to Boyd Gaming: | | | | |
| Preopening expenses, excluding impact of LVE | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.10 |
| Debt modification fees | 0.02 | — | 0.02 | — |
| Accelerated debt fee amortization | — | — | — | — |
| Asset transactions costs | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.07 |
| Adjustments to property tax accruals, net | — | — | — | (0.01 |
| Other operating charges and credits, net | — | (0.07 |) 0.02 | (0.07 |
| Other non-operating income | — | — | (0.01 |) — |
| Pretax adjustments related to Borgata: | | | | |
| Preopening expenses | — | — | — | — |
| Valuation adjustments related to consolidation, net | — | — | (0.01 |) — |
| Impairments of assets | 0.06 | — | 0.06 | — |
| Asset transactions costs | — | — | — | — |
| Other non-operating income | — | (0.02 |) — | (0.02 |

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total adjustments | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 0.07 |
| Income tax effect for above adjustments | (0.07 |) (0.01 |) (0.07 |) (0.03 |
| Impact on noncontrolling interest | (0.03 |) 0.01 | (0.03 |) 0.02 |
| Adjusted earnings (loss) per share | \$— | \$0.05 | \$0.01 | \$0.15 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 89,230 | 87,829 | 89,447 | 87,978 |

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the following items were included in the calculation of Adjusted Earnings and Adjusted EPS (as stated in the above table):

64

Table of Contents

Adjustments Related to Boyd Gaming Corporation

Preopening Expense, Excluding Impact of Consolidation of LVE

Preopening expenses are comprised of costs primarily related to opening new business operations and expenditures for the exploration of new business development initiatives.

Asset Transactions Costs

Asset transactions costs are comprised of certain costs incurred related to the activities associated with various acquisition opportunities and other business development activities, as well as transaction costs incurred to dispose of assets, including, but not limited to, the sales of Echelon.

Adjustments to Property Tax Accruals, net

Property tax accruals have been adjusted based on assessments from the relevant taxing authorities and changes in our estimate of past liabilities related to such assessments.

Other Operating Charges, net

Other operating charges, net, generally include direct and non-reimbursable costs associated with natural disasters and severe weather, including hurricane and flood expenses.

Other Non-operating Income, net

Other non-operating income, net, primarily consists of the change in fair value of the contingent consideration obligation associated with the Kansas Star merger earnout, and income (loss) associated with equity affiliates held by Evangeline Downs Racetrack and Casino and Kansas Star Casino.

Adjustments Related to Borgata

Preopening Expense

Preopening expenses are comprised of costs primarily related to opening new business operations and expenditures for the exploration of new business development initiatives.

Valuation Adjustments Related to Consolidation, net

These adjustments represent the aggregate impact of the measurement activity associated with the changes from historical value to fair value of Borgata, upon consolidation, primarily representing depreciation and amortization expense resulting from the recordation of certain tangible and intangible assets.

Impairments of Assets

Impairments of assets included the loss on the impairments of Borgata's CRDA deposits.

Asset Transactions Costs

Asset transactions costs are comprised of certain costs incurred related to the activities associated with various acquisition opportunities and other business development activities, as well as transaction costs incurred to dispose of assets.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Financial Position

Current Maturities of Our Indebtedness

We classified certain non-extending balances due under our Credit Facility as a current maturity, as such amounts come due within the next twelve months.

While we anticipate the remaining availability under our Credit Facility will provide the short term liquidity required to fund our existing debt obligations, management will review other plans to aggressively pursue the repayment of all debt as currently due.

Peninsula Acquisition

On November 20, 2012, we completed the Peninsula Acquisition pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger, under which an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of the Company acquired the assets and assumed the liabilities of Peninsula Gaming. The net purchase price, after adjustment for working capital and other items, was approximately \$1.48 billion. Accordingly, the acquired assets and liabilities of Peninsula Gaming are included in our condensed consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, and the results of its operations and cash flows are reported on our condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 and cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. The Peninsula Acquisition added five properties to our portfolio: the Kansas Star Casino, Hotel and Event Center in Mulvane,

Table of Contents

Kansas; Diamond Jo Casino in Dubuque, Iowa; Diamond Jo Casino in Northwood, Iowa; Evangeline Downs Racetrack and Casino in Opelousas, Louisiana; and Amelia Belle Casino in Amelia, Louisiana.

Consolidation of Variable Interest Entity

We were previously required to consolidate the financial position and results of operations of LVE as a result of our adoption of the authoritative accounting guidance regarding the consolidation of variable interest entities given that we were the primary beneficiary of LVE's potential energy output. In accordance with the authoritative accounting guidance, the consolidation of LVE terminated as the result of our disposition of LVE on March 4, 2013. As of December 31, 2012, we reported LVE's total assets and total liabilities of \$188.8 million and \$233.8 million, respectively, on our consolidated balance sheet.

Consolidation of Borgata

As of June 30, 2013, we reported Borgata's total assets and liabilities of \$1.34 billion and \$919.7 million, respectively, in our condensed consolidated balance sheet. As of December 31, 2012, we reported Borgata's total assets and liabilities of \$1.38 billion and \$934.2 million, respectively, in our consolidated balance sheet.

Working Capital

Historically, we have operated with minimal or negative levels of working capital in order to minimize borrowings and related interest costs under our Credit Facility. Our cash balances and working capital deficits at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, were as follows:

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|----------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| (In thousands) | | |
| Cash balance: | | |
| Boyd Gaming | \$ 118,823 | \$ 125,713 |
| Peninsula | 29,961 | 32,239 |
| Borgata | 33,828 | 34,125 |
| Working capital (deficit): | | |
| Boyd Gaming | (135,014 |) (126,415 |
| Peninsula | (23,751 |) (16,817 |
| Borgata | (29,195 |) (16,855 |

We, Peninsula Gaming and Borgata separately manage our working capital positions, including our levels of cash and indebtedness. Each of our respective bank credit facilities generally provide all necessary funds for our day-to-day operations, interest and tax payments, as well as capital expenditures. On a daily basis, we evaluate our cash positions and adjust the balances under our respective bank credit facilities as necessary, by either borrowing against them or paying them down with excess cash. We also plan the timing and the amounts of our capital expenditures. We each believe that our borrowing capacity under our respective bank credit facilities, subject to restrictive covenants, and cash flows from operating activities will be sufficient to meet each of our projected operating and maintenance capital expenditures for at least the next twelve months. The source of funds for the repayment of our respective debt or development projects is derived primarily from cash flows from operations and availability under our respective bank credit facilities, to the extent availability exists after we meet our respective working capital needs, and subject to restrictive covenants.

We, Peninsula Gaming or Borgata could also seek to secure additional working capital, repay our respective current debt maturities, or fund our development projects, in whole or in part, through incremental bank financing and additional debt or equity offerings. If availability does not exist under each of our bank credit facilities, or we are not otherwise able to draw funds on our respective bank credit facilities, additional financing may not be available to us, Peninsula Gaming or Borgata, and if available, may not be on terms favorable to us, Peninsula Gaming or Borgata, as

applicable.

Indebtedness

As of June 30, 2013, our indebtedness primarily consists of the following: (i) \$1.4 billion outstanding under our \$1.8 billion Boyd Credit Facility (including \$761.3 million of term loans), and \$1.2 billion aggregate principal amount of our senior and senior subordinated notes, which are the obligations of Boyd, (ii) \$832.8 million outstanding under Peninsula Gaming's \$875.0 million senior secured credit facility (the "Peninsula Credit Facility") (including an \$820.9 million term loan), and \$350.0 million aggregate principal amount of our senior notes, which are obligations of the Peninsula Gaming subsidiaries, and (iii) \$4.4 million under a

Table of Contents

\$60.0 million payment priority secured revolving credit facility, as amended (the "Borgata bank credit facility"), and \$791.5 million aggregate principal amount of senior secured notes, all of which are obligations of Borgata.

| | June 30, 2013 | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| | Outstanding Principal | Unamortized Discount | Unamortized Origination Fees | Long-Term Debt, Net |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Boyd Debt: | | | | |
| Boyd Gaming Debt: | | | | |
| Bank credit facility | \$1,408,000 | \$(4,029) | \$(2,586) | \$1,401,385 |
| 9.125% senior notes due 2018 | 500,000 | — | (6,701) | 493,299 |
| 9.00% senior notes due 2020 | 350,000 | — | — | 350,000 |
| 7.125% senior subordinated notes due 2016 | 240,750 | — | — | 240,750 |
| Other | 147,800 | (25,513) | — | 122,287 |
| | 2,646,550 | (29,542) | (9,287) | 2,607,721 |
| Peninsula Gaming Debt: | | | | |
| Bank credit facility | 832,775 | — | — | 832,775 |
| 8.375% senior notes due 2018 | 350,000 | — | — | 350,000 |
| Other | 17 | — | — | 17 |
| | 1,182,792 | — | — | 1,182,792 |
| Total Boyd Debt | 3,829,342 | (29,542) | (9,287) | 3,790,513 |
| Borgata Debt: | | | | |
| Bank credit facility | 4,400 | — | — | 4,400 |
| 9.50% senior secured notes due 2015 | 398,000 | (2,123) | (4,984) | 390,893 |
| 9.875% senior secured notes due 2018 | 393,500 | (1,961) | (7,105) | 384,434 |
| | 795,900 | (4,084) | (12,089) | 779,727 |
| Less current maturities | 50,759 | — | — | 50,759 |
| Long-term debt, net | \$4,574,483 | \$(33,626) | \$(21,376) | \$4,519,481 |

Table of Contents

| | December 31, 2012 | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| | Outstanding Principal | Unamortized Discount | Unamortized Origination Fees | Long-Term Debt, Net |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Boyd Debt: | | | | |
| Boyd Gaming Debt: | | | | |
| Bank credit facility | \$1,474,850 | \$(5,001) | \$(3,214) | \$1,466,635 |
| 9.125% senior notes due 2018 | 500,000 | — | (7,320) | 492,680 |
| 9.00% senior notes due 2020 | 350,000 | — | — | 350,000 |
| 6.75% senior subordinated notes due 2014 | 215,668 | — | — | 215,668 |
| 7.125% senior subordinated notes due 2016 | 240,750 | — | — | 240,750 |
| Other | 158,141 | (32,666) | — | 125,475 |
| | 2,939,409 | (37,667) | (10,534) | 2,891,208 |
| Peninsula Gaming Debt: | | | | |
| Bank credit facility | 854,400 | — | — | 854,400 |
| 8.375% senior notes due 2018 | 350,000 | — | — | 350,000 |
| Other | 494 | (3) | — | 491 |
| | 1,204,894 | (3) | — | 1,204,891 |
| Total Boyd Debt | 4,144,303 | (37,670) | (10,534) | 4,096,099 |
| Borgata Debt: | | | | |
| Bank credit facility | 20,000 | — | — | 20,000 |
| 9.50% senior secured notes due 2015 | 398,000 | (2,525) | (5,928) | 389,547 |
| 9.875% senior secured notes due 2018 | 393,500 | (2,103) | (7,620) | 383,777 |
| | 811,500 | (4,628) | (13,548) | 793,324 |
| Less current maturities | 61,570 | — | — | 61,570 |
| Long-term debt, net | \$4,894,233 | \$(42,298) | \$(24,082) | \$4,827,853 |

Boyd Gaming Corporation Debt

Bank Credit Facility

The blended interest rate for outstanding borrowings under our Credit Facility was 4.2% at both June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. At June 30, 2013, approximately \$1.41 billion was outstanding under our Credit Facility, with \$8.5 million allocated to support various letters of credit, leaving remaining contractual availability of approximately \$304.8 million.

Amounts Outstanding

The net amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility are comprised of the following:

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| (In thousands) | | |
| Extended Revolving Facility | \$610,000 | \$660,000 |
| Initial Term Loan | 437,500 | 450,000 |
| Incremental Term Loan | 323,750 | 332,500 |
| Swing Loan | 30,135 | 24,135 |
| Total outstanding borrowings under Credit Facility, net | \$1,401,385 | \$1,466,635 |

Table of Contents

Guarantees

The Company's obligations under the Credit Facility, subject to certain exceptions, are guaranteed by certain of the Company's subsidiaries and are secured by the capital stock of certain subsidiaries. In addition, subject to certain exceptions, the Company and each of the guarantors granted the administrative agent first priority liens and security interests on substantially all of their real and personal property (other than gaming licenses and subject to certain other exceptions) as additional security for the performance of the secured obligations under the Credit Facility.

Compliance with Financial Covenants

The Credit Facility contains certain financial and other covenants, including, without limitation, various covenants (i) requiring the maintenance of a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio of 2.00 to 1.00, (ii) establishing a maximum permitted consolidated total leverage ratio (discussed below), (iii) establishing a maximum permitted secured leverage ratio (discussed below), (iv) imposing limitations on the incurrence of indebtedness, (v) imposing limitations on transfers, sales and other dispositions and (vi) imposing restrictions on investments, dividends and certain other payments. Subject to certain exceptions, the Company may be required to repay the amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility in connection with certain asset sales and issuances of certain additional secured indebtedness. We believe that, at June 30, 2013, we were in compliance with the Credit Facility covenants.

Senior Notes

9.125% Senior Notes due December 2018

The notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, on a joint and several basis, by certain of our current and future domestic restricted subsidiaries, all of which are 100% owned by us. The notes contain certain restrictive covenants that, subject to exceptions and qualifications, among other things, limit our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries (as defined in the indenture governing the notes) to incur additional indebtedness or liens, pay dividends or make distributions or repurchase our capital stock, make certain investments, and sell or merge with other companies. We believe that we were in compliance with these covenants at June 30, 2013.

Senior Notes

9.00% Senior Notes due July 2020

The notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, on a joint and several basis, by certain of our current and future domestic restricted subsidiaries, all of which are 100% owned by us. The notes contain certain restrictive covenants that, subject to exceptions and qualifications, among other things, limit our ability and the ability of our restrictive subsidiaries (as defined in the indenture governing the notes) to incur additional indebtedness or liens, pay dividends or make distributions or repurchase our capital stock, make certain investments, and sell or merge with other companies. We believe that we were in compliance with these covenants at June 30, 2013.

Pursuant to the registration rights agreement entered into with the initial purchasers on June 8, 2012, the date these notes were issued, we agreed that, subject to certain suspension and other rights provided in the Registration Rights Agreement, we would file a registration statement with the SEC with respect to a registered exchange offer to exchange the 2020 notes for new notes with terms substantially identical in all material respects to the 2020 notes, and consummate the exchange offer within 365 days of the issuance of the notes. We filed the registration statement with the SEC on March 22, 2013. The registration statement was declared effective on April 23, 2013 and the exchange offer was completed on May 31, 2013.

Senior Subordinated Notes

6.75% Senior Subordinated Notes due April 2014

On April 6, 2013, we redeemed \$150 million of the notes at a redemption price of 100.00% plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date. On May 30, 2013, we redeemed the remaining \$65.7 million notes at a redemption price of 100.00% plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date.

Senior Subordinated Notes

7.125% Senior Subordinated Notes due February 2016

The notes contain certain restrictive covenants regarding, among other things, incurrence of debt, sales of assets, mergers and consolidations, and limitations on restricted payments (as defined in the indenture governing the notes). We believe that we were in compliance with these covenants at June 30, 2013.

Current Maturities of Our Indebtedness

We classified certain non-extending balances under our Credit Facility as a current maturity, as such amounts come due within the next twelve months.

Table of Contents

Debt Service Requirements

Debt service requirements under our current outstanding senior subordinated notes and senior notes consist of semi-annual interest payments (based upon fixed annual interest rates ranging from 7.125% to 9.125%) and principal repayments of our 7.125% senior subordinated notes due on February 1, 2016, our 9.125% senior notes due on December 1, 2018, and our 9.00% senior notes due on July 1, 2020.

Peninsula Gaming Debt

Bank Credit Facility

The blended interest rate for outstanding borrowings under the Peninsula Gaming \$875.0 million senior secured credit facility (the "Peninsula Credit Facility") were 4.3% and 5.7% at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. At June 30, 2013, approximately \$832.8 million was outstanding under the Peninsula Credit Facility, with \$5.8 million allocated to support various letters of credit, leaving remaining contractual availability of \$32.3 million.

First Amendment to the Peninsula Credit Agreement

On May 1, 2013, Peninsula Gaming entered into the First Amendment to the Peninsula Credit Agreement (the "Peninsula Amendment"), among Peninsula Gaming, certain financial institutions and Bank of America, N.A. ("Bank of America"), as administrative agent (in such capacity, "Administrative Agent") for the lenders. The Peninsula Amendment amends certain terms of the Peninsula Credit Agreement dated as of November 14, 2012 (as amended, restated, supplemented or otherwise modified from time to time, the "Peninsula Credit Agreement"), among Peninsula Gaming, the lenders from time to time party thereto, the Administrative Agent, and Bank of America, as Collateral Agent, Swing Line Lender and L/C Issuer.

Among other things, the Peninsula Amendment: (i) decreases the applicable margin with respect to the Term Loan to 3.25% in the case of Eurodollar Rate Loans and 2.25% in the case of Base Rate Loans, (ii) reduces the minimum Eurodollar Rate with respect to the Term Loan to 1.00% per annum, (iii) requires the Company to pay a premium of 1.00% of the principal amount prepaid for full or partial repayments of Term Loans through the issuance of indebtedness having a lower interest rate than described in clause (i) above during the period of six calendar months after the effective date of the Peninsula Amendment and requires payment of an amendment fee of 1.00% during such period payable to lenders who consent to any such reduced interest rate, (iv) extends the deadline for delivery of year-end reports to 90 days after the end of each fiscal year of the Company, (v) clarifies the definition of Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA with respect to management fees, and (vi) allows quarterly amortization installments to be paid prior to the last day of the applicable quarter.

