

UDR, Inc.
Form 10-K
February 27, 2012

Table of Contents

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
Form 10-K**

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended **December 31, 2011**

Or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission file number **1-10524 (UDR, Inc.)**
Commission file number **333-156002-01 (United Dominion Realty, L.P.)**
UDR, INC.
United Dominion Realty, L.P.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland (UDR, Inc.)	54-0857512
Delaware (United Dominion Realty, L.P.)	54-1776887
<i>(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)</i>	<i>(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)</i>

1745 Shea Center Drive, Suite 200, Highlands Ranch, Colorado 80129
(Address of principal executive offices) (zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(720) 283-6120**
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
----------------------------	--

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value (UDR, Inc.)	New York Stock Exchange
6.75% Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (UDR, Inc.)	New York Stock Exchange
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None	
<i>(Title of Class)</i>	

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

UDR, Inc.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
United Dominion Realty, L.P.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

UDR, Inc.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
United Dominion Realty, L.P.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was

required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

UDR, Inc.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
United Dominion Realty, L.P.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

UDR, Inc.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
United Dominion Realty, L.P.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

UDR, Inc.:

Large accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/>
---	--	--	--

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

United Dominion Realty, L.P.:

Large accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/>
--	--	---	--

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

UDR, Inc.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
United Dominion Realty, L.P.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

The aggregate market value of the shares of common stock of UDR, Inc. held by non-affiliates on June 30, 2011 was approximately \$2.6 billion. This calculation excludes shares of common stock held by the registrant's officers and directors and each person known by the registrant to beneficially own more than 5% of the registrant's outstanding shares, as such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. This determination of affiliate status should not be deemed conclusive for any other purpose. As of February 17, 2012 there were 223,340,334 shares of UDR, Inc.'s common stock outstanding.

There is no public trading market for the partnership units of United Dominion Realty, L.P. As a result, an aggregate market value of the partnership units of United Dominion Realty, L.P. cannot be determined.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The information required by Part III of this Report, to the extent not set forth herein, is incorporated by reference from UDR, Inc.'s definitive proxy statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 15, 2012.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
<u>PART I</u>	
<u>Item 1. Business</u>	2
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	15
<u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	28
<u>Item 2. Properties</u>	29
<u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	31
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	31
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	32
<u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u>	35
<u>Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	38
<u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	66
<u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	66
<u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	67
<u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	67
<u>Item 9B. Other Information</u>	68
<u>PART III</u>	
<u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	69
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	69
<u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	69
<u>Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	69

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

70

EX-10.42

EX-10.43

EX-12.1

EX-12.2

EX-21

EX-23.1

EX-23.2

EX-31.1

EX-31.2

EX-31.3

EX-31.4

EX-32.1

EX-32.2

EX-32.3

EX-32.4

EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT

EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT

EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

Table of Contents

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This report combines the annual reports on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 of UDR, Inc. a Maryland corporation, and United Dominion Realty, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, of which UDR is the parent company and sole general partner. Unless the context otherwise requires, all references in this Report to we, us, our, the Company, UDR, or UDR, Inc. refer collectively to UDR, Inc., together with its consolidated subsidiaries and joint ventures, including the Operating Partnership. Unless the context otherwise requires, the references in this Report to the Operating Partnership refer to United Dominion Realty, L.P. together with its consolidated subsidiaries. Common stock refers to the common stock of UDR and stockholders means the holders of shares of UDR's common stock and preferred stock. The limited partnership interests of the Operating Partnership are referred to as OP Units and the holders of the OP Units are referred to as unitholders. This combined Form 10-K is being filed separately by UDR and the Operating Partnership.

There are a number of differences between our company and our operating partnership, which are reflected in our disclosure in this report. UDR is a real estate investment trust (a REIT), whose most significant asset is its ownership interest in the Operating Partnership. UDR also conducts business through other subsidiaries and operating partnerships, including its subsidiary RE³, whose activities include development of land. UDR acts as the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, holds interests in other operating partnerships, subsidiaries and joint ventures, owns and operates properties, issues securities from time to time and guarantees debt of certain of our subsidiaries. The Operating Partnership conducts the operations of a substantial portion of the business and is structured as a partnership with no publicly traded equity securities. The Operating Partnership has guaranteed certain outstanding securities of UDR.

As of December 31, 2011, UDR owned 110,883 units of the general partnership interests of the Operating Partnership and 174,749,068 units (or approximately 94.9%) of the limited partnership interests of the Operating Partnership (the OP Units). UDR conducts a substantial amount of its business and holds a substantial amount of its assets through the Operating Partnership, and, by virtue of its ownership of the OP Units and being the Operating Partnership's sole general partner, UDR has the ability to control all of the day-to-day operations of the Operating Partnership. Separate financial statements and accompanying notes, as well as separate discussions under Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchasers of Equity Securities and Controls and Procedures are provided for each of UDR and the Operating Partnership. In addition, certain disclosures in Business are separated by entity to the extent that the discussion relates to UDR's business outside of the Operating Partnership.

Table of Contents

PART I

Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Such forward-looking statements include, without limitation, statements concerning property acquisitions and dispositions, development activity and capital expenditures, capital raising activities, rent growth, occupancy, and rental expense growth. Words such as expects, anticipates, intends, plans, likely, will, believes, seeks, estimates, and variations of such words and similar are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. Such statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from the results of operations or plans expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such factors include, among other things, unfavorable changes in the apartment market, changing economic conditions, the impact of inflation/deflation on rental rates and property operating expenses, expectations concerning availability of capital and the stabilization of the capital markets, the impact of competition and competitive pricing, acquisitions, developments and redevelopments not achieving anticipated results, delays in completing developments, redevelopments and lease-ups on schedule, expectations on job growth, home affordability and demand/supply ratio for multifamily housing, expectations concerning development and redevelopment activities, and expectations on occupancy levels. Although we believe that the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements contained herein are reasonable, any of the assumptions could be inaccurate, and therefore such statements included in this Annual Report may not prove to be accurate. In light of the significant uncertainties inherent in the forward-looking statements included herein, the inclusion of such information should not be regarded as a representation by us or any other person that the results or conditions described in such statements or our objectives and plans will be achieved. For a further discussion of these and other factors that could impact future results, performance or transactions, see Item 1A. Risk Factors elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Forward-looking statements and such risks, uncertainties and other factors speak only as of the date of this Annual Report, and we expressly disclaim any obligation or undertaking to update or revise any forward-looking statement contained herein, to reflect any change in our expectations with regard thereto, or any other change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based, except to the extent otherwise required by law.

Item 1. BUSINESS

General

UDR is a self administered real estate investment trust, or REIT, that owns, operates, acquires, renovates, develops, redevelops, and manages multifamily apartment communities generally located in high barrier-to-entry markets located throughout the United States. The high barrier-to-entry markets are characterized by limited land for new construction, difficult and lengthy entitlement process, expensive single-family home prices and significant employment growth potential. At December 31, 2011, our consolidated apartment portfolio included 163 communities located in 22 markets, with a total of 47,343 completed apartment homes, which are held through our operating partnerships, including the Operating Partnership, our subsidiaries and consolidated joint ventures. In addition, we have an ownership interest in 39 communities containing 10,496 completed apartment homes through unconsolidated joint ventures. At December 31, 2011, the Company is developing seven wholly-owned communities with 2,108 apartment homes, 145 of which have been completed.

At December 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership's consolidated apartment portfolio included 77 communities located in 17 markets, with a total of 23,160 completed apartment homes. The Operating Partnership owns, operates, acquires, renovates, develops, redevelops, and manages multifamily apartment communities generally located in high barrier-to-entry markets located throughout the United States. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, revenues of the Operating Partnership represented approximately 53% of our total rental revenues.

Table of Contents

UDR elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, which we refer to in this Report as the Code. To continue to qualify as a REIT, we must continue to meet certain tests which, among other things, generally require that our assets consist primarily of real estate assets, our income be derived primarily from real estate assets, and that we distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (other than our net capital gains) to our stockholders annually. As a qualified REIT, we generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income taxes at the corporate level on our net income to the extent we distribute such net income to our stockholders annually. In 2011, we declared total distributions of \$0.80 per common share and paid dividends of \$0.77 per common share.

	Dividends Declared in 2011	Dividends Paid in 2011
First Quarter	\$ 0.185	\$ 0.185
Second Quarter	0.200	0.185
Third Quarter	0.200	0.200
Fourth Quarter	0.215	0.200
Total	\$ 0.800	\$ 0.770

UDR was formed in 1972 as a Virginia corporation. In June 2003, we changed our state of incorporation from Virginia to Maryland. The Operating Partnership was formed in 2004 as Delaware limited partnership. The Operating Partnership is the successor-in-interest to United Dominion Realty, L.P., a limited partnership formed under the laws of Virginia, which commenced operations in 1995. Our corporate offices are located at 1745 Shea Center Drive, Suite 200, Highlands Ranch, Colorado and our telephone number is (720) 283-6120. Our website is located at www.udr.com.

As of February 17, 2012, we had 1,652 full-time associates and 98 part-time associates, all of whom were employed by UDR.

Reporting Segments

We report in two segments: Same Communities and Non-Mature/Other Communities. Our Same Communities segment includes those communities acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to January 1, 2010, and held as of December 31, 2011. These communities were owned and had stabilized occupancy and operating expenses as of the beginning of the prior year, there is no plan to conduct substantial redevelopment activities, and the community is not classified as held for sale at year end. A community is considered to have stabilized occupancy once it achieves 90% occupancy for at least three consecutive months. Our Non-Mature/Other Communities segment includes those communities that were acquired or developed in 2009, 2010 or 2011, sold properties, redevelopment properties, properties classified as real estate held for sale, condominium conversion properties, joint venture properties, properties managed by third parties, and the non-apartment components of mixed use properties. For additional information regarding our operating segments, see Note 15 to UDR's consolidated financial statements and Note 11 to the Operating Partnership's consolidated financial statements.

Business Objectives

Our principal business objective is to maximize the economic returns of our apartment communities to provide our stockholders with the greatest possible total return and value. To achieve this objective, we intend to continue to pursue the following goals and strategies:

- own and operate apartments in markets that have the best growth prospects based on favorable job formation and low home affordability, thus enhancing stability and predictability of returns to our stockholders;
- manage real estate cycles by taking an opportunistic approach to buying, selling, renovating, and developing apartment communities;
- empower site associates to manage our communities efficiently and effectively;
- measure and reward associates based on specific performance targets; and

manage our capital structure to help enhance predictability of earnings and dividends.

Table of Contents

2011 Highlights

We acquired eight operating communities with 3,161 homes located in New York, New York; San Francisco, California; Boston, Massachusetts; and Metropolitan D.C. markets for \$1.5 billion. We also acquired three parcels of land for \$34.3 million.

We entered into a consolidated joint venture to acquire and redevelop a commercial property into a 173-apartment home community in Orange County, California. We also entered into two unconsolidated joint ventures to develop a 263-apartment home community in San Diego, California and a 256-apartment home community in College Park, Maryland.

One of our unconsolidated joint ventures acquired two operating communities with 509 homes in the Washington, D.C. market for \$237.8 million.

We repaid \$336.0 million of secured debt. The \$336.0 million of secured debt includes \$197.5 million of construction loans, repayment of \$102.8 million of credit facilities, \$22.4 million of mortgage payments, and repayment of \$13.3 million in tax exempt bonds.

Certain holders submitted their outstanding 4.00% Convertible Senior Notes due 2035 to the Company for repurchase. As a result, we repurchased notes with a notional value of \$10.8 million, representing approximately 6.44% of the \$167.8 million in aggregate principal amount outstanding, and expensed \$207,000 of unamortized financing costs during the three months ended March 31, 2011. On March 2, 2011 the Company called the remaining outstanding notes with a notional value of \$156.9 million. The notes were redeemed on April 4, 2011 and unamortized financing costs of \$3.0 million were written off.

We issued \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 4.250% senior unsecured notes due June 2018 under our existing shelf registration statement. Interest is payable semiannually beginning in December 2011. The notes were priced at 98.988% of the principal amount plus accrued interest from May 23, 2011 to yield 4.419% to maturity. The notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Operating Partnership.

We repaid \$97.1 million on the maturity of our 3.625% Convertible Senior Notes due September 2011.

We entered into a new \$900 million unsecured revolving credit facility, replacing the Company's \$600 million credit facility. The Operating Partnership issued a guarantee in connection with the new facility, similar to the guarantee it issued under the prior facility. The new credit facility has an initial term of four years and includes a one-year extension option, and contains an accordion feature that allows the Company to increase the facility to \$1.35 billion. Based on the Company's current credit ratings, the credit facility carries an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus a spread of 122.5 basis points and a facility fee of 22.5 basis points. In 2011, the Company had net borrowings of \$389.3 million on its unsecured revolving credit facilities.

In September 2009, the Company entered into an equity distribution agreement under which the Company may offer and sell up to 15.0 million shares of its common stock over time to or through its sales agents.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, we sold 4,395,601 shares of common stock through an equity distribution agreement for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$104.5 million at a weighted average price per share of \$23.78. Aggregate net proceeds from such sales, after deducting related expenses, including commissions paid to the sales agents of approximately \$2.1 million, were approximately \$102.4 million, and such proceeds were used for general corporate purposes.

We entered into a new equity distribution agreement under which the Company may offer and sell up to 20.0 million shares of its common stock over time to or through its sales agents. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we sold 11,849,079 shares of common stock through this program (of which 419,048 shares were settled subsequent to December 31, 2011) for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$297.7 million at a weighted average price per share of \$25.12. Aggregate net proceeds from such sales, after deducting related expenses, including commissions paid to the sales agents of approximately \$6.0 million, were approximately \$291.7 million, and such proceeds were used for general corporate purposes. In September 2011, the Company entered into a new equity distribution agreement in connection with filing a new registration statement on Form S-3. The new equity distribution agreement replaced the March 2011 agreement, and no material changes were made to the equity distribution agreement. As of December 31, 2011 8,150,921 shares of common stock may be sold under our equity distribution agreement.

Table of Contents

We closed on a public offering of 20,700,000 shares of our common stock, including 2,700,000 shares sold as a result of the underwriters' exercise of their overallotment option in full at the closing, at a price of \$25.00 per share, for net proceeds of approximately \$496.3 million after underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses.

We repurchased 141,200 shares of our 6.75% Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock for \$3.6 million, which is \$100,000 more than their liquidation value of \$3.5 million.

Other than the following, there were no significant changes to the Operating Partnership's business during 2011 (the above 2011 highlights relate to UDR or other subsidiaries of UDR):

The Operating Partnership acquired four operating communities with 1,833 homes located in the New York, New York and Boston, Massachusetts markets for \$761.2 million. In partial consideration for the acquisition of two of these communities, 4,371,845 OP Units were issued.

The Operating Partnership issued a guarantee on \$300 million of medium-term notes due June 2018 issued by UDR.

The Operating Partnership issued a guarantee in conjunction with a \$900 million unsecured revolving credit facility entered into by UDR. The facility replaced the General Partner's \$600 million credit facility, which the Operating Partnership had previously guaranteed.

Our Strategies and Vision

We previously announced our vision to be the innovative multifamily public real estate investment trust of choice. We identified the following strategies to guide decision-making and growth:

1. Strengthen quality of our portfolio
2. Grow our cash flow to support dividend growth
3. Increase our balance sheet strength and flexibility
4. Great place to work and live

Strengthen quality of our Portfolio

We are focused on increasing our presence in markets with favorable job formation, low single-family home affordability, and a favorable demand/supply ratio for multifamily housing. Portfolio decisions consider internal analyses and third-party research, taking into account job growth, multifamily permitting and housing affordability. For the year ended December 31, 2011, approximately 50.9% of our same store net operating income (NOI) was provided by our communities located in California, Metropolitan Washington, D.C., Oregon and Washington state.

Table of Contents***Grow our cash flow to support dividend growth*****Acquisitions and Dispositions**

During 2011, in conjunction with our strategy to strengthen our portfolio, we acquired eight operating communities with 3,161 apartment homes for approximately \$1.5 billion. Four of these operating communities, representing 1,833 homes, were acquired by the Operating Partnership for approximately \$761.2 million.

When evaluating potential acquisitions, we consider:

- population growth, cost of alternative housing, overall potential for economic growth and the tax and regulatory environment of the community in which the property is located;
- geographic location, including proximity to jobs, entertainment, transportation, and our existing communities which can deliver significant economies of scale;
- construction quality, condition and design of the community;
- current and projected cash flow of the property and the ability to increase cash flow;
- potential for capital appreciation of the property;
- ability to increase the value and profitability of the property through operations and redevelopment;
- terms of resident leases, including the potential for rent increases;
- occupancy and demand by residents for properties of a similar type in the vicinity;
- prospects for liquidity through sale, financing, or refinancing of the property; and
- competition from existing multifamily communities and the potential for the construction of new multifamily properties in the area.

We regularly monitor our assets to increase the quality and performance of our portfolio. Factors we consider in deciding whether to dispose of a property include:

- current market price for an asset compared to projected economics for that asset;
- potential increases in new construction in the market area;
- areas where the economy is not expected to grow substantially;
- markets where we do not intend to establish a long-term concentration; and
- operating efficiencies.

During 2011, we sold eighteen apartment home communities, of which eight communities were owned by the Operating Partnership.

The following table summarizes our apartment community acquisitions, apartment community dispositions and our consolidated year-end ownership position for the past five years (*dollars in thousands*):

	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Homes acquired	3,161	1,374	289	4,558	2,671
Homes disposed	4,488	149		25,684	7,125
Homes owned at December 31	47,343	48,553	45,913	44,388	65,867
Total real estate owned, at cost	\$ 8,074,471	\$ 6,881,347	\$ 6,315,047	\$ 5,831,753	\$ 5,956,481

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our apartment community acquisitions, apartment community dispositions and our year-end ownership position of the Operating Partnership for the past five years (*dollars in thousands*):

	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Homes acquired	1,833			3,346	943
Homes disposed	2,024			16,960	4,631
Homes owned at December 31	23,160	23,351	23,351	23,351	36,965
Total real estate owned, at cost	\$ 4,205,298	\$ 3,706,184	\$ 3,640,888	\$ 3,569,239	\$ 2,685,249

Development Activities

The following wholly owned projects were under development as of December 31, 2011:

	Number of Apartment Homes	Completed Apartment Homes	Cost to Date (in thousands)	Budgeted Cost (in thousands)	Estimated Cost Per Home	Expected Completion Date
Savoye ² (Phase II of Vitruvian Park) Addison, TX	347	145	\$ 66,707	\$ 69,000	\$ 198,847	1Q12
Belmont Townhomes Dallas, TX	13		1,947	4,175	321,154	2Q12
2400 14th Street Washington, DC	255		64,899	126,100	494,510	4Q12
Village at Bella Terra Huntington Beach, CA	467		32,202	150,000	300,000	2Q13
Mission Bay San Francisco, CA	315		37,679	139,600	443,175	3Q13
Phase III of Vitruvian Park Addison, TX	391		18,518	98,350	251,535	3Q13
Los Alisos (formerly Mission Viejo) Mission Viejo, CA	320		26,794	87,050	272,031	4Q13
	2,108	145	\$ 248,746	\$ 674,275	\$ 315,168	

None of these projects are held by the Operating Partnership.

Redevelopment Activities

During 2011, we continued to redevelop properties in targeted markets where we concluded there was an opportunity to add value. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we incurred \$30.0 million in major renovations, which include major structural changes and/or architectural revisions to existing buildings.

Table of Contents

Joint Venture Activities

Consolidated joint venture

In August 2011, the Company invested in a consolidated joint venture with an unaffiliated third party to acquire and redevelop an existing commercial property into a 173-apartment home community in Orange County, California. At closing the Company contributed \$9.0 million and at December 31, 2011, UDR owned a 90% controlling interest in the investment. Under the terms of the operating agreement, our partner is required to achieve certain criteria as it relates to the entitlement process. If the criteria are met on or before 730 days after the site plan application is deemed complete by the city, the Company is obligated to contribute an additional \$3.0 million to the joint venture for distribution to our partner. At the acquisition date, the Company accrued and capitalized \$3.0 million related to the contingent consideration, which represents the difference between fair value of the property of \$9.8 million on the formation date and the estimated fair value of the underlying property upon completion of the entitlement process of \$12.8 million.

Unconsolidated joint ventures

In May 2011, the Company entered into a joint venture to develop a 263-home community in San Diego, California. At December 31, 2011 and at closing, UDR owned a noncontrolling interest of 95% in the joint venture. Our initial investment was \$9.9 million and our investment at December 31, 2011 was \$12.1 million.

In June 2011, one of our existing joint ventures (UDR/MetLife I) sold a parcel of land to a joint venture, in which the Company is a partner, to develop a 256-home community in College Park, Maryland. At December 31, 2011 and at closing, UDR owned a noncontrolling interest of 95% in the joint venture. Our initial investment was \$7.1 million and our investment at December 31, 2011 was \$8.6 million.

UDR is a partner with an unaffiliated third party, which formed a joint venture for the investment of up to \$450.0 million in multifamily properties located in key, high barrier to entry markets such as Metropolitan Washington D.C. The partners will contribute equity of \$180.0 million of which the Company's maximum equity will be 30% or \$54.0 million when fully invested. In 2011, the joint venture acquired two properties (509 homes). At December 31, 2011, the Company owned a 30% interest in the joint venture. Our investment at December 31, 2011 was \$34.1 million.

For additional information regarding these and our other joint ventures, see Note 5, *Joint Ventures* to the Consolidated Financial Statements of UDR, Inc. in this Report.

The Operating Partnership is not a party to any of the joint venture activities described above.

Table of Contents***Increase our balance sheet strength and flexibility***

We maintain a capital structure that allows us to seek, and not just react to, opportunities available in the marketplace. We have structured our borrowings to stagger our debt maturities and to be able to opportunistically access both secured and unsecured debt.

Financing Activities

As part of our plan to strengthen our capital structure, we utilized proceeds from debt and equity offerings and refinancings to extend maturities, pay down existing debt and acquire apartment communities. The following is a summary of our major financing activities in 2011.

We received proceeds of \$30.7 million from secured debt financings. The \$30.7 million includes \$25.7 million in variable rate mortgages and \$5.0 million in fixed rate mortgages.

We repaid \$336.0 million of secured debt, which includes \$197.5 million of construction loans, repayment of \$102.8 million of credit facilities, \$22.4 million of mortgage payments, and repayment of \$13.3 million in tax exempt bonds.

Certain holders submitted their outstanding 4.00% Convertible Senior Notes due 2035 to us for repurchase. As a result, we repurchased notes with a notional value of \$10.8 million, representing approximately 6.44% of the \$167.8 million in aggregate principal amount outstanding, and expensed \$207,000 of unamortized financing costs during the three months ended March 31, 2011. On March 2, 2011, the Company called the remaining outstanding notes with a notional value of \$156.9 million. The notes were redeemed on April 4, 2011 and unamortized financing costs of \$3.0 million were written off.

In May 2011, we issued \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 4.250% senior unsecured notes due June 2018 under its existing shelf registration statement. Interest is payable semiannually beginning in December 2011. The notes were priced at 98.988 % of the principal amount plus accrued interest from May 23, 2011 to yield 4.419% to maturity. The notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Operating Partnership.

We repaid \$97.1 million on our 3.625% Convertible Senior Notes due September 2011.

In October 2011, we entered into a \$900 million unsecured revolving credit facility, replacing the Company's \$600 million credit facility. The Operating Partnership issued a guarantee in connection with the new credit facility, similar to the guarantee it issued under the prior facility. The new facility has an initial term of four years and includes a one-year extension option, and contains an accordion feature that allows the Company to increase the facility to \$1.35 billion. Based on the Company's current credit ratings, the credit facility carries an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus a spread of 122.5 basis points and a facility fee of 22.5 basis points. In 2011, the Company had net borrowings of \$389.3 million on its unsecured revolving credit facilities.

In September 2009, we entered into an equity distribution agreement under which we may offer and sell up to 15.0 million shares of its common stock over time to or through its sales agents. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we sold 4,395,601 shares of common stock through an equity distribution agreement for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$104.5 million at a weighted average price per share of \$23.78. Aggregate net proceeds from such sales, after deducting related expenses, including commissions paid to the sales agents of approximately \$2.1 million, were approximately \$102.4 million.

In March 2011, we entered into a new equity distribution agreement under which we may offer and sell up to 20.0 million shares of our common stock over time to or through its sales agents. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we sold 11,849,079 shares of common stock through this program (of which 419,048 shares were settled subsequent to December 31, 2011) for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$297.7 million at a weighted average price per share of \$25.12. Aggregate net proceeds from such sales, after deducting related expenses, including commissions paid to the sales agents of approximately \$6.0 million, were approximately \$291.7 million. In September 2011, we entered into a new equity distribution agreement in connection with filing a new registration statement on Form S-3. The new equity distribution agreement replaced the March 2011 agreement, and no material changes were made to the equity distribution agreement.

In July 2011, we closed a public offering of 20,700,000 shares of its common stock, including 2,700,000 shares sold as a result of the underwriters' exercise of their overallotment option in full at the closing, at a price of \$25.00 per share, for net proceeds of approximately \$496.3 million after underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses.

We repurchased 141,200 shares of our 6.75% Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock for \$3.6 million, which was \$100,000 more than their liquidation value of \$3.5 million.

Great place to work and live

We continue to make progress on automating our business as a way to drive operating efficiencies and to better meet the changing needs of our residents. Since its launch in January 2009, our residents have been utilizing the resident internet portal on our website. Our residents have access to conduct business with us 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to pay rent on line and to submit service requests. In July 2010, we completed the roll out of online renewals throughout our entire portfolio. As a result of transforming operations through technology, our residents get the convenience they want, and our operating teams have become more efficient. These improvements in adopting the web as a way to conduct business with us have also resulted in a decline in marketing and advertising costs, improved cash management, and improved capabilities to better manage pricing of our available apartment homes.

In 2011, UDR.com features and functionality were enhanced to increase user engagement and increase lead volume year-over-year, such as, improved photography, enhancements to the online web forms and improved layout of the individual UDR community homepages. In addition to improvements to www.udr.com, we also improved our suite of mobile and tablet devices on the iPhone, iPad and Android platforms. These overall enhancements have contributed to increasing our web visitor traffic to almost 3.1 million visitors (up 31%), almost 2.1 million organic search engine visitors (up 38%), mobile traffic increased 112%, mobile leads generated increased 115% and mobile as a percent of total web traffic was at 22% in Q4 2011. Overall, the UDR.com and mobile initiatives contributed to a 17% year-over-year lead stream increase.

Table of Contents

Operating Partnership Strategies and Vision

The Operating Partnership's long-term strategic plan is to achieve greater operating efficiencies by investing in fewer, more concentrated markets and enhance resident and associate service through technology. As a result, the Operating Partnership has sought to expand its interests in communities located in New York, New York; San Francisco, California; Boston, Massachusetts; and Metropolitan D.C. markets over the past years. Prospectively, we plan to continue to channel new investments into those markets we believe will continue to provide the best investment returns. Markets will be targeted based upon defined criteria including above average job growth, low single-family home affordability and limited new supply for multifamily housing- three key drivers to strong rental growth.

Markets and Competitive Conditions

At December 31, 2011, 50.9% of our consolidated same store net operating income and 72.4% of the Operating Partnership's same store net operating income was generated from apartment homes located in California, Metropolitan Washington D.C., Oregon, and Washington state. We believe that this diversification increases investment opportunity and decreases the risk associated with cyclical local real estate markets and economies, thereby increasing the stability and predictability of our earnings.

Competition for new residents is generally intense across all of our markets. Some competing communities offer features that our communities do not have. Competing communities can use concessions or lower rents to obtain temporary competitive advantages. Also, some competing communities are larger or newer than our communities. The competitive position of each community is different depending upon many factors including sub-market supply and demand. In addition, other real estate investors compete with us to acquire existing properties and to develop new properties. These competitors include insurance companies, pension and investment funds, public and private real estate companies, investment companies and other public and private apartment REITs, some of which may have greater resources, or lower capital costs, than we do.

We believe that, in general, we are well-positioned to compete effectively for residents and investments. We believe our competitive advantages include:

- a fully integrated organization with property management, development, redevelopment, acquisition, marketing, sales and financing expertise;
- scalable operating and support systems, which include automated systems to meet the changing electronic needs of our residents and to effectively focus on our Internet marketing efforts;
- purchasing power;
- geographic diversification with a presence in 22 markets across the country; and
- significant presence in many of our major markets that allows us to be a local operating expert.

Moving forward, we will continue to emphasize aggressive lease management, improved expense control, increased resident retention efforts and the alignment of employee incentive plans tied to our bottom line performance. We believe this plan of operation, coupled with the portfolio's strengths in targeting renters across a geographically diverse platform, should position us for continued operational improvement in spite of the difficult economic environment.

Communities

At December 31, 2011, our apartment portfolio included 163 consolidated communities having a total of 47,343 completed apartment homes and an additional 1,963 apartment homes under development, which included the Operating Partnership's apartment portfolio of 77 consolidated communities having a total of 23,160 completed apartment homes. The overall quality of our portfolio enables us to raise rents and to attract residents with higher levels of disposable income who are more likely to absorb expenses, such as water and sewer costs, from the landlord to the resident. In addition, it potentially reduces recurring capital expenditures per apartment home, and therefore should result in increased cash flow in the future.

At December 31, 2011, the Company is developing seven wholly-owned communities with 2,108 apartment homes, 145 of which have been completed.

At December 31, 2011, the Company is redeveloping seven wholly-owned communities with 3,123 apartment homes, 467 of which have been completed.

Same Store Community Comparison

We believe that one pertinent quantitative measurement of the performance of our portfolio is tracking the results of our same store community's net operating income, which is total rental revenue, less rental expenses excluding property management and other operating expenses. Our same store community population are operating communities which we own and have stabilized occupancy, revenues and expenses as of the beginning of the prior year.

Table of Contents

For the year ended December 31, 2011, our same store NOI increased by \$18.1 million or 5.6% compared to the prior year. The increase in NOI for the 37,869 apartment homes which make up the same store population was driven by an increase in rental rates and fee and reimbursement income, partially offset by an increase in operating expenses and decreased occupancy.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership's same store NOI increased by \$11.5 million or 6.2% compared to the prior year. The increase in NOI for the 19,194 apartment homes which make up the same store population was driven by an increase in revenue rental rates, partially offset by an increase in operating expenses and decreased occupancy.

Revenue growth in 2012 may be impacted by general adverse conditions affecting the economy, reduced occupancy rates, increased rental concessions, increased bad debt and other factors which may adversely impact our ability to increase rents.

Tax Matters

UDR has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Code. To continue to qualify as a REIT, UDR must continue to meet certain tests that, among other things, generally require that our assets consist primarily of real estate assets, our income be derived primarily from real estate assets, and that we distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (other than net capital gains) to our stockholders annually. Provided we maintain our qualification as a REIT, we generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income taxes at the corporate level on our net income to the extent such net income is distributed to our stockholders annually. Even if we continue to qualify as a REIT, we will continue to be subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and property.

We may utilize taxable REIT subsidiaries to engage in activities that REITs may be prohibited from performing, including the provision of management and other services to third parties and the conduct of certain nonqualifying real estate transactions. Taxable REIT subsidiaries generally are taxable as regular corporations and therefore are subject to federal, state and local income taxes.

The Operating Partnership intends to qualify as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. As a partnership, the Operating Partnership generally is not a taxable entity and does not incur federal income tax liability. However, any state or local revenue, excise or franchise taxes that result from the operating activities of the Operating Partnership are incurred at the entity level.

Inflation

We believe that the direct effects of inflation on our operations have been immaterial. While the impact of inflation primarily impacts our results through wage pressures, utilities and material costs, substantially all of our leases are for a term of one year or less, which generally enables us to compensate for any inflationary effects by increasing rents on our apartment homes. Although an escalation in energy and food costs could have a negative impact on our residents and their ability to absorb rent increases, we do not believe this has had a material impact on our results for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Environmental Matters

Various environmental laws govern certain aspects of the ongoing operation of our communities. Such environmental laws include those regulating the existence of asbestos-containing materials in buildings, management of surfaces with lead-based paint (and notices to residents about the lead-based paint), use of active underground petroleum storage tanks, and waste-management activities. The failure to comply with such requirements could subject us to a government enforcement action and/or claims for damages by a private party.

To date, compliance with federal, state and local environmental protection regulations has not had a material effect on our capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position. We have a property management plan for hazardous materials. As part of the plan, Phase I environmental site investigations and reports have been completed for each property we acquire. In addition, all proposed acquisitions are inspected prior to acquisition. The inspections are conducted by qualified environmental consultants, and we review the issued report prior to the purchase or development of any property. Nevertheless, it is possible that our environmental assessments will not reveal all environmental liabilities, or that some material environmental liabilities exist of which we are unaware. In some cases, we have abandoned otherwise economically attractive acquisitions because the costs of removal or control of hazardous materials have been prohibitive or we have been unwilling to accept the potential risks involved. We do not

believe we will be required to engage in any large-scale abatement at any of our properties. We believe that through professional environmental inspections and testing for asbestos, lead paint and other hazardous materials, coupled with a relatively conservative posture toward accepting known environmental risk, we can minimize our exposure to potential liability associated with environmental hazards.

Table of Contents

Federal legislation requires owners and landlords of residential housing constructed prior to 1978 to disclose to potential residents or purchasers of the communities any known lead paint hazards and imposes treble damages for failure to provide such notification. In addition, lead based paint in any of the communities may result in lead poisoning in children residing in that community if chips or particles of such lead based paint are ingested, and we may be held liable under state laws for any such injuries caused by ingestion of lead based paint by children living at the communities.

We are unaware of any environmental hazards at any of our properties that individually or in the aggregate may have a material adverse impact on our operations or financial position. We have not been notified by any governmental authority, and we are not otherwise aware, of any material non-compliance, liability, or claim relating to environmental liabilities in connection with any of our properties. We do not believe that the cost of continued compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations will have a material adverse effect on us or our financial condition or results of operations. Future environmental laws, regulations, or ordinances, however, may require additional remediation of existing conditions that are not currently actionable. Also, if more stringent requirements are imposed on us in the future, the costs of compliance could have a material adverse effect on us and our financial condition.

Insurance

We carry comprehensive general liability coverage on our communities, with limits of liability customary within the industry to insure against liability claims and related defense costs. We are also insured, with limits of liability customary within the industry, against the risk of direct physical damage in amounts necessary to reimburse us on a replacement cost basis for costs incurred to repair or rebuild each property, including loss of rental income during the reconstruction period.

Executive Officers of the Company

UDR is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership. The following table sets forth information about our executive officers as of February 17, 2012. The executive officers listed below serve in their respective capacities at the discretion of our Board of Directors.

Name	Age	Office	Since
Thomas W. Toomey	51	Chief Executive Officer, President and Director	2001
Warren L. Troupe	58	Senior Executive Vice President	2008
Harry G. Alcock	49	Senior Vice President Asset Management	2010
Jerry A. Davis	49	Senior Vice President Property Operations	2008
David L. Messenger	41	Senior Vice President Chief Financial Officer	2008
R. Scott Wesson	49	Senior Vice President Chief Information Officer	2011

Set forth below is certain biographical information about our executive officers.

Mr. Toomey spearheads the vision and strategic direction of the Company and oversees its executive officers. He joined us in February 2001 as President, Chief Executive Officer and Director. Prior to joining us, Mr. Toomey was with Apartment Investment and Management Company (AIMCO), where he served as Chief Operating Officer for two years and Chief Financial Officer for four years. During his tenure at AIMCO, Mr. Toomey was instrumental in the growth of AIMCO from 34,000 apartment homes to 360,000 apartment homes. He has also served as a Senior Vice President at Lincoln Property Company, a national real estate development, property management and real estate consulting company, from 1990 to 1995. He currently serves on the Executive Board of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT), as a member of the Real Estate Roundtable, as a trustee with the Urban Land Institute and as a trustee of the Oregon State University Foundation.

Table of Contents

Mr. Troupe oversees all financial, treasury, tax and legal functions of the Company. He joined us in March 2008 as Senior Executive Vice President. In May 2008, he was appointed the Company's Corporate Compliance Officer and in October 2008 he was named the Company's Corporate Secretary. Prior to joining us, Mr. Troupe was a partner with Morrison & Forester LLP from 1997 to 2008, where his practice focused on all aspects of corporate finance including, but not limited to, public and private equity offerings, traditional loan structures, debt placements to subordinated debt financings, workouts and recapitalizations. While at Morrison & Forester LLP he represented both public and private entities in connection with merger and acquisition transactions, including tender offers, hostile proxy contests and negotiated acquisitions. He currently is a member of the National Multi Housing Council (NMHC), the Pension Real Estate Association (PREA) and the Urban Land Institute.

Mr. Alcock oversees the Company's acquisitions, dispositions, redevelopment and asset management. He joined us in December 2010 as Senior Vice President – Asset Management. Prior to joining the company, Mr. Alcock was with AIMCO for over 16 years, serving most recently as Executive Vice President, co-Head of Transactions and Asset Management. He was appointed Executive Vice President and Chief Investment officer in 1999, a position he held through 2007. Mr. Alcock established and chaired the company's Investment Committee, established the portfolio management function and at various times ran the property debt and redevelopment departments. Prior to the formation of AIMCO, from 1992 to 1994, Mr. Alcock was with Heron Financial and PDI, predecessor companies to AIMCO. From 1988 to 1992 he worked for Larwin Company, a national homebuilder.

Mr. Davis oversees property operations, human resources and technology. He originally joined us in March 1989 as Controller and subsequently moved into Operations as an Area Director and in 2001, he accepted the position of Chief Operating Officer of JH Management Co., a California-based apartment company. He returned to the Company in March 2002 and in 2008, Mr. Davis was promoted to Senior Vice President – Property Operations. He began his career in 1984 as a Staff Accountant for Arthur Young & Co.

Mr. Messenger oversees the areas of accounting, risk management, financial planning and analysis, property tax administration and SEC reporting. He joined us in August 2002 as Vice President and Controller. In March 2006, Mr. Messenger was appointed Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer and in January 2007, while retaining the Chief Accounting Officer title, he was promoted to Senior Vice President. Prior to joining the company in 2002, Mr. Messenger was owner and President of TRC Management Company, a restaurant management company, in Chicago. Mr. Messenger began his career in real estate and financial services with Ernst & Young LLP, as a manager in their Chicago real estate division.

Mr. Wesson oversees all aspects of the company's information technology infrastructure and strategy. He joined us in May 2011 as Senior Vice President – Chief Information Officer. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Wesson was with RealFoundations, a global real estate management consultancy, where he served as Managing Director from 2008 to 2011. From 1997 to 2008 he was with Apartment Investment and Management Company (AIMCO) where he served as Senior Vice President, Chief Investment Officer. He took on the additional role of Chief Strategy Officer for AIMCO in 2006. From 1991 to 1997 Mr. Wesson was with Lincoln Property Company in the role of Vice President of Information Systems. Prior to that time he worked for five years as a District Manager for ADP. Mr. Wesson began his career in Dallas, Texas working as an Analyst for Federated Department Stores.

Available Information

Both UDR and the Operating Partnership file electronically with the Securities and Exchange Commission their respective annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and current reports on Form 8-K, pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. You may obtain a free copy of our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports on the day of filing with the SEC on our website at www.udr.com, or by sending an e-mail message to ir@udr.com.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

There are many factors that affect the business and the results of operations of the Company and the Operating Partnership, some of which are beyond the control of the Company and the Operating Partnership. The following is a description of important factors that may cause the actual results of operations of the Company and the Operating Partnership in future periods to differ materially from those currently expected or discussed in forward-looking statements set forth in this Report relating to our financial results, operations and business prospects. Forward-looking statements and such risks, uncertainties and other factors speak only as of the date of this Report, and we expressly disclaim any obligation or undertaking to update or revise any forward-looking statement contained herein, to reflect any change in our expectations with regard thereto, or any other change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based, except to the extent otherwise required by law.

Risks Related to Our Real Estate Investments and Our Operations

Unfavorable Apartment Market and Economic Conditions Could Adversely Affect Occupancy Levels, Rental Revenues and the Value of Our Real Estate Assets. Unfavorable market conditions in the areas in which we operate and unfavorable economic conditions generally may significantly affect our occupancy levels, our rental rates and collections, the value of the properties and our ability to strategically acquire or dispose of apartment communities on economically favorable terms. Our ability to lease our properties at favorable rates is adversely affected by the increase in supply in the multifamily market and is dependent upon the overall level in the economy, which is adversely affected by, among other things, job losses and unemployment levels, recession, personal debt levels, the downturn in the housing market, stock market volatility and uncertainty about the future. Some of our major expenses, including mortgage payments and real estate taxes, generally do not decline when related rents decline. We would expect that declines in our occupancy levels, rental revenues and/or the values of our apartment communities would cause us to have less cash available to pay our indebtedness and to distribute to UDR's stockholders, which could adversely affect our financial condition and the market value of our securities. Factors that may affect our occupancy levels, our rental revenues, and/or the value of our properties include the following, among others:

downturns in the national, regional and local economic conditions, particularly increases in unemployment;

declines in mortgage interest rates, making alternative housing more affordable;

government or builder incentives which enable first time homebuyers to put little or no money down, making alternative housing options more attractive;

local real estate market conditions, including oversupply of, or reduced demand for, apartment homes;

declines in the financial condition of our tenants, which may make it more difficult for us to collect rents from some tenants;

changes in market rental rates;

our ability to renew leases or re-lease space on favorable terms;

the timing and costs associated with property improvements, repairs or renovations;

declines in household formation; and

rent control or stabilization laws, or other laws regulating rental housing, which could prevent us from raising rents to offset increases in operating costs.

Continued Economic Weakness Following the Economic Recession that the U.S. Economy Recently Experienced May Materially and Adversely Affect our Financial Condition and Results of Operations. The U.S. economy continues to experience weakness following a severe recession, which has resulted in increased unemployment, decreased

consumer spending and a decline in residential and commercial property values. Although it is not clear whether the U.S. economy has fully emerged from the recession, high levels of unemployment have continued to persist. If the economic recovery slows or stalls, we may experience adverse effects on our occupancy levels, our rental revenues and the value of our properties, any of which could adversely affect our cash flow, financial condition and results of operations.

Substantial International, National and Local Government Spending and Increasing Deficits May Adversely Impact Our Business, Financial Condition and Results of Operations. The values of, and the cash flows from, the properties we own are affected by developments in global, national and local economies. As a result of the recent recession and the significant government interventions, federal, state and local governments have incurred record deficits and assumed or guaranteed liabilities of private financial institutions or other private entities. These increased budget deficits and the weakened financial condition of federal, state and local governments may lead to reduced governmental spending, tax increases, public sector job losses, increased interest rates, currency devaluations or other adverse economic events, which may directly or indirectly adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Risk of Inflation/Deflation. Substantial inflationary or deflationary pressures could have a negative effect on rental rates and property operating expenses. Neither inflation nor deflation has materially impacted our operations in the recent past. The general risk of inflation is that our debt interest and general and administrative expenses increase at a rate higher than our rental rates. The predominant effects of deflation include high unemployment and credit contraction. Restricted lending practices could impact our ability to obtain financing or refinancing for our properties. High unemployment may have a negative effect on our occupancy levels and our rental revenues.

We Are Subject to Certain Risks Associated with Selling Apartment Communities, Which Could Limit Our Operational and Financial Flexibility. We periodically dispose of apartment communities that no longer meet our strategic objectives, but adverse market conditions may make it difficult to sell apartment communities like the ones we own. We cannot predict whether we will be able to sell any property for the price or on the terms we set, or whether any price or other terms offered by a prospective purchaser would be acceptable to us. We also cannot predict the length of time needed to find a willing purchaser and to close the sale of a property. Furthermore, we may be required to expend funds to correct defects or to make improvements before a property can be sold. These conditions may limit our ability to dispose of properties and to change our portfolio promptly in order to meet our strategic objectives, which may in turn have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and the market value of our securities. We are also subject to the following risks in connection with sales of our apartment communities:

a significant portion of the proceeds from our overall property sales may be held by intermediaries in order for some sales to qualify as like-kind exchanges under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, so that any related capital gain can be deferred for federal income tax purposes. As a result, we may not have immediate access to all of the cash proceeds generated from our property sales; and

federal tax laws limit our ability to profit on the sale of communities that we have owned for less than two years, and this limitation may prevent us from selling communities when market conditions are favorable.

Competition Could Limit Our Ability to Lease Apartment Homes or Increase or Maintain Rents. Our apartment communities compete with numerous housing alternatives in attracting residents, including other apartment communities, condominiums and single-family rental homes, as well as owner occupied single- and multi-family homes. Competitive housing in a particular area could adversely affect our ability to lease apartment homes and increase or maintain rents.

We May Not Realize the Anticipated Benefits of Past or Future Acquisitions, and the Failure to Integrate Acquired Communities and New Personnel Successfully Could Create Inefficiencies. We have selectively acquired in the past, and if presented with attractive opportunities we intend to selectively acquire in the future, apartment communities that meet our investment criteria. Our acquisition activities and their success are subject to the following risks:

we may be unable to obtain financing for acquisitions on favorable terms or at all;

even if we are able to finance the acquisition, cash flow from the acquisition may be insufficient to meet our required principal and interest payments on the acquisition;

even if we enter into an acquisition agreement for an apartment community, we may be unable to complete the acquisition after incurring certain acquisition-related costs;

we may incur significant costs and divert management attention in connection with the evaluation and negotiation of potential acquisitions, including potential acquisitions that we are subsequently unable to complete;

Table of Contents

an acquired apartment community may fail to perform as we expected in analyzing our investment, or a significant exposure related to the acquired property may go undetected during our due diligence procedures;

when we acquire an apartment community, we may invest additional amounts in it with the intention of increasing profitability, and these additional investments may not produce the anticipated improvements in profitability; and

we may be unable to quickly and efficiently integrate acquired apartment communities and new personnel into our existing operations, and the failure to successfully integrate such apartment communities or personnel will result in inefficiencies that could adversely affect our expected return on our investments and our overall profitability.

In the past, other real estate investors, including insurance companies, pension and investment funds, developer partnerships, investment companies and other public and private apartment REITs, have competed with us to acquire existing properties and to develop new properties, and such competition in the future may make it more difficult for us to pursue attractive investment opportunities on favorable terms, which could adversely affect growth.

Development and Construction Risks Could Impact Our Profitability. In the past we have selectively pursued the development and construction of apartment communities, and we intend to do so in the future as appropriate opportunities arise. Development activities have been, and in the future may be, conducted through wholly owned affiliated companies or through joint ventures with unaffiliated parties. Our development and construction activities are subject to the following risks:

we may be unable to obtain construction financing for development activities under favorable terms, including but not limited to interest rates, maturity dates and/or loan to value ratios, or at all which could cause us to delay or even abandon potential developments;

we may be unable to obtain, or face delays in obtaining, necessary zoning, land-use, building, occupancy and other required governmental permits and authorizations, which could result in increased development costs, could delay initial occupancy dates for all or a portion of a development community, and could require us to abandon our activities entirely with respect to a project for which we are unable to obtain permits or authorizations;

yields may be less than anticipated as a result of delays in completing projects, costs that exceed budget and/or higher than expected concessions for lease up and lower rents than pro forma;

if we are unable to find joint venture partners to help fund the development of a community or otherwise obtain acceptable financing for the developments, our development capacity may be limited;

we may abandon development opportunities that we have already begun to explore, and we may fail to recover expenses already incurred in connection with exploring such opportunities;

we may be unable to complete construction and lease-up of a community on schedule, or incur development or construction costs that exceed our original estimates, and we may be unable to charge rents that would compensate for any increase in such costs;

occupancy rates and rents at a newly developed community may fluctuate depending on a number of factors, including market and economic conditions, preventing us from meeting our profitability goals for that community; and

when we sell to third parties communities or properties that we developed or renovated, we may be subject to warranty or construction defect claims that are uninsured or exceed the limits of our insurance.

Table of Contents

In some cases in the past, the costs of upgrading acquired communities exceeded our original estimates. We may experience similar cost increases in the future. Our inability to charge rents that will be sufficient to offset the effects of any increases in these costs may impair our profitability.

Bankruptcy of Developers in Our Development Joint Ventures Could Impose Delays and Costs on Us With Respect to the Development of Our Communities and May Adversely Affect Our Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The bankruptcy of one of the developers in any of our development joint ventures could materially and adversely affect the relevant property or properties. If the relevant joint venture through which we have invested in a property has incurred recourse obligations, the discharge in bankruptcy of the developer may require us to honor a completion guarantee and therefore might result in our ultimate liability for a greater portion of those obligations than we would otherwise bear.

Property Ownership Through Joint Ventures May Limit Our Ability to Act Exclusively in Our Interest. We have in the past and may in the future develop and acquire properties in joint ventures with other persons or entities when we believe circumstances warrant the use of such structures. If we use such a structure, we could become engaged in a dispute with one or more of our joint venture partners that might affect our ability to operate a jointly-owned property. Moreover, joint venture partners may have business, economic or other objectives that are inconsistent with our objectives, including objectives that relate to the appropriate timing and terms of any sale or refinancing of a property. In some instances, joint venture partners may have competing interests in our markets that could create conflicts of interest.

We Could Incur Significant Insurance Costs and Some Potential Losses May Not Be Adequately Covered by Insurance. We have a comprehensive insurance program covering our property and operating activities. We believe the policy specifications and insured limits of these policies are adequate and appropriate. There are, however, certain types of extraordinary losses which may not be adequately covered under our insurance program. In addition, we will sustain losses due to insurance deductibles, self-insured retention, uninsured claims or casualties, or losses in excess of applicable coverage.

If an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occur, we could lose all or a portion of the capital we have invested in a property, as well as the anticipated future revenue from the property. In such an event, we might nevertheless remain obligated for any mortgage debt or other financial obligations related to the property. Material losses in excess of insurance proceeds may occur in the future. If one or more of our significant properties were to experience a catastrophic loss, it could seriously disrupt our operations, delay revenue and result in large expenses to repair or rebuild the property. Such events could adversely affect our cash flow and ability to make distributions to UDR's stockholders.

As a result of our substantial real estate holdings, the cost of insuring our apartment communities is a significant component of expense. Insurance premiums are subject to significant increases and fluctuations, which can be widely outside of our control. We insure our properties with insurance companies that we believe have a good rating at the time our policies are put into effect. The financial condition of one or more of our insurance companies that we hold policies with may be negatively impacted resulting in their inability to pay on future insurance claims. Their inability to pay future claims may have a negative impact on our financial results. In addition, the failure of one or more insurance companies may increase the costs to renew our insurance policies or increase the cost of insuring additional properties and recently developed or redeveloped properties.

Failure to Succeed in New Markets May Limit Our Growth. We have acquired in the past, and we may acquire in the future if appropriate opportunities arise, apartment communities that are outside of our existing markets. Entering into new markets may expose us to a variety of risks, and we may not be able to operate successfully in new markets.

These risks include, among others:

inability to accurately evaluate local apartment market conditions and local economies;

inability to hire and retain key personnel;

lack of familiarity with local governmental and permitting procedures; and

inability to achieve budgeted financial results.

Table of Contents

Potential Liability for Environmental Contamination Could Result in Substantial Costs. Under various federal, state and local environmental laws, as a current or former owner or operator of real estate, we could be required to investigate and remediate the effects of contamination of currently or formerly owned real estate by hazardous or toxic substances, often regardless of our knowledge of or responsibility for the contamination and solely by virtue of our current or former ownership or operation of the real estate. In addition, we could be held liable to a governmental authority or to third parties for property damage and for investigation and clean-up costs incurred in connection with the contamination. These costs could be substantial, and in many cases environmental laws create liens in favor of governmental authorities to secure their payment. The presence of such substances or a failure to properly remediate any resulting contamination could materially and adversely affect our ability to borrow against, sell or rent an affected property.

In addition, our properties are subject to various federal, state and local environmental, health and safety laws, including laws governing the management of wastes and underground and aboveground storage tanks. Noncompliance with these environmental, health and safety laws could subject us to liability. Changes in laws could increase the potential costs of compliance with environmental laws, health and safety laws or increase liability for noncompliance. This may result in significant unanticipated expenditures or may otherwise materially and adversely affect our operations.

As the owner or operator of real property, we may also incur liability based on various building conditions. For example, buildings and other structures on properties that we currently own or operate or those we acquire or operate in the future contain, may contain, or may have contained, asbestos-containing material, or ACM. Environmental, health and safety laws require that ACM be properly managed and maintained and may impose fines or penalties on owners, operators or employers for non-compliance with those requirements.