As a result of the Peninsula Amendment, Peninsula Gaming incurred \$10.3 million of financing fees of which \$8.3 million were capitalized as of June 30, 2013 and \$2.0 million were expensed as incurred for the three months ended June 30, 2013.

Guarantees and Collateral

Peninsula Gaming's obligations under the Peninsula Credit Facility, subject to certain exceptions, are guaranteed by Peninsula Gaming's subsidiaries and are secured by the capital stock and equity interests of Peninsula Gaming's subsidiaries. In addition, subject to certain exceptions, Peninsula Gaming and each of the guarantors granted the collateral agent first priority liens and security interests on substantially all of the real and personal property (other than gaming licenses and subject to certain other exceptions) of Peninsula Gaming and its subsidiaries as additional security for the performance of the obligations under the Peninsula Credit Facility. The obligations under the Revolver rank senior in right of payment to the obligations under the Term Loan.

Compliance with Financial and Other Covenants

The Peninsula Credit Facility contains certain financial and other covenants, including, without limitation, various covenants requiring the maintenance of (i) beginning with the fiscal quarter ended March 31 2013, a maximum consolidated leverage ratio over each 12-month period ending on the last fiscal day of each quarter; (ii) beginning with the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2013, a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio of 2.0 to 1.0 as of the end of each calendar quarter; and (iii) a maximum amount of capital expenditures for each fiscal year. Substantially all of Peninsula Gaming's net assets were restricted from distribution under the Peninsula Gaming Notes and Credit Facility subject to specific amounts allowed for certain investments and other restricted payments as well as payments under a management services agreement between Peninsula Gaming and Boyd Acquisition Sub. We believe we were in compliance with our financial covenants at June 30, 2013.

Senior Notes

8.375% Senior Notes due February 2018

The notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, on a joint and several basis, by Peninsula Gaming, LLC's subsidiaries (other than Peninsula Gaming Corp.). The notes contain certain restrictive covenants that, subject to exceptions and qualifications, among other things, limit our ability and the ability of our restrictive subsidiaries (as defined in the indenture governing the notes) to incur

Table of Contents

additional indebtedness or liens, pay dividends or make distributions or repurchase our capital stock, make certain investments, and sell or merge with other companies. We believe that we were in compliance with these covenants at June 30, 2013.

Borgata Debt

Borgata Bank Credit Facility

The blended interest rate for outstanding borrowings under the Borgata bank credit facility was 4.9% at both June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. At June 30, 2013, approximately \$4.4 million was outstanding under the credit facility leaving contractual availability of \$55.6 million.

Guarantees

The Borgata bank credit facility is guaranteed on a senior secured basis by Marina District Development Company, LLC ("MDDC") and any future subsidiaries of MDDC and is secured by a first priority lien on substantially all of Borgata's assets, subject to certain exceptions. The obligations under the Borgata bank credit facility have priority in payment to Borgata's senior secured notes.

Neither Boyd Gaming Corporation, nor its subsidiaries are guarantors of the Borgata bank credit facility, as amended.

Compliance with Financial and Other Covenants

The Borgata bank credit facility, as amended, contains certain financial and other covenants, including, without limitation, (i) establishing a minimum consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the Borgata bank credit facility) of \$125 million over each trailing twelve-month period ending on the last day of each calendar quarter; (ii) imposing limitations on Marina District Finance Company Inc.'s ("MDFC") ability to incur additional debt; and (iii) imposing restrictions on Borgata's ability to pay dividends and make other distributions, make certain restricted payments, create liens, enter into transactions with affiliates, merge or consolidate, and engage in unrelated business activities. We believe that MDFC was in compliance with the amended Borgata bank credit facility covenants at June 30, 2013.

Borgata Senior Secured Notes

9.5% Senior Secured Notes Due 2015

The notes are guaranteed on a senior secured basis by MDDC and any future restricted subsidiaries of MDDC. The notes contain covenants that, among other things, limit MDFC's ability and the ability of MDDC to (i) incur additional indebtedness or liens; (ii) pay dividends or make distributions; (iii) make certain investments; (iv) sell or merge with other companies; and (v) enter into certain types of transactions. MDFC believes that it was in compliance with these covenants at June 30, 2013.

Borgata Senior Secured Notes

9.875% Senior Secured Notes Due 2018

The notes are guaranteed on a senior secured basis by MDDC and any future restricted subsidiaries of MDDC. The notes contain covenants that, among other things, limit MDFC's ability and the ability of MDDC to (i) incur additional indebtedness or liens; (ii) pay dividends or make distributions; (iii) make certain investments; (iv) sell or merge with other companies; and (v) enter into certain types of transactions. MDFC believes that it was in compliance with these covenants at June 30, 2013.

Borgata Amended and Restated Credit Agreement

On July 24, 2013, MDFC entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "New Borgata Credit Facility") with MDDC, certain financial institutions, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, letter of credit issuer and swing line lender. The New Borgata Credit Facility replaces the Credit Agreement, dated as of August 6, 2010, among MDFC, MDDC, various lenders and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, letter of credit issuer and swing line lender, as amended (the "Prior Borgata Credit Agreement"),

which provided for the Borgata bank credit facility.

The New Borgata Credit Facility provides for a \$60 million senior secured revolving credit facility (the “Borgata Revolving Credit Facility”) which matures in February 2018 (or earlier upon the occurrence or non-occurrence of certain events). Proceeds from the New Borgata Credit Facility will be used to repay obligations outstanding under the Prior Borgata Credit Agreement and is expected to be used, together with cash on hand, to redeem up to 10% of MDFC's 9 ½% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 (the “Borgata 2015 Notes”) outstanding pursuant to that certain Indenture dated as of August 6, 2010 (the “Borgata Indenture”) among MDFC, MDDC and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee.

The New Borgata Credit Facility includes an accordion feature which permits: (a) an increase in the Borgata Revolving Credit Facility in an amount not to exceed \$15 million and (b) the issuance of senior secured term loans to refinance the 2015 Borgata Notes and, concurrently with or after the 2015 Borgata Notes have been refinanced, to refinance MDFC's 9-7/8% Senior Secured Notes due 2018 (the “2018 Borgata Notes”) outstanding pursuant to the Borgata Indenture, in each case, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions.

Table of Contents

The New Borgata Credit Facility is guaranteed on a senior secured basis by MDDC and any future subsidiaries of MDDC and is secured by a first priority lien on substantially all of the assets of MDFC, MDDC and any future subsidiaries of MDDC, subject to certain exceptions. The obligations under the Borgata Revolving Credit Facility will have priority in payment to the payment of the 2015 Borgata Notes and the 2018 Borgata Notes. Neither we nor our subsidiaries (other than MDDC) is a guarantor of the New Borgata Credit Facility.

Outstanding borrowings under the Borgata Revolving Credit Facility accrue interest, at the option of MDFC, at a rate based upon either: (i) the highest of (a) the agent bank's quoted prime rate, (b) the one-month Eurodollar rate plus 1.00%, and (c) the daily federal funds rate plus 0.50%, or (ii) the Eurodollar rate, plus with respect to each of clause (i) and (ii), an applicable margin as specified in the New Borgata Credit Facility. In addition, a commitment fee is incurred on the unused portion of the Borgata Revolving Credit Facility ranging from 0.50% per annum to 0.75% per annum.

The New Borgata Credit Facility contains customary affirmative and negative covenants, including but not limited to, (i) establishing a minimum Consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the New Borgata Credit Facility) of \$110 million over each trailing twelve-month period ending on the last day of each calendar quarter; (ii) imposing limitations on MDFC's and MDDC's ability to incur additional debt, create liens, enter into transactions with affiliates, merge or consolidate, and engage in unrelated business activities; and (iii) imposing restrictions on MDDC's ability to pay dividends. As a result of the New Borgata Credit Facility, MDFC incurred \$2.1 million of financing fees.

Table of Contents

Cash Flows Summary

Six Months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

| | Six Months Ended | |
|---|------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | 2012 |
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| (In thousands) | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 165,770 | \$ 109,798 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Capital expenditures | (58,456 |) (70,063 |
| Proceeds from sale of Echelon, net | 343,750 | — |
| Cash paid for exercise of LVE option | (187,000 |) — |
| Other investing activities | 214 | 2,334 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 98,508 | (67,729 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Net payments under Boyd bank credit facility | (66,850 |) (183,950 |
| Net payments under Peninsula bank credit facility | (21,625 |) — |
| Net payments under Borgata bank credit facility | (15,600 |) (16,000 |
| Proceeds from issuance of non-recourse debt | — | 350,000 |
| Debt financing costs, net | (11,333 |) (10,246 |
| Proceeds from issuance of non-recourse debt by variable interest entity | — | 1,935 |
| Proceeds from stock options exercised | 13,145 | — |
| Restricted stock units released, net | (350 |) 117 |
| Payments on notes payable | (10,816 |) — |
| Payments on retirement of long-term debt | (215,668 |) — |
| Payments on loans to variable interest entity's members | — | (501 |
| Other financing activities | (4 |) (360 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (329,101 |) 140,995 |
| Cash flows from discontinued operation: | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | (2,144 |) (1,025 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 56,751 | (340 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | — | — |
| Net cash provided by (used in) discontinued operation | 54,607 | (1,365 |
| (Decrease) Increase in cash and cash equivalents | \$(10,216 |) \$181,699 |

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we generated net operating cash flow of \$165.8 million. Operating cash flows increased during the six months ended June 30, 2013, as compared to the same period of the prior year, due to an increase in depreciation expense and accounts payable and accrued liabilities, primarily related to the Peninsula Acquisition that occurred on November 20, 2013.

Borgata has significant uses for its cash flows, including maintenance capital expenditures, interest payments, state income taxes and the repayment of debt. Borgata's cash flows are primarily used for its business needs and are not generally available, except to the extent distributions are paid to us, to service our indebtedness. As discussed above, Borgata's bank credit facility, as amended, and senior secured notes contain certain covenants. Borgata's bank credit facility, as amended, allows for certain limited distributions to be made to its partners. In the event that Borgata fails to comply with its covenants, it may be prevented from making any distributions to us during such period of noncompliance.

Table of Contents

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Our industry is capital intensive and we use cash flows for investments in maintenance capital expenditures, acquisitions and future development or business opportunities.

Capital Expenditures

Cash paid for capital expenditures on major projects for the six months ended June 30, 2013 were \$58.5 million, which included gaming equipment, room remodel projects and various maintenance capital expenditures of our wholly owned properties and \$10.7 million of Borgata room remodel and various maintenance and capital expenditures. Cash paid for capital expenditures on major projects for the six months ended June 30, 2012 were \$70.1 million, which included \$30.3 million related to Borgata's room renovation and refurbishment associated with the suite remodel and \$39.8 million of various maintenance capital expenditures among our wholly owned properties.

Sale of Echelon and Fulfillment of Obligations to LVE

On March 4, 2013, we sold the Echelon site for \$350 million. Net of direct transaction costs of \$6.3 million and cash to LVE in the amount of \$187 million, we realized net proceeds of approximately \$157 million.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

We rely upon our financing cash flows to provide funding for investment opportunities, repayments of obligations and ongoing operations.

Borrowing and Payments under Credit Facility

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, net amounts of \$66.9 million, \$21.6 million and \$15.6 million were paid under Boyd Gaming's, Peninsula Gaming's and Borgata's respective credit facilities. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, net amounts of \$184.0 million and \$16.0 million, respectively, were paid under Boyd Gaming's and Borgata's credit facilities. The source of funds for the repayment of these credit facilities is derived primarily from cash flows from operations. We actively manage our cash position for purposes of managing our outstanding credit facility borrowings.

Proceeds from the Issuance of Senior Notes

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we issued \$350.0 million aggregate principal amount 9.00% senior notes due July 2020. The notes require semiannual interest payments on January 1 and July 1 of each year which commenced on January 1, 2013. In conjunction with the issuance of the 9.00% senior notes, we incurred approximately \$10.0 million of underwriter fees, which have been deferred and are being amortized over the term of the 9.00% senior notes.

Payment of Note Payable

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we paid a note that matured in February 2013 for \$10.8 million. We also redeemed our 6.75% Notes with an outstanding balance of \$215.7 million and recorded \$0.4 million in related unamortized debt fees.

Cash Flows from Discontinued Operations

On May 22, 2013, we completed the previously announced sale of the Dania Jai-Alai Business, our pari-mutuel facility, located in Dania Beach, Broward County, Florida to Dania Entertainment, pursuant to an asset agreement, (the "New Dania Agreement"), entered into on February 22, 2013. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, net cash used in operating activities was \$2.1 million, and net cash provided by investing activities was \$56.8 million. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, net cash used in operating and investing was \$1.0 million, and \$0.3 million, respectively.

Share Repurchase Program

Subject to applicable corporate securities laws, repurchases under our stock repurchase program may be made at such times and in such amounts as we deem appropriate. We are subject to certain limitations regarding the repurchase of common stock, such as restricted payment limitations related to our outstanding notes and our bank credit facility. Purchases under our stock repurchase program can be discontinued at any time that we feel additional purchases are not warranted. We intend to fund the repurchases under the stock repurchase program with existing cash resources and availability under our bank credit facility. In July 2008, our Board of Directors authorized an amendment to our existing share repurchase program to increase the amount of common stock available to be repurchased to \$100 million. We are not obligated to purchase any shares under our stock repurchase program. During the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, we did not repurchase any shares of our common stock. We are currently authorized to repurchase up to an additional \$92.1 million in shares of our common stock under the share repurchase program.

We have in the past, and may in the future, acquire our debt or equity securities, through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions, tender offers, exchange offers, redemptions or otherwise, upon such terms and at such prices as we may determine.

Table of Contents

Other Items Affecting Liquidity

There have been significant disruptions in the global capital markets that have adversely impacted the ability of borrowers to access capital. Despite these disruptions, we anticipate the ability to fund our capital requirements using cash flows from operations and availability under our Credit Facility, to the extent availability exists after we meet our working capital needs for the next twelve months. Any additional financing that is needed may not be available to us or, if available, may not be on terms favorable to us. The outcome of the following specific matters, including our commitments and contingencies, may also affect our liquidity.

Commitments

There have been no material changes to our commitments described under Note 11, Commitments and Contingencies, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the SEC on March 18, 2013.

Contingencies

Copeland

Alvin C. Copeland, the sole shareholder (deceased) of an unsuccessful applicant for a riverboat license at the location of our Treasure Chest Casino (“Treasure Chest”), has made several attempts to have the Treasure Chest license revoked and awarded to his company. In 1999 and 2000, Copeland unsuccessfully opposed the renewal of the Treasure Chest license and has brought two separate legal actions against Treasure Chest. In November 1993, Copeland objected to the relocation of Treasure Chest from the Mississippi River to its current site on Lake Pontchartrain. The predecessor to the Louisiana Gaming Control Board allowed the relocation over Copeland's objection. Copeland then filed an appeal of the agency's decision with the Nineteenth Judicial District Court. Through a number of amendments to the appeal, Copeland unsuccessfully attempted to transform the appeal into a direct action suit and sought the revocation of the Treasure Chest license. Treasure Chest intervened in the matter in order to protect its interests. The appeal/suit, as it related to Treasure Chest, was dismissed by the District Court and that dismissal was upheld on appeal by the First Circuit Court of Appeal. Additionally, in 1999, Copeland filed a direct action against Treasure Chest and certain other parties seeking the revocation of Treasure Chest's license, an award of the license to him, and monetary damages. The suit was dismissed by the trial court, citing that Copeland failed to state a claim on which relief could be granted. The dismissal was appealed by Copeland to the Louisiana First Circuit Court of Appeal. On June 21, 2002, the First Circuit Court of Appeal reversed the trial court's decision and remanded the matter to the trial court. On January 14, 2003, we filed a motion to dismiss the matter and that motion was partially denied. The Court of Appeal refused to reverse the denial of the motion to dismiss. In May 2004, we filed additional motions to dismiss on other grounds. There was no activity regarding this matter during 2005 and 2006, and the case was set to be dismissed by the court for failure to prosecute by the plaintiffs in mid-May 2007; however on May 1, 2007, the plaintiff filed a motion to set a hearing date related to the motions to dismiss. The hearing was scheduled for September 10, 2007, at which time all parties agreed to postpone the hearing indefinitely. The hearing has not yet been rescheduled. Mr. Copeland has since passed away and his son, the executor of his estate, has petitioned the court to be substituted as plaintiff in the case. On June 9, 2009, the plaintiff filed to have the exceptions set for hearing. The parties decided to submit the exceptions to the court on the previously filed briefs. The court issued a ruling denying the exceptions on August 9, 2010. Copeland's counsel indicated a desire to move forward with the litigation and requested that the parties respond to outstanding discovery. Subsequently, on August 11, 2010, Robert J. Guidry, the co-defendant, filed a third party demand against the U.S. Attorney's Office seeking enforcement of Guidry's plea agreement which would limit Guidry's exposure in the case. On September 9, 2010, the U.S. Attorney's Office removed the suit to the U.S. District Court, Middle District of Louisiana. Guidry then filed a motion to dismiss for failing to state a cause of action, asserting the same arguments he tried in state court, which we joined. The U.S. Attorney filed a motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. The U.S. District Court heard the motions on March 16, 2011. On April 1, 2011, the U.S. Attorney's Office moved for summary judgment, maintaining its jurisdictional argument as well as seeking substantive relief. On September 2, 2011, the judge issued an Order stating that the case should be remanded to state

district court. A Remand Order was issued on September 15, 2011, sending the case back to the 19th Judicial District Court, East Baton Rouge Parish, State of Louisiana. Guidry filed a motion for partial summary judgment on November 14, 2011 to limit the damages in the case. Treasure Chest joined in the motion. The hearing on the Motion for Partial Summary Judgment was held on September 10, 2012. On October 3, 2012, Judge Clark granted the motion which effectively struck Copeland's demands for lost profits, the value of the Treasure Chest license and the value of Treasure Chest's success. On October 26, 2012, Copeland filed a supervisory writ application with the First Circuit Court of Appeal asking that the partial summary judgment be reversed. Treasure Chest and Guidry opposed the writ. On February 13, 2013, the writ was denied leaving intact the partial summary judgment. Discovery is proceeding. We are vigorously defending the lawsuit. If this matter ultimately results in the Treasure Chest license being revoked, it could have a significant adverse effect on Treasure Chest's business, financial condition and results of operation

Legal Matters

We are also parties to various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. We believe that, except for the Copeland matter discussed above, all pending claims, if adversely decided, would not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents

Other Opportunities

We regularly investigate and pursue additional expansion opportunities in markets where casino gaming is currently permitted. We also pursue expansion opportunities in jurisdictions where casino gaming is not currently permitted in order to be prepared to develop projects upon approval of casino gaming. Such expansions will be affected and determined by several key factors, which may include the following:

- the outcome of gaming license selection processes;
- the approval of gaming in jurisdictions where we have been active but where casino gaming is not currently permitted;
- identification of additional suitable investment opportunities in current gaming jurisdictions; and
- availability of acceptable financing.

Additional projects may require us to make substantial investments or may cause us to incur substantial costs related to the investigation and pursuit of such opportunities, which investments and costs we may fund through cash flow from operations or availability under our Credit Facility. To the extent such sources of funds are not sufficient, we may also seek to raise such additional funds through public or private equity or debt financings or from other sources. No assurance can be given that additional financing will be available or that, if available, such financing will be obtainable on terms favorable to us. Moreover, we can provide no assurances that any expansion opportunity will result in a completed transaction.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

There have been no material changes to our off balance sheet arrangements as defined in Item 303(a)(4)(ii) and described under Part II. Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the SEC on March 18, 2013.

Critical Accounting Policies

A description of our critical accounting policies can be found in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, as originally filed with the SEC on March 18, 2013.

Important Information Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Such statements contain words such as "may," "will," "might," "expect," "believe," "anticipate," "outlook," "could," "would," "estimate," "continue," "pursue," "target," "project," "intend," "plan," "seek," "estimate," "should" and "continue," or the negative thereof or comparable terminology, and may include statements regarding:

- the factors that contribute to our ongoing success and our ability to be successful in the future;
- our business model, areas of focus and strategy for realizing improved results when normalized business volumes return;
- competition, including expansion of gaming into additional markets, the impact of competition on our operations, our ability to respond to such competition, and our expectations regarding continued competition in the markets in which we compete;
- our estimated effective income tax rates; estimated tax benefits; and merits of our tax positions;
- the general effect, and expectation, of the national and global economy on our business, as well as the economies where each of our properties are located;
- our belief as to the resiliency of certain of the local economies where certain of our properties are located;
- our expenses;

indebtedness, including our, Peninsula's and MDFC's ability to refinance or pay amounts outstanding under our respective bank credit facilities and notes when they become due and our compliance with related covenants, and our expectation that we, Peninsula and MDFC will need to refinance all or a portion of our respective indebtedness at or before maturity;

our expectations with respect to Borgata, including our responsibility and control over day-to-day operations and the expected managerial resources needed to effectuate a potential sale of the MGM Interest;

our statements with respect to our "B Connected" loyalty program, including its ability to drive profitable business to our properties;

our expectation regarding the trends that will affect the gaming industry over the next few years and the impact of these trends on merger and acquisition activity in general;

our belief that consumer confidence will strengthen as the job market recovers and expands;

our expectations with respect to the valuation of Borgata's tangible and intangible assets;

Table of Contents

the type of covenants that will be included in any future debt instruments;

our expectations with respect to continued access to the global capital markets without disruption, and the potential effect of any disruptions on consumer confidence and reduced levels of consumer spending and the impact of these trends on our financial results;

our ability to meet our projected operating and maintenance capital expenditures and the costs associated with our expansion, renovations and development of new projects;

our ability to pay dividends or to pay any specific rate of dividends, and our expectations with respect to the receipt of dividends from Borgata;

our commitment to finding opportunities to strengthen our balance sheet and to operate more efficiently;

- our intention to pursue acquisition opportunities that are a good fit for our business, deliver a solid return for shareholders, and are available at the right price;

our intention to fund purchases, if any, made under our share repurchase program, with existing cash resources and availability under our Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (as amended, the "Credit Facility");

our assumptions and expectations regarding our critical accounting estimates; Adjusted EBITDA, Adjusted Earnings (Loss) and Adjusted Earnings Per Share and their usefulness as measures of operating performance or valuation;

our expectations for capital improvement projects, including with respect to IP and Peninsula;

the impact of new accounting pronouncements on our consolidated financial statements;

that our Credit Facility, the Borgata Revolving Credit Facility, the Peninsula Credit Facility and our respective cash flows from operating activities will be sufficient to meet our respective projected operating and maintenance capital expenditures for the next twelve months;

our ability to fund any expansion projects using cash flows from operations and availability under the Credit Facility;

our market risk exposure and efforts to minimize risk;

expansion, development, investment and renovation plans, including the scope of such plans, expected costs, financing (including sources thereof and our expectation that long-term debt will substantially increase in connection with such projects), timing and the ability to achieve market acceptance;

our belief that, except for the Copeland matter discussed herein, all pending claims, if adversely decided, will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position or results of operations;

that margin improvements will remain a driver of profit growth for us going-forward;

our belief that the risks to our business associated with the United States Coast Guard, ("USCG") inspection should not change by reason of inspection by American Bureau of Shipping Consulting, ("ABSC").

development opportunities in existing or new jurisdictions and our ability to successfully take advantage of such opportunities;

regulations, including anticipated taxes, tax credits or tax refunds expected, and the ability to receive and maintain necessary approvals for our projects;

the impact of changes in state gaming legislation, including, for example, in New York, Delaware, Connecticut and Maryland;

our asset impairment analyses and our intangible asset and goodwill impairment tests;

the resolution of our pending litigation, including the Copeland matter involving Treasure Chest casino;

the likelihood of interruptions to our rights in the land we lease under long-term leases for certain of our hotels and casinos;

the outcome of various tax audits and assessments, including our appeals thereof, timing of resolution of such audits, our estimates as to the amount of taxes that will ultimately be owed and the impact of these audits on our financial position or results of operations;

the impact of the settlement of our Nevada use tax refund claims;

our overall outlook, including all statements under the heading Overall Outlook in Part I, Item 2, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations;

our ability to receive insurance reimbursement and our estimates of self-insurance accruals and future liability;

that operating results for previous periods are not necessarily indicative of future performance;

that estimates and assumptions made in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP may differ from actual results;

our expectations regarding our cost containment efforts;

the benefits of the Peninsula Acquisition, the effect of the Peninsula Acquisition on Boyd Gaming's future financial results and profile, the impact for customers and employees, future capital expenditures, expenses, revenues, earnings, economic performance, financial condition, losses and future prospects;

the impact of the financing we entered into in connection with the Peninsula Acquisition;

the anticipated benefits of geographic diversity resulting from the Peninsula Acquisition;

the future results of Peninsula Gaming's gaming properties, including without limitation, Kansas Star;

Table of Contents

- our belief that recently issued accounting pronouncements discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 will not have a material impact on our financial statements;
- our estimates as to the effect of any changes in our Consolidated EBITDA on our ability to remain in compliance with certain Credit Facility covenants;
- the anticipated new development project with Sunrise Sports and Entertainment, LLP, and the passage of enabling legislation;
- the anticipated new development project with Wilton Rancheria, and obtaining the necessary governmental approvals;
- expectations, plans, beliefs, hopes or intentions regarding the future, and;
- assumptions underlying any of the foregoing statements.

Forward-looking statements involve certain risks and uncertainties, and actual results may differ materially from those discussed in any such statement. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from such forward-looking statements include:

- The effects of intense competition that exists in the gaming industry.
- The uncertainty about the sustainability and strength of the current economic recovery.
- The fact that our expansion, development and renovation projects (including enhancements to improve property performance) are subject to many risks inherent in expansion, development or construction of a new or existing project, including:
 - design, construction, regulatory, environmental and operating problems and lack of demand for our projects;
 - delays and significant cost increases, shortages of materials, shortages of skilled labor or work stoppages;
 - poor performance or nonperformance of any of our partners or other third parties upon whom we are relying in connection with any of our projects;
 - construction scheduling, engineering, environmental, permitting, construction or geological problems, weather interference, floods, fires or other casualty losses;
 - failure by us, our partners, or Borgata to obtain financing on acceptable terms, or at all; and
 - failure to obtain necessary government or other approvals on time, or at all.
- The risk that USCG may not continue to allow in-place underwater inspections of our riverboats.
- The risk that any of our projects may not be completed, if at all, on time or within established budgets, or that any project will result in increased earnings to us.
- The risk that significant delays, cost overruns, or failures of any of our projects to achieve market acceptance could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.
- The risk that our projects may not help us compete with new or increased competition in our markets.
- The risk that new gaming licenses or jurisdictions become available (or offer different gaming regulations or taxes) that results in increased competition to us.
- The risk associated with owning real property, including environmental regulation and uncertainties with respect to environmental expenditures and liabilities.
- The risk associated with challenges to legalized gaming.
- The risk that the actual fair value for assets acquired and liabilities assumed from any of our acquisitions differ materially from our preliminary estimates.
- The risk that negative industry or economic trends, including the market price of our common stock trading below its book value, reduced estimates of future cash flows, disruptions to our business, slower growth rates or lack of growth in our business, may result in significant write-downs or impairments in future periods.
- The risks associated with growth and acquisitions, including our ability to identify, acquire, develop or profitably manage additional companies or operations or successfully integrate such companies or operations into our existing operations without substantial costs, delays or other problems.
- The risk that we may not receive gaming or other necessary licenses for new projects or that regulatory authorities may revoke, suspend, condition or limit our gaming or other licenses, impose substantial fines and take other adverse actions against any of our casino operations.
- To the extent the MGM Interest is sold, our inability to select a new joint venture partner for Borgata and the possibility that a new operating agreement will be entered into with the new venture partner, which could result in

changes to Borgata's ongoing operations.

The risk that we may be unable to finance our expansion, development, investment and renovation projects, including cost overruns on any particular project, as well as other capital expenditures through cash flow, borrowings under our Credit Facility, the Peninsula Credit Facility or the Borgata Revolving Credit Facility, and additional financings, which could jeopardize our expansion, development, investment and renovation efforts.

78

Table of Contents

The risk that we, Peninsula Gaming or Borgata may be unable to refinance our respective outstanding indebtedness as it comes due, or that if we, Peninsula Gaming or Borgata do refinance, the terms are not favorable to us or them. Risks associated with our ability to comply with financial covenants in our Credit Facility, the Peninsula Credit Facility, the Borgata Revolving Credit Facility and the HoldCo Note (as defined herein), including, among others, leverage and interest coverage ratios and minimum EBITDA levels.

The risk that we ultimately may not be successful in dismissing the Copeland litigation matter and may lose our ability to operate that property, which result could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The effects of the extensive governmental gaming regulation and taxation policies that we are subject to, as well as any changes in laws and regulations, including increased taxes, which could harm our business.

The effects of federal, state and local laws affecting our business such as the regulation of smoking, the regulation of directors, officers, key employees and partners and regulations affecting business in general.

The effects of extreme weather conditions or natural disasters on our facilities and the geographic areas from which we draw our customers, and our ability to recover insurance proceeds (if any).

The risks relating to mechanical failure and regulatory compliance at any of our facilities.

The risk that instability in the financial condition of our lenders could have a negative impact on our Credit Facility, the Peninsula Credit Facility and the Borgata Revolving Credit Facility.

The effects of events adversely impacting the economy or the regions from which we draw a significant percentage of our customers, including the effects of an economic recession, war, terrorist or similar activity or disasters in, at, or around our properties.

The effects of energy price increases on our cost of operations and our revenues.

Financial community and rating agency perceptions of us, and the effect of economic, credit and capital market conditions on the economy and the gaming and hotel industry.

The effect of the expansion of legalized gaming in the United States, including in the mid-Atlantic region and on Native American tribal lands, as well as the potential proliferation of legalized internet gaming.

Borgata's expected liabilities under the multiemployer pensions in which it participates.

The risk of failing to maintain the integrity of customer data.

Additional factors that could cause actual results to differ are discussed in Part II. Item 1A. Risk Factors of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 and in other current and periodic reports filed from time to time with the SEC. All forward-looking statements in this document are made as of the date hereof, based on information available to us as of the date hereof, and we assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statement.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

As of June 30, 2013, there were no material changes to the information previously reported under Item 7A. in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, filed with the SEC on March 18, 2013.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this Report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")). Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and

communicated to our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on the evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this Report.

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act) that occurred during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Copeland

Alvin C. Copeland, the sole shareholder (deceased) of an unsuccessful applicant for a riverboat license at the location of our Treasure Chest Casino (“Treasure Chest”), has made several attempts to have the Treasure Chest license revoked and awarded to his company. In 1999 and 2000, Copeland unsuccessfully opposed the renewal of the Treasure Chest license and has brought two separate legal actions against Treasure Chest. In November 1993, Copeland objected to the relocation of Treasure Chest from the Mississippi River to its current site on Lake Pontchartrain. The predecessor to the Louisiana Gaming Control Board allowed the relocation over Copeland's objection. Copeland then filed an appeal of the agency's decision with the Nineteenth Judicial District Court. Through a number of amendments to the appeal, Copeland unsuccessfully attempted to transform the appeal into a direct action suit and sought the revocation of the Treasure Chest license. Treasure Chest intervened in the matter in order to protect its interests. The appeal/suit, as it related to Treasure Chest, was dismissed by the District Court and that dismissal was upheld on appeal by the First Circuit Court of Appeal. Additionally, in 1999, Copeland filed a direct action against Treasure Chest and certain other parties seeking the revocation of Treasure Chest's license, an award of the license to him, and monetary damages. The suit was dismissed by the trial court, citing that Copeland failed to state a claim on which relief could be granted. The dismissal was appealed by Copeland to the Louisiana First Circuit Court of Appeal. On June 21, 2002, the First Circuit Court of Appeal reversed the trial court's decision and remanded the matter to the trial court. On January 14, 2003, we filed a motion to dismiss the matter and that motion was partially denied. The Court of Appeal refused to reverse the denial of the motion to dismiss. In May 2004, we filed additional motions to dismiss on other grounds. There was no activity regarding this matter during 2005 and 2006, and the case was set to be dismissed by the court for failure to prosecute by the plaintiffs in mid-May 2007; however on May 1, 2007, the plaintiff filed a motion to set a hearing date related to the motions to dismiss. The hearing was scheduled for September 10, 2007, at which time all parties agreed to postpone the hearing indefinitely. The hearing has not yet been rescheduled.

Mr. Copeland has since passed away and his son, the executor of his estate, has petitioned the court to be substituted as plaintiff in the case. On June 9, 2009, the plaintiff filed to have the exceptions set for hearing. The parties decided to submit the exceptions to the court on the previously filed briefs. The court issued a ruling denying the exceptions on August 9, 2010. Copeland's counsel indicated a desire to move forward with the litigation and requested that the parties respond to outstanding discovery. Subsequently, on August 11, 2010, Robert J. Guidry, the co-defendant, filed a third party demand against the U.S. Attorney's Office seeking enforcement of Guidry's plea agreement which would limit Guidry's exposure in the case. On September 9, 2010, the U.S. Attorney's Office removed the suit to the U.S. District Court, Middle District of Louisiana. Guidry then filed a motion to dismiss for failing to state a cause of action, asserting the same arguments he tried in state court, which we joined. The U.S. Attorney filed a motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. The U.S. District Court heard the motions on March 16, 2011. On April 1, 2011, the U.S. Attorney's Office moved for summary judgment, maintaining its jurisdictional argument as well as seeking substantive relief. On September 2, 2011, the judge issued an Order stating that the case should be remanded to state district court. A Remand Order was issued on September 15, 2011, sending the case back to the 19th Judicial District Court, East Baton Rouge Parish, State of Louisiana. Guidry filed a motion for partial summary judgment on November 14, 2011 to limit the damages in the case. Treasure Chest joined in the motion. The hearing on the Motion for Partial Summary Judgment was held on September 10, 2012. On October 3, 2012, Judge Clark granted the motion which effectively struck Copeland's demands for lost profits, the value of the Treasure Chest license and the value of Treasure Chest's success. On October 26, 2012, Copeland filed a supervisory writ application with the First Circuit Court of Appeal asking that the partial summary judgment be reversed. Treasure Chest and Guidry opposed the writ. On February 13, 2013, the writ was denied leaving intact the partial summary judgment. Discovery is proceeding. We are vigorously defending the lawsuit. If this matter ultimately results in the Treasure Chest license being revoked, it could have a significant adverse effect on Treasure Chest's business, financial condition and results of operation.

We are also parties to various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. We believe that, except for the Copeland matter discussed above, all pending claims, if adversely decided, would not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position or results of operations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

We have revised the risk factors that relate to our business as set forth below. These risks include any material changes to and supersede the risks previously disclosed in Part I. Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. We encourage investors to review the risks and uncertainties relating to our business disclosed in that Annual Report on Form 10-K, as well as those contained in Part I. Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Important Information Regarding Forward-Looking Statements, above.

Table of Contents

If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. If this were to happen, the value of our securities, including our common stock, senior notes and senior subordinated notes, could decline significantly, and investors could lose all or part of their investment.