These requirements include special precautions, such as removal, abatement or air monitoring, if ACM would be disturbed during maintenance, renovation or demolition of a building, potentially resulting in substantial costs. In addition, we may be subject to liability for personal injury or property damage sustained as a result of exposure to ACM or releases of ACM into the environment.

We cannot assure you that costs or liabilities incurred as a result of environmental issues will not affect our ability to make distributions to our shareholders, or that such costs or liabilities will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Our Properties May Contain or Develop Harmful Mold or Suffer from Other Indoor Air Quality Issues, Which Could Lead to Liability for Adverse Health Effects or Property Damage or Cost for Remediation. When excessive moisture accumulates in buildings or on building materials, mold growth may occur, particularly if the moisture problem remains undiscovered or is not addressed over a period of time. Some molds may produce airborne toxins or irritants. Indoor air quality issues can also stem from inadequate ventilation, chemical contamination from indoor or outdoor sources, and other biological contaminants such as pollen, viruses and bacteria. Indoor exposure to airborne toxins or irritants can be alleged to cause a variety of adverse health effects and symptoms, including allergic or other reactions. As a result, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants at any of our properties could require us to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the mold or other airborne contaminants or to increase ventilation. In addition, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants could expose us to liability from our tenants or others if property damage or personal injury occurs.

Compliance or Failure to Comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 or Other Safety Regulations and Requirements Could Result in Substantial Costs. The Americans with Disabilities Act generally requires that public buildings, including our properties, be made accessible to disabled persons. Noncompliance could result in the imposition of fines by the federal government or the award of damages to private litigants. From time to time claims may be asserted against us with respect to some of our properties under this Act. If, under the Americans with Disabilities Act, we are required to make substantial alterations and capital expenditures in one or more of our properties, including the removal of access barriers, it could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Our properties are subject to various federal, state and local regulatory requirements, such as state and local fire and life safety requirements. If we fail to comply with these requirements, we could incur fines or private damage awards. We do not know whether existing requirements will change or whether compliance with future requirements will

require significant unanticipated expenditures that will affect our cash flow and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Real Estate Tax and Other Laws. Generally we do not directly pass through costs resulting from compliance with or changes in real estate tax laws to residential property tenants. We also do not generally pass through increases in income, service or other taxes, to tenants under leases. These costs may adversely affect net operating income and the ability to make distributions to stockholders. Similarly, compliance with or changes in (i) laws increasing the potential liability for environmental conditions existing on properties or the restrictions on discharges or other conditions or (ii) rent control or rent stabilization laws or other laws regulating housing, such as the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, may result in significant unanticipated expenditures, which would adversely affect funds from operations and the ability to make distributions to stockholders.

Risk of Damage from Catastrophic Weather Events. Certain of our communities are located in the general vicinity of active earthquake faults, mudslides and fires, and others where there are hurricanes, tornadoes or risks of other inclement weather. The adverse weather events could cause damage or losses that may be greater than insured levels. In the event of a loss in excess of insured limits, we could lose our capital invested in the affected community, as well as anticipated future revenue from that community. We would also continue to be obligated to repay any mortgage indebtedness or other obligations related to the community. Any such loss could materially and adversely affect our business and our financial condition and results of operations.

Actual or Threatened Terrorist Attacks May Have an Adverse Effect on Our Business and Operating Results and Could Decrease the Value of Our Assets. Actual or threatened terrorist attacks and other acts of violence or war could have a material adverse effect on our business and operating results. Attacks that directly impact one or more of our apartment communities could significantly affect our ability to operate those communities and thereby impair our ability to achieve our expected results. Further, our insurance coverage may not cover all losses caused by a terrorist attack. In addition, the adverse effects that such violent acts and threats of future attacks could have on the U.S. economy could similarly have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

We May Experience a Decline in the Fair Value of Our Assets and Be Forced to Recognize Impairment Charges, Which Could Materially and Adversely Impact Our Financial Condition, Liquidity and Results of Operations and the Market Price of UDR's Common Stock. A decline in the fair value of our assets may require us to recognize an impairment against such assets under GAAP if we were to determine that, with respect to any assets in unrealized loss positions, we do not have the ability and intent to hold such assets to maturity or for a period of time sufficient to allow for recovery to the amortized cost of such assets. If such a determination were to be made, we would recognize unrealized losses through earnings and write down the amortized cost of such assets to a new cost basis, based on the fair value of such assets on the date they are considered to be impaired. Such impairment charges reflect non-cash losses at the time of recognition; subsequent disposition or sale of such assets could further affect our future losses or gains, as they are based on the difference between the sale price received and adjusted amortized cost of such assets at the time of sale. If we are required to recognize asset impairment charges in the future, these charges could materially and adversely affect our financial condition, liquidity, results of operations and the per share trading price of UDR's common stock.

Any Material Weaknesses Identified in Our Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Could Have an Adverse Effect on UDR's Stock Price. Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires us to evaluate and report on our internal control over financial reporting. If we identify one or more material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting, we could lose investor confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports, which in turn could have an adverse effect on UDR's stock price.

Our Business and Operations Would Suffer in the Event of System Failures. Despite system redundancy, the implementation of security measures and the existence of a disaster recovery plan for our internal information technology systems, our systems are vulnerable to damages from any number of sources, including computer viruses, unauthorized access, energy blackouts, natural disasters, terrorism, war, and telecommunication failures. We rely on information technology networks and systems, including the Internet, to process, transmit and store electronic information and to manage or support a variety of our business processes, including financial transactions and keeping of records, which may include personal identifying information of tenants and lease data. We rely on commercially available systems, software, tools and monitoring to provide security for processing, transmitting and storing confidential tenant information, such as individually identifiable information relating to financial accounts. Although

we take steps to protect the security of the data maintained in our information systems, it is possible that our security measures will not be able to prevent the systems' improper functioning, or the improper disclosure of personally identifiable information, such as in the event of cyber attacks. Security breaches, including physical or electronic break-ins, computer viruses, attacks by hackers and similar breaches, can create system disruptions, shutdowns or unauthorized disclosure of confidential information. Any failure to maintain proper function, security and availability of our information systems could interrupt our operations, damage our reputation, subject us to liability claims or regulatory penalties and could materially and adversely affect us.

Our Success Depends on Our Senior Management. Our success depends upon the retention of our senior management, whose continued service is not guaranteed. We may not be able to find qualified replacements for the individuals who make up our senior management if their services should no longer be available to us. The loss of services of one or more members of our senior management team could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

We May be Adversely Affected by New Laws and Regulations. The United States Administration and Congress have enacted, or called for consideration of, proposals relating to a variety of issues, including with respect to health care, financial regulation reform, climate control, executive compensation and others. We believe that these and other potential proposals could have varying degrees of impact on us ranging from minimal to material. At this time, we are unable to predict with certainty what level of impact specific proposals could have on us.

Certain rulemaking and administrative efforts that may have an impact on us focus principally on the areas perceived as contributing to the global financial crisis and the recent economic downturn. These initiatives have created a degree of uncertainty regarding the basic rules governing the real estate industry and many other businesses that is unprecedented in the United States at least since the wave of lawmaking and regulatory reform that followed in the wake of the Great Depression. The federal legislative response in this area culminated in the enactment on July 21, 2010 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (*Dodd-Frank Act*). Many of the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act have extended implementation periods and delayed effective dates and will require extensive rulemaking by regulatory authorities; thus, the impact on us may not be known for an extended period of time. The Dodd-Frank Act, including future rules implementing its provisions and the interpretation of those rules, along with other legislative and regulatory proposals that are proposed or pending in the United States Congress, may limit our revenues, impose fees or taxes on us, and/or intensify the regulatory framework in which we operate in ways that are not currently identifiable.

Changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure in particular, including certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, have created uncertainty for public companies like ours and could significantly increase the costs and risks associated with accessing the U.S. public markets. Because we are committed to maintaining high standards of internal control over financial reporting, corporate governance and public disclosure, our management team will need to devote significant time and financial resources to comply with these evolving standards for public companies. We intend to continue to invest appropriate resources to comply with both existing and evolving standards, and this investment has resulted and will likely continue to result in increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management time and attention from revenue generating activities to compliance activities.

The Adoption of Derivatives Legislation by Congress Could Have an Adverse Impact on our Ability to Hedge Risks Associated with our Business. The Dodd-Frank Act regulates derivative transactions, which include certain instruments used in our risk management activities. The Dodd-Frank Act contemplates that most swaps will be required to be cleared through a registered clearing facility and traded on a designated exchange or swap execution facility. There are some exceptions to these requirements for entities that use swaps to hedge or mitigate commercial risk. While we may ultimately be eligible for such exceptions, the scope of these exceptions is currently uncertain, pending further definition through rulemaking proceedings. Although the Dodd-Frank Act includes significant new provisions regarding the regulation of derivatives, the impact of those requirements will not be known definitively until regulations have been adopted by the SEC and the Commodities Futures Trading Commission. The new legislation and any new regulations could increase the operational and transactional cost of derivatives contracts and affect the number and/or creditworthiness of available hedge counterparties to us.

Changes in the System for Establishing U.S. Accounting Standards May Materially and Adversely Affect Our Reported Results of Operations. Accounting for public companies in the United States has historically been conducted in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles as in effect in the United States (*GAAP*). GAAP is established by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the *FASB*), an independent body whose standards are recognized by the SEC as authoritative for publicly held companies. The International Accounting Standards Board (the *IASB*) is a London-based independent board established in 2001 and charged with the development of International Financial Reporting Standards (*IFRS*). IFRS generally reflects accounting practices that prevail in Europe and in developed nations around the world.

IFRS differs in material respects from GAAP. Among other things, IFRS has historically relied more on fair value models of accounting for assets and liabilities than GAAP. Fair value models are based on periodic revaluation of assets and liabilities, often resulting in fluctuations in such values as compared to GAAP, which relies more frequently on historical cost as the basis for asset and liability valuation.

Table of Contents

We are monitoring the SEC's activity with respect to the proposed adoption of IFRS by United States public companies. It is unclear at this time how the SEC will propose that GAAP and IFRS be harmonized if the proposed change is adopted. In addition, switching to a new method of accounting and adopting IFRS will be a complex undertaking. We may need to develop new systems and controls based on the principles of IFRS. Since these are new endeavors, and the precise requirements of the pronouncements ultimately to be adopted are not now known, the magnitude of costs associated with this conversion are uncertain.

We are currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of IFRS on our financial position and results of operations. Such evaluation cannot be completed, however, without more clarity regarding the specific IFRS standards that will be adopted. Until there is more certainty with respect to the IFRS standards to be adopted, prospective investors should consider that our conversion to IFRS could have a material adverse impact on our reported results of operations.

Risks Related to Our Indebtedness and Financings

Insufficient Cash Flow Could Affect Our Debt Financing and Create Refinancing Risk. We are subject to the risks normally associated with debt financing, including the risk that our operating income and cash flow will be insufficient to make required payments of principal and interest, or could restrict our borrowing capacity under our line of credit due to debt covenant restraints. Sufficient cash flow may not be available to make all required principal payments and still satisfy UDR's distribution requirements to maintain its status as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. In addition, the full limits of our line of credit may not be available to us if our operating performance falls outside the constraints of our debt covenants. We are also likely to need to refinance substantially all of our outstanding debt as it matures. We may not be able to refinance existing debt, or the terms of any refinancing may not be as favorable as the terms of the existing debt, which could create pressures to sell assets or to issue additional equity when we would otherwise not choose to do so. In addition, our failure to comply with our debt covenants could result in a requirement to repay our indebtedness prior to its maturity, which could have an adverse effect on our cash flow, increase our financing costs and impact our ability to make distributions to UDR's stockholders.

Failure to Generate Sufficient Revenue Could Impair Debt Service Payments and Distributions to Stockholders. If our apartment communities do not generate sufficient net rental income to meet rental expenses, our ability to make required payments of interest and principal on our debt securities and to pay distributions to UDR's stockholders will be adversely affected. The following factors, among others, may affect the net rental income generated by our apartment communities:

- the national and local economies;

- local real estate market conditions, such as an oversupply of apartment homes;

- tenants' perceptions of the safety, convenience, and attractiveness of our communities and the neighborhoods where they are located;

- our ability to provide adequate management, maintenance and insurance;

- rental expenses, including real estate taxes and utilities;

- competition from other apartment communities;

- changes in interest rates and the availability of financing;

- changes in governmental regulations and the related costs of compliance; and

- changes in tax and housing laws, including the enactment of rent control laws or other laws regulating multi-family housing.

Expenses associated with our investment in an apartment community, such as debt service, real estate taxes, insurance and maintenance costs, are generally not reduced when circumstances cause a reduction in rental income from that community. If a community is mortgaged to secure payment of debt and we are unable to make the mortgage payments, we could sustain a loss as a result of foreclosure on the community or the exercise of other remedies by the mortgage holder.

Our Debt Level May Be Increased. Our current debt policy does not contain any limitations on the level of debt that we may incur, although our ability to incur debt is limited by covenants in our bank and other credit agreements. We manage our debt to be in compliance with these debt covenants, but subject to compliance with these covenants, we may increase the amount of our debt at any time without a concurrent improvement in our ability to service the additional debt.

Table of Contents

Financing May Not Be Available and Could Be Dilutive. Our ability to execute our business strategy depends on our access to an appropriate blend of debt financing, including unsecured lines of credit and other forms of secured and unsecured debt, and equity financing, including common and preferred equity. We and other companies in the real estate industry have experienced limited availability of financing from time to time. If we issue additional equity securities to finance developments and acquisitions instead of incurring debt, the interests of our existing stockholders could be diluted.

Failure To Maintain Our Current Credit Ratings Could Adversely Affect Our Cost of Funds, Related Margins, Liquidity, and Access to Capital Markets. Moody's and Standard & Poor's, the major debt rating agencies, routinely evaluate our debt and have given us ratings on our senior unsecured debt. These ratings are based on a number of factors, which included their assessment of our financial strength, liquidity, capital structure, asset quality, and sustainability of cash flow and earnings. Due to changes in market conditions, we may not be able to maintain our current credit ratings, which could adversely affect our cost of funds and related margins, liquidity, and access to capital markets.

Disruptions in Financial Markets May Adversely Impact Availability and Cost of Credit and Have Other Adverse Effects on Us and the Market Price of UDR's Stock. Our ability to make scheduled payments or to refinance debt obligations will depend on our operating and financial performance, which in turn is subject to prevailing economic conditions and to financial, business and other factors beyond our control. During the past few years, the United States stock and credit markets have experienced significant price volatility, dislocations and liquidity disruptions, which have caused market prices of many stocks to fluctuate substantially and the spreads on prospective debt financings to widen considerably. These circumstances have materially impacted liquidity in the financial markets, making terms for certain financings less attractive, and in some cases have resulted in the unavailability of financing. The recent downgrade of the U.S. credit rating by Standard & Poor's and the ongoing European debt crisis have contributed to the instability in global credit markets. Continued uncertainty in the stock and credit markets may negatively impact our ability to access additional financing for acquisitions, development of our properties and other purposes at reasonable terms, which may negatively affect our business. Additionally, due to this uncertainty, we may be unable to refinance our existing indebtedness or the terms of any refinancing may not be as favorable as the terms of our existing indebtedness. If we are not successful in refinancing this debt when it becomes due, we may be forced to dispose of properties on disadvantageous terms, which might adversely affect our ability to service other debt and to meet our other obligations. A prolonged downturn in the financial markets may cause us to seek alternative sources of potentially less attractive financing, and may require us to adjust our business plan accordingly. These events also may make it more difficult or costly for us to raise capital through the issuance of UDR's common or preferred stock. The disruptions in the financial markets have had and may continue to have a material adverse effect on the market value of UDR's common shares and other adverse effects on us and our business.

Prospective buyers of our properties may also experience difficulty in obtaining debt financing which might make it more difficult for us to sell properties at acceptable pricing levels. Tightening of credit in financial markets and high unemployment rates may also adversely affect the ability of tenants to meet their lease obligations and for us to continue increasing rents on a prospective basis. Disruptions in the credit and financial markets may also have other adverse effects on us and the overall economy.

A Change in U.S. Government Policy Regarding Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac Could Have a Material Adverse Impact on Our Business. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are a major source of financing for secured multifamily rental real estate. We and other multifamily companies depend heavily on Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to finance growth by purchasing or guaranteeing apartment loans. In September 2008, the U.S. government assumed control of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and placed both companies into a government conservatorship under the Federal Housing Finance Agency. The Administration has proposed potential options for the future of mortgage finance in the U.S. that could involve the phase out of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. While we believe Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac will continue to provide liquidity to our sector, should they discontinue doing so, have their mandates changed or reduced or be disbanded or reorganized by the government, it would significantly reduce our access to debt capital and adversely affect our ability to finance or refinance existing indebtedness at competitive rates and it may adversely affect our ability to sell assets. Uncertainty in the future activity and involvement of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac as a source of

financing could negatively impact our ability to make acquisitions and make it more difficult or not possible for us to sell properties or may adversely affect the price we receive for properties that we do sell, as prospective buyers may experience increased costs of debt financing or difficulties in obtaining debt financing.

The Soundness of Financial Institutions Could Adversely Affect Us. We have relationships with many financial institutions, including lenders under our credit facilities, and, from time to time, we execute transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry. As a result, defaults by, or even rumors or questions about, financial institutions or the financial services industry generally, could result in losses or defaults by these institutions. In the event that the volatility of the financial markets adversely affects these financial institutions or counterparties, we or other parties to the transactions with us may be unable to complete transactions as intended, which could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Changing Interest Rates Could Increase Interest Costs and Adversely Affect Our Cash Flow and the Market Price of Our Securities. We currently have, and expect to incur in the future, interest-bearing debt at rates that vary with market interest rates. As of December 31, 2011, UDR had approximately \$1.1 billion of variable rate indebtedness outstanding, which constitutes approximately 28% of total outstanding indebtedness as of such date. As of December 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership had approximately \$287.0 million of variable rate indebtedness outstanding, which constitutes approximately 24% of total outstanding indebtedness to third parties as of such date. An increase in interest rates would increase our interest expenses and increase the costs of refinancing existing indebtedness and of issuing new debt. Accordingly, higher interest rates could adversely affect cash flow and our ability to service our debt and to make distributions to security holders. The effect of prolonged interest rate increases could negatively impact our ability to make acquisitions and develop properties. In addition, an increase in market interest rates may lead our security holders to demand a higher annual yield, which could adversely affect the market price of UDR's common and preferred stock and debt securities.

Interest Rate Hedging Contracts May Be Ineffective and May Result in Material Charges. From time to time when we anticipate issuing debt securities, we may seek to limit our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates during the period prior to the pricing of the securities by entering into interest rate hedging contracts. We may do this to increase the predictability of our financing costs. Also, from time to time we may rely on interest rate hedging contracts to limit our exposure under variable rate debt to unfavorable changes in market interest rates. If the terms of new debt securities are not within the parameters of, or market interest rates fall below that which we incur under a particular interest rate hedging contract, the contract is ineffective. Furthermore, the settlement of interest rate hedging contracts has involved and may in the future involve material charges. In addition, our use of interest rate hedging arrangements may expose us to additional risks, including a risk that a counterparty to a hedging arrangement may fail to honor its obligations. Developing an effective interest rate risk strategy is complex and no strategy can completely insulate us from risks associated with interest rate fluctuations. There can be no assurance that our hedging activities will have desired beneficial impact on our results of operations or financial condition. Termination of these hedging agreements typically involves costs, such as transaction fees or breakage costs.

Risks Related to Tax Laws

We Would Incur Adverse Tax Consequences if UDR Failed to Qualify as a REIT. UDR has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Code. Our qualification as a REIT requires us to satisfy numerous requirements, some on an annual and quarterly basis, established under highly technical and complex Code provisions for which there are only limited judicial or administrative interpretations, and involves the determination of various factual matters and circumstances not entirely within our control. We intend that our current organization and method of operation enable us to continue to qualify as a REIT, but we may not so qualify or we may not be able to remain so qualified in the future. In addition, U.S. federal income tax laws governing REITs and other corporations and the administrative interpretations of those laws may be amended at any time, potentially with retroactive effect. Future legislation, new regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions could adversely affect our ability to qualify as a REIT or adversely affect UDR's stockholders.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we would be subject to federal income tax (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) on our taxable income at regular corporate rates, and would not be allowed to deduct dividends paid to UDR's stockholders in computing our taxable income. Also, unless the Internal Revenue Service granted us relief under certain statutory provisions, we could not re-elect REIT status until the fifth calendar year after the year in which we first failed to qualify as a REIT. The additional tax liability from the failure to qualify as a REIT would reduce or eliminate the amount of cash available for investment or distribution to UDR's stockholders. This would likely have a significant adverse effect on the value of our securities and our ability to raise additional capital. In addition, we would no longer be required to make distributions to UDR's stockholders. Even if we continue to qualify as a REIT, we will continue to be subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and property.

REITs May Pay a Portion of Dividends in Common Stock. In December 2009, the Internal Revenue Service issued Revenue Procedure 2010-12, which expanded previously issued temporary guidance relating to certain stock distributions made by publicly traded REITs to satisfy their tax-related distribution requirements. This expanded

temporary guidance is intended to permit REITs to limit cash distributions in order to maintain liquidity during the current downturn in economic conditions. Under this expanded guidance, for stock dividends declared on or after January 1, 2008 and before December 31, 2012, with respect to a taxable year ending on or before December 31, 2011, the Internal Revenue Service will treat a distribution of stock by a publicly traded REIT, pursuant to certain stockholder elections to receive either stock or cash, as a taxable distribution of property, provided that, among other conditions, (i) the total amount of cash available for distribution is not less than 10% of the aggregate declared distribution, and (ii) if too many stockholders elect to receive cash, each stockholder electing to receive cash will receive a pro rata amount of cash corresponding to its respective entitlement under the declaration, but in no event will any such electing stockholder receive less than 10% of the stockholder's entire entitlement in money. The amount of such stock distribution will generally be treated as equal to the amount of cash that could have been received instead. If we pay a portion of our dividends in shares of UDR's common stock pursuant to this temporary guidance, UDR's stockholders may receive less cash than they received in distributions in prior years and the market value of our securities may decline.

Table of Contents

Dividends Paid By REITs Generally Do Not Qualify for Reduced Tax Rates. In general, the maximum U.S. federal income tax rate for dividends paid to individual U.S. shareholders is 15% (through 2012). Unlike dividends received from a corporation that is not a REIT, our distributions to individual shareholders generally are not eligible for the reduced rates.

UDR May Conduct a Portion of Our Business Through Taxable REIT Subsidiaries, Which are Subject to Certain Tax Risks. We have established several taxable REIT subsidiaries. Despite UDR's qualification as a REIT, its taxable REIT subsidiaries must pay income tax on their taxable income. In addition, we must comply with various tests to continue to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, and our income from and investments in our taxable REIT subsidiaries generally do not constitute permissible income and investments for these tests. While we will attempt to ensure that our dealings with our taxable REIT subsidiaries will not adversely affect our REIT qualification, we cannot provide assurance that we will successfully achieve that result. Furthermore, we may be subject to a 100% penalty tax, we may jeopardize our ability to retain future gains on real property sales, or our taxable REIT subsidiaries may be denied deductions, to the extent our dealings with our taxable REIT subsidiaries are not deemed to be arm's length in nature or are otherwise not respected.

REIT Distribution Requirements Limit Our Available Cash. As a REIT, UDR is subject to annual distribution requirements, which limit the amount of cash we retain for other business purposes, including amounts to fund our growth. We generally must distribute annually at least 90% of our net REIT taxable income, excluding any net capital gain, in order for our distributed earnings not to be subject to corporate income tax. We intend to make distributions to UDR's stockholders to comply with the requirements of the Code. However, differences in timing between the recognition of taxable income and the actual receipt of cash could require us to sell assets or borrow funds on a short-term or long-term basis to meet the 90% distribution requirement of the Code.

Certain Property Transfers May Generate Prohibited Transaction Income, Resulting in a Penalty Tax on Gain Attributable to the Transaction. From time to time, we may transfer or otherwise dispose of some of our properties. Under the Code, any gain resulting from transfers of properties that we hold as inventory or primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business would be treated as income from a prohibited transaction and subject to a 100% penalty tax. Since we acquire properties for investment purposes, we do not believe that our occasional transfers or disposals of property are prohibited transactions. However, whether property is held for investment purposes is a question of fact that depends on all the facts and circumstances surrounding the particular transaction. The Internal Revenue Service may contend that certain transfers or disposals of properties by us are prohibited transactions. If the Internal Revenue Service were to argue successfully that a transfer or disposition of property constituted a prohibited transaction, then we would be required to pay a 100% penalty tax on any gain allocable to us from the prohibited transaction and we may jeopardize our ability to retain future gains on real property sales. In addition, income from a prohibited transaction might adversely affect UDR's ability to satisfy the income tests for qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes.

We Could Face Possible State and Local Tax Audits and Adverse Changes in State and Local Tax Laws. As discussed in the risk factors above, because UDR is organized and qualifies as a REIT it is generally not subject to federal income taxes, but it is subject to certain state and local taxes. From time to time, changes in state and local tax laws or regulations are enacted, which may result in an increase in our tax liability. A shortfall in tax revenues for states and municipalities in which we own apartment communities may lead to an increase in the frequency and size of such changes. If such changes occur, we may be required to pay additional state and local taxes. These increased tax costs could adversely affect our financial condition and the amount of cash available for the payment of distributions to UDR's stockholders. In the normal course of business, entities through which we own real estate may also become subject to tax audits. If such entities become subject to state or local tax audits, the ultimate result of such audits could have an adverse effect on our financial condition.

Table of Contents

The Operating Partnership Intends to Qualify as a Partnership, But Cannot Guarantee That It Will Qualify. The Operating Partnership intends to qualify as a partnership for federal income tax purposes at any such time that the Operating Partnership admits additional limited partners other than UDR. If classified as a partnership, the Operating Partnership generally will not be a taxable entity and will not incur federal income tax liability. However, the Operating Partnership would be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes if it were a publicly traded partnership, unless at least 90% of the Operating Partnership's income was qualifying income as defined in the Code. A publicly traded partnership is a partnership whose partnership interests are traded on an established securities market or are readily tradable on a secondary market (or the substantial equivalent thereof). Although the Operating Partnership's partnership units are not traded on an established securities market, because of the redemption right, the Operating Partnership's units held by limited partners could be viewed as readily tradable on a secondary market (or the substantial equivalent thereof), and the Operating Partnership may not qualify for one of the safe harbors under the applicable tax regulations. Qualifying income for the 90% test generally includes passive income, such as real property rents, dividends and interest. The income requirements applicable to REITs and the definition of qualifying income for purposes of this 90% test are similar in most respects. The Operating Partnership may not meet this qualifying income test. If the Operating Partnership were to be taxed as a corporation, it would incur substantial tax liabilities, and UDR would then fail to qualify as a REIT for tax purposes, unless it qualified for relief under certain statutory savings provisions, and our ability to raise additional capital would be impaired.

Qualifying as a REIT Involves Highly Technical and Complex Provisions of the Code. Our qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Code provisions for which only limited judicial and administrative authorities exist. Even a technical or inadvertent violation could jeopardize our REIT qualification. Moreover, new legislation, court decisions or administrative guidance, in each case possibly with retroactive effect, may make it more difficult or impossible for us to qualify as a REIT. Our qualification as a REIT will depend on our satisfaction of certain asset, income, organizational, distribution, shareholder ownership and other requirements on a continuing basis. Our ability to satisfy the REIT income and asset tests depends upon our analysis of the characterization and fair market values of our assets, some of which are not susceptible to a precise determination and for which we will not obtain independent appraisals, and upon our ability to successfully manage the composition of our income and assets on an ongoing basis. In addition, our ability to satisfy the requirements to qualify as a REIT depends in part on the actions of third parties over which we have no control or only limited influence, including in cases where we own an equity interest in an entity that is classified as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Risks Related to Our Organization and Ownership of UDR's Stock

Changes in Market Conditions and Volatility of Stock Prices Could Adversely Affect the Market Price of UDR's Common Stock. The stock markets, including the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE), on which we list UDR's common stock, have experienced significant price and volume fluctuations. As a result, the market price of UDR's common stock could be similarly volatile, and investors in UDR's common stock may experience a decrease in the value of their shares, including decreases unrelated to our operating performance or prospects. In addition to the risks listed in this Risk Factors section, a number of factors could negatively affect the price per share of UDR's common stock, including:

- general market and economic conditions;

- actual or anticipated variations in UDR's quarterly operating results or dividends or UDR's payment of dividends in shares of UDR's stock;

- changes in our funds from operations or earnings estimates;

- difficulties or inability to access capital or extend or refinance existing debt;

- decreasing (or uncertainty in) real estate valuations;

changes in market valuations of similar companies;

publication of research reports about us or the real estate industry;

the general reputation of real estate investment trusts and the attractiveness of their equity securities in comparison to other equity securities (including securities issued by other real estate companies);

general stock and bond market conditions, including changes in interest rates on fixed income securities, that may lead prospective purchasers of UDR's stock to demand a higher annual yield from future dividends;

a change in analyst ratings;

Table of Contents

additions or departures of key management personnel;

adverse market reaction to any additional debt we incur in the future;

speculation in the press or investment community;

terrorist activity which may adversely affect the markets in which UDR's securities trade, possibly increasing market volatility and causing the further erosion of business and consumer confidence and spending;

failure to qualify as a REIT;

strategic decisions by us or by our competitors, such as acquisitions, divestments, spin-offs, joint ventures, strategic investments or changes in business strategy;

failure to satisfy listing requirements of the NYSE;

governmental regulatory action and changes in tax laws; and

the issuance of additional shares of UDR's common stock, or the perception that such sales might occur, including under UDR's at-the-market equity distribution program.

Many of the factors listed above are beyond our control. These factors may cause the market price of shares of UDR's common stock to decline, regardless of our financial condition, results of operations, business or our prospects.

We May Change the Dividend Policy for UDR's Common Stock in the Future. The decision to declare and pay dividends on UDR's common stock, as well as the timing, amount and composition of any such future dividends, will be at the sole discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our earnings, funds from operations, liquidity, financial condition, capital requirements, contractual prohibitions or other limitations under our indebtedness, the annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Code, state law and such other factors as our board of directors considers relevant. Any change in our dividend policy could have a material adverse effect on the market price of UDR's common stock.

Maryland Law May Limit the Ability of a Third Party to Acquire Control of Us, Which May Not be in UDR's Stockholders' Best Interests. Maryland business statutes may limit the ability of a third party to acquire control of us. As a Maryland corporation, we are subject to various Maryland laws which may have the effect of discouraging offers to acquire our Company and of increasing the difficulty of consummating any such offers, even if our acquisition would be in UDR's stockholders' best interests. The Maryland General Corporation Law restricts mergers and other business combination transactions between us and any person who acquires beneficial ownership of shares of UDR's stock representing 10% or more of the voting power without our board of directors' prior approval. Any such business combination transaction could not be completed until five years after the person acquired such voting power, and generally only with the approval of stockholders representing 80% of all votes entitled to be cast and 66 2 / 3 % of the votes entitled to be cast, excluding the interested stockholder, or upon payment of a fair price. Maryland law also provides generally that a person who acquires shares of our equity stock that represents 10% (and certain higher levels) of the voting power in electing directors will have no voting rights unless approved by a vote of two-thirds of the shares eligible to vote.

Table of Contents

Limitations on Share Ownership and Limitations on the Ability of UDR's Stockholders to Effect a Change in Control of Our Company Restricts the Transferability of UDR's Stock and May Prevent Takeovers That are Beneficial to UDR's Stockholders. One of the requirements for maintenance of our qualification as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes is that no more than 50% in value of our outstanding capital stock may be owned by five or fewer individuals, including entities specified in the Code, during the last half of any taxable year. Our charter contains ownership and transfer restrictions relating to UDR's stock primarily to assist us in complying with this and other REIT ownership requirements; however, the restrictions may have the effect of preventing a change of control, which does not threaten REIT status. These restrictions include a provision that generally limits ownership by any person of more than 9.9% of the value of our outstanding equity stock, unless our board of directors exempts the person from such ownership limitation, provided that any such exemption shall not allow the person to exceed 13% of the value of our outstanding equity stock. Absent such an exemption from our board of directors, the transfer of UDR's stock to any person in excess of the applicable ownership limit, or any transfer of shares of such stock in violation of the ownership requirements of the Code for REITs, will be considered null and void, and the intended transferee of such stock will acquire no rights in such shares. These provisions of our charter may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing someone from taking control of us, even though a change of control might involve a premium price for UDR's stockholders or might otherwise be in UDR's stockholders' best interests.

Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

Table of Contents**Item 2. PROPERTIES**

At December 31, 2011, our consolidated apartment portfolio included 163 communities located in 24 markets, with a total of 47,343 completed apartment homes.

We lease approximately 38,000 square feet of office space in Highlands Ranch, Colorado for our corporate headquarters. We also lease an additional 3,000 square feet for a regional office in Richmond, Virginia.

The tables below set forth a summary of real estate portfolio by geographic market of the Company and of the Operating Partnership at December 31, 2011.

**SUMMARY OF REAL ESTATE PORTFOLIO BY GEOGRAPHIC MARKET AT DECEMBER 31, 2011
UDR, INC.**

	Number of Apartment Communities	Number of Apartment Homes	Percentage of Carrying Value	Carrying Value (in thousands)	Encumbrances (in thousands)	Cost per Home	Average Physical Occupancy	Average Home Size Square Feet
WESTERN REGION								
Orange County, CA	13	4,254	10.1%	\$ 814,951	\$ 336,153	\$ 191,573	94.9%	835
San Francisco, CA	11	2,436	8.0%	645,240	105,236	264,877	94.3%	833
Los Angeles, CA	6	1,502	5.5%	445,931	166,213	296,891	95.4%	939
Seattle, WA	11	2,165	5.8%	471,410	68,342	217,741	95.8%	882
San Diego, CA	2	366	0.7%	55,679		152,131	94.8%	865
Monterey Peninsula, CA	7	1,565	1.9%	154,030		98,422	93.8%	724
Inland Empire, CA	2	654	1.3%	100,946	78,325	154,353	94.9%	955
Sacramento, CA	2	914	0.9%	69,058		75,556	93.1%	820
Portland, OR	3	716	0.9%	70,383	41,934	98,300	95.5%	918
MID-ATLANTIC REGION								
Metropolitan DC	14	4,500	11.2%	907,496	196,232	201,666	96.2%	963
Baltimore, MD	11	2,301	3.7%	300,389	131,794	130,547	96.5%	1,001
Richmond, VA	6	2,211	2.3%	189,470	67,089	85,694	95.9%	966
Norfolk, VA	6	1,438	1.1%	86,194		59,940	94.7%	1,016
Boston, MA	4	1,179	3.9%	313,565	85,463	265,958	96.3%	1,097
New York, NY	4	1,916	14.5%	1,171,983	241,094	611,682	96.4%	761
Other Mid-Atlantic	3	844	0.8%	61,393		72,741	95.9%	963
SOUTHEASTERN REGION								
Tampa, FL	11	3,804	4.2%	336,859	20,561	88,554	95.5%	963
Orlando, FL	11	3,167	3.4%	274,931	85,999	86,811	95.0%	978
Nashville, TN	8	2,260	2.3%	182,764	24,591	80,869	96.3%	933
Jacksonville, FL	5	1,857	2.0%	159,213		85,737	94.5%	913
Other Florida	4	1,184	1.4%	113,640	40,133	95,980	93.7%	1,035
SOUTHWESTERN REGION								
Dallas, TX	10	3,581	5.1%	415,779	98,273	116,107	95.5%	889
Phoenix, AZ	6	1,744	2.1%	171,782	31,695	98,499	94.6%	970
Austin, TX	2	640	1.2%	98,146	25,079	153,350	91.8%	888

Total Operating Communities	162	47,198	94.3%	7,611,232	1,844,206	\$ 161,262	95.3%	920
Real Estate Under Development (a)	1	145	3.1%	248,746		25,076		
Land			1.6%	125,824				
Other			1.0%	88,669		22,271		
Total Real Estate Owned	163	47,343	100.0%	\$ 8,074,471	\$ 1,891,553			

(a) The Company is currently developing seven wholly-owned communities with 2,108 apartment homes, 145 of which have been completed.

Table of Contents**SUMMARY OF REAL ESTATE PORTFOLIO BY GEOGRAPHIC MARKET AT DECEMBER 31, 2011
UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.**

	Number of Apartment Communities	Number of Homes	Percentage of Carrying Value	Carrying Value (In thousands)	Encumbrances (In thousands)	Cost per Home	Average Physical Occupancy	Average Home Size (In Square Feet)
WESTERN REGION								
Orange County, CA	11	3,899	17.3%	\$ 725,615	\$ 336,153	\$ 186,103	94.9%	812
San Francisco, CA	9	2,185	12.9%	543,953	105,236	248,949	94.0%	809
Los Angeles, CA	3	463	3.0%	125,104	8,663	270,201	95.4%	960
Seattle, WA	5	932	4.9%	208,097	32,044	223,280	96.1%	865
San Diego, CA	2	366	1.3%	55,679		152,131	94.8%	865
Monterey Peninsula, CA	7	1,565	3.7%	154,030		98,422	93.8%	724
Inland Empire, CA	1	414	1.7%	69,584	54,308	168,077	94.8%	989
Sacramento, CA	2	914	1.6%	69,058		75,556	93.1%	820
Portland, OR	3	716	1.7%	70,383	41,934	98,300	95.5%	918
MID-ATLANTIC REGION								
Metropolitan DC	8	2,565	13.8%	582,283	98,452	227,011	95.6%	948
Baltimore, MD	5	994	3.5%	147,209	83,682	148,098	95.6%	971
Boston, MA	2	833	4.1%	172,991	60,702	207,672	96.1%	1,120
New York, NY	2	1,000	13.9%	586,529	205,526	586,529	95.6%	687
SOUTHEASTERN REGION								
Tampa, FL	3	1,154	2.6%	111,019		96,203	96.0%	1,029
Nashville, TN	6	1,612	3.1%	128,836		79,923	96.3%	925
Jacksonville, FL	1	400	1.0%	42,692		106,730	94.3%	964
Other Florida	1	636	1.8%	77,499	40,133	121,854	93.2%	1,130
SOUTHWESTERN REGION								
Dallas, TX	2	1,348	4.4%	184,158	91,117	136,616	95.8%	909
Phoenix, AZ	3	914	1.7%	72,919	31,695	79,781	95.0%	1,000
Austin, TX	1	250	0.9%	37,631		150,512	85.5%	883
Total Operating Communities Land and other	77	23,160	98.9% 1.1%	4,165,269 40,029	1,189,645	179,848	95.0%	890
Total Real Estate Owned	77	23,160	100.0%	\$ 4,205,298	\$ 1,189,645			

Table of Contents

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are subject to various legal proceedings and claims arising in the ordinary course of business. We cannot determine the ultimate liability with respect to such legal proceedings and claims at this time. We believe that such liability, to the extent not provided for through insurance or otherwise, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flow.

Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not Applicable.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES****UDR, Inc.:***Common Stock*

UDR, Inc.'s common stock has been listed on the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, under the symbol UDR since May 7, 1990. The following tables set forth the quarterly high and low sale prices per common share reported on the NYSE for each quarter of the last two fiscal years. Distribution information for common stock reflects distributions declared per share for each calendar quarter and paid at the end of the following month.

	2011			2010		
	High	Low	Distributions Declared	High	Low	Distributions Declared
Quarter ended March 31,	\$ 24.42	\$ 22.19	\$ 0.185	\$ 18.26	\$ 14.47	\$ 0.180
Quarter ended June 30,	\$ 26.46	\$ 23.42	\$ 0.200	\$ 21.82	\$ 17.57	\$ 0.180
Quarter ended September 30,	\$ 27.26	\$ 21.18	\$ 0.200	\$ 22.26	\$ 17.93	\$ 0.185
Quarter ended December 31,	\$ 25.67	\$ 20.04	\$ 0.215	\$ 24.10	\$ 20.99	\$ 0.185

On February 17, 2012, the closing sale price of our common stock was \$25.73 per share on the NYSE and there were 5,563 holders of record of the 223,340,334 outstanding shares of our common stock.

We have determined that, for federal income tax purposes, approximately 64% of the distributions for 2011 represented ordinary income, 10% represented long-term capital gain, and 26% represented unrecaptured section 1250 gain.

UDR pays regular quarterly distributions to holders of its common stock. Future distributions will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on our actual funds from operations, financial condition and capital requirements, the annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Code, and other factors.

Series E Preferred Stock

The Series E Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock (Series E) has no stated par value and a liquidation preference of \$16.61 per share. Subject to certain adjustments and conditions, each share of the Series E is convertible at any time and from time to time at the holder's option into 1.083 shares of our common stock. The holders of the Series E are entitled to vote on an as-converted basis as a single class in combination with the holders of common stock at any meeting of our stockholders for the election of directors or for any other purpose on which the holders of common stock are entitled to vote. The Series E has no stated maturity and is not subject to any sinking fund or any mandatory redemption. In connection with a special dividend (declared on November 5, 2008), the Company reserved for issuance upon conversion of the Series E additional shares of common stock to which a holder of the Series E would have received if the holder had converted the Series E immediately prior to the record date for this special dividend. Distributions declared on the Series E for the year ended December 31, 2011 were \$1.33 per share or \$0.3322 per quarter. The Series E is not listed on any exchange. At December 31, 2011, a total of 2,803,812 shares of the Series E were outstanding.

Table of Contents*Series F Preferred Stock*

We are authorized to issue up to 20,000,000 shares of our Series F (Series F) Preferred Stock. The Series F Preferred Stock may be purchased by holders of our Operating Partnership Units, or OP Units, described below under Operating Partnership Units, at a purchase price of \$0.0001 per share. OP Unitholders are entitled to subscribe for and purchase one share of the Series F for each OP Unit held. At December 31, 2011, a total of 2,534,846 shares of the Series F were outstanding at a value of \$253. Holders of the Series F are entitled to one vote for each share of the Series F they hold, voting together with the holders of our common stock, on each matter submitted to a vote of security holders at a meeting of our stockholders. The Series F does not entitle its holders to any other rights, privileges or preferences.

Series G Preferred Stock

In May 2007, UDR issued 5,400,000 shares of our 6.75% Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (Series G). The Series G has no stated par value and a liquidation preference of \$25 per share. The Series G generally has no voting rights except under certain limited circumstances and as required by law. The Series G has no stated maturity and is not subject to any sinking fund or mandatory redemption and is not convertible into any of our other securities. The Series G is not redeemable prior to May 31, 2012. On or after this date, the Series G may be redeemed for cash at our option, in whole or in part, at a redemption price of \$25 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company repurchased 141,200 shares of Series G, for less than the liquidation preference of \$25 per share resulting in a loss of \$175,000 to our net income attributable to common stockholders. Distributions declared on the Series G for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$1.69 per share. The Series G is listed on the NYSE under the symbol UDRPrG . At December 31, 2011, a total of 3,264,362 shares of the Series G were outstanding.

Distribution Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan

We have a Distribution Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan under which holders of our common stock may elect to automatically reinvest their distributions and make additional cash payments to acquire additional shares of our common stock. Stockholders who do not participate in the plan continue to receive distributions as and when declared. As of February 17, 2012, there were approximately 2,607 participants in the plan.

United Dominion Realty, L.P.:*Operating Partnership Units*

There is no established public trading market for United Dominion Realty, L.P.'s Operating Partnership Units. From time to time we issue shares of our common stock in exchange for OP Units tendered to the Operating Partnership, for redemption in accordance with the provisions of the Operating Partnership's limited partnership agreement. At December 31, 2011, there were 184,281,253 OP Units outstanding in the Operating Partnership, of which 174,859,951 OP Units or 94.9% were owned by UDR and 9,421,302 OP Units or 5.1% were owned by limited partners. Under the terms of the Operating Partnership's limited partnership agreement, the holders of OP Units have the right to require the Operating Partnership to redeem all or a portion of the OP Units held by the holder in exchange for a cash payment based on the market value of our common stock at the time of redemption. However, the Operating Partnership's obligation to pay the cash amount is subject to the prior right of the Company to acquire such OP Units in exchange for either the cash amount or the number of shares of our common stock equal to the number of OP Units being redeemed. During 2011, we issued a total of 12,511 shares of common stock upon redemption of OP Units.

Purchases of Equity Securities

In February 2006, UDR's Board of Directors authorized a 10,000,000 share repurchase program. In January 2008, UDR's Board of Directors authorized a new 15,000,000 share repurchase program. Under the two share repurchase programs, UDR may repurchase shares of our common stock in open market purchases, block purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. As reflected in the table below, no shares of common stock were repurchased under these programs during the quarter ended December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

The following table set forth certain information regarding our common stock repurchases during the quarter ended December 31, 2011.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (1)
Beginning Balance	9,967,490	\$ 22.00	9,967,490	15,032,510
October 1, 2011 through October 31, 2011				15,032,510
November 1, 2011 through November 30, 2011				15,032,510
December 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011				15,032,510
Balance as of December 31, 2011	9,967,490	\$ 22.00	9,967,490	15,032,510

(1) This number reflects the amount of shares that were available for purchase under our 10,000,000 share repurchase program authorized in February 2006 and our 15,000,000 share repurchase program authorized in January 2008.

Comparison of Five- year Cumulative Total Returns

The following graph compares the five-year cumulative total returns for UDR common stock with the comparable cumulative return of the NAREIT Equity REIT Index, Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index, the NAREIT Equity Apartment Index and the MSCI US REIT Index. Each graph assumes that \$100 was invested on December 31 (of the initial year shown in the graph), in each of our common stock and the indices presented. Historical stock price performance is not necessarily indicative of future stock price performance. The comparison assumes that all dividends are reinvested.

<i>Index</i>	<i>Period Ending</i>					
	12/31/06	12/31/07	12/31/08	12/31/09	12/31/10	12/31/11
UDR, Inc.	100.00	65.38	53.19	67.84	100.87	111.19
NAREIT Equity Apartment Index	100.00	74.57	55.83	72.81	107.05	123.22
US MSCI REITS	100.00	83.18	51.60	66.36	85.26	92.67
S&P 500	100.00	105.49	66.46	84.05	96.71	98.76
NAREIT Equity REIT Index	100.00	84.31	52.50	67.20	85.98	93.11

The performance graph and the related chart and text, are being furnished solely to accompany this Annual Report on Form 10-K pursuant to Item 201(e) of Regulation S-K, and are not being filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and are not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of ours, whether made before or after the date hereof, regardless of any general incorporation language in such filing.

Table of Contents**Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The following tables set forth selected consolidated financial and other information of UDR, Inc. and of the Operating Partnership as of and for each of the years in the five-year period ended December 31, 2011. The table should be read in conjunction with each of UDR, Inc.'s and the Operating Partnership's respective consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto, and Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, included elsewhere in this Report.

	UDR, Inc.				
	Years Ended December 31,				
	(In thousands, except per share data				
	and apartment homes owned)				
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
OPERATING DATA:					
Rental income (a)	\$ 691,263	\$ 574,084	\$ 547,820	\$ 512,683	\$ 458,159
(Loss)/income from continuing operations (a)	(111,636)	(115,804)	(97,480)	(66,536)	40,008
Income from discontinued operations (a)	132,221	9,216	5,857	810,403	186,722
Consolidated net income/(loss)	20,585	(106,588)	(91,623)	743,867	226,730
Distributions to preferred stockholders	9,311	9,488	10,912	12,138	13,910
Net income/(loss) attributable to common stockholders	10,537	(112,362)	(95,858)	688,708	198,958
Common distributions declared	165,590	126,086	127,066	308,313	177,540
Special Dividend declared				177,074	
Earnings per share – basic and diluted:					
(Loss)/income from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders	\$ (0.60)	\$ (0.73)	\$ (0.68)	\$ (0.93)	\$ 0.09
Income from discontinued operations (a)	0.66	0.06	0.04	6.22	1.39
Net income/(loss) attributable to common stockholders	0.05	(0.68)	(0.64)	5.29	1.48
Weighted average number of Common Shares outstanding – basic and diluted	201,294	165,857	149,090	130,219	134,016
Weighted average number of Common Shares outstanding, OP Units and Common Stock equivalents outstanding – diluted (b)	214,086	176,900	159,561	142,904	147,199
Common distributions declared	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.85	\$ 2.29	\$ 1.22
Balance Sheet Data:					
Real estate owned, at cost (c)	\$ 8,074,471	\$ 6,881,347	\$ 6,315,047	\$ 5,831,753	\$ 5,956,481
Accumulated depreciation (c)	1,831,727	1,638,326	1,351,293	1,078,689	1,371,759
Total real estate owned, net of accumulated depreciation (c)	6,242,744	5,243,021	4,963,754	4,753,064	4,584,722
Total assets	6,721,354	5,529,540	5,132,617	5,143,805	4,800,454
Secured debt (c)	1,891,553	1,963,670	1,989,434	1,462,471	1,137,936
Unsecured debt	2,026,817	1,603,834	1,437,155	1,798,662	2,341,895
Total debt	3,918,370	3,567,504	3,426,589	3,261,133	3,479,831
Stockholders' equity	2,314,050	1,606,343	1,395,441	1,415,989	941,205
Number of Common Shares outstanding	219,650	182,496	155,465	137,423	133,318

Table of Contents

UDR, Inc.
Years Ended December 31,
(In thousands, except per share data
and apartment homes owned)

	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
OPERATING DATA					
(continued):					
Other Data (c)					
Total apartments owned (at end of year)	47,343	48,553	45,913	44,388	65,867
Weighted average number of apartment homes owned during the year	48,531	48,531	45,113	46,149	69,662
Cash Flow Data:					
Cash provided by operating activities	\$ 244,236	\$ 214,180	\$ 229,383	\$ 179,754	\$ 269,281
Cash (used in)/provided by investing activities	(1,053,182)	(583,754)	(158,045)	302,304	(90,100)
Cash provided by/(used in) financing activities	811,963	373,075	(78,093)	(472,537)	(178,105)
Funds from Operations (b):					
Funds from operations basic	\$ 269,856	\$ 189,045	\$ 180,858	\$ 204,213	\$ 238,722
Funds from operations diluted	273,580	192,771	184,582	207,937	242,446

- (a) Reclassified to conform to current year presentation in accordance with ACS Topic 205-20, *Presentation of Financial Statements – Discontinued Operations*, as described in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Report.
- (b) Funds from operations, or FFO, is defined as net income (computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles), excluding impairment write-downs of depreciable real estate or of investments in non-consolidated investees that are driven by measurable decreases in the fair value of depreciable real estate held by the investee, gains (or losses) from sales of depreciable property, plus real estate depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. This definition conforms with the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts' definition issued in April 2002. We consider FFO in evaluating property acquisitions and our operating performance and believe that FFO should be considered along with, but not as an alternative to, net income and cash flows as a measure of our activities in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and is not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund cash needs.

RE³ is our subsidiary that focuses on development, and land entitlement. RE³ tax benefits and gain on sales, net of taxes, is defined as net sales proceeds less a tax provision and the gross investment basis of the asset before accumulated depreciation. To determine whether gains from RE³ will be included in FFO, the Company considers whether the operating asset has been a short term investment. We consider FFO with RE³ tax benefits and gain on sales, net of taxes, to be a meaningful supplemental measure of performance because the short-term

use of funds produces a profit that differs from the traditional long-term investment in real estate for REITs.

See Funds from Operations below for a reconciliation of FFO and Net income/(loss) attributable to UDR, Inc.

(c) Includes amounts classified as Held for Sale, where applicable.

Table of Contents

United Dominion Realty, L.P.
Years Ended December 31,
(In thousands, except per OP unit data
and apartment homes owned)

	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
OPERATING DATA:					
Rental income (a)	\$ 367,245	\$ 319,089	\$ 322,456	\$ 305,016	\$ 266,387
(Loss)/income from continuing operations (a)	(34,038)	(25,658)	(7,160)	8,045	114,467
Income from discontinued operations	64,267	4,964	3,115	490,863	79,963
Consolidated net income/(loss)	30,229	(20,694)	(4,045)	498,908	194,430
Net income/(loss) attributable to OP unitholders	30,159	(20,735)	(4,176)	497,720	193,688
Earnings per OP unit- basic and diluted:					
(Loss)/income from continuing operations (a)	\$ (0.19)	\$ (0.14)	\$ (0.04)	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.69
Income from discontinued operations	0.35	0.03	0.02	2.95	0.48
Net income/(loss) attributable to OP unitholders	0.17	(0.12)	(0.02)	3.00	1.17
Weighted average number of OP units outstanding basic and diluted	182,448	179,909	178,817	166,163	166,174
Balance Sheet Data:					
Real estate owned, at cost (b)	\$ 4,205,298	\$ 3,706,184	\$ 3,640,888	\$ 3,569,239	2,685,249
Accumulated depreciation (b)	976,358	884,083	717,892	552,369	403,092
Total real estate owned, net of accumulated depreciation (b)	3,228,940	2,822,101	2,922,996	3,016,870	2,282,157
Total assets	3,292,167	2,861,395	2,961,067	3,254,851	2,909,707
Secured debt (b)	1,189,645	1,070,061	1,122,198	851,901	594,845
Total liabilities	1,437,665	1,299,772	1,339,319	1,272,101	920,698
Total partners capital	2,034,792	2,042,241	2,197,753	2,345,825	2,232,404
Receivable due from General Partner	192,451	492,709	588,185	375,124	254,256
Number of OP units outstanding	184,281	179,909	179,909	166,163	166,163
Other Data:					
Total apartments owned (at end of year) (b)	23,160	23,351	23,351	23,351	36,965
Cash Flow Data:					
	\$ 156,071	\$ 146,604	\$ 157,333	\$ 168,660	\$ 212,727

Cash provided by operating activities

Cash (used in)/provided by investing activities

(226,980)	(59,458)	129,628	81,993	75,069
------------------	----------	---------	--------	--------

Cash provided by/(used in) financing activities

70,693	(86,668)	(290,109)	(247,150)	(287,847)
---------------	----------	-----------	-----------	-----------

- (a) Reclassified to conform to current year presentation in accordance with ASC Topic 205-20, Presentation of Financial Statements – Discontinued Operations, as described in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Report.
- (b) Includes amounts classified as Held for Sale, where applicable.

Table of Contents**Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS****Forward-Looking Statements**

This Report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Such forward-looking statements include, without limitation, statements concerning property acquisitions and dispositions, development activity and capital expenditures, capital raising activities, rent growth, occupancy, and rental expense growth. Words such as expects, anticipates, intends, plans, likely, will, believes, seeks, estimates, and variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. Such statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from the results of operations or plans expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such factors include, among other things, unfavorable changes in the apartment market, changing economic conditions, the impact of inflation/deflation on rental rates and property operating expenses, expectations concerning availability of capital and the stabilization of the capital markets, the impact of competition and competitive pricing, acquisitions, developments and redevelopments not achieving anticipated results, delays in completing developments, redevelopments and lease-ups on schedule, expectations on job growth, home affordability and demand/supply ratio for multifamily housing, expectations concerning development and redevelopment activities, and expectations on occupancy levels. The following factors, among others, could cause our future results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements:

- general economic conditions;
- unfavorable changes in apartment market and economic conditions that could adversely affect occupancy levels and rental rates;
- the failure of acquisitions to achieve anticipated results;
- possible difficulty in selling apartment communities;
- competitive factors that may limit our ability to lease apartment homes or increase or maintain rents;
- insufficient cash flow that could affect our debt financing and create refinancing risk;
- failure to generate sufficient revenue, which could impair our debt service payments and distributions to stockholders;
- development and construction risks that may impact our profitability;
- potential damage from natural disasters, including hurricanes and other weather-related events, which could result in substantial costs to us;
- risks from extraordinary losses for which we may not have insurance or adequate reserves;
- uninsured losses due to insurance deductibles, self-insurance retention, uninsured claims or casualties, or losses in excess of applicable coverage;
- delays in completing developments and lease-ups on schedule;
- our failure to succeed in new markets;
- changing interest rates, which could increase interest costs and affect the market price of our securities;
- potential liability for environmental contamination, which could result in substantial costs to us;
- the imposition of federal taxes if we fail to qualify as a REIT under the Code in any taxable year;
- our internal control over financial reporting may not be considered effective which could result in a loss of investor confidence in our financial reports, and in turn have an adverse effect on our stock price; and
- changes in real estate laws, tax laws and other laws affecting our business.

Table of Contents

A discussion of these and other factors affecting our business and prospects is set forth in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors. We encourage investors to review these risk factors.

Although we believe that the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements contained herein are reasonable, any of the assumptions could be inaccurate, and therefore such statements included in this Report may not prove to be accurate. In light of the significant uncertainties inherent in the forward-looking statements included herein, the inclusion of such information should not be regarded as a representation by us or any other person that the results or conditions described in such statements or our objectives and plans will be achieved.

Forward-looking statements and such risks, uncertainties and other factors speak only as of the date of this report, and we expressly disclaim any obligation or undertaking to update or revise any forward-looking statement contained herein, to reflect any change in our expectations with regard thereto, or any other change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based, except to the extent otherwise required by law.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere herein and is based primarily on the consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 of each of UDR, Inc. and United Domination Realty, L.P.

UDR, Inc.:

Business Overview

We are a self administered real estate investment trust, or REIT, that owns, acquires, renovates, develops, redevelops, and manages apartment communities in select markets throughout the United States. We were formed in 1972 as a Virginia corporation. In June 2003, we changed our state of incorporation from Virginia to Maryland. Our subsidiaries include an operating partnership United Dominion Realty, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership.

At December 31, 2011, our consolidated real estate portfolio included 163 communities located in 22 markets with a total of 47,343 completed apartment homes and our total real estate portfolio, inclusive of our unconsolidated communities, included an additional 39 communities with 10,400 completed apartment homes.

At December 31, 2011, the Company is developing seven wholly-owned communities with 2,108 apartment homes, 145 of which have been completed.

At December 31, 2011, the Company is redeveloping seven wholly-owned communities with 3,123 apartment homes, 467 of which have been completed.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our market information by major geographic markets as of December 31, 2011.

	As of December 31, 2011			Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2011			
	Number of Apartmen Communities	Number of Apartment Homes	Percentage of Total Carrying Value	Total Carrying Value (in thousands)	Average Physical Occupancy	Total Income per Occupied Home (a)	Net Operating Income (in thousands)
SAME COMMUNITIES							
WESTERN REGION							
Orange County, CA	9	3,025	6.5%	\$ 524,771	94.8%	\$ 1,499	\$ 36,546
Seattle, WA	9	1,725	3.8%	306,295	95.5%	1,241	16,746
Monterey Peninsula, CA	7	1,565	1.9%	154,030	93.8%	1,110	13,305
San Francisco, CA	7	1,477	4.5%	364,336	96.7%	2,133	26,940
Los Angeles, CA	5	919	3.6%	293,198	95.6%	1,932	13,376
Sacramento, CA	2	914	0.9%	69,058	93.1%	882	5,973
Portland, OR	3	716	0.9%	70,383	95.5%	998	5,570
Inland Empire, CA	2	654	1.3%	100,946	94.9%	1,379	7,061
San Diego, CA	2	366	0.7%	55,679	94.8%	1,365	3,775
MID-ATLANTIC REGION							
Metropolitan DC	10	3,516	8.2%	665,877	96.9%	1,669	46,108
Richmond, VA	6	2,211	2.3%	189,470	95.9%	1,055	19,251
Baltimore, MD	10	2,121	3.2%	254,844	96.5%	1,316	22,759
Norfolk VA	6	1,438	1.1%	86,194	94.7%	980	10,865
Other Mid-Atlantic	3	844	0.8%	61,393	95.9%	1,079	7,410
SOUTHEASTERN REGION							
Tampa, FL	11	3,804	4.2%	336,859	95.5%	980	26,309
Orlando, FL	10	2,796	2.8%	224,069	94.9%	916	18,884
Nashville, TN	8	2,260	2.3%	182,764	96.3%	894	14,878
Jacksonville, FL	5	1,857	2.0%	159,213	94.5%	846	11,083
Other Florida	4	1,184	1.4%	113,640	93.7%	1,016	8,215
SOUTHWESTERN REGION							
Dallas, TX	8	2,725	3.5%	282,940	96.1%	952	17,341
Phoenix, AZ	5	1,362	1.5%	122,434	94.9%	900	9,076
Austin, TX	1	390	0.7%	60,517	95.8%	1,195	2,978
Total/Average Same Communities	133	37,869	58.1%	4,678,910	95.5%	\$ 1,188	\$ 344,449
	29	9,329	39.3%	3,146,815			

**Non Matures,
Commercial Properties
& Other**

**Total Real Estate Held
for Invertment**

162 47,198 97.4% 7,825,725

**Real Estate Under
Development (b)**

1 145 2.6% 248,746

Total Real Estate Owned

163 47,343 100.0% 8,074,471

**Total Accumulated
Depreciation**

(1,831,727)

**Total Real Estate
Owned, Net of
Accumulated
Depreciation**

\$ 6,242,744

- (a) Total Income per Occupied Home represents total revenues divided by the product of occupancy and the number of mature apartment homes.
- (b) The Company is currently developing seven wholly-owned communities with 2,108 apartment homes, 145 of which have been completed.

We report in two segments: Same Communities and Non-Mature/Other Communities. Our Same Communities segment includes those communities acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to January 1, 2010, and held as of December 31, 2011. These communities were owned and had stabilized occupancy and operating expenses as of the beginning of the prior year, there is no plan to conduct substantial redevelopment activities, and the community is not held for sale within the current quarter. A community is considered to have stabilized occupancy once it achieves 90% occupancy for at least three consecutive months. Our Non-Mature/Other Communities segment includes those communities that were acquired or developed in 2009, 2010, and 2011, sold properties, redevelopment properties, properties classified as real estate held for sale, condominium conversion properties, joint venture properties, properties managed by third parties, and the non-apartment components of mixed use properties.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Liquidity is the ability to meet present and future financial obligations either through operating cash flows, the sale of properties, and the issuance of debt and equity. Both the coordination of asset and liability maturities and effective capital management are important to the maintenance of liquidity. Our primary source of liquidity is our cash flow from operations as determined by rental rates, occupancy levels, and operating expenses related to our portfolio of apartment homes and borrowings under credit agreements. We routinely use our unsecured credit facility to temporarily fund certain investing and financing activities prior to arranging for longer-term financing or the issuance of equity or debt securities. During the past several years, proceeds from the sale of real estate have been used for both investing and financing activities as we repositioned our portfolio.

We expect to meet our short-term liquidity requirements generally through cash flow provided by operations and borrowings under credit agreements. We expect to meet certain long-term liquidity requirements such as scheduled debt maturities, the repayment of financing on development activities, and potential property acquisitions, through secured and unsecured borrowings, the issuance of debt or equity securities, and the disposition of properties. We believe that our net cash provided by operations and borrowings under credit agreements will continue to be adequate to meet both operating requirements and the payment of dividends by the Company in accordance with REIT requirements. Likewise, the budgeted expenditures for improvements and renovations of certain properties are expected to be funded from property operations, borrowings under credit agreements, and the issuance of debt or equity securities.

We have a shelf registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC which provides for the issuance of an indeterminate amount of Common Stock, Preferred Stock, guarantees of debt securities, warrants, subscription rights, purchase contracts and units to facilitate future financing activities in the public capital markets. Access to capital markets is dependent on market conditions at the time of issuance.

In September 2009, the Company entered into an equity distribution agreement under which the Company may offer and sell up to 15.0 million shares of its common stock over time to or through its sales agents. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we sold 4,395,601 shares of common stock through this program for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$104.5 million at a weighted average price per share of \$23.78. Aggregate net proceeds from such sales, after deducting related expenses, including commissions paid to the sales agents of approximately \$2.1 million, were approximately \$102.4 million.

In March 2011, the Company entered into a new equity distribution agreement under which the Company may offer and sell up to 20.0 million shares of its common stock over time to or through its sales agents. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we sold 11,849,079 shares of common stock through this program (of which 419,048 shares were settled subsequent to December 31, 2011) for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$297.7 million at a weighted average price per share of \$25.12. Aggregate net proceeds from such sales, after deducting related expenses, including commissions paid to the sales agents of approximately \$6.0 million, were approximately \$291.7 million. In September 2011, the Company entered into a new equity distribution agreement in connection with filing a new registration statement on Form S-3. The new equity distribution agreement replaced the March 2011 agreement, and no material changes were made to the equity distribution agreement.

In May 2011, the Company issued \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 4.250% senior unsecured notes due June 2018 under its existing shelf registration statement. Interest is payable semiannually beginning in December 2011. The notes were priced at 98.988 % of the principal amount plus accrued interest from May 23, 2011 to yield 4.419% to maturity. The notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Operating Partnership.

In July 2011, the Company closed a public offering of 20,700,000 shares of its common stock, including 2,700,000 shares sold as a result of the underwriters' exercise of their over-allotment option in full at the closing, at a price of \$25.00 per share, for net proceeds of approximately \$496.3 million after underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses.

In October 2011, the Company entered into a \$900 million unsecured revolving credit facility, replacing the Company's \$600 million credit facility. The Operating Partnership issued a guarantee in connection with the new credit facility, similar to the guarantee it issued under the prior facility. The new facility has an initial term of four years and includes a one-year extension option, and contains an accordion feature that allows the Company to increase

the facility to \$1.35 billion. Based on the Company's current credit ratings, the credit facility carries an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus a spread of 122.5 basis points and a facility fee of 22.5 basis points. As of December 31, 2011, we had \$421.0 million of borrowings outstanding under the credit facility leaving \$479.0 million of unused capacity (excluding \$3.6 million of letters of credit at December 31, 2011).

Table of Contents

In January 2012, the Company issued \$400 million aggregate principal amount of 4.625% Medium Term Notes due January 2022. Interest is payable semiannually beginning in July 2012. The notes were priced at 99.100% of the principal amount plus accrued interest from January 10, 2012 to yield 4.739% to maturity. The notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Operating Partnership.

Future Capital Needs

Future development expenditures are expected to be funded through unsecured or secured credit facilities, proceeds from the issuance of equity or debt securities, the sale of properties and to a lesser extent, with cash flows provided by operating activities. Acquisition activity in strategic markets may be funded through joint ventures, by the reinvestment of proceeds from the sale of properties, through the issuance of equity or debt securities, the issuance of operating partnership units, and the assumption or placement of secured and/or unsecured debt.

During 2012, we have approximately \$207.8 million of secured debt maturing, inclusive of principal amortization and net of extension rights of \$99.0 million, and \$99.4 million of unsecured debt maturing. We anticipate repaying that debt with proceeds from our operations, debt and equity offerings, proceeds from the sales of properties, and by exercising extension rights with respect to the secured debt.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to use judgment in the application of accounting policies, including making estimates and assumptions. A critical accounting policy is one that is both important to our financial condition and results of operations as well as involves some degree of uncertainty. Estimates are prepared based on management's assessment after considering all evidence available. Changes in estimates could affect our financial position or results of operations. Below is a discussion of the accounting policies that we consider critical to understanding our financial condition or results of operations where there is uncertainty or where significant judgment is required.

Capital Expenditures

In conformity with GAAP, we capitalize those expenditures that materially enhance the value of an existing asset or substantially extend the useful life of an existing asset. Expenditures necessary to maintain an existing property in ordinary operating condition are expensed as incurred.

During 2011, \$51.9 million or \$1,081 per apartment home was spent on recurring capital expenditures. These include revenue enhancing capital expenditures, exterior/interior upgrades, turnover related expenditures for floor coverings and appliances, other recurring capital expenditures such as exterior paint, roofs, siding, parking lots, and asset preservation capital expenditures. In addition, major renovations totaled \$30.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. Total capital expenditures, which in aggregate include recurring capital expenditures and major renovations, of \$81.9 million or \$1,706 per home was spent on all of our communities, excluding development and commercial properties, for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

The following table outlines capital expenditures and repair and maintenance costs for all of our communities, excluding real estate under development, condominium conversions and commercial properties, for the periods presented:

	Year ended December 31, (dollars in thousands, except for per apartment homes)					
				Per Apartment Home		
	2011	2010	% Change	2011	2010	% Change
Revenue enhancing improvements	\$ 7,330	\$ 15,043	-51.3%	\$ 153	\$ 334	-54.2%
Turnover capital expenditures	12,905	9,528	35.4%	269	212	26.9%
Asset preservation expenditures	31,658	22,538	40.5%	659	501	31.6%
Total recurring capital expenditures	51,893	47,109	10.2%	1,081	1,047	3.2%
Major renovations	29,992	30,816	-2.7%	625	685	-8.8%
Total capital expenditures	\$ 81,885	\$ 77,925	5.1%	\$ 1,706	\$ 1,732	-1.5%
Repair and maintenance expense	\$ 37,855	\$ 33,224	13.9%	\$ 789	\$ 738	6.9%
Average stabilized home count	48,005	44,999				

We will continue to selectively add revenue enhancing improvements which we believe will provide a return on investment substantially in excess of our cost of capital. Recurring capital expenditures during 2012 are currently expected to be approximately \$1,150 per apartment home.

Investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

We continually evaluate our investments in unconsolidated joint ventures when events or changes in circumstances indicate that there may be an other-than-temporary decline in value. We consider various factors to determine if a decrease in the value of the investment is other-than-temporary. These factors include, but are not limited to, age of the venture, our intent and ability to retain our investment in the entity, the financial condition and long-term prospects of the entity, and the relationships with the other joint venture partners and its lenders. The amount of loss recognized is the excess of the investment's carrying amount over its estimated fair value. If we believe that the decline in fair value is temporary, no impairment is recorded. The aforementioned factors are taken as a whole by management in determining the valuation of our investment property. Should the actual results differ from management's judgment, the valuation could be negatively affected and may result in a negative impact to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

We record impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operations when events and circumstances indicate that the assets might be impaired and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by the future operation and disposition of those assets are less than the net book value of those assets. Our cash flow estimates are based upon historical results adjusted to reflect our best estimate of future market and operating conditions and our estimated

holding periods. The net book value of impaired assets is reduced to fair market value. Our estimates of fair market value represent our best estimate based upon industry trends and reference to market rates and transactions.

Real Estate Investment Properties

We purchase real estate investment properties from time to time and record the fair value to various components, such as land, buildings, and intangibles related to in-place leases, based on the fair value of each component. The fair value of buildings is determined as if the buildings were vacant upon acquisition and subsequently leased at market rental rates. As such, the determination of fair value considers the present value of all cash flows expected to be generated from the property including an initial lease-up period. We determine the fair value of in-place leases by assessing the net effective rent and remaining term of the lease relative to market terms for similar leases at acquisition. In addition, we consider the cost of acquiring similar leases, the foregone rents associated with the lease-up period, and the carrying costs associated with the lease-up period. The fair value of in-place leases is recorded and amortized as amortization expense over the remaining average contractual lease period.

Table of Contents

REIT Status

We are a Maryland corporation that has elected to be treated for federal income tax purposes as a REIT. A REIT is a legal entity that holds interests in real estate and is required by the Code to meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that a REIT must distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (other than our net capital gain) to our stockholders. If we were to fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal and state income taxes at the regular corporate rates and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for four years. Based on the net earnings reported for the year ended December 31, 2011 in our Consolidated Statements of Operations we would have incurred immaterial federal and state GAAP income taxes if we had failed to qualify as a REIT.

Statements of Cash Flow

The following discussion explains the changes in net cash provided by operating activities, net cash used in investing, and net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities that are presented in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Operating Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2011, our net cash flow provided by operating activities was \$244.2 million compared to \$214.2 million for 2010. The increase in cash flow from operating activities is primarily due to an increase in property net operating income from our apartment community portfolio, which was partially offset by the increase in operating assets and a decrease in operating liabilities.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, our net cash flow provided by operating activities was \$214.2 million compared to \$229.4 million for 2009. The decrease in cash flow from operating activities is primarily due to changes in operating assets and operating liabilities, which include accrued restructuring and severance charges. This decrease is partially offset by an increase in property net operating income.

Investing Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2011, net cash used in investing activities was \$1.1 billion compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$583.8 million for 2010. The change relates to acquisitions of real estate assets and investments in unconsolidated joint ventures, which are discussed in further detail throughout this Report.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, net cash used in investing activities was \$583.8 million compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$158.0 million for 2009. The change relates to acquisitions of real estate assets and investments in unconsolidated joint ventures, which are discussed in further detail throughout this Report.

Table of Contents*Acquisitions*

The following table summarizes UDR's real estate community acquisitions for the year ended December 31, 2011 (*dollar amounts in thousands*):

Property Name	Market	Acquisition Date	Units	Purchase Price (a)
10 Hanover Square	New York, NY	April 2011	493	\$ 259,750
388 Beale	San Francisco, CA	April 2011	227	90,500
14 North	Boston, MA	April 2011	387	64,500
Inwood West	Boston, MA	April 2011	446	108,000
View 14	Metropolitan D.C.	June 2011	185	105,538
Rivergate	New York, NY	July 2011	706	443,403
21 Chelsea	New York, NY	August 2011	210	138,930
95 Wall	New York, NY	August 2011	507	328,914
			3,161	\$ 1,539,535

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company also acquired three parcels of land located in Huntington Beach, California; Addison, Texas; and Boston, Massachusetts for a gross purchase price of \$34.3 million.

Our long-term strategic plan is to continue achieving greater operating efficiencies by investing in fewer, more concentrated markets. As a result, we have been seeking to expand our interests in communities located in the New York, New York; San Francisco, California; Boston, Massachusetts; and Metropolitan D.C. markets over the past years. Prospectively, we plan to channel new investments into those markets we believe will provide the best investment returns. Markets will be targeted based upon defined criteria including above average job growth, low single-family home affordability and limited new supply for multifamily housing—three key drivers to strong rental growth.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company acquired five apartment communities located in Orange County, California; Baltimore, Maryland; Los Angeles, California; and Boston, Massachusetts for a total gross purchase price of \$412.0 million. During the same period, the Company also acquired land located in San Francisco, California for a gross purchase price of \$23.6 million.

Real Estate Under Development and Redevelopment

At December 31, 2011, our development pipeline for wholly-owned communities totaled 2,108 apartment homes with a budget of \$674.3 million in which we have a carrying value of \$248.7 million. We anticipate the completion of these communities from the first quarter of 2012 through the fourth quarter of 2013.

At December 31, 2011, the Company is redeveloping seven wholly-owned communities with 3,123 apartment homes, 467 of which have been completed.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, we invested approximately \$98.7 million in development and redevelopment projects, an increase of \$6.6 million from our 2010 level of \$92.1 million.

Consolidated Joint Ventures

In August 2011, the Company invested in a joint venture with an unaffiliated third party to acquire and redevelop an existing commercial property into a 173 apartment home community in Orange County, California. At closing the Company contributed \$9.0 million and at December 31, 2011, UDR owned a 90% controlling interest in the investment. Under the terms of the operating agreement, our partner is required to achieve certain criteria as it relates to the entitlement process. If the criteria are met on or before 730 days after the site plan application is deemed complete by the city, the Company is obligated to contribute an additional \$3.0 million to the joint venture for distribution to our partner. At the acquisition date, the Company accrued and capitalized \$3.0 million related to the contingent consideration, which represents the difference between fair value of the property of \$9.8 million on the formation date and the estimated fair value of the underlying property upon completion of the entitlement process of

\$12.8 million. The Company estimated the fair value based in part on a third party valuation that utilized Level 3 inputs.

Table of Contents

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company paid \$450,000 to acquire from our partner its remaining 2% noncontrolling interests in the 989 Elements, Elements Too, and Bellevue joint ventures. The consideration paid was in excess of the book value of the noncontrolling interest, and is reflected as a reduction of the Company's equity.

Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

The Company recognizes earnings or losses from our investments in unconsolidated joint ventures consisting of our proportionate share of the net earnings or loss of the joint ventures. In addition, we may earn fees for providing management services to the unconsolidated joint ventures. As of December 31, 2011, UDR had investments in the following unconsolidated joint ventures which are accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

In November 2010, the Company acquired The Hanover Company's (Hanover) partnership interests in the Hanover/MetLife Master Limited Partnership (UDR/MetLife I) at a cost of \$100.8 million. UDR/MetLife I owns a portfolio of 26 operating communities containing 5,748 apartment homes and 10 land parcels with the potential to develop approximately 2,000 additional apartment homes. Under the terms of UDR/MetLife I, UDR acts as the general partner and earns fees for property and asset management and financing transactions.

UDR has a weighted average ownership interest of 12.27% in the operating communities and 4.11% in the land parcels. The initial investment of \$100.8 million consisted of \$71.8 million in cash, which included associated transaction costs, and a \$30 million payable (includes present value discount of \$1.0 million) to Hanover. UDR agreed to pay the \$30.0 million balance to Hanover in two interest free installments in the amounts of \$20.0 million (paid in November 2011) and \$10.0 million on the second anniversary of the closing. The \$30.0 million payable was recorded at its present value of \$29.0 million using an effective interest rate of 2.67%. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the net carrying value of the payable was \$9.8 million and \$29.1 million, respectively. Interest expense of \$697,000 and \$129,000 was recorded during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company's investment was \$133.8 million and \$122.2 million, respectively.

UDR's total cost of its equity investment of \$100.8 million differed from the proportionate share in the underlying net assets of UDR/MetLife I of \$111.4 million. The difference of \$10.6 million was attributable to certain assets and adjustments that were allocated to UDR's proportionate share in UDR/MetLife I's buildings of \$8.4 million, land of \$3.9 million, and (\$1.6 million) of lease intangible assets. With the exception of land, the difference related to buildings is amortized and recorded as a component of loss from unconsolidated entities over 45 years and the difference related to lease intangible assets was amortized and recorded as a component of loss from unconsolidated entities over 11 months with the offset to the Company's carrying value of its equity investment. During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company recorded \$1.1 million and \$264,000 of amortization, respectively. On January 12, 2012, the Company formed a new real estate joint venture, UDR/MetLife II, with MetLife wherein each party owns a 50% interest in a \$1.3 billion portfolio of 12 operating communities containing 2,528 apartment homes. The 12 communities in the joint venture include seven from UDR/MetLife I, while the remaining five operating communities have been newly acquired by UDR/MetLife II. The newly acquired communities, collectively known as Columbus Square, are recently developed, high-rise apartment buildings located on the Upper West Side of Manhattan and were purchased for \$630 million.

The Company serves as the general partner for UDR/MetLife II and earns property management, asset management and financing fees.

With the closing of UDR/MetLife II, the original joint venture between the parties, UDR/MetLife I, now comprises 19 operating properties containing 3,930 homes as well as 10 vacant land parcels. Historical cost of the venture now stands at \$1.8 billion and the Company's weighted average ownership interest in the UDR/MetLife I operating assets is now 12.6% and 4.0% for the land parcels in the venture.

In connection with the purchase of Hanover's interests in UDR/MetLife I, UDR agreed to indemnify Hanover from liabilities arising from Hanover's guaranty of \$506.0 million in loans (\$51.0 million outstanding at December 31, 2011) which are secured by a security interest in the operating communities subject to the respective loans. The loans were to the sub-tier partnerships which own the 26 operating communities. The Company anticipates that the \$51.0 million outstanding at December 31, 2011 will be refinanced by UDR/MetLife I over the next twelve months.

Table of Contents

In October 2010, the Company entered into a joint venture to develop a 240-home community in Stoughton, Massachusetts. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, UDR owned a noncontrolling interest of 95% in the joint venture. Our initial investment was \$10.0 million. Our investment at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$17.2 million and \$10.3 million, respectively.

In May 2011, the Company entered into a joint venture with to develop a 263-home community in San Diego, California. At December 31, 2011 and at closing, UDR owned a noncontrolling interest of 95% in the joint venture. Our initial investment was \$9.9 million and our investment at December 31, 2011 was \$12.1 million.

In June 2011, UDR/MetLife I sold a parcel of land to a joint venture, in which the Company is a partner, to develop a 256-home community in College Park, Maryland. At December 31, 2011 and at closing, UDR owned a noncontrolling interest of 95% in the joint venture. Our initial investment was \$7.1 million and our investment at December 31, 2011 was \$8.6 million.

UDR is a partner with an unaffiliated third party, which formed a joint venture for the investment of up to \$450.0 million in multifamily properties located in key, high barrier to entry markets such as Metropolitan Washington D.C. The partners will contribute equity of \$180.0 million of which the Company's maximum equity will be 30% or \$54.0 million when fully invested. In 2010, the joint venture acquired its first property (151 homes). During the year ended December 31, 2011, the joint venture acquired two additional properties (509 homes). At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company owned a 30% interest in the joint venture. Our investment at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$34.1 million and \$5.2 million, respectively.

UDR is a partner with an unaffiliated third party, which owns and operates 10 operating properties located in Texas (3,992 homes). UDR contributed cash and a property equal to 20% of the fair value of the properties. The unaffiliated member contributed cash equal to 80% of the fair value of the properties comprising the joint venture, which was then used to purchase the nine operating properties from UDR. Our initial investment was \$20.4 million. Our investment at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$7.1 million and \$10.3 million, respectively.

Disposition of Investments

In 2011, we sold 18 apartment home communities (4,488 homes), which included six apartment home communities (1,418 homes) sold in conjunction with an asset exchange in April 2011, for a total sales price of \$593.4 million. UDR recognized gains for financial reporting purposes of \$138.5 million, which is included in discontinued operations. Proceeds from the sale were used primarily to acquire apartment home communities and reduce debt.

In 2010, UDR sold one 149 apartment home community for a total sales price of \$21.2 million. In 2009, we did not dispose of any apartment home communities.

We plan to continue to pursue our strategy of exiting markets where long-term growth prospects are limited and redeploying capital into markets we believe will provide the best investment returns.

Financing Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2011, our net cash provided by financing activities was \$812.0 million compared to \$373.1 million for the comparable period of 2010.

The following significant financing activity occurred during the year ended December 31, 2011.

We received proceeds of \$30.7 million from secured debt financings. The \$30.7 million includes \$25.7 million in variable rate mortgages and \$5.0 million in fixed rate mortgages.

We repaid \$336.0 million of secured debt, which includes \$197.5 million of construction loans, repayment of \$102.8 million of credit facilities, \$22.4 million of mortgage payments, and repayment of \$13.3 million in tax exempt bonds.

Table of Contents

Certain holders submitted their outstanding 4.00% Convertible Senior Notes due 2035 to the Company for repurchase. As a result, we repurchased notes with a notional value of \$10.8 million, representing approximately 6.44% of the \$167.8 million in aggregate principal amount outstanding, and expensed \$207,000 of unamortized financing costs during the three months ended March 31, 2011. On March 2, 2011 the Company called the remaining outstanding notes with a notional value of \$156.9 million. The notes were redeemed on April 4, 2011 and unamortized financing costs of \$3.0 million were written off.

In May 2011, the Company issued \$300 million aggregate principal amount of 4.250% senior unsecured notes due June 2018 under its existing shelf registration statement. Interest is payable semiannually beginning in December 2011. The notes were priced at 98.988% of the principal amount plus accrued interest from May 23, 2011 to yield 4.419% to maturity. The notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Operating Partnership.

We repaid \$97.1 million on our 3.625% Convertible Senior Notes due September 2011.

In October 2011, the Company entered into a new \$900 million unsecured revolving credit facility, replacing the Company's \$600 million credit facility. The Operating Partnership issued a guarantee in connection with the new credit facility, similar to the guarantee it issued under the prior facility. The new facility has an initial term of four years and includes a one-year extension option, and contains an accordion feature that allows the Company to increase the facility to \$1.35 billion. Based on the Company's current credit ratings, the credit facility carries an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus a spread of 122.5 basis points and a facility fee of 22.5 basis points. In 2011, the Company had net borrowings of \$389.3 million on its unsecured revolving credit facilities.

In September 2009, the Company entered into an equity distribution agreement under which the Company may offer and sell up to 15.0 million shares of its common stock over time to or through its sales agents. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we sold 4,395,601 shares of common stock through an equity distribution agreement for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$104.5 million at a weighted average price per share of \$23.78. Aggregate net proceeds from such sales, after deducting related expenses, including commissions paid to the sales agents of approximately \$2.1 million, were approximately \$102.4 million, and such proceeds were used for general corporate purposes.

In March 2011, the Company entered into a new equity distribution agreement under which the Company may offer and sell up to 20.0 million shares of its common stock over time to or through its sales agents. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we sold 11,849,079 shares of common stock through this program (of which 419,048 shares were settled subsequent to December 31, 2011) for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$297.7 million at a weighted average price per share of \$25.12. Aggregate net proceeds from such sales, after deducting related expenses, including commissions paid to the sales agents of approximately \$6.0 million, were approximately \$291.7 million, and such proceeds were used for general corporate purposes. In September 2011, the Company entered into a new equity distribution agreement in connection with filing a new registration statement on Form S-3. The new equity distribution agreement replaced the March 2011 agreement, and no material changes were made to the equity distribution agreement. As of December 31, 2011 8,150,921 shares of common stock may be sold under our equity distribution agreement.

In July 2011, the Company closed a public offering of 20,700,000 shares of its common stock, including 2,700,000 shares sold as a result of the underwriters' exercise of their overallotment option in full at the closing, at a price of \$25.00 per share, for net proceeds of approximately \$496.3 million after underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses.

We repurchased 141,200 shares of our 6.75% Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock for \$3.6 million, which was \$100,000 more than their liquidation value of \$3.5 million.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, our net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities was \$373.1 million compared to (\$78.1 million) for the comparable period of 2009. The increase in cash provided by financing activities was primarily due to an increase in net proceeds from issuance of our Common Stock through a public offering in 2010.

Table of Contents**Credit Facilities**

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, we have secured revolving credit facilities with Fannie Mae with an aggregate commitment of \$1.3 billion with \$1.1 billion outstanding. The Fannie Mae credit facilities are for an initial term of 10 years, bear interest at floating and fixed rates, and certain variable rate facilities can be extended for an additional five years at our option. We have \$744.5 million of the funded balance fixed at a weighted average interest rate of 5.14% and the remaining balance on these facilities is currently at a weighted average variable rate of 1.63% as of December 31, 2011. We had \$897.3 million of the funded balance fixed at a weighted average interest rate of 5.32% and \$260.5 million was at a weighted average variable rate of 1.68% as of December 31, 2010.

In October 2011, the Company entered into a \$900 million unsecured revolving credit facility, replacing the Company's \$600 million credit facility noted below. The Operating Partnership issued a guarantee in connection with the new facility, similar to the guarantee it issued under the prior facility. The unsecured credit facility has an initial term of four years and includes a one-year extension option, and contains an accordion feature that allows the Company to increase the facility to \$1.35 billion. Based on the Company's current credit ratings, the credit facility carries an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus a spread of 122.5 basis points and a facility fee of 22.5 basis points (1.53% at December 31, 2011). As of December 31, 2011, we had \$421.0 million of borrowings outstanding under the credit facility leaving \$479.0 million of unused capacity (excluding \$3.6 million of letters of credit at December 31, 2011).

We had an unsecured revolving credit facility with an aggregate borrowing capacity of \$600 million, which we could have increased to \$750 million at our election under certain circumstances. This credit facility carried an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus 47.5 basis points (0.89% interest at December 30, 2010), and had a maturity of July 2012. As of December 31, 2010, we had \$31.8 million of borrowings outstanding under the credit facility leaving \$568.2 million of unused capacity (excluding \$4.8 million of letters of credit at December 31, 2010).

The Fannie Mae credit facilities and the bank revolving credit facility are subject to customary financial covenants and limitations. As of December 31, 2011, we were in compliance with all financial covenants under these credit facilities.

Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to interest rate risk associated with variable rate notes payable and maturing debt that has to be refinanced. We do not hold financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes, but rather issue these financial instruments to finance our portfolio of real estate assets. Interest rate sensitivity is the relationship between changes in market interest rates and the fair value of market rate sensitive assets and liabilities. Our earnings are affected as changes in short-term interest rates impact our cost of variable rate debt and maturing fixed rate debt. We had \$1.1 billion in variable rate debt that is not subject to interest rate swap contracts as of December 31, 2011. If market interest rates for variable rate debt increased by 100 basis points, our interest expense would increase by \$9.2 million based on the average balance outstanding during the year.

These amounts are determined by considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on our borrowing cost. These analyses do not consider the effects of the adjusted level of overall economic activity that could exist in such an environment. Further, in the event of a change of such magnitude, management would likely take actions to further mitigate our exposure to the change. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and their possible effects, the sensitivity analysis assumes no change in our financial structure.

Table of Contents

Funds from Operations

Funds from operations, or FFO, is defined as net income (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding impairment write-downs of depreciable real estate or of investments in non-consolidated investees that are driven by measurable decreases in the fair value of depreciable real estate held by the investee, gains (or losses) from sales of depreciable property, plus real estate depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. We compute FFO for all periods presented in accordance with the recommendations set forth by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) April 1, 2002 White Paper. We consider FFO in evaluating property acquisitions and our operating performance, and believe that FFO should be considered along with, but not as an alternative to, net income and cash flow as a measure of our activities in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and is not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund cash needs.

Historical cost accounting for real estate assets in accordance with GAAP implicitly assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values instead have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, many industry investors and analysts have considered the presentation of operating results for real estate companies that use historical cost accounting to be insufficient by themselves. Thus, NAREIT created FFO as a supplemental measure of REIT operating performance and defines FFO as net income (computed in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of depreciable property, premiums or original issuance costs associated with preferred stock redemptions, plus depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. The use of FFO, combined with the required presentations, has been fundamentally beneficial, improving the understanding of operating results of REITs among the investing public and making comparisons of REIT operating results more meaningful. We generally consider FFO to be a useful measure for reviewing our comparative operating and financial performance (although FFO should be reviewed in conjunction with net income which remains the primary measure of performance) because by excluding gains or losses related to sales of previously depreciated operating real estate assets and excluding real estate asset depreciation and amortization, FFO can help one compare the operating performance of a Company's real estate between periods or as compared to different companies. We believe that FFO is the best measure of economic profitability for real estate investment trusts.

Table of Contents

The following table outlines our FFO calculation and reconciliation to GAAP for the three years ended December 31, 2011 (*dollars in thousands*):

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Net income/(loss) attributable to UDR, Inc.	\$ 20,023	\$ (102,899)	\$ (87,532)
Adjustments:			
Distributions to preferred stockholders	(9,311)	(9,488)	(10,912)
Real estate depreciation and amortization, including discontinued operations	370,343	303,446	278,391
Net income/(loss) attributable to redeemable non-controlling interests in OP	395	(3,835)	(4,282)
Net income attributable to non-controlling interests	167	146	191
Real estate depreciation and amortization on unconsolidated joint ventures	11,631	5,698	4,759
Net gains on the sale of depreciable property in discontinued operations, excluding RE3	(123,217)	(4,048)	(2,343)
(Premium)/discount on preferred stock repurchases, net	(175)	25	2,586
Funds from operations basic	\$ 269,856	\$ 189,045	\$ 180,858
Distributions to preferred stockholders Series E (Convertible)	3,724	3,726	3,724
Funds from operations diluted	\$ 273,580	\$ 192,771	\$ 184,582
Weighted average number of common shares and OP Units outstanding basic	208,896	171,569	155,796
Weighted average number of common shares and OP Units outstanding diluted	214,086	176,900	159,561

In the computation of diluted FFO, OP Units, unvested restricted stock, stock options, and the shares of Series E Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock are dilutive; therefore, they are included in the diluted share count. The effect of the conversion of the Series E Out-Performance Partnership Shares (the Series E Out-Performance Program terminated on December 31, 2009) are anti-dilutive for the year ended December 31, 2009 and are excluded from the diluted share count.

RE³ is our subsidiary whose activities include development and land entitlement. RE³ tax benefits and gain on sales, net of taxes, is defined as net sales proceeds less a tax provision and the gross investment basis of the asset before accumulated depreciation. To determine whether gains from RE³ will be included in FFO, the Company considers whether the operating asset has been a short term investment. We consider FFO with RE³ tax benefits and gain on sales, net of taxes, to be a meaningful supplemental measure of performance because the short-term use of funds produce a profit that differs from the traditional long-term investment in real estate for REITs.

The following table is our reconciliation of FFO share information to weighted average common shares outstanding, basic and diluted, reflected on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three years ended December 31, 2011 (*shares in thousands*):

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009

Weighted average number of Common Shares and OP Units outstanding basic	208,896	171,569	155,796
Weighted average number of OP Units outstanding	(7,602)	(5,712)	(6,706)
Weighted average number of Common Shares outstanding - basic per the Consolidated Statement of Operations	201,294	165,857	149,090
Weighted average number of Common Shares, OP Units, and common stock equivalents outstanding diluted	214,086	176,900	159,561
Weighted average number of OP Units outstanding	(7,602)	(5,712)	(6,706)
Weighted average incremental shares from assumed conversion of stock options	(1,297)	(1,637)	(567)
Weighted average incremental shares from unvested restricted stock	(857)	(658)	(162)
Weighted average number of Series E preferred shares outstanding	(3,036)	(3,036)	(3,036)
Weighted average number of Common Shares outstanding diluted per the Consolidated Statements of Operations	201,294	165,857	149,090

Table of Contents

FFO also does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP, and therefore should not be considered an alternative to net cash flows from operating activities, as determined by generally accepted accounting principles, as a measure of liquidity. Additionally, it is not necessarily indicative of cash availability to fund cash needs. A presentation of cash flow metrics based on GAAP is as follows (*dollars in thousands*):

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 244,236	\$ 214,180	\$ 229,383
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,053,182)	(583,754)	(158,045)
Net cash used provided by/(used in) financing activities	811,963	373,075	(78,093)

Results of Operations

The following discussion includes the results of both continuing and discontinued operations for the periods presented.

Net Income/(Loss) Attributable to Common Stockholders***2011-vs-2010***

Net income attributable to common stockholders was \$10.5 million (\$0.05 per diluted share) for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to net loss attributable to common stockholders of \$112.4 million (\$0.68 per diluted share) for the comparable period in the prior year. The increase in net income attributable to common stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2011 resulted primarily from the following items, all of which are discussed in further detail elsewhere within this Report:

- an increase in disposition gains in 2011 as compared to 2010. The Company recognized gains of \$138.5 million and \$4.1 million during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, on the sale of eighteen apartment home communities and one community, respectively; and
- an increase in our net operating income.

The increase to our net income attributable to common stockholders was partially offset by:

- an increase in depreciation expense primarily due to the Company's acquisition of eight apartment communities during the year ending December 31, 2011, and the completion of redevelopment and development communities in 2010 and 2011.

2010-vs-2009

Net loss attributable to common stockholders was \$112.4 million (\$0.68 per diluted share) for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to net loss attributable to common stockholders of \$95.9 million (\$0.64 per diluted share) for the comparable period in the prior year. The increase in net loss attributable to common stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2010 resulted primarily from the following items, all of which are discussed in further detail elsewhere within this Report:

- an increase in depreciation expense primarily due to the Company's acquisition of five apartment communities in the third quarter of 2010, consolidation of certain joint venture assets in the fourth quarter of 2009, and the completion of redevelopment and development communities in 2009 and 2010;
- an increase in interest expense primarily due to debt extinguishment gain from the repurchase of unsecured debt securities in 2009; and
- an increase in severance costs and restructuring charges in the fourth quarter of 2010 due to the consolidation of corporate operations and the centralization of job functions from its Richmond, Virginia office to its Highlands Ranch, Colorado headquarters, in addition to severance costs related to the retirement of an executive officer of the Company.

Table of Contents

The increase to our net loss attributable to common stockholders was partially offset by:

an increase in our net operating income; and

a decrease in our loss from unconsolidated entities primarily due to the recognition of a \$16.0 million non-cash charge representing an other-than-temporary decline in the fair value of equity investments in two of our unconsolidated joint ventures during the year ended December 31, 2009.

Apartment Community Operations

Our net income is primarily generated from the operation of our apartment communities. The following table summarizes the operating performance of our total apartment portfolio which excludes commercial operating income and expense for each of the periods presented (*dollars in thousands*):

	Year Ended December 31,			Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	% Change	2010	2009	% Change
Property rental income	\$ 718,800	\$ 624,981	15.0%	\$ 624,981	\$ 594,359	5.2%
Property operating expense (a)	(243,616)	(220,279)	10.6%	(220,279)	(202,773)	8.6%
Property net operating income	\$ 475,184	\$ 404,702	17.4%	\$ 404,702	\$ 391,586	3.3%

(a) Excludes depreciation, amortization, and property management expenses.

The following table is our reconciliation of property NOI to net income/(loss) attributable to UDR, Inc. as reflected, for both continuing and discontinued operations, for the periods presented (*dollars in thousands*):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Property net operating income	\$ 475,184	\$ 404,702	\$ 391,586
Other net operating income	9,576	6,362	6,874
Non-property income	17,422	14,347	14,274
Real estate depreciation and amortization	(370,343)	(303,446)	(278,391)
Interest expense	(158,333)	(150,796)	(142,152)
General and administrative and property management	(66,016)	(62,675)	(55,616)
Other depreciation and amortization	(3,931)	(4,843)	(5,161)
Other operating expenses	(6,217)	(5,848)	(6,485)
Severance costs and other restructuring charges	(1,342)	(6,803)	
Loss from unconsolidated entities	(6,352)	(4,204)	(18,665)
Redeemable non-controlling interests in OP	(395)	3,835	4,282
Non-controlling interests	(167)	(146)	(191)
Tax (expense)/benefit	(7,571)	2,533	(311)
Gain on sale of properties	138,508	4,083	2,424
Net income/(loss) attributable to UDR, Inc.	\$ 20,023	\$ (102,899)	\$ (87,532)

Table of Contents*Same Communities**2011-vs.-2010*

Our same store communities (those acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to January 1, 2010 and held on December 31, 2011) consisted of 37,869 apartment homes and provided \$344.4 million or 72% of our total property NOI for the year ended December 31, 2011.

NOI for our same community properties increased 5.6% or \$18.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The increase in property NOI was primarily attributable to a 4.1% or \$20.5 million increase in property rental income which was offset by a 1.4% or \$2.3 million increase in operating expenses. The increase in revenues was primarily driven by a 4.0% or \$19.1 million increase in rental rates and a 12.1% or \$4.4 million increase in fee and reimbursement income which was offset by a 12.2% or \$2.2 million increase in vacancy loss. Physical occupancy decreased 0.2% to 95.5% and total income per occupied home increased \$50 to \$1,188.

The increase in property operating expenses was primarily driven by a 6.5% or \$1.8 million increase in utilities expense, a 1.5% or \$781,000 increase in taxes, and a 4.1% or \$369,000 increase in insurance costs, which was partially offset by a 1.5% or \$647,000 decrease in personnel cost.

As a result of the percentage changes in property rental income and property operating expenses, the operating margin (property net operating income divided by property rental income) increased to 66.8% as compared to 65.9% in the comparable period in the prior year.

2010-vs.-2009

Our same store communities (those acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to January 1, 2009 and held on December 31, 2010) consisted of 39,281 apartment homes and provided \$341.3 million or 84% of our total property NOI for the year ended December 31, 2010.

NOI for our same community properties decreased 1.8% or \$6.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009. The decrease in property NOI was primarily attributable to a 0.9% or \$4.6 million decrease in property rental income and a 0.9% or \$1.5 million increase in operating expenses. The decrease in revenues was primarily driven by a 2.4% or \$12.1 million decrease in rental rates which was offset by a 57.8% or \$2.7 million decrease in concessions, a 7.9% or \$1.7 million decrease in vacancy loss and a 12.4% or \$2.7 million increase in reimbursement income. Physical occupancy increased 0.4% to 95.7% and total income per occupied home decreased \$15 to \$1,144.

The increase in property operating expenses was primarily driven by a 3.2% or \$874,000 increase in utilities expense, a 3.9% or \$1.1 million increase in repairs and maintenance, and a 2.6% or \$1.1 million increase in personnel costs, which was partially offset by a 2.5% or \$1.3 million decrease in real estate taxes.

As a result of the percentage changes in property rental income and property operating expenses, the operating margin (property net operating income divided by property rental income) decreased to 66.1% as compared to 66.7% in the comparable period in the prior year.

*Non-Mature Communities**2011-vs.-2010*

The remaining \$130.8 million and \$78.4 million of our NOI during the year ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, was generated from communities that we classify as non-mature communities. UDR's non-mature communities consist of communities that do not meet the criteria to be included in same communities, which include communities developed or acquired, redevelopment properties, sold properties, and properties classified as real estate held for disposition. For the year ended December 31, 2011, we recognized NOI for our developments of \$14.5 million, acquired communities of \$49.7 million, redeveloped properties of \$37.8 million, and sold properties of \$23.9 million. For the year ended December 31, 2010, we recognized NOI for our developments of \$3.6 million, acquired communities of \$8.3 million, redeveloped properties of \$23.7 million, and sold properties of \$37.9 million.

2010-vs.-2009

The remaining \$63.4 million and \$44.1 million of our NOI during the year ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, was generated from communities that we classify as non-mature communities. UDR's non-mature communities consist of communities that do not meet the criteria to be included in same communities, which include

communities developed or acquired, redevelopment properties, sold properties, properties classified as real estate held for sale, joint venture properties, properties managed by third-parties, and the non-apartment components of mixed use properties, and condominium properties. For the year ended December 31, 2010, we recognized NOI for our properties held for sale of \$18.6 million, developments of \$15.6 million, acquired communities of \$10.8 million, redeveloped properties of \$12.3 million, and sold properties of \$980,000. For the year ended December 31, 2009, we recognized NOI for our properties held for sale of \$17.3 million, developments of \$7.0 million, acquired communities of \$2.1 million, redeveloped properties of \$11.5 million, and sold properties of \$1.4 million.

Table of Contents**Other Income**

For the year ended December 31, 2011, significant amounts reflected in other income include: fees earned from the Company's joint ventures of \$9.6 million, a gain of \$3.1 million from the sale of marketable securities, and a gain of \$3.9 million from the sale of our cost investment in a privately held company. For the year ended December 31, 2010, significant amounts reflected in other income include: a gain of \$4.7 million from the sale of marketable securities, a reversal of certain tax accruals of \$2.1 million, and \$3.2 million of fees earned for both recurring and non-recurring items related to the Company's joint ventures. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, other income also included interest income and discount amortization from an interest in a convertible debt security of \$2.9 million and \$3.6 million, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2009, other income also included \$5.1 million of interest income from a note for \$200 million that the Company received related to the disposition of 86 properties during 2008. In May 2009, the \$200 million note was paid in full.

Tax Benefit/Expense of Taxable REIT Subsidiaries

UDR elected for certain consolidated subsidiaries to be treated as Taxable REIT Subsidiaries (TRS). Income taxes for our TRS are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities from a change in tax rate is recognized in earnings in the period of the enactment date. For the year ended December 31, 2011, we recognized a benefit of \$5.7 million in continuing operations due to the results of operations and temporary differences associated with the TRS, and an expense of \$13.2 million in discontinued operations due to assets disposed of at a gain. For the year ended December 31, 2010, we recognized a net benefit of \$2.5 million from the write-off of income taxes payable (net of income taxes paid). For the year ended December 31, 2009, we recognized tax expense of \$311,000 to the extent of cash taxes paid.

Real Estate Depreciation and Amortization

For the year ended December 31, 2011, real estate depreciation and amortization on both continuing and discontinued operations increased 22.0% or \$66.9 million as compared to the comparable period in 2010. The increase in depreciation and amortization for the year ended December 31, 2011 is primarily the result of the Company's acquisition of eight communities with 3,161 apartment homes during 2011, development and redevelopment activity during 2011 and 2010, and additional capital expenditures.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, real estate depreciation and amortization on both continuing and discontinued operations increased 9.0% or \$25.1 million as compared to the comparable period in 2009. The increase in depreciation and amortization for the year ended December 31, 2010 is primarily the result of the Company's acquisition of five communities with 1,374 apartment homes during 2010, development completions during 2010 and 2009, and additional capital expenditures.

As part of the Company's acquisition activity a portion of the purchase price is attributable to the fair value of intangible assets which are typically amortized over a period of less than one year.

Interest Expense

For the year ended December 31, 2011, interest expense on both continuing and discontinued operations increased 5.0% or \$7.5 million as compared to 2010. This increase in interest expense was primarily due to slightly higher debt balances. The increase was also attributable to the write off of \$4.6 million of deferred financing costs related to the prepayment of debt.