Risks Related to our Business

Our business is particularly sensitive to reductions in discretionary consumer spending as a result of downturns in the economy.

Consumer demand for entertainment and other amenities at casino hotel properties, such as ours, are particularly sensitive to downturns in the economy and the corresponding impact on discretionary spending on leisure activities. Changes in discretionary consumer spending or consumer preferences brought about by factors such as perceived or actual general economic conditions, effects of declines in consumer confidence in the economy, including the recent housing, employment and credit crisis, the impact of high energy and food costs, the increased cost of travel, the potential for continued bank failures, decreased disposable consumer income and wealth, or fears of war and future acts of terrorism could further reduce customer demand for the amenities that we offer, thus imposing practical limits on pricing and negatively impacting our results of operations and financial condition.

For example, we have recently experienced one of the toughest economic periods in Las Vegas history. The recent housing crisis and economic slowdown in the United States resulted in a significant decline in the amount of tourism and spending in Las Vegas. Similarly, weak economic conditions also adversely affected tourism and spending in Atlantic City, where Borgata is located. While the economy has recently shown signs of recovery, we are unable to determine the sustainability or strength of any economic recovery. Since our business model relies on consumer expenditures on entertainment, luxury and other discretionary items, a slowing or stoppage of the economic recovery or a return to an economic downturn will further adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. Intense competition exists in the gaming industry, and we expect competition to continue to intensify.

The gaming industry is highly competitive for both customers and employees, including those at the management level. We compete with numerous casinos and hotel casinos of varying quality and size in market areas where our properties are located. We also compete with other non-gaming resorts and vacation destinations, and with various other casino and other entertainment businesses, and could compete with any new forms of gaming that may be legalized in the future. The casino entertainment business is characterized by competitors that vary considerably in their size, quality of facilities, number of operations, brand identities, marketing and growth strategies, financial strength and capabilities, level of amenities, management talent and geographic diversity. In most markets, we compete directly with other casino facilities operating in the immediate and surrounding market areas. In some markets, we face competition from nearby markets in addition to direct competition within our market areas.

For example, the Kansas Star is located approximately 33 miles north of the Kansas/Oklahoma border and faces competition from established gaming facilities in Kansas and Oklahoma, including First Council Casino, Native Lights Casino, and Kaw Southwind Casino, which are located in Newkirk, Oklahoma approximately 60 miles south of the Kansas Star, in addition to potential expansion of gaming facilities in Oklahoma. The Kansas Star may face additional competition in the Wichita, Kansas metropolitan area. The Wyandotte Nation of Oklahoma has filed an application with the U.S. Department of Interior to have certain land located in Park City, Kansas (in the Wichita metro area) taken into trust by the U.S. Government and to permit gaming. If successful, the Wyandotte Nation would be permitted to open a Class II gaming facility, and upon successful negotiation of a compact with the State of Kansas would be permitted to open a Class III gaming facility. In July 2011, the Wyandotte Nation brought suit against the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Interior to compel the Secretary to take the Park City land into trust. While the Court has rejected the Wyandotte's claim of unreasonable delay, the Court has retained jurisdiction of the case and has directed the Secretary of the Department of Interior to provide the Court with periodic updates regarding the status of the Wyandotte's application to have the Park City land taken into trust. As a result of the Court's ruling, we expect the Department of Interior to review and promptly issue an opinion regarding the Wyandotte's fee-to-trust application.

In recent years, competition in existing markets has intensified. We have invested in expanding existing facilities, developing new facilities, and acquiring established facilities in existing markets. In addition, our competitors have also invested in expanding their existing facilities and developing new facilities. This expansion of existing casino entertainment properties, the increase in the number of properties and the aggressive marketing strategies of many of our competitors have increased competition in many markets in which we compete, and this intense competition can be expected to continue. For example, on May 25, 2012 and June 15, 2013, new properties were opened in Atlantic City and Shreveport, Louisiana, respectively, which compete with Borgata and Sam's Town Shreveport, respectively, for gaming customers. A new property is also in the planning stages in Lake Charles, Louisiana that could increase competition with Delta Downs Racetrack Casino & Hotel. Additionally, competition may intensify if our competitors commit additional resources to aggressive pricing and promotional activities in order to attract customers. If our competitors operate more successfully than we do, if they attract customers away from us as a result of aggressive pricing and promotion, if they are more successful than us in attracting and retaining employees, if their properties are enhanced or

Table of Contents

expanded, if they operate in jurisdictions that give them operating advantages due to differences or changes in gaming regulations or taxes, or if additional hotels and casinos are established in and around the locations in which we conduct business, we may lose market share or the ability to attract or retain employees. In particular, the expansion of casino gaming in or near any geographic area from which we attract or expect to attract a significant number of our customers could have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Also, our business may be adversely impacted by the additional gaming and room capacity in states where we operate or intend to operate. Several states are also considering enabling the development and operation of casinos or casino-like operations in their jurisdictions.

For example, the expansion of casino gaming in or near the mid-Atlantic region from which Borgata attracts and expects to attract most of its customers has had an adverse effect on its business, results of operations and financial condition. In January 2010, table game legislation in Pennsylvania was signed into law which allows up to 250 table games at each of the twelve largest authorized casinos and up to 50 table games at each of the remaining two smaller authorized casinos. Table games became operational at the existing casinos in the Philadelphia region in mid-July 2010. In addition, other states near New Jersey, including New York, Delaware and Maryland, either have or are currently contemplating gaming legislation. In January 2010, Delaware legalized table games, which became operational in June 2010 at all three Delaware casinos. In November 2012, Maryland legalized table games, which became operational beginning in March 2013. Convenience may be a more important factor than amenities for some customers, especially mid-week and repeat customers. These customers may prefer the convenience of a closer drive to a nearby casino rather than dealing with a longer drive to enjoy the amenities that Borgata has to offer. Expansion of gaming facilities in Pennsylvania and other nearby states therefore has resulted in fewer customer visits to Borgata, which has adversely impacted Borgata's business, results of operations and financial condition.

In addition, we compete with legalized gaming from casinos located on Native American tribal lands. Expansion of Native American gaming in areas located near our properties, or in areas in or near those from which we draw our customers, could have an adverse effect on our operating results. For example, increased competition from federally recognized Native American tribes near Blue Chip and Sam's Town Shreveport has had a negative impact on our results. Native American gaming facilities typically have a significant operating advantage over our properties due to lower gaming fees or taxes, allowing those facilities to market more aggressively and to expand or update their facilities at an accelerated rate. Although we have expanded our facility at Blue Chip in an effort to be more competitive in this market, competing Native American properties could continue to have an adverse impact on the operations of both Blue Chip and Sam's Town Shreveport.

We also compete to some extent with other forms of gaming on both a local and national level, including state-sponsored lotteries, charitable gaming, on-and off-track wagering, and other forms of entertainment, including motion pictures, sporting events and other recreational activities. It is possible that these secondary competitors could reduce the number of visitors to our facilities or the amount they are willing to wager, which could have a material adverse effect on our ability to generate revenue or maintain our profitability and cash flows.

Increased competition may require us to make substantial capital expenditures to maintain and enhance the competitive positions of our properties, including updating slot machines to reflect changing technology, refurbishing public service areas periodically, replacing obsolete equipment on an ongoing basis and making other expenditures to increase the attractiveness and add to the appeal of our facilities. Because we are highly leveraged, after satisfying our obligations under our outstanding indebtedness, there can be no assurance that we will have sufficient funds to undertake these expenditures or that we will be able to obtain sufficient financing to fund such expenditures. If we are unable to make such expenditures, our competitive position could be materially adversely affected.

We expect that competition from internet gaming will continue to grow and intensify.

We expect that we will face increased competition from internet gaming as the potential for legalized internet gaming continues to grow. There are current proposals to legalize internet gaming under federal law. Additionally, several states are currently considering legislation that would legalize internet gaming at the state level. For example, in February 2013, Nevada amended its internet gaming law to permit Nevada licensed internet providers to commence

internet poker and to allow Nevada to enter into agreements with other states to create multi-state poker wagering. In February 2013, New Jersey enacted legislation authorizing intrastate internet gaming through Atlantic City casinos, subject to regulations to be adopted by the NJDGE.

The global financial crisis and a prolonged economic recovery may have an effect on our business and financial condition in ways that we currently cannot accurately predict.

The significant economic distress affecting financial institutions has had, and may continue to have, far-reaching adverse consequences across many industries, including the gaming industry. The recent credit and liquidity crisis greatly restricted the availability of capital and caused the cost of capital (if available) to be much higher than it has traditionally been. Although the

Table of Contents

financial markets have seen recent signs of recovery and increased availability of capital, the financial markets are still fragile and remain volatile. We have no assurance that we will continue to have access to credit or capital markets at desirable times or at rates that we would consider acceptable, and the lack of such funding could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition, including our ability to refinance our or Borgata's indebtedness, our flexibility to react to changing economic and business conditions and our ability or willingness to fund new development projects.

We are not able to predict the duration or strength of the economic recovery or the resulting impact on the solvency or liquidity of our lenders. Prolonged slow growth or a downturn, or further worsening or broadening of adverse conditions in the worldwide and domestic economies could affect our lenders. If a large percentage of our lenders were to file for bankruptcy or otherwise default on their obligations to us, we may not have the liquidity under our Credit Facility to fund our current projects. There is no certainty that our lenders will continue to remain solvent or fund their respective obligations under our Credit Facility. If we were otherwise required to renegotiate or replace our Credit Facility, there is no assurance that we would be able to secure terms that are as favorable to us, if at all. We may incur impairments to goodwill, indefinite-lived intangible assets, or long-lived assets.

In accordance with the authoritative accounting guidance for goodwill and other intangible assets, we test our goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment annually or if a triggering event occurs. During the fourth quarter of 2012, we changed the date of our annual goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets impairment test dates to October 1. Prior to the fourth quarter of 2012, we performed annual impairment tests on our goodwill on April 1. The change in the impairment test dates for all reporting units to October 1 did not delay, accelerate or avoid an impairment charge, as the impairment tests were performed on their respective test dates during 2012, and did not result in any impairment. Management believes that the new impairment test date is preferable because it is more closely aligned with our annual financial planning process. These financial plans are a key component utilized in the annual impairment testing process. The change in the impairment test dates constitutes a change in accounting principle under ASC 250, "Accounting for Changes and Error Corrections," and had no impact on our consolidated balance sheet, statement of operations or cash flows. We determined it was impracticable to objectively determine projected cash flows and related valuation estimates that would have been used as of each October 1 for periods prior to October 1, 2012 without the use of hindsight. As such, we have prospectively applied the change in annual goodwill and indefinite-lived impairment testing date from October 1, 2012.

Echelon

On August 1, 2008, we announced the delay of our multibillion dollar Echelon development project on the Las Vegas Strip. At that time, we did not anticipate the long-term effects of the current economic downturn, evidenced by lower occupancy rates, declining room rates and reduced consumer spending across the country, but particularly in the Las Vegas geographical area, nor did we predict the incremental amount of additional supply into the Las Vegas market.

In December 2012, we reconsidered our commitment to complete the Echelon project and concluded that we would not resume development. Based on the exploration of the viability of alternatives for the project, in the three months ended December 31, 2012, we recorded a non-cash impairment charge of approximately \$993.9 million related to the Echelon development and \$39.4 million related to various parcels of undeveloped land based on the difference between the book value of the assets and the estimated realizable value of the assets. On March 4, 2013, we sold the Echelon site and related improvements on the site and received net proceeds of \$157.0 million.

Sam's Town Shreveport

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we also recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$17.5 million to the Sam's Town Shreveport gaming license in connection with the annual impairment test. During the year ended December 31, 2012, this property's operating results were less than expected due to weaker than anticipated discretionary consumer spending and increased competition.

Borgata Trademark

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, we performed an interim impairment test on the trademark we recorded in connection with the valuation of Borgata due to our consideration of a change in facts and circumstances surrounding an adverse change in the business climate in the Atlantic City region. As a result, we recorded a \$5.0 million impairment to the trademark. We believe our actual results have been adversely impacted by increased regional competition, and that in addition, Borgata's projected future results could be further negatively impacted by a new property that formally opened in Atlantic City, on May 25, 2012. We also believe the refinancing of Borgata's debt and recapitalization of its member equity contributed to the results of this impairment test.

If our estimates of projected cash flows related to our assets are not achieved, we may be subject to future impairment charges, which could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Our partner in the Holding Company, the limited liability company that owns and operates Borgata Hotel Casino and Spa in Atlantic City, New Jersey, has transferred its 50% interest and we do not have the ability to select the new partner.

We own a 50% controlling interest in the limited liability company that operates Borgata. MGM currently beneficially owns the other 50% interest. As a result of the New Jersey Department of Gaming Enforcement's (the "NJDE") investigation of MGM's relationship with its joint venture partner in Macau, MGM entered into a settlement agreement with the NJDE and the New Jersey Casino Control Commission (the "NJCCC") under which MGM placed its 50% ownership interest in Borgata (the "MGM Interest") into a divestiture trust (the "Divestiture Trust"), which was established for the purpose of selling the MGM Interest to a third party. On February 20, 2013, MGM announced that it had entered into an amendment with the NJDE, effective February 13, 2013, pursuant to which MGM was allowed to reapply to the New Jersey Casino Control Commission for licensure in New Jersey with the deadline to sell the MGM Interest deferred pending the outcome of the licensure process.

We are the managing member of the limited liability company that operates Borgata, and have been, and will continue to be responsible for the day-to-day operations of Borgata, including the operations and improvement of the facility and business. Additionally, we hold a right of first refusal on any sale of the MGM Interest in Borgata. However, if MGM's efforts to be relicensed in New Jersey fail and they are forced to sell the MGM Interest, we believe we will need to expend managerial resources to effectuate the eventual sale of the MGM Interest from the Divestiture Trust to a new partner, regardless of whether we exercise our right of first refusal. Other than exercising our right of first refusal, we generally do not have the ability to affect the selection of the potential new partner at Borgata.

While we believe we will retain direct control of the operations of Borgata, based on our current operating agreement, a new partner may want to negotiate greater rights or different terms. If we agree to consider changes to the operating agreement, these negotiations may decrease our ability to directly control the facility and effectively manage our financial risk. Any new partner could have economic or business interests or goals that are inconsistent with our economic or business interests or goals. The ongoing operation of the facility could change if we agree to negotiate agreements with a new partner that contain terms that differ from our existing operating agreement.

In addition, the Borgata Revolving Credit Facility matures in February 2018 (or earlier upon the occurrence or non-occurrence of certain events). At the time of maturity, if Borgata is unable to refinance its bank credit facility on favorable terms, additional credit support and/or capital contributions in the form of equity may be necessary to fund the ongoing operations of Borgata. This additional credit and/or equity may need to be contributed by us or a new partner, if any, or from both. If we are unable to obtain adequate financing in a timely manner, or at all, we may be unable to meet the operating cash flow needs of Borgata, and our investment would be at risk. Moreover, if any new partner does not have the financial resources to meet its share of the obligations, or subsequently declares bankruptcy, we could be required to fund more than our 50% share.

We face risks associated with growth and acquisitions.

As part of our business strategy, we regularly evaluate opportunities for growth through development of gaming operations in existing or new markets, through acquiring other gaming entertainment facilities or through redeveloping our existing gaming facilities. For example, in November 2012, we completed the Peninsula Acquisition, and in October 2011, we completed the acquisition of IP. In January 2009, we completed the hotel construction project at Blue Chip. We may also pursue expansion opportunities, including joint ventures, in jurisdictions where casino gaming is not currently permitted in order to be prepared to develop projects upon approval of casino gaming. The expansion of our operations, whether through acquisitions, development or internal growth, could divert management's attention and could also cause us to incur substantial costs, including legal, professional and consulting fees. There can be no assurance that we will be able to identify, acquire, develop or profitably manage additional companies or operations or successfully integrate such companies or operations into our existing operations without substantial costs, delays or other problems. Additionally, there can be no assurance that we will receive gaming or other necessary licenses or approvals for our new projects or that gaming will be approved in jurisdictions where it is not currently approved.

Ballot measures or other voter-approved initiatives to allow gaming in jurisdictions where gaming, or certain types of gaming (such as slots), was not previously permitted could be challenged, and, if such challenges are successful, these ballot measures or initiatives could be invalidated. Furthermore, there can be no assurance that there will not be similar or other challenges to legalized gaming in existing or current markets in which we may operate or have development plans, and successful challenges to legalized gaming could require us to abandon or substantially curtail our operations or development plans in those locations, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

There can be no assurance that we will not face similar challenges and difficulties with respect to new development projects or expansion efforts that we may undertake, which could result in significant sunk costs that we may not be able to fully recoup or that otherwise have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Our expansion and development opportunities, including the development costs associated with the Kansas Star facility, may face significant risks inherent in construction projects.

We regularly evaluate expansion, development, investment and renovation opportunities. For example, we are undergoing further development of the Kansas Star facility, which entails significant risks.

This project and any other development projects we may undertake will be subject to many other risks inherent in the expansion or renovation of an existing enterprise or construction of a new enterprise, including unanticipated design, construction, regulatory, environmental and operating problems and lack of demand for our projects. Our current and future projects could also experience:

- changes to plans and specifications (including changes for the Kansas Star facility, some of which may require the approval of the Kansas Lottery Commission);
- delays and significant cost increases;
- shortages of materials;
- shortages of skilled labor or work stoppages for contractors and subcontractors;
- labor disputes or work stoppages;
- disputes with and defaults by contractors and subcontractors;
- health and safety incidents and site accidents;
- engineering problems, including defective plans and specifications;
- poor performance or nonperformance by any of our joint venture partners or other third parties on whom we place reliance;
- changes in laws and regulations, or in the interpretation and enforcement of laws and regulations, applicable to gaming facilities, real estate development or construction projects, including by the Kansas Racing and Gaming Commission;
- unforeseen construction scheduling, engineering, environmental, permitting, construction or geological problems;
- environmental issues, including the discovery of unknown environmental contamination;
- weather interference, floods, fires or other casualty losses;
- other unanticipated circumstances or cost increases; and
- failure to obtain necessary licenses, permits, entitlements or other governmental approvals.