Table of Contents

For the year ended December 31, 2010, interest expense on both continuing and discontinued operations increased 6.1% or \$8.6 million as compared to 2009. This increase is primarily due to the Company's debt repurchase activity during 2010 and 2009. During the year ended December 31, 2010, we recognized a loss of \$1.0 million as a result of repurchasing some of our 3.625% convertible Senior Notes in the open market as compared to our recognition of \$9.8 million in gains resulting from the repurchase of unsecured debt securities with a notional amount of \$238.9 million in the open market in 2009. The decrease in our gain from debt repurchase activity was partially offset by a decrease of \$3.8 million of expenses related to the tender of \$37.5 million of unsecured debt in 2009.

Severance Costs and Other Restructuring Charges

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company recognized \$6.8 million of severance and restructuring charges as the Company consolidated its corporate operations and centralized job functions to its Highlands Ranch, Colorado headquarters from its Richmond, Virginia office. Also included in these charges were severance costs related to the retirement of an executive officer.

Gains on the Sale of Depreciable Property

For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, we recognized gains for financial reporting purposes of \$138.5 million, \$4.1 million, and \$2.4 million, respectively. Changes in the level of gains recognized from period to period reflect the changing level of our divestiture activity from period to period as well as the extent of gains related to specific properties sold.

Inflation

We believe that the direct effects of inflation on our operations have been immaterial. While the impact of inflation primarily impacts our results through wage pressures, utilities and material costs, substantially all of our leases are for a term of one year or less, which generally enables us to compensate for any inflationary effects by increasing rents on our apartment homes. Although an extreme escalation in energy and food costs could have a negative impact on our residents and their ability to absorb rent increases, we do not believe this has had a material impact on our results for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In November 2010, the Company acquired The Hanover Company's (Hanover) partnership interests in the Hanover/MetLife Master Limited Partnership (UDR/MetLife I).

In connection with the purchase of Hanover's interests in the UDR/MetLife Partnership, UDR agreed to indemnify Hanover from liabilities from Hanover's guaranty of \$506.0 million in loans (\$51.0 million outstanding at December 31, 2011) which are secured by a security interest in the operating communities subject to the loan. The loans are to the sub-tier partnerships which own the 26 operating communities. The Company anticipates that the remaining \$51.0 million will be refinanced by the UDR/MetLife Partnership over the next twelve months.

We do not have any other off-balance sheet arrangements that have, or are reasonably likely to have, a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenue or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that are material.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations**

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2011 (*dollars in thousands*):

Contractual Obligations	Payments Due by Period				Total
	2012	2013-2014	2015-2016	Thereafter	
Long-term debt obligations	\$ 406,197	\$ 667,595	\$ 1,527,679	\$ 1,316,899	\$ 3,918,370
Interest on debt obligations	150,892	254,507	158,323	137,851	701,573
Contingent purchase consideration	3,000				3,000
Letters of credit	3,553				3,553
Unfunded commitments on development projects (a)	65,722	359,807			425,529
Operating lease obligations:					
Operating space	458	976	539		1,973
Ground leases (b)	5,043	10,086	10,086	314,914	340,129
	\$ 634,865	\$ 1,292,971	\$ 1,696,627	\$ 1,769,664	\$ 5,394,127

- (a) Any unfunded costs at December 31, 2011 are shown in the year of estimated completion. The Company has project debt on many of our development projects.
- (b) For purposes of our ground lease contracts, the Company uses the minimum lease payment, if stated in the agreement. For ground lease agreements where there is a reset provision based on the communities appraised value or consumer price index but does not include a specified minimum lease payment, the Company uses the current rent over the remainder of the lease term.

During 2011, we incurred gross interest costs of \$171.3 million, of which \$13.0 million was capitalized.

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.:**Business Overview**

United Dominion Realty, L.P. (the "Operating Partnership" or "UDR, L.P."), is a Delaware limited partnership formed in February 2004 and organized pursuant to the provisions of the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act (as amended from time to time, or any successor to such statute, the "Act"). The Operating Partnership is the successor-in-interest to United Dominion Realty, L.P., a limited partnership formed under the laws of Virginia, which commenced operations on November 4, 1995. Our sole general partner is UDR, Inc., a Maryland corporation ("UDR" or the "General Partner"), which conducts a substantial amount of its business and holds a substantial amount of its assets through the Operating Partnership. At December 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership's real estate portfolio included 77 communities located in 9 states plus the District of Columbia, with a total of 23,160 apartment homes.

As of December 31, 2011, UDR owned 110,883 units of our general limited partnership interests and 174,749,068 units of our limited partnership interests (the "OP Units"), or approximately 94.9% of our outstanding OP Units. By virtue of its ownership of our OP Units and being our sole general partner, UDR has the ability to control all of the day-to-day operations of the Operating Partnership. Unless otherwise indicated or unless the context requires otherwise, all references in this Report to the Operating Partnership refer to the Operating Partnership together with its consolidated subsidiaries, and all references in this Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis "United Dominion Realty, L.P." to we, us or our refer to the Operating Partnership together with its consolidated subsidiaries. We refer to our General Partner together with its consolidated subsidiaries (including us) and the General Partner's consolidated joint ventures as "UDR" or the "General Partner".

UDR operates as a self-administered real estate investment trust, or REIT, for federal income tax purposes. UDR focuses on owning, acquiring, renovating, developing, redeveloping, and managing apartment communities in select

markets throughout the United States. The General Partner was formed in 1972 as a Virginia corporation and changed its state of incorporation from Virginia to Maryland in September 2003. At December 31, 2011, UDR's consolidated real estate portfolio included 163 communities located in 22 markets with a total of 47,343 completed apartment homes and UDR's total real estate portfolio, inclusive of UDR's unconsolidated communities, included an additional 39 communities with 10,400 completed apartment homes.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our market information by major geographic markets as of December 31, 2011.

	As of December 31, 2011			Year Ended December 31, 2011			
	Number of Apartments	Number of Homes	Percentage of Total Carrying Value	Total Carrying Value (in thousands)	Average Physical Occupancy	Total Income per Occupied Home (a)	Net Operating Income (in thousands)
SAME COMMUNITIES							
WESTERN REGION							
Orange County, CA	8	2,935	12.0%	\$ 504,228	94.8%	\$ 1,492	\$ 35,302
San Francisco, CA	6	1,453	8.4%	351,843	96.7%	2,130	26,496
Monterey Peninsula, CA	7	1,565	3.7%	154,030	93.8%	1,110	13,305
Los Angeles, CA	3	463	3.0%	125,104	95.4%	1,765	6,054
San Diego, CA	2	366	1.3%	55,679	94.8%	1,365	3,775
Seattle, WA	5	932	4.9%	208,097	96.1%	1,276	9,273
Inland Empire, CA	1	414	1.7%	69,584	94.8%	1,495	4,883
Sacramento, CA	2	914	1.6%	69,058	93.1%	882	5,973
Portland, OR	3	716	1.7%	70,383	95.5%	998	5,570
MID-ATLANTIC REGION							
Metropolitan DC	7	2,378	13.1%	550,008	96.3%	1,770	33,452
Baltimore, MD	5	994	3.5%	147,209	95.6%	1,348	10,948
SOUTHEASTERN REGION							
Tampa, FL	3	1,154	2.6%	111,019	96.0%	1,036	8,723
Nashville, TN	6	1,612	3.1%	128,836	96.3%	870	10,192
Jacksonville, FL	1	400	1.0%	42,692	94.3%	889	2,511
Other Florida	1	636	1.8%	77,498	93.2%	1,214	5,296
SOUTHWESTERN REGION							
Dallas, TX	2	1,348	4.4%	184,158	95.8%	1,180	10,994
Phoenix, AZ	3	914	1.7%	72,919	95.0%	890	6,133
Total/Average Same Communities	65	19,194	69.5%	2,922,345	95.3%	\$ 1,333	\$ 198,880
Non Matures, Commercial Properties & Other	12	3,966	30.5%	1,282,953			

Total Real Estate Held for Investment	77	23,160	100.0%	4,205,298
Total Accumulated Depreciation				(976,358)
Total Real Estate Owned, Net of Accumulated Depreciation				\$ 3,228,940

(a) Total Income per Occupied Home represents total revenues divided by the product of occupancy and the number of mature apartment homes.

We report in two segments: Same Communities and Non-Mature/Other Communities. Our Same Communities segment includes those communities acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to January 1, 2010, and held as of December 31, 2011. These communities were owned and had stabilized occupancy and operating expenses as of the beginning of the prior year, there is no plan to conduct substantial redevelopment activities, and the community is not held for disposition within the current year. A community is considered to have stabilized occupancy once it achieves 90% occupancy for at least three consecutive months. Our Non-Mature/Other Communities segment includes those communities that were acquired or developed in 2010 or 2011, sold properties, redevelopment properties, properties classified as real estate held for disposition, condominium conversion properties, joint venture properties, properties managed by third parties, and the non-apartment components of mixed use properties.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Liquidity is the ability to meet present and future financial obligations either through operating cash flows, the sale of properties, and the issuance of debt. Both the coordination of asset and liability maturities and effective capital management are important to the maintenance of liquidity. The Operating Partnership's primary source of liquidity is cash flow from operations as determined by rental rates, occupancy levels, and operating expenses related to our portfolio of apartment homes and borrowings allocated to us under the General Partner's credit agreements. The General Partner will routinely use its unsecured credit facility to temporarily fund certain investing and financing activities prior to arranging for longer-term financing or the issuance of equity or debt securities. During the past several years, proceeds from the sale of real estate have been used for both investing and financing activities as we repositioned our portfolio.

We expect to meet our short-term liquidity requirements generally through net cash provided by operations and borrowings allocated to us under the General Partner's credit agreements. We expect to meet certain long-term liquidity requirements such as scheduled debt maturities and potential property acquisitions through borrowings and the disposition of properties. We believe that our net cash provided by operations and borrowings will continue to be adequate to meet both operating requirements and the payment of distributions. Likewise, the budgeted expenditures for improvements and renovations of certain properties are expected to be funded from property operations and borrowings allocated to us under the General Partner's credit agreements the Operating Partnership is a party to.

Future Capital Needs

Future capital expenditures are expected to be funded with proceeds from the issuance of secured debt, the sale of properties, the borrowings allocated to us under our General Partner's credit agreements, and to a lesser extent, with cash flows provided by operating activities. Acquisition activity in strategic markets is expected to be largely financed by the reinvestment of proceeds from the sale of properties, the issuance of OP Units and the assumption or placement of secured debt.

During 2012, we have approximately \$210.2 million of secured debt maturing and we anticipate that we will repay that debt with operating cash flows, proceeds from borrowings allocated to us under our General Partner's credit agreements, or by exercising extension rights on such secured debt, as applicable. The repayment of debt will be recorded as an offset to the Receivable due from General Partner.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to use judgment in the application of accounting policies, including making estimates and assumptions. A critical accounting policy is one that is both important to our financial condition and results of operations and that involves some degree of uncertainty. Estimates are prepared based on management's assessment after considering all evidence available. Changes in estimates could affect our financial position or results of operations. Below is a discussion of the accounting policies that we consider critical to understanding our financial condition or results of operations where there is uncertainty or where significant judgment is required.

Capital Expenditures

In conformity with GAAP, we capitalize those expenditures that materially enhance the value of an existing asset or substantially extend the useful life of an existing asset. Expenditures necessary to maintain an existing property in ordinary operating condition are expensed as incurred.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, \$63.2 million was spent on capital expenditures for all of our communities as compared to \$59.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. These capital improvements included turnover-related capital expenditures, revenue enhancing capital expenditures, asset preservation expenditures, kitchen and bath upgrades, other extensive interior/exterior upgrades and major renovations.

We will continue to selectively add revenue enhancing improvements which we believe will provide a return on investment substantially in excess of our cost of capital.

Table of Contents**Impairment of Long-Lived Assets**

We record impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operations when events and circumstances indicate that the assets might be impaired and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by the future operation and disposition of those assets are less than the net book value of those assets. Our cash flow estimates are based upon historical results adjusted to reflect our best estimate of future market and operating conditions and our estimated holding periods. The net book value of impaired assets is reduced to fair market value. Our estimates of fair market value represent our best estimate based upon industry trends and reference to market rates and transactions.

Real Estate Investment Properties

We purchase real estate investment properties from time to time and record the fair value to various components, such as land, buildings, and intangibles related to in-place leases based on the fair value of each component. The fair value of buildings is determined as if the buildings were vacant upon acquisition and subsequently leased at market rental rates. As such, the determination of fair value considers the present value of all cash flows expected to be generated from the property including an initial lease-up period. We determine the fair value of in-place leases by assessing the net effective rent and remaining term of the lease relative to market terms for similar leases at acquisition. In addition, we consider the cost of acquiring similar leases, the foregone rents associated with the lease-up period, and the carrying costs associated with the lease-up period. The fair value of in-place leases is recorded and amortized as amortization expense over the remaining average contractual lease period.

Statements of Cash Flows

The following discussion explains the changes in net cash provided by operating activities, net cash (used in)/provided by investing activities and net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities that are presented in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Operating Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2011, net cash flow provided by operating activities was \$156.1 million compared to \$146.6 million for the comparable period in 2010. The increase in net cash flow from operating activities is primarily due to an increase in property net operating income from our apartment community portfolio, which was partially offset by the increase in operating assets and a decrease in operating liabilities.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, our net cash flow provided by operating activities was \$146.6 million compared to \$157.3 million for 2009. The decrease in net cash flow from operating activities is primarily due to an increase in consolidated net loss, primarily due to a decrease in property net operating income and increase in allocated general and administrative costs.

Investing Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2011, net cash used in investing activities was \$227.0 million compared to \$59.5 million for the comparable period in 2010. The increase in net cash used in investing activities was primarily due to acquisition activities partially offset by proceeds received from dispositions in 2011.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, net cash used in investing activities was \$59.5 million compared to net cash provided by investing activities of \$129.6 million for the comparable period in 2009. This change was primarily due to the full payment received on a \$200.0 million note receivable in 2009. The activity during 2010 consisted entirely of capital expenditures.

Acquisitions and Dispositions

In April 2011, UDR and the Operating Partnership closed on an acquisition of a 493- home multifamily apartment community referred to as 10 Hanover Square, located in New York, New York. The community was acquired for \$259.8 million, which included assumed debt with a fair value of \$208.1 million and the issuance of 2,569,606 OP Units of the Operating Partnership. The OP Units were deemed to have a value equal to the greater of \$25.00 or the volume weighted average closing price per share of the Company's common stock for the 10 day period ended on (and including) the date one business day prior to the settlement date. For purchase price accounting purposes, the fair value of these OP units was \$24.47 at the settlement date.

Table of Contents

In April 2011, the Operating Partnership and its General Partner completed a \$500 million asset exchange whereby the Operating Partnership acquired two multifamily apartment communities (833 homes) and a parcel of land, and UDR acquired one multifamily apartment community (227 homes). The acquired assets are: 388 Beale in San Francisco, CA (227 homes)- acquired by UDR; 14 North in Peabody, MA (387 homes); and Inwood West in Woburn, MA (446 homes). The communities acquired were valued at \$263.0 million representing their estimated fair value. The Company and the Operating Partnership paid \$28.1 million of cash and assumed debt with a fair value of \$61.7 million. The Operating Partnership sold four multifamily apartment communities (984 homes) and UDR sold two multifamily apartment communities (434 homes) located in California as part of the transaction. The communities are: Crest at Phillips Ranch, Villas at San Dimas, Villas at Bonita, The Arboretum, Rancho Vallecitos and Milazzo. In August 2011, UDR and the Operating Partnership closed on the acquisition of a 507- home multifamily apartment community referred to as 95 Wall located in New York, New York. The community was acquired for \$328.9 million, which included the issuance of 1,802,239 OP Units of the Operating Partnership. The OP Units were deemed to have a value equal to the greater of \$25.00 or the volume weighted average closing price per share of the Company's common stock for the 10-day period ended on (and including) the date one business day prior to the settlement date. For purchase price accounting purposes, the fair value of these OP units was \$26.71 at the settlement date.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Operating Partnership had no property acquisitions.

The Operating Partnership's long-term strategic plan is to achieve greater operating efficiencies by investing in fewer, more concentrated markets. As a result, we have been seeking to expand our interests in communities located in Boston, California, Metropolitan Washington D.C., New York, and the Washington state markets over the past years. Prospectively, we plan to continue to channel new investments into those markets we believe will continue to provide the best investment returns. Markets will be targeted based upon defined criteria including above average job growth, low single-family home affordability and limited, new supply for multifamily housing- three key drivers to strong rental growth.

In 2011, the Operating Partnership sold eight apartment home communities (2,024 homes), which included four apartment home communities (984 homes) sold in conjunction with an asset exchange in April 2011, for a total sales price of \$299.6 million. Proceeds from the sales were used primarily to acquire new communities, reduce debt, and repay our General Partner. During the year ended December 31, 2010, we did not dispose of any apartment home communities.

Financing Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2011, our net cash provided by financing activities was \$70.7 million compared to net cash used in financing activities of \$86.7 million for 2010. The increase in cash provided by financing activities was primarily due to an increase in advances from the General Partner, partially offset by an increase in payments of secured debt.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, our net cash used in financing activities was \$86.7 million compared to \$290.1 million for 2009. The decrease in cash used in financing activities was primarily due to a net decrease in payments to the General Partner, partially offset by a decrease in the proceeds from secured debt.

Credit Facilities

As of December 31, 2011, the General Partner had secured credit facilities with Fannie Mae with an aggregate commitment of \$1.3 billion with \$1.1 billion outstanding. The Fannie Mae credit facilities are for an initial term of 10 years, bear interest at floating and fixed rates, and certain variable rate facilities can be extended for an additional five years at the General Partner's option. At December 31, 2011, \$744.5 million of the outstanding balance was fixed at a weighted average interest rate of 5.14% and the remaining balance of \$310.5 million on these facilities had a weighted average variable interest rate of 1.63%. \$667.5 million of these credit facilities were allocated to the Operating Partnership at December 31, 2011 based on the ownership of the assets securing the debt.

At December 31, 2010, there was \$897.3 million of the funded balance fixed at a weighted average interest rate of 5.3% and the remaining balance on these facilities was at a weighted average variable rate of 1.7%. \$736.9 million of these credit facilities were allocated to the Operating Partnership at December 31, 2009 based on the ownership of the assets securing the debt.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2010, the Operating Partnership guaranteed the General Partner's unsecured credit facility, with an aggregate borrowing capacity of \$600 million. The outstanding balance under the unsecured credit facility was \$31.8 million at December 31, 2010. On October 25, 2011, the Operating Partnership issued a guarantee in conjunction with a new \$900 million unsecured revolving credit facility entered into by our General Partner. The new facility replaces the General Partner's \$600 million facility. The outstanding balance under the new \$900 million unsecured revolving credit facility was \$421.0 million at December 31, 2011.

The Operating Partnership is also a guarantor on the General Partner's \$250 million term loan which matures January 2016, \$100 million term loan which matures December 2016, \$300 million of medium term notes due June 2018, and \$400 million of medium term notes due January 2022 (issued in January 2012).

The credit facilities are subject to customary financial covenants and limitations.

Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to interest rate risk associated with variable rate notes payable and maturing debt that has to be refinanced. We do not hold financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes, but rather issue these financial instruments to finance our portfolio of real estate assets. Interest rate sensitivity is the relationship between changes in market interest rates and the fair value of market rate sensitive assets and liabilities. Our earnings are affected as changes in short-term interest rates impact our cost of variable rate debt and maturing fixed rate debt. We had \$287.0 million in variable rate debt that is not subject to interest rate swap contracts as of December 31, 2011. If market interest rates for variable rate debt increased by 100 basis points, our interest expense would increase by \$2.8 million based on the balance at December 31, 2011.

These amounts are determined by considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on our borrowing cost. These analyses do not consider the effects of the adjusted level of overall economic activity that could exist in such an environment. Further, in the event of a change of such magnitude, management would likely take actions to further mitigate our exposure to the change. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and their possible effects, the sensitivity analysis assumes no change in our financial structure.

Results of Operations

The following discussion explains the changes in results of operations that are presented in our Consolidated Statements of Operations for each of the three years ended December 31, 2011, and includes the results of both continuing and discontinued operations for the periods presented.

Net Income/(Loss) Attributable to OP Unitholders

2011 vs. 2010

Net income attributable to OP unitholders was \$30.2 million (\$0.17 per OP unit) for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to a net loss of \$20.7 million (\$0.12 per OP unit) for the comparable period in the prior year. The increase in net income attributable to OP unit holders for the year ended December 31, 2011 resulted primarily from the following items, all of which are discussed in further detail elsewhere within this Report:

- an increase in disposition gains in 2011 as compared to 2010. We recognized net gains of \$60.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 on the sale of eight apartment home communities. We recognized net gains of \$152,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010 on trailing activities of apartment home communities sold in years prior to 2010; and
- an increase in net operating income.

The increase to our net income attributable to OP unitholders was partially offset by:

- an increase in depreciation expense primarily due to the four acquisitions of operating properties in 2011.

Table of Contents

2010 vs. 2009

Net loss attributable to OP unit holders was \$20.7 million (\$0.12 per OP unit) for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to \$4.2 million (\$0.02 per OP unit) for the comparable period in the prior year. The increase in net loss attributable to OP unit holders for the year ended December 31, 2010 resulted primarily from the following items, all of which are discussed in further detail elsewhere within this Report:

- a decrease in net operating income;
- an increase in general and administrative expenses allocated to us by our General Partner; and
- a decrease in other income.

Apartment Community Operations

Our net income is primarily generated from the operation of our apartment communities.

The following table summarizes the operating performance of our total portfolio for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 (*dollars in thousands*):

	Year Ended December 31,			Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	% Change	2010	2009	% Change
Property rental income	\$ 387,057	\$ 350,394	10.5%	\$ 350,394	\$ 353,056	-0.8%
Property operating expense (a)	(122,799)	(116,278)	5.6%	(116,278)	(112,488)	3.4%
Property net operating income	\$ 264,258	\$ 234,116	12.9%	\$ 234,116	\$ 240,568	-2.7%

(a) Excludes depreciation, amortization, and property management expenses.

The following table is our reconciliation of property NOI to net income attributable to OP unitholders as reflected, for both continuing and discontinued operations, for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 (*dollars in thousands*):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Property net operating income	\$ 264,258	\$ 234,116	\$ 240,568
Other non-property income		1,695	5,695
Real estate depreciation and amortization	(197,964)	(166,480)	(166,773)
Interest expense	(53,632)	(52,222)	(53,547)
General and administrative and property management	(37,014)	(32,927)	(26,595)
Other operating expenses	(5,484)	(5,028)	(4,868)
Net gain on sale of real estate	60,065	152	1,475
Non-controlling interests	(70)	(41)	(131)
Net (loss)/income attributable to OP unitholders	\$ 30,159	\$ (20,735)	\$ (4,176)

Same Store Communities

2011 vs. 2010

Our same store communities (those acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to January 1, 2010 and held on December 31, 2011) consisted of 19,194 apartment homes and provided 75.3% of our total NOI for the year ended December 31, 2011.

NOI for our same store community properties increased 6.2% or \$11.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010. The increase in property NOI was primarily attributable to a 4.5% or \$12.7 million increase in rental income and by a 1.3% or \$1.2 million increase in operating expenses. The increase in revenues was primarily driven by a 4.4% or \$11.8 million increase in rental rates. Physical occupancy decreased 0.3% to 95.3% and total income per occupied home increased \$62 to \$1,333 for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the prior year.

Table of Contents

The increase in property operating expenses was primarily due to a 6.8% or \$989,000 increase in utility costs. As a result of the percentage changes in property rental income and property operating expenses, the operating margin (property net operating income divided by property rental income) was 68.0% for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to 67.0% for the comparable period in 2010.

2010 vs. 2009

Our same store communities (those acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to January 1, 2009 and held on December 31, 2010) consisted of 21,120 apartment homes and provided 88.8% of our total NOI for the year ended December 31, 2010.

NOI for our same store community properties decreased 2.8 % or \$6.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009. The decrease in property NOI was primarily attributable to a 1.4% or \$4.3 million decrease in property rental income and by a 1.7% or \$1.7 million increase in operating expenses. The decrease in revenues was primarily driven by a 2.8% or \$8.8 million decrease in rental rates which was partially offset by a 54.8% or \$1.7 million decrease in concessions, a 7.3% or \$926,000 decrease in vacancy loss and a 4.7% or \$1.1 million increase in reimbursement income. Physical occupancy increased 0.3% to 95.6% and total income per occupied home decreased \$22 to \$1,279 for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the prior year.

The increase in property operating expenses was primarily driven by a 3.5% or \$533,000 increase in utilities, a 4.9% or \$743,000 increase in repairs and maintenance, and a 3.0% or \$719,000 increase in personnel costs which was partially offset by a 0.7% or \$242,000 decrease in real estate taxes and a 3.7% or \$241,000 decrease in administrative and marketing costs.

As a result of the percentage changes in property rental income and property operating expenses, the operating margin (property net operating income divided by property rental income) was 67.1% for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to 68.1% for the comparable period in 2009.

*Non-Mature/Other Communities**2011 vs. 2010*

The remaining \$65.4 million and \$46.8 million of our NOI during the year ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, was generated from communities that we classify as non-mature communities. Our non-mature communities consist of communities that do not meet the criteria to be included in same store communities, which include communities developed or acquired, redevelopment properties, sold properties, properties managed by third-parties, and properties classified as real estate held for disposition. For the year ended December 31, 2011, we recognized NOI for acquired communities of \$22.6 million, redevelopments of \$23.9 million, and sold properties of \$11.8 million. For the year ended December 31, 2010, we recognized NOI for redeveloped properties of \$21.3 million and sold properties of \$20.8 million.

2010 vs. 2009

The remaining \$26.2 million and \$26.7 million of our NOI during the year ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, was generated from communities that we classify as non-mature communities. Our non-mature communities consist of communities that do not meet the criteria to be included in same store communities, which includes communities developed or acquired, redevelopment properties, sold properties, properties managed by third-parties, the non-apartment components of mixed use properties, and properties classified as real estate held for sale. For the year ended December 31, 2010, we recognized NOI of \$11.4 million for our properties held for sale and \$10.2 million of NOI for our redevelopments. The remainder was primarily due to the non-apartment components of mixed use properties. For the year ended December 31, 2009, we recognized NOI of \$11.5 million for our properties and \$9.5 million of NOI for redeveloped properties. The remaining NOI was primarily due to the non-apartment components of mixed use properties.

Table of Contents**Other Income**

For the year ended December 31, 2010, other income primarily includes a reversal of certain real estate tax accruals partially offset by losses due to the change in the fair value of derivatives.

For the years ended December 31, 2009, other income primarily includes interest income on a note for \$200 million that a subsidiary of the Operating Partnership received related to the disposition of 55 properties during 2008. In May 2009, the \$200 million note was paid in full.

Real Estate Depreciation and Amortization

For the year ended December 31, 2011, real estate depreciation and amortization from continuing and discontinued operations increased 18.9% or \$31.5 million as compared to the comparable period in 2010. The increase in depreciation and amortization expense is primarily due to the acquisition of four apartment home communities in 2011. As part of the Operating Partnership's acquisition activities a portion of the purchase price is attributable to the fair value of intangible assets which are typically amortized over a period of less than one year.

For the years ended December 31, 2010, real estate depreciation and amortization from continuing and discontinued operations did not change significantly as compared to the comparable period in 2009 as the Operating Partnership did not have any acquisitions or dispositions during this period.

Interest Expense

For the year ended December 31, 2011, interest expense increased 2.7% or \$1.4 million, as compared to the same period in 2010. This increase is primarily due to a higher debt balances from mortgages assumed on certain 2011 acquisitions, issuance of a note payable due to the General Partner in 2011, and an increase in the interest rate charged on the note payable due to the General Partner. The increase is partially offset by lower average borrowings on secured credit facilities, lower weighted average interest rates and the payment of a tax exempt secured note payable in 2011.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, interest expense decreased 2.5% or \$1.3 million, as compared to the same period in 2009. This decrease is primarily due a decrease in the interest rate charged on the note payable due to the General Partner partially offset by slightly higher average borrowings on secured credit facilities.

General and Administrative

The Operating Partnership is charged directly for general and administrative expenses it incurs. The Operating Partnership is also charged for other general and administrative expenses that have been allocated by UDR to each of its subsidiaries, including the Operating Partnership, based on each subsidiary's pro-rata portion of UDR's total apartment homes.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, general and administrative expenses increased 13.2% or \$3.1 million, as compared to the comparable period in 2010. The increase was primarily due to acquisition-related costs associated directly with acquisitions of the Operating Partnership.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, general and administrative expenses increased 37.9% or \$6.4 million, as compared to the comparable period in 2009. The increase was due to a number of factors including acquisition-related costs and severance and other restructuring charges recognized in 2010. The increases were consistent with the changes in UDR's general and administrative expenses and severance and other restructuring expenses for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Income from Discontinued Operations

For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, we recognized gains on property sales for financial reporting purposes of \$60.1 million, \$152,000, and \$1.5 million, respectively. The increase in gains recognized for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to the comparable period in 2010 was primarily due to the sale of eight apartment home communities in 2011. Changes in the level of gains recognized in 2010 as compared to 2009 reflect the residual activities from specific properties sold.

Table of Contents**Inflation**

We believe that the direct effects of inflation on our operations have been immaterial. While the impact of inflation primarily impacts our results through wage pressures, utilities and material costs, substantially all of our leases are for a term of one year or less, which generally enables us to compensate for any inflationary effects by increasing rents on our apartment homes. Although an extreme escalation in energy and food costs could have a negative impact on our residents and their ability to absorb rent increases, we do not believe this has had a material impact on our results for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At December 31, 2010, the Operating Partnership was a guarantor on the General Partner's unsecured credit facility, with an aggregate borrowing capacity of \$600 million (\$31.8 million outstanding at December 31, 2010). On October 25, 2011, the Operating Partnership issued a guarantee in conjunction with a \$900 million unsecured revolving credit facility entered into by the General Partner. The facility replaced the General Partner's \$600 million credit facility. At December 31, 2011, the outstanding balance under the \$900 million unsecured credit facility was \$421.0 million.

The Operating Partnership is also a guarantor on the General Partner's \$250 million term loan which matures January 2016, a \$100 million term loan which matures December 2016, \$300 million of medium-term notes due June 2018, and \$400 million medium-term notes due January 2022 (issued in January 2012).

We do not have any other off-balance sheet arrangements that have, or are reasonably likely to have, a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenue or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that are material.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2011 (*dollars in thousands*):

Contractual Obligations	Payments Due by Period				Total
	2012	2013-2014	2015-2016	Thereafter	
Long-term debt obligations	\$ 210,191	\$ 92,104	\$ 325,113	\$ 562,237	\$ 1,189,645
Interest on debt obligations	49,920	85,180	68,175	56,982	260,257
Operating lease obligations					
Ground leases (a)	4,939	9,878	9,878	314,516	339,211
	\$ 265,050	\$ 187,162	\$ 403,166	\$ 933,735	\$ 1,789,113

- (a) For purposes of our ground lease contracts, the Operating Partnership uses the minimum lease payment, if stated in the agreement. For ground lease agreements where there is a reset provision based on the communities appraised value or consumer price index but does not include a specified minimum lease payment, the Operating Partnership uses the current rent over the remainder of the lease term.

Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Information required by this item is included in and incorporated by reference from Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this Report.

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The consolidated financial statements and related financial information required to be filed are attached to this Report. Reference is made to page 74 of this Report for the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedule of UDR, Inc. and United Dominion Realty, L.P.

Table of Contents

Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The disclosure controls and procedures of the Company and the Operating Partnership are designed with the objective of ensuring that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Our disclosure controls and procedures are also designed to ensure that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

It should be noted that the design of any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions, regardless of how remote. As a result, our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that such disclosure controls and procedures will meet their objectives.

As of December 31, 2011, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, which is the sole General Partner of the Operating Partnership of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the disclosure controls and procedures of the Company and the Operating Partnership. Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures of the Company and the Operating Partnership are effective at the reasonable assurance level described above.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act for the Company and the Operating Partnership. Under the supervision and with the participation of the management, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, which is the sole General Partner of the Operating Partnership, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO). Based on such evaluation, management concluded that the Company's and the Operating Partnership's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2011.

Ernst & Young LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited our consolidated financial statements included in this Report, has audited UDR, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011. The report of Ernst & Young LLP, which expresses an unqualified opinion on UDR, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, is included under the heading "Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" of UDR, Inc. contained in this Report. Further, an attestation report of the registered public accounting firm of United Dominion Realty, L.P. will not be required as long as United Dominion Realty, L.P. is a non-accelerated filer.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in either the Company's or the Operating Partnership's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fourth fiscal quarter to which this report relates that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the internal control over financial reporting of either the Company or the Operating Partnership.

Table of Contents

Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Other Agreements with Executive Officers. In December 2011, we entered into separate aircraft time-share agreements with Mr. Toomey and Mr. Troupe. Under each aircraft time-share agreement, we have agreed to lease an aircraft, including crew and flight services, to each of Mr. Toomey and Mr. Troupe for personal flights from time to time upon their request. Mr. Toomey and Mr. Troupe will each pay us a lease fee as may be set by the board from time to time for the flight expenses that may be charged under applicable regulations. We will invoice Mr. Toomey and Mr. Troupe on the last day of the month in which any respective flight occurs. Each aircraft time-share agreement will remain in effect until December 15, 2014, and each agreement may be terminated by either party, upon ten days prior written notice. Each agreement automatically terminates upon the date either Mr. Toomey or Mr. Troupe, respectively, are no longer employed by the Company.

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information set forth under the headings Election of Directors, Corporate Governance Matters, Audit Committee Report, Corporate Governance Matters-Board Leadership Structure and Committees-Audit Committee Financial Expert, Corporate Governance Matters-Identification and Selection of Nominees for Directors, Corporate Governance Matters-Board of Directors and Committee Meetings and Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance in UDR, Inc.'s definitive proxy statement (our definitive proxy statement) for its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 15, 2012. UDR is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership.

Information required by this item regarding our executive officers is included in Part I of this Report in the section entitled Business-Executive Officers of the Company.

We have a code of ethics for senior financial officers that applies to our principal executive officer, all members of our finance staff, including the principal financial officer, the principal accounting officer, the treasurer and the controller, our director of investor relations, our corporate secretary, and all other Company officers. We also have a code of business conduct and ethics that applies to all of our employees. Information regarding our codes is available on our website, *www.udr.com*, and is incorporated by reference to the information set forth under the heading Corporate Governance Matters in our definitive proxy statement for UDR's Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 15, 2012. We intend to satisfy the disclosure requirements under Item 10 of Form 8-K regarding an amendment to, or a waiver from, a provision of our codes by posting such amendment or waiver on our website.

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information set forth under the headings Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management, Corporate Governance Matters-Board Leadership Structure and Committees-Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation, Executive Compensation, Compensation of Directors and Compensation Committee Report in the definitive proxy statement for UDR's Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 15, 2012. UDR is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership.

Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information set forth under the headings Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management, Executive Compensation and Equity Compensation Plan Information in the definitive proxy statement for UDR's Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 15, 2012. UDR is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership.

Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information set forth under the heading Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management, Corporate Governance Matters-Corporate Governance Overview, Corporate Governance Matters-Director Independence, Corporate Governance Matters-Board Leadership Structure and Committees-Independence of Audit, Compensation and Governance Committees, and Executive Compensation in the definitive proxy statement for UDR's Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 15, 2012. UDR is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership.

Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information set forth under the headings Audit Fees and Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures in the definitive proxy statement for UDR's Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 15, 2012. UDR is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this Report:

1. *Financial Statements*. See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedules of UDR, Inc. and United Dominion Realty, L.P. on page 74 of this Report.

2. *Financial Statement Schedules*. See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedule of UDR, Inc. and United Dominion Realty, L.P. on page 149 of this Report. All other schedules are omitted because they are not required, are inapplicable, or the required information is included in the financial statements or notes thereto.

3. *Exhibits*. The exhibits filed with this Report are set forth in the Exhibit Index.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

UDR, INC.

Date: February 27, 2012

By: /s/ Thomas W. Toomey
Thomas W. Toomey
Chief Executive Officer and President

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed below on February 27, 2012 by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated.

/s/ Thomas W. Toomey

/s/ Eric J. Foss

Thomas W. Toomey
Chief Executive Officer, President, and
Director

Eric J. Foss
Director

/s/ David L. Messenger

/s/ Robert P. Freeman

David L. Messenger
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial
Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting
Officer)

Robert P. Freeman
Director

/s/ James D. Klingbeil

/s/ Jon A. Grove

James D. Klingbeil
Chairman of the Board

Jon A. Grove
Director

/s/ Lynne B. Sagalyn

/s/ Mark J. Sandler

Lynne B. Sagalyn
Vice Chair of the Board

Mark J. Sandler
Director

/s/ Katherine A. Cattanach

/s/ Thomas C. Wajnert

Katherine A. Cattanach
Director

Thomas C. Wajnert
Director

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

By: UDR, INC., its sole general partner

Date: February 27, 2012

By: /s/ Thomas W. Toomey
Thomas W. Toomey
Chief Executive Officer and President

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed below on February 27, 2012 by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated.

/s/ Thomas W. Toomey

Thomas W. Toomey
Chief Executive Officer, President, and
Director of the General Partner

/s/ Eric J. Foss

Eric J. Foss
Director of the General Partner

/s/ David L. Messenger

David L. Messenger
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial
Officer of the General Partner
(Principal Financial and Accounting
Officer)

/s/ Robert P. Freeman

Robert P. Freeman
Director of the General Partner

/s/ James D. Klingbeil

James D. Klingbeil
Chairman of the Board of the General
Partner

/s/ Jon A. Grove

Jon A. Grove
Director of the General Partner

/s/ Lynne B. Sagalyn

Lynne B. Sagalyn
Vice Chair of the Board of the General
Partner

/s/ Mark J. Sandler

Mark J. Sandler
Director of the General Partner

/s/ Katherine A. Cattanach

Katherine A. Cattanach
Director of the General Partner

/s/ Thomas C. Wajnert

Thomas C. Wajnert
Director of the General Partner

Table of Contents

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULE

	PAGE
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FILED AS PART OF THIS REPORT	
UDR, INC.:	
<u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	74
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	76
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011</u>	77
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011</u>	78
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011</u>	79
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	80
UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.:	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	119
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	120
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011</u>	121
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011</u>	122
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Capital for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011</u>	123
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	124
SCHEDULES FILED AS PART OF THIS REPORT	
UDR, INC.:	
<u>Schedule III- Summary of Real Estate Owned</u>	149
UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.:	
<u>Schedule III- Summary of Real Estate Owned</u>	154
Table of Contents	112

All other schedules are omitted since the required information is not present or is not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule, or because the information required is included in the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of UDR, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of UDR, Inc. (the Company) as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, cash flows, and changes in equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of UDR, Inc. at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), UDR, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 27, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Denver, Colorado

February 27, 2012

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of UDR, Inc.

We have audited UDR, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). UDR, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting included in Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, UDR, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of UDR, Inc. as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, cash flows, and changes in equity for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011 of UDR, Inc. and our report dated February 27, 2012, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Denver, Colorado

February 27, 2012

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
ASSETS		
Real estate owned:		
Real estate held for investment	\$ 7,825,725	\$ 6,198,667
Less: accumulated depreciation	(1,831,157)	(1,505,626)
Real estate held for investment, net	5,994,568	4,693,041
Real estate under development (net of accumulated depreciation of \$570 and \$0)	248,176	97,912
Real estate held for sale (net of accumulated depreciation of \$0 and \$132,700)		452,068
Total real estate owned, net of accumulated depreciation	6,242,744	5,243,021
Cash and cash equivalents	12,503	9,486
Marketable securities		3,866
Restricted cash	24,634	15,447
Deferred financing costs, net	30,068	27,267
Notes receivable		7,800
Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures	213,040	148,057
Other assets	198,365	74,596
Total assets	\$ 6,721,354	\$ 5,529,540
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Liabilities:		
Secured debt	\$ 1,891,553	\$ 1,808,746
Secured debt – real estate held for sale		154,924
Unsecured debt	2,026,817	1,603,834
Real estate taxes payable	13,397	14,585
Accrued interest payable	23,208	20,889
Security deposits and prepaid rent	35,516	26,046
Distributions payable	51,019	36,561
Deferred fees and gains on the sale of depreciable property	29,100	28,943
Accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other liabilities	95,485	105,925
Total liabilities	4,166,095	3,800,453
Redeemable non-controlling interests in operating partnership	236,475	119,057
Equity		
Preferred stock, no par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized 2,803,812 shares of 8.00% Series E Cumulative Convertible issued and outstanding (2,803,812 shares at December 31, 2010)	46,571	46,571

Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc. - Form 10-K

3,264,362 shares of 6.75% Series G Cumulative Redeemable issued and outstanding (3,405,562 shares at December 31, 2010)	81,609	85,139
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 350,000,000 shares authorized 219,650,225 shares issued and outstanding (182,496,330 shares at December 31, 2010)	2,197	1,825
Additional paid-in capital	3,340,470	2,450,141
Distributions in excess of net income	(1,142,895)	(973,864)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net	(13,902)	(3,469)
Total stockholders' equity	2,314,050	1,606,343
Non-controlling interest	4,734	3,687
Total equity	2,318,784	1,610,030
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 6,721,354	\$ 5,529,540

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

UDR, Inc.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(In thousands, except per share data)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
REVENUES			
Rental income	\$ 691,263	\$ 574,084	\$ 547,820
Non-property income:			
Other income	17,422	12,502	14,274
Total revenues	708,685	586,586	562,094
EXPENSES			
Rental expenses:			
Real estate taxes and insurance	84,007	70,762	67,533
Personnel	56,617	51,696	47,121
Utilities	37,405	31,564	29,153
Repair and maintenance	37,155	32,386	29,095
Administrative and marketing	15,411	14,643	12,920
Property management	19,009	15,788	15,066
Other operating expenses	5,990	5,773	6,473
Real estate depreciation and amortization	356,011	275,615	252,952
Interest			
Expense incurred	151,144	140,869	135,317
Amortization of convertible debt discount	1,077	3,530	4,283
Other debt charges/(gains)	4,602	1,204	(3,511)
General and administrative	45,915	45,243	39,035
Severance costs and other restructuring charges	1,342	6,803	
Other depreciation and amortization	3,931	4,843	5,161
Total expenses	819,616	700,719	640,598
Loss from operations	(110,931)	(114,133)	(78,504)
Loss from unconsolidated entities	(6,352)	(4,204)	(18,665)
Tax benefit/(expense) of taxable REIT subsidiary	5,647	2,533	(311)
Loss from continuing operations	(111,636)	(115,804)	(97,480)
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	132,221	9,216	5,857
Consolidated net income/(loss)	20,585	(106,588)	(91,623)
Net (income)/loss attributable to redeemable non-controlling interests in OP	(395)	3,835	4,282
Net income attributable to non-controlling interests	(167)	(146)	(191)
Net income/(loss) attributable to UDR, Inc.	20,023	(102,899)	(87,532)
Distributions to preferred stockholders Series E (Convertible)	(3,724)	(3,726)	(3,724)
Distributions to preferred stockholders Series G	(5,587)	(5,762)	(7,188)

Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc. - Form 10-K

(Premium)/discount on preferred stock repurchases, net	(175)	25	2,586
Net income/(loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ 10,537	\$ (112,362)	\$ (95,858)

Earnings per weighted average common share basic and diluted:

Loss from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders	\$ (0.60)	\$ (0.73)	\$ (0.68)
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.04
Net income/(loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.68)	\$ (0.64)
Common distributions declared per share	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.85
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding basic and diluted	201,294	165,857	149,090

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

UDR, Inc.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands, except for share data)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Operating Activities			
Consolidated net income/(loss)	\$ 20,585	\$ (106,588)	\$ (91,623)
Adjustments to reconcile net income/(loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	374,274	308,289	283,552
Net gain on sale of marketable securities	(3,123)	(4,725)	
Net gain on sale of cost investments	(3,946)		
Net gains on the sale of depreciable property	(125,928)	(4,083)	(2,424)
Gain on consolidation of joint ventures			(1,912)
Write off of the fair market adjustment for debt paid off on consolidated joint venture			1,552
Loss/(gain) on debt extinguishment	4,602	1,204	(9,849)
Write off of bad debt	3,613	2,838	3,570
Write off of note receivable and other assets			1,354
Loss from unconsolidated entities	6,352	4,204	18,665
Amortization of deferred financing costs and other	8,696	8,957	7,953
Amortization of deferred compensation	9,815	11,411	7,605
Amortization of convertible debt discount	1,077	3,530	4,283
Changes in income tax accruals	1,424	(865)	2,854
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Decrease/(increase) in operating assets	(40,623)	(5,332)	3,512
(Decrease)/increase in operating liabilities	(12,582)	(4,660)	291
Net cash provided by operating activities	244,236	214,180	229,383
Investing Activities			
Proceeds from sales of real estate investments, net	321,803	20,738	
Proceeds from the sale of marketable securities	9,799	39,488	
Acquisition of real estate assets (net of liabilities assumed) and initial capital expenditures	(989,029)	(347,582)	(28,528)
Cash paid in nonmonetary asset exchange	(28,124)		
Development of real estate assets	(98,683)	(92,142)	(183,157)
Capital expenditures and other major improvements real estate assets, net of escrow reimbursement	(91,476)	(73,977)	(85,403)
Capital expenditures non-real estate assets	(13,267)	(4,342)	(6,269)
Payments related to the buyout of joint venture partner		(16,141)	
Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures	(102,810)	(110,921)	(24,988)
Distributions received from/(paid to) unconsolidated joint venture	11,202	1,125	1,741
Proceeds from note receivable	7,800		200,000
Purchase deposits on pending real estate acquisitions	(80,397)		
Disbursements related to notes receivable			(500)
Purchase of marketable securities			(30,941)

Net cash used in investing activities	(1,053,182)	(583,754)	(158,045)
Financing Activities			
Payments on secured debt	(336,004)	(187,308)	(159,612)
Proceeds from the issuance of secured debt	30,728	68,380	560,436
Proceeds from the issuance of unsecured debt	296,964	399,190	100,000
Payments on unsecured debt	(264,829)	(79,236)	(641,759)
Net (repayment)/proceeds of revolving bank debt	389,250	(157,550)	189,300
Payment of financing costs	(13,465)	(8,244)	(8,650)
Issuance of common and restricted stock, net	3,866	5,446	398
Proceeds from the issuance of common shares through public offering, net	879,754	467,565	67,151
Payments for the repurchase of Series G preferred stock, net	(3,597)	(637)	(21,505)
Distributions paid to non-controlling interests	(10,947)	(4,314)	(7,275)
Distributions paid to preferred stockholders	(9,311)	(9,488)	(11,203)
Distributions paid to common stockholders	(150,446)	(120,729)	(144,576)
Repurchase of common stock			(798)
Net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities	811,963	373,075	(78,093)
	<i>See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.</i>		

Table of Contents

UDR, Inc.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (CONTINUED)
(In thousands, except for share data)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	3,017	3,501	(6,755)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	9,486	5,985	12,740
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 12,503	\$ 9,486	\$ 5,985
 Supplemental Information:			
Interest paid during the year, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 168,577	\$ 154,843	\$ 164,357
Non-cash transactions:			
Real estate acquired in asset exchange	268,853		
Real estate disposed in asset exchange	192,576		
Contingent consideration accrued in business combination	3,000		
OP Units issued in partial consideration for property acquisitions	111,034		
Secured debt assumed in the acquisitions of properties, including asset exchange	278,657	91,442	
Secured debt transferred in asset exchange	55,356		
Fair market value adjustment of secured debt assumed in acquisitions of properties, including asset exchange	26,880	1,820	
Fair market value of land contributed by non-controlling interest	4,078		
Non-cash consideration to acquire non-real estate asset	6,864		
Conversion of operating partnership non-controlling interests to Common Stock (12,511 in 2011; 923,944 in 2010; and 2,130,452 in 2009)	287	18,429	21,117
Retirement of fully depreciated assets		8,680	4,407
Issuance of restricted stock awards	6	16	2
Payment of Special Dividend through the issuance of 11,358,042 shares of Common Stock			132,787

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

UDR, Inc.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY
(In thousands, except per share data)

	Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Distributions in Excess of Comprehensive Income/(Loss)		Noncontrolling Interest	Total
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount		Net Income	Other		
Balance, December 31, 2008	7,234,512	\$ 157,339	137,423,074	\$ 1,374	\$ 1,717,940	\$ (448,737)	\$ (11,927)	\$ 3,350	\$ 1,419,339
Comprehensive (loss)/income									
Net loss attributable to UDR, Inc.						(87,532)			(87,532)
Net income attributable to non-controlling interest								191	191
Other comprehensive income: Change in fair value of marketable securities							4,584		4,584
Unrealized gain on derivative financial instruments							8,133		8,133
Allocation to redeemable non-controlling interests							(788)		(788)
Comprehensive income/(loss)						(87,532)	11,929	191	(75,412)
Issuance of common and restricted shares			193,882	2	8,262				8,264
Issuance of common shares through public offering, net of issuance costs	(997,738)	(24,944)	4,460,032	45	67,186	2,586			67,231 (21,505)

Redemption of 997,738 shares of 6.75% Series G Cumulative Redeemable Shares									
Purchase of common shares			(100,000)	(1)	(797)				(798)
Adjustment for conversion of non-controlling interest in Series B and C LLC Series C, D and E LLC					1,456				1,456
Adjustment for conversion of non-controlling interests of unitholders in operating partnerships			2,130,452	21	21,096				21,117
Issuance of common shares through special dividend			11,358,042	114	132,673				132,787
Common stock distributions declared (\$0.845 per share)						(127,066)			(127,066)
Preferred stock distributions declared-Series E (\$1.3288 per share)						(3,724)			(3,724)
Preferred stock distributions declared-Series G (\$1.6875 per share)						(7,188)			(7,188)
Adjustment to reflect redeemable non-controlling redemption value						(15,519)			(15,519)
Balance, December 31, 2009	6,236,774	132,395	155,465,482	1,555	1,948,669	(687,180)	2	3,541	1,398,982

Comprehensive
(loss)/income

Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc. - Form 10-K

Net loss attributable to UDR, Inc.					(102,899)				(102,899)
Net income attributable to non-controlling interest								146	146
Other comprehensive income:									
Change in fair value of marketable securities									(1,092)
Unrealized loss on derivative financial instruments									(2,497)
Allocation to redeemable non-controlling interests								118	118
Comprehensive income/(loss)					(102,899)	(3,471)	146		(106,224)
Issuance of common and restricted shares			1,562,537	16		15,710			15,726
Issuance of common shares through public offering			24,544,367	245		467,319			467,564
Repurchase of 27,400 shares of 6.75% Series G Cumulative Redeemable Shares	(27,400)	(685)				23	25		(637)
Adjustment for conversion of non-controlling interests of unitholders in operating partnerships			923,944	9		18,420			18,429
Common stock distributions declared (\$0.73 per share)						(126,086)	(3,726)		(126,086)

Preferred stock distributions declared-Series E (\$1.3288 per share)									
Preferred stock distributions declared-Series G (\$1.6875 per share)						(5,762)			(5,762)
Adjustment to reflect redeemable non-controlling redemption value						(48,236)			(48,236)
Balance, December 31, 2010	6,209,374	\$ 131,710	182,496,330	1,825	2,450,141	(973,864)	(3,469)	3,687	1,610,030
Comprehensive (loss)/income									
Net income attributable to UDR, Inc.						20,023			20,023
Net income attributable to non-controlling interest								167	167
Other comprehensive income:									
Change in fair value of marketable securities							(3,492)		(3,492)
Unrealized loss on derivative financial instruments							(7,345)		(7,345)
Allocation to redeemable non-controlling interests								404	404
Comprehensive income/(loss)						20,023	(10,433)	167	9,757
Issuance of common and restricted shares			615,752	6	10,996				11,002
			36,525,632	366	879,388				879,754

Issuance of common shares through public offering									
Repurchase of 141,200 shares of 6.75% Series G Cumulative Redeemable Shares	(141,200)	(3,530)			108	(175)			(3,597)
Adjustment for conversion of non-controlling interests of unitholders in operating partnerships			12,511		287				287
Acquisition of noncontrolling interest					(450)				(450)
Increase in non-controlling interest from business combination, net							880		880
Common stock distributions declared (\$0.80 per share)						(165,590)			(165,590)
Preferred stock distributions declared-Series E (\$1.3288 per share)						(3,724)			(3,724)
Preferred stock distributions declared-Series G (\$1.6875 per share)						(5,587)			(5,587)
Adjustment to reflect redeemable non-controlling redemption value						(13,978)			(13,978)
Balance, December 31, 2011	6,068,174	\$ 128,180	219,650,225	\$ 2,197	\$ 3,340,470	\$ (1,142,895)	\$ (13,902)	\$ 4,734	\$ 2,318,784

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011

1. CONSOLIDATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION**Organization, formation and special dividend**

UDR, Inc. (UDR , the Company we or our) is a self-administered real estate investment trust, or REIT, that owns, operates, acquires, renovates, develops, redevelops, and manages apartment communities generally in high barrier-to-entry markets located in the United States. The high barrier-to-entry markets are characterized by limited land for new construction, difficult and lengthy entitlement process, expensive single-family home prices and significant employment growth potential. At December 31, 2011, our apartment portfolio consisted of 163 consolidated communities located in 22 markets consisting of 47,343 apartment homes. In addition, the Company has an ownership interest in 10,496 apartment homes through unconsolidated joint ventures.

On November 5, 2008, our Board of Directors declared a dividend of \$1.29 per share (the Special Dividend) payable to holders of our Common Stock. The Special Dividend was paid on January 29, 2009 to stockholders of record on December 9, 2008. The Special Dividend represented the Company s 2008 fourth quarter recurring distribution of \$0.33 per share and an additional special distribution in the amount of \$0.96 per share due to taxable income arising from our disposition activity occurring during the year. Subject to the Company s right to pay the entire Special Dividend in cash, stockholders had the option to make an election to receive payment in cash or in shares, however, the aggregate amount of cash payable to stockholders, other than cash payable in lieu of fractional shares, would not be less than \$44.0 million.

The Special Dividend, totaling \$177.1 million was paid on 137,266,557 Common Shares issued and outstanding on the record date. Approximately \$133.1 million of the Special Dividend was paid through the issuance of 11,358,042 shares of Common Stock, which was determined based on the volume weighted average closing sales price of our Common Stock of \$11.71 per share on the NYSE on January 21, 2009 and January 22, 2009.

Basis of presentation

The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements of UDR includes its wholly-owned and/or controlled subsidiaries (see Note 5, *Joint Ventures*, for further discussion). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The Company s subsidiaries include United Dominion Realty, L.P. (the Operating Partnership). As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, there were 184,281,253 and 179,909,408 units in the Operating Partnership outstanding, of which 174,859,951 units or 94.9% and 174,847,440 units or 97.2% were owned by UDR and 9,421,302 units or 5.1% and 5,061,968 units or 2.8% were owned by limited partners, respectively. The consolidated financial statements of UDR include the non-controlling interests of the unitholders in the Operating Partnership. The consolidated financial statements of UDR include the non-controlling interests of the unitholders in the Heritage OP prior to UDR s ownership of 100% of 6,264,260 units outstanding in Heritage Communities LP as of December 31, 2009.

The Company evaluated subsequent events through the date its financial statements were issued. Except as disclosed in Note 18, *Subsequent Events*, no other recognized or non-recognized subsequent events were noted.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-05, *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220)*, which provides that an entity has the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. This ASU eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in equity. The ASU does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. This requirement is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011 for the Company. The Company does not expect a material impact on its consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows as a result of this new guidance.

The FASB recently issued ASU Update No. 2011-12, *Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05*. The amendments are being made to allow the FASB time to redeliberate whether to present on the face of the financial statements the effects of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the components of net income and other comprehensive income for all periods presented. All other requirements in ASU 2011-05 are not affected by ASU 2011-12, including the requirement to report comprehensive income either in a single continuous financial statement or in two separate but consecutive financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, *Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS (ASC 820)*, which clarifies Topic 820, but also includes some instances where a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or disclosing information about fair value measurements has changed. This ASU results in common principles and requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements in accordance with U.S. GAAP and International Finance Reporting Standards (IFRS). This is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011 for the Company. The Company does not expect a material impact on its consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows as a result of this new guidance.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-29, *Business Combinations (Topic 805): Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations (ASU 2010-29)*, which addresses diversity in practice about the interpretation of the pro forma revenue and earnings disclosure requirements for business combinations. The amendments in ASU 2010-29 specify that if a public entity presents comparative financial statements, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination(s) that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. The amendments in ASU 2010-29 also expand the supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. The Company adopted the requirements of in ASU 2010-29, which were effective prospectively for the Company's business combinations occurring during the year ended December 31, 2011. See Note 3, *Real Estate Owned*, for these disclosures.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, *Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements* an amendment to ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASU 2010-06)*. This amendment provides for more robust disclosures about (1) the different classes of assets and liabilities measured at fair value, (2) the valuation techniques and inputs used, (3) the activity in Level 3 fair value measurements, and (4) the transfers between Levels 1, 2, and 3. With the exception for the requirement to disclose activity in Level 3 fair value measurements, which include purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the rollforward activity, ASU 2010-06 was effective for the Company for our fiscal year beginning in January 1, 2010. Disclosures of rollforward activity in Level 3 fair value measurements was effective for the Company for the interim periods within and for the fiscal year beginning in January 1, 2011, and did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

Real estate

Real estate assets held for investment are carried at historical cost and consist of land, buildings and improvements, furniture, fixtures and equipment and other costs incurred during their development, acquisition and redevelopment. Expenditures for ordinary repair and maintenance costs are charged to expense as incurred. Expenditures for improvements, renovations, and replacements related to the acquisition and/or improvement of real estate assets are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives if the expenditures qualify as a betterment or the life of the related asset will be substantially extended beyond the original life expectancy.

UDR purchases real estate investment properties and records the tangible and identifiable intangible assets and liabilities acquired based on their estimated fair value. The primary, although not only, identifiable intangible asset associated with our portfolio is the value of existing lease agreements. When recording the acquisition of a community, we first assign fair value to the estimated intangible value of the existing lease agreements and then to the estimated value of the land, building and fixtures assuming the community is vacant. The Company estimates the intangible value of the lease agreements by determining the lost revenue associated with a hypothetical lease-up. Depreciation on the building is based on the expected useful life of the asset and the in-place leases are amortized over their remaining average contractual life. Property acquisition costs are expensed as incurred.

Quarterly or when changes in circumstances warrant, UDR will assess our real estate portfolio for indicators of impairment. In determining whether the Company has indicators of impairment in our real estate assets, we assess whether the long-lived asset's carrying value exceeds the community's undiscounted future cash flows, which is representative of projected net operating income (NOI) plus the residual value of the community. Our future cash flow estimates are based upon historical results adjusted to reflect our best estimate of future market and operating conditions and our estimated holding periods. If such indicators of impairment are present and the carrying value exceeds the undiscounted cash flows of the community, an impairment loss is recognized equal to the excess of the carrying amount of the asset over its estimated fair value. Our estimates of fair market value represent our best estimate based primarily upon unobservable inputs related to rental rates, operating costs, growth rates, discount rates, capitalization rates, industry trends and reference to market rates and transactions.

For long-lived assets to be disposed of, impairment losses are recognized when the fair value of the asset less estimated cost to sell is less than the carrying value of the asset. Properties classified as real estate held for sale generally represent properties that are actively marketed or contracted for sale with the closing expected to occur within the next twelve months. Real estate held for sale is carried at the lower of cost, net of accumulated depreciation, or fair value, less the cost to sell, determined on an asset-by-asset basis. Expenditures for ordinary repair and maintenance costs on held for sale properties are charged to expense as incurred. Expenditures for improvements, renovations, and replacements related to held for sale properties are capitalized at cost. Depreciation is not recorded on real estate held for sale.

Depreciation is computed on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the related assets which are 35 years for buildings, 10 to 35 years for major improvements, and 3 to 10 years for furniture, fixtures, equipment, and other assets. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the amount of our net intangible assets which are reflected in Other assets was \$21.4 million and \$13.3 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the amount of our net intangible liabilities which are reflected in Accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other liabilities was \$5.9 million and \$3.9 million in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The balances are being amortized over the remaining life of the respective intangible.

All development projects and related costs are capitalized and reported on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as Real estate under development. As each building in a project is completed and becomes available for lease-up, the Company ceases capitalization and the assets are depreciated over their estimated useful life. The costs of development projects which include interest, real estate taxes, insurance, and allocated development overhead related to support costs for personnel working directly on the development site are capitalized during the construction period. During the years ended 2011, 2010, and 2009, total interest capitalized was \$13.0 million, \$12.5 million, and

\$16.9 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash on hand, demand deposits with financial institutions and short-term, highly liquid investments. We consider all highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. The majority of the Company's cash and cash equivalents are held at major commercial banks.

Restricted cash

Restricted cash consists of escrow deposits held by lenders for real estate taxes, insurance and replacement reserves, and security deposits.

Marketable Securities

Marketable securities represented common stock in a publicly held company and were classified as available-for-sale. At December 31, 2010, the marketable securities were carried at an estimated fair value of \$3.9 million, which consisted of a cost of \$374,000 and gross unrealized gains of \$3.5 million that was reported as a component of stockholders' equity.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company sold the marketable securities for \$3.5 million, resulting in a gross realized gain of \$3.1 million, which is included in Other Income on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The cost of securities sold was based on the specific identification method. As a result of the sale, unrealized gains of \$3.5 million were reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss) into earnings during the year ended December 31, 2011.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company sold previously held corporate debt securities, which were classified as available-for-sale. Proceeds from the sale of these securities were \$39.5 million, resulting in gross realized gains of \$4.7 million. These gains are included in Other income in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The amortization of any discount and interest income on these securities are also included in Other Income on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Investment in joint ventures

We use the equity method to account for investments that qualify as variable interest entities where we are not the primary beneficiary and entities that we do not control or where we do not own a majority of the economic interest but have the ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of the investee. Throughout these financial statements we use the term joint venture when referring to investments in entities in which we do not have a 100% ownership interest. The Company also uses the equity method when we function as the managing member and our joint venture partner has substantive participating rights or where we can be replaced by our joint venture partner as managing member without cause. For a joint venture accounted for under the equity method, our share of net earnings or losses is reflected as income/loss when earned/incurred and distributions are credited against our investment in the joint venture as received.

In determining whether a joint venture is a variable interest entity, the Company considers: the form of our ownership interest and legal structure; the size of our investment; the financing structure of the entity, including necessity of subordinated debt; estimates of future cash flows; ours and our partner's ability to participate in the decision making related to acquisitions, disposition, budgeting and financing of the entity; obligation to absorb losses and preferential returns; nature of our partner's primary operations; and the degree, if any, of disproportionality between the economic and voting interests of the entity. As of December 31, 2011, the Company did not assess any of our joint ventures as variable interest entities where UDR was the primary beneficiary.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

We evaluate our investments in unconsolidated joint ventures for events or changes in circumstances that indicate there may be an other-than-temporary decline in value. We consider various factors to determine if a decrease in the value of the investment is other-than-temporary. These factors include, but are not limited to, age of the venture, our intent and ability to retain our investment in the entity, the financial condition and long-term prospects of the entity, the fair value of the property of the joint venture, and the relationships with the other joint venture partners and its lenders. The amount of loss recognized is the excess of the investment's carrying amount over its estimated fair value. If we believe that the decline in fair value is temporary, no impairment is recorded. The aforementioned factors are taken into consideration as a whole by management in determining the valuation of our equity method investments. Should the actual results differ from management's judgment, the valuation could be negatively affected and may result in a negative impact to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Derivative financial instruments

The Company utilizes derivative financial instruments to manage interest rate risk and generally designates these financial instruments as cash flow hedges. Derivative financial instruments are recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheets as either an asset or liability and measured quarterly at their fair value. The changes in fair value for cash flow hedges that are deemed effective are reflected in other comprehensive income and for non-designated derivative financial instruments in earnings. The ineffective component of cash flow hedges, if any, is recorded in earnings.

Redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership

Interests in the Operating Partnership held by limited partners are represented by Operating Partnership units (OP Units). Income is allocated to holders of OP Units based upon net income available to common stockholders and the weighted average number of OP Units outstanding to total common shares plus OP Units outstanding during the period. Capital contributions, distributions, and profits and losses are allocated to non-controlling interests in accordance with the terms of the individual partnership agreements.

Limited partners have the right to require the Operating Partnership to redeem all or a portion of the OP Units held by the limited partner at a redemption price equal to and in the form of the Cash Amount (as defined in the limited partnership agreement of the Operating Partnership (the Partnership Agreement)), provided that such OP Units have been outstanding for at least one year. UDR, as the general partner of the Operating Partnership may, in its sole discretion, purchase the OP Units by paying to the limited partner either the Cash Amount or the REIT Share Amount (generally one share of Common Stock of the Company for each OP Unit), as defined in the Partnership Agreement. Accordingly, the Company records the OP Units outside of permanent equity and reports the OP Units at their redemption value, equivalent to the fair value of a share of UDR common stock, at each balance sheet date.

Revenue and real estate sales gain recognition

Rental income related to leases is recognized on an accrual basis when due from residents in accordance with FASB ASC 840, *Leases* and SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, *Revenue Recognition* . Rental payments are generally due on a monthly basis and recognized when earned. The Company recognizes interest income, management and other fees and incentives when earned, fixed and determinable.

The Company accounts for sales of real estate in accordance with FASB ASC 360-20, *Real Estate Sales*. For sale transactions meeting the requirements for full accrual profit recognition, such as the Company no longer having continuing involvement in the property, we remove the related assets and liabilities from our Consolidated Balance Sheets and record the gain or loss in the period the transaction closes. For sale transactions that do not meet the full accrual sale criteria due to our continuing involvement, we evaluate the nature of the continuing involvement and account for the transaction under an alternate method of accounting.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

Sales to entities in which we retain or otherwise own an interest are accounted for as partial sales. If all other requirements for recognizing profit under the full accrual method have been satisfied and no other forms of continuing involvement are present, we recognize profit proportionate to the outside interest in the buyer and defer the gain on the interest we retain. The Company recognizes any deferred gain when the property is sold to a third party. In transactions accounted for as partial sales, we determine if the buyer of the majority equity interest in the venture was provided a preference as to cash flows in either an operating or a capital waterfall. If a cash flow preference has been provided, we recognize profit only to the extent that proceeds from the sale of the majority equity interest exceed costs related to the entire property.

Income taxes

UDR is operated as, and elects to be taxed as a REIT. Generally, a REIT complies with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code if it meets certain requirements concerning its income and assets, as well as if it distributes at least 90% of its REIT taxable income to its stockholders and will not be subject to U.S. federal income taxes if it distributes at least 100% of its income. Accordingly, no provision has been made for federal income taxes of the REIT. UDR is subject to certain state and local excise or franchise taxes, for which provision has been made. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, our taxable income will be subject to United States Federal income tax at regular corporate rates (including any applicable alternative minimum tax). Even if we qualify as a REIT, we may be subject to certain state and local income taxes and to United States Federal income tax. We also will be required to pay a 100% tax on non-arms length transactions between us and a taxable REIT subsidiary and on any net income from sales of property that the IRS successfully asserts was property held for sale to customers in the ordinary course.

UDR elected for certain consolidated subsidiaries to be treated as Taxable REIT Subsidiaries (TRS) relating to the Company's development activities. Income taxes for our TRS are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities from a change in tax rate is recognized in earnings in the period of the enactment date.

Discontinued operations

For properties accounted for under FASB ASC 360, *Property, Plant and Equipment* (Topic 360), the results of operations for those properties sold during the year or classified as held-for-sale at the end of the current year are classified as discontinued operations in the current and prior periods pursuant to FASB ASC 205-20, *Presentation of Financial Statements - Discontinued Operations* (Topic 205-20). Further, to meet the discontinued operations criteria, the Company will not have any significant continuing involvement in the ownership or operation of the property after the sale or disposition. Once a property is classified as held-for-sale, depreciation is no longer recorded. However, if the Company determines that the property no longer meets the criteria for held-for-sale, the Company will recapture any unrecorded depreciation on the property. (See Note 4, *Discontinued Operations* for further discussion).

Earnings per share

Basic earnings per Common Share is computed based upon the weighted average number of Common Shares outstanding during the year. Diluted earnings per Common Share is computed based upon Common Shares outstanding plus the effect of dilutive stock options and other potentially dilutive Common Stock equivalents. The dilutive effect of OP units, stock options and other potentially dilutive Common Stock equivalents is determined using the treasury stock method based on UDR's average stock price.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earning per share (*dollars in thousands, except per share amounts*):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Numerator for earnings per share basic and diluted:			
Net income/(loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ 10,537	\$ (112,362)	\$ (95,858)
Denominator for earnings per share basic and diluted:			
Weighted average common shares outstanding	202,573	167,365	150,067
Non-vested restricted stock awards	(1,279)	(1,508)	(977)
Denominator for basic and diluted earnings per share	201,294	165,857	149,090
Net income/(loss) attributable to common stockholders basic and diluted	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.68)	\$ (0.64)

The effect of the conversion of the OP Units, convertible Preferred Stock, convertible debt, stock options, and restricted stock is not dilutive and is therefore not included in the above calculations as the Company reported a loss from continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, 2009.

If the operating partnership units were converted to Common Stock, the additional shares of Common Stock outstanding for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009 would be 7,601,693; 5,711,275; and 6,705,624 weighted average Common Shares, respectively.

If the convertible Preferred Stock were converted to Common Stock, the additional shares of Common Stock outstanding would be 3,035,548 weighted average Common Shares for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009.

If the stock options and unvested restricted stock were converted to Common Stock, the additional weighted average Common Shares outstanding using the treasury stock method for the three years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009 would be 2,154,739; 2,296,097; and 729,592 weighted average Common Shares, respectively.

Stock-based employee compensation plans

UDR accounts for its stock-based employee compensation plans in accordance with FASB ASC 718, *Compensation-Stock Compensation*. This standard requires an entity to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of an equity instrument based on the award's fair value on the grant date and recognize the cost over the period during which the employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award, which is generally the vesting period. The fair value for stock options issued by the Company is calculated utilizing the Black-Scholes-Merton formula. For performance based awards, the Company remeasures the fair value each balance sheet date with adjustments made on a cumulative basis until the award is settled and the final compensation is known.

Advertising costs

All advertising costs are expensed as incurred and reported on the Consolidated Statements of Operations within the line item Administrative and marketing. During 2011, 2010, and 2009, total advertising expense was \$5.4 million, \$6.4 million, and \$5.7 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

Cost of raising capital

Costs incurred in connection with the issuance of equity securities are deducted from stockholders' equity. Costs incurred in connection with the issuance or renewal of debt are subject to the provisions of FASB ASC 470-50, *Debt Modification and Extinguishment*. Accordingly, if the terms of the renewed or modified debt instrument are deemed to be substantially different (i.e. a 10 percent or greater difference in the cash flows between instruments), all unamortized financing costs associated with the extinguished debt are charged to earnings in the current period. When the cash flows are not substantially different, the costs associated with the renewal or modification are capitalized and amortized into interest expense over the remaining term of the related debt instrument and other related costs are expensed. The balance of any unamortized financing costs associated with retired debt is expensed upon retirement. Deferred financing costs for new debt instruments include fees and costs incurred by the Company to obtain financing. Deferred financing costs are generally amortized on a straight-line basis, which approximates the effective interest method, over a period not to exceed the term of the related debt.

Comprehensive income

Comprehensive income, which is defined as all changes in equity during each period except for those resulting from investments by or distributions to stockholders, is displayed in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity. For each of the three years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009 other comprehensive income/(loss) consisted of the change in fair value of marketable securities, the change in the fair value of effective cash flow hedges, and the allocation of other comprehensive income/(loss) to redeemable non-controlling interests.

Use of estimates

The preparation of these financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent liabilities at the dates of the financial statements and the amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual amounts realized or paid could differ from those estimates.

Reclassifications

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current financial statement presentation.

Market concentration risk

The Company is subject to increased exposure from economic and other competitive factors specific to markets where the Company holds a significant percentage of the carrying value of its real estate portfolio. At December 31, 2011, the Company held greater than 10% of the carrying value of its real estate portfolio in the Orange County, California; Metropolitan DC; and New York, New York markets.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

3. REAL ESTATE OWNED

Real estate assets owned by the Company consist of income producing operating properties, properties under development, land held for future development and properties deemed as held for sale. As of December 31, 2011, the Company owned and consolidated 163 communities in 11 states and the District of Columbia totaling 47,343 apartment homes. The following table summarizes the carrying amounts for our real estate owned (at cost) as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 (*dollar amounts in thousands*):

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Land	\$ 1,919,249	\$ 1,611,740
Depreciable property held and used:		
Building and improvements	5,612,513	4,313,338
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	293,963	273,589
Under development:		
Land	116,051	62,410
Construction in progress	132,695	35,502
Held for sale:		
Land		171,967
Building and improvements		383,076
Furniture, fixtures and equipment		29,725
Real estate owned	8,074,471	6,881,347
Accumulated depreciation	(1,831,727)	(1,638,326)
Real estate owned, net	\$ 6,242,744	\$ 5,243,021

The following table summarizes UDR's real estate community acquisitions for the year ended December 31, 2011 (*dollar amounts in thousands*):

Property Name	Market	Acquisition Date	Units	Purchase
				Price (a)
10 Hanover Square	New York, NY	April 2011	493	\$ 259,750
388 Beale	San Francisco, CA	April 2011	227	90,500
14 North	Boston, MA	April 2011	387	64,500
Inwood West	Boston, MA	April 2011	446	108,000
View 14	Metropolitan D.C.	June 2011	185	105,538
Rivergate	New York, NY	July 2011	706	443,403
21 Chelsea	New York, NY	August 2011	210	138,930
95 Wall	New York, NY	August 2011	507	328,914
			3,161	\$ 1,539,535

- (a) The purchase price is the contractual sales price between UDR and the third party and does not include any costs that the Company incurred in the pursuit of the property or the recorded difference between the agreed upon value and the fair value of the OP Units issued as part of the consideration paid.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company also acquired three parcels of land located in Huntington Beach, California; Addison, Texas; and Boston, Massachusetts for a gross purchase price of \$34.3 million.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company entered into a joint venture to acquire and redevelop an existing commercial property. See Note 5, *Joint Ventures*.

In April 2011, the Company and the Operating Partnership closed on the acquisition of 10 Hanover Square. The community was acquired for \$259.8 million, which included assumed debt with a fair value of \$208.1 million, and the issuance of 2,569,606 OP Units of the Operating Partnership. The OP Units were deemed to have an agreed upon value equal to the greater of \$25.00 or the volume weighted average closing price per share of the Company's common stock for the 10 day period ended on (and including) the date one business day prior to the settlement date. For purchase price accounting purposes, the fair value of these OP units was \$24.47 at the settlement date.

In April 2011, the Company and the Operating Partnership completed a \$500.0 million asset exchange whereby UDR acquired 388 Beale, and the Operating Partnership acquired 14 North, and Inwood West. The communities acquired were valued at \$263.0 million representing their estimated fair value. The Company paid \$28.1 million of cash and assumed debt with a fair value of \$61.7 million. UDR sold two multifamily apartment communities (434 homes) and the Operating Partnership sold four multifamily apartment communities (984 homes) located in California as part of the transaction. (See Note 4, *Discontinued Operations*, for further discussion of real estate community dispositions.)

In August 2011, the Company and the Operating Partnership closed on the acquisition of 95 Wall. The community was acquired for \$328.9 million, which included the issuance of 1,802,239 OP Units of the Operating Partnership. The OP Units were deemed to have an agreed upon value equal to the greater of \$25.00 or the volume weighted average closing price per share of the Company's common stock for the 10 day period ended on (and including) the date one business day prior to the settlement date. For purchase price accounting purposes, the fair value of these OP units was \$26.71 at the settlement date.

The Company records the fair value of the tangible and identifiable intangible assets and liabilities acquired based on their estimated fair value. When recording the acquisition of a community, the Company first assigns fair value to the estimated intangible value of the existing lease agreements and then to the estimated value of the land, building and fixtures assuming the community is vacant. The primary, although not only, identifiable intangible asset associated with our portfolio is the value of existing lease agreements. The Company estimates the intangible value of the lease agreements by determining the lost revenue associated with a hypothetical lease-up.

Total acquisition value of the communities, including the difference between the agreed upon value of the OP Units and the fair value of the OP Units issued at the acquisition date (if applicable), was recorded \$301.7 million to land; \$1.2 billion to buildings and improvements; \$6.1 million to furniture, fixtures, and equipment; \$39.6 million to intangible assets; \$3.1 million to intangible below market lease liabilities; and \$305.5 million to assumed debt.

The Company's results of operations include operating revenues of \$58.4 million and loss from continuing operations of \$26.9 million of the acquired properties from the acquisition dates to December 31, 2011.

The unaudited pro forma information below summarizes the Company's combined results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, and 2010 as though the above acquisitions were completed on January 1, 2010. The information for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 includes pro forma results for the portion of the period prior to the acquisition date and actual results from the date of acquisition through the end of the period. The supplemental pro forma operating data is not necessarily indicative of what the actual results of operations would have been assuming the transaction had been completed as set forth above, nor does it purport to represent the Company's results of operations for future periods (*in thousands except for per share amounts*).

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Pro forma revenues	\$ 740,342	\$ 712,380
Pro forma loss attributable to common stockholders	(4,309)	(109,442)
Proforma loss attributable to common stockholders basic	(0.02)	(0.64)
Pro forma loss attributable to common stockholders diluted	(0.02)	(0.64)

During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company acquired five operating communities with 1,374 apartment homes for a total purchase price of \$412.0 million and a parcel of land for a total gross purchase price of \$23.6 million.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company acquired one community with 289 apartment homes in Dallas, Texas.

The Company incurred \$4.8 million, \$2.9 million and \$0 of acquisition-related costs during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. These expenses are classified on the Consolidated Statements of Operations in the line item entitled General and administrative .

4. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

The results of operations for properties sold during the year or designated as held-for-sale at the end of the year are classified as discontinued operations for all periods presented. Properties classified as real estate held for sale generally represent properties that are actively marketed or contracted for sale with the closing expected to occur within the next twelve months. The application of Topic 360 does not have an impact on net income available to common stockholders. The application of Topic 360 results in the reclassification of the operating results of all properties sold or classified as held for sale through December 31, 2011, within the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, and the reclassification of the assets and liabilities within the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, if applicable. The gain on sale and the results of operations from these properties are classified on the Consolidated Statements of Operations in the line item entitled Income from discontinued operations .

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company sold 18 apartment home communities (4,488 homes), which included 6 apartment home communities (1,418 homes) sold in conjunction with an asset exchange in April 2011, for a total sales price of \$593.4 million. During the year ended December 31, 2011, UDR recognized gains on the sale of apartment home communities for financial reporting purposes of \$138.5 million, which is also included in discontinued operations. At December 31, 2011, UDR did not have any assets that met the criteria to be classified as held for sale.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, UDR sold one apartment home community (149 homes). UDR recognized gains for financial reporting purposes of \$4.1 million, which is included in discontinued operations.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company did not dispose of any apartment home communities.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

The following is a summary of income from discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, (*dollars in thousands*):

	2011	December 31, 2010	2009
Rental income	\$ 39,695	\$ 59,784	\$ 55,079
Non-property income		1,845	
	39,695	61,629	55,079
Rental expenses	15,603	21,753	18,617
Property management fee	1,092	1,644	1,515
Real estate depreciation	14,332	27,831	25,439
Interest	1,510	5,193	6,063
Other expense	227	75	12
	32,764	56,496	51,646
Income before net gain on the sale of depreciable property and income taxes	6,931	5,133	3,433
Gain on the sale of depreciable property	138,508	4,083	2,424
Income tax expense	(13,218)		
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 132,221	\$ 9,216	\$ 5,857

5. JOINT VENTURES

UDR has entered into joint ventures with unrelated third parties to acquire real estate assets that are either consolidated and included in real estate owned on our Consolidated Balance Sheets or are accounted for under the equity method of accounting, and are included in investment in unconsolidated joint ventures on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company consolidates an entity in which we own less than 100% but control the joint venture. In addition, the Company would consolidate any joint venture in which we are the general partner or managing member and the third party does not have the ability to substantively participate in the decision-making process nor the ability to remove us as general partner or managing member without cause.

UDR's joint ventures are funded with a combination of debt and equity. Our losses are limited to our investment and except as noted below, the Company does not guarantee any debt, capital payout or other obligations associated with our joint venture portfolio.

Consolidated Joint Ventures

In August 2011, the Company invested in a joint venture with an unaffiliated third party to acquire and redevelop an existing commercial property into a 173 apartment home community in Orange County, California. At closing the Company contributed \$9.0 million and at December 31, 2011, UDR owned a 90% controlling interest in the investment. Under the terms of the operating agreement, our partner is required to achieve certain criteria as it relates to the entitlement process. If the criteria are met on or before 730 days after the site plan application is deemed complete by the city, the Company is obligated to contribute an additional \$3.0 million to the joint venture for distribution to our partner. At the acquisition date, the Company accrued and capitalized \$3.0 million related to the contingent consideration, which represents the difference between fair value of the property of \$9.8 million on the formation date and the estimated fair value of the underlying property upon completion of the entitlement process of \$12.8 million. The Company estimated the fair value based on Level 3 inputs utilized in a third party valuation.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company paid \$450,000 to acquire from our partner its remaining 2% noncontrolling interests in the 989 Elements, Elements Too, and Bellevue joint ventures. The consideration paid in excess of the book value of the noncontrolling interest, is reflected as a reduction of the Company's equity.

Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

The Company recognizes earnings or losses from our investments in unconsolidated joint ventures consisting of our proportionate share of the net earnings or loss of the joint ventures. In addition, we may earn fees for providing management services to the unconsolidated joint ventures. As of December 31, 2011, UDR had investments in the following unconsolidated joint ventures which are accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

In November 2010, the Company acquired The Hanover Company's (Hanover) partnership interests in the Hanover/MetLife Master Limited Partnership (UDR/MetLife I) at a cost of \$100.8 million. UDR/MetLife I owns a portfolio of 26 operating communities containing 5,748 apartment homes and 10 land parcels with the potential to develop approximately 2,000 (unaudited) additional apartment homes. Under the terms of UDR/MetLife I, UDR acts as the general partner and earns fees for property and asset management and financing transactions.

UDR has a weighted average ownership interest of 12.27% in the operating communities and 4.11% in the land parcels. The initial investment of \$100.8 million consisted of \$71.8 million in cash, which included associated transaction costs, and a \$30.0 million payable (includes present value discount of \$1.0 million) to Hanover. UDR agreed to pay the \$30.0 million balance to Hanover in two interest free installments in the amounts of \$20.0 million (paid in November 2011) and \$10.0 million on the first and second anniversaries of the closing, respectively. The \$30.0 million payable was recorded at its present value of \$29.0 million using an effective interest rate of 2.67%. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the net carrying value of the payable was \$9.8 million and \$29.1 million, respectively. Interest expense of \$697,000 and \$129,000 was recorded during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company's investment was \$133.8 million and \$122.2 million, respectively.

UDR's total cost of its equity investment of \$100.8 million differed from the proportionate share in the underlying net assets of UDR/MetLife I of \$111.4 million. The difference of \$10.6 million was attributable to certain assets and adjustments that were allocated to UDR's proportionate share in UDR/MetLife I's buildings of \$8.4 million, land of \$3.9 million, and (\$1.6 million) of lease intangible assets. With the exception of land, the difference related to buildings is amortized and recorded as a component of loss from unconsolidated entities over 45 years and the difference related to lease intangible assets was amortized and recorded as a component of loss from unconsolidated entities over 11 months with the offset to the Company's carrying value of its equity investment. During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company recorded \$1.1 million and \$264,000 of amortization, respectively. In connection with the purchase of Hanover's interests in UDR/MetLife I, UDR agreed to indemnify Hanover from liabilities arising from Hanover's guaranty of \$506.0 million in loans (\$51.0 million outstanding at December 31, 2011) which are secured by a security interest in the operating communities subject to the respective loans. The loans are to the sub-tier partnerships which own the 26 operating communities. The Company anticipates that the balance of these loans will be refinanced by UDR/MetLife I over the next twelve months.

In October 2010, the Company entered into a joint venture to develop a 240-home community in Stoughton, Massachusetts. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, UDR owned a noncontrolling interest of 95% in the joint venture. Our initial investment was \$10.0 million. Our investment at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$17.2 million and \$10.3 million, respectively.

In May 2011, the Company entered into a joint venture to develop a 263-home community in San Diego, California. At December 31, 2011 and at closing, UDR owned a noncontrolling interest of 95% in the joint venture. Our initial investment was \$9.9 million and our investment at December 31, 2011 was \$12.1 million.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

In June 2011, UDR/MetLife I sold a parcel of land to a joint venture, in which the Company is a partner, to develop a 256-home community in College Park, Maryland. At December 31, 2011 and at closing, UDR owned a noncontrolling interest of 95% in the joint venture. Our initial investment was \$7.1 million and our investment at December 31, 2011 was \$8.6 million.

UDR is a partner with an unaffiliated third party, which formed a joint venture for the investment of up to \$450.0 million in multifamily properties located in key, high barrier to entry markets such as Metropolitan Washington D.C. The partners will contribute equity of \$180.0 million of which the Company's maximum equity will be 30% or \$54.0 million when fully invested. In 2010, the joint venture acquired its first property (151 homes). During the year ended December 31, 2011, the joint venture acquired two additional properties (509 homes). At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company owned a 30% interest in the joint venture. Our investment at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$34.1 million and \$5.2 million, respectively.

UDR is a partner with an unaffiliated third party which owns and operates 10 operating properties located in Texas (3,992 homes). UDR contributed cash and a property equal to 20% of the fair value of the properties. The unaffiliated member contributed cash equal to 80% of the fair value of the properties comprising the joint venture, which was then used to purchase the nine operating properties from UDR. Our initial investment was \$20.4 million. Our investment at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$7.1 million and \$10.3 million, respectively.

We evaluate our investments in unconsolidated joint ventures for events or changes in circumstances that indicate there may be an other-than-temporary decline in value. We consider various factors to determine if a decrease in the value of the investment is other-than-temporary. Prior to their consolidation and during the year ended December 31, 2009, we recognized a non-cash charge of \$16.0 million representing the other-than-temporary decline in the fair values below the carrying values of two of the Company's Bellevue, Washington joint ventures, which were previously accounted for under the equity method. The Company did not recognize any other-than-temporary decrease in the value of its other investments in unconsolidated joint ventures during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009.

Summarized combined financial information relating to all the unconsolidated joint ventures operations (not just our proportionate share), is presented below for the years ended December 31, (*dollars in thousands*):

	Year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009 (a)
For the year ended:			
Revenues	\$ 201,368	\$ 60,234	\$ 48,575
Real estate depreciation and amortization	69,290	28,744	21,133
Net loss	(21,724)	(29,737)	(11,719)
UDR recorded loss from unconsolidated entities	6,352	4,204	18,665

(a) Includes results of operations of joint ventures previously accounted for under the equity method through the effective date of consolidation.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

Combined summary balance sheets relating to all the unconsolidated joint ventures (not just our proportionate share) is presented below for the years ended December 31, (*dollars in thousands*):

	2011	2010
Real estate, net	\$ 2,908,623	\$ 2,692,167
Total assets	2,998,866	2,807,886
Amount due to UDR	6,251	672
Third party debt	1,499,419	1,524,872
Total liabilities	1,561,396	1,580,733
Total Equity	1,437,470	1,227,153
Equity held by non-controlling interest	14,641	14,537

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company had deferred fees and deferred profit from the sale of properties to a joint venture of \$29.1 million and \$28.9 million, respectively, the majority of which the Company will not recognize until the underlying properties are sold to a third party. The Company recognized \$9.6 million, \$3.2 million, and \$1.9 million of management fees during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively, for our management of the joint ventures. The management fees are classified in *Other Income* in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

At December 31, 2011, the Company is obligated to make additional capital contributions of \$67.3 million to fund our unconsolidated development joint ventures. The Company may, in the future, make additional capital contributions to certain of our other joint ventures should additional capital contributions be necessary to fund acquisitions and operating shortfalls.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

6. SECURED DEBT

Our secured debt instruments generally feature either monthly interest and principal or monthly interest-only payments with balloon payments due at maturity. For purposes of classification of the following table, variable rate debt with a derivative financial instrument designated as a cash flow hedge is deemed as fixed rate debt due to the Company having effectively established a fixed interest rate for the underlying debt instrument. Secured debt, including debt related to real estate under development and held for sale, which encumbers \$3.1 billion or 38.3% of UDR's total real estate owned based upon gross book value (\$5.0 billion or 61.7% of UDR's real estate owned based on gross book value is unencumbered) consists of the following as of December 31, 2011 (*dollars in thousands*):

	Principal Outstanding		For the Year Ended December 31, 2011		
	December 31, 2011	2010	Weighted Average Interest Rate	Weighted Average Years to Maturity	Number of Communities Encumbered
Fixed Rate Debt					
Mortgage notes payable	\$ 590,208	\$ 292,236	5.10%	4.7	10
Tax-exempt secured notes payable		13,325	NA		
Fannie Mae credit facilities	744,509	897,318	5.14%	6.0	12
Total fixed rate secured debt	1,334,717	1,202,879	5.12%	5.4	22
Variable Rate Debt					
Mortgage notes payable	151,685	405,641	2.08%	1.4	6
Tax-exempt secured notes payable	94,700	94,700	0.77%	10.6	2
Fannie Mae credit facilities	310,451	260,450	1.63%	4.6	28
Total variable rate secured debt	556,836	760,791	1.60%	4.8	36
Total secured debt	\$ 1,891,553	\$ 1,963,670	4.10%	5.2	58

UDR has five secured credit facilities with Fannie Mae with an aggregate commitment of \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2011. The Fannie Mae credit facilities are for an initial term of 10 years, bear interest at floating and fixed rates, and certain variable rate facilities can be extended for an additional five years at our option. We have \$744.5 million of the outstanding balance fixed at a weighted average interest rate of 5.14% and the remaining balance on these facilities is currently at a weighted average variable interest rate of 1.63%. Further information related to these credit facilities as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
	(dollar amounts in thousands)	
Borrowings outstanding	\$ 1,054,960	\$ 1,157,768
Weighted average borrowings during the period ended	1,139,588	1,198,771
Maximum daily borrowings during the period ended	1,157,557	1,209,739

Weighted average interest rate during the period ended	4.4%	4.6%
Weighted average interest rate at the end of the period	4.1%	4.5%

The Company will from time to time acquire properties subject to fixed rate debt instruments. In those situations, management will record the secured debt at its estimated fair value and amortize any difference between the fair value and par to interest expense over the life of the underlying debt instrument. The unamortized fair market adjustment was a net premium of \$24.1 million and \$694,000 at December 31, 2011 and 2010.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

Fixed Rate Debt

Mortgage notes payable. Fixed rate mortgage notes payable are generally due in monthly installments of principal and interest and mature at various dates from October 2012 through June 2032 and carry interest rates ranging from 3.25% to 6.76%. Mortgage notes payable includes debt associated with development activities.

Secured credit facilities. At December 31, 2011, the Company had \$744.5 million outstanding of fixed rate secured credit facilities with Fannie Mae with a weighted average fixed interest rate of 5.14%.

Variable Rate Debt

Mortgage notes payable. Variable rate mortgage notes payable are generally due in monthly installments of principal and interest and mature at various dates from August 2012 through October 2014. The mortgage notes payable are based on LIBOR plus some basis points, which translate into interest rates ranging from 0.99% to 2.94% at December 31, 2011.

Tax-exempt secured notes payable. The variable rate mortgage notes payable that secure tax-exempt housing bond issues mature in August 2019 and March 2030. Interest on these notes is payable in monthly installments. The variable mortgage notes have interest rates of 0.57% to 0.85% as of December 31, 2011.

Secured credit facilities. At December 31, 2011, the Company had \$310.5 million outstanding of variable rate secured credit facilities with Fannie Mae with a weighted average floating interest rate of 1.63%.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

The aggregate maturities, including amortizing principal payments, of our secured debt due during each of the next five calendar years and thereafter are as follows (*dollars in thousands*):

Year	Fixed		Mortgage	Variable		Total
	Mortgage	Credit		Tax-Exempt	Credit	
	Notes	Facilities	Notes	Notes Payable	Facilities	Secured
2012	\$ 65,480	\$ 77,944	\$ 64,345	\$	\$ 99,042	\$ 306,811
2013	23,378	38,632	62,490			124,500
2014	81,101	3,328	24,850			109,279
2015	201,691	3,522				205,213
2016	140,180	3,702				143,882
Thereafter	78,378	617,381		94,700	211,409	1,001,868
Total	\$ 590,208	\$ 744,509	\$ 151,685	\$ 94,700	\$ 310,451	\$ 1,891,553

7. UNSECURED DEBT

A summary of unsecured debt as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 is as follows (*dollars in thousands*):

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Commercial Banks		
Borrowings outstanding under an unsecured credit facility due October 2015 (a), (i)	\$ 421,000	\$
Borrowings outstanding under an unsecured credit facility due July 2012 (b)		31,750
Senior Unsecured Notes		
5.00% Medium-Term Notes due January 2012	100,000	100,000
1.71% Term Notes due December 2016 (i)	100,000	100,000
6.05% Medium-Term Notes due June 2013	122,500	122,500
5.13% Medium-Term Notes due January 2014	184,000	184,000
5.50% Medium-Term Notes due April 2014 (net of discount of \$157 and \$226)	128,343	128,274
5.25% Medium-Term Notes due January 2015 (includes discount of \$390 and \$519) (c)	324,785	324,656
5.25% Medium-Term Notes due January 2016	83,260	83,260
2.90% Term Notes due January 2016 (d), (i)	250,000	100,000
2.27% Term Notes due January 2016 (d)		150,000
8.50% Debentures due September 2024	15,644	15,644
4.25% Medium-Term Notes due June 2018 (net of discount of \$2,751) (e), (i)	297,249	
4.00% Convertible Senior Notes due December 2035 (f), (g)		167,750
3.625% Convertible Senior Notes due September 2011 (net of Subtopic 470-20 discount of \$1,138 at December 31, 2010) (h), (g)		95,961
Other	36	39
	1,605,817	1,572,084

\$ 2,026,817 \$ 1,603,834

Table of Contents

**UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011**

- (a) On October 25, 2011, the Company entered into a \$900 million unsecured revolving credit facility, replacing the Company's \$600 million facility noted in (b) below. The unsecured credit facility has an initial term of four years and includes a one-year extension option, and contains an accordion feature that allows the Company to increase the facility to \$1.35 billion. Based on the Company's current credit ratings, the credit facility carries an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus a spread of 122.5 basis points and a facility fee of 22.5 basis points (1.53% at December 31, 2011).
- (b) The unsecured credit facility provided us with an aggregate borrowing capacity of \$600 million, which we could have increased to \$750 million at our election under certain circumstances. The unsecured credit facility with a consortium of financial institutions carried an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus a spread of 47.5 basis points (0.89% interest rate at December 31, 2010). The facility was replaced in October 2011.
- (c) On December 7, 2009, the Company entered into an Amended and Restated Distribution Agreement with respect to the issue and sale by the Company from time to time of its Medium-Term Notes, Series A Due Nine Months or More From Date of Issue. During the three months ended March 31, 2010, the Company issued \$150 million of 5.25% senior unsecured medium-term notes under the Amended and Restated Distribution Agreement. These notes were priced at 99.46% of the principal amount at issuance and had an unamortized discount of \$390,000 and \$519,000 at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
- (d) During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company entered into a new interest rate swap agreement for the remaining \$150 million balance. In October 2011, the Company repriced the \$250 million unsecured term loan such that the debt currently carries a floating rate of 142.5 basis points over LIBOR, below the previous pricing of 200 basis points over LIBOR.
- (e) On May 3, 2011, the Company entered into a Second Amended and Restated Distribution Agreement with respect to the issue and sale by the Company from time to time of its Medium-Term Notes, Series A Due Nine Months or More From Date of Issue. During the three months ended June 30, 2011, the Company issued \$300 million of 4.25% senior unsecured medium-term notes under the Amended and Restated Distribution Agreement. These notes were priced at 98.988% of the principal amount at issuance and had a discount of \$2.8 million at December 31, 2011.
- (f) During the year ended December 31, 2011, holders of the 4.00% Convertible Senior Notes due 2035 tendered \$10.8 million of Notes. As a result, the Company retired debt with a notional value of \$10.8 million and wrote off unamortized financing costs of \$207,000.

On March 2, 2011, the Company called all of its outstanding 4.00% Convertible Senior Notes due 2035. The redemption date for the Notes was April 4, 2011, and the redemption price was 100% of the principal amount of the outstanding Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest on the Notes to, but not including, the date of redemption. Subject to and in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth in the Indenture governing the Notes dated as of December 19, 2005, holders of Notes had the right to convert their Notes at any time until March 31, 2011, at a conversion rate of 38.8650 shares of our common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of Notes (equivalent to a conversion price of approximately \$25.73 per share). The Company accelerated the amortization of the remaining financing costs of \$3.0 million to the April 4, 2011 redemption date during the year ended December 31, 2011.

(g)

ASC Subtopic 470-20 applies to all convertible debt instruments that have a net settlement feature, which means that such convertible debt instruments, by their terms, may be settled either wholly or partially in cash upon conversion. This guidance requires issuers of convertible debt instruments that may be settled wholly or partially in cash upon conversion to separately account for the liability and equity components in a manner reflective of the issuers nonconvertible debt borrowing rate. The guidance impacted the historical accounting for the 3.625% convertible senior notes due September 2011 and the 4.00% convertible senior notes due December 2035, and resulted in increased interest expense of \$1.1 million, \$3.5 million, and \$4.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

- (h) During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company paid \$97.1 million at maturity.
- (i) The Operating Partnership is a guarantor at December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

The following is a summary of short-term bank borrowings under UDR's bank credit facility at December 31, 2011 and 2010 (*dollars in thousands*):

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Total revolving credit facility	\$ 900,000	\$ 600,000
Borrowings outstanding at end of year (1)	421,000	31,750
Weighted average daily borrowings during the year ended	227,498	161,260
Maximum daily borrowings during the year ended	450,000	337,600
Weighted average interest rate during the year ended	1.0%	0.8%
Interest rate at end of the year	1.5%	0.9%

(1) Excludes \$3.6 million of letters of credit at December 31, 2011

The aggregate maturities of unsecured debt for the five years subsequent to December 31, 2011 are as follows (*dollars in thousands*):

Year	Bank Lines	Unsecured Debt	Total Unsecured
2012	\$	\$ 99,386	\$ 99,386
2013		121,886	121,886
2014		311,930	311,930
2015	421,000	324,744	745,744
2016		432,840	432,840
Thereafter		315,031	315,031
Total	\$ 421,000	\$ 1,605,817	\$ 2,026,817

8. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

UDR has an effective registration statement that allows the Company to sell an undetermined number of debt and equity securities as defined in the prospectus. The Company has the ability to issue 350,000,000 shares of common stock and 50,000,000 shares of preferred shares as of December 31, 2011.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company entered into the following equity transactions for our common stock:

Issued 15,825,632 shares of common stock in connection with an at the market equity distribution program where we received net proceeds of approximately \$383.8 million;

Issued 20,700,000 shares of common stock in connection with an underwritten public offering where we received net proceeds of approximately \$496.3 million;

Issued 691,346 shares of common stock in connection with stock options exercised;

Issued 199,539 shares of common stock through the Company's 1999 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "LTIP"), net of forfeitures of 116,059; and

Converted 12,511 OP Units into Company common stock.

Distributions are subject to the approval of the Board of Directors and are dependent upon our strategy, financial condition and operating results. UDR common distributions for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 totaled \$0.80 and \$0.73 per share, respectively. For taxable years ending on or before December 31, 2011, the IRS allowed

REITS to distribute up to 90% of total distributions in common shares with the residual distributed in cash as a means of enhancing liquidity.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

Preferred Stock

The Series E Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock (Series E) has no stated par value and a liquidation preference of \$16.61 per share. Subject to certain adjustments and conditions, each share of the Series E is convertible at any time and from time to time at the holder's option into one share of our common stock prior to the Special Dividend (1.083 shares after the Special Dividend). The holders of the Series E are entitled to vote on an as-converted basis as a single class in combination with the holders of common stock at any meeting of our stockholders for the election of directors or for any other purpose on which the holders of common stock are entitled to vote. The Series E has no stated maturity and is not subject to any sinking fund or any mandatory redemption.

Distributions declared on the Series E for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 were \$1.33 per share. The Series E is not listed on any exchange. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, a total of 2,803,812 shares of the Series E were outstanding.

UDR is authorized to issue up to 20,000,000 shares of the Series F Preferred Stock (Series F). The Series F may be purchased by holders of UDR's operating partnership units, or OP Units, at a purchase price of \$0.0001 per share. OP Unitholders are entitled to subscribe for and purchase one share of UDR's Series F for each OP Unit held. A total of 2,534,846 shares of the Series F were outstanding at a value of \$253 at December 31, 2011 and 2010. Holders of the Series F are entitled to one vote for each share of the Series F they hold, voting together with the holders of our common stock, on each matter submitted to a vote of security holders at a meeting of our stockholders. The Series F does not entitle its holders to any other rights, privileges or preferences.

In May 2007, UDR issued 5,400,000 shares of the 6.75% Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (Series G). The Series G has no stated par value and a liquidation preference of \$25 per share. The Series G generally has no voting rights except under certain limited circumstances and as required by law. The Series G has no stated maturity and is not subject to any sinking fund or mandatory redemption and is not convertible into any of our other securities. The Series G is not redeemable prior to May 31, 2012. On or after this date, the Series G may be redeemed for cash at our option, in whole or in part, at a redemption price of \$25 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends. During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company repurchased 141,200 and 27,400 shares of Series G, respectively, for more or less than the liquidation preference of \$25 per share resulting in a loss of \$175,000 and a \$25,000 benefit to our net income/(loss) attributable to common stockholders, respectively.

Distributions declared on the Series G for the year ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 were \$1.69 per share. The Series G is listed on the NYSE under the symbol UDRPrG . At December 31, 2011 and 2010, a total of 3,264,362 and 3,405,562 shares of the Series G were outstanding, respectively.

Distribution Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan

UDR's Distribution Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan (the Stock Purchase Plan) allows common and preferred stockholders the opportunity to purchase, through the reinvestment of cash dividends, additional shares of UDR's common stock. From inception through December 31, 2008, shareholders have elected to utilize the Stock Purchase Plan to reinvest their distribution for the equivalent of 9,957,233 shares of Company common stock. Shares in the amount of 11,762,192 were reserved for issuance under the Stock Purchase Plan as of December 31, 2011. During the year ended December 31, 2011, UDR acquired all shares issued through the open market.

9. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

In May 2001, the stockholders of UDR approved the long term incentive plan (LTIP), which supersedes the 1985 Stock Option Plan. The LTIP authorizes the granting of awards which may take the form of options to purchase shares of common stock, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, dividend equivalents, other stock-based awards, and any other right or interest relating to common stock or cash incentive awards to Company directors, employees and outside trustees to promote the success of the Company by linking individual's compensation via grants of share based payment. During the year ended December 31, 2009, the stockholders of UDR voted to amend and restate the LTIP to increase the number of shares reserved from 4,000,000 shares to 16,000,000 shares on an unadjusted basis for issuance upon the grant or exercise of awards under the LTIP, which all can be for incentive stock option grants. The

LTIP generally provides, among other things, that options are granted at exercise prices not lower than the market value of the shares on the date of grant and that options granted must be exercised within 10 years. As of December 31, 2011, there were 8,726,944 common shares available for issuance under the LTIP.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

The LTIP contains change of control provisions allowing for the immediate vesting of an award upon certain events such as a merger where UDR is not the surviving entity. Upon the death or disability of an award recipient all outstanding instruments will vest and all restrictions will lapse. Further, upon the retirement of an award recipient, all outstanding instruments will vest and all restrictions will lapse. The LTIP specifies that in the event of a capital transaction, which includes but is not limited to stock dividends, stock splits, extraordinary cash dividends and spin-offs, the number of shares available for grant in totality or to a single individual is to be adjusted proportionately. The LTIP specifies that when a capital transaction occurs that would dilute the holder of the stock award, prior grants are to be adjusted such that the recipient is no worse as a result of the capital transaction.