The occurrence of any of these development and construction risks could increase the total costs of our construction projects, including the Kansas Star facility, or delay or prevent the construction or opening or otherwise affect the design and features of our construction projects, such as the Kansas Star facility, which could materially adversely affect our plan of operations, financial condition and ability to satisfy our debt obligations.

We have entered into a fixed-price, or guaranteed maximum price, contract with a construction manager for the construction of the first phase of the Kansas Star facility, however there is no guarantee we will be able to do so with respect to construction of the final phase of the development. As a result, we may be required to rely heavily on our in-house development and construction team to manage construction costs and coordinate the work of the various trade contractors. The lack of any fixed-price contract with a construction manager or general contractor for construction of the final phase would put more of the risk of cost-overruns on us. If we are unable to manage costs or we are unable to raise additional capital required to complete the Kansas Star facility, we may not be able to complete the project, which may have an adverse impact on our business and prospects for growth.

Additionally, Kansas Star has a contractual obligation to cause the construction of 150 additional hotel rooms. These may be paid for and constructed by the third party hotel operation. However, Kansas Star may be required to finance this construction. If Kansas Star is required to finance this construction, it may have an adverse impact on our business and prospects for growth.

In addition, actual costs and construction periods for any of our projects can differ significantly from initial expectations. Our initial project costs and construction periods are based upon budgets, conceptual design documents and construction schedule estimates prepared at inception of the project in consultation with architects and contractors. Many of these costs can increase over time as the project is built to completion. We can provide no assurance that any project will be completed on time, if at all, or within established budgets, or that any project will result in increased earnings to us. Significant delays, cost overruns, or failures of our projects to achieve market acceptance could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The failure to obtain necessary government approvals in a timely manner, or at all, can adversely impact our various expansion, development, investment and renovation projects.

Certain permits, licenses and approvals necessary for some of our current or anticipated projects have not yet been obtained. The scope of the approvals required for expansion, development, investment or renovation projects can be extensive and may include gaming approvals, state and local land-use permits and building and zoning permits.

Unexpected changes or concessions required

Table of Contents

by local, state or federal regulatory authorities could involve significant additional costs and delay the scheduled openings of the facilities. We may not obtain the necessary permits, licenses and approvals within the anticipated time frames, or at all.

In addition, although we design our projects to minimize disruption of our existing business operations, expansion and renovation projects require, from time to time, all or portions of affected existing operations to be closed or disrupted. Any significant disruption in operations of a property could have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The development costs of the Kansas Star facility are estimates only, and actual development costs may be higher than expected.

We have developed our budgets based on our plans, which are subject to change. We expect the total development cost of the Kansas Star facility to be approximately \$331.0 million, including the privilege fee, construction costs, land acquisition costs, development costs relating to a hotel which is being developed by a third party, costs of furniture, fixtures and equipment, pre-opening expenses, initial cage cash, and other development costs. While we believe that the overall budget for the development costs for the Kansas Star facility is reasonable, these development costs are only estimates and the actual development costs may be significantly higher than expected. Unforeseen or unexpected difficulties or delays during construction may also adversely impact the Kansas Star facility's budget. Our inability to pay development costs as they are incurred would negatively affect our ability to complete the Kansas Star facility on time.

Our Lottery Gaming Facility Management Contract with the State of Kansas contractually obligates us to open certain phases of our project by certain specified dates. For example, with certain exceptions, our permanent gaming facility must be completed by January 14, 2013, which we completed on December 12, 2012, and our entire construction project (as set forth in the Management Contract) must be completed no later than January 14, 2015. However, if we fail to meet the future completion date for our entire construction project, we would be in breach of the Management Contract. If we breach our Management Contract, the State of Kansas has certain remedies, up to and including cancellation of our contract, which if it occurred, would cause a material adverse impact with respect to our business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

Risks Related to the Regulation of our Industry

We are subject to extensive governmental regulation, as well as federal, state and local laws affecting business in general, which may harm our business.

The ownership, management and operation of our gaming facilities are subject to extensive laws, regulations and ordinances which are administered by the Nevada Gaming Commission and Gaming Control Board, Mississippi Gaming Commission, Indiana Gaming Commission, Illinois Gaming Board, New Jersey Casino Control Commission, Iowa Racing and Gaming Commission, the Kansas Lottery Commission, the Kansas Racing and Gaming Commission, the Louisiana State Gaming Control Board, the Louisiana State Racing Commission and various other federal, state and local government entities and agencies. We are subject to regulations that apply specifically to the gaming industry and horse racetracks and casinos, in addition to regulations applicable to businesses generally. A more detailed description of the governmental gaming regulations to which we are subject has been filed as Exhibit 99.3 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 (File No. 333- 187468), which was declared effective on April 23, 2013. If additional gaming regulations are adopted in a jurisdiction in which we operate, such regulations could impose restrictions or costs that could have a significant adverse effect on us. From time to time, various proposals are introduced in the legislatures of some of the jurisdictions in which we have existing or planned operations that, if enacted, could adversely affect the tax, regulatory, operational or other aspects of the gaming industry and our company.

To date, we have obtained all governmental licenses, findings of suitability, registrations, permits and approvals necessary for the operation of our properties. However, we can give no assurance that any additional licenses, permits and approvals that may be required will be given or that existing ones will be renewed or will not be revoked. Renewal is subject to, among other things, continued satisfaction of suitability requirements. Any failure to renew or maintain our licenses or to receive new licenses when necessary would have a material adverse effect on us.

Gambling

Legislative or administrative changes in applicable legal requirements, including legislation to prohibit casino gaming, have been proposed in the past. For example, in 1996, the State of Louisiana adopted a statute in connection with which votes were held locally where gaming operations were conducted and which, had the continuation of gaming been rejected by the voters, might have resulted in the termination of operations at the end of their current license terms. During the 1996 local gaming referendums, Lafayette Parish voted to disallow gaming in the Parish, whereas St. Landry Parish, the site of our racino, voted in favor of gaming. All parishes where riverboat gaming operations are currently conducted voted to continue riverboat gaming, but there can be no guarantee that similar referenda might not produce unfavorable results in the future. Proposals to amend or supplement the Louisiana Riverboat Economic Development and Gaming Control Act and the Pari-Mutuel Act also are frequently introduced in the Louisiana State legislature. In the 2001 session, a representative from Orleans Parish introduced a proposal to repeal the authority of horse

Table of Contents

racetracks in Calasieu Parish (the site of Delta Downs) and St. Landry Parish (the site of our racino) to conduct slot machine gaming at such horse racetracks and to repeal the special taxing districts created for such purposes. If adopted, this proposal would have effectively prohibited us from operating the casino portion of our racino. In addition, the Louisiana legislature, from time to time, considers proposals to repeal the Pari-Mutuel Act.

The legislation permitting gaming in Iowa authorizes the granting of licenses to “qualified sponsoring organizations.” Such “qualified sponsoring organizations” may operate the gambling structure itself, subject to satisfying necessary licensing requirements, or it may enter into an agreement with an operator to operate gambling on its behalf. An operator must be approved and licensed by the Iowa Racing and Gaming Commission. The DRA, a not-for-profit corporation organized for the purpose of operating a pari-mutuel greyhound racing facility in Dubuque, Iowa, first received a riverboat gaming license in 1990 and, pursuant to the Amended DRA Operating Agreement, has served as the “qualified sponsoring organization” of the Diamond Jo since March 18, 1993. The term of the Amended DRA Operating Agreement expires on December 31, 2018. The WCDA, pursuant to the WCDA Operating Agreement, serves as the “qualified sponsoring organization” of Diamond Jo Worth. The term of the WCDA Operating Agreement expires on March 31, 2015, and is subject to automatic three-year renewal periods. If the Amended DRA Operating Agreement or WCDA Operating Agreement were to terminate, or if the DRA or WCDA were to otherwise discontinue acting as our “qualified sponsoring organization” with respect to our operation of the Diamond Jo or Diamond Jo Worth, respectively, and we were unable to obtain approval from the Iowa Racing and Gaming Commission to partner with an alternative “qualified sponsoring organization” as required by our gaming license, we would no longer be able to continue our Diamond Jo or Diamond Jo Worth operations, which would materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations and cash flows.

Regulation of smoking

Each of New Jersey and Illinois has adopted laws that significantly restrict, or otherwise ban, smoking at our properties in those jurisdictions. The New Jersey and Illinois laws that restrict smoking at casinos, and similar legislation in other jurisdictions in which we operate, could materially impact the results of operations of our properties in those jurisdictions. Kansas has also attempted to pass legislation to regulate smoking in casino and racetrack gaming floors during each of the past two years.

On April 15, 2007, an ordinance in Atlantic City became effective which extended smoking restrictions under the New Jersey Smoke-Free Air Act. This ordinance mandated that casinos restrict smoking to designated areas of up to 25% of the casino floor. During April 2008, Atlantic City's City Council unanimously approved an amendment to the ordinance, banning smoking entirely on all casino gaming floors and casino simulcasting areas, but allowing smoking in separately exhausted, non-gaming, smoking lounges. The amendment to the ordinance became effective on October 15, 2008, however, on October 27, 2008, Atlantic City's City Council voted to postpone the full smoking ban for at least one year due to, among other things, the weakened economy and increased competition in adjoining states. The postponement of the full smoking ban became effective on November 16, 2008. In December 2009, Atlantic City's City Council announced that it would not consider a full smoking ban in casinos pending further review.

Additionally, on July 1, 2012, a state statute in Indiana became effective that imposed a state wide smoking ban in specified businesses, buildings, public places and other articulated locations. The statute specifically exempted riverboat casinos, and all other gaming facilities in Indiana, from the smoking ban. However, the statute allowed local governments to enact a more restrictive smoking ban than the state statute and also left in place any more restrictive local legislation that existed as of the effective date of the statute. To date, neither Michigan City nor LaPorte County, where Blue Chip is located, have enacted any ordinance or other law which would impose a smoking ban on Blue Chip.

Regulation of directors, officers, key employees and partners

Our directors, officers, key employees, joint venture partners and certain shareholders must meet approval standards of certain state regulatory authorities. If state regulatory authorities were to find a person occupying any such position or a joint venture partner unsuitable, we would be required to sever our relationship with that person or the joint venture partner may be required to dispose of their interest. State regulatory agencies may conduct investigations into the conduct or associations of our directors, officers, key employees or joint venture partners to ensure compliance with applicable standards.

Certain public and private issuances of securities and other transactions that we are party to also require the approval of some state regulatory authorities.

Live racing regulations

Louisiana gaming regulations and our gaming license for the Evangeline Downs and Delta Downs require that we, among other things, conduct a minimum of 80 live racing days in a consecutive 20-week period each year of live horse race meetings at the horse racetrack. Live racing days typically vary in number from year to year and are based on a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control, including the number of suitable race horses and the occurrence of severe weather. If we fail to have the minimum number of racing days, our gaming license with respect to the racino may be canceled, and the casino will be required

Table of Contents

to cease operations. Any cessation of our operation would have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Regulations affecting businesses in general

In addition to gaming regulations, we are also subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations affecting businesses in general. These laws and regulations include, but are not limited to, restrictions and conditions concerning alcoholic beverages, environmental matters, smoking, employees, currency transactions, taxation, zoning and building codes, and marketing and advertising. Such laws and regulations could change or could be interpreted differently in the future, or new laws and regulations could be enacted. For example, Nevada recently enacted legislation that eliminated, in most instances, and, for certain pre-existing development projects, reduced, property tax breaks and retroactively eliminated certain sales tax exemptions offered as incentives to companies developing projects that meet certain environmental “green” standards. As a result, we, along with other companies developing projects that meet such standards, may not realize the full tax benefits that were originally anticipated.

We are subject to extensive taxation policies, which may harm our business.

The federal government has, from time to time, considered a federal tax on casino revenues and may consider such a tax in the future. If such an increase were to be enacted, our ability to incur additional indebtedness in the future to finance casino development projects could be materially and adversely affected. In addition, gaming companies are currently subject to significant state and local taxes and fees, in addition to normal federal and state corporate income taxes, and such taxes and fees are subject to increase at any time. For example, in June 2006, the Illinois legislature passed certain amendments to the Riverboat Gambling Act, which affected the tax rate at Par-A-Dice. The legislation, which imposes an incremental 5% tax on adjusted gross gaming revenues, was retroactive to July 1, 2005. As a result of this legislation, we were required to pay additional taxes, resulting in a \$6.7 million tax assessment in June 2006.

We are subject to significant taxes and fees relating to our gaming operations, which are subject to increase at any time. Currently, in Iowa, we are taxed at an effective rate of approximately 21.5% of our adjusted gross receipts by the State of Iowa, we pay the city of Dubuque a fee equal to \$500,000 per year and we pay a fee equal to 4.5% and 5.76% of adjusted gross receipts to the DRA and WCDA, respectively. In addition, all Iowa gaming licensees share equally in costs of the Iowa Racing and Gaming Commission and related entities to administer gaming in Iowa, which is currently approximately \$0.9 million per year per facility. Currently, at Evangeline Downs, we are taxed at an effective rate of approximately 36.5% of our adjusted gross slot revenue and pay to the Louisiana State Racing Commission a fee of \$0.25 for each patron who enters the racino on live race days from the hours of 6:00 pm to midnight, enters the racino during non-racing season from the hours of noon to midnight Thursday through Monday, or enters any one of our OTBs. Our Amelia Belle riverboat casino in Louisiana pays an annual state gaming tax rate of 21.5% of adjusted gross receipts. Additionally, Amelia Belle has an agreement with the Parish of St. Mary to permit the berthing of the riverboat casino in Amelia, Louisiana. That agreement provides for percentage fees based on the level of net gaming revenue as follows: the first \$60 million, 2.5%; \$60 to \$96 million, 3.5%; and greater than \$96 million, 5.0%. The annual minimum fee due under the agreement is \$1.5 million. The Kansas Star, pursuant to its Management Contract with the State of Kansas pays total taxes of between 27% and 31% of gross gaming revenue, based on achievement of the following revenue levels: 27% on gross gaming revenue up to \$180 million, 29% on amounts from \$180 million to \$220 million, and 31% on amounts above \$220 million in gross gaming revenue. KSC is also contractually obligated to pay its proportionate share of certain expenses incurred by the Kansas Lottery Commission and the Kansas Racing and Gaming Commission, which are estimated to be approximately \$3.9 million on an annual basis.

Nevada Use Tax Refund Claims

On March 27, 2008, the Nevada Supreme Court issued a decision in Sparks Nugget, Inc. vs. The State of Nevada Department of Taxation (the “Department”), holding that food purchased for subsequent use in the provision of complimentary and/or employee meals was exempt from use tax. As a result of this decision, refund claims were filed

for use taxes paid, over the period November 2000 through May 2008, on food purchased for subsequent use in complimentary and employee meals at our Nevada casino properties. The estimated refund, as of May 30, 2013 was in the range of \$19.1 million to \$21.6 million, including interest. In 2009, the Department audited and denied our refund claim while simultaneously issuing a \$12.3 million sales tax deficiency assessment, plus interest of \$7.5 million. We appealed both the denial of the refund claim as well as the deficiency assessment in a hearing before the Nevada Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") in September 2010. In April 2011, the judge issued a split decision, granting a refund on employee meals and applying a sales tax measure on complimentary meals; however, the ruling barred retroactive application of the sales tax measure to all years in the refund claim period, effectively overturning the Department's 2009 deficiency assessment. Both we and the Department appealed the decision to the Nevada State Tax Commission (the "Commission"). On August 8, 2011, the Commission remanded the case back for a second administrative hearing, which was held on September 26, 2011, to allow for the introduction of additional supporting documentation. The ALJ issued a decision on November 8, 2011, reversing her position on the employee meal refund claim while also affirming the denial of the complimentary meal refund, as well as the denial of a retroactive application of the sales tax measure to both employee and complimentary meals. The ALJ's decision was affirmed in a Commission hearing on January 23, 2012. On February 15, 2012 we filed a petition for

Table of Contents

judicial review in Clark County District Court. We received a split decision at our District Court hearing on October 17, 2012. The District Court Judge (the "Judge") affirmed the ALJ decision that sales tax was applicable to complimentary meals and reversed the decision on employee meals, concluding that such meals were exempt from sales tax. The Department has asserted that, although the statute of limitations prohibits their ability to collect incremental sales tax on complimentary meals, the statutes provide for an offset of the incremental sales tax against refunds due on employee meals. As such, the Department believes that it is not required to pay the employee meal refunds. The Judge did not issue a decision with respect to the refund claim offset. We appealed the decision on complimentary meals to the Nevada State Supreme Court and the Department appealed the decision on employee meals. The State Supreme Court suspended our briefing schedule and remanded the case back to District Court for a remedy on the offset issue. In May 2013 we executed a settlement agreement with the Department and Commission. Under the terms of the agreement, we would withdraw our refund claims and dismiss the related litigation, contingent on the enactment of prospective legislation that exempted complimentary and employee meals from sales tax. The Department agreed not to assess sales tax on such meals prior to the date of the legislation's enactment. The legislation exempting complimentary and employee meals from sales tax was passed on May 30, 2013 and signed into law by the Governor of Nevada on June 13, 2013. The agreement further provides a claw-back provision whereby the State of Nevada would be required to refund a pro-rata portion of the withdrawn claims in the event future legislation was enacted, prior to February 1, 2019, subjecting complimentary and employee meals to sales tax. As the terms of the agreement were met upon enactment of the legislation, we intend to file a stipulation for dismissal of our claims in District Court and all contingent liabilities associated with sales tax on complimentary and employee meals have been eliminated.