A summary of UDR's stock option and restricted stock activities during the year ended December 31, 2011 is as follows:

	Option Outstanding		Option Exercisable		Restricted Stock	
	Number	Weighted Average	Number	Weighted Average	Number	Weighted Average Fair
	of	Exercise	of	Exercise	Of shares	Value Per
	Options	Price	Options	Price		Restricted Stock
Balance, December 31, 2010	3,837,177	\$ 12.00	1,880,168	\$ 13.19	1,433,299	\$ 27.06
Granted					199,539	23.14
Exercised	(937,377)	10.24				
Vested					(309,013)	19.78
Forfeited	(208,998)	12.00			(116,059)	19.43
Balance, December 31, 2011	2,690,802	\$ 12.61	1,905,015	\$ 13.25	1,207,766	\$ 16.24

Stock Option Plan

UDR has granted stock options to our employees, subject to certain conditions. Each stock option is exercisable into one common share.

Total remaining compensation cost related to unvested share options as of December 31, 2011 was approximately \$95,000.

The weighted average remaining contractual life on all options outstanding as of December 31, 2011 is 9 years.

2,194,957 of share options had exercise prices at \$10.06; 26,234 of share options had exercise prices between \$13.15 and \$13.74; 469,611 of share options had exercise prices between \$24.38 and \$25.10.

During the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively, we recognized \$1.1 million, \$1.3 million, and \$1.3 million of net compensation expense related to outstanding stock options.

Restricted Stock Awards

Restricted stock is granted to Company employees, officers, consultants, and directors. The restricted stock is valued on the grant date based upon the market price of UDR common stock on the date of grant. Compensation expense is recorded over the vesting period, which is generally three to four years. Restricted stock earn dividends payable in cash until the earlier of the date of the underlying restricted stock is exercised or the expiration of the underlying restricted stock award. Some of the restricted stock is performance based and is adjusted based on the Company's performance. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, we recognized \$9.8 million, \$12.2 million, and

\$7.6 million of compensation expense related to the amortization of restricted stock, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, the Company had issued 2,833,843 shares of restricted stock under the LTIP. The total remaining compensation cost on unvested restricted stock awards was \$7.0 million and has a weighted average remaining contractual life of one year as of December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

Profit Sharing Plan

Our profit sharing plan (the Plan) is a defined contribution plan covering all eligible full-time employees. Under the Plan, UDR makes discretionary profit sharing and matching contributions to the Plan as determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. Aggregate provisions for contributions, both matching and discretionary, which are included in UDR's Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009 was \$0.7 million.

10. INCOME TAXES

For 2011, 2010, and 2009, UDR believes that we have complied with the REIT requirements specified in the Code. As such the REIT would generally not be subject to federal income taxes.

For income tax purposes, distributions paid to common stockholders may consist of ordinary income, qualified dividends, capital gains, unrecaptured section 1250 gains, return of capital, or a combination thereof. Distributions that exceed our current and accumulated earnings and profits constitute a return of capital rather than taxable income and reduce the stockholder's basis in their common shares. To the extent that a distribution exceeds both current and accumulated earnings and profits and the stockholder's basis in the common shares, it generally will be treated as a gain from the sale or exchange of that stockholder's common shares. Taxable distributions paid per common share were taxable as follows for the years ended December 31,

	2011	December 31, 2010	2009
Ordinary income	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.69	\$ 0.08
Long-term capital gain	0.07	0.03	0.54
Unrecapture section 1250 gain	0.21	0.01	0.05
	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.67

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

We have Taxable REIT Subsidiaries (TRS) that are subject to state and federal income taxes. A TRS is a C-corporation which has not elected REIT status and as such is subject to United States Federal and state income tax. The components of the provision for income taxes are as follows for the years ended December 31, *(dollars in thousands)*:

	2011	December 31, 2010	2009
Income tax expense/(benefit)			
Current			
Federal	\$ 463	\$ (3,510)	\$ (11,925)
State	552	977	(2,573)
Total current	1,015	(2,533)	(14,498)
Deferred			
Federal	6,646	119	12,030
State	(90)	(119)	2,779
Total deferred	6,556		14,809
Total income tax expense/(benefit)	\$ 7,571	\$ (2,533)	\$ 311

Classification of income tax (benefit)/expense

Continuing operations	\$ (5,647)	\$ (2,533)	\$ 311
Discontinued operations	13,218		

Deferred income taxes are provided for the change in temporary differences between the basis of certain assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and income tax reporting purposes. The expected future tax rates are based upon enacted tax laws. The components of our TRS deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows for the years ended December 31, *(dollars in thousands)*:

	2011	2010	2009
Deferred tax assets:			
Federal and state tax attributes	\$ 24,524	\$ 33,053	\$ 20,239
Book/tax depreciation	10,045	9,708	3,946
Construction capitalization differences	5,948	5,235	3,045
Investment in partnerships	3,618	3,346	4,711
Debt and interest deductions		10,784	8,175
Other	999	586	285
Total deferred tax assets	45,134	62,712	40,401
Valuation allowance	(45,134)	(55,516)	(33,554)

Net deferred tax assets			7,196	6,847
Deferred tax liabilities:				
Other			(640)	(291)
Total deferred tax liabilities			(640)	(291)
Net deferred tax asset	\$	\$	6,556	\$ 6,556

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

Income tax expense/(benefit) differed from the amounts computed by applying the U.S. statutory rate of 35% to pretax income or (loss) for the years ended December 31, as follows (*dollars in thousands*):

	2011	December 31, 2010	2009
Income tax expense/(benefit)			
U.S. federal income tax expense/(benefit)	\$ 11,715	\$ (16,006)	\$ (17,042)
State income tax provision/(benefit)	2,099	19	(1,391)
Other items	1,227	(5,100)	1,260
Valuation allowance	(7,470)	18,554	17,484
Total income tax expense/(benefit)	\$ 7,571	\$ (2,533)	\$ 311

As of December 31, 2011, the Company, through our TRS, had federal net loss carryovers (NOL) of approximately \$64.7 million. Of the total NOL, \$2.0 million expires in 2028, \$30.0 million expires in 2029 and the remaining \$32.7 million expires in 2030. As of December 31, 2011, the TRS had state NOL of approximately \$60.6 million expiring in 2020 through 2030. As of December 31, 2011, the Company had a valuation allowance of \$45.1 million against 100% of its deferred tax assets and 100% of its net operating losses. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company had a net change of \$10.4 million in the valuation allowance.

FASB ASC 740, *Income Taxes* (Topic 740) defines a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Topic 740 requires the financial statements reflect expected future tax consequences of income tax positions presuming the taxing authorities' full knowledge of the tax position and all relevant facts, but without considering time values. Topic 740 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting for interim periods, disclosure and transition.

The Company evaluates our tax position using a two-step process. First, we determine whether a tax position is more likely than not (greater than 50 percent probability) to be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. The Company will then determine the amount of benefit to recognize and record the amount of the benefit that is more likely than not to be realized upon ultimate settlement. When applicable, UDR recognizes interest and/or penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, UDR does not believe we have any unrecognized tax benefits.

The Company files income tax returns in federal and various state jurisdictions. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to federal, state and local income tax examination by tax authorities for years prior to 2008. The tax years 2008-2010 remain open to examination by the major taxing jurisdictions to which the Company is subject.

11. NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS

Redeemable noncontrolling interests in operating partnerships

Interests in operating partnerships held by limited partners are represented by operating partnership units (OP Units). The income is allocated to holders of OP Units based upon net income attributable to common stockholders and the weighted average number of OP Units outstanding to total common shares plus OP Units outstanding during the period. Capital contributions, distributions, and profits and losses are allocated to non-controlling interests in accordance with the terms of the individual partnership agreements.

Limited partners have the right to require the Operating Partnership to redeem all or a portion of the OP Units held by the limited partner at a redemption price equal to and in the form of the Cash Amount as defined in the limited

partnership agreement of the Operating Partnership (the Partnership Agreement), provided that such OP Units have been outstanding for at least one year. UDR, as the general partner of the Operating Partnership may, in its sole discretion, purchase the OP Units by paying to the limited partner either the Cash Amount or the REIT Share Amount (generally one share of common stock of the Company for each OP Unit), as defined in the Partnership Agreement. Accordingly, the Company records the OP Units outside of permanent equity and reports the OP Units at their redemption value using the Company's common stock price at each balance sheet date.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

The following table sets forth redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership for the following periods (*dollars in thousands*):

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2011	2010
Redeemable noncontrolling interests in the OP, December 31, 2010	\$ 119,057	\$ 98,758
Mark to market adjustment to redeemable noncontrolling interests in the OP	13,978	48,236
Conversion of OP Units to Common Stock	(287)	(18,429)
Repurchase of OP Units from redeemable noncontrolling interests		(327)
Net income/(loss) attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests in the OP	395	(3,835)
OP units issued for partial consideration in community acquisition	111,034	
Distributions to redeemable noncontrolling interests in the OP	(7,298)	(5,228)
Allocation of other comprehensive (loss)/income	(404)	(118)
Ending redeemable noncontrolling interests in the OP	\$ 236,475	\$ 119,057

The following sets forth net loss attributable to common stockholders and transfers from redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership for the following periods (*dollars in thousands*):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Net income/(loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ 10,537	\$ (112,362)	\$ (95,858)
Conversion of OP units to UDR Common Stock	287	18,429	21,117
Change in equity from net income/(loss) attributable to common stockholders and conversion of OP units to UDR Common Stock	\$ 10,824	\$ (93,933)	\$ (74,741)

Non-controlling interests

Non-controlling interests represent interests of unrelated partners in certain consolidated affiliates, and is presented as part of equity in the Consolidated Balance Sheets since these interests are not redeemable into any other ownership interests of the Company. During the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, net income attributable to non-controlling interests was \$167,000; \$146,000 and \$191,000, respectively.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

12. FAIR VALUE OF DERIVATIVES AND FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Fair value is based on the price that would be received to sell an asset or the exit price that would be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. A three-level valuation hierarchy prioritizes observable and unobservable inputs used to measure fair value. The fair value hierarchy consists of three broad levels, which are described below:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the entity has the ability to access.

Level 2 Observable inputs other than prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated with observable market data.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets and liabilities. This includes certain pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques that use significant unobservable inputs.

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments either recorded or disclosed on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 are summarized as follows (*dollars in thousands*):

	December 31, 2011	Fair Value at December 31, 2011 Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets or Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Description:				
Derivatives- Interest rate contracts (c)	\$ 89	\$	\$ 89	\$
Total assets	\$ 89	\$	\$ 89	\$
Derivatives- Interest rate contracts (c)	\$ 13,660	\$	\$ 13,660	\$
Contingent purchase Consideration (d)	3,000			3,000
Secured debt instruments- fixed rate: (a)				
Mortgage notes payable	635,531			635,531
Fannie Mae credit facilities	799,584			799,584
Secured debt instruments- variable rate: (a)				
Mortgage notes payable	151,685			151,685
Tax-exempt secured notes payable	94,700			94,700
Fannie Mae credit facilities	310,451			310,451
Unsecured debt instruments: (b)				
Commercial bank	421,000			421,000

Senior Unsecured Notes		1,675,189				1,675,189
Total liabilities	\$	4,104,800	\$	\$	13,660	\$ 4,091,140

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

Description:	December 31, 2010	Fair Value at December 31, 2010 Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets or Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Available-for-sale equity securities	\$ 3,866	\$ 3,866	\$	\$
Derivatives- Interest rate contracts (c)	514		514	
Total assets	\$ 4,380	\$ 3,866	\$ 514	\$
Derivatives- Interest rate contracts (c)	\$ 6,597	\$	\$ 6,597	\$
Contingent purchase consideration (d)	5,402			5,402
Secured debt instruments- fixed rate: (a)				
Mortgage notes payable	306,515			306,515
Tax-exempt secured notes payable	13,885			13,885
Fannie Mae credit facilities	927,413			927,413
Secured debt instruments- variable rate: (a)				
Mortgage notes payable	405,641			405,641
Tax-exempt secured notes payable	94,700			94,700
Fannie Mae credit facilities	260,450			260,450
Unsecured debt instruments: (b)				
Commercial bank	31,750			31,750
Senior Unsecured Notes	1,625,492	264,849		1,360,643
Total liabilities	\$ 3,677,845	\$ 264,849	\$ 6,597	\$ 3,406,399
Redeemable Non-controlling Interests	\$ 119,057	\$	\$ 119,057	\$

(a) See Note 6, *Secured Debt*(b) See Note 7, *Unsecured Debt*

- (c) See Note 13, *Derivatives and Hedging Activity*
- (d) In the first quarter of 2010, the Company accrued a liability of \$6.0 million related to a contingent purchase consideration on one of its properties. The contingent consideration was determined based on the fair market value of the related asset which is estimated using Level 3 inputs utilized in a third party appraisal. The Company paid approximately \$635,000 of the liability during the year ended December 31, 2010. The remaining balance of \$5.4 million was paid during the year ended December 31, 2011 in conjunction with the sale of the property. The fair value of the contingent purchase consideration is also inclusive of \$3.0 million accrued in relation to our acquisition of a development property in a consolidated joint venture as of and during the year ended December 31, 2011. (See Note 5, *Joint Ventures*.)

Financial Instruments Carried at Fair Value

The fair values of the corporate equity securities are determined by Level 1 inputs which utilize quoted prices in active markets where we have the ability to access values for identical assets.

The fair values of interest rate swaps are determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash receipts (or payments) and the discounted expected variable cash payments (or receipts). The variable cash payments (or receipts) are based on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves. The fair values of interest rate options are determined using the market standard methodology of discounting the future expected cash receipts that would occur if variable interest rates rise above the strike rate of the caps. The variable interest rates used in the calculation of projected receipts on the cap are based on an expectation of future interest rates derived from observable market interest rate curves and volatilities.

The Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Company has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts, and guarantees.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties. However, as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company has assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and has determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of its derivatives. As a result, the Company has determined that its derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Redeemable non-controlling interests in the Operating Partnership have a redemption feature and are marked to their redemption value. The redemption value is based on the fair value of the Company's Common Stock at the redemption date, and therefore, is calculated based on the fair value of the Company's Common Stock at the balance sheet date. Since the valuation is based on observable inputs such as quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, redeemable non-controlling interests in the Operating Partnership are classified as Level 2.

Financial Instruments Not Carried at Fair Value

At December 31, 2011, the fair values of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, prepaids, real estate taxes payable, accrued interest payable, security deposits and prepaid rent, distributions payable and accounts payable approximated their carrying values because of the short term nature of these instruments. The estimated fair values of other financial instruments were determined by the Company using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. Considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data and develop estimated fair values. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company would realize on the disposition of the financial instruments. The use of different market assumptions or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

We estimate the fair value of our debt instruments by discounting the remaining cash flows of the debt instrument at a discount rate equal to the replacement market credit spread plus the corresponding treasury yields. Factors considered in determining a replacement market credit spread include general market conditions, borrower specific credit spreads, time remaining to maturity, loan-to-value ratios and collateral quality (Level 3).

We estimated the fair value of our Convertible Senior Unsecured Notes based on Level 1 inputs which utilize quoted prices in active markets where we had the ability to access value for identical liabilities.

We record impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operations when events and circumstances indicate that the assets might be impaired and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by the future operation and disposition of those assets are less than the net book value of those assets. Our cash flow estimates are based upon historical results adjusted to reflect our best estimate of future market and operating conditions and our estimated holding periods. The net book value of impaired assets is reduced to fair value. Our estimates of fair value represent our best estimate based upon Level 3 inputs such as industry trends and reference to market rates and transactions. We consider various factors to determine if a decrease in the value of our investments in an unconsolidated joint venture is other-than-temporary. These factors include, but are not limited to, age of the venture, our intent and ability to retain our investment in the entity, the financial condition and long-term prospects of the entity, the fair value of the underlying property of the joint venture, and the relationships with the other joint venture partners and its lenders. Based on the significance of the unobservable inputs, we classify these fair value measurements within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. The Company did not incur any other-than-temporary decrease in the value of its investments in unconsolidated joint ventures during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

After determining an other-than-temporary decrease in the value of an equity method investment has occurred, we estimate the fair value of our investment by estimating the proceeds we would receive upon a hypothetical liquidation of the investment at the date of measurement. Inputs reflect management's best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the investment giving consideration to the terms of the joint venture agreement and the estimated discounted future cash flows to be generated from the underlying joint venture assets. The inputs and assumptions utilized to estimate the future cash flows of the underlying assets are based upon the Company's evaluation of the economy, market trends, operating results, and other factors, including judgments regarding costs to complete any construction activities, lease up and occupancy rates, rental rates, inflation rates, capitalization rates utilized to estimate the projected cash flows at the disposition, and discount rates.

13. DERIVATIVES AND HEDGING ACTIVITY**Risk Management Objective of Using Derivatives**

The Company is exposed to certain risk arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The Company principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. The Company manages economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity, and credit risk primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of its debt funding and the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, the Company may enter into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the receipt or payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates. The Company's derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of the Company's known or expected cash receipts and its known or expected cash payments principally related to the Company's investments and borrowings.

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

The Company's objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, the Company primarily uses interest rate swaps and caps as part of its interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty in exchange for the Company making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount. Interest rate caps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty if interest rates rise above the strike rate on the contract in exchange for an up front premium.

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and that qualify as cash flow hedges is recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss) and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. During the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, such derivatives were used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with existing variable-rate debt. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives is recognized directly in earnings. During the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the Company recorded less than a \$1,000 loss of ineffectiveness in earnings attributable to reset date and index mismatches between the derivative and the hedged item, and the fair value of interest rate swaps that were not zero at inception of the hedging relationship. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company reclassified \$58,000 loss from Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss) to earnings due to forecasted transactions no longer probable of occurring which was the result of the sale of an operating apartment community. Amounts reported in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss) related to derivatives will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the Company's variable-rate debt. Through December 31, 2012, the Company estimates that an additional \$6.3 million will be reclassified as an increase to interest expense.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

As of December 31, 2011, the Company had the following outstanding interest rate derivatives that were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk (*dollar amounts in thousands*):

Interest Rate Derivative	Number of Instruments	Notional
Interest rate swaps	13	\$ 509,787
Interest rate caps	5	274,291

Derivatives not designated as hedges are not speculative and are used to manage the Company's exposure to interest rate movements and other identified risks but do not meet the strict hedge accounting requirements of FASB ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging* (formerly SFAS 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*). Changes in the fair value of derivatives not designated in hedging relationships are recorded directly in earnings and resulted in a loss of \$23,000 and \$991,000 for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and a gain of \$593,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009. As of December 31, 2011, the Company had the following outstanding derivatives that were not designated as hedges in qualifying hedging relationships (*dollar amounts in thousands*):

Product	Number of Instruments	Notional
Interest rate caps	3	\$ 172,697

Tabular Disclosure of Fair Values of Derivative Instruments on the Balance Sheet

The table below presents the fair value of the Company's derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 (*dollar amounts in thousands*).

	Asset Derivatives			Liability Derivatives		
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value at December 31,		Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value at December 31,	
		2011	2010		2011	2010
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:						
Interest Rate Products	Other Assets	\$ 71	\$ 243	Other Liabilities	\$ 13,660	\$ 6,597
Total		\$ 71	\$ 243		\$ 13,660	\$ 6,597

Derivatives **not** designated as hedging instruments:

Interest Rate Products	Other Assets	\$ 18	\$ 271	Other Liabilities	\$	\$
------------------------	--------------	-------	--------	-------------------	----	----

Total \$ 18 \$ 271 \$ \$

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011
Tabular Disclosure of the Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated
Statements of Operations

The tables below present the effect of the Company's derivative financial instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three years ended December 31, (*dollar amounts in thousands*):

Derivatives in Cash Flow	Amount of Loss Recognized in OCI on Derivative			Location of Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income	Amount of Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into			Location of Loss Recognized in Income on Derivative	Amount of Loss Recognized in Income on Derivative and Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing		
	2011	2010	2009	(Effective Portion)	2011	2010	2009	(Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)	2011	2010	2009
Interest Rate Products	\$ (16,477)	\$ (9,273)	\$ (3,949)	Interest expense	\$ (9,132)	\$ (6,777)	\$ (12,082)	Other expense	\$ (58)	\$ (1)	\$
Total	\$ (16,477)	\$ (9,273)	\$ (3,949)		\$ (9,132)	\$ (6,777)	\$ (12,082)		\$ (58)	\$ (1)	\$

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Location of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative		
		2011	2010	2009
Interest Rate Products	Other (expense)/income	\$ (23)	\$ (991)	\$ 593
Total		\$ (23)	\$ (991)	\$ 593

Credit-risk-related Contingent Features

The Company has agreements with some of its derivative counterparties that contain a provision where (1) if the Company defaults on any of its indebtedness, including default where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, then the Company could also be declared in default on its derivative obligations; or (2) the Company could be declared in default on its derivative obligations if repayment of the underlying indebtedness is accelerated by the lender due to the Company's default on the indebtedness.

Certain of the Company's agreements with its derivative counterparties contain provisions where if there is a change in the Company's financial condition that materially changes the Company's creditworthiness in an adverse manner, the Company may be required to fully collateralize its obligations under the derivative instrument.

The Company also has an agreement with a derivative counterparty that incorporates the loan and financial covenant provisions of the Company's indebtedness with a lender affiliate of the derivative counterparty. Failure to comply with these covenant provisions would result in the Company being in default on any derivative instrument obligations covered by the agreement.

As of December 31, 2011, the fair value of derivatives in a net liability position, which includes accrued interest but excludes any adjustment for nonperformance risk, related to these agreements was \$14.4 million. As of December 31, 2011, the Company has not posted any collateral related to these agreements. If the Company had breached any of these provisions at December 31, 2011, it would have been required to settle its obligations under the agreements at their termination value of \$14.4 million.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

14. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**Commitments*****Real Estate Under Development***

The following summarizes the Company's real estate commitments at December 31, 2011 (*dollars in thousands*):

	Number of Properties	Costs Incurred to Date	Expected Costs to Complete (unaudited)	Ownership Stake
Wholly owned under development	7	\$ 248,746	\$ 425,529	100%
Joint ventures:				
Consolidated Joint Ventures	1	13,072	32,928	90%
Unconsolidated Joint Ventures	3	37,913	142,987	95%
		\$ 299,731	\$ 601,444	

Ground and Other Leases

UDR owns six communities which are subject to ground leases expiring between 2019 and 2103. In addition, UDR is party to various operating leases related to office space rented by the Company with expiration dates through 2016. The leases are accounted for in accordance with FASB ASC 840, *Leases*. Future minimum lease payments as of December 31, 2011 are as follows (*dollars in thousands*):

	Ground Leases (a)	Office Space
2012	\$ 5,043	\$ 458
2013	5,043	478
2014	5,043	498
2015	5,043	499
2016	5,043	40
Thereafter	314,914	
	\$ 340,129	\$ 1,973

- (a) For purposes of our ground lease contracts, the Company uses the minimum lease payment, if stated in the agreement. For ground lease agreements where there is a reset provision based on the communities appraised value or consumer price index but does not include a specified minimum lease payment, the Company uses the current rent over the remainder of the lease term.

UDR incurred \$4.9 million, \$4.8 million, \$5.0 million of ground rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. The Company incurred \$1.2 million, \$1.1 million, \$2.0 million of rent expense related to office space for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

Contingencies

Litigation and Legal Matters

UDR is subject to various legal proceedings and claims arising in the ordinary course of business. UDR cannot determine the ultimate liability with respect to such legal proceedings and claims at this time. UDR believes that such liability, to the extent not provided for through insurance or otherwise, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flow.

15. REPORTABLE SEGMENTS

FASB ASC Topic 280, *Segment Reporting* (Topic 280), requires that segment disclosures present the measure(s) used by the chief operating decision maker to decide how to allocate resources and for purposes of assessing such segments performance. UDR's chief operating decision maker is comprised of several members of its executive management team who use several generally accepted industry financial measures to assess the performance of the business for our reportable operating segments.

UDR owns and operates multifamily apartment communities throughout the United States that generate rental and other property related income through the leasing of apartment homes to a diverse base of tenants. The primary financial measures for UDR's apartment communities are rental income and net operating income (NOI). Rental income represents gross market rent less adjustments for concessions, vacancy loss and bad debt. NOI is defined as total revenues less direct property operating expenses. UDR's chief operating decision maker utilizes NOI as the key measure of segment profit or loss.

UDR's two reportable segments are same communities and non-mature/other communities:

Same store communities represent those communities acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to January 1, 2010, and held as of December 31, 2011. A comparison of operating results from the prior year is meaningful as these communities were owned and had stabilized occupancy and operating expenses as of the beginning of the prior year, there is no plan to conduct substantial redevelopment activities, and the community is not held for sale within the current year. A community is considered to have stabilized occupancy once it achieves 90% occupancy for at least three consecutive months.

Non-mature/other communities represent those communities that were acquired or developed in 2009, 2010 or 2011, sold properties, redevelopment properties, properties classified as real estate held for sale, condominium conversion properties, joint venture properties, properties managed by third parties, and the non-apartment components of mixed use properties.

Management evaluates the performance of each of our apartment communities on a same community and non-mature/other basis, as well as individually and geographically. This is consistent with the aggregation criteria of Topic 280 as each of our apartment communities generally has similar economic characteristics, facilities, services, and tenants. Therefore, the Company's reportable segments have been aggregated by geography in a manner identical to that which is provided to the chief operating decision maker.

All revenues are from external customers and no single tenant or related group of tenants contributed 10% or more of UDR's total revenues during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, or 2009.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

The accounting policies applicable to the operating segments described above are the same as those described in Note 2, *Significant Accounting Policies*. The following table details rental income and NOI from continuing and discontinued operations for UDR's reportable segments for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, and reconciles NOI to loss from continuing operations per the consolidated statement of operations (*dollars in thousands*):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Reportable apartment home segment rental income			
Same Communities			
Western Region	\$ 185,759	\$ 177,771	\$ 182,120
Mid-Atlantic Region	153,913	147,643	144,401
Southeastern Region	126,604	122,412	121,659
Southwestern Region	49,223	47,202	46,674
Non-Mature communities/Other	215,459	138,840	108,045
Total segment and consolidated rental income	\$ 730,958	\$ 633,868	\$ 602,899
Reportable apartment home segment NOI			
Same Communities			
Western Region	\$ 129,292	\$ 121,338	\$ 127,653
Mid-Atlantic Region	106,393	100,875	98,483
Southeastern Region	79,369	76,560	74,853
Southwestern Region	29,395	27,527	26,058
Non-Mature communities/Other	140,311	84,764	71,413
Total segment and consolidated NOI	484,760	411,064	398,460
Reconciling items:			
Non-property income	17,422	14,347	14,274
Property management	(20,101)	(17,432)	(16,581)
Other operating expenses	(6,217)	(5,848)	(6,485)
Depreciation and amortization	(370,343)	(303,446)	(278,391)
Interest, net	(158,333)	(150,796)	(142,152)
General and administrative	(45,915)	(45,243)	(39,035)
Severance costs and other restructuring charges	(1,342)	(6,803)	
Other depreciation and amortization	(3,931)	(4,843)	(5,161)
Loss from unconsolidated entities	(6,352)	(4,204)	(18,665)
Redeemable noncontrolling interests in OP	(395)	3,835	4,282
Non-controlling interests	(167)	(146)	(191)
Tax (expense)/ benefit	(7,571)	2,533	(311)
Gain on the sale of depreciable property	138,508	4,083	2,424

Net income/(loss) attributable to UDR, Inc.	\$ 20,023	\$ (102,899)	\$ (87,532)
---	-----------	--------------	-------------

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

The following table details the assets of UDR's reportable segments as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 (*dollars in thousands*):

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Reportable apartment home segment assets		
Same Communities		
Western Region	\$ 1,958,108	\$ 1,920,742
Mid-Atlantic Region	1,257,778	1,245,737
Southeastern Region	1,041,658	1,003,830
Southwestern Region	476,812	461,015
Non-Mature communities/Other	3,340,115	2,250,023
Total segment assets	8,074,471	6,881,347
Accumulated depreciation	(1,831,727)	(1,638,326)
Total segment assets – net book value	6,242,744	5,243,021
Reconciling items:		
Cash and cash equivalents	12,503	9,486
Marketable securities		3,866
Restricted cash	24,634	15,447
Deferred financing costs, net	30,068	27,267
Notes receivable		7,800
Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures	213,040	148,057
Other assets	198,365	74,596
Total consolidated assets	\$ 6,721,354	\$ 5,529,540

Capital expenditures related to our same communities totaled \$48.3 million, \$42.6 million, and \$48.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. Capital expenditures related to our non-mature/other communities totaled \$9.5 million, \$6.0 million, and \$8.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Markets included in the above geographic segments are as follows:

- i. Western – Orange County, San Francisco, Seattle, Monterey Peninsula, Los Angeles, San Diego, Inland Empire, Sacramento, and Portland
- ii. Mid-Atlantic – Metropolitan DC, Richmond, Baltimore, Norfolk, and Other Mid-Atlantic
- iii. Southeastern – Tampa, Orlando, Nashville, Jacksonville, and Other Florida

iv. Southwestern Dallas, Phoenix, and Austin

16. RESTRUCTURING CHARGES

In 2010, UDR decided to consolidate corporate operations and centralize job functions to its Highlands Ranch, Colorado headquarters from its Richmond, Virginia office. During the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company recorded a severance charge of \$6.8 million, which includes costs related to these activities in addition to severance related to the retirement of an executive officer of the Company. These costs are reported in the Consolidated Statements of Operations within the line item Severance costs and other restructuring charges .

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

17. UNAUDITED SUMMARIZED CONSOLIDATED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA

Selected consolidated quarterly financial data for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 is summarized in the table below (*dollars in thousands, except per share amounts*):

	Three months ended			
	March 31,	June 30,	September 30,	December 31,
2011				
Rental income (a)	\$ 154,558	\$ 167,555	\$ 181,151	\$ 187,999
Loss from continuing operations	(30,746)	(31,322)	(26,763)	(22,805)
Income from discontinued operations	2,090	46,231	12,977	70,923
Net (loss)/income attributable to common stockholders	(30,243)	12,149	(15,559)	44,190
(Loss)/income per share (b):				
Basic and diluted	\$ (0.17)	\$ 0.06	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.20
2010				
Rental income (a)	\$ 137,139	\$ 139,377	\$ 145,172	\$ 152,396
Loss from continuing operations	(28,412)	(28,745)	(28,605)	(30,042)
Income from discontinued operations	3,386	1,105	4,000	725
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	(26,435)	(28,968)	(26,134)	(30,825)
Loss per share (b):				
Basic and diluted	\$ (0.17)	\$ (0.18)	\$ (0.16)	\$ (0.17)

(a) Represents rental income from continuing operations, excluding amounts classified as discontinued operations.

(b) Quarterly earnings per common share amounts may not total to the annual amounts due to rounding.

18. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On January 10, 2012, the Company issued \$400 million aggregate principal amount of 4.625% Medium Term Notes due January 2022. Interest is payable semiannually beginning in July 2012. The notes were priced at 99.100% of the principal amount plus accrued interest from January 10, 2012 to yield 4.739% to maturity. The notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Operating Partnership.

On January 12, 2012, the Company formed a new real estate joint venture, UDR/MetLife II, with MetLife wherein each party owns a 50% interest in a \$1.3 billion portfolio of 12 operating communities containing 2,528 apartment homes. The 12 communities in the joint venture include seven from UDR/MetLife I, which was formed in November 2010, while the remaining five operating communities have been newly acquired by UDR/MetLife II. The newly acquired communities, collectively known as Columbus Square, are recently developed, high-rise apartment buildings located on the Upper West Side of Manhattan and were purchased for \$630 million.

The Company serves as the general partner for UDR/MetLife II and earns property management, asset management and financing fees.

With the closing of UDR/MetLife II, the original joint venture between the parties, UDR/MetLife I, currently includes 19 operating properties containing 3,930 homes as well as 10 vacant land parcels. Historical cost of the venture is

\$1.8 billion and the Company's weighted average ownership interest in the UDR/MetLife I operating assets is 12.6% and 4.0% for the land parcels in the venture.

Table of Contents

[This page is intentionally left blank.]

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Partners

United Dominion Realty, L.P.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of United Dominion Realty, L.P. (the Partnership) as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, cash flows, and changes in capital for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Partnership s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Partnership s internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Partnership s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Partnership at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with U. S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects the information set forth therein.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Denver, Colorado

February 27, 2012

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In thousands, except for unit data)

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
ASSETS		
Real estate owned:		
Real estate held for investment	\$ 4,205,298	\$ 3,384,987
Less: accumulated depreciation	(976,358)	(802,344)
Real estate held for investment, net	3,228,940	2,582,643
Held for sale (net of accumulated depreciation of \$0 and \$81,739)		239,458
Total real estate owned, net of accumulated depreciation	3,228,940	2,822,101
Cash and cash equivalents	704	920
Restricted cash	12,568	8,022
Deferred financing costs, net	8,184	7,465
Other assets	41,771	22,887
Total assets	\$ 3,292,167	\$ 2,861,395
LIABILITIES AND CAPITAL		
Secured debt	\$ 1,189,645	\$ 1,014,459
Secured debt real estate held for sale		55,602
Notes payable due to General Partner	88,771	78,271
Real estate taxes payable	5,280	5,245
Accrued interest payable	1,886	518
Security deposits and prepaid rent	16,498	13,158
Distributions payable	39,840	33,559
Deferred gains on the sale of depreciable property	63,838	63,838
Accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other liabilities	33,040	35,122
Total liabilities	1,438,798	1,299,772
Capital:		
Partners capital:		
Operating partnership units: 184,281,253 OP units outstanding at December 31, 2011 and 179,909,408 at December 31, 2010		
General partner: 110,883 OP units outstanding at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010	1,293	1,363
Limited partners: 184,170,370 OP units outstanding at December 31, 2011 and 179,798,525 OP units outstanding at December 31, 2010	2,040,401	2,046,380
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(6,902)	(5,502)

Total partners capital	2,034,792	2,042,241
Receivable due from General Partner	(193,584)	(492,709)
Non-controlling interest	12,161	12,091
Total capital	1,853,369	1,561,623
Total liabilities and capital	\$ 3,292,167	\$ 2,861,395

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(In thousands, except per unit data)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
REVENUES			
Rental income	\$ 367,245	\$ 319,089	\$ 322,456
Non-property income:			
Other income			5,695
Total revenues	367,245	319,089	328,151
EXPENSES			
Rental expenses:			
Real estate taxes and insurance	41,233	39,389	39,436
Personnel	28,240	26,239	25,288
Utilities	19,540	16,676	16,034
Repair and maintenance	18,220	16,762	15,908
Administrative and marketing	7,521	6,737	6,906
Property management	10,099	8,775	8,868
Other operating expenses	5,317	4,949	4,868
Real estate depreciation and amortization	191,926	152,789	152,807
Interest expense:			
Interest on secured debt	51,827	48,716	43,282
Interest on note payable due to General Partner	990	424	5,028
General and administrative	26,370	23,291	16,886
Total expenses	401,283	344,747	335,311
Loss from continuing operations	(34,038)	(25,658)	(7,160)
Income from discontinued operations	64,267	4,964	3,115
Consolidated net income/(loss)	30,229	(20,694)	(4,045)
Net income attributable to non-controlling interests	(70)	(41)	(131)
Net income/(loss) attributable to OP unitholders	\$ 30,159	\$ (20,735)	\$ (4,176)
Earnings per OP unit- basic and diluted:			
Loss from continuing operations attributable to OP unitholders	\$ (0.19)	\$ (0.14)	\$ (0.04)
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.02
Income/(loss) attributable to OP unitholders	\$ 0.17	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.02)
Weighted average OP units outstanding	182,448	179,909	178,817
<i>See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.</i>			

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands, except for unit data)

	Year ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Operating Activities			
Consolidated net income/(loss)	\$ 30,229	\$ (20,694)	\$ (4,045)
Adjustments to reconcile net income/(loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	197,964	166,480	166,773
Net gain on the sale of depreciable property	(60,065)	(152)	(1,475)
Write off of bad debt	2,040	1,760	2,216
Amortization of deferred financing costs and other	2,425	1,652	2,195
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Increase in operating assets	(11,516)	(3,705)	(3,340)
(Decrease)/increase in operating liabilities	(5,006)	1,263	(4,991)
Net cash provided by operating activities	156,071	146,604	157,333
Investing Activities			
Proceeds from sale of real estate investments, net	138,693		
Acquisition of real estate assets (net of liabilities assumed) and initial capital expenditures	(287,075)		
Cash paid in nonmonetary asset exchange	(15,407)		
Proceeds from note receivable			200,000
Capital expenditures and other major improvements real estate assets, net of escrow reimbursement	(63,191)	(59,458)	(70,372)
Net cash (used in)/provided by investing activities	(226,980)	(59,458)	129,628
Financing Activities			
Advances from/(payments to) General Partner	175,964	(31,359)	(550,392)
Proceeds from the issuance of secured debt	2,074	11,326	340,608
Payments on secured debt	(96,902)	(60,686)	(64,455)
Payment of financing costs	(3,143)	(391)	(4,073)
OP unit redemption		(327)	
Distributions paid to partnership unitholders	(7,300)	(5,231)	(11,797)
Net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities	70,693	(86,668)	(290,109)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	(216)	478	(3,148)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	920	442	3,590
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 704	\$ 920	\$ 442

Supplemental Information:

Interest paid during the year, net of amounts capitalized	\$	58,623	\$	51,584	\$	46,029
Non-cash transactions:						
Properties acquired, including intangibles in asset exchange		178,353				
Properties disposed in asset exchange, net of accumulated depreciation		139,725				
OP Units issued in partial consideration for property acquisition		111,034				
Secured debt assumed in the acquisitions of properties, including asset exchange		247,805				
Secured debt transferred in asset exchange		55,356				
Fair market value adjustment of secured debt assumed in acquisitions of properties, including asset exchange		21,915				

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN CAPITAL
(In thousands)

	Class A		UDR, Inc.			Out- Accumulated		Receivable due from General Partner	Non-Controlling Interest	Total
	Limited Partner	Limited Partners	Limited Partner	General Partner	Performance Share	Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss)	Partnership Capital			
Balance, December 31, 2008	\$ 22,310	\$ 78,685	\$ 2,246,794	\$ 1,452	\$ 1,458	\$ (4,874)	\$ 2,345,825	\$ (375,124)	\$ 12,049	\$ 1,982,750
Distributions	(2,328)	(3,600)	(146,954)	(94)			(152,976)			(152,976)
Issuance of OP Units through Special Dividend	1,568	5,691		100			7,359	153,611		160,970
Forfeitures-OPPS units	14	34	1,409	1	(1,458)					
OP Unit Redemptions for common shares of UDR		(23,308)	23,308							
Adjustment to reflect limited partners capital at redemption value	7,274	12,218	(19,492)							
Other comprehensive income/(loss):										
Unrealized gain on derivative financial instruments						1,721	1,721			1,721
Net loss	(41)	(98)	(4,034)	(3)			(4,176)		131	(4,045)
						1,721				(2,324)

Total comprehensive income/(loss)									
Net change in receivable due from General Partner							(366,672)		(366,672)
Balance, December 31, 2009	28,797	69,622	2,101,031	1,456	(3,153)	2,197,753	(588,185)	12,180	1,621,748
Distributions OP Unit	(2,328)	(2,819)	(127,201)	(80)		(132,428)			(132,428)
Redemptions for common shares of UDR		(18,214)	18,214						
OP Unit Redemptions for cash		(327)	327						
Adjustment to reflect limited partners capital at redemption value	14,932	30,019	(44,951)						
Change in UDR, L.P. non-controlling interest								(130)	(130)
Other comprehensive income/(loss):									
Unrealized loss on derivative financial instruments					(2,349)	(2,349)			(2,349)
Net loss	(202)	(423)	(20,097)	(13)		(20,735)		41	(20,694)
Total comprehensive loss					(2,349)				(23,043)

Net change in receivable due from General Partner							95,476		95,476
Balance, December 31, 2010	41,199	77,858	1,927,323	1,363	(5,502)	2,042,241	(492,709)	12,091	1,561,623
Distributions OP Unit Redemptions for common shares of UDR	(2,328)	(4,973)	(139,853)	(88)		(147,242)			(147,242)
		(287)	287						
OP Units issued for acquisitions of real estate		111,034				111,034			111,034
Adjustment to reflect limited partners capital at redemption value	4,809	7,621	(12,430)						
Change in UDR, L.P. non-controlling interest									
Other comprehensive income/(loss):									
Unrealized loss on derivative financial instruments					(1,400)	(1,400)			(1,400)
Net income	287	1,255	28,599	18		30,159		70	30,229
Total comprehensive (loss)/income					(1,400)				28,829
Net change in receivable due from General Partner							299,125		299,125

Balance, December 31, 2011	\$ 43,967	\$ 192,508	\$ 1,803,926	\$ 1,293	\$	\$ (6,902)	\$ 2,034,792	\$ (193,584)	\$ 12,161	\$ 1,853,369
---	------------------	-------------------	---------------------	-----------------	-----------	-------------------	---------------------	---------------------	------------------	---------------------

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2011

1. CONSOLIDATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION**Consolidation and Basis of Presentation**

United Dominion Realty, L.P. (UDR, L.P. , the Operating Partnership , we or our) is a Delaware limited partnership that owns, acquires, renovates, develops, redevelops, manages, and disposes of multifamily apartment communities generally located in high barrier-to-entry markets located in the United States. The high barrier-to-entry markets are characterized by limited land for new construction, difficult and lengthy entitlement process, expensive single-family home prices and significant employment growth potential. UDR, L.P. is a subsidiary of UDR, Inc. (UDR or the General Partner), a real estate investment trust under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, and through which UDR conducts a significant portion of its business. During the year ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, rental revenues of the Operating Partnership represented of 53%, 56%, and 59% of the General Partner's consolidated rental revenues, respectively. At December 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership's apartment portfolio consisted of 77 communities located in 17 markets consisting of 23,160 apartment homes.

Interests in UDR, L.P. are represented by Operating Partnership Units (OP Units). The Operating Partnership's net income is allocated to the partners, which is initially based on their respective distributions made during the year and secondly, their percentage interests. Distributions are made in accordance with the terms of the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of United Dominion Realty, L.P. (the Operating Partnership Agreement), on a per unit basis that is generally equal to the dividend per share on UDR's common stock, which is publicly traded on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the ticker symbol UDR .

As of December 31, 2011, there were 184,281,253 OP units in the Operating Partnership outstanding, of which 174,859,951 or 94.9% were owned by UDR and affiliated entities and 9,421,302 or 5.1%, which were owned by non-affiliated limited partners. There were 179,909,408 OP units in the Operating Partnership outstanding as of December 31, 2010 of which, 174,847,440 or 97.2% were owned by UDR and affiliated entities and 5,061,968 or 2.8%, which were owned by non-affiliated limited partners. See Note 9, *Capital Structure*.

As sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, UDR owned 110,883 general partnership interest units or 0.06% of the total OP Units outstanding as of December 31, 2011 and 2010. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, there were 184,170,370 and 179,798,525, respectively, OP units outstanding of limited partnership interest, of which 1,751,671 were Class A Limited Partnership OP units. UDR owned 174,749,068 or 94.9% and 174,736,557 or 97.2% at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The remaining 9,421,302 or 5.1% and 5,061,968 or 2.8% OP units outstanding of limited partnership interest were held by non-affiliated partners at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, of which 1,751,671 were Class A Limited Partnership units.

Basis of presentation

The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements consists of the Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries. Profits and losses are allocated in accordance with the terms of the Operating Partnership agreement. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The Operating Partnership evaluated subsequent events through the date its financial statements were issued. Except as disclosed in Note 13, *Subsequent Event*, no other recognized or non-recognized subsequent events were noted.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-05, *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220)*, which provides that an entity has the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. This ASU eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the consolidated statement of changes in capital. The ASU does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. This requirement is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011 for the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership does not expect a material impact on its consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows as a result of this new guidance.

The FASB recently issued ASU Update No. 2011-12, *Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05*. The amendments are being made to allow the FASB time to redeliberate whether to present on the face of the financial statements the effects of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the components of net income and other comprehensive income for all periods presented. All other requirements in ASU 2011-05 are not affected by ASU 2011-12, including the requirement to report comprehensive income either in a single continuous financial statement or in two separate but consecutive financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, *Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS (ASC 820)*, which clarifies Topic 820, but also includes some instances where a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or disclosing information about fair value measurements has changed. This ASU results in common principles and requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements in accordance with U.S. GAAP and IFRS. This is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011 for the Operating Partnership. The Operating Partnership does not expect a material impact on its consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows as a result of this new guidance.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-29, *Business Combinations (Topic 805): Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations (ASU 2010-29)*, which addresses diversity in practice about the interpretation of the pro forma revenue and earnings disclosure requirements for business combinations. The amendments in ASU 2010-29 specify that if a public entity presents comparative financial statements, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination(s) that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. The amendments in ASU 2010-29 also expand the supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. The Operating Partnership adopted the requirements of ASU 2010-29, which were effective prospectively for the Operating Partnership's business combinations occurring during the year ended December 31, 2011. See Note 3, *Real Estate Owned*, for these disclosures.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, *Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements* an amendment to ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASU 2010-06)*. This amendment provides for more robust disclosures about (1) the different classes of assets and liabilities measured at fair value, (2) the valuation techniques and inputs used, (3) the activity in Level 3 fair value measurements, and (4) the transfers between Levels 1, 2, and 3. With the exception for the requirement to disclose activity in Level 3 fair value

measurements, which include purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the rollforward activity, ASU 2010-06 was effective for the Operating Partnership for our fiscal year beginning in January 1, 2010. Disclosures of rollforward activity in Level 3 fair value measurements was effective for the Operating Partnership for the interim periods within and for the fiscal year beginning in January 1, 2011, and did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

Real estate

Real estate assets held for investment are carried at historical cost and consist of land, buildings and improvements, furniture, fixtures and equipment and other costs incurred during their development, acquisition and redevelopment. Expenditures for ordinary repair and maintenance costs are charged to expense as incurred. Expenditures for improvements, renovations, and replacements related to the acquisition and/or improvement of real estate assets are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives if the expenditures qualify as a betterment or the life of the related asset will be substantially extended beyond the original life expectancy.

The Operating Partnership purchases real estate investment properties and records the tangible and identifiable intangible assets and liabilities acquired based on their estimated fair value. The primary, although not only, identifiable intangible asset associated with our portfolio is the value of existing lease agreements. When recording the acquisition of a community, we first assign fair value to the estimated intangible value of the existing lease agreements and then to the estimated value of the land, building and fixtures assuming the community is vacant. The Operating Partnership estimates the intangible value of the lease agreements by determining the lost revenue associated with a hypothetical lease-up. Depreciation on the building is based on the expected useful life of the asset and the in-place leases are amortized over their remaining average contractual life. Property acquisition costs are expensed as incurred.

Quarterly or when changes in circumstances warrant, the Operating Partnership will assess our real estate portfolio for indicators of impairment. In determining whether the Operating Partnership has indicators of impairment in our real estate assets, we assess whether the long-lived asset's carrying value exceeds the community's undiscounted future cash flows, which is representative of projected net operating income (NOI) plus the residual value of the community. Our future cash flow estimates are based upon historical results adjusted to reflect our best estimate of future market and operating conditions and our estimated holding periods. If such indicators of impairment are present and the carrying value exceeds the undiscounted cash flows of the community, an impairment loss is recognized equal to the excess of the carrying amount of the asset over its estimated fair value. Our estimates of fair market value represent our best estimate based primarily upon unobservable inputs related to rental rates, operating costs, growth rates, discount rates and capitalization rates, industry trends and reference to market rates and transactions.

For long-lived assets to be disposed of, impairment losses are recognized when the fair value of the asset less estimated cost to sell is less than the carrying value of the asset. Properties classified as real estate held for sale generally represent properties that are actively marketed or contracted for sale with the closing expected to occur within the next twelve months. Real estate held for sale is carried at the lower of cost, net of accumulated depreciation, or fair value, less the cost to sell, determined on an asset-by-asset basis. Expenditures for ordinary repair and maintenance costs on held for sale properties are charged to expense as incurred. Expenditures for improvements, renovations, and replacements related to held for sale properties are capitalized at cost. Depreciation is not recorded on real estate held for sale.

Depreciation is computed on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the related assets which are 35 years for buildings, 10 to 35 years for major improvements, and 3 to 10 years for furniture, fixtures, equipment, and other assets. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the amount of our net intangible assets which are reflected in Other assets was \$15.7 million and \$4.1 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the amount of our net intangible liabilities which are reflected in Accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other liabilities was \$4.3 million and \$3.3 million in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The balances are being amortized over the remaining life of the respective intangible.

All development and redevelopment projects and related costs are capitalized during periods in which activities necessary to get the property ready for its intended use are in progress. As each building in a project is completed and becomes available for lease-up, the Operating Partnership ceases capitalization and the assets are depreciated over their estimated useful lives. The costs of projects which include interest, real estate taxes, insurance, and allocated development overhead related to support costs for personnel working directly on the development site are capitalized

during the construction period. During 2011, 2010, and 2009, total interest capitalized pertaining to redevelopment projects and land held for future development was \$1.8 million, \$1.3 million, and \$444,000, respectively.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash on hand and demand deposits with financial institutions. Restricted cash consists of escrow deposits held by lenders for real estate taxes, insurance and replacement reserves, and security deposits.

Derivative financial instruments

The General Partner utilizes derivative financial instruments to manage interest rate risk and generally designates these financial instruments as cash flow hedges. Derivative financial instruments associated with the Operating Partnership's allocation of the General Partner's debt are recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheets as either an asset or liability and measured quarterly at their fair value. The changes in fair value for the General Partner's cash flow hedges allocated to the Operating Partnership that are deemed effective are reflected in other comprehensive income and for non-designated derivative financial instruments in earnings. The ineffective component of cash flow hedges, if any, is recorded in earnings.

Non-controlling interests

The noncontrolling interests represent the General Partner's interests in certain consolidated subsidiaries and are presented in the capital section of the consolidated balance sheets since these interests are not convertible or redeemable into any other ownership interests of the Operating Partnership.

Revenue and real estate sales gain recognition

Rental income related to leases is recognized on an accrual basis when due from residents in accordance with FASB ASC 840, *Leases* and SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, *Revenue Recognition*. Rental payments are generally due on a monthly basis and recognized when earned. The Operating Partnership recognizes interest income, management and other fees and incentives when earned, fixed and determinable.

The Operating Partnership accounts for sales of real estate in accordance with FASB ASC 360-20, *Real Estate Sales*. For sale transactions meeting the requirements for full accrual profit recognition, such as the Operating Partnership no longer having continuing involvement in the property, we remove the related assets and liabilities from our Consolidated Balance Sheets and record the gain or loss in the period the transaction closes. For sale transactions that do not meet the full accrual sale criteria due to our continuing involvement, we evaluate the nature of the continuing involvement and account for the transaction under an alternate method of accounting.

Sales to entities in which we or our General Partner retain or otherwise own an interest are accounted for as partial sales. If all other requirements for recognizing profit under the full accrual method have been satisfied and no other forms of continuing involvement are present, we recognize profit proportionate to the outside interest in the buyer and defer the gain on the interest we or our General Partner retain. The Operating Partnership recognizes any deferred gain when the property is sold to a third party. In transactions accounted for as partial sales, we determine if the buyer of the majority equity interest in the venture was provided a preference as to cash flows in either an operating or a capital waterfall. If a cash flow preference has been provided, we recognize profit only to the extent that proceeds from the sale of the majority equity interest exceed costs related to the entire property.

Discontinued operations

For properties accounted for under FASB ASC 360, *Property, Plant and Equipment* (Topic 360), the results of operations for those properties sold during the year or classified as held-for-sale at the end of the current year are classified as discontinued operations in the current and prior periods. Further, to meet the discontinued operations criteria, the Operating Partnership or related parties will not have any significant continuing involvement in the ownership or operation of the property after the sale or disposition. Once a property is classified as held-for-sale, depreciation is no longer recorded. However, if the Operating Partnership determines that the property no longer meets the criteria for held-for-sale, the Company will recapture any unrecorded depreciation on the property (see Note 4, *Discontinued Operations* for further discussion).

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

Earnings per Operating Partnership Unit

Basic earnings per OP Unit is computed by dividing net (loss)/income attributable to general and limited partner unitholders by the weighted average number of general and limited partner units (including redeemable OP Units) outstanding during the year. Diluted earnings per OP Unit reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue OP Units were exercised or converted into OP Units or resulted in the issuance of OP Units and then shared in the earnings of the Operating Partnership. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, there were no dilutive instruments, and therefore, diluted earnings per OP Unit and basic earnings per OP Unit are the same. See Note 9, *Capital Structure*, for further discussion on redemption rights of OP Units.

Allocation of General and Administrative Expenses

The Operating Partnership is charged directly for general and administrative expenses it incurs. The Operating Partnership is also charged with other general and administrative expenses that have been allocated by the General Partner to each of its subsidiaries, including the Operating Partnership, based on each subsidiary's pro-rata portion of UDR's total apartment homes. (See Note 6, *Related Party Transactions*.)

Income taxes

The taxable income or loss of the Operating Partnership is reported on the tax returns of the partners. Accordingly, no provision has been made in the accompanying financial statements for federal or state income taxes on income that is passed through to the partners. However, any state or local revenue, excise or franchise taxes that result from the operating activities of the Operating Partnership are recorded at the entity level. The Operating Partnership's tax returns are subject to examination by federal and state taxing authorities. Net income for financial reporting purposes differs from the net income for income tax reporting purposes primarily due to temporary differences, principally real estate depreciation and the tax deferral of certain gains on property sales. The differences in depreciation result from differences in the book and tax basis of certain real estate assets and the differences in the methods of depreciation and lives of the real estate assets.

The Operating Partnership follows the accounting guidance within ASC Topic 740, *Income Taxes*, with respect to how uncertain tax positions should be recognized, measured, presented, and disclosed in the financial statements. The guidance requires the accounting and disclosure of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in the course of preparing the Operating Partnership's tax returns to determine whether the tax positions are more-likely-than-not of being sustained by the applicable tax authority. Tax positions not deemed to meet the more-likely-than-not threshold would be recorded as a tax benefit or expense in the current year. Management of the Operating Partnership is required to analyze all open tax years, as defined by the statute of limitations, for all major jurisdictions, which include federal and certain states. The Operating Partnership has no examinations in progress and none are expected at this time.

Management of the Operating Partnership has reviewed all open tax years (2008- 2010) and major jurisdictions, and concluded there is no tax liability resulting from unrecognized tax benefits relating to uncertain income tax positions taken or expected to be taken in future tax returns.

Advertising costs

All advertising costs are expensed as incurred and reported on the Consolidated Statements of Operations within the line item Administrative and marketing. During 2011, 2010, and 2009, total advertising expense from continuing and discontinued operations was \$2.5 million, \$2.3 million, and \$2.4 million, respectively.

Comprehensive income

Comprehensive income, which is defined as all changes in capital during each period except for those resulting from investments by or distributions to partners, is displayed in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Changes in Capital. For the three years ended December 31, 2011, other comprehensive income/(loss) consisted of the change in the fair value of the General Partner's effective cash flow hedges that are allocated to the Operating Partnership.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

Use of estimates

The preparation of these financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent liabilities at the dates of the financial statements and the amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual amounts realized or paid could differ from those estimates.

Reclassifications

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current financial statement presentation.

Market concentration risk

The Operating Partnership is subject to increased exposure from economic and other competitive factors specific to those markets where it holds a significant percentage of the carrying value of its real estate portfolio at December 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership held greater than 10% of the carrying value of its real estate portfolio in the Orange County, California, San Francisco, California, Metropolitan DC; and New York, New York markets.

3. REAL ESTATE OWNED

Real estate assets owned by the Operating Partnership consists of income producing operating properties and land held for future development. As of December 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership owned and consolidated 77 communities in 8 states plus the District of Columbia totaling 23,160 apartment homes. The following table summarizes the carrying amounts for our real estate owned (at cost) as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 (*dollar amounts in thousands*):

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Land	\$ 1,021,526	\$ 882,538
Depreciable property held and used:		
Buildings and improvements	3,035,252	2,367,044
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	120,427	109,390
Held for sale:		
Land		107,386
Buildings and improvements		206,877
Furniture, fixtures and equipment		6,934
Under development:		
Land	16,385	
Construction in progress	10,408	
Land held for future development	1,300	26,015
Real estate owned	4,205,298	3,706,184
Accumulated depreciation	(976,358)	(884,083)
Real estate owned, net	\$ 3,228,940	\$ 2,822,101

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

The following table summarizes the Operating Partnership's real estate community acquisitions for the year ended December 31, 2011 (*dollars in thousands*).

Property Name	Market	Acquisition Date	Units	Purchase Price (a)
10 Hanover Square	New York, NY	April 2011	493	\$ 259,750
14 North	Boston, MA	April 2011	387	64,500
Inwood West	Boston, MA	April 2011	446	108,000
95 Wall	New York, NY	August 2011	507	328,914
			1,833	\$ 761,164

(a) The purchase price is the contractual sales price by the Operating Partnership and the third party and does not include any costs that the Operating Partnership incurred in the pursuit of the property or the recorded difference between the agreed upon value and the fair value of the OP Units issued as part of the consideration paid.

In August 2011, UDR, through the Operating Partnership closed on the acquisition of 95 Wall. The community was acquired for \$328.9 million, which included the issuance of 1,802,239 OP Units of the Operating Partnership. The OP Units were deemed to have an agreed upon value equal to the greater of \$25.00 or the volume weighted average closing price per share of the Company's common stock for the 10 day period ended on (and including) the date one business day prior to the settlement date. For purchase price accounting purposes, the fair value of these OP units was \$26.71 at the settlement date.

On April 1, 2011, UDR, through the Operating Partnership closed on the acquisition of 10 Hanover Square. The community was acquired for \$259.8 million, which included assumed debt with a fair value of \$208.1 million, and the issuance of 2,569,606 OP Units of the Operating Partnership. The OP Units were deemed to have an agreed upon value equal to the greater of \$25.00 or the volume weighted average closing price per share of the Company's common stock for the 10 day period ended on (and including) the date one business day prior to the settlement date. For purchase price accounting purposes, the fair value of these OP units was \$24.47 at the settlement date.

On April 5, 2011, UDR and the Operating Partnership completed a \$500.0 million asset exchange with an unaffiliated third party whereby UDR acquired 388 Beale, and the Operating Partnership acquired 14 North, and Inwood West. The communities acquired were valued at \$263.0 million representing their estimated fair value. The Company and the Operating Partnership paid \$28.1 million of cash and assumed debt with a fair value of \$61.7 million. UDR sold two multifamily apartment communities (434 homes) and the Operating Partnership sold four multifamily apartment communities (984 homes) located in California as part of the transaction. (See Note 4, *Discontinued Operations*, for further discussion of real estate community dispositions.)

The Operating Partnership records the fair value of the tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired based on their estimated fair value. When recording the acquisition of a community, the Operating Partnership first assigns fair value costs to the estimated intangible value of the existing lease agreements and then to the estimated value of the land, building and fixtures assuming the community is vacant. The primary, although not only, identifiable intangible asset associated with our portfolio is the value of existing lease agreements. The Operating Partnership estimates the intangible value of the lease agreements by determining the lost revenue associated with a hypothetical lease-up. Total acquisition value of the communities, including the difference between the agreed upon value of the OP Units and the fair value of the OP Units issued at the acquisition date (if applicable), was recorded \$130.8 million to land;

\$621.2 million to buildings and improvements; \$3.5 million to furniture, fixtures, and equipment; \$30.5 million to intangible assets; \$1.3 million to intangible below market lease liabilities; and \$269.7 million of assumed debt. Operating revenues of \$32.5 million and a loss from operations of \$22.3 million of the acquired properties were included in the Operating Partnership's results of operations from the acquisition dates to December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

The unaudited pro forma information below summarizes the Operating Partnership's combined results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 as though the above acquisitions were completed on January 1, 2010. The information for the years ended December 31, 2011, and 2010 includes pro forma results for the portion of the period prior to the acquisition date and actual results from the date of acquisition through the end of the period. The supplemental pro forma operating data is not necessarily indicative of what the actual results of operations would have been assuming the transaction had been completed as set forth above, nor do they purport to represent the Operating Partnership's results of operations for future periods (*in thousands except for per share amounts*).

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Pro forma revenues	\$ 389,303	\$ 386,481
Pro forma income/(loss) attributable to OP unitholders	20,760	(31,426)
Pro forma earnings per OP unit - basic:		
Net income/(loss) attributable to OP unitholders	\$ 0.11	\$ (0.17)
Earnings per common share - diluted:		
Net income/(loss) attributable to OP unitholders	\$ 0.11	\$ (0.17)

The Operating Partnership incurred \$2.3 million of acquisition related costs during the years ended December 31, 2011. These expenses are classified on the Consolidated Statements of Operations line item entitled "General and administrative".

The Operating Partnership did not have any acquisitions and did not incur any acquisition related costs during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

4. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

The results of operations for properties sold during the year or designated as held-for-sale at the end of the year are classified as discontinued operations for all periods presented. Properties classified as real estate held for sale generally represent properties that are actively marketed or contracted for sale with the closing expected to occur within the next twelve months. The application of ASC Topic 360 does not have an impact on net income attributable to unit holders. The application of ASC Topic 360 results in the reclassification of the operating results of all properties sold or classified as held for sale through December 31, 2011, in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, and the reclassification of the assets and liabilities within the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, if applicable.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership sold eight apartment home communities (2,024 homes), which included four apartment home communities (984 homes) sold in conjunction with an asset exchange in April 2011, for a total sales price of \$299.6 million. During the year ended December 31, 2011, UDR recognized gains on the sale of apartment home communities for financial reporting purposes of \$60.1 million, which is also included in discontinued operations and classified within the line item entitled "Income from discontinued operations". At December 31, 2011, UDR did not have any assets that met the criteria to be classified as held for sale.

For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Operating Partnership did not dispose of any communities.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

The following is a summary of income from discontinued operations for each of the three years ended December 31, (dollars in thousands):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Rental income	\$ 19,812	\$ 31,305	\$ 30,600
Non-property income		1,695	
	19,812	33,000	30,600
Rental expenses	8,045	10,475	8,916
Property management fee	545	861	841
Real estate depreciation	6,038	13,691	13,966
Interest	815	3,082	5,237
Other expenses	167	79	
	15,610	28,188	28,960
Income before net gain on the sale of property	4,202	4,812	1,640
Net gain on the sale of property	60,065	152	1,475
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 64,267	\$ 4,964	\$ 3,115

5. DEBT

Our secured debt instruments generally feature either monthly interest and principal or monthly interest-only payments with balloon payments due at maturity. For purposes of classification in the following table, variable rate debt with a derivative financial instrument designated as a cash flow hedge is deemed as fixed rate debt due to the Operating Partnership having effectively established the interest rate for the underlying debt instrument. Secured debt consists of the following as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 (dollars in thousands):

	Principal Outstanding		For the Year Ended December 31, 2011		
	December 31,		Weighted	Weighted	Number of
	2011	2010	Average	Average	Communities
			Interest	Years to Maturity	Encumbered
			Rate		
Fixed Rate Debt					
Mortgage notes payable	\$ 457,723	\$ 192,205	5.28%	4.0	7
Tax-exempt secured notes payable		13,325	N/A		
Fannie Mae credit facilities	444,899	560,993	4.95%	6.0	7
Total fixed rate secured debt	902,622	766,523	5.12%	5.0	14
Variable Rate Debt					
Mortgage notes payable	37,415	100,590	0.99%	1.5	2
Tax-exempt secured note payable	27,000	27,000	0.57%	18.2	1

Fannie Mae credit facilities	222,608	175,948	1.84%	4.3	20
Total variable rate secured debt	287,023	303,538	1.61%	5.3	23
Total secured debt	\$ 1,189,645	\$ 1,070,061	4.27%	5.1	37

As of December 31, 2011, the General Partner had secured credit facilities with Fannie Mae with an aggregate commitment of \$1.3 billion with \$1.1 billion outstanding. The Fannie Mae credit facilities are for an initial term of 10 years, bear interest at floating and fixed rates, and certain variable rate facilities can be extended for an additional five years at the General Partner's option. At December 31, 2011, \$744.5 million of the outstanding balance was fixed at a weighted average interest rate of 5.14% and the remaining balance of \$310.5 million on these facilities had a weighted average variable interest rate of 1.63%. \$667.5 million of these credit facilities were allocated to the Operating Partnership at December 31, 2011 based on the ownership of the assets securing the debt.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

The following is information related to the credit facilities allocated to the Operating Partnership:

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
	(dollar amounts in thousands)	
Borrowings outstanding	\$ 667,507	\$ 736,941
Weighted average borrowings during the period ended	721,054	763,040
Maximum daily borrowings during the period	732,423	770,021
Weighted average interest rate during the period ended	4.4%	4.5%
Interest rate at the end of the period	4.1%	4.4%

The Operating Partnership may from time to time acquire properties subject to fixed rate debt instruments. In those situations, management will record the secured debt at its estimated fair value and amortize any difference between the fair value and par to interest expense over the life of the underlying debt instrument. The unamortized fair value adjustment of the fixed rate debt instruments on the Operating Partnership's properties was a net premium/(discount) of \$17.8 million and (\$1.1 million) at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Fixed Rate Debt

Mortgage notes payable. Fixed rate mortgage notes payable are generally due in monthly installments of principal and interest and mature at various dates from December 2012 through May 2019 and carry interest rates ranging from 3.43% to 5.94%.

Secured credit facilities. At December 31, 2011, the General Partner had borrowings against its fixed rate facilities of \$744.5 million of which \$444.9 million was allocated to the Operating Partnership based on the ownership of the assets securing the debt. As of December 31, 2011, the fixed rate Fannie Mae credit facilities allocated to the Operating Partnership had a weighted average fixed interest rate of 4.95%.

Variable Rate Debt

Mortgage notes payable. Variable rate mortgage notes payable are generally due in monthly installments of principal and interest and mature on July 2013. Interest on the variable rate mortgage notes is based on LIBOR plus some basis points, which translated into interest rate of 0.99% at December 31, 2011.

Tax-exempt secured note payable. The variable rate mortgage note payable that secures tax-exempt housing bond issues matures in March 2030. Interest on this note is payable in monthly installments. The mortgage note payable has an interest rate of 0.57% as of December 31, 2011.

Secured credit facilities. At December 31, 2011, the General Partner had borrowings against its variable rate facilities of \$310.5 million of which \$222.6 million was allocated to the Operating Partnership based on the ownership of the assets securing the debt. As of December 31, 2011, the variable rate borrowings under the Fannie Mae credit facilities allocated to the Operating Partnership had a weighted average floating interest rate of 1.84%.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

The aggregate maturities of the Operating Partnership's secured debt due during each of the next five calendar years and thereafter are as follows (*dollars in thousands*):

	Fixed		Variable			Total
	Mortgage Notes	Credit Facilities	Mortgage Notes	Tax Exempt Notes Payable	Credit Facilities	
2012	\$ 54,484	\$ 62,992	\$	\$	\$ 92,715	\$ 210,191
2013	16,538	29,805	37,415			83,758
2014	8,346					8,346
2015	193,209					193,209
2016	131,904					131,904
Thereafter	53,242	352,102		27,000	129,893	562,237
Total	\$ 457,723	\$ 444,899	\$ 37,415	\$ 27,000	\$ 222,608	\$ 1,189,645

Guarantor on Unsecured Debt

At December 31, 2010, the Operating Partnership was a guarantor on the General Partner's unsecured credit facility, with an aggregate borrowing capacity of \$600 million (\$31.8 million outstanding at December 31, 2010). On October 25, 2011, the Operating Partnership issued a guarantee in conjunction with a \$900 million unsecured revolving credit facility entered into by the General Partner. The facility replaced the General Partner's \$600 million credit facility. At December 31, 2011, the outstanding balance under the \$900 million unsecured credit facility was \$421.0 million.

The Operating Partnership is also a guarantor on the General Partner's \$250 million term loan which matures January 2016, a \$100 million term loan which matures December 2016, and \$300 million of medium-term notes due June 2018. Subsequent to December 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership issued an additional guarantee on medium term notes issued by the General Partner. See Note 13, *Subsequent Event*.

6. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**Receivable due from the General Partner**

The Operating Partnership participates in the General Partner's central cash management program, wherein all the Operating Partnership's cash receipts are remitted to the General Partner and all cash disbursements are funded by the General Partner. In addition, other miscellaneous costs such as administrative expenses are incurred by the General Partner on behalf of the Operating Partnership. As a result of these various transactions between the Operating Partnership and the General Partner, the Operating Partnership had net receivable balances of \$193.6 million and \$492.7 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, which are reflected as a reduction of capital on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Allocation of General and Administrative Expenses

The General Partner provides various general and administrative and other overhead services for the Operating Partnership including legal assistance, acquisitions analysis, marketing and advertising, and allocates these expenses to the Operating Partnership first on the basis of direct usage when identifiable, with the remainder allocated based on its pro-rata portion of UDR's total apartment homes. During the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, the general and administrative expenses and property management expenses, allocated to the Operating Partnership by UDR were \$32.6 million, \$32.4 million, and \$25.9 million, respectively, and are included in General and Administrative expenses and Property management expenses on the consolidated statements of operations. In the opinion of management, this method of allocation reflects the level of services received by the Operating Partnership from the General Partner.

Management Fee

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership entered into a management agreement with a Taxable REIT Subsidiary (TRS) of the General Partner. The TRS charges the Operating Partnership 2.75% of gross rental revenues. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership incurred \$3.7 million of management fees under the management agreement.

Guaranty by the General Partner

The Operating Partnership provided a bottom dollar guaranty to certain limited partners as part of their original contribution to the Operating Partnership. The guaranty protects the tax basis of the underlying contribution and is reflected on the OP unitholder's Schedule K-1 tax form. The guaranty was made in the form of a note payable issued by the Operating Partnership to the General Partner at an annual interest rate of 1.14% and 0.593% at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Interest payments are made monthly and the note is due December 31, 2012. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the note payable due to the General Partner was \$83.3 million and \$78.3 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership also provided a guaranty in conjunction with 1,802,239 OP Units issued in partial consideration to the seller for the acquisition of an operating community. The guaranty was made in the form of a note payable issued by the Operating Partnership to the General Partner at an annual interest rate of 5.337%. Interest payments are due monthly and the note matures on August 31, 2021. At issuance and at December 31, 2011, the note payable due to the General Partner was \$5.5 million.

7. FAIR VALUE OF DERIVATIVES AND FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Fair value is based on the price that would be received to sell an asset or the exit price that would be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. A three-level valuation hierarchy prioritizes observable and unobservable inputs used to measure fair value. The fair value hierarchy consists of three broad levels, which are described below:

- Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the entity has the ability to access.
- Level 2 Observable inputs other than prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated with observable market data.
- Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets and liabilities. This includes certain pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques that use significant unobservable inputs.

The estimated fair values of the Operating Partnership's financial instruments either recorded or disclosed on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 are summarized as follows (*dollars in thousands*):

	December 31, 2011	Fair Value at December 31, 2011 Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets or Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Description:				
Derivatives- Interest rate contracts (b)	\$ 71	\$ 71	\$ 71	\$
Total assets	\$ 71	\$ 71	\$ 71	\$
Derivatives- Interest rate contracts (b)	\$ 6,207	\$ 6,207	\$ 6,207	\$
Secured debt instruments- fixed rate: (a)				
Mortgage notes payable	495,412			495,412
Fannie Mae credit facilities	462,621			462,621
Secured debt instruments- variable rate: (a)				
Mortgage notes payable	37,415			37,415

Tax-exempt secured notes payable	27,000			27,000
Fannie Mae credit facilities	222,608			222,608
Total liabilities	\$ 1,251,263	\$	\$ 6,207	\$ 1,245,056

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

			Fair Value at December 31, 2010 Using		
			Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets or Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Description:	December 31, 2010				
Derivatives Interest rate contracts (b)	\$ 376	\$	\$	\$ 376	\$
Total assets	\$ 376	\$	\$	\$ 376	\$
Derivatives- Interest rate contracts (b)	\$ 5,111	\$	\$	5,111	\$
Contingent purchase consideration (c)	5,402				5,402
Secured debt instruments- fixed rate: (a)					
Mortgage notes payable	205,750				205,750
Tax-exempt secured notes payable	13,885				13,885
Fannie Mae credit facilities	576,069				576,069
Secured debt instruments- variable rate: (a)					
Mortgage notes payable	100,590				100,590
Tax-exempt secured notes payable	27,000				27,000
Fannie Mae credit facilities	175,948				175,948
Total liabilities	\$ 1,109,755	\$	\$	5,111	\$ 1,104,644

(a) See Note 5, *Debt*

(b) See Note 8, *Derivatives and Hedging Activity*

(c) In the first quarter of 2010, the Operating Partnership accrued a liability of \$6.0 million related to a contingent purchase consideration on one of its properties. The contingent consideration was determined based on the fair market value of the related asset which is estimated using Level 3 inputs utilized in a third party appraisal. The Operating Partnership paid approximately \$635,000 of the liability during the year ended December 31, 2010. The remaining balance of \$5.4 million was paid during the year ended December 31, 2011 in conjunction with the sale of the property.

Financial Instruments Carried at Fair Value

The fair values of interest rate swaps are determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash receipts (or payments) and the discounted expected variable cash payments (or receipts). The variable cash payments (or receipts) are based on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves. The fair values of interest rate options are determined using the market standard methodology of discounting the future expected cash receipts that would occur if variable interest rates rise above the strike rate of the caps. The variable interest rates used in the calculation of projected receipts on the cap are based on an expectation of future interest rates derived from observable market interest rate curves and volatilities. The Operating Partnership incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Operating Partnership has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts, and guarantees.

Although the Operating Partnership has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties. However, as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Operating Partnership has assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and has determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of its derivatives. As a result, the Operating Partnership has determined that its derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

Financial Instruments Not Carried at Fair Value

At December 31, 2011, the fair values of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, prepaids, real estate taxes payable, accrued interest payable, security deposits and prepaid rent, distributions payable and accounts payable approximated their carrying values because of the short term nature of these instruments. The estimated fair values of other financial instruments were determined by the Operating Partnership using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. Considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data and develop estimated fair values. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Operating Partnership would realize on the disposition of the financial instruments. The use of different market assumptions or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts. The General Partner estimates the fair value of our debt instruments by discounting the remaining cash flows of the debt instrument at a discount rate equal to the replacement market credit spread plus the corresponding treasury yields. Factors considered in determining a replacement market credit spread include general market conditions, borrower specific credit spreads, time remaining to maturity, loan-to-value ratios and collateral quality (Level 3). The Operating Partnership records impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operations when events and circumstances indicate that the assets might be impaired and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by the future operation and disposition of those assets are less than the net book value of those assets. Cash flow estimates are based upon historical results adjusted to reflect management's best estimate of future market and operating conditions and our estimated holding periods. The net book value of impaired assets is reduced to fair value. The General Partner's estimates of fair value represent management's estimates based upon Level 3 inputs such as industry trends and reference to market rates and transactions.

8. DERIVATIVES AND HEDGING ACTIVITY**Risk Management Objective of Using Derivatives**

The Operating Partnership is exposed to certain risk arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The General Partner principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. The General Partner manages economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity, and credit risk primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of its debt funding and through the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, the General Partner enters into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the receipt or payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates. The General Partner's and the Operating Partnership's derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of the General Partner's known or expected cash receipts and its known or expected cash payments principally related to the General Partner's investments and borrowings.

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

The General Partner's objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, the General Partner primarily uses interest rate swaps and caps as part of its interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty in exchange for the General Partner making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount. Interest rate caps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty if interest rates rise above the strike rate on the contract in exchange for an up front premium.

A portion of the General Partner's interest rate derivatives have been allocated to the Operating Partnership based on the General Partner's underlying debt instruments allocated to the Operating Partnership. (See Note 5, *Debt*.)

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and that qualify as cash flow hedges is recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss) and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. During the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, such derivatives were used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with existing variable-rate debt. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives is recognized directly in earnings. During the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the Operating Partnership recorded less than \$1,000 of ineffectiveness in earnings attributable to reset date and index mismatches between the derivative and the hedged item.

Amounts reported in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss) related to derivatives will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the General Partner's variable-rate debt that is allocated to the Operating Partnership. During the next twelve months through December 31, 2012, we estimate that an additional \$2.9 million will be reclassified as an increase to interest expense.

As of December 31, 2011, the Operating Partnership had the following outstanding interest rate derivatives designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk (*dollar amounts in thousands*):

Interest Rate Derivative	Number of Instruments	Notional
Interest rate swaps	4	\$ 172,813
Interest rate caps	5	\$ 245,954

Derivatives not designated as hedges are not speculative and are used to manage the General Partner's exposure to interest rate movements and other identified risks but do not meet the strict hedge accounting requirements of FASB ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*. Changes in the fair value of derivatives not designated in hedging relationships are recorded directly in earnings and resulted in losses of \$204,000 and \$684,000 and a gain of \$538,000 for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

As of December 31, 2011, we had the following outstanding derivatives that were not designated as hedges in qualifying hedging relationships (*dollar amounts in thousands*):

Product	Number of Instruments	Notional
Interest rate caps	1	\$ 79,847

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

Tabular Disclosure of Fair Values of Derivative Instruments on the Balance Sheet

The table below presents the fair value of our derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 (*dollar amounts in thousands*).

	Asset Derivatives			Liability Derivatives		
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value at December 31,		Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value at December 31,	
		2011	2010		2011	2010
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:						
Interest Rate Products	Other Assets	\$ 64	\$ 217	Other Liabilities	\$ 6,207	\$ 5,111
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$ 64	\$ 217		\$ 6,207	\$ 5,111
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:						
Interest Rate Products	Other Assets	\$ 7	\$ 159	Other Liabilities	\$	\$
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		\$ 7	\$ 159		\$	\$

Tabular Disclosure of the Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Operations

The tables below present the effect of the derivative financial instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009 (*dollar amounts in thousands*):

Derivatives in	Amount of Loss Recognized in OCI on			Location of Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)		
	Derivative (Effective Portion) For the Years Ended December 31,				Derivative (Effective Portion) For the Years Ended December 31,		
Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	2011	2010	2009		2011	2010	2009
Interest Rate Products	\$ (6,119)	\$ (6,631)	\$ (2,676)	Interest expense	\$ (4,719)	\$ (4,281)	\$ (4,397)
Total	\$ (6,119)	\$ (4,281)	\$ (2,676)		\$ (4,719)	\$ (6,631)	\$ (4,397)

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative For the Years Ended December 31,		
		2011	2010	2009
Interest Rate Products	Other income / (expense)	\$ (204)	\$ (684)	\$ 538
Total		\$ (204)	\$ (684)	\$ 538

Credit-risk-related Contingent Features

The General Partner has agreements with some of its derivative counterparties that contain a provision where (1) if the General Partner defaults on any of its indebtedness, including default where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, then the General Partner could also be declared in default on its derivative obligations; or (2) the General Partner could be declared in default on its derivative obligations if repayment of the underlying indebtedness is accelerated by the lender due to the General Partner's default on the indebtedness.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

Certain of the General Partner's agreements with its derivative counterparties contain provisions where if there is a change in the General Partner's financial condition that materially changes the General Partner's creditworthiness in an adverse manner, the General Partner may be required to fully collateralize its obligations under the derivative instrument.

The General Partner also has an agreement with a derivative counterparty that incorporates the loan and financial covenant provisions of the General Partner's indebtedness with a lender affiliate of the derivative counterparty. Failure to comply with these covenant provisions would result in the General Partner being in default on any derivative instrument obligations covered by the agreement.

As of December 31, 2011, the fair value of derivatives in a net liability position that were allocated to the Operating Partnership, which includes accrued interest but excludes any adjustment for nonperformance risk, related to these agreements was \$6.5 million. As of December 31, 2011, the General Partner has not posted any collateral related to these agreements. If the General Partner had breached any of these provisions at December 31, 2011, it would have been required to settle its obligations under the agreements at their termination value of \$6.5 million.

9. CAPITAL STRUCTURE**General Partnership Units**

The General Partner has complete discretion to manage and control the operations and business of the Operating Partnership, which includes but is not limited to the acquisition and disposition of real property, construction of buildings and making capital improvements, and the borrowing of funds from outside lenders or UDR and its subsidiaries to finance such activities. The General Partner can generally authorize, issue, sell, redeem or purchase any OP Unit or securities of the Operating Partnership without the approval of the limited partners. The General Partner can also approve, with regard to the issuances of OP units, the class or one or more series of classes, with designations, preferences, participating, optional or other special rights, powers and duties including rights, powers and duties senior to limited partnership interests without approval of any limited partners except holder of Class A Partnership Units. There were 110,883 OP units of general partnership interest at December 31, 2011 and 2010, all of which were held by UDR.

Limited Partnership Units

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, there were 184,170,370 and 179,798,525 OP units outstanding of limited partnership interest, respectively, of which 1,751,671 were Class A Limited Partnership OP units. UDR owned 174,749,068 or 94.9% and 174,736,557 or 97.2% at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The remaining 9,421,302 or 5.1% and 5,061,968 or 2.8% OP units outstanding of limited partnership interest were held by non-affiliated partners at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, of which 1,751,671 were Class A Limited Partnership units.

The limited partners have the right to require the Operating Partnership to redeem all or a portion of the OP Units held by the limited partner at a redemption price equal to and in the form of the Cash Amount (as defined in the Operating Partnership Agreement), provided that such OP Units have been outstanding for at least one year. UDR, as general partner of the Operating Partnership may, in its sole discretion, purchase the OP Units by paying to the limited partner either the Cash Amount or the REIT Share Amount (generally one share of common stock of UDR for each OP Unit), as defined in the Operating Partnership Agreement.

The non-affiliated limited partners' capital is adjusted to redemption value at the end of each reporting period with the corresponding offset against UDR's limited partner capital account based on the redemption rights noted above. The aggregate value upon redemption of the then-outstanding OP Units held by limited partners was \$236.5 million and \$119.1 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, based on the value of UDR's common stock at each period end. A limited partner has no right to receive any distributions from the Operating Partnership on or after the date of redemption of its OP Units.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

Class A Limited Partnership Units

Class A Partnership units have a cumulative, annual, non-compounded preferred return, which is equal to 8% based on a value of \$16.61 per Class A Partnership unit.

Holders of the Class A Partnership Units exclusively possess certain voting rights. The Operating Partnership may not do the following without approval of the holders of the Class A Partnership Units: (i) increase the authorized or issued amount of Class A Partnership Units, (ii) reclassify any other partnership interest into Class A Partnership Units, (iii) create, authorize or issue any obligations or security convertible into or the right to purchase any Class Partnership units, without the approval of the holders of the Class A Partnership Units, (iv) enter into a merger or acquisition, or (v) amend or modify the Agreement of Limited Partnership of the Operating Partnership in a manner that adversely affects the relative rights, preferences or privileges of the Class A Partnership Units.

Allocation of profits and losses

Profit of the Operating Partnership is allocated in the following order: (i) to the General Partner and the Limited Partners in proportion to and up to the amount of cash distributions made during the year, and (ii) to the General Partner and Limited Partners in accordance with their percentage interests. Losses and depreciation and amortization expenses, non-recourse liabilities are allocated to the General Partner and Limited Partners in accordance with their percentage interests. Losses allocated to the Limited Partners are capped to the extent that such an allocation would not cause a deficit in the Limited Partners capital account. Such losses are, therefore, allocated to the General Partner. If any Partner's capital balance were to fall into a deficit any income and gains are allocated to each Partner sufficient to eliminate its negative capital balance.

Out-Performance Programs*Series A Out-Performance Program*

In May 2001, the Board of Directors of UDR approved the Series A Out-Performance Program (the Series A Program) pursuant to which certain executive officers and other key officers of UDR (the Participants) were given the opportunity to invest indirectly in UDR by purchasing interests in a limited liability company (the Series A LLC), the only asset of which is a special class of partnership units of the Operating Partnership (Series A Out-Performance Partnership Shares or Series A OPPSs), for an initial investment of \$1.27 million (the full market value of the Series A OPPSs, at inception, as determined by an independent investment banking firm). The Series A Program measured the cumulative total return on UDR's common stock over a 28-month period beginning February 2001 and ending May 31, 2003.

The Series A Program was designed to provide participants with the possibility of substantial returns on their investment if the cumulative total return on UDR's common stock, measured by the cumulative amount of dividends paid plus share price appreciation during the measurement period, exceeded the greater of (a) the cumulative total return of the Morgan Stanley REIT Index over the same period; and (b) is at least the equivalent of a 30% total return, or 12% annualized.

At the conclusion of the measurement period on May 31, 2003, UDR's total return satisfied these criteria. As a result, the Series A LLC as holder of the Series A OPPSs received distributions and allocations of income and loss from the Operating Partnership equal to the distributions and allocations that were received on 1,853,204 OP Units, which distributions and allocations were distributed to the participants on a pro rata basis based on the ownership of the Series A LLC.

Series E Out-Performance Program

In February 2007, the Board of Directors of UDR approved the Series E Out-Performance Program (the Series E Program) pursuant to which certain executive officers of UDR (the Series E Participants) were given the opportunity to invest indirectly in UDR by purchasing interests in UDR Out-Performance V, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the Series E LLC), the only asset of which is a special class of partnership units of the Operating Partnership (Series E Out-Performance Partnership Shares or Series E OPPSs). The Series E Program was part of the New Out-Performance Program approved by UDR's stockholders in May 2005. The Series E LLC agreed to sell

805,000 membership units to certain members of UDR's senior management at a price of \$1.00 per unit. The aggregate purchase price of \$805,000 for the Series E OPPSs, assuming 100% participation, was based upon the advice of an independent valuation expert. The Series E Program measured the cumulative total return on our common stock over the 36-month period beginning January 1, 2007 and ending December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

The Series E Program was designed to provide participants with the possibility of substantial returns on their investment if the cumulative total return on UDR's common stock, as measured by the cumulative amount of dividends paid plus share price appreciation during the measurement period was at least the equivalent of a 36% total return, or 12% annualized (Minimum Return).

At the conclusion of the measurement period, if UDR's cumulative total return satisfied these criteria, the Series E LLC as holder of the Series E OPPSs would receive (for the indirect benefit of the Series E Participants as holders of interests in the Series E LLC) distributions and allocations of income and loss from the Operating Partnership equal to the distributions and allocations that would have been received on the number of OP Units obtained by:

- i. determining the amount by which the cumulative total return of UDR's common stock over the measurement period exceeds the Minimum Return (such excess being the Excess Return);
- ii. multiplying 2% of the Excess Return by UDR's market capitalization (defined as the average number of shares outstanding over the 36-month period, including common stock, OP Units, common stock equivalents and OP Units); and
- iii. dividing the number obtained in (ii) by the market value of one share of UDR's common stock on the valuation date, computed as the volume-weighted average price per day of the common stock for the 20 trading days immediately preceding the valuation date.

For the Series E OPPSs, the number determined pursuant to clause (ii) above was capped at 0.5% of market capitalization.

If, on the valuation date, the cumulative total return of UDR's common stock did not meet the Minimum Return, then the Series E Participants would forfeit their entire initial investment.

At the conclusion of the measurement period, December 31, 2009, the total cumulative return on UDR's common stock did not meet the minimum return threshold. As a result, there were no payouts under the Series E OPPSs program and the investment made by the holders of the Series E OPPSs was forfeited.

The following table shows OP Unit activity and OP units outstanding during the three years ended December 31, 2011:

	UDR, Inc.				Total
	Class A Limited Partner	Limited Partners	Limited Partner	General Partner	
Ending balance at December 31, 2008	1,617,815	5,705,964	158,736,998	102,410	166,163,187
Issuance of units through Special Dividend	133,856	485,986	13,117,906	8,473	13,746,221
OP redemptions for UDR stock		(1,957,029)	1,957,029		
Ending balance at December 31, 2009	1,751,671	4,234,921	173,811,933	110,883	179,909,408
OP redemptions for UDR cash		(19,076)	19,076		

Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc. - Form 10-K

OP redemptions for UDR stock		(905,548)	905,548		
Ending balance at December 31, 2010	1,751,671	3,310,297	174,736,557	110,883	179,909,408
OP Units issued for acquisitions of real estate		4,371,845			4,371,845
OP redemptions for UDR stock		(12,511)	12,511		
Ending balance at December 31, 2011	1,751,671	7,669,631	174,749,068	110,883	184,281,253

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Commitments

Ground Leases

The Operating Partnership owns five communities which are subject to ground leases expiring between 2019 and 2103. The leases are accounted for in accordance with FASB ASC 840, *Leases*. Future minimum lease payments as of December 31, 2011 are \$4.9 million for each of the years ending December 31, 2012 to 2016, and a total of \$314.5 million for years thereafter. For purposes of our ground lease contracts, the Operating Partnership uses the minimum lease payment, if stated in the agreement. For ground lease agreements where there is a reset provision based on the communities appraised value or consumer price index but does not included a specified minimum lease payment, the Operating Partnership uses the current rent over the remainder of the lease term.

The Operating Partnership incurred \$4.9 million, \$4.7 million, and \$4.6 million of ground rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Contingencies

Litigation and Legal Matters

The Operating Partnership is subject to various legal proceedings and claims arising in the ordinary course of business. The Operating Partnership cannot determine the ultimate liability with respect to such legal proceedings and claims at this time. The General Partner believes that such liability, to the extent not provided for through insurance or otherwise, will not have a material adverse effect on the Operating Partnership's financial condition, results of operations or cash flow.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

11. REPORTABLE SEGMENTS

FASB ASC Topic 280, *Segment Reporting*, requires that segment disclosures present the measure(s) used by the chief operating decision maker to decide how to allocate resources and for purposes of assessing such segments performance. The Operating Partnership has the same chief operating decision maker as that of its parent, the General Partner. The chief operating decision maker consists of several members of UDR's executive management team who use several generally accepted industry financial measures to assess the performance of the business for our reportable operating segments.

The Operating Partnership owns and operates multifamily apartment communities throughout the United States that generate rental and other property related income through the leasing of apartment homes to a diverse base of tenants. The primary financial measures of the Operating Partnership's apartment communities are rental income and net operating income (NOI), and are included in the chief operating decision maker's assessment of UDR's performance on a consolidated basis. Rental income represents gross market rent less adjustments for concessions, vacancy loss and bad debt. NOI is defined as total revenues less direct property operating expenses. The chief operating decision maker of the General Partner utilizes NOI as the key measure of segment profit or loss.

The Operating Partnership's two reportable segments are same communities and non-mature/other communities:

Same communities represent those communities acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to January 1, 2010 and held as of December 31, 2011. A comparison of operating results from the prior year is meaningful as these communities were owned and had stabilized occupancy and operating expenses as of the beginning of the prior year, there is no plan to conduct substantial redevelopment activities, and the community is not held for sale within the current year. A community is considered to have stabilized occupancy once it achieves 90% occupancy for at least three consecutive months.

Non-mature/other communities represent those communities that were acquired or developed in 2009, 2010, or 2011 sold properties, redevelopment properties, properties classified as real estate held for sale, condominium conversion properties, joint venture properties, properties managed by third parties, and the non-apartment components of mixed use properties.

Management evaluates the performance of each of our apartment communities on a same community and non-mature/other basis, as well as individually and geographically. This is consistent with the aggregation criteria of Topic 280 as each of our apartment communities generally has similar economic characteristics, facilities, services, and tenants. Therefore, the Operating Partnership's reportable segments have been aggregated by geography in a manner identical to that which is provided to the chief operating decision maker.

All revenues are from external customers and no single tenant or related group of tenants contributed 10% or more of the Operating Partnership's total revenues during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

The accounting policies applicable to the operating segments described above are the same as those described in Note 2, *Significant Accounting Policies*. The following table details rental income and NOI from continuing and discontinued operations for the Operating Partnership's reportable segments for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, and reconciles NOI to income from continuing and discontinued operations per the consolidated statement of operations (*dollars in thousands*):

	2011	December 31, 2010	2009
Reportable apartment home segment rental income			
Same Store Communities			
Western Region	\$ 158,280	\$ 151,244	\$ 155,745
Mid-Atlantic Region	64,020	61,262	58,774
Southeastern Region	42,631	40,846	41,210
Southwestern Region	27,559	26,428	26,669
Non-Mature communities/Other	94,567	70,614	70,658
Total segment and consolidated rental income	\$ 387,057	\$ 350,394	\$ 353,056
Reportable apartment home segment NOI			
Same Store Communities			
Western Region	\$ 110,631	\$ 103,600	\$ 109,713
Mid-Atlantic Region	44,400	41,908	39,556
Southeastern Region	26,722	25,659	25,984
Southwestern Region	17,127	16,175	16,271
Non-Mature communities/Other	65,378	46,774	49,044
Total segment and consolidated NOI	264,258	234,116	240,568
Reconciling items:			
Non-property income		1,695	5,695
Property management	(10,644)	(9,636)	(9,709)
Other operating expenses	(5,484)	(5,028)	(4,868)
Depreciation and amortization	(197,964)	(166,480)	(166,773)
Interest	(53,632)	(52,222)	(53,547)
General and administrative	(26,370)	(23,291)	(16,886)
Net gain on the sale of real estate	60,065	152	1,475
Non-controlling interests	(70)	(41)	(131)
Net income/(loss) attributable to OP unit holders	\$ 30,159	\$ (20,735)	\$ (4,176)

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

The following table details the assets of the Operating Partnership's reportable segments as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 (*dollars in thousands*):

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Reportable apartment home segment assets		
Same Store Communities		
Western Region	\$ 1,608,006	\$ 1,591,585
Mid-Atlantic Region	697,217	693,564
Southeastern Region	360,045	354,861
Southwestern Region	257,077	254,485
Non-Mature communities/Other	1,282,953	811,689
Total segment assets	4,205,298	3,706,184
Accumulated depreciation	(976,358)	(884,083)
Total segment assets - net book value	3,228,940	2,822,101
Reconciling items:		
Cash and cash equivalents	704	920
Restricted cash	12,568	8,022
Deferred financing costs, net	8,184	7,465
Other assets	41,771	22,887
Total consolidated assets	\$ 3,292,167	\$ 2,861,395

Capital expenditures related to our same communities totaled \$26.5 million, \$22.5 million, and \$28.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. Capital expenditures related to our non-mature/other communities totaled \$3.2 million, \$2.5 million, and \$3.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Markets included in the above geographic segments are as follows:

- i. Western Orange County, San Francisco, Monterey Peninsula, Los Angeles, Seattle, Sacramento, Inland Empire, Portland, and San Diego
- ii. Mid-Atlantic Metropolitan DC and Baltimore
- iii. Southeastern Nashville, Tampa, Jacksonville, and Other Florida
- iv. Southwestern Dallas and Phoenix

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2011

12. UNAUDITED SUMMARIZED CONSOLIDATED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA

Selected consolidated quarterly financial data for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 is summarized in the table below (*dollars in thousands, except per share amounts*):

	Three months ended			
	March 31,	June 30,	September 30,	December 31,
2011				
Rental income (a)	\$ 81,396	\$ 91,675	\$ 95,523	\$ 98,651
Loss from continuing operations	(3,344)	(9,449)	(7,664)	(13,581)
Income from discontinued operations	1,340	16,957	1,064	44,906
(Loss)/income attributable to OP unitholders	(2,031)	7,476	(6,632)	31,346
 (Loss)/income per OP unit- basic and diluted (b)	 \$ (0.01)	 \$ 0.04	 \$ (0.04)	 \$ 0.17
 2010				
Rental income (a)	\$ 82,812	\$ 83,684	\$ 84,431	\$ 68,162
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	1,729	(1,679)	(6,341)	(19,367)
(Loss)/income from discontinued operations	(4,662)	(873)	(495)	10,994
Loss attributable to OP unitholders	(2,950)	(2,570)	(6,845)	(8,370)
 Loss per OP unit basic and diluted	 \$ (0.02)	 \$ (0.01)	 \$ (0.04)	 \$ (0.05)

(a) Represents rental income from continuing operations, excluding amounts classified as discontinued operations.

(b) Quarterly earnings per OP Unit amounts may not total to the annual amounts due to rounding.

13. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On January 10, 2012, the Operating Partnership issued a guarantee in conjunction with the General Partner's issuance of \$400 million of 4.625% Medium Term Notes due January 2022. Interest is payable semiannually beginning in July 2012. The notes were priced at 99.100% of the principal amount plus accrued interest from January 10, 2012 to yield 4.739% to maturity.

Table of Contents

[This page is intentionally left blank.]

Table of Contents

Schedule

UDR, INC.
SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE OWNED
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011
(In thousands)

	Initial Costs		Total Initial Acquisition Costs	Cost of Improvements		Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period		Total Carrying Value	Accumulated Depreciation	Date of Construction (a)
	Land and Land	Buildings and		Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Land and Land	Buildings & Buildings				
lesa	\$ 47,091	\$ 20,477	\$ 28,538	\$ 49,015	\$ 11,271	\$ 20,774	\$ 39,512	\$ 60,286	\$ 20,518	2003
	18,270	2,582	25,504	28,086	4,638	3,886	28,838	32,724	14,045	1979
res	19,145	7,345	22,624	29,969	7,777	7,596	30,150	37,746	15,105	2003
Vista	31,274	8,055	22,486	30,541	6,102	8,243	28,400	36,643	14,479	1970
Back	11,326	229	14,129	14,358	1,871	10,739	5,490	16,229	2,972	1969
t	48,448	62,516	46,082	108,598	19,536	66,591	61,543	128,134	29,146	2000
Villas	55,752	61,535	18,017	79,552	5,014	61,855	22,711	84,566	10,965	1972
ia		70,825	24,179	95,004	5,424	70,984	29,444	100,428	13,401	1972
ey	12,659	10,670	7,080	17,750	1,670	10,783	8,637	19,420	4,112	1969
		12,071	6,187	18,258	2,285	12,180	8,363	20,543	3,558	1969
outh	92,188	58,785	50,067	108,852	12,351	59,058	62,145	121,203	28,473	2000
		25,922	60,961	86,883	1,353	25,997	62,239	88,236	13,190	1975
um		16,663	51,905	68,568	225	16,665	52,128	68,793	4,225	2009
CA	336,153	357,675	377,759	735,434	79,517	375,351	439,600	814,951	174,189	
treet		9,861	44,578	54,439	6,848	10,178	51,109	61,287	19,648	1987
		4,365	16,696	21,061	5,320	5,022	21,359	26,381	10,517	1968
Of		5,996	24,868	30,864	25,554	7,011	49,407	56,418	17,294	1991
ra		6,224	23,916	30,140	7,660	6,764	31,036	37,800	14,791	1971
ce	33,130	22,161	40,137	62,298	2,221	22,265	42,254	64,519	15,981	2005
		14,031	30,537	44,568	30,689	15,672	59,585	75,257	13,826	1972
e		8,545	14,458	23,003	2,543	8,549	16,997	25,546	5,827	1962
f		5,353	18,559	23,912	10,976	5,730	29,158	34,888	6,801	1968
e II										

Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc. - Form 10-K

	45,106	30,657	83,872	114,529	1,760	30,668	85,621	116,289	18,845	2007
ke	27,000	594	42,515	43,109	2,459	655	44,913	45,568	9,339	1999
		14,253	74,104	88,357	438	14,253	74,542	88,795	3,076	1999
I		1,756	7,753	9,509	2,983	3,290	9,202	12,492	3,773	2006
CO,	105,236	123,796	421,993	545,789	99,451	130,057	515,183	645,240	139,718	
		8,414	17,449	25,863	1,889	8,462	19,290	27,752	8,526	1970
s	8,663	5,135	12,789	17,924	1,351	5,205	14,070	19,275	5,895	1965
Key		39,586	36,679	76,265	1,811	39,592	38,484	78,076	9,369	1999
ate	67,700	48,182	102,364	150,546	2,188	48,225	104,509	152,734	7,661	1993
		5,805	6,305	12,110	12,387	6,241	18,256	24,497	11,565	2008
Key	89,850	55,651		55,651	87,946	61,132	82,465	143,597	14,308	2008
ELES,	166,213	162,773	175,586	338,359	107,572	168,857	277,074	445,931	57,324	
ce		1,453	11,995	13,448	2,820	1,769	14,499	16,268	7,346	1996
k	10,819	1,178	9,116	10,294	1,986	1,437	10,843	12,280	4,971	1996
nte	7,328	2,486	6,437	8,923	4,252	2,773	10,402	13,175	5,536	1987
	6,774	2,174	7,408	9,582	3,164	2,641	10,105	12,746	5,052	1985
orne		6,474	30,226	36,700	1,758	6,533	31,925	38,458	12,495	2003
ly	17,942	6,179	22,307	28,486	1,098	6,212	23,372	29,584	8,430	2005
at	25,479	6,848	30,922	37,770	1,706	6,860	32,616	39,476	7,132	2000
ek		21,284	89,389	110,673	2,443	21,354	91,762	113,116	18,374	2007
re		6,379	24,569	30,948	245	6,384	24,809	31,193	6,660	2001
		27,468	72,036	99,504	10,498	30,077	79,925	110,002	13,388	2010
		8,541	45,990	54,531	581	8,517	46,595	55,112	5,363	2006
WA	68,342	90,464	350,395	440,859	30,551	94,557	376,853	471,410	94,747	
Oro		9,164	22,694	31,858	5,073	9,600	27,331	36,931	13,176	1987
rlsbad		6,517	10,718	17,235	1,514	6,639	12,110	18,749	5,079	1966
O,		15,681	33,412	49,093	6,587	16,239	39,441	55,680	18,255	
anor		1,946	8,982	10,928	8,396	3,112	16,212	19,324	6,662	1979
rt		888	4,188	5,076	4,385	1,455	8,006	9,461	3,393	1973
Court		3,039	12,883	15,922	13,073	5,160	23,835	28,995	10,269	1974
		1,304	5,115	6,419	5,335	2,075	9,679	11,754	4,115	1977
At		6,388	23,854	30,242	23,263	9,731	43,774	53,505	18,246	1986
ch		2,044	8,028	10,072	9,098	3,204	15,966	19,170	6,985	1979
At		1,329	5,334	6,663	5,159	2,109	9,713	11,822	3,984	1975
EY		16,938	68,384	85,322	68,709	26,846	127,185	154,031	53,654	
LA,										
ancho										
Town	54,308	13,557	3,645	17,202	52,382	22,918	46,666	69,584	21,842	2006

at	24,017	5,810	23,450	29,260	2,103	5,986	25,377	31,363	13,711	2001
CA	78,325	19,367	27,095	46,462	54,485	28,904	72,043	100,947	35,553	
ennis		3,618	14,542	18,160	5,824	3,987	19,997	23,984	10,432	1988
Village		6,772	26,967	33,739	11,335	7,832	37,242	45,074	20,299	1979
ENTO,		10,390	41,509	51,899	17,159	11,819	57,239	69,058	30,731	
ights	8,976	3,273	9,134	12,407	5,655	3,707	14,355	18,062	7,736	1989
rk	15,938	2,916	16,995	19,911	6,676	3,105	23,482	26,587	11,570	1989
	17,020	6,014	14,870	20,884	4,850	6,295	19,439	25,734	9,810	1985
D,	41,934	12,203	40,999	53,202	17,181	13,107	57,276	70,383	29,116	
	796,203	809,287	1,537,132	2,346,419	481,212	865,737	1,961,894	2,827,631	633,287	

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE OWNED (Continued)
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011
(In thousands)

	Initial Costs		Total Improvements		Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period		Total Carrying Value	Accumulated Depreciation	Date of Construction	
	Land and Land	Buildings and	Initial Acquisition Costs	Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition Costs	Land and Land	Buildings & Buildings				
ATLANTIC										
on Middle	33,096	3,311	13,283	16,594	5,712	3,638	18,668	22,306	11,469	19
on Lake	22,610	2,366	8,387	10,753	5,211	2,775	13,189	15,964	8,230	19
ntial Greens		11,238	18,790	30,028	7,339	11,519	25,848	37,367	15,907	19
nitmore		6,418	13,411	19,829	19,602	7,424	32,007	39,431	16,461	20
ood		5,612	20,086	25,698	7,214	5,836	27,076	32,912	16,168	19
lvert		263	11,189	11,452	20,825	8,275	24,002	32,277	9,209	19
ons at Town										
		136	7,724	7,860	1,013	6,874	1,999	8,873	1,115	19
de Towers		874	38,209	39,083	10,007	26,215	22,875	49,090	12,048	19
de										
omes		129	3,724	3,853	658	2,725	1,786	4,511	852	19
ngton Place at										
own	28,681	13,753	36,059	49,812	16,314	14,541	51,585	66,126	22,649	20
er House		14,357	51,577	65,934	2,449	14,360	54,023	68,383	15,087	20
n Place		1,137	103,676	104,813	3,080	1,181	106,712	107,893	25,545	20
Towers	69,771	33,011	107,051	140,062	5,927	32,876	113,113	145,989	23,714	19
ey at										
ngton		21,606	66,765	88,371	966	21,616	67,721	89,337	14,696	2006
4		5,710	97,941	103,651	1,166	5,721	99,096	104,817	2,793	20
Hill	42,074	13,290		13,290	68,930	25,327	56,893	82,220	6,353	20
OPOLITAN										
	196,232	133,211	597,872	731,083	176,413	190,903	716,593	907,496	202,296	
on Kings	16,121	1,565	7,007	8,572	3,810	1,800	10,582	12,382	6,751	19
on At Eden	21,308	2,361	9,384	11,745	5,920	2,913	14,752	17,665	9,936	19
Grove		2,920	9,099	12,019	22,219	5,189	29,049	34,238	17,876	20
on Constant										
hip	10,683	903	4,669	5,572	3,315	1,146	7,741	8,887	4,883	19

Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc. - Form 10-K

le Mill	15,242	2,666	10,109	12,775	3,707	2,849	13,633	16,482	9,166	19
Meadow	17,602	4,145	17,150	21,295	4,381	4,502	21,174	25,676	12,142	19
s Walk	18,043	4,408	24,692	29,100	5,833	4,567	30,366	34,933	14,602	19
ew										
ents		4,653	23,952	28,605	5,857	5,058	29,404	34,462	15,039	19
Apartments		1,620	6,791	8,411	896	1,629	7,678	9,307	3,752	19
bourne	32,795	11,750	45,590	57,340	3,471	11,837	48,974	60,811	11,613	20
n Brewers										
		4,669	40,630	45,299	247	4,669	40,877	45,546	3,208	20
MORE,										
	131,794	41,660	199,073	240,733	59,656	46,159	254,230	300,389	108,968	
on Olde										
		1,965	12,204	14,169	5,216	2,606	16,779	19,385	12,514	1978/82/84/85
on										
ood					4,975	274	4,701	4,975	3,014	19
on English										
		1,979	11,524	13,503	8,224	2,873	18,854	21,727	11,134	1969
Pointe										
omes		826	5,148	5,974	28,743	3,319	31,398	34,717	21,308	20
on West End	25,582	2,059	15,049	17,108	12,222	4,502	24,828	29,330	15,049	19
de At										
lge		1,844	13,238	15,082	6,513	2,249	19,346	21,595	10,433	19
e Homes at										
am		474	30,997	31,471	7,001	3,764	34,708	38,472	17,587	19
at Mayland	41,507				19,269	1,772	17,497	19,269	12,138	20
MOND, VA	67,089	9,147	88,160	97,307	92,163	21,359	168,111	189,470	103,177	
Lake At										
Point		780	8,862	9,642	8,212	1,346	16,508	17,854	10,810	19
cape		798	7,209	8,007	8,988	2,027	14,968	16,995	11,874	1974
nd		155	5,317	5,472	5,762	601	10,633	11,234	7,864	19
on										
de At										
ve		1,824	4,107	5,931	5,569	2,198	9,302	11,500	6,384	19
f Lake		617	3,400	4,017	9,532	1,194	12,355	13,549	10,729	1972
on Yorkshire										
		1,089	8,582	9,671	5,391	1,489	13,573	15,062	7,673	19
OLK, VA		5,263	37,477	42,740	43,454	8,855	77,339	86,194	55,334	
n Square		5,591	91,027	96,618	2,689	5,591	93,716	99,307	6,887	1887/19
t Blue Hills	24,761	6,039	34,869	40,908	359	6,042	35,225	41,267	2,657	20
West	60,702	20,778	88,096	108,874	893	20,779	88,988	109,767	3,812	20
h		10,961	51,175	62,136	1,088	10,961	52,263	63,224	2,335	20
DN, MA	85,463	43,369	265,167	308,536	5,029	43,373	270,192	313,565	15,691	
over Square	205,526	41,432	218,983	260,415	2,085	41,432	221,068	262,500	8,945	20
isea	35,568	36,399	107,154	143,553	409	36,399	107,563	143,962	2,109	20
ite		114,410	324,920	439,330	2,162	114,414	327,078	441,492	8,215	19
l Street		57,637	266,255	323,892	137	57,641	266,388	324,029	5,738	20
YORK, NY	241,094	249,878	917,312	1,167,190	4,793	249,886	922,097	1,171,983	25,007	
At Falls Run		2,731	5,300	8,031	4,665	3,116	9,580	12,696	5,911	19
At England										
		3,194	13,505	16,699	20,133	5,142	31,690	36,832	19,134	19

At ker Pond	710	6,118	6,828	5,037	960	10,905	11,865	7,115	19
R TLANTIC	6,635	24,923	31,558	29,835	9,218	52,175	61,393	32,160	
L TLANTIC DN	721,672	489,163	2,129,984	2,619,147	411,343	569,753	2,460,737	3,030,490	542,633

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE OWNED (Continued)
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011
(In thousands)

	Encumbrance	Initial Costs		Total Improvements		Gross Amount at		Total Carrying	Accumulated	Date of	(a)
		Land	Buildings	Initial	Capitalized	Costs	Costs				
		and	and	Acquisition	Subsequent	Land	Buildings				
		Land	Buildings	to	to	and	&				
		Land	and	Acquisition	Acquisition	Land	Buildings				
		Improvements	Improvements	Costs	Costs	Improvements	Improvements	Value	Depreciation	Construction	(a)
EASTERN											
West		2,176	4,710	6,886	7,379	3,097	11,168	14,265	8,712	1972	
Wiley		1,780	2,458	4,238	16,499	3,204	17,533	20,737	13,772	2007	
Wood Place	20,561	1,395	10,647	12,042	7,666	2,120	17,588	19,708	11,578	1986	
Ridge		2,462	10,942	13,404	6,075	3,490	15,989	19,479	9,963	1992	
Shadow		2,893	9,254	12,147	8,816	3,994	16,969	20,963	11,178	2004	
Edge Woods		1,791	7,166	8,957	7,281	2,492	13,746	16,238	8,771	1985	
Hill Creek		2,242	7,553	9,795	5,616	2,648	12,763	15,411	7,243	1988	
Way		7,702	23,150	30,852	11,958	8,857	33,953	42,810	19,948	1988/89	
Wine Place	10,869	36,858	47,727	5,070	11,091	41,706	52,797	18,542		2001	
Vintage Lofts at										2009	
and		6,611	37,663	44,274	7,740	15,089	36,925	52,014	8,863		
at Bayport II		5,775	17,236	23,011	2,259	8,536	16,734	25,270	6,427	2008	
Walk		7,231	19,897	27,128	10,038	4,935	32,231	37,166	17,716	1985/87	
LA, FL	20,561	52,927	187,534	240,461	96,397	69,553	267,305	336,858	142,713		
Bank		1,846	4,155	6,001	7,161	2,611	10,551	13,162	7,618	2004	
Copy										2008	
ent Villas		2,895	6,456	9,351	21,736	5,288	25,799	31,087	19,013		
a Place	15,640	1,533	11,076	12,609	18,659	3,190	28,078	31,268	20,860	2007	
Shore		757	6,608	7,365	13,909	1,894	19,380	21,274	13,816	2007	
Woods	20,049	1,653	9,042	10,695	7,612	2,394	15,913	18,307	10,523	2006	
os	24,352	2,804	12,349	15,153	7,768	3,770	19,151	22,921	11,655	2004	
anding		2,185	8,639	10,824	7,802	2,717	15,909	18,626	8,892	2006	
On The Green		1,282	6,498	7,780	6,049	1,668	12,161	13,829	7,084	2004	
@ Waterford	25,958	3,872	17,538	21,410	1,823	4,041	19,192	23,233	10,786	2000	
at Lee Vista										2007	
		6,692	12,860	19,552	10,812	6,972	23,392	30,364	14,357		
ce on										2007	
a Blvd		12,172	37,143	49,315	1,545	12,201	38,659	50,860	10,965		
INDO, FL	85,999	37,691	132,364	170,055	104,876	46,746	228,185	274,931	135,569		
Hill		1,148	5,867	7,015	7,841	1,692	13,164	14,856	9,357	1977	
Run		1,469	11,584	13,053	8,458	1,989	19,522	21,511	11,013	1989	

Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc. - Form 10-K

ton Hills		2,117		2,117	32,205	4,278	30,044	34,322	16,264	1999
dge		708	5,461	6,169	3,844	1,007	9,006	10,013	5,588	1986
ridge		766	7,714	8,480	3,688	1,157	11,011	12,168	6,299	1986
ade		1,460	16,015	17,475	3,703	1,810	19,368	21,178	9,157	1998
serve at										1998
ood	24,591	3,181	24,674	27,855	4,895	3,354	29,396	32,750	14,627	
rk		4,583	16,293	20,876	15,090	5,491	30,475	35,966	14,135	2008
VILLE, TN	24,591	15,432	87,608	103,040	79,724	20,778	161,986	182,764	86,440	
ee		1,634	11,227	12,861	12,531	2,761	22,631	25,392	14,972	2007
d		1,835	14,865	16,700	10,964	3,298	24,366	27,664	16,067	1990
		4,034	11,193	15,227	12,629	5,224	22,632	27,856	14,941	1985
s Plantation		4,288	33,102	37,390	5,302	4,542	38,150	42,692	16,538	2006
nsley		3,179	30,711	33,890	1,719	3,193	32,416	35,609	9,143	2004
ONVILLE,		14,970	101,098	116,068	43,145	19,018	140,195	159,213	71,661	
erve and Park										1999/2001
bridge	40,133	15,968	56,401	72,369	5,130	16,282	61,217	77,499	26,261	
oves		790	4,767	5,557	5,248	1,624	9,181	10,805	6,534	1989
s of										1985
wine		766	5,407	6,173	3,016	1,106	8,083	9,189	4,876	
DINT Port										2007
		3,373	7,096	10,469	5,678	3,808	12,339	16,147	11,060	
R FLORIDA	40,133	20,897	73,671	94,568	19,072	22,820	90,820	113,640	48,731	
IEASTERN										
N	171,284	141,917	582,275	724,192	343,214	178,915	888,491	1,067,406	485,114	
IWESTERN										
N										
Y377	31,816	24,036	32,951	56,987	6,117	24,229	38,875	63,104	13,838	2007
Village	59,300	16,882	100,102	116,984	4,071	17,029	104,026	121,055	24,322	2005/06/07
Oaks		2,132	5,367	7,499	1,093	6,878	1,714	8,592	1,052	1979
od		7,903	554	8,457	1,095	8,112	1,440	9,552	714	1970
t of Addison	7,157	10,440	634	11,074	1,506	10,830	1,750	12,580	894	1975
aven		6,688	3,354	10,042	745	8,256	2,531	10,787	1,571	1977
Pointe		13,221	2,507	15,728	1,664	14,860	2,532	17,392	1,547	1978
ds of Preston		2,151	8,168	10,319	29,559	5,886	33,992	39,878	16,633	2008
mont		11,720		11,720	54,825	21,016	45,529	66,545	8,799	2010
		7,374	3,367	10,741	55,553	14,660	51,634	66,294	6,980	2010
AS, TX	98,273	102,547	157,004	259,551	156,228	131,756	284,023	415,779	76,350	
ra		1,274	26,392	27,666	4,351	1,735	30,282	32,017	13,999	1997
oothills	20,978	2,728		2,728	21,204	5,102	18,830	23,932	12,968	1998
anyon	10,717	1,810	12,964	14,774	2,197	2,071	14,900	16,971	9,381	2001
rd at Peoria		2,225	21,593	23,818	1,635	2,980	22,473	25,453	4,623	2008
e		5,092	11,998	17,090	6,971	4,726	19,335	24,061	12,775	1996
ces at										2009
n Village		7,930		7,930	41,418	15,170	34,178	49,348	6,490	
NIX, AZ	31,695	21,059	72,947	94,006	77,776	31,784	139,998	171,782	60,236	
		3,151	14,269	17,420	20,208	4,414	33,214	37,628	12,086	1986

Creek											
ces at the											2007
	25,079	4,034	55,256	59,290	1,226	4,086	56,430	60,516	11,488		
N, TX	25,079	7,185	69,525	76,710	21,434	8,500	89,644	98,144	23,574		
WESTERN											
N	155,047	130,791	299,476	430,267	255,438	172,040	513,665	685,705	160,160		

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE OWNED (Continued)
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011
(In thousands)

	Initial Costs		Total Initial Acquisition Costs	Cost of Improvements		Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period		Total Carrying Value	Accumulated Depreciation
	Land	Buildings		Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Land	Buildings & Buildings	Buildings		
REAL ESTATE UNDER DEVELOPMENT	25,076	112,127	7,375	119,502	129,244	116,052	132,694	248,746	570
Side	11,862	93	11,955	129	11,862	222	12,084	201	
io	1,524		1,524	921	1,300	1,145	2,445		
s Landing II	1,710		1,710	762	1,511	961	2,472	(1,852)	
Wilshire	11,055		11,055	4,990	11,049	4,996	16,045		
Beach Walk	12,878		12,878	194	12,878	194	13,072		
court	884		884	3,767	884	3,767	4,651	7	
ian	8,005	16,006	24,011	46,326	38,380	31,957	70,337		

Valley Road		2,875		2,875	1,843	2,875	1,843	4,718	1
ALL LAND		50,793	16,099	66,892	58,932	80,739	45,085	125,824	(1,643)
ALL REAL ESTATE UNDER DEVELOPMENT	25,076	162,920	23,474	186,394	188,176	196,791	177,779	374,570	(1,073)
ALL COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT									
Mer Village		1,624		1,624		1,104	520	1,624	533
alvert									
ercial side		34	1,598	1,632	1,174	1,172	1,634	2,806	771
Towers									
Bldg		1,407	4,498	5,905	1,275	1,380	5,800	7,180	1,023
haven									
ing Center		4,138	7,093	11,231	11,393	7,733	14,891	22,624	2,523
ue Plaza									
	22,271	24,377	7,517	31,894	96	29,920	2,070	31,990	230
view DCO		7,266	9,702	16,968	2,105	10,750	8,323	19,073	6,523
ALL COMMERCIAL	22,271	38,846	30,408	69,254	16,043	52,059	33,238	85,297	11,603
b)					3,372	5	3,367	3,372	3
ALL CORPORATE					3,372	5	3,367	3,372	3
ALL COMMERCIAL CORPORATE	22,271	38,846	30,408	69,254	19,415	52,064	36,605	88,669	11,606
Real Estate									
nd	\$ 1,891,553	\$ 1,772,924	\$ 4,602,749	\$ 6,375,673	\$ 1,698,798	\$ 2,035,300	\$ 6,039,171	\$ 8,074,471	\$ 1,831,727

(a) Date of construction or date of last major renovation.

(b) Includes unallocated accruals and capital expenditures.

The aggregate cost for federal income tax purposes was approximately \$7.3 billion at December 31, 2011.

The depreciable life for all buildings is 35 years.

Table of Contents

UDR, INC.
SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE OWNED (Continued)
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

(In thousands)

3-YEAR ROLLFORWARD OF REAL ESTATE OWNED AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

The following is a reconciliation of the carrying amount of total real estate owned at December 31, *(in thousands)*:

	2011	2010	2009
Balance at beginning of the year	\$ 6,881,347	\$ 6,315,047	\$ 5,831,753
Real estate acquired	1,590,514	425,825	28,220
Capital expenditures and development	189,711	167,986	273,552
Real estate sold	(587,101)	(20,328)	
Retirement of fully depreciated assets		(7,183)	(4,407)
Real estate acquired through JV consolidation			185,929
Balance at end of the year	\$ 8,074,471	\$ 6,881,347	\$ 6,315,047

The following is a reconciliation of total accumulated depreciation for real estate owned at December 31:

	2011	2010	2009
Balance at beginning of the year	\$ 1,638,326	\$ 1,351,293	\$ 1,078,689
Depreciation expense for the year	341,925	297,889	277,011
Accumulated depreciation on sales	(148,524)	(3,673)	
Accumulated depreciation on retirements		(7,183)	(4,407)
Balance at end of year	\$ 1,831,727	\$ 1,638,326	\$ 1,351,293

Table of Contents

Schedule III

**UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE OWNED
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011
(In thousands)**

	Initial Costs		Cost of Improvements		Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period		Total	Carrying Value	Accumulated Depreciation	Date of Construction (a)
	Land and Buildings	Improvements	Initial	Subsequent to Acquisition	Land	Buildings				
UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.										
Brook Village	\$ 47,091	\$ 20,477	\$ 28,538	\$ 11,271	\$ 20,774	\$ 39,512	\$ 60,286	\$ 20,518	2001	
Del Rey	18,270	2,582	25,504	4,638	3,886	28,838	32,724	14,045	1977	
Del Rey	19,145	7,345	22,624	7,777	7,596	30,150	37,746	15,105	2001	
Del Rey	31,274	8,055	22,486	6,102	8,243	28,400	36,643	14,479	1977	
Del Rey	11,326	229	14,129	1,871	10,739	5,490	16,229	2,972	1966	
Del Rey	48,448	62,516	46,082	19,536	66,591	61,543	128,134	29,146	2001	
Del Rey	55,752	61,535	18,017	5,014	61,855	22,711	84,566	10,965	1977	
Del Rey		70,825	24,179	5,424	70,984	29,444	100,428	13,401	1977	
Del Rey	12,659	10,670	7,080	1,670	10,783	8,637	19,420	4,112	1966	
Del Rey	92,188	58,785	50,067	12,351	59,058	62,145	121,203	28,473	2001	
Del Rey		25,922	60,961	1,353	25,997	62,239	88,236	13,190	1977	
UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.	336,153	328,941	319,667	77,007	346,506	379,109	725,615	166,406		
Del Rey		9,861	44,578	6,848	10,178	51,109	61,287	19,648	1981	
Del Rey		4,365	16,696	5,320	5,022	21,359	26,381	10,517	1966	
Del Rey		5,996	24,868	25,554	7,011	49,407	56,418	17,294	1999	
Del Rey		6,224	23,916	7,660	6,764	31,036	37,800	14,791	1977	
Del Rey	33,130	22,161	40,137	2,221	22,265	42,254	64,519	15,981	2001	
Del Rey		14,031	30,537	30,689	15,672	59,585	75,257	13,826	1977	
Del Rey		8,545	14,458	2,543	8,549	16,997	25,546	5,827	1966	
Del Rey		5,353	18,559	10,976	5,730	29,158	34,888	6,801	1966	
Del Rey	45,106	30,657	83,872	1,760	30,668	85,621	116,289	18,845	2001	
Del Rey										
Del Rey	27,000	594	42,515	2,459	655	44,913	45,568	9,339	1999	
UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.	105,236	107,787	340,136	96,030	112,514	431,439	543,953	132,869		

SAN FRANCISCO, CA										
Beach		8,414	17,449	25,863	1,889	8,462	19,290	27,752	8,526	197
San Villas	8,663	5,135	12,789	17,924	1,351	5,205	14,070	19,275	5,895	196
San Del Rey		39,586	36,679	76,265	1,811	39,592	38,484	78,076	9,369	199
ANGELES,										
	8,663	53,135	66,917	120,052	5,051	53,259	71,844	125,103	23,790	
Del Mar Pointe	7,328	2,486	6,437	8,923	4,252	2,773	10,402	13,175	5,536	198
Del Mar	6,774	2,174	7,408	9,582	3,164	2,641	10,105	12,746	5,052	198
Del Mar Kennedy	17,942	6,179	22,307	28,486	1,098	6,212	23,372	29,584	8,430	200
Del Mar Shstone at										
Del Mar Hill Creek		6,848	30,922	37,770	1,706	6,860	32,616	39,476	7,132	200
Del Mar Hill Square		21,284	89,389	110,673	2,443	21,354	91,762	113,116	18,374	200
ATTLE, WA										
Del Mar Radio at Rancho	32,044	38,971	156,463	195,434	12,663	39,840	168,257	208,097	44,524	
Del Mar Oro		9,164	22,694	31,858	5,073	9,600	27,331	36,931	13,176	198
Del Mar Hills at Carlsbad		6,517	10,718	17,235	1,514	6,639	12,110	18,749	5,079	196
SDIEGO, CA										
Del Mar Linda Manor		1,946	8,982	10,928	8,396	3,112	16,212	19,324	6,662	197
Del Mar Len Court		888	4,188	5,076	4,385	1,455	8,006	9,461	3,393	197
Del Mar Bridge Court		3,039	12,883	15,922	13,073	5,160	23,835	28,995	10,269	197
Del Mar Palm Tree		1,304	5,115	6,419	5,335	2,075	9,679	11,754	4,115	197
Del Mar Pointe At										
Del Mar Len Ranch		6,388	23,854	30,242	23,263	9,731	43,774	53,505	18,246	198
Del Mar Pointe At										
Del Mar Bridge		2,044	8,028	10,072	9,098	3,204	15,966	19,170	6,985	197
Del Mar Pointe At										
Del Mar Lake		1,329	5,334	6,663	5,159	2,109	9,713	11,822	3,984	197
PTERREY										
NSULA, CA										
Del Mar No at Rancho		16,938	68,384	85,322	68,709	26,846	127,185	154,031	53,654	
Del Mar Monga Town										
Del Mar re	54,308	13,557	3,645	17,202	52,382	22,918	46,666	69,584	21,842	200
AND										
IRE, CA										
Del Mar Hills Tennis										
Del Mar ge		3,618	14,542	18,160	5,824	3,987	19,997	23,984	10,432	198
Del Mar llake Village		6,772	26,967	33,739	11,335	7,832	37,242	45,074	20,299	197
RAMENTO,										
		10,390	41,509	51,899	17,159	11,819	57,239	69,058	30,731	
Del Mar tin Heights	8,976	3,273	9,134	12,407	5,655	3,707	14,355	18,062	7,736	198
Del Mar ver Park	15,938	2,916	16,995	19,911	6,676	3,105	23,482	26,587	11,570	198
Del Mar Club	17,020	6,014	14,870	20,884	4,850	6,295	19,439	25,734	9,810	198
TLAND, OR										
	41,934	12,203	40,999	53,202	17,181	13,107	57,276	70,383	29,116	
AL										
TERN										
ION										
	578,338	597,603	1,071,132	1,668,735	352,769	643,048	1,378,456	2,021,504	521,187	

ATLANTIC REGION										
Whitmore		6,418	13,411	19,829	19,602	7,424	32,007	39,431	16,461	200
Wood		5,612	20,086	25,698	7,214	5,836	27,076	32,912	16,168	198
Calvert		263	11,189	11,452	20,825	8,275	24,002	32,277	9,209	196
Washington Place at Town	28,681	13,753	36,059	49,812	16,314	14,541	51,585	66,126	22,649	200
Over House		14,357	51,577	65,934	2,449	14,360	54,023	68,383	15,087	200
Can Place		1,137	103,676	104,813	3,015	1,181	106,647	107,828	25,509	200
e Towers	69,771	33,011	107,051	140,062	5,927	32,876	113,113	145,989	23,714	197
ncey at Washington		21,606	66,765	88,371	966	21,616	67,721	89,337	14,696	2006/0
PROPOLITAN										
	98,452	96,157	409,814	505,971	76,312	106,109	476,174	582,283	143,493	
side Mill	15,242	2,666	10,109	12,775	3,707	2,849	13,633	16,482	9,166	198
r Meadow	17,602	4,145	17,150	21,295	4,381	4,502	21,174	25,676	12,142	199
rt s Walk	18,043	4,408	24,692	29,100	5,833	4,567	30,366	34,933	14,602	198
be Apartments		1,620	6,791	8,411	896	1,629	7,678	9,307	3,752	199
mbourne	32,795	11,750	45,590	57,340	3,471	11,837	48,974	60,811	11,613	200
BTIMORE,										
	83,682	24,589	104,332	128,921	18,288	25,384	121,825	147,209	51,275	
od West	60,702	20,778	88,096	108,874	893	20,779	88,988	109,767	3,812	200
orth		10,961	51,175	62,136	1,088	10,961	52,263	63,224	2,335	200
TON, MA										
	60,702	31,739	139,271	171,010	1,981	31,740	141,251	172,991	6,147	
anover Square	205,526	41,432	218,983	260,415	2,085	41,432	221,068	262,500	8,945	200
all Street		57,637	266,255	323,892	137	57,641	266,388	324,029	5,738	200
YORK, NY										
	205,526	99,069	485,238	584,307	2,222	99,073	487,456	586,529	14,683	
AL										
ATLANTIC REGION										
	448,362	251,554	1,138,655	1,390,209	98,803	262,306	1,226,706	1,489,012	215,598	

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE OWNED (Continued)
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011
(In thousands)

	Initial Costs		Cost of Improvements		Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period		Total	Accumulated	Date
	Land and	Buildings	Total Initial	Capitalized Subsequent	Land and	Buildings			
Encumbrances	Improvements	Improvements	Costs	Costs	Improvements	Improvements	Value	Depreciation	(a)
	Land	and	Acquisition	to	Land	Buildings	Carrying		Constru
			Costs	Acquisition	Improvements	Improvements	Value	Depreciation	(a)
EASTERN									
l Creek	2,242	7,553	9,795	5,616	2,648	12,763	15,411	7,243	
	7,702	23,150	30,852	11,958	8,857	33,953	42,810	19,948	19
ne Place	10,869	36,858	47,727	5,070	11,091	41,706	52,797	18,542	
FL	20,813	67,561	88,374	22,644	22,596	88,422	111,018	45,733	
ill	1,148	5,867	7,015	7,841	1,692	13,164	14,856	9,357	
Run	1,469	11,584	13,053	8,458	1,989	19,522	21,511	11,013	
n Hills	2,117		2,117	32,205	4,278	30,044	34,322	16,264	
ge	708	5,461	6,169	3,844	1,007	9,006	10,013	5,588	
dge	766	7,714	8,480	3,688	1,157	11,011	12,168	6,299	
	4,583	16,293	20,876	15,090	5,491	30,475	35,966	14,135	
LE, TN	10,791	46,919	57,710	71,126	15,614	113,222	128,836	62,656	
Plantation	4,288	33,102	37,390	5,302	4,542	38,150	42,692	16,538	
NVILLE,	4,288	33,102	37,390	5,302	4,542	38,150	42,692	16,538	
ve and Park									
idge	40,133	15,968	56,401	72,369	5,130	16,282	61,217	77,499	1999
FLORIDA	40,133	15,968	56,401	72,369	5,130	16,282	61,217	77,499	
EASTERN	40,133	51,860	203,983	255,843	104,202	59,034	301,011	360,045	151,188
WESTERN									
377	31,816	24,036	32,951	56,987	6,117	24,229	38,875	63,104	13,838
illage	59,300	16,882	100,102	116,984	4,071	17,029	104,026	121,055	2005/
S, TX	91,116	40,918	133,053	173,971	10,188	41,258	142,901	184,159	38,160
		1,274	26,392	27,666	4,351	1,735	30,282	32,017	13,999
othills	20,978	2,728		2,728	21,204	5,102	18,830	23,932	12,968

Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc. - Form 10-K

nyon	10,717	1,810	12,964	14,774	2,197	2,071	14,900	16,971	9,381
X, AZ	31,695	5,812	39,356	45,168	27,752	8,908	64,012	72,920	36,348
reek		3,151	14,269	17,420	20,208	4,414	33,214	37,628	12,086
, TX		3,151	14,269	17,420	20,208	4,414	33,214	37,628	12,086
WESTERN									
I	122,811	49,881	186,678	236,559	58,148	54,580	240,127	294,707	86,594
STATE									
OPMENT									
ific Los		17,298		17,298	9,496	16,385	10,409	26,794	
P									
REAL									
UNDER									
OPMENT		17,298		17,298	9,496	16,385	10,409	26,794	
		1,524		1,524	921	1,300	1,145	2,445	
LAND		1,524		1,524	921	1,300	1,145	2,445	
REAL									
UNDER									
OPMENT		18,822		18,822	10,417	17,685	11,554	29,239	
RCIAL		1,441	6,096	7,537	2,449	2,552	7,434	9,986	1,791
					804	6	799	805	
RATE					804	6	799	805	
RCIAL &									
RATE		1,441	6,096	7,537	3,253	2,558	8,233	10,791	1,791
al Estate									
	\$ 1,189,644	\$ 971,161	\$ 2,606,544	\$ 3,577,705	\$ 627,592	\$ 1,039,211	\$ 3,166,087	\$ 4,205,298	\$ 976,358

- (a) Date of construction or date of last major renovation.
- (b) Includes unallocated accruals and capital expenditures.

The aggregate cost for federal income tax purposes was approximately \$2.3 billion at December 31, 2011.

The depreciable life for all buildings is 35 years.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.
SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE OWNED (Continued)
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

3-YEAR ROLLFORWARD OF REAL ESTATE OWNED AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

The following is a reconciliation of the carrying amount of total real estate owned at December 31, (*in thousands*):

	2011	2010	2009
Balance at beginning of the year	\$ 3,706,184	\$ 3,640,888	\$ 3,569,239
Real estate acquired	758,707		
Capital expenditures and development	63,191	65,296	71,649
Real estate sold	(322,784)		
Balance at end of year	\$ 4,205,298	\$ 3,706,184	\$ 3,640,888

The following is a reconciliation of total accumulated depreciation for real estate owned at December 31:

	2011	2010	2009
Balance at beginning of the year	\$ 884,083	\$ 717,892	\$ 552,369
Depreciation expense for the year	181,085	166,191	165,757
Accumulated depreciation on asset retirements			(234)
Accumulated depreciation on sales	(88,810)		
Balance at end of year	\$ 976,358	\$ 884,083	\$ 717,892

Table of Contents**EXHIBIT INDEX**

The exhibits listed below are filed as part of this Report. References under the caption "Location" to exhibits or other filings indicate that the exhibit or other filing has been filed, that the indexed exhibit and the exhibit referred to are the same and that the exhibit referred to is incorporated by reference. Management contracts and compensatory plans or arrangements filed as exhibits to this Report are identified by an asterisk. The Commission file number for UDR, Inc.'s Exchange Act filings referenced below is 1-10524. The Commission file number for United Dominion Realty, L.P.'s Exchange Act filings is 333-156002-01.

Exhibit	Description	Location
2.01	Partnership Interest Purchase and Exchange Agreement dated as of September 10, 1998, by and between UDR, Inc., United Dominion Realty, L.P., American Apartment Communities Operating Partnership, L.P., AAC Management LLC, Schnitzer Investment Corp., Fox Point Ltd. and James D. Klingbeil including as an exhibit thereto the proposed form of the Third Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of United Dominion Realty, L.P.	Exhibit 2(d) to UDR, Inc.'s Form S-3 Registration Statement (Registration No. 333-64281) filed with the Commission on September 25, 1998.
2.02	Agreement of Purchase and Sale dated as of August 13, 2004, by and between United Dominion Realty, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, as Buyer, and Essex The Crest, L.P., a California limited partnership, Essex El Encanto Apartments, L.P., a California limited partnership, Essex Hunt Club Apartments, L.P., a California limited partnership, and the other signatories named as Sellers therein.	Exhibit 2.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 28, 2004 and filed with the Commission on September 29, 2004.
2.03	First Amendment to Agreement of Purchase and Sale dated as of September 29, 2004, by and between United Dominion Realty, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, as Buyer, and Essex The Crest, L.P., a California limited partnership, Essex El Encanto Apartments, L.P., a California limited partnership, Essex Hunt Club Apartments, L.P., a California limited partnership, and the other signatories named as Sellers therein.	Exhibit 2.2 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 29, 2004 and filed with the Commission on October 5, 2004.

Table of Contents

Exhibit	Description	Location
2.04	Second Amendment to Agreement of Purchase and Sale dated as of October 26, 2004, by and between United Dominion Realty, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, as Buyer, and Essex The Crest, L.P., a California limited partnership, Essex El Encanto Apartments, L.P., a California limited partnership, Essex Hunt Club Apartments, L.P., a California limited partnership, and the other signatories named as Sellers therein.	Exhibit 2.3 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K/A dated September 29, 2004 and filed with the Commission on November 1, 2004.
2.05	Agreement of Purchase and Sale dated as of January 23, 2008, by and between UDR, Inc., United Dominion Realty, L.P., UDR Texas Properties LLC, UDR Western Residential, Inc., UDR South Carolina Trust, UDR Ohio Properties, LLC, UDR of Tennessee, L.P., UDR of NC, Limited Partnership, Heritage Communities L.P., Governour's Square of Columbus Co., Fountainhead Apartments Limited Partnership, AAC Vancouver I, L.P., AAC Funding Partnership III, AAC Funding Partnership II and DRA Fund VI LLC.	Exhibit 2.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 23, 2008 and filed with the Commission on January 29, 2008.
2.06	First Amendment to Agreement of Purchase and Sale dated as of February 14, 2008, by and between UDR, Inc., United Dominion Realty, L.P., UDR Texas Properties LLC, UDR Western Residential, Inc., UDR South Carolina Trust, UDR Ohio Properties, LLC, UDR of Tennessee, L.P., UDR of NC, Limited Partnership, Heritage Communities L.P., Governour's Square of Columbus Co., Fountainhead Apartments Limited Partnership, AAC Vancouver I, L.P., AAC Funding Partnership III, AAC Funding Partnership II and DRA Fund VI LLC.	Exhibit 2.2 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K/A dated March 3, 2008 and filed with the Commission on May 2, 2008.
3.01	Articles of Restatement of UDR, Inc.	Exhibit 3.09 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 27, 2005 and filed with the Commission on August 1, 2005.
3.02	Articles of Amendment to the Articles of Restatement of UDR, Inc. dated and filed with the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of the State of Maryland on	Exhibit 3.2 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 14, 2007 and filed with the Commission on March 15, 2007.

March 14, 2007.

Table of Contents

Exhibit	Description	Location
3.03	Articles of Amendment to the Articles of Restatement of UDR, Inc. dated and filed with the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of the State of Maryland on August 30, 2011.	Exhibit 3.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed with the Commission on September 1, 2011.
3.04	Articles Supplementary relating to UDR, Inc.'s 6.75% Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock dated and filed with the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of the State of Maryland on May 30, 2007.	Exhibit 3.4 to UDR, Inc.'s Form 8-A Registration Statement dated and filed with the Commission on May 30, 2007.
3.05	Amended and Restated Bylaws of UDR, Inc. (as amended through May 12, 2011).	Exhibit 3.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 12, 2011 and filed with the Commission on May 13, 2011.
3.06	Certificate of Limited Partnership of United Dominion Realty, L.P. dated as of February 19, 2004.	Exhibit 3.4 to United Dominion Realty, L.P.'s Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-3 dated and filed with the Commission on October 15, 2010.
3.07	Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of United Dominion Realty, L.P. dated as of February 23, 2004.	Exhibit 10.23 to UDR, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.
3.08	First Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of United Dominion Realty, L.P. dated as of June 24, 2005.	Exhibit 10.06 to UDR, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005.
3.09	Second Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of United Dominion Realty, L.P. dated as of February 23, 2006.	Exhibit 10.6 to UDR, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006.
3.10	Third Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of United Dominion Realty, L.P. dated as of February 2, 2007.	Exhibit 99.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009.
3.11	Fourth Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of United Dominion Realty, L.P. dated as of December 27, 2007.	Exhibit 10.25 to UDR, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc. - Form 10-K

- | | | |
|------|--|---|
| 3.12 | Fifth Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of United Dominion Realty, L.P. dated as of March 7, 2008. | Exhibit 10.53 to UDR, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. |
| 3.13 | Sixth Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of United Dominion Realty, L.P. dated as of December 9, 2008. | Exhibit 10.1 to UDR, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 9, 2008 and filed with the Commission on December 10, 2008. |

Table of Contents

Exhibit	Description	Location
3.14	Seventh Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of United Dominion Realty, L.P., dated as of March 13, 2009.	Exhibit 10.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 18, 2009 and filed with the Commission on March 19, 2009
3.15	Eighth Amendment to the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of United Dominion Realty, L.P., dated as of November 17, 2010.	Exhibit 10.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed with the Commission on November 18, 2010.
4.01	Form of UDR, Inc. Common Stock Certificate.	Exhibit 4.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 14, 2007 and filed with the Commission on March 15, 2007.
4.02	Senior Indenture dated as of November 1, 1995, by and between UDR, Inc. and First Union National Bank of Virginia, N.A., as trustee.	Exhibit 4(ii)(h)(1) to UDR, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1996.
4.03	Supplemental Indenture dated as of June 11, 2003, by and between UDR, Inc. and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as trustee.	Exhibit 4.03 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 17, 2004 and filed with the Commission on June 18, 2004.
4.04	Subordinated Indenture dated as of August 1, 1994 by and between UDR, Inc. and Crestar Bank, as trustee.	Exhibit 4(i)(m) to UDR, Inc.'s Form S-3 Registration Statement (Registration No. 33-64725) filed with the Commission on November 15, 1995.
4.05	Indenture dated as of October 12, 2006, by and between UDR, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, relating to UDR, Inc.'s 3.625% Convertible Senior Notes due 2011, including the form of note.	Exhibit 10.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 5, 2006 and filed with the Commission on October 12, 2006.
4.06	Form UDR, Inc. of Senior Debt Security.	Exhibit 4(i)(n) to UDR, Inc.'s Form S-3 Registration Statement (Registration No. 33-64725) filed with the Commission on November 15, 1995.
4.07	Form of UDR, Inc. Subordinated Debt Security.	Exhibit 4(i)(p) to UDR, Inc.'s Form S-3 Registration Statement (Registration No. 33-55159) filed with the Commission on August 19, 1994.
4.08		

Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc. - Form 10-K

Form of UDR, Inc. Fixed Rate Medium-Term Note, Series A.	Exhibit 4.01 to UDR, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 20, 2007 and filed with the Commission on March 22, 2007.
4.09 Form of UDR, Inc. Floating Rate Medium-Term Note, Series A.	Exhibit 4.02 to UDR, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 20, 2007 and filed with the Commission on March 22, 2007.
4.10 UDR, Inc. 6.50% Note due 2009, issued June 19, 2002.	Exhibit 4 to UDR, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002.

Table of Contents

Exhibit	Description	Location
4.11	UDR, Inc. 5.13% Medium-Term Notes due January 2014, issued October 3, 2003, January 15, 2004 and March 18, 2004	Exhibit 4.2 to UDR, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003, and Exhibits 4.1 and 4.2 to UDR, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004.
4.12	UDR, Inc. 3.90% Medium-Term Note due March 2010, issued March 18, 2004.	Exhibit 4.3 to UDR, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004.
4.13	UDR, Inc. 5.00% Medium-Term Note due January 2012, issued October 7, 2004.	Exhibit 4.19 to UDR, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
4.14	UDR, Inc. 5.25% Medium-Term Note due January 2015, issued November 1, 2004.	Exhibit 4.21 to UDR, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
4.15	UDR, Inc. 5.25% Medium-Term Note due January 2015, issued February 14, 2005.	Exhibit 4.22 to UDR, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
4.16	UDR, Inc. 5.25% Medium-Term Note due January 2015, issued March 8, 2005.	Exhibit 4.23 to UDR, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
4.17	UDR, Inc. 5.25% Medium-Term Note due January 2015, issued May 3, 2005.	Exhibit 4.3 to UDR, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005.
4.18	UDR, Inc. 5.25% Medium-Term Note due January 2016, issued September 7, 2005.	Exhibit 4.1 to UDR, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2005.
4.19	UDR, Inc. 6.05% Medium-Term Note due June 2013, issued June 7, 2006.	Exhibit 4.3 to UDR, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006.
4.20	UDR, Inc. 5.50% Medium-Term Note, Series A due April 2014, issued March 27, 2007.	Exhibit 4.5 to UDR, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007.
4.21	Form of UDR, Inc. Certificate for Shares of 6.75% Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock.	Exhibit 4.1 to UDR, Inc. s Form 8-A Registration Statement dated and filed with the Commission on May 30, 2007.

Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc. - Form 10-K

- 4.22 Articles Supplementary relating to UDR, Inc. s Exhibit 3.4 to UDR, Inc. s Form 8-A
6.75% Series G Cumulative Redeemable Registration Statement dated and filed with the
Preferred Stock dated and filed with the State Commission on May 30, 2007.
Department of Assessments and Taxation of
the State of Maryland on May 30, 2007.
- 4.23 Registration Rights Agreement dated as of Exhibit 4.1 to UDR, Inc. s Current Report on
October 12, 2006, by and between UDR, Inc. Form 8-K dated October 5, 2006 and filed with
and the Initial Purchasers of UDR, Inc. s the Commission on October 12, 2006.
3.625% Convertible Senior Notes due 2011.
- 4.24 Indenture dated as of April 1, 1994, by and Exhibit 4(ii)(f)(1) to UDR, Inc. s Quarterly
between UDR, Inc. and Nationsbank of Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended
Virginia, N.A., as trustee. March 31, 1994.

Table of Contents

Exhibit	Description	Location
4.25	Supplemental Indenture dated as of August 20, 2009, by and between UDR, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, to UDR, Inc. s Indenture dated as of April 1, 1994.	Exhibit 4.1 to UDR, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 20, 2009 and filed with the Commission on August 21, 2009.
4.26	Guaranty of United Dominion Realty, L.P. with respect to UDR, Inc. s Indenture dated as of November 1, 1995.	Exhibit 99.1 to UDR, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed with the Commission on September 30, 2010.
4.27	Guaranty of United Dominion Realty, L.P. with respect to UDR, Inc. s Indenture dated as of October 12, 2006.	Exhibit 99.2 to UDR, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed with the Commission on September 30, 2010.
4.28	First Supplemental Indenture among UDR, Inc., United Dominion Realty, L.P. and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, dated as of May 3, 2011, relating to UDR, Inc. s Medium-Term Notes, Series A, due Nine Months or More from Date of Issue.	Exhibit 4.1 to UDR, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on May 4, 2011.
10.01*	UDR, Inc. 1985 Stock Option Plan, as amended.	Exhibit 10(iv) to UDR, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1998.
10.02*	UDR, Inc. 1991 Stock Purchase and Loan Plan.	Exhibit 10(viii) to UDR, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1997.
10.03*	UDR, Inc. 1999 Long-Term Incentive Plan (as amended and restated May 13, 2009).	Exhibit 10.1 to UDR, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed with the Commission on May 13, 2009.
10.04*	Form of UDR, Inc. Restricted Stock Award Agreement under the 1999 Long-Term Incentive Plan.	Exhibit 99.6 to UDR, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 31, 2004 and filed with the Commission on January 11, 2005.
10.05	Description of UDR, Inc. Shareholder Value Plan.	Exhibit 10(x) to UDR, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999.
10.06*	Description of UDR, Inc. Executive Deferral Plan.	Exhibit 10(xi) to UDR, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999.
10.07*	Description of the UDR, Inc. New Out-Performance Program.	Exhibit 10.01 to UDR, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 3, 2005 and filed with the

Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc. - Form 10-K

Commission on May 9, 2005.

10.08* Description of the UDR, Inc. Series E
Out-Performance Program.

UDR, Inc. s Definitive Proxy Statement dated
March 26, 2007 and filed with the Commission
on March 23, 2007.

10.09* Description of the UDR, Inc. 2010-2012
Long-Term Incentive Program for Senior
Executive Officers.

Exhibit 10.1 to UDR, Inc. s Current Report on
Form 8-K dated February 26, 2010 and filed
with the Commission on March 4, 2010.

Table of Contents

Exhibit	Description	Location
10.10	Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Heritage Communities L.P.	Exhibit 10.3 to UDR, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003.
10.11	First Amendment of Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Heritage Communities L.P.	Exhibit 10.4 to UDR, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003.
10.12	Second Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Heritage Communities L.P.	Exhibit 10.5 to UDR, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003.
10.13	Third Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Heritage Communities L.P.	Exhibit 10.2 to UDR, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 9, 2008 and filed with the Commission on December 10, 2008.
10.14	Credit Agreement dated as of August 14, 2001, by and between UDR, Inc. and certain subsidiaries and ARCS Commercial Mortgage Co., L.P., as Lender, as amended through October 5, 2006.	Exhibit 10.15 to UDR, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.
10.15	Credit Agreement dated as of December 12, 2001, by and between UDR, Inc. and certain subsidiaries and ARCS Commercial Mortgage Co., L.P., as Lender, as amended through September 29, 2006.	Exhibit 10.16 to UDR, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.
10.16	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of May 25, 2005, by and between UDR, Inc. and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Bookrunners, Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Syndication Agent, SunTrust Bank and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Documentation Agents, Citicorp North America, Inc., KeyBank, N.A. and U.S. Bank National Association, as Managing Agents, and LaSalle Bank National Association, Mizuho Corporate Bank, Ltd., New York Branch and UFJ Bank Limited, New York Branch as Co-Agents, and each of the financial institutions initially signatory thereto and their assignees.	Exhibit 10.1 to UDR, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 25, 2005 and filed with the Commission on May 27, 2005.

Table of Contents

Exhibit	Description	Location
10.17*	Employment Agreement dated as of December 8, 1998, by and between UDR, Inc. and Richard A. Giannotti, as amended.	Exhibit 10.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed with the Commission on December 23, 2008.
10.18*	Agreement dated as of November 7, 2005, by and between UDR, Inc. and Thomas W. Toomey, regarding corporate aircraft.	Exhibit 10.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2005.
10.19*	Form of UDR, Inc. Indemnification Agreement.	Exhibit 10.3 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 2, 2006 and filed with the Commission on May 8, 2006.
10.20*	Form of UDR, Inc. Notice of Performance Contingent Restricted Stock Award.	Exhibit 10.2 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 2, 2006 and filed with the Commission on May 8, 2006.
10.21*	UDR, Inc. Notice of Performance Contingent Restricted Stock Award, including Restricted Stock Award Agreement for 2,350 Shares for Mark M. Culwell, Jr.	Exhibit 10.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 21, 2006 and filed with the Commission on June 23, 2006.
10.22*	UDR, Inc. Restricted Stock Award Agreement for 7,418 Shares for Mark M. Culwell, Jr.	Exhibit 10.2 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 21, 2006 and filed with the Commission on June 23, 2006.
10.23*	UDR, Inc. Restricted Stock Award Agreement for 37,092 Shares for Mark M. Culwell, Jr.	Exhibit 10.3 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 21, 2006 and filed with the Commission on June 23, 2006.
10.24	Amended and Restated Master Credit Facility Agreement dated as of June 24, 2002 by and between UDR, Inc. and Green Park Financial Limited Partnership, as amended through February 14, 2007.	Exhibit 10.41 to UDR, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.
10.25	Limited Liability Company Agreement of UDR Texas Ventures LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, dated as of November 5, 2007.	Exhibit 10.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 5, 2007 and filed with the Commission on November 9, 2007.
10.26*	Summary of UDR, Inc. 2010 Non-Employee Director Compensation Program.	Exhibit 10.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 8, 2010 and filed with the Commission on January 12, 2010.
10.27*	Form of UDR, Inc. Restricted Stock Award Agreement for awards outside of the 1999	Exhibit 99.3 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 19, 2007 and filed with

Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc. - Form 10-K

Long-Term Incentive Plan.

the Commission on March 19, 2007.

10.28* Letter Agreement dated as of February 18, 2008, by and between UDR, Inc. and Warren L. Troupe.

Exhibit 10.1 to UDR, Inc. s Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 22, 2008 and filed with the Commission on February 27, 2008.

Table of Contents

Exhibit	Description	Location
10.29*	Indemnification Agreement dated as of March 3, 2008, by and between UDR, Inc. and Warren L. Troupe.	Exhibit 10.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 22, 2008 and filed with the Commission on February 27, 2008.
10.30*	UDR, Inc. Amended 2008 Independent Director Compensation Program.	Exhibit 10.2 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 30, 2008 and filed with the Commission on June 2, 2008.
10.31*	Summary of UDR, Inc. 2009 Non-Employee Director Compensation.	Exhibit 10.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed with the Commission on January 7, 2009.
10.32	Subordination Agreement dated as of April 16, 1998, by and between UDR, Inc. and United Dominion Realty, L.P.	Exhibit 10(vi)(a) to UDR, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1998.
10.33	Servicing and Purchase Agreement dated as of June 24, 1999, by and between UDR, Inc. and Crestar Bank including as an exhibit thereto the Note and Participation Agreement forms.	Exhibit 10(vii) to UDR, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1999.
10.34	Sales Agreement dated as of September 15, 2009, by and among UDR, Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated.	Exhibit 1.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed with the Commission on September 15, 2009.
10.35*	Letter Agreement dated as of October 7, 2010, by and between UDR, Inc. and W. Mark Wallis.	Exhibit 10.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed with the Commission on October 12, 2010.
10.36	Term Loan Agreement dated as of December 14, 2009, by and among UDR, Inc., Regions Capital Markets, PNC Capital Markets LLC, Regions Bank, PNC Bank, National Association, U.S. Bank National Association and the other signatories thereto.	Exhibit 99.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 14, 2009 and filed with the Commission on December 17, 2009.
10.37	Second Amended and Restated Distribution Agreement among UDR, Inc., United Dominion Realty, L.P., as Guarantor, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, as Agents, dated as of May 3, 2011, with	Exhibit 1.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 4, 2011.

respect to the issue and sale by UDR, Inc. of its
Medium-Term Notes, Series A Due Nine
Months or More From Date of Issue.

Table of Contents

Exhibit	Description	Location
10.38	Underwriting Agreement among UDR, Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, as Representatives of the several underwriters, dated July 13, 2011.	Exhibit 1.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed with the Commission on July 13, 2011.
10.39	ATM Equity Offering SM Sales Agreement among UDR, Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, dated September 1, 2011.	Exhibit 1.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed with the Commission on September 1, 2011.
10.40	Third Amended and Restated Distribution Agreement among UDR, Inc., United Dominion Realty, L.P., as Guarantor, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, as Agents, dated September 1, 2011, with respect to the issue and sale by UDR, Inc. of its Medium-Term Notes, Series A Due Nine Months or More From Date of Issue.	Exhibit 1.2 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed with the Commission on September 1, 2011.
10.41	Credit Agreement dated as of October 25, 2011 by and among UDR, Inc., as Borrower, The Financial Institutions party Hereto and Their Assignees under Section 12.5, as Lenders, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Administrative Agent, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Lead Bookrunners, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Syndication Agent, and Bank of America, N.A., PNC Bank, National Association and US Bank National Association, as Documentation Agents.	Exhibit 10.1 to UDR, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K dated and filed with the Commission on October 26, 2011.
10.42	Aircraft Time Sharing Agreement dated as of December 15, 2011, by and between UDR, Inc. and Thomas W. Toomey.	Filed herewith.
10.43		Filed herewith.

Aircraft Time Sharing Agreement dated as of December 15, 2011, by and between UDR, Inc. and Warren L. Troupe.

- | | | |
|------|---|-----------------|
| 12.1 | Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends of UDR, Inc. | Filed herewith. |
| 12.2 | Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges of United Dominion Realty, L.P. | Filed herewith. |
| 21 | Subsidiaries of UDR, Inc. and United Dominion Realty, L.P. | Filed herewith. |
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for UDR, Inc. | Filed herewith. |

Table of Contents

Exhibit	Description	Location
23.2	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for United Dominion Realty, L.P.	Filed herewith.
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of UDR, Inc.	Filed herewith.
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of UDR, Inc.	Filed herewith.
31.3	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of United Dominion Realty, L.P.	Filed herewith.
31.4	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of United Dominion Realty, L.P.	Filed herewith.
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of UDR, Inc.	Filed herewith.
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of UDR, Inc.	Filed herewith.
32.3	Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of United Dominion Realty, L.P.	Filed herewith.
32.4	Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of United Dominion Realty, L.P.	Filed herewith.
101	XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language). The following materials from UDR, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2011, formatted in XBRL: (i) consolidated balance sheets of UDR, Inc., (ii) consolidated statements of operations of UDR, Inc., (iii) consolidated statements of cash flows of UDR, Inc., (iv) consolidated statements of changes in equity of UDR, Inc., (v) notes to consolidated financial statements of UDR, Inc., (vi) consolidated balance sheets of United Dominion Realty, L.P., (vii) consolidated statements of operations of United Dominion	Filed herewith.

Realty, L.P., (viii) consolidated statements of cash flows of United Dominion Realty, L.P., (ix) consolidated statements of changes in capital of United Dominion Realty, L.P., and (x) notes to consolidated financial statements of United Dominion Realty, L.P.