Blue Chip Property Taxes

Blue Chip received a property tax assessment for its 2010 tax year in January 2013 but has not received valuation notices or final tax rates for the years 2011 through 2013. The 2010 tax assessment increased the taxable property value approximately 46% over the settlement valuation agreed to in Blue Chip's 2009 appeal. We have made the minimum required payment against provisional bills received for the tax years 2010 through 2013, all of which were based on our 2006 appeal valuation. We have appealed the 2010 tax assessment. We have accrued, net of the payment of the minimum requirements discussed above, approximately \$17.0 million for this property tax liability as of June 30, 2013, based on what we believe to be the most likely outcome, once all valuations have been received and all tax rates have been finalized; however, we can provide no assurances that the estimated amount accrued will approximate the actual amount assessed. The final tax assessment notices for the period January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2013, which have not been received as of June 30, 2013, could result in further adjustment to our estimated property tax liability at Blue Chip.

New Jersey Income Taxes

Atlantic City casinos, including Borgata, currently pay a 9.25% effective tax rate on gross gaming revenues. We also pay property taxes, sales and use taxes, payroll taxes, franchise taxes, room taxes, parking fees, various license fees, investigative fees and our proportionate share of regulatory costs. Our profitability depends on generating enough revenues to pay gaming taxes and other largely variable expenses, such as payroll and marketing, as well as largely fixed expenses, such as property taxes and interest expense. Borgata is treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes and therefore federal income taxes are the responsibility of its members. Casino partnerships in New Jersey, however, are subject to state income taxes under the Casino Control Act. Therefore, Borgata is required to record New Jersey state income taxes. We cannot assure you that the State of New Jersey will not enact legislation that increases gaming tax rates.

Increase in Taxation

If there is any material increase in state and local taxes and fees, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

We own real property and are subject to extensive environmental regulation, which creates uncertainty regarding future environmental expenditures and liabilities, and could affect our ability to develop, sell or rent our property or to borrow money where such property is required to be used as collateral.

We are subject to various federal, state and local environmental laws, ordinances and regulations, including those governing discharges to air and water, the generation, handling, management and disposal of petroleum products or hazardous substances or wastes, and the health and safety of our employees. Permits may be required for our operations and these permits are subject to renewal, modification and, in some cases, revocation. In addition, under environmental laws, ordinances or regulations, a current or previous owner or operator of property may be liable for the costs of investigation and removal or remediation of some kinds of hazardous substances or petroleum products on, under, or in its property, without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or caused, the presence of the contaminants, and regardless of whether the practices that resulted in the contamination were legal at the time they occurred. Additionally, as an owner or operator, we could also be held responsible to a governmental entity or third parties for property damage, personal injury and investigation and cleanup costs incurred by them in connection with any contamination. The liability under those laws has been interpreted to be joint and several unless the harm is divisible and there is a reasonable basis for allocation of the responsibility. The costs of investigation, remediation or removal of those substances may

Table of Contents

be substantial, and the presence of those substances, or the failure to remediate a property properly, may impair our ability to use our property.

In addition, as part of our business in Worth County, Iowa, we operate a gas station, which includes a number of underground storage tanks containing petroleum products. The presence of, or failure to remediate properly, the substances may adversely affect the ability to sell or rent the property or to borrow funds using the property as collateral. Additionally, the owner of a site may be subject to claims by third parties based on damages and costs resulting from environmental contamination emanating from a site.

We have reviewed environmental assessments, in some cases including soil and groundwater testing, relating to our currently owned and leased properties in Dubuque, Iowa, and other properties we may lease from the City of Dubuque or other parties. As a result, we have become aware that there is contamination present on some of these properties apparently due to past industrial activities. Additionally, the location of the Kansas Star is the site of several non-operational oil wells, the remediation of which has been addressed in connection with the construction of the development project. We have also reviewed environmental assessments and are not aware of any environmental liabilities related to any of our other properties.

It is possible that future developments could lead to material costs of environmental compliance for us and that these costs could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

Borgata is a participant in a multiemployer pension plan, and the plan has been certified in critical status by the fund's actuary.

In connection with Borgata's collective bargaining agreement with the culinary and hotel workers union, Local 54/UNITE HERE, it participates in the UNITE HERE National Retirement Fund pension plan (the "Fund"). On March 31, 2010, as a result of the extraordinary decline in the financial markets and downturn in the economy, the Fund was certified in critical status by the Fund's actuary under the federal multiemployer plan funding laws pursuant to the Pension Protection Act of 2006 (the "PPA"). In connection with the certification, the Fund's board of trustees has adopted a rehabilitation plan effective on April 1, 2010 (the "Rehabilitation Plan") with the goal of enabling the Fund to emerge from critical status by January 1, 2023. The Rehabilitation Plan provides for certain increases in employer contributions and, in some cases, a reduction in participant benefits. On May 28, 2010, Borgata agreed upon a schedule with Local 54/UNITE HERE pursuant to which it began making increased monthly contributions to the Fund effective October 1, 2011.

A renewed economic decline could have a significant adverse effect on the financial condition of the Fund, which may require Borgata to make contributions in addition to those already contemplated. Any such increases in required contributions could adversely affect Borgata's results of operations.

Additionally, in connection with Borgata's collective bargaining agreements with the Local 68 Engineers Union Pension Plan and the NJ Carpenters Pension Fund, it participates in other multiemployer pension plans that have been certified in critical status under the federal multiemployer plan funding laws pursuant to the PPA. The boards of trustees of these plans have adopted rehabilitation plans and Borgata is currently in discussions with the boards regarding its level of participation in the rehabilitation plans. The impact of the rehabilitation plans is not expected to have a material adverse effect on Borgata's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Under applicable federal law, any employer contributing to a multiemployer pension plan that completely ceases participating in the plan while it is underfunded is subject to payment of such employer's assessed share of the aggregate unfunded vested benefits of the plan. In certain circumstances, an employer can also be assessed withdrawal liability for a partial withdrawal from a multiemployer pension plan. The exact amount of potential exposure could be higher or lower than the estimate, depending on, among other things, the nature and timing of any triggering events

and the funded status of the Fund, or other funds to which it contributes, at that time.

Risks Related to our Properties

We own facilities that are located in areas that experience extreme weather conditions.

Extreme weather conditions may interrupt our operations, damage our properties and reduce the number of customers who visit our facilities in the affected areas.

For example, due to flooding of the Mississippi River, the Mississippi Gaming Commission ordered the nine casinos located in Tunica, Mississippi to close indefinitely to ensure the safety of visitors and employees. Accordingly, effective May 1, 2011, we closed Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall in Tunica. We were able to reopen on May 28, 2011; however, Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall suffered minor damage, and have reached a settlement with our insurer. In addition, the Amelia Belle was negatively impacted by the opening of the Morganza Spillway in 2011, due to imminent threat of severe flooding.

Table of Contents

In addition, certain of our properties have been forced to close due to hurricanes. In August 2008, Treasure Chest was closed for eight days including Labor Day weekend due to Hurricane Gustav. In September 2008, Treasure Chest was closed for two days as a result of Hurricane Ike and in 2005 the property was closed for 44 days as a result of Hurricane Katrina. Delta Downs was closed for six days in August 2008 due to Hurricane Gustav and seven days in September 2008 due to Hurricane Ike. Hurricane Gustav forced the closure of Evangeline Downs for five days in 2008 and Amelia Belle was closed from August 2005 to May 2007 due to Hurricane Katrina. In 2005, Delta Downs suffered significant property damage as a result of Hurricane Rita and closed for 42 days. In September 2011, Borgata was closed for three days due to Hurricane Irene. In October and November 2012, Borgata was closed for four days due to Superstorm Sandy.

Moreover, Blue Chip, Par-A-Dice, Sam's Town Tunica, Sam's Town Shreveport, Treasure Chest and Borgata are each located in an area that has been identified by the director of the Federal Emergency Management Agency ("FEMA") as a special flood hazard area, which, according to the FEMA statistics, has a 1% chance of a flood equal to or exceeding the base flood elevation (a 100-year flood) in any given year. Furthermore, our properties in Iowa and Kansas are at risk of experiencing snowstorms, tornadoes and flooding.

In addition to the risk of flooding and hurricanes, snowstorms and other adverse weather conditions may interrupt our operations, damage our properties and reduce the number of customers who visit our facilities in the affected area. For example, during January and February 2011, much of the country was impacted by some of the worst winter weather in decades, particularly in the Midwest. Although our properties at Blue Chip and Par-A-Dice were not closed as a result, these storms made it very difficult for our customers to visit, and we believe such winter weather had a material and adverse impact on the results of our operations during such time. Additionally, February 2010 was the snowiest month ever recorded in Atlantic City, which generally kept would-be gamblers from traveling to Borgata, contributing to a drop in Borgata's monthly revenues from January to February. The 2010 winter season was the worst on record, and travel throughout the entire Northeast was extremely difficult. The residual impact from these record winter storms resulted in day trip visitations to Atlantic City that were reduced or delayed as regional school calendars were extended in order to make up for prior school closures. Additionally, extreme heat and low precipitation levels in the latter half of the first six months of 2010, particularly in the month of June, had an adverse impact on visitation and spending at Borgata's property. If there is a prolonged disruption at Borgata or any of our other properties due to natural disasters, terrorist attacks or other catastrophic events, our results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

To maintain our gaming license for our Evangeline Downs racino, we must conduct a minimum of 80 live racing days in a consecutive 20-week period each year of live horse race meetings at the racetrack, and poor weather conditions may make it difficult for us to comply with this requirement.

While we maintain insurance coverage that may cover certain of the costs and loss of revenue that we incur as a result of some extreme weather conditions, our coverage is subject to deductibles and limits on maximum benefits. There can be no assurance that we will be able to fully collect, if at all, on any claims resulting from extreme weather conditions. If any of our properties are damaged or if their operations are disrupted as a result of extreme weather in the future, or if extreme weather adversely impacts general economic or other conditions in the areas in which our properties are located or from which they draw their patrons, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

If we are not ultimately successful in dismissing the action filed against Treasure Chest Casino, we may potentially lose our ability to operate the Treasure Chest Casino property and our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Alvin C. Copeland, the sole shareholder (deceased) of an unsuccessful applicant for a riverboat license at the location of our Treasure Chest Casino ("Treasure Chest"), has made several attempts to have the Treasure Chest license revoked and awarded to his company. In 1999 and 2000, Copeland unsuccessfully opposed the renewal of the Treasure Chest

license and has brought two separate legal actions against Treasure Chest. In November 1993, Copeland objected to the relocation of Treasure Chest from the Mississippi River to its current site on Lake Pontchartrain. The predecessor to the Louisiana Gaming Control Board allowed the relocation over Copeland's objection. Copeland then filed an appeal of the agency's decision with the Nineteenth Judicial District Court. Through a number of amendments to the appeal, Copeland unsuccessfully attempted to transform the appeal into a direct action suit and sought the revocation of the Treasure Chest license. Treasure Chest intervened in the matter in order to protect its interests. The appeal/suit, as it related to Treasure Chest, was dismissed by the District Court and that dismissal was upheld on appeal by the First Circuit Court of Appeal. Additionally, in 1999, Copeland filed a direct action against Treasure Chest and certain other parties seeking the revocation of Treasure Chest's license, an award of the license to him, and monetary damages. The suit was dismissed by the trial court, citing that Copeland failed to state a claim on which relief could be granted. The dismissal was appealed by Copeland to the Louisiana First Circuit Court of Appeal. On June 21, 2002, the First Circuit Court of Appeal reversed the trial court's decision and remanded the matter to the trial court. On January 14, 2003, we filed a motion to dismiss the matter and that motion was partially denied. The Court of Appeal refused to reverse the denial of the motion to dismiss. In May 2004,

Table of Contents

we filed additional motions to dismiss on other grounds. There was no activity regarding this matter during 2005 and 2006, and the case was set to be dismissed by the court for failure to prosecute by the plaintiffs in mid-May 2007; however on May 1, 2007, the plaintiff filed a motion to set a hearing date related to the motions to dismiss. The hearing was scheduled for September 10, 2007, at which time all parties agreed to postpone the hearing indefinitely. The hearing has not yet been rescheduled. Mr. Copeland has since passed away and his son, the executor of his estate, has petitioned the court to be substituted as plaintiff in the case. On June 9, 2009, the plaintiff filed to have the exceptions set for hearing. The parties decided to submit the exceptions to the court on the previously filed briefs. The court issued a ruling denying the exceptions on August 9, 2010. Copeland's counsel indicated a desire to move forward with the litigation and requested that the parties respond to outstanding discovery. Subsequently, on August 11, 2010, Robert J. Guidry, the co-defendant, filed a third party demand against the U.S. Attorney's Office seeking enforcement of Guidry's plea agreement which would limit Guidry's exposure in the case. On September 9, 2010, the U.S. Attorney's Office removed the suit to the U.S. District Court, Middle District of Louisiana. Guidry then filed a motion to dismiss for failing to state a cause of action, asserting the same arguments he tried in state court, which we joined. The U.S. Attorney filed a motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. The U.S. District Court heard the motions on March 16, 2011. On April 1, 2011, the U.S. Attorney's Office moved for summary judgment, maintaining its jurisdictional argument as well as seeking substantive relief. On September 2, 2011, the judge issued an Order stating that the case should be remanded to state district court. A Remand Order was issued on September 15, 2011, sending the case back to the 19th Judicial District Court, East Baton Rouge Parish, State of Louisiana. Guidry filed a motion for partial summary judgment on November 14, 2011 to limit the damages in the case. Treasure Chest joined in the motion. The hearing on the Motion for Partial Summary Judgment was held on September 10, 2012. On October 3, 2012, Judge Clark granted the motion which effectively struck Copeland's demands for lost profits, the value of the Treasure Chest license and the value of Treasure Chest's success. On October 26, 2012, Copeland filed a supervisory writ application with the First Circuit Court of Appeal asking that the partial summary judgment be reversed. Treasure Chest and Guidry opposed the writ. On February 13, 2013, the writ was denied leaving intact the partial summary judgment. Discovery is proceeding. We currently are vigorously defending the lawsuit. If this matter ultimately results in the Treasure Chest license being revoked, it could have a significant adverse effect on Treasure Chest's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our insurance coverage may not be adequate to cover all possible losses that our properties could suffer. In addition, our insurance costs may increase and we may not be able to obtain similar insurance coverage in the future. Although we have "all risk" property insurance coverage for our operating properties, which covers damage caused by a casualty loss (such as fire, natural disasters, acts of war, or terrorism), each policy has certain exclusions. In addition, our property insurance coverage is in an amount that may be significantly less than the expected replacement cost of rebuilding the facilities if there was a total loss. Our level of insurance coverage also may not be adequate to cover all losses in the event of a major casualty. In addition, certain casualty events, such as labor strikes, nuclear events, acts of war, loss of income due to cancellation of room reservations or conventions due to fear of terrorism, deterioration or corrosion, insect or animal damage and pollution, may not be covered at all under our policies. Therefore, certain acts could expose us to substantial uninsured losses.

We also have "builder's risk" insurance coverage for our development and expansion projects. Builder's risk insurance provides coverage for projects during their construction for damage caused by a casualty loss. In general, our builder's risk coverage is subject to the same exclusions, risks and deficiencies as those described above for our all-risk property coverage. Our level of builder's risk insurance coverage may not be adequate to cover all losses in the event of a major casualty.

Blue Chip, Par-A-Dice, Sam's Town Tunica, Sam's Town Shreveport, Treasure Chest and Borgata are each located in an area that has been identified by the director of the FEMA as a special flood hazard area. According to the FEMA statistics, a special flood hazard area has a 1% chance of a flood equal to or exceeding the base flood elevation (a 100-year flood) in any given year. Over a 30-year period, the risk of a 100-year flood in a special flood hazard area is 26%. Our level of flood insurance coverage may not be adequate to cover all losses in the event of a major flood. Due to flooding of the Mississippi River, Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall was closed from May 1, 2011 until May 28, 2011. Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall was damaged, and while we carry business interruption

insurance and general liability insurance, we have not settled on our claims, and this insurance may not be adequate to cover all losses in any such event.

We renew our insurance policies (other than our builder's risk insurance) on an annual basis. The cost of coverage may become so high that we may need to further reduce our policy limits or agree to certain exclusions from our coverage.

Our debt instruments and other material agreements require us to meet certain standards related to insurance coverage. Failure to satisfy these requirements could result in an event of default under these debt instruments or material agreements.

Table of Contents

We draw a significant percentage of our customers from certain geographic regions. Events adversely impacting the economy or these regions, including public health outbreaks and man-made or natural disasters, may adversely impact our business.

The California, Fremont and Main Street Station draw a substantial portion of their customers from the Hawaiian market. For the year ended December 31, 2012, patrons from Hawaii comprised 66% of the room nights sold at the California, 48% at Fremont and 52% at Main Street Station. Decreases in discretionary consumer spending, as well as an increase in fuel costs or transportation prices, a decrease in airplane seat availability, or a deterioration of relations with tour and travel agents, particularly as they affect travel between the Hawaiian market and our facilities, could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our Las Vegas properties also draw a substantial number of customers from certain other specific geographic areas, including the Southern California, Arizona and Las Vegas local markets. Native American casinos in California and other parts of the United States have diverted some potential visitors away from Nevada, which has had and could continue to have a negative effect on Nevada gaming markets. In addition, due to our significant concentration of properties in Nevada, any man-made or natural disasters in or around Nevada, or the areas from which we draw customers to our Las Vegas properties, could have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Each of our properties located outside of Nevada depends primarily on visitors from their respective surrounding regions and are subject to comparable risk.

Additionally, the expansion of casino gaming in or near the mid-Atlantic region from which Borgata attracts and expects to attract most of its customers could have a significant adverse effect on its business, results of operations and financial condition. In 2010, Pennsylvania passed legislation allowing table games at certain casinos in the state, and other states near New Jersey, including New York, Delaware, Connecticut, and Maryland have or are currently contemplating gaming legislation. The expansion of gaming facilities in nearby states will further increase competition and may adversely impact our business, financial condition and results of operations.

A number of our properties also compete with Native American tribes. Expansion of Native American gaming could have an adverse effect on such property's business, results of operations and financial condition, as Native American gaming facilities typically have a significant operating advantage over our properties due to lower gaming fees or taxes, allowing those facilities to market more aggressively and to expand or update their facilities at an accelerated rate.

The strength and profitability of our business depends on consumer demand for hotel casino resorts in general and for the type of amenities our properties offer. Changes in consumer preferences or discretionary consumer spending could harm our business. The terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, other terrorist activities in the United States and elsewhere, military conflicts in Iraq, Afghanistan and elsewhere, outbreaks of infectious disease and pandemics, adverse weather conditions and natural disasters, among other things, have had negative impacts on travel and leisure expenditures. In addition, other factors affecting travel and discretionary consumer spending, including general economic conditions, disposable consumer income, fears of further economic decline and reduced consumer confidence in the economy, may negatively impact our business. We cannot predict the extent to which similar events and conditions may continue to affect us in the future. An extended period of reduced discretionary spending and/or disruptions or declines in tourism could significantly harm our operations.

Furthermore, our facilities are subject to the risk that operations could be halted for a temporary or extended period of time, as a result of casualty, flooding, forces of nature, adverse weather conditions, mechanical failure, or extended or extraordinary maintenance, among other causes. If there is a prolonged disruption at any of our properties due to natural disasters, terrorist attacks or other catastrophic events, our results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

The outbreak of public health threats at any of our properties or in the areas in which they are located, or the perception that such threats exist, including pandemic health threats, such as the avian influenza virus, SARS, or the H1N1 flu, among others, could have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Likewise, adverse economic conditions that affect the global, national or regional economies in which we operate, whether resulting from war, terrorist activities or other geopolitical conflict, weather, general or localized

economic downturns or related events or other factors, could have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, to the extent that the airline industry is negatively impacted due to the effects of the economic recession and continued economic downturn, outbreak of war, public health threats, terrorist or similar activity, increased security restrictions or the public's general reluctance to travel by air, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected

Energy price increases may adversely affect our cost of operations and our revenues.

Our casino properties use significant amounts of electricity, natural gas and other forms of energy. In addition, our Hawaiian air charter operation uses a significant amount of jet fuel. While no shortages of energy or fuel have been experienced to date,

Table of Contents

substantial increases in energy and fuel prices, including jet fuel prices, in the United States have, and may continue to, negatively affect our results of operations. The extent of the impact is subject to the magnitude and duration of the energy and fuel price increases, of which the impact could be material. In addition, energy and gasoline price increases could result in a decline of disposable income of potential customers, an increase in the cost of travel and a corresponding decrease in visitation and spending at our properties, which could have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Borgata has an executory contract with a wholly owned subsidiary of a local utility company with terms that extend to June 2028, 20 years from the opening of The Water Club. The utility company provides Borgata with electricity and thermal energy (hot water and chilled water). Obligations under the thermal energy executory contract contain both fixed fees and variable fees based upon usage rates. The fixed fee components under the thermal energy executory contract were estimated at approximately \$11.6 million per annum at December 31, 2012. Borgata is also obligated to purchase a certain portion of its electricity demand at essentially a fixed rate which is estimated at approximately \$1.7 million per annum. Electricity demand in excess of the commitment is subject to market rates based on Borgata's tariff class.

Our facilities, including our riverboats and dockside facilities, are subject to risks relating to mechanical failure and regulatory compliance.

Generally, all of our facilities are subject to the risk that operations could be halted for a temporary or extended period of time, as the result of casualty, forces of nature, mechanical failure, or extended or extraordinary maintenance, among other causes. In addition, our gaming operations, including those conducted on riverboats or at dockside facilities could be damaged or halted due to extreme weather conditions.

We currently conduct our Treasure Chest, Par-A-Dice, Blue Chip, Sam's Town Shreveport and Amelia Belle gaming operations on riverboats. Each of our riverboats must comply with United States Coast Guard ("USCG") requirements as to boat design, on-board facilities, equipment, personnel and safety. Each riverboat must hold a Certificate of Inspection for stabilization and flotation, and may also be subject to local zoning codes. The USCG requirements establish design standards, set limits on the operation of the vessels and require individual licensing of all personnel involved with the operation of the vessels. Loss of a vessel's Certificate of Inspection would preclude its use as a casino.

USCG regulations require a hull inspection for all riverboats at five-year intervals. Under certain circumstances, alternative hull inspections may be approved. The USCG may require that such hull inspections be conducted at a dry-docking facility, and if so required, the cost of travel to and from such docking facility, as well as the time required for inspections of the affected riverboats, could be significant. To date, the USCG has allowed in-place underwater inspections of our riverboats twice every five years on alternate two and three year schedules. The USCG may not continue to allow these types of inspections in the future. The loss of a dockside casino or riverboat casino from service for any period of time could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Indiana and Louisiana have adopted alternate inspection standards for riverboats in those states. The standards require inspection by ABS Consulting ("ABSC"). ABSC inspection for our riverboats at Blue Chip, Treasure Chest and Sam's Town Shreveport commenced during 2010. The Amelia Belle is also inspected by the ABSC. The Par-A-Dice riverboat will remain inspected by the USCG for the foreseeable future. ABSC imposes essentially the same design, personnel, safety, and hull inspection standards as the USCG. Therefore, the risks to our business associated with USCG inspection should not change by reason of inspection by ABSC. Failure of a vessel to meet the applicable USCG or ABSC standards would preclude its use as a casino.

USCG regulations also require us to prepare and follow certain security programs. In 2004, we implemented the American Gaming Association's Alternative Security Program at our riverboat casinos and dockside facilities. The American Gaming Association's Alternative Security Program is specifically designed to address maritime security requirements at riverboat casinos and their respective dockside facilities. Only portions of those regulations will apply to our riverboats inspected by ABSC. Changes to these regulations could adversely affect our business, financial

condition and results of operations.

Some of our hotels and casinos are located on leased property. If we default on one or more leases, the applicable lessors could terminate the affected leases and we could lose possession of the affected hotel and/or casino.

We lease certain parcels of land on which The Orleans, Suncoast, Treasure Chest, Sam's Town Shreveport, IP and Borgata's hotel and gaming facility are located. In addition, we lease other parcels of land on which portions of the California and the Fremont are located. As a ground lessee, we have the right to use the leased land; however, we do not retain fee ownership in the underlying land. Accordingly, with respect to the leased land, we will have no interest in the land or improvements thereon at the expiration of the ground leases. Moreover, since we do not completely control the land underlying the property, a landowner could take certain actions to disrupt our rights in the land leased under the long term leases. While such interruption is unlikely, such events are beyond our control. If the entity owning any leased land chose to disrupt our use either permanently or for a significant period of time, then the value of our assets could be impaired and our business and operations could be adversely affected. If we were

Table of Contents

to default on any one or more of these leases, the applicable lessors could terminate the affected leases and we could lose possession of the affected land and any improvements on the land, including the hotels and casinos. This would have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations as we would then be unable to operate all or portions of the affected facilities.

Failure to maintain the integrity of internal customer information could adversely affect us.

Our operations require that we collect customer data, including credit card numbers and other personally identifiable information, for various business purposes, including marketing and promotional purposes. The collection and use of personal data are governed by privacy laws and regulations enacted in the United States and other jurisdictions around the world. Privacy regulations continue to evolve and on occasion may be inconsistent from one jurisdiction to another. Compliance with applicable privacy regulations may increase our operating costs and/or adversely impact our ability to market our products, properties and services to our customers. In addition, non-compliance with applicable privacy regulations by us (or in some circumstances non-compliance by third parties engaged by us) or a breach of security on systems storing our data, including due to cyber-attack, system failure, computer virus or unauthorized or fraudulent use by customers, employees or employees of third party vendors, may result in damage of reputation and/or subject us to fines, payment of damages, lawsuits or restrictions on our use or transfer of data.

Our ability to utilize our net operating loss carryforwards and certain other tax attributes may be limited.

As of June 30, 2013, we had net operating losses (“NOLs”) for federal income tax purposes. Under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code, if a corporation undergoes an “ownership change” as defined in that section, the corporation's ability to use its pre-change NOLs and other pre-change tax attributes to offset its post-change income may become subject to significant limitations. We may experience an ownership change in the future as a result of shifts in our stock ownership, which may result from the issuance of our common stock, the exercise of stock options and other equity compensation awards, as well as ordinary sales and purchases of our common stock, among other things. If an ownership change in our stock were to be triggered in the future, our subsequent ability to use any NOLs existing at that time could be significantly limited.

Risks Related to our Indebtedness

We have a significant amount of indebtedness.

If we pursue, or continue to pursue, any expansion, development, investment or renovation projects, we expect that our long-term debt will substantially increase in connection with related capital expenditures. This indebtedness could have important consequences, including:

- difficulty in satisfying our obligations under our current indebtedness;
- increasing our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions; requiring us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flows from operations to payments on our indebtedness, which would reduce the availability of our cash flows to fund working capital, capital expenditures, expansion efforts and other general corporate purposes;
- limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate; placing us at a disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt; and
- limiting, along with the financial and other restrictive covenants in our indebtedness, among other things, our ability to borrow additional funds.

Failure to comply with these covenants could result in an event of default, which, if not cured or waived, could have a significant adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our debt instruments contain, and any future debt instruments likely will contain, a number of restrictive covenants that impose significant operating and financial restrictions on us, including restrictions on our ability to, among other

things:

- incur additional debt, including providing guarantees or credit support;
- incur liens securing indebtedness or other obligations;
- make certain investments;
- dispose of assets;
- make certain acquisitions;
- pay dividends or make distributions and make other restricted payments;
- enter into sale and leaseback transactions;
- engage in any new businesses; and
- enter into transactions with our stockholders and our affiliates.

95

Table of Contents

In addition to our debt instruments, our indirect wholly owned subsidiaries, MDFC and Peninsula Gaming, each have a significant amount of indebtedness which contain restrictive covenants that impose significant operating and financial restrictions on each company, including limitations on dividends, distributions and certain other restricted payments, which could have a significant adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Boyd Gaming Credit Facility

Principal of \$437.5 million of term loans under our Credit Facility, as of June 30, 2013, amortizes in an annual amount equal to 5% of the original principal amount thereof, commencing March 31, 2011, payable on a quarterly basis. The interest rate per annum applicable to such term loans are based upon, at our option, LIBOR or the "base rate," plus an applicable margin in either case. The applicable margin is a percentage per annum as determined in accordance with a specified pricing grid based on the total leverage ratio. Principal of \$323.8 million of term loans under our Credit Facility, as of June 30, 2013, amortizes in an annual amount equal to 5% of the original principal amount thereof, commencing in March 2012 and payable on a quarterly basis. At any time and to the extent that such term loan is a Eurodollar Rate Loan, the term loan will bear interest on the outstanding principal amount thereof for each quarterly interest period at a rate per year equal to the "effective Eurodollar Rate" for such period plus 4.75%, and at any time and to the extent that such term loan bears interest at the base rate, the outstanding principal amount thereof at a rate per year equal to the base rate for such Interest period plus 3.75%, in the latter case, subject to an interest rate floor. The applicable margin on the outstanding balance on the revolver portion of our Credit Facility and the \$437.5 million of term loans under our Credit Facility ranges from 2.50% to 3.50% (if using LIBOR), and from 1.50% to 2.50% (if using the base rate). A fee of a percentage per annum (which ranges from 0.250% to 0.500%) determined by the level of the total leverage ratio is payable on the unused portions of the Credit Facility. The "base rate" under the Credit Facility is the highest of (x) Bank of America's publicly-announced prime rate, (y) the federal funds rate plus 0.50%, or (z) the Eurodollar rate for a one month period plus 1.00%.

The blended interest rate for outstanding borrowings under our Credit Facility was 4.2% at each of December 31, 2012 and 2011. Our obligations under the Credit Facility, subject to certain exceptions, are guaranteed by certain of our subsidiaries and are secured by the capital stock of certain subsidiaries. In addition, subject to certain exceptions, we and each of the guarantors granted the administrative agent first priority liens and security interests on substantially all of our and their real and personal property (other than gaming licenses and subject to certain other exceptions) as additional security for the performance of the secured obligations under the Credit Facility. The Credit Facility contains certain financial and other covenants, including, without limitation, various covenants that:

- require the maintenance of a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio;
- establish a maximum permitted consolidated total leverage ratio;
- establish a maximum permitted secured leverage ratio;
- impose limitations on the incurrence of indebtedness;
- impose limitations on transfers, sales and other dispositions; and
- impose restrictions on investments, dividends and certain other payments.

Subject to certain exceptions, we may be required to repay the amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility in connection with certain asset sales and issuances of certain additional secured indebtedness.

Our Credit Facility requires us to maintain a minimum Interest Coverage Ratio, a maximum permitted Total Leverage Ratio and a maximum permitted Secured Leverage Ratio (each as defined in the Credit Facility) that adjust over the life of our Credit Facility. We believe that we were in compliance with the Credit Facility covenants, including the minimum Interest Coverage Ratio, the maximum permitted Total Leverage Ratio and the maximum permitted Secured Leverage Ratio at the end of the fiscal quarter.

However, in the event that we project that our future performance may result in our not being in compliance with these covenants, we could implement certain actions in an effort to minimize the possibility of a breach of the maximum permitted Total Leverage Ratio, the maximum permitted Secured Leverage Ratio and the minimum Interest Coverage Ratio covenants. These actions may include, among others, reducing payroll, benefits and certain other operating costs, deferring or eliminating certain maintenance, expansion or other capital expenditures, reducing our outstanding indebtedness through repurchases or redemption, and/or increasing cash by selling assets or issuing equity.

Peninsula Credit Facility

In connection with the Peninsula Acquisition, Peninsula Gaming (as successor to Boyd Acquisition Sub, LLC, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Boyd ("Merger Sub")) entered into a Credit Agreement (the "Peninsula Credit Agreement") dated as of November 14, 2012, with the lenders party thereto and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, collateral agent, swing line lender, and L/C issuer. The Peninsula Credit Agreement provides for the \$875.0 million Peninsula Credit Facility, which

Table of Contents

consists of (a) a term loan facility of \$825.0 million and (b) a revolving credit facility of \$50.0 million. The maturity date for obligations under the Peninsula Credit Facility is November 17, 2017.

The interest rate on the outstanding balance of the term loan is based upon, at Peninsula's option either: (i) the Eurodollar rate plus 3.25%, or (ii) the base rate plus 2.25%. The interest rate on the outstanding balance from time to time of the revolving loans is based upon, at the Peninsula's option either: (i) the Eurodollar rate plus 4.00%, or (ii) the base rate plus 3.00%. The base rate under the Peninsula Credit Facility is the highest of (x) Bank of America's publicly-announced prime rate, (y) the federal funds rate plus 0.50%, or (z) the Eurodollar rate for a one-month period plus 1.00%. The Peninsula Credit Facility also establishes, with respect to outstanding balances under the Term Loan, a minimum Eurodollar rate for any interest period of 1.00%. In addition, Peninsula Gaming will incur a commitment fee on the unused portion of the Peninsula Credit Facility at a per annum rate of 0.50%.

Peninsula Gaming's obligations under the Peninsula Credit Facility, subject to certain exceptions, are guaranteed by Peninsula Gaming's subsidiaries and are secured by the capital stock and equity interests of Peninsula Gaming's subsidiaries. In addition, subject to certain exceptions, Peninsula Gaming and each of the guarantors granted the collateral agent first priority liens and security interests on substantially all of the real and personal property (other than gaming licenses and subject to certain other exceptions) of Peninsula Gaming and its subsidiaries as additional security for the performance of the obligations under the Peninsula Credit Facility. The obligations under the revolver rank first in right of payment to the obligations under the term loan. The Peninsula Credit Facility contains customary affirmative and negative covenants (and are subject to customary exceptions). Peninsula Gaming is required to maintain (i) beginning with the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2013, a maximum consolidated leverage ratio over each twelve month period ending on the last day of each fiscal quarter (discussed below), (ii) beginning with the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2013, a minimum consolidated interest coverage ratio of 2.00 to 1.00 as of the end of each calendar quarter, and (iii) a maximum amount of capital expenditures for each fiscal year.

The minimum Consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio is calculated as (a) twelve-month trailing Consolidated EBITDA, to (b) consolidated interest expense.

The maximum permitted consolidated Leverage Ratio is calculated as Consolidated Fund Indebtedness less Excess Cash to twelve-month trailing Consolidated EBITDA.

Capital Expenditures should not be made by Peninsula Gaming or any of its Restricted Subsidiaries (excluding (i) capital expenditures which adds to or improves any existing property and (ii) capital expenditures made prior to the first anniversary of the Funding Date relating to integration and/or transition of business systems) in an aggregate amount in excess of \$20.0 million in any fiscal year; provided that no default has occurred and is continuing or would result from such expenditure, any portion of such maximum amount, if not expended in the fiscal year for which it is permitted, may be carried over for expenditure in the next following fiscal year.

While we are not guarantors of the Peninsula Credit Facility, the Peninsula Credit Agreement contains other financial and other covenants that could affect Peninsula Gaming's ability to pay dividends to us, including, without limitation, various covenants that:

- impose limitations on the incurrence of indebtedness;
- impose limitations on transfers, sales and other dispositions; and
- impose restrictions on investments, dividends and certain other payments.

Borgata Amended and Restated Credit Agreement

Borgata has significant indebtedness which could affect its ability to pay dividends to us. While we received a one-time distribution from Borgata of approximately \$135.4 million in August 2010 in connection with Borgata's financing, any future distribution from Borgata (other than distributions to satisfy tax liabilities relating to income of

Borgata) will be subject to the limitations on dividends, distributions and certain other restricted payments under the Borgata Revolving Credit Facility and the indenture governing the Borgata's senior secured notes.

On July 24, 2013, MDFC entered into New Borgata Credit Facility with MDDC, certain financial institutions, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, letter of credit issuer and swing line lender. The New Borgata Credit Facility replaces the Prior Borgata Credit Agreement, which provided for the Borgata bank credit facility. The New Borgata Credit Facility provides for the Borgata Revolving Credit Facility which matures in February 2018 (or earlier upon the occurrence or non-occurrence of certain events). Proceeds from the New Borgata Credit Facility will be used to repay obligations outstanding under the Prior Borgata Credit Agreement and is expected to be used, together with cash on hand, to redeem up to 10% of the Borgata 2015 Notes outstanding pursuant to the Borgata Indenture among MDFC, MDDC and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee.

Table of Contents

The New Borgata Credit Facility includes an accordion feature which permits: (a) an increase in the Borgata Revolving Credit Facility in an amount not to exceed \$15 million and (b) the issuance of senior secured term loans to refinance the 2015 Borgata Notes and, concurrently with or after the 2015 Borgata Notes have been refinanced, to refinance the 2018 Borgata Notes outstanding pursuant to the Borgata Indenture, in each case, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions.

The New Borgata Credit Facility is guaranteed on a senior secured basis by MDDC and any future subsidiaries of MDDC and is secured by a first priority lien on substantially all of the assets of MDFC, MDDC and any future subsidiaries of MDDC, subject to certain exceptions. The obligations under the Borgata Revolving Credit Facility will have priority in payment to the payment of the 2015 Borgata Notes and the 2018 Borgata Notes. Neither we nor our subsidiaries (other than MDDC) is a guarantor of the New Borgata Credit Facility.

Outstanding borrowings under the Borgata Revolving Credit Facility accrue interest, at the option of MDFC, at a rate based upon either: (i) the highest of (a) the agent bank's quoted prime rate, (b) the one-month Eurodollar rate plus 1.00%, and (c) the daily federal funds rate plus 0.50%, or (ii) the Eurodollar rate, plus with respect to each of clause (i) and (ii), an applicable margin as specified in the New Borgata Credit Facility. In addition, a commitment fee is incurred on the unused portion of the Borgata Revolving Credit Facility ranging from 0.50% per annum to 0.75% per annum.

The New Borgata Credit Facility contains customary affirmative and negative covenants, including but not limited to, (i) establishing a minimum Consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the New Borgata Credit Facility) of \$110 million over each trailing twelve-month period ending on the last day of each calendar quarter; (ii) imposing limitations on MDFC's and MDDC's ability to incur additional debt, create liens, enter into transactions with affiliates, merge or consolidate, and engage in unrelated business activities; and (iii) imposing restrictions on MDDC's ability to pay dividends.

The increase in our consolidated leverage and debt service obligations as a result of the Peninsula Acquisition, may adversely affect our consolidated financial condition, results of operations and earnings per share.

As a result of the Peninsula Acquisition, we now have a greater amount of debt on a consolidated basis than we have maintained in the past. Our maintenance of higher levels of indebtedness could have adverse consequences including impairing our ability to obtain additional financing in the future.

Our ability to meet our expenses and debt obligations will depend on our future performance, which will be affected by financial, business, economic, regulatory and other factors. Furthermore, our operations may not generate sufficient cash flows to enable us to meet our expenses and service our debt. As a result, we may need to enter into new financing arrangements to obtain the necessary funds. If we determine that it is necessary to seek additional funding for any reason, we may not be able to obtain such funding or, if funding is available, obtain it on acceptable terms. If we fail to make a payment on our debt, we could be in default on such debt, and this default could cause us to be in default on our other outstanding indebtedness.

The terms of the Peninsula Gaming indebtedness limits the payment of dividends (other than tax distributions), distributions and management fees from Peninsula Gaming to Boyd Acquisition II, LLC ("HoldCo"). The promissory note that HoldCo entered into upon the closing of the Peninsula Acquisition (the "HoldCo Note"), which we entered into upon the closing of the Peninsula Acquisition, imposes limitations on HoldCo and on Peninsula Gaming and Peninsula Gaming's subsidiaries with respect to (i) incurrence of indebtedness, (ii) liens, (iii) consolidations and mergers, (iv) sales and other dispositions of assets and (v) restricted payments, including investments. Subject to certain exceptions, we may be required to repay the amounts outstanding under the HoldCo Note in connection with certain assets sales by Peninsula Gaming or upon a change of control.

To service our indebtedness, we will require a significant amount of cash. Our ability to generate cash depends on many factors beyond our control.

Our ability to make payments on and to refinance our indebtedness and to fund planned capital expenditures and expansion efforts will depend upon our ability to generate cash in the future. This, to a certain extent, is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors that are beyond our control.

It is unlikely that our business will generate sufficient cash flows from operations, or that future borrowings will be available to us under our Credit Facility in amounts sufficient to enable us to pay our indebtedness, as such indebtedness matures and to fund our other liquidity needs. We believe that we will need to refinance all or a portion of our indebtedness, at or before maturity, and cannot provide assurances that we will be able to refinance any of our indebtedness, including our Credit Facility, on commercially reasonable terms, or at all. We may have to adopt one or more alternatives, such as reducing or delaying planned expenses and capital expenditures, selling assets, restructuring debt, or obtaining additional equity or debt financing or joint venture partners. These financing strategies may not be affected on satisfactory terms, if at all. In addition, certain states' laws contain restrictions on the ability of companies engaged in the gaming business to undertake certain financing transactions. Some restrictions may prevent us from obtaining necessary capital.

Table of Contents

We and our subsidiaries may still be able to incur substantially more debt, which could further exacerbate the risks described above.

We and our subsidiaries may be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future. The terms of the indenture governing our senior and senior subordinated notes will not fully prohibit us or our subsidiaries from doing so. Borrowings under the Credit Facility, the Peninsula Credit Facility and the Borgata Revolving Credit Facility would be effectively senior to our senior and senior subordinated notes and the guarantees of our subsidiary guarantors to the extent of the value of the collateral securing such borrowings. If new debt is added to our, or our subsidiaries', current debt levels, the related risks that we or they now face could intensify.

Borgata may be unable to refinance its indebtedness.

Borgata's ability to refinance its indebtedness will depend on its ability to generate future cash flow and Borgata is entirely dependent on its operations, including the Water Club, for all of its cash flow. Its ability to generate cash in the future, to a certain extent, is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors that are beyond its control.

It is unlikely that Borgata's business will generate sufficient cash flows from operations in amounts sufficient to enable it to pay the principal on its indebtedness at maturity and to fund its other liquidity needs. We believe Borgata will need to refinance all or a portion of its indebtedness before maturity, and we cannot provide assurances that it will be able to repay or refinance its indebtedness on commercially reasonable terms, or at all. Borgata may have to adopt one or more alternatives, such as reducing or delaying planned expenses and capital expenditures, selling assets, restructuring debt, or obtaining additional equity or debt financing or joint venture partners. These financing strategies may not be affected on satisfactory terms, if at all. In addition, New Jersey laws and regulations contain restrictions on the ability of companies engaged in the gaming business to undertake certain financing transactions. Such restrictions may prevent Borgata from obtaining necessary capital.

If we are unable to finance our expansion, development, investment and renovation projects, as well as other capital expenditures, through cash flow, borrowings under the credit facility and additional financings, our expansion, development, investment and renovation efforts will be jeopardized.

We intend to finance our current and future expansion, development, investment and renovation projects, as well as our other capital expenditures, primarily with cash flow from operations, borrowings under the Credit Facility, and equity or debt financings. If we are unable to finance our current or future expansion, development, investment and renovation projects, or our other capital expenditures, we will have to adopt one or more alternatives, such as reducing, delaying or abandoning planned expansion, development, investment and renovation projects as well as other capital expenditures, selling assets, restructuring debt, obtaining additional equity financing or joint venture partners, or modifying the Credit Facility. These sources of funds may not be sufficient to finance our expansion, development, investment and renovation projects, and other financing may not be available on acceptable terms, in a timely manner, or at all. In addition, our existing indebtedness contains certain restrictions on our ability to incur additional indebtedness.

Recently, there were significant disruptions in the global capital markets that adversely impacted the ability of borrowers to access capital. Although the financial markets have seen recent signs of recovery and increased availability of capital, the financial markets are still fragile and remain volatile. We anticipate that we will be able to fund any expansion projects using cash flows from operations and availability under the Credit Facility (to the extent that availability exists after we meet our working capital needs).

If availability under the Credit Facility does not exist or we are otherwise unable to make sufficient borrowings thereunder, any additional financing that is needed may not be available to us or, if available, may not be on terms favorable to us. As a result, if we are unable to obtain adequate project financing in a timely manner, or at all, we may be forced to sell assets in order to raise capital for projects, limit the scope of, or defer such projects, or cancel the projects altogether. In the event that capital markets do not improve and we are unable to access capital with more favorable terms, additional equity and/or credit support may be necessary to obtain construction financing for the remaining cost of the project.

Risks Related to the Peninsula Acquisition

We may face integration difficulties and may be unable to integrate Peninsula Gaming's business into Boyd Gaming's business successfully or realize the anticipated benefits of the Peninsula Acquisition.

The merger involved the combination of two companies that previously operated as independent companies. Peninsula Gaming is now an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Boyd Gaming. We have been required, and will continue to be required, to devote significant management attention and resources to integrating the business practices and operations of each of the companies. Potential difficulties we may encounter as part of the integration process include the following:

- the inability to successfully combine our two businesses in a manner that permits the us to achieve the full revenue and other benefits anticipated to result from the Peninsula Acquisition;

Table of Contents

complexities associated with managing the combined businesses, including difficulty addressing possible differences in corporate cultures and management philosophies and the challenge of integrating complex systems, technology, networks and other assets of each of the companies in a seamless manner that minimizes any adverse impact on customers, suppliers, employees and other constituencies; and potential unknown liabilities and unforeseen increased expenses associated with the Peninsula Acquisition.

In addition, it is possible that the integration process could result in:

• diversion of the attention of each company's management; and
• the disruption of, or the loss of momentum in, each company's ongoing businesses or inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies,

any of which could adversely affect our ability to maintain relationships with customers, suppliers, employees and other constituencies or our ability to achieve the anticipated benefits of the Peninsula Acquisition, or could reduce our earnings or otherwise adversely affect our business and financial results.

Our future results may differ materially from the unaudited pro forma financial statements that we have previously disclosed.

The pro forma financial statements that we have previously disclosed are presented for illustrative purposes only, are based on various adjustments, assumptions and preliminary estimates and may not be an indication of our financial condition or results of operations following the Peninsula Acquisition for several reasons. Our actual financial condition and results of operations following the Peninsula Acquisition may not be consistent with, or evident from, these pro forma financial statements. In addition, the assumptions used in preparing the pro forma financial information may not prove to be accurate, and other factors may affect our financial condition or results of operations following the Peninsula Acquisition. Any potential decline in our financial condition or results of operations may cause significant variations to our stock price.

Our future results could suffer if we cannot effectively manage our expanded operations following the Peninsula Acquisition.

Following the Peninsula Acquisition, the size of the combined businesses is significantly larger than the previous size of either Boyd Gaming's or Peninsula Gaming's business. Our future success depends, in part, upon our ability to manage this expanded business, which will pose substantial challenges for management, including challenges related to the management and monitoring of new operations and associated increased costs and complexity. There can be no assurances that we will be successful or that we will realize any operating efficiencies, cost savings, revenue enhancements or other benefits currently anticipated from the Peninsula Acquisition.

We expect to further incur substantial expenses related to the Peninsula Acquisition and the integration of our businesses.

We have already incurred and expect to incur further substantial expenses in connection with the Peninsula Acquisition and the integration of our businesses. There are a large number of processes, policies, procedures, operations, technologies and systems that must be integrated, including purchasing, accounting and finance, sales, payroll, pricing, marketing and benefits. While we have assumed that a certain level of expenses will be incurred, there are many factors beyond our control that could affect the total amount or the timing of the integration expenses. Moreover, many of the expenses that will be incurred are, by their nature, difficult to estimate accurately. These integration expenses likely will result in us taking significant charges against earnings, and the amount and timing of such charges are uncertain at present.

The increase in our consolidated leverage and debt service obligations as a result of the Peninsula Acquisition may adversely affect our consolidated financial condition, results of operations and earnings per share

As a result of the Peninsula Acquisition, we have a greater amount of debt on a consolidated basis than we had maintained in the past. Our maintenance of higher levels of indebtedness could have adverse consequences including impairing our ability to obtain additional financing in the future.

Our ability to meet our expenses and debt obligations will depend on our future performance, which will be affected by financial, business, economic, regulatory and other factors. Furthermore, our operations may not generate sufficient cash flows to enable us to meet our expenses and service our debt. As a result, we may need to enter into new financing arrangements to obtain the necessary funds. If we determine that it is necessary to seek additional funding for any reason, we may not be able to obtain such funding or, if funding is available, obtain it on acceptable terms. If we fail to make a payment on our debt, we could be in default on such debt, and this default could cause us to be in default on our other outstanding indebtedness.

The terms of the Peninsula Gaming indebtedness limit payment of dividends (other than tax distributions), distributions and management fees from Peninsula Gaming to HoldCo. The HoldCo Note imposes limitations on HoldCo and on Peninsula Gaming and Peninsula Gaming's subsidiaries with respect to (i) incurrence of indebtedness, (ii) liens, (iii) consolidations and mergers, (iv) sales and other dispositions of assets and (v) restricted payments, including investments. Subject to certain exceptions, we may

Table of Contents

be required to repay the amounts outstanding under the HoldCo Note in connection with certain assets sales by Peninsula Gaming or upon a change of control.

Risks Related to our Equity Ownership

Our common stock price may fluctuate substantially, and a shareholder's investment could decline in value. The market price of our common stock may fluctuate substantially due to many factors, including:

- actual or anticipated fluctuations in our results of operations;
- announcements of significant acquisitions or other agreements by us or by our competitors;
- our sale of common stock or other securities in the future;
- trading volume of our common stock;
- conditions and trends in the gaming and destination entertainment industries;
- changes in the estimation of the future size and growth of our markets; and
- general economic conditions, including, without limitation, changes in the cost of fuel and air travel.

In addition, the stock market in general has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to companies' operating performance. Broad market and industry factors may materially harm the market price of our common stock, regardless of our operating performance. In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a company's securities, shareholder derivative lawsuits and/or securities class action litigation has often been instituted against that company. Such litigation, if instituted against us, could result in substantial costs and a diversion of management's attention and resources.

Certain of our stockholders own large interests in our capital stock and may significantly influence our affairs.

William S. Boyd, our Executive Chairman of the Board of Directors, together with his immediate family, beneficially owned approximately 34% of the Company's outstanding shares of common stock as of December 31, 2012. As such, the Boyd family has the ability to significantly influence our affairs, including the election of members of our Board of Directors and, except as otherwise provided by law, approving or disapproving other matters submitted to a vote of our stockholders, including a merger, consolidation, or sale of assets.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibits

| Exhibit No. | Document of Exhibit | Method of Filing |
|-------------|--|--|
| 10.1 | First Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated May 1, 2013, among PGL, certain financial institutions and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent for the Lenders. | Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 6, 2013. |
| 10.2 | Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of July 24, 2013, among MDFC, MDDC, Wells Fargo, National Association, as administrative agent for the Lenders, L/C Issuer and Swing Line Lender, and the other Lenders party thereto. | Incorporated by reference from the MDFC's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 26, 2013. |
| 31.1 | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Exchange Act rule 13a-14(a). | Filed electronically herewith |
| 31.2 | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Exchange Act rule 13a-14(a). | Filed electronically herewith |
| 32.1 | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. § 1350. | Filed electronically herewith |
| 32.2 | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. § 1350. | Filed electronically herewith |
| 101 | The following materials from Boyd Gaming Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, (ii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, (iii) Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2013, (iv) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, and (vi) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.* | Filed electronically herewith |

* Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on August 9, 2013.

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION

By: /S/ ANTHONY D. MCDUFFIE
Anthony D. McDuffie
Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT LIST

| Exhibit No. | Document of Exhibit | Method of Filing |
|-------------|--|--|
| 10.1 | First Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated May 1, 2013, among PGL, certain financial institutions and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent for the Lenders. | Incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 6, 2013. |
| 10.2 | Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of July 24, 2013, among MDFC, MDDC, Wells Fargo, National Association, as administrative agent for the Lenders, L/C Issuer and Swing Line Lender, and the other Lenders party thereto. | Incorporated by reference from the MDFC's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 26, 2013. |
| 31.1 | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Exchange Act rule 13a-14(a). | Filed electronically herewith |
| 31.2 | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Exchange Act rule 13a-14(a). | Filed electronically herewith |
| 32.1 | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. § 1350. | Filed electronically herewith |
| 32.2 | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. § 1350. | Filed electronically herewith |
| 101 | The following materials from Boyd Gaming Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, (ii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, (iii) Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2013, (iv) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, and (vi) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.* | Filed electronically herewith |

* Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